

A New Determinantal Formula for Three Matrices

Dinesh Khurana and T. Y. Lam

Abstract

For any three $n \times n$ matrices A, B, X over a commutative ring S , we prove that $\det(A + B - AXB) = \det(A + B - BXA) \in S$. This apparently new formula may be regarded as a “ternary generalization” of Sylvester’s classical determinantal formula $\det(I_n - AB) = \det(I_n - BA)$ for any pair of $n \times n$ matrices A, B over S . The discovery and proof of this new generalization of Sylvester’s formula were prompted by the authors’ past and present work on the element-wise theory of ring elements of stable range one, in [KL₁] and [KL₂].

§1. Introduction

For any two $n \times n$ matrices A, B over a commutative ring S , it is well known that $\det(I - AB) = \det(I - BA)$. In the standard linear algebra literature, this nice formula is often cited as “Sylvester’s Determinant Identity”, since it was first stated by J. J. Sylvester in 1857 (for square matrices over the complex numbers). In a number of other references, the same formula was sometimes also called the “Weinstein-Aronszajn Determinant Identity”; see, for instance, Tao’s expository survey [Ta], or Penn’s Youtube podcast [Pn]. In our recent work on the relatively new theory of ring elements of stable range one, we discovered that it is actually possible to prove a generalization of Sylvester’s classical formula; namely, if A, B, X are three arbitrary $n \times n$ matrices over a commutative ring S , then

$$(1.1) \quad \det(A + B - AXB) = \det(A + B - BXA) \in S.$$

The detailed proof of this new determinantal identity (with accompanying motivational remarks) will be given in §2. As is to be totally expected, (1.1) is a “ternary generalization” of Sylvester’s classical determinantal identity, since the latter can be easily retrieved from (1.1) by setting $X = I$ (the $n \times n$ identity matrix) and replacing A, B respectively by $I - A$ and $I - B$. (Curiously enough, setting $B = I$ and $X = I + B$ would have also worked.) Some easy variations and applications of the new identity (1.1) will be presented subsequently in §3. While the larger part of this paper was motivated by the authors’ recent work [KL₂] on the theory of ring elements of stable range one, the writing of the present paper is designed to be almost completely independent of that of [KL₂].

Throughout the paper, the notation $\mathbb{M}_n(S)$ will be used to denote the ring of $n \times n$ matrices over a ring S , and the group of invertible $n \times n$ matrices over S will be denoted by $\mathrm{GL}_n(S)$. As is well known, in the case where S is a *commutative* ring, a matrix $A \in \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ belongs to $\mathrm{GL}_n(S)$ if and only if $\det(A)$ is a unit of S . In §2, the notation E_{ij} will be used to denote the (so-called) *matrix units* in the matrix ring $\mathbb{M}_n(S)$. Other standard terminology and conventions in matrix theory and ring theory follow largely those in [Bh], [HJ₁, HJ₂] and [La]. For a thorough survey on the results and historical developments in

the area of determinantal identities for square matrices, we recommend the excellent article [BrS] of Brualdi and Schneider.

§2. A Ternary Determinantal Formula

Before we proceed to the main body of this paper, some words of explanation and motivation should be helpful. In noncommutative ring theory, there is a delightful result, popularly known as **Jacobson’s Lemma**, which states that, for any two elements a, b in a ring R , one has $1 - ab \in U(R)$ if and only if $1 - ba \in U(R)$, where $U(R)$ denotes the group of units in R . (This classical lemma should be best thought of as a purely ring-theoretic result that is “inspired by” Sylvester’s Determinant Identity.) In the authors’ recent work [KL₂] on the theory of ring elements of stable range one, it was discovered that there is a *ternary generalization* of Jacobson’s Lemma (fondly called **Super Jacobson’s Lemma** in [KL₂]) to the effect that, for any three ring elements $a, b, x \in R$, $a + b - axb \in U(R)$ if and only if $a + b - bxa \in U(R)$. Using this new ternary lemma, the authors were able to prove in [KL₂: Theorem 3.1] that an arbitrary ring element has left stable range one if and only if it has right stable range one. While this new left-right symmetry result for ring elements of stable range one does not directly say anything offhand about the determinants of matrices, the authors realized for the first time from Super Jacobson’s Lemma that, for three $n \times n$ matrices A, B, X over a *commutative* ring, $\det(A + B - AXB)$ must be somehow “intimately related” to $\det(A + B - BXA)$. After some nontrivial work with block elementary transformation of matrices, we managed to prove the remarkable determinantal identity (2.2) in the theorem below, as a “ternary generalization” of Sylvester’s classical determinantal identity for two matrices. Surprisingly to us, a quick search through the standard literature in matrix theory and determinant theory (e.g. [Mu], [Bh], [BrS], and [HJ₁, HJ₂]) did not turn up the following result.

Theorem 2.1. *For any $A, B, X \in R = \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ over a commutative ring S , we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \det(A + B - AXB) = \det(A + B - BXA).$$

Consequently, $A + B - AXB \in \text{GL}_n(S)$ if and only if $A + B - BXA \in \text{GL}_n(S)$. However, we may not have $\text{tr}(A + B - AXB) = \text{tr}(A + B - BXA)$. Instead, we have always

$$(2.3) \quad \text{tr}(A + B - AXB) = \text{tr}(A + B - XBA) = \text{tr}(A + B - BAX),$$

while the determinants of the three matrices in (2.3) may be all different.

Proof. The statement about membership in $\text{GL}_n(S)$ follows quickly from (2.2) since a matrix $Y \in R$ is invertible in R iff $\det(Y) \in U(S)$ (the unit group of the ring S). To prove (2.2), let $I = I_n \in R$ and write down the following easy matrix identity (which has appeared before, for instance, in [LN: §4]):

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I & -B \\ I - AX & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ X & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I - BX & -B \\ I & A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we notice that the first and the third block matrices above can be changed by elementary “block column transformations” as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I & -B \\ I - AX & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & B \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ I - AX & A + B - AXB \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{pmatrix} I - BX & -B \\ I & A \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -A \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I - BX & -A - B + BXA \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (2.4), we see that the two left factors on the LHS of (2.5) and (2.6) have the same determinants. Thus, the two matrices on the RHS of (2.5) and (2.6) also have the same determinants. We are done by finally noting that the last two determinants are exactly the LHS and the RHS of (2.2).

To see that (2.2) may not hold (for $n \geq 2$ and $S \neq (0)$) if “determinant” is replaced by “trace”, we take A and X to be respectively the matrix units E_{11} and E_{12} , so that $AX = E_{12}$ and $XA = 0$. Choosing $B = sE_{21}$ with $s \in S \setminus \{0\}$, we have $\text{tr}(BXA) = 0$, while $\text{tr}(AXB) = \text{tr}(sE_{11}) = s \neq 0$. From this, it follows that

$$(2.7) \quad \text{tr}(A + B - AXB) \neq \text{tr}(A + B - BXA)$$

in this case. Nevertheless, the trace equations in (2.3) do always hold, in view of the well known fact that $\text{tr}(YZ) = \text{tr}(ZY)$ for any $Y, Z \in \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ over the *commutative* ring S .

Our final job is to show that the *determinants* of the three matrices $P = A + B - AXB$, $H = A + B - XBA$ and $K = A + B - BAX$ in (2.3) may in fact be all different. This is easily checked by taking, for instance, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x \\ y & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. For these choices, a simple calculation shows that $\det(P) = y - 2$, $\det(H) = x - 2$, and $\det(K) = 2y - 2$, which are, in general, three different elements in the ring S . \square

After giving the above proof for Theorem 2.1, we should point out, with a good dose of hindsight, that a quicker proof for the determinantal identity (2.2) is actually possible. Indeed, as far as proving (2.2) is concerned, we may “assume” that the entries of the matrices A , B and X are independent commuting variables, say over the ring \mathbb{Z} . By doing so, we may replace S by a polynomial ring \hat{S} over \mathbb{Z} in those commuting variables. Over the quotient field of \hat{S} , the “generic matrices” A and B become both *invertible*. Letting $P := A + B - AXB$ and $Q := A + B - BXA$, we see that

$$(2.8) \quad A^{-1}PB^{-1} = A^{-1}(A + B - AXB)B^{-1} = B^{-1} + A^{-1} - X,$$

and similarly, $B^{-1}QA^{-1} = B^{-1}(A + B - BXA)A^{-1} = B^{-1} + A^{-1} - X$. Thus, $A^{-1}PB^{-1} = B^{-1}QA^{-1}$. Taking the determinants on both sides, we may cancel the factors $\det(A^{-1})$ and $\det(B^{-1})$ to conclude that $\det(P) = \det(Q)$, thus proving (2.2).

Recall that, in classical linear algebra, two matrices $M, N \in \mathbb{M}_m(S)$ are said to be *equivalent* if there exist $U, V \in \text{GL}_m(S)$ such that $N = UMV$. If U, V can both be chosen

in $\text{SL}_m(S)$, we will say more precisely that M, N are SL-equivalent. By checking through the proof steps of Theorem 2.1 carefully (reviewing, especially, the three matrix equations (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6)), we can show that, for $P = A + B - AXB$ and $Q = A + B - BXA$ above, the two “suspended matrices” $\text{diag}(P, I_n)$ and $\text{diag}(Q, I_n)$ are SL-equivalent in $\mathbb{M}_{2n}(S)$. In the special case where $A, B \in \text{GL}_n(S)$, the equation $A^{-1}PB^{-1} = B^{-1}QA^{-1}$ in the last paragraph would even show that P and Q themselves are equivalent. However, by working with a specific example, say over the polynomial ring $S = \mathbb{Z}[x]$, with $X = I_2$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$, $B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & x \end{pmatrix}$, one can show that $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 2x - x^2 \end{pmatrix}$ and $Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 2 - 2x \\ 0 & 2x - x^2 \end{pmatrix}$ are *not* equivalent in $\mathbb{M}_2(S)$. Verification of this non-equivalence will be left to the interested reader.

§3. Some More Determinantal Identities, and Examples

Concerning “ternary generalizations” of Sylvester’s Identity, we should point out that other “more obvious” (or perhaps “better looking”) forms of such generalizations may not hold true at all. For instance, given three arbitrary matrices $A, X, B \in R = \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ over a ring S , one may ask if the condition $I - AXB \in \text{GL}_n(S)$ might be equivalent to the condition $I - BXA \in \text{GL}_n(S)$. In the special case where $X = I$, the answer to this question is “yes” according to Jacobson’s Lemma. On the other hand, if $X \neq I$, the following easy example shows that the answer to the above question is, in general, “no”.

Example 3.1. Let $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ over any ring $S \neq \{0\}$.

Here, $I - AXB = I \in \text{GL}_2(S)$ has determinant 1, but $I - BXA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin \text{GL}_2(S)$ has determinant 0. On the other hand, for the same choices of $A, B, X \in \mathbb{M}_2(S)$, both $A + B - AXB = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A + B - BXA = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ have determinant zero, thus reaffirming the truth of Theorem 2.1 in this particular case.

To further illustrate the meaning and significance of the “Super Jacobson’s Lemma” mentioned in §2, we shall state and prove *four* of its interesting “binary specializations” below, in terms of the determinants and traces of square matrices.

Theorem 3.2. *For any $A, X \in R = \mathbb{M}_n(S)$ over a commutative ring S , the following four matrices in R have the same determinants as well as the same traces:*

- (1) $M_1 = I - AX + AXA$. (2) $M_2 = I - XA + AXA$.
(3) $M_3 = I - AX + A^2X$. (4) $M_4 = I - XA + XA^2$.

In particular, in the special case where $n \leq 2$, all four matrices above have the same characteristic polynomials.

Proof. First, $\det(M_1) = \det(M_2)$ follows from the equation (2.2) by taking $B = I - A$. Next, $\det(M_1) = \det(M_4)$ follows from Sylvester’s Identity by writing

$$M_1 = I - A(X - XA), \quad \text{and} \quad M_4 = I - (X - XA)A.$$

Finally, $\det(M_2) = \det(M_3)$ follows from Sylvester's Identity again by writing

$$M_2 = I - (X - AX)A, \quad \text{and} \quad M_3 = I - A(X - AX).$$

As for traces, the trace of $M_1 = I - AX(I - A)$ is equal to the trace of $I - (I - A)AX = M_3$, as well as to the trace of $I - X(I - A)A = M_4$. Finally, the trace of $M_4 = I - XA(I - A)$ is equal to the trace of $I - (I - A)XA = M_2$, so all four matrices M_i have the same traces too. The last conclusion of the theorem now follows trivially from the fact that, in the special cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, the characteristic polynomial of an $n \times n$ matrix M is completely determined by $\text{tr}(M)$ and $\det(M)$. \square

Example 3.3. To run a random check on the conclusions of Theorem 3.2, take the two matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $X = \begin{pmatrix} s & t \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $\mathbb{M}_2(S)$ for any r, s, t in a commutative ring S . A quick calculation shows that the four matrices in Theorem 3.2 are, respectively:

$$M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+t & sr-t \\ t & 1+sr-t \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s+t & 1+sr \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad M_3 = M_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1+sr & rt \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All four matrices have determinant $1 + sr$ and trace $2 + sr$, both of which turned out to be (somehow) independent of the element $t \in S$.

References

- [Bh] R. Bhatia: *Matrix Analysis*. Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. **169**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1996.
- [BrS] R. Brualdi and H. Schneider: *Determinantal identities: Gauss, Schur, Cauchy, Sylvester, Kronecker, Jacobi, Binet, Laplace, Muir, and Cayley*. Lin. Alg. Applic. **52** (1983), 769–791.
- [HJ₁] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson: *Matrix Analysis*. Second Edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2013.
- [HJ₂] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson: *Topics in Matrix Analysis*. 7th paperback edition, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2006.
- [KL₁] D. Khurana and T. Y. Lam: *Rings with internal cancellation* (with an Appendix by R. G. Swan). J. Algebra **284** (2005), 203–235.
- [KL₂] D. Khurana and T. Y. Lam: *Ring elements of stable range one*. Preprint, 2023.
- [La] T. Y. Lam: *A First Course in Noncommutative Rings*. Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Math., Vol. **131**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 2001.
- [LN] T. Y. Lam and P. P. Nielsen: *Inner inverses and inner annihilators in rings*. J. Algebra **397** (2014), 91–110.

- [Mu] T. Muir: *A Treatise on the Theory of Determinants*. Dover Publications; revised and enlarged by W. H. Metzler, Longmans, Green & Co., 1933.
- [Pn] M. Penn: *Weinstein-Aronszajn Identity*.
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=rNfM8M0LAHc>.
- [Ta] T. Tao: *Matrix identities as derivatives of determinant identities*.
<https://terrytao.wordpress.com/tag/sylvester-determinant-identity>.

Department of Mathematics
Panjab University
Chandigarh-160014, India
dkhurana@pu.ac.in

Department of Mathematics
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
lam@math.berkeley.edu