

LOCALLY GRADED GROUPS WITH ALL NON-NILPOTENT SUBGROUPS PERMUTABLE, II

SEVGI ATLIHAN, MARTYN R. DIXON, AND MARTIN J. EVANS

Dedicated to Derek Robinson on his 85th birthday

ABSTRACT. Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is permutable. We prove that G is soluble. (In light of previous results of the authors, it suffices to prove that G is soluble if it is periodic.)

1. INTRODUCTION

In a previous work [2] the authors considered locally graded groups G in which every non-nilpotent subgroup is permutable. It was proved that:

- (i) If G is torsion-free, then G is nilpotent.
- (ii) If G is not periodic, then G is soluble.

In this note we complete the picture by establishing the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is permutable. If G is periodic, then G is soluble.*

Clearly, when combined with (ii) above, this theorem implies the following, which is our main result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let G be a locally graded group and suppose that every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is permutable. Then G is soluble.*

For the sake of brevity, we direct the reader to [2] for definitions, background on permutable subgroups and quasihamiltonian groups, and motivation for considering groups of this type. However, we would be remiss if we did not point out that Theorem 1.1 can be viewed as a ‘permutable’ analogue of a result of Smith [3] which asserts that a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 20E15; Secondary 20F19, 20F22.

Key words and phrases. permutable subgroup, quasihamiltonian group, nilpotent group.

locally finite group in which every non-nilpotent subgroup is *subnormal* is soluble.

2. THREE LEMMAS AND THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a non-soluble periodic locally graded group and suppose every non-nilpotent subgroup of G is permutable. Let $X = G''$. Then*

- (i) X is perfect;
- (ii) Every proper normal subgroup of X is nilpotent;
- (iii) X is a Fitting group;
- (iv) Every proper subgroup of X is soluble;
- (v) X is a p -group for some prime p .

Proof. Parts (i)-(iv) are established in [2, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2] and so it only remains to show that X is p -group for some prime p . Suppose not. Since X is a non-trivial periodic Fitting group, it is the direct product of two normal proper subgroups, each of which is nilpotent by part (ii) of this proposition. This implies that X is nilpotent, contrary to part (i), and the desired result follows. \square

Our remaining lemmas record two pieces of folklore.

Lemma 2.2. *Let H be a subgroup of finite index in a group L and suppose that H is residually finite. Then L is residually finite.*

Proof. Since H is residually finite, there exists a collection of normal subgroups $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$ of H such that each N_i has finite index in H and the intersection of all the N_i is trivial. For each $i \in I$, let M_i denote the core of N_i in L . Then each M_i is normal and of finite index in L . Moreover, $M_i \leq N_i$ for each $i \in I$, and so the intersection of all the M_i is trivial. The result follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let N be a nilpotent subgroup of a Fitting group X and suppose that N is both normal and of finite index in a subgroup K of X . Then K is nilpotent.*

Proof. Let x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n be a set of coset representatives for N in K and note that $K = \langle N, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, let L_i denote the normal closure of x_i in X and M_i the normal closure of x_i in K . Since X is a Fitting group, each L_i is a normal *nilpotent* subgroup of X and so each M_i is a nilpotent normal subgroup of K . It follows that $K = \langle N, M_1, M_2, \dots, M_n \rangle$ is the join of finitely many of its normal nilpotent subgroups and is therefore nilpotent. \square

The Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let G be a periodic locally graded group in which every non-nilpotent subgroup is permutable, and suppose, for a contradiction, that G is not soluble. Let $X = G''$ and note that X has all the properties listed in Lemma 2.1. Since X is locally nilpotent and perfect, it follows that X is infinite. Moreover, if all proper subgroups of X are nilpotent, a celebrated result of Asar [1] implies that X is soluble and this contradiction shows that X has a proper non-nilpotent subgroup, P say, which is necessarily a permutable subgroup of X . Let P_X denote the core of P in X . Lemma 2.1 shows that P_X is soluble and we let d denote its derived length. Clearly P/P_X is a core-free permutable subgroup of X/P_X , and so a result of Stonehewer [4, Theorem C] now implies that P/P_X is residually finite. Let F be a finitely generated subgroup of X ; of course, F is finite. Since P is a permutable subgroup of X it follows that $\langle F, P \rangle = FP$ and so P has finite index in FP . Consequently P/P_X has finite index in FP/P_X , and we deduce from Lemma 2.2 that FP/P_X is residually finite. Let M/P_X be a normal subgroup of finite index in FP/P_X . If M is nilpotent, then FP is nilpotent-by-finite and therefore nilpotent by Lemma 2.3. Since P is non-nilpotent we deduce that M is not nilpotent and so every subgroup of FP containing M is a permutable subgroup of X . Thus FP/M is quasihamiltonian (i.e. all of its subgroups are permutable) and it follows that FP/M is metabelian. Thus FP/P_X is residually metabelian and hence metabelian. In particular FP_X/P_X is metabelian so F is soluble of derived length at most $d + 2$. It follows that X is locally (soluble of derived length at most $d + 2$) and therefore soluble of derived length at most $d + 2$. This contradiction completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. O. Asar, *Locally nilpotent p -groups whose proper subgroups are hypercentral or nilpotent-by-Chernikov*, J. London Math. Soc. **61** (2000), 412–422.
- [2] S. Atlihan, M. R. Dixon, and M. J. Evans, *Locally graded groups with all non-nilpotent subgroups permutable*, J. Algebra **632** (2023), 62–69.
- [3] H. Smith, *Groups with all non-nilpotent subgroups subnormal*, Topics in infinite groups, Quad. Mat., vol. 8, Dept. Math., Seconda Univ. Napoli, Caserta, 2001, pp. 309–326.
- [4] S. E. Stonehewer, *Permutable subgroups of infinite groups*, Math. Z. **125** (1972), 1–16.

(Sevgi Atlihan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF EDUCATION,
GAZI UNIVERSITY, TEKNİKOKKULAR, ANKARA, TURKEY

Email address: `asevgi@gazi.edu.tr`

(Martyn Dixon) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA,
TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487-0350, U.S.A.

Email address: `mdixon@ua.edu`

(Martin Evans) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA,
TUSCALOOSA, AL 35487-0350, U.S.A.

Email address: `mevans@ua.edu`