

Polarization Effects in Higher-order Guiding-center Lagrangian Dynamics

Alain J. Brizard[†]

Department of Physics, Saint Michael's College, Colchester, VT 05439, USA

(Received ?; revised ?; accepted ?. - To be entered by editorial office)

The extended guiding-center Lagrangian equations of motion are derived by Lie-transform perturbation method under the assumption of time-dependent and inhomogeneous electric and magnetic fields that satisfy the standard guiding-center space-time orderings. Polarization effects are introduced into the Lagrangian dynamics by the inclusion of the polarization drift velocity in the guiding-center velocity and the appearance of finite-Larmor-radius corrections in the guiding-center Hamiltonian and guiding-center Poisson bracket.

1. Introduction

Polarization effects have a rich history in plasma physics (Pfirsch 1984; Pfirsch & Morrison 1985; Cary & Brizard 2009). Their importance stems from the assumption of quasineutrality in a strongly magnetized plasma and the dielectric properties of a guiding-center plasma (Hinton & Robertson 1984). While these effects are traditionally associated with the presence of an electric field in a magnetized plasma (Itoh & Itoh 1996; Hazeltine & Meiss 2003; Wang & Hahm 2009; Joseph 2021; Brizard 2023a), they are also associated with magnetic drifts (Kaufman 1986; Brizard 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015).

Recently, second-order terms in guiding-center Hamiltonian theory (in the absence of an electric field) were shown to be crucial (Brizard & Hodgeman 2023) in assessing the validity of the guiding-center representation in determining whether guiding-center orbits were numerically faithful to the particle orbits in axisymmetric magnetic geometries, which partially confirmed earlier numerical studies in axisymmetric tokamak plasmas (Belova *et al.* 2003). In particular, it was shown that a second-order correction associated with guiding-center polarization (Kaufman 1986; Brizard 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015) was needed in order to obtain faithful guiding-center orbits.

Indeed, without the inclusion of second-order effects, it was shown that, within a few bounce periods after leaving the same physical point in particle phase space, a first-order guiding-center orbit deviated noticeably from its associated particle orbit, while a second-order guiding-center orbit followed the particle orbit to a high degree of precision (Brizard & Hodgeman 2023). In addition, as initially reported by Belova *et al.* (2003), the guiding-center Hamiltonian formulation is a faithful representation of the particle toroidal angular momentum (Tronko & Brizard 2015; Brizard & Hodgeman 2023), which is an exact particle constant of motion in an axisymmetric magnetic field, only if second-order effects are included.

1.1. Lagrangian dynamics in extended phase space

In the present work, we consider time-dependent and inhomogeneous electric and magnetic fields (which still satisfy the guiding-center space-time orderings $|\nabla|^{-1} \gg \rho_{\text{th}} =$

[†] Email address for correspondence: abrizard@smcvt.edu

v_{th}/Ω and $\partial/\partial t \ll \Omega = eB/mc$) and we assume that the $E \times B$ velocity $\mathbf{u}_E = \mathbf{E} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}/B$ is comparable to the particle's thermal velocity v_{th} . Because of the explicit time dependence of the electromagnetic fields, the Lagrangian charged-particle dynamics takes place in an odd-dimensional space $(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$, where the non-autonomous Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ is a function of the canonical coordinates (\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}) , from which the canonical Hamilton equations $d\mathbf{q}/dt = \partial H/\partial \mathbf{p}$ and $d\mathbf{p}/dt = -\partial H/\partial \mathbf{q}$ are derived, as well as time t , from which we obtain the energy equation $dH/dt = \partial H/\partial t$ (i.e., energy is not conserved).

The use of an extended phase space is a well-known method in classical mechanics (Lanczos 1970) used to deal with a time-dependent Hamiltonian system by transforming it into an autonomous Hamiltonian system in an even-dimensional symplectic setting. Here, the canonical time-energy coordinates (t, w) are included in the extended phase-space coordinates $(\mathbf{q}, t; \mathbf{p}, w)$, where the space-time coordinates (\mathbf{q}, t) are canonically conjugate to the momentum-energy coordinates (\mathbf{p}, w) , with the extended Hamilton equations $dw/ds = \partial \mathcal{H}/\partial w = \partial H/\partial t$ and $dt/ds = -\partial \mathcal{H}/\partial w = 1$, where $\mathcal{H} \equiv H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t) - w$ is the extended Hamiltonian and a particle orbit in extended phase space (parametrized by s) takes place on the energy surface $\mathcal{H} = 0$, i.e., $w = H(\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}, t)$.

Using the dimensional ordering parameter ϵ associated with the renormalized particle mass $m \rightarrow \epsilon m$ (Brizard 1995), instead of the standard ordering $e \rightarrow e/\epsilon$ (Kulsrud 1983; Littlejohn 1983), we begin with the extended phase-space particle Lagrangian one-form

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + \epsilon \mathbf{p}_0 \right) \cdot d\mathbf{x} - w dt \equiv \gamma_0 + \epsilon \gamma_1, \quad (1.1)$$

and the extended particle Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = e\Phi - w + \epsilon |\mathbf{p}_0|^2/2m \equiv \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon \mathcal{H}_1, \quad (1.2)$$

where \mathbf{p}_0 denotes the local particle kinetic momentum at position \mathbf{x} . In the present work, we consider the standard ordering (Kulsrud 1983) for the parallel electric field: $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_\perp + \epsilon E_\parallel \hat{\mathbf{b}}$. In contrast to Madsen (2010) and Frei *et al.* (2020), who used the same mass ordering ($m \rightarrow \epsilon m$), we use extended (eight-dimensional) phase space in Eqs. (1.1)-(1.2), where the energy coordinate w is canonically conjugate to time t (Littlejohn 1981; Cary & Brizard 2009). This extended phase-space formulation yields a simple form for the extended Poisson bracket [see Eq. (4.5)], also adopted (without derivation) by Madsen (2010), which plays an important role in the variational formulation of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (Brizard 2023b).

Here, the electric-field ordering implies that the local particle momentum

$$\mathbf{p}_0 \equiv p_{\parallel 0} \hat{\mathbf{b}}(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{P}_E(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}(J_0, \theta_0; \mathbf{x}, t) \quad (1.3)$$

is decomposed into the gyroangle-independent parallel component $p_{\parallel 0} \equiv \mathbf{p}_0 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ and the $E \times B$ momentum

$$\mathbf{P}_E \equiv \mathbf{E} \times \frac{e\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega} = m \mathbf{u}_E, \quad (1.4)$$

and the gyroangle-dependent perpendicular momentum $\mathbf{q}_{\perp 0} \equiv |\mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}| \hat{\perp}$, respectively, where $J_0 \equiv |\mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}|^2/(2m\Omega)$ represents the lowest-order gyroaction and the gyroangle derivative $\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}/\partial \theta_0 \equiv \mathbf{q}_{\perp 0} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} = -|\mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}| \hat{\rho}$ introduces the rotating orthogonal unit-vector fields $(\hat{\mathbf{b}}, \hat{\perp}, \hat{\rho})$.

1.2. Purpose of the present work

The purpose of the present work is motivated by the need to derive higher-order guiding-center equations that can accurately describe the magnetic confinement of charged par-

ticles in regions with steep gradients (e.g., the pedestal region of advanced tokamak plasmas). For many situations of practical interest, the presence of a strong electric field is associated with strong plasma flows with steep sheared rotation profiles for which second-order effects (including finite-Larmor-radius effects) must be included in a self-consistent guiding-center theory (Hahm 1996; Chang *et al.* 2004; Lanthaler *et al.* 2019; Frei *et al.* 2020).

Guiding-center equations of motion with second-order corrections in the presence of time-independent electric and magnetic fields were derived using Lie-transform perturbation method by Brizard (1995) and Hahm (1996), following the earlier work of Littlejohn (1981). These perturbation methods were also used by Miyato *et al.* (2009), Madsen (2010), and Frei *et al.* (2020), who derived self-consistent guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations that included guiding-center polarization and magnetization effects. Not all second-order effects were included in these models, however, and it is the purpose of the present work to derive a more complete higher-order guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory, with a full representation of guiding-center polarization that can be directly derived by the guiding-center push-forward method (Brizard 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015).

2. Guiding-center Lie-transform Perturbation Analysis

The derivation of the guiding-center equations of motion by Lie-transform perturbation method is based on a phase-space transformation from the (local) particle extended (eight-dimensional) phase-space coordinates $z_0^\alpha = (\mathbf{x}, p_{\parallel 0}; J_0, \theta_0; w_0, t)$, where the energy-time canonical coordinates (w_0, t) are included, to the guiding-center phase-space coordinates $Z^\alpha = (\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel}; J, \theta; W, t)$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots)$:

$$Z^\alpha = z_0^\alpha + \epsilon G_1^\alpha + \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) + \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

and its inverse

$$z_0^\alpha = Z^\alpha - \epsilon G_1^\alpha - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot dG_1^\alpha \right) + \dots. \quad (2.2)$$

In order for the particle time to be invariant under the guiding-center transformation (2.1), we require that $G_n^t \equiv 0$ to all orders $n \geq 1$, i.e., the guiding-center time is identical to the particle time. From these generating vectors fields, the pull-back and push-forward Lie-transform operators $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}} = \exp(\epsilon \mathcal{L}_1) \exp(\epsilon^2 \mathcal{L}_2) \dots$ and $\mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}}^{-1} = \dots \exp(-\epsilon^2 \mathcal{L}_2) \exp(-\epsilon \mathcal{L}_1)$ are constructed in terms of Lie derivatives $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2, \dots)$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots)$. More details about the Lie-transform perturbation method used in guiding-center theory can be found in Littlejohn (1982) (as well as the unpublished UCLA report *Variational Principles for Guiding Center Motion* (PPG-611) written by Littlejohn in 1982), while the notation used here is taken from Tronko & Brizard (2015) and Brizard & Tronko (2016).

We now wish to derive the extended guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian one-form

$$\Gamma_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}}^{-1} \gamma + d\sigma = \Gamma_{0\text{gc}} + \epsilon \Gamma_{1\text{gc}} + \epsilon^2 \Gamma_{2\text{gc}} + \dots, \quad (2.3)$$

where the gauge scalar field $\sigma = \epsilon \sigma_1 + \epsilon^2 \sigma_2 + \dots$ is chosen at each order in order to simplify the transformation, with

$$\Gamma_{1\text{gc}} = \gamma_1 - \iota_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 + d\sigma_1, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\Gamma_{2\text{gc}} \equiv -\iota_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \iota_1 \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\text{gc}1} \right) + d\sigma_2, \quad (2.5)$$

and the extended guiding-center Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}}^{-1} \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{0\text{gc}} + \epsilon \mathcal{H}_{1\text{gc}} + \epsilon^2 \mathcal{H}_{2\text{gc}} + \cdots, \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{1\text{gc}} = \mathcal{H}_1 - \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\mathcal{H}_0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\text{gc}} = -\mathbf{G}_2 \cdot d\mathcal{H}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d(\mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{H}_{1\text{gc}}). \quad (2.8)$$

Here, we use the formulas $\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega} \equiv G_n^\alpha \omega_{\alpha\beta} dZ^\beta$ (for an arbitrary two-form $\boldsymbol{\omega}$) and $\mathbf{G}_n \cdot d\mathcal{K} \equiv G_n^\alpha \partial\mathcal{K}/\partial Z^\alpha$ (for an arbitrary scalar field \mathcal{K}), where the summation rule is used. We note that the guiding-center phase-space transformation considered in the present work will contain all terms associated with first-order space-time derivatives of the electric and magnetic fields, which will require us to consider some terms at third order in ϵ in Eq. (2.3).

In order to construct the extended guiding-center Lagrangian one-form (2.3), we will need to evaluate the contractions $\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots)$ on the zeroth-order two-form:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = d\gamma_0 = \frac{e}{c} \left(\frac{\partial A_j}{\partial x^i} dx^i + \frac{\partial A_j}{\partial t} dt \right) \wedge dx^j - dw \wedge dt, \quad (2.9)$$

so that we obtain the n^{th} -order expression

$$\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \times G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - \left(\frac{e}{c} G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + G_n^w \right) dt, \quad (2.10)$$

where $G_n^{\mathbf{x}}$ and G_n^w denote the spatial and energy components of the n th-order generating vector field \mathbf{G}_n . Similarly, we will need to evaluate the contractions $\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_1$ generated by the vector fields $(\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2, \dots)$ on the first-order two-form $\boldsymbol{\omega}_1 = d\gamma_1$, which yields the $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$ -order expression

$$\iota_n \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_1 \equiv D_n(\mathbf{p}_0) \cdot d\mathbf{X} - G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{p}_0}{\partial t} dt + \hat{\mathbf{b}} dp_{\parallel 0} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}}{\partial J_0} dJ_0 + \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp 0}}{\partial \theta_0} d\theta_0 \right), \quad (2.11)$$

where \mathbf{p}_0 is defined in Eq. (1.3) and the spatial components are expressed in terms of the operator (Tronko & Brizard 2015)

$$D_n(\mathbf{C}) \equiv \left(G_n^{p_{\parallel}} \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial p_{\parallel 0}} + G_n^J \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial J_0} + G_n^\theta \frac{\partial \mathbf{C}}{\partial \theta_0} \right) - G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{C}, \quad (2.12)$$

where \mathbf{C} is an arbitrary vector function on guiding-center phase space. In what follows, unless it is necessary, we will omit writing the subscript 0 on local particle phase-space coordinates (i.e., $p_{\parallel 0}$ is written as p_{\parallel}).

The purpose of the Lie-transform expressions (2.3) and (2.6) is to construct a gyroangle-independent extended guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian one-form Γ_{gc} and extended guiding-center Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{gc} in terms of which guiding-center equations are derived. The generic forms considered for the extended guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian one-form (2.3) and the extended guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.6) in the present work are

$$\Gamma_{\text{gc}} \equiv \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - W dt + \epsilon \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} \cdot d\mathbf{X} + \epsilon^2 J (d\theta - \mathbf{R} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - S dt), \quad (2.13)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \equiv e\Phi + \epsilon K_{\text{gc}} - W, \quad (2.14)$$

where the gyroangle-independent guiding-center kinetic momentum \mathbf{P}_{gc} and guiding-center kinetic energy K_{gc} are expressed as asymptotic series in powers of ϵ , while the

vector field $\mathbf{R} \equiv \nabla \hat{\perp} \cdot \hat{\rho}$ and the scalar field $\mathcal{S} \equiv (\partial \hat{\perp} / \partial t) \cdot \hat{\rho}$ are required to preserve gyrogauging invariance. See App. A of the recent paper by Brizard (2023b) for an updated discussion on gyrogauging invariance introduced by Littlejohn (1981, 1983, 1988). We note that the separation of the guiding-center transformations of the extended guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian one-form (2.3) and the extended guiding-center Hamiltonian (2.6) might enable the application of computer algorithms previously used by Burby *et al.* (2013), but this consideration falls outside the scope of the present work.

3. Symplectic Polarization Guiding-center Theory

In the present work, we will consider the *symplectic* polarization guiding-center theory, where the guiding-center kinetic momentum \mathbf{P}_{gc} retains the contribution from the $E \times B$ momentum (1.4), which will then introduce the polarization drift velocity explicitly in the guiding-center equations of motion.

In the alternate *Hamiltonian* polarization guiding-center theory, on the other hand, the $E \times B$ momentum (1.4) is removed from the guiding-center kinetic momentum and polarization effects enter solely through the guiding-center Hamiltonian. The Hamiltonian case generally requires a different ordering for the electric field (because it produces a polarization displacement that must be compared with the characteristic lowest-order particle gyroradius) and will be considered in a future publication. This dual representation is analogous to the treatment of the perturbed magnetic field in nonlinear gyrokinetic theory (Brizard & Hahm 2007).

The reader interested in results of the guiding-center Lie-transform perturbation analysis can skip Section 3 and go to Section 4, where we present the extended guiding-center Hamiltonian structure as well as the (regular) guiding-center Lagrangian, from which guiding-center polarization and magnetization can be derived by functional derivatives with respect to the electric field \mathbf{E} and magnetic field \mathbf{B} , respectively.

3.1. First-order perturbation analysis

3.1.1. First-order symplectic structure

We begin our perturbation analysis by considering the first-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (2.4), which is now explicitly written in the *symplectic* polarization representation as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{1\text{gc}} &= \left(P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{E}} + \mathbf{q}_{\perp} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{X} - \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \times G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot d\mathbf{X} + \left(\frac{e}{c} G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + G_1^w \right) dt \\ &= \left(P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{E}} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{X} \equiv \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot d\mathbf{X}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where the first-order gauge scalar field σ_1 is not needed and the gyroangle-dependent terms on the right of Eq. (3.1) are eliminated by selecting

$$G_1^{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{q}_{\perp} \times \frac{c \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{eB} = \frac{1}{m\Omega} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{\partial \theta} \equiv -\boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$G_1^w = -\frac{e}{c} G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} = \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \quad (3.3)$$

where the gyroangle-dependent vector $\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ represents the lowest-order particle gyroradius.

With $G_1^{\mathbf{x}}$ defined by Eq. (3.2), the resulting first-order guiding-center phase-space La-

grangian $\Gamma_{1\text{gc}} = \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot d\mathbf{X}$ yields the $(n+1)$ th-order contraction

$$\iota_n \cdot \omega_{\text{gc}1} \equiv D_n(\mathbf{P}_0) \cdot d\mathbf{X} - G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(\widehat{\mathbf{b}} dP_{\parallel} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_0}{\partial t} dt \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where, using the operator (2.12), the spatial components in Eq. (3.4) are

$$D_n(\mathbf{P}_0) = G_n^{P_{\parallel}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} - G_n^{\mathbf{x}} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0, \quad (3.5)$$

which contain gyroangle dependent and independent contributions.

3.1.2. First-order Hamiltonian

The first-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is determined from Eq. (2.7) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{1\text{gc}} &= \mu B + \frac{|\mathbf{P}_0|^2}{2m} + \mathbf{P}_E \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{m} - e G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \nabla \Phi + G_1^w \\ &= \mu B + \frac{|\mathbf{P}_0|^2}{2m} + \mathbf{P}_E \cdot \frac{\mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{m} - e \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{E}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where the components (3.2)-(3.3) were substituted, and the electric field is defined as $\mathbf{E} = -\nabla \Phi - c^{-1} \partial \mathbf{A} / \partial t$. By using the identity

$$\mathbf{P}_E \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\perp} / m = \mathbf{E} \times \frac{c \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} \cdot \mathbf{q}_{\perp} = e \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{E}, \quad (3.7)$$

the first-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is automatically gyroangle-independent

$$\mathcal{H}_{1\text{gc}} = \mu B + |\mathbf{P}_0|^2 / (2m) = \mu B + P_{\parallel}^2 / (2m) + (m/2) \left| \mathbf{E} \times \widehat{\mathbf{c}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} / B \right|^2, \quad (3.8)$$

which corresponds to the kinetic energy in the frame drifting with the $E \times B$ velocity.

3.2. Second-order perturbation analysis

3.2.1. Second-order symplectic structure

We now proceed with the second-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (2.5), which is explicitly expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{2\text{gc}} &= - \left[\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} + D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) \right] \cdot d\mathbf{X} + \frac{1}{2} G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{\partial J} dJ + \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{\partial \theta} d\theta \right) \\ &\quad + \left(G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_2}{\partial t} + G_2^w + \frac{e}{c} G_2^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \right) dt \\ &\equiv \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \cdot d\mathbf{X} + J \left(d\theta - \mathbf{R} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - S dt \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where the second-order gauge scalar field σ_2 is not needed, and, using the definition (2.12) with $\mathbf{P}_2 \equiv \mathbf{P}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{q}_{\perp}$, we find

$$D_1(\mathbf{P}_2) = D_1(\mathbf{P}_0) + \frac{1}{2} \left(g_1^J \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{\partial J} + g_1^{\theta} \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_{\perp}}{\partial \theta} \right) + J \left[\mathbf{R} - \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau + \alpha_1 \right) \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right], \quad (3.10)$$

where $g_1^J \equiv G_1^J - J \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B$ and $g_1^{\theta} \equiv G_1^{\theta} + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R}$, while $\tau \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}}$ and $\alpha_1 \equiv \mathbf{a}_1 : \nabla \widehat{\mathbf{b}}$ is defined in terms of the gyroangle-dependent dyadic tensor $\mathbf{a}_1 \equiv -\frac{1}{2} (\widehat{\perp} \widehat{\rho} + \widehat{\rho} \widehat{\perp})$ (Tronko & Brizard 2015). The first-order guiding-center symplectic momentum $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1$ in Eq. (3.9), which is assumed to be gyroangle-independent, will be determined based on the consistency of the Lie-transform perturbation analysis at the third order (see Sec. 3.3) as well as the guiding-center push-forward derivation of the guiding-center polarization in the absence of a background electric field (Brizard 2013; Tronko & Brizard 2015).

Substituting these expressions into Eq. (3.9), we obtain the vector equation

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_1 = -\frac{e}{c}\mathbf{B} \times G_2^{\mathbf{x}} - g_1^{p\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \times \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 - \frac{1}{2} \left(g_1^J \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_\perp}{\partial J} + g_1^\theta \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_\perp}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad (3.11)$$

where $g_1^{p\parallel} \equiv G_1^{p\parallel} - J \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau + \alpha_1 \right)$, while we choose the second-order energy component

$$G_2^w = \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_0}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}}, \quad (3.12)$$

where we used

$$G_1^{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_2}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_2}{\partial t} = -\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_0}{\partial t} - J \mathcal{S}. \quad (3.13)$$

Next, from the parallel component of Eq. (3.11), we obtain the first-order component

$$G_1^{p\parallel} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 + J \left(\frac{1}{2} \tau + \alpha_1 \right) - \Pi_{1\parallel}, \quad (3.14)$$

where $\Pi_{1\parallel} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{\Pi}_1$ is the parallel component of the first-order symplectic momentum $\mathbf{\Pi}_1$. From the perpendicular components of Eq. (3.11), on the other hand, we find

$$G_2^{\mathbf{x}} = G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 \right) \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \left(g_1^J \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} + g_1^\theta \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \right) - \mathbf{\Pi}_1 \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega}, \quad (3.15)$$

where $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot G_2^{\mathbf{x}}$. The interpretation of the first-order guiding-center symplectic momentum $\mathbf{\Pi}_1$ will be given in Sec. 3.3.2.

3.2.2. Second-order Hamiltonian

The second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is determined from Eq. (2.8) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2\text{gc}} = e \mathbf{E} \cdot \left(G_2^{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right) + \frac{e}{2} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - \frac{P_\parallel}{m} G_1^{p\parallel} - \Omega G_1^J \\ + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \left(\mu \nabla B + \nabla \mathbf{u}_E \cdot m \mathbf{u}_E + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_0}{\partial t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $G_1^{p\parallel}$ is given by Eq. (3.14).

Next, we introduce the particle gyroradius

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} \equiv \mathbf{x} - \mathsf{T}_{\text{gc}} \mathbf{X} = \epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 - \epsilon^2 \left(G_2^{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \right) + \dots = \epsilon \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \epsilon^2 \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 + \dots, \quad (3.17)$$

which is defined as the difference between the particle position \mathbf{x} and the pull-back $\mathsf{T}_{\text{gc}} \mathbf{X}$ of the guiding-center position \mathbf{X} , where $\boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \equiv -G_2^{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\boldsymbol{\rho}_0$ is the first-order particle gyroradius, where

$$\mathbf{G}_1 \cdot d\boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = g_1^J \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} + g_1^\theta \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} + \frac{J}{m\Omega} \left(\nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - 4\alpha_2 \right) \hat{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (3.18)$$

Hence, using Eqs. (3.15) and (3.18), we obtain the first-order particle gyroradius vector

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 = \mathbf{\Pi}_1 \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} - \left[G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} - \frac{J}{m\Omega} \left(\frac{1}{2} \nabla \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}} - 2\alpha_2 \right) \right] \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ + \left(\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \nabla \ln B - \frac{\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 \right) \boldsymbol{\rho}_0, \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

where $4\alpha_2 \equiv -\partial\alpha_1/\partial\theta$.

We now note that the gyroangle-dependent part $\tilde{G}_1^J \equiv G_1^J - \langle G_1^J \rangle$ can be defined such that the right side of Eq. (3.16) only contains terms that are gyroangle-independent. Hence, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega \tilde{G}_1^J = & -\frac{p_{\parallel}}{m} \tilde{G}_1^{p_{\parallel}} - e \tilde{\boldsymbol{\rho}}_1 \cdot \mathbf{E} - \frac{2J}{m\Omega} e \mathbf{a}_2 : \nabla \mathbf{E} \\ & + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \left(\mu \nabla B + \nabla \mathbf{P}_E \cdot \mathbf{u}_E + \frac{\partial \mathbf{P}_0}{\partial t} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_2 \equiv \frac{1}{4} (\hat{\perp} \hat{\perp} - \hat{\rho} \hat{\rho}) = -\frac{1}{4} \partial \mathbf{a}_1 / \partial \theta$ and the gyroangle-dependent part of Eq. (3.14) is

$$\tilde{G}_1^{p_{\parallel}} \equiv G_1^{p_{\parallel}} - \langle G_1^{p_{\parallel}} \rangle = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 + J \alpha_1. \quad (3.21)$$

The second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian is, thus, defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{2\text{gc}} = & \frac{P_{\parallel}}{m} \left(\Pi_{1\parallel} - \frac{1}{2} J \tau \right) - \Omega \langle G_1^J \rangle + \frac{Jc}{2B} (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}}) : \nabla \mathbf{E} - \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle \cdot e \mathbf{E} \\ = & \frac{P_{\parallel}}{m} \left(\Pi_{1\parallel} - \frac{1}{2} J \tau \right) - \Omega \langle G_1^J \rangle + \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{e}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \mathbf{u}_E, \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

where $\langle G_1^{p_{\parallel}} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} J \tau - \Pi_{1\parallel}$ and the gyroangle-averaged first-order particle gyroradius is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_1 \rangle = & \frac{J}{2m\Omega} \left[(\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \nabla \ln B + (\nabla \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right] + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 \times \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \\ \equiv & -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 - \frac{J}{2} \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \times \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

where $\langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle = (J/m\Omega) (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}})$. Hence, we now need expressions for $\langle G_1^J \rangle$ and $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1$ in order to obtain an explicit expression for the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian (3.22), which are determined at third order in our perturbation analysis.

3.3. Third-order perturbation analysis

The third-order term in the guiding-center phase-space Lagrangian one-form (2.3) is expressed as

$$\Gamma_{3\text{gc}} = -\iota_3 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_0 - \iota_2 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\text{gc}1} + \frac{\iota_1}{3} \cdot \mathbf{d} \left(\iota_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_1 + \frac{\iota_1}{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\text{gc}1} \right) + \mathbf{d}\sigma_3. \quad (3.24)$$

In what follows, the gauge function σ_3 will play an important role in completing the guiding-center phase-space transformation, while the third-order guiding-center Hamiltonian will not be needed in the present guiding-center formulation.

3.3.1. Third-order symplectic structure

The remaining components $(G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}, \langle G_1^J \rangle, G_1^{\theta})$ and the first-order guiding-center momentum $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1$ will now be determined from the momentum components of the third-order guiding-center symplectic one-form (3.24)

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3\mathbf{p}} \equiv & \left[G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial p_{\parallel}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{\partial \sigma_3}{\partial p_{\parallel}} \right] dp_{\parallel} + \left[\frac{2}{3} G_1^{\theta} + \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial J} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{\partial \sigma_3}{\partial J} \right] dJ \\ & + \left[-\frac{2}{3} G_1^J + \frac{\partial D_1(\mathbf{P}_3)}{\partial \theta} \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 + \frac{\partial \sigma_3}{\partial \theta} \right] d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where $\mathbf{P}_3 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{P}_0 + \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{q}_\perp$, so that

$$D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) = \frac{1}{2} G_1^{p_\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{1}{3} \left(G_1^J \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_\perp}{\partial J} + G_1^\theta \frac{\partial \mathbf{q}_\perp}{\partial \theta} \right) + \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \times \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_3. \quad (3.26)$$

Since $\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 / \partial p_\parallel = 0$, the p_\parallel -component of Eq. (3.25) suggests that we define the new gauge function

$$\bar{\sigma}_3 \equiv \sigma_3 + D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) \cdot \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 = \sigma_3 - \frac{2}{3} J G_1^\theta, \quad (3.27)$$

where the last expression follows from Eq. (3.26). Using the new gauge function (3.27), the momentum components (3.25), therefore, become

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{3\mathbf{P}} = & \left(G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} + \frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_3}{\partial p_\parallel} \right) dp_\parallel + \left(G_1^\theta + \frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_3}{\partial J} \right) dJ \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_3}{\partial \theta} - G_1^J + \frac{J \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 \right) d\theta, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

where, using Eq. (3.26), we introduced the identities

$$\begin{aligned} D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial J} & \equiv -\frac{1}{3} G_1^\theta, \\ D_1(\mathbf{P}_3) \cdot \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} & \equiv \frac{1}{3} G_1^J + \frac{2J \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_3, \end{aligned}$$

so that we can also introduce yet another gauge function

$$\bar{\bar{\sigma}}_3 \equiv \bar{\sigma}_3 - \frac{1}{3} \left(2J \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \cdot \mathbf{R} + J \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\rho}_0}{\partial \theta} \cdot \nabla \ln B \right) \quad (3.29)$$

in the θ -component of Eq. (3.25). By requiring that the momentum components (3.28) vanish, we now obtain the definitions

$$G_1^J \equiv -\frac{J \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0 + \frac{\partial \bar{\bar{\sigma}}_3}{\partial \theta}, \quad (3.30)$$

$$G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}} \equiv -\frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_3}{\partial p_\parallel}, \quad (3.31)$$

$$G_1^\theta \equiv -\frac{\partial \bar{\sigma}_3}{\partial J}. \quad (3.32)$$

Hence, the components $G_{2\parallel}^{\mathbf{x}}$ and G_1^θ are determined from the third-order gauge function $\bar{\sigma}_3$, which is determined from Eq. (3.29), while $\bar{\bar{\sigma}}_3$ is determined from the gyroangle-dependent part $\tilde{G}_1^J \equiv \partial \bar{\bar{\sigma}}_3 / \partial \theta$ obtained from Eq. (3.20). Since these gyroangle-dependent components are not needed in what follows, however, they will not be derived here.

3.3.2. Second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian

From Eq. (3.30), we immediately conclude that $\langle G_1^J \rangle$ must be defined as

$$\langle G_1^J \rangle \equiv -\frac{J \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{m\Omega} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{P}_0, \quad (3.33)$$

which was obtained in previous derivations (Brizard 1995; Madsen 2010; Frei *et al.* 2020), so that the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian (3.22) becomes

$$\mathcal{H}_{2\text{gc}} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{e}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle \cdot \mathbf{E} \right) + \left(\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 + \frac{1}{2} J \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{P}_0}{m}, \quad (3.34)$$

where we used the identity

$$\frac{J}{2m\Omega} \left(\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot e \mathbf{E} \equiv \frac{e}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle \cdot \mathbf{E} \equiv \frac{1}{2} J \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \mathbf{u}_E. \quad (3.35)$$

In previous works (Littlejohn 1981; Hahm 1996; Miyato *et al.* 2009; Madsen 2010; Frei *et al.* 2020), the choice for the first-order symplectic momentum $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_1 = -\frac{1}{2} J \tau \widehat{\mathbf{b}}$ was used to eliminate the Baños drift (Baños 1967; Northrop & Rome 1978) from the guiding-center velocity (i.e., $\partial \mathcal{H}_{2gc} / \partial P_{\parallel} = 0$), which is instead added to the definition of the guiding-center parallel momentum (3.14). This choice, therefore, yields the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_{2gc} = (J\widehat{\mathbf{b}}/2) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{u}_E$.

A different choice adopted by Tronko & Brizard (2015) for the first-order symplectic momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{1\text{pol}} \equiv -\frac{1}{2} J \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (3.36)$$

on the other hand, was previously shown to yield an exact Lie-transform perturbation derivation of the standard guiding-center polarization derived by Kaufman (1986) in the absence of an electric field. While this choice still eliminates the Baños drift from the guiding-center velocity, it also yields an expression for the second-order guiding-center Hamiltonian (3.34) that exactly represents the guiding-center finite-Larmor-radius (FLR) correction to the electrostatic potential energy $e\Phi$ (Brizard 2023a):

$$\mathcal{H}_{2gc} = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{e}{2} \langle \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \boldsymbol{\rho}_0 \rangle \cdot \mathbf{E} \right). \quad (3.37)$$

Finally, we note that recent numerical studies of particle and guiding-center orbits in axisymmetric magnetic fields (Brizard & Hodgeman 2023) have shown that guiding-center orbits are faithful (i.e., remain close) to particle orbits only if second-order effects, including the correction (3.36), are included, which confirms earlier results by Belova *et al.* (2003).

4. Guiding-center Hamiltonian Dynamics

In this Section, we summarize the results of the Lie-transform perturbation analysis of the guiding-center Lagrangian dynamics presented in Sec. 3, and we remove the explicit ϵ scaling by restoring the physical mass $\epsilon m \rightarrow m$. Hence, we find the guiding-center phase-space extended one-form

$$\Gamma_{gc} = \left(\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{gc} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{X} + J \left(d\theta - \mathbf{R} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - S dt \right) - W dt, \quad (4.1)$$

where the guiding-center symplectic momentum

$$\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{gc} = P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{P}_E - \frac{J}{2} \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \quad (4.2)$$

includes the higher-order polarization correction (3.36). The extended guiding-center Hamiltonian, on the other hand, is expressed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{gc} = e\Phi + K_{gc} - W, \quad (4.3)$$

where the guiding-center kinetic energy in the drifting frame is

$$K_{gc} = \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} + \frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{u}_E|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{J\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{2} \times \mathbf{u}_E \right), \quad (4.4)$$

which includes the FLR correction (3.37) to the electrostatic potential energy $e\Phi$. We note that the presence of the gyrogauged fields $(\mathcal{S}, \mathbf{R})$ in Eq. (4.1) guarantees gyrogauged invariance of the guiding-center equations of motion derived from them.

4.1. Extended guiding-center Poisson bracket

The extended guiding-center Poisson bracket $\{, \}_{\text{gc}}$ is obtained by, first, constructing an 8×8 matrix out of the components of the extended guiding-center Lagrange two-form $\omega_{\text{gc}} = d\Gamma_{\text{gc}}$ and, then, invert this matrix to obtain the extended guiding-center Poisson matrix, whose components are the fundamental brackets $\{Z^\alpha, Z^\beta\}_{\text{gc}}$. From these components, we obtain the extended guiding-center Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\}_{\text{gc}} = & \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial W} \frac{\partial^* \mathcal{G}}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial^* \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial W} \right) + \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \left(\nabla^* \mathcal{F} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial P_{\parallel}} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \nabla^* \mathcal{G} \right) \\ & - \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{b}}{eB_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \nabla^* \mathcal{F} \times \nabla^* \mathcal{G} + \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial J} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial J} \frac{\partial \mathcal{G}}{\partial \theta} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B}^* = \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} + \nabla \times \mathbf{\Pi}_{\text{gc}} - J \nabla \times \mathbf{R}, \quad (4.6)$$

and the guiding-center Jacobian is $\mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} = (e/c) B_{\parallel}^* \equiv (e/c) \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*$. In addition, we have introduced the definitions

$$\frac{\partial^*}{\partial t} \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathcal{S} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\nabla^* \equiv \nabla + \mathbf{R}^* \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \left(\frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^*}{\partial t} + J \nabla \mathcal{S} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial W}, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\mathbf{R}^* \equiv \mathbf{R} + \frac{1}{2} \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}}$. We note that the Poisson bracket (4.5) can be expressed in divergence form as

$$\{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}\}_{\text{gc}} = \frac{1}{B_{\parallel}^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^\alpha} \left(B_{\parallel}^* \mathcal{F} \{Z^\alpha, \mathcal{G}\}_{\text{gc}} \right), \quad (4.9)$$

and that it automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\left\{ \mathcal{F}, \{ \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K} \}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + \left\{ \mathcal{G}, \{ \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F} \}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + \left\{ \mathcal{K}, \{ \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} = 0 \quad (4.10)$$

since the extended guiding-center Lagrange two-form $\omega_{\text{gc}} = d\Gamma_{\text{gc}}$ is exact (i.e., $d\omega_{\text{gc}} = d^2\Gamma_{\text{gc}} = 0$) provided $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^* = 0$.

Next, we note that the operators (4.7) and (4.8) contain the gyrogauged-invariant combinations $\partial/\partial t + \mathcal{S} \partial/\partial \theta$ and $\nabla + \mathbf{R} \partial/\partial \theta$, while Eqs. (4.6) and (4.8) include the gyrogauged-independent vector fields (Ye & Kaufman 1992; Brizard 2023b)

$$\left(\nabla \times \mathbf{R}, \nabla \mathcal{S} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t} \right) = \left(-\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} b^i \nabla b^j \times \nabla b^k, -\nabla \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial t} \right), \quad (4.11)$$

where ϵ_{ijk} denotes the completely-antisymmetric Levi-Civita tensor.

4.2. Guiding-center Hamilton equations

The guiding-center Hamilton equations $\dot{Z}^\alpha \equiv \{Z^\alpha, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}\}_{\text{gc}}$ include the guiding-center velocity

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \frac{P_{\parallel}}{m} \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} + \mathbf{E}^* \times \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{b}}{B_{\parallel}^*}, \quad (4.12)$$

where $\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} = P_{\parallel}/m$ defines the parallel guiding-center velocity, and the guiding-center parallel force

$$\dot{P}_{\parallel} = e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*}, \quad (4.13)$$

where the modified electric field is represented as

$$e \mathbf{E}^* = e \mathbf{E} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} + J \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial t} - \nabla \mathcal{S} \right), \quad (4.14)$$

and the gyroangle angular velocity

$$\dot{\theta} \equiv \frac{\partial K_{\text{gc}}}{\partial J} + \mathcal{S} + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \mathbf{R}^* = \Omega - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{2} \times \mathbf{u}_{\text{E}} \right) + \mathcal{S} + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \mathbf{R}^*. \quad (4.15)$$

We note that the reduced guiding-center equations of motion (4.12)-(4.13) satisfy the guiding-center Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial B_{\parallel}^*}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot \left(B_{\parallel}^* \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \left(B_{\parallel}^* \dot{P}_{\parallel} \right), \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\nabla \cdot \left(B_{\parallel}^* \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right) = \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^* \cdot c \widehat{\mathbf{b}} - e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{c}{e} \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}} = -\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^*}{\partial t} - e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^*}{\partial P_{\parallel}}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \left(B_{\parallel}^* \dot{P}_{\parallel} \right) = e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^*}{\partial P_{\parallel}} + \mathbf{B}^* \cdot e \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}^*}{\partial P_{\parallel}} = e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}^*}{\partial P_{\parallel}} - \mathbf{B}^* \cdot \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial t},$$

where we made use of the modified Faraday's law $\partial \mathbf{B}^*/\partial t = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}^*$.

4.3. Eulerian variations of the guiding-center Lagrangian

The results of the Lie-transform perturbation analysis carried out in Section 3.3 can also be used to construct the following (regular) guiding-center Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} L_{\text{gc}} &= \left(\frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\text{gc}} - J \mathbf{R} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} + J \dot{\theta} - \left(e \Phi + K_{\text{gc}} + J \mathcal{S} \right) \\ &\equiv (e/c) \mathbf{A}^* \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} + J \dot{\theta} - H_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\text{gc}}$ and K_{gc} are defined in Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4), respectively. The guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations are derived from this Lagrangian as

$$\dot{P}_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} = e \mathbf{E}^* + (e/c) \dot{\mathbf{X}} \times \mathbf{B}^*, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} = P_{\parallel}/m, \quad (4.19)$$

which are associated with virtual displacements $\delta \mathbf{X}$ and δP_{\parallel} , respectively. From these equations, we easily recover the guiding-center Hamilton equations (4.12) and (4.13). Likewise, the guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equation associated with the virtual displacement δJ yields Eq. (4.15), while the virtual displacement $\delta \theta$ yields $\dot{J} = 0$ as a result of Noether's Theorem.

In addition to variations with respect to guiding-center phase-space coordinates, the guiding-center Lagrangian (4.17) can also be varied with respect to the electric and magnetic fields ($\delta \mathbf{E}$, $\delta \mathbf{B}$), which respectively yield expressions for the guiding-center polarization and magnetization (Brizard 2008). Here, the Eulerian variation of the guiding-center

Lagrangian (4.17) is expressed as (Brizard 2023b)

$$\begin{aligned} \delta L_{\text{gc}} &\equiv \left(\frac{e}{c} \delta \mathbf{A} + \delta \boldsymbol{\Pi}_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} - \left(e \delta \Phi + \delta K_{\text{gc}} \right) - J \left(\delta \mathcal{S} + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{R} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{e}{c} \delta \mathbf{A} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} - e \delta \Phi \right) + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{E} + \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{\mathbf{P}_0}{mc} \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} + (\text{FLR}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where the FLR corrections, which are ignored in Eq. (4.20), are calculated to first order in a recent paper (Brizard 2023b). Here, the guiding-center electric dipole moment $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}}$ is defined as (Pfirsch 1984; Pfirsch & Morrison 1985)

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \frac{e \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega} \times \left(\dot{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{u}_{\text{E}} \right), \quad (4.21)$$

while the guiding-center magnetic dipole moment $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times \mathbf{P}_0/(mc)$ is defined as the sum of the intrinsic magnetic dipole moment

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mu \left(-\hat{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{1}{\Omega} \frac{d\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{dt} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right), \quad (4.22)$$

which includes the gyro-gauge correction associated with $\delta \mathcal{S} + \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{R}$ (Brizard 2023b), and the moving electric-dipole contribution (Jackson 1975), expressed in terms of the lowest-order guiding-center momentum $\mathbf{P}_0 = P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{E}}$. By ignoring these FLR corrections, the guiding-center polarization and magnetization are defined as moments of the guiding-center electric and magnetic dipole moments

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (4.23)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{\mathbf{P}_0}{mc} \right), \quad (4.24)$$

where the guiding-center phase-space density $F_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} F$ includes the guiding-center Jacobian \mathcal{J}_{gc} and the notation \int_P includes an integral over guiding-center momentum space as well as a sum over particle species.

Finally, we note that, in the absence of an electric field, the classical expression (Kaufman 1986) for the guiding-center electric dipole moment $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} = (e \hat{\mathbf{b}} / \Omega) \times \dot{\mathbf{X}}$ is derived by Lie-transform perturbation method (Tronko & Brizard 2015) only if the first-order polarization correction (3.36) is used.

5. Summary

In the present work, a set of higher-order guiding-center Hamilton equations was derived by Lie-transform perturbation method for the case of time-dependent electric and magnetic fields that satisfy the standard guiding-center space-time orderings. The second-order corrections in the guiding-center Hamiltonian represented finite-Larmor-radius corrections of the lowest-order electrostatic potential energy $e \Phi$. Additional second-order corrections in the guiding-center Lagrangian (4.17) included gyro-gauge-invariance contributions to the guiding-center Hamiltonian and Poisson bracket as well as corrections leading to the correct guiding-center polarization.

When we turn our attention to the self-consistent interactions of the charged-particle guiding-centers and the electromagnetic fields associated with plasma confinement, we need to derive a set of higher-order guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations. Work presented elsewhere (Brizard 2023b) considered the variational formulation of the higher-

order guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations derived from the guiding-center Lagrangian (4.17) and the Maxwell Lagrangian density.

According to this variational principle, using the guiding-center Liouville equation (4.16), the guiding-center Vlasov equation for the guiding-center phase-space density $F_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} F$ is written in divergence form as

$$\frac{\partial F_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\dot{\mathbf{X}} F_{\text{gc}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \left(\dot{P}_{\parallel} F_{\text{gc}} \right) = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

while the Maxwell equations with particle sources are expressed as

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = 4\pi \varrho \equiv 4\pi \left(\varrho_{\text{gc}} - \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}} \right), \quad (5.2)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} = \frac{4\pi}{c} \mathbf{J} \equiv \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} + c \nabla \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{gc}} \right), \quad (5.3)$$

where the guiding-center charge and current densities are $\varrho_{\text{gc}} = \int_P e F_{\text{gc}}$ and $\mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P e \dot{\mathbf{X}} F_{\text{gc}}$. Here, the guiding-center polarization charge density $\varrho_{\text{pol}} \equiv -\nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}}$ and current density $\mathbf{J}_{\text{pol}} \equiv \partial \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}} / \partial t$ are derived from the guiding-center polarization (4.23), while the guiding-center magnetization current density $\mathbf{J}_{\text{mag}} \equiv c \nabla \times \mathcal{M}_{\text{gc}}$ is derived from the guiding-center magnetization (4.24). The remaining source-free Maxwell equations are Faraday's law $\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ and Gauss's law $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$. We note that the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell variational principle also guarantees the existence of exact energy-momentum conservation laws, derived by the Noether method (Brizard 2008). Our recent work (Brizard 2023b) has considered the set of higher-order guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations obtained by explicitly imposing the quasineutrality constraint $\varrho_{\text{gc}} = \nabla \cdot \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}}$.

Future work will explore the Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations, when guiding-center polarization and magnetization are included, which will generalize our previous work (Brizard 2021), and its development is motivated by the desire to construct structure-preserving numerical algorithms (Morrison 2017) using an important set of reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations.

Acknowledgments

The Author wishes to thank the referees for their insightful comments, which led to the final manuscript. The Author would also like to dedicate this paper to the memory of Allan N. Kaufman (1927-2022), whose pioneering efforts in introducing Lie-transform perturbation methods in plasma physics (Kaufman 1978) led to the landmark papers by Cary (1981) and Cary & Littlejohn (1983), culminating with the review papers by Brizard & Hahm (2007) and Cary & Brizard (2009).

Funding

The present work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant PHY-2206302.

Declaration of Interests

The Author reports no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

BAÑOS, A. 1967 The guiding centre approximation in lowest order. *J. Plasma Phys.* **1** (3), 305.

- BELOVA, E. V., GORELENKOV, N. N. & CHENG, C. Z. 2003 Self-consistent equilibrium model of low aspect-ratio toroidal plasma with energetic beam ions. *Phys. Plasmas* **10**, 3240.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 1995 Nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation for toroidally rotating axisymmetric tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas* **2**, 459.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2008 On the dynamical reduction of the Vlasov equation. *Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim.* **13**, 24–33.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2013 Beyond linear gyrocenter polarization in gyrokinetic theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 092309.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2021 Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov–Maxwell equations. *Phys. Plasmas* **28**, 102303.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2023a Particle and guiding-center orbits in crossed electric and magnetic fields. *Phys. Plasmas* **30**, 042113.
- BRIZARD, A. J. 2023b Variational formulation of higher-order guiding-center Vlasov–Maxwell theory. *Phys. Plasmas* **30**, 102106.
- BRIZARD, A. J. & HAHM, T.-S. 2007 Foundations of nonlinear gyrokinetic theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **79**, 421–468.
- BRIZARD, A. J. & HODGEMAN, B. C. 2023 Faithful guiding-center orbits in an axisymmetric magnetic field. *Phys. Plasmas* **30**, 042115.
- BRIZARD, A. J. & TRONKO, N. 2016 Equivalent higher-order guiding-center Hamiltonian theories. *arXiv:1606.06534* .
- BURBY, J. W., SQUIRE, J. & QIN, H. 2013 Automation of the guiding center expansion. *Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 072105.
- CARY, J. R. 1981 Lie transform perturbation theory for hamiltonian systems. *Phys. Rep.* **79**, 129.
- CARY, J. R. & BRIZARD, A. J. 2009 Hamiltonian theory of guiding-center motion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **81**, 693.
- CARY, J. R. & LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1983 Noncanonical Hamiltonian mechanics and its application to magnetic field line flow. *Annals Phys.* **151**, 1.
- CHANG, C. S., KU, S. & WEITZNER, H. 2004 Numerical study of neoclassical plasma pedestal in a tokamak geometry. *Phys. Plasmas* **11**, 2649.
- FREI, B. J., JORGE, R. & RICCI, P. 2020 A gyrokinetic model for the plasma periphery of tokamak devices. *J. Plasma Phys.* **86**, 905860205.
- HAHM, T.-S. 1996 Nonlinear gyrokinetic equations for turbulence in core transport barriers. *Phys. Plasmas* **3** (12), 4658.
- HAZELTINE, R. D. & MEISS, J. D. 2003 *Plasma Confinement*. Courier Corporation.
- HINTON, F. L. & ROBERTSON, J. A. 1984 Neoclassical dielectric property of a tokamak plasma. *Phys. Fluids* **27**, 1243.
- ITOH, K. & ITOH, S.-I. 1996 The role of the electric field in confinement. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **38**, 1.
- JACKSON, J. D. 1975 *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd edn. Wiley, New York.
- JOSEPH, I. 2021 Guiding-center and gyrokinetic orbit theory for large electric field gradients and strong shear flows. *Phys. Plasmas* **28**, 042102.
- KAUFMAN, A. N. 1978 The Lie transform: A new approach to classical perturbation theory. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **46**, 286.
- KAUFMAN, A. N. 1986 The electric dipole of a guiding center and the plasma momentum density. *Phys. Fluids* **29**, 1736.
- KULSRUD, R. M. 1983 MHD description of plasma. *Handbook of Plasma Physics* **1**, 115.
- LANCZOS, C. 1970 *The variational principles of mechanics*, 4th ed. University of Toronto Press.
- LANTHALER, S., GRAVES, J. P., PFEFFERLÉ, D. & COPPER, W. A. 2019 Guiding-center theory for kinetic-magnetohydrodynamic modes in strongly flowing plasmas. *Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **61**, 074006.
- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1981 A Hamiltonian formulation of guiding center motion. *Phys. Fluids* **24**, 1730.
- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1982 Hamiltonian perturbation theory in noncanonical coordinates. *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 742.

- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1983 Variational principles of guiding centre motion. *J. Plasma Phys.* **29**, 111.
- LITTLEJOHN, R. G. 1988 Phase anholonomy in the classical adiabatic motion of charged particles. *Phys. Rev. A* **38**, 6034.
- MADSEN, J. 2010 Second order guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 082107.
- MIYATO, N., SCOTT, B. D., STRINTZI, D. & TOKUDA, S. 2009 A modification of the guiding-centre fundamental 1-form with strong E-cross-B flow. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **78**, 104501.
- MORRISON, P. J. 2017 Structure and structure-preserving algorithms in plasma physics. *Phys. Plasmas* **24**, 055502.
- NORTHROP, T. G. & ROME, J. A. 1978 Extensions of guiding center motion to higher order. *Phys. Fluids* **21** (3), 384.
- PFIRSCH, D. 1984 New variational formulation of Maxwell-Vlasov and guiding center theories local charge and energy conservation laws. *Zeitschrift Naturforschung Teil A* **39**, 1.
- PFIRSCH, D. & MORRISON, P. J. 1985 Local conservation laws for the Maxwell-Vlasov and collisionless kinetic guiding-center theories. *Phys. Rev. A* **32**, 1714.
- TRONKO, N. & BRIZARD, A. J. 2015 Lagrangian and Hamiltonian constraints for guiding-center Hamiltonian theories. *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 112507.
- WANG, L. & HAHM, T.-S. 2009 Generalized expression for polarization density. *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 062309.
- YE, H. & KAUFMAN, A. N. 1992 Self-consistent theory for ion gyroresonance. *Phys. Fluids B* **4**, 1735.