

BALANCED FACE DATASET: GUIDING STYLEGAN TO GENERATE LABELED SYNTHETIC FACE IMAGE DATASET FOR UNDERREPRESENTED GROUP

Kidist Amde Mekonnen

AIMS-AMMI, Rwanda
kmekonnen@aimsammi.org | kidistamdie@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

For a machine learning model to generalize effectively to unseen data within a particular problem domain, it is well-understood that the data needs to be of sufficient size and representative of real-world scenarios. Nonetheless, real-world datasets frequently have overrepresented and underrepresented groups. One solution to mitigate bias in machine learning is to leverage a diverse and representative dataset. Training a model on a dataset that covers all demographics is crucial to reducing bias in machine learning. However, collecting and labeling large-scale datasets has been challenging, prompting the use of synthetic data generation and active labeling to decrease the costs of manual labeling. The focus of this study was to generate a robust face image dataset using the StyleGAN model. In order to achieve a balanced distribution of the dataset among different demographic groups, a synthetic dataset was created by controlling the generation process of StyleGAN and annotated for different downstream tasks.

The dataset will be released on Github.

Index Terms— StyleGAN, Fairness, Representation, Representation Bias, Imbalance Dataset, Generative Adversarial Networks, Synthetic images.

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep learning has proven to be a successful approach in several machine learning domains, such as Computer vision, Natural language processing, and Speech processing.[1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. However, one of the limitations of deep learning is that it requires a lot of data and is often labeled datasets[6, 7, 8, 9, 10].

Advancement in high-performance hardware has made it feasible to train large deep-learning models. These models generally consist of a large number of trainable parameters and require a vast dataset for their training. In the domain of facial images, the large-scale datasets currently employed are frequently gathered without considering the demographic

distribution, leading to biased data. The training dataset used to train these large models is often lacking in transparency and careful discretion, resulting in a lack of geodiversity that inadvertently produces data resulting in gender, ethnic, and cultural biases. When we disregard how data is collected, processed, and organized, it leads to uneven distribution and bias in the dataset, ultimately causing a biased model when trained on the dataset[11, 12, 13, 14, 15].

Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) based models have been used to generate synthetic datasets[16, 17]. GANs [18] are deep generative models that have two networks namely a generator, and a discriminator which compete with one against the other. The task of the generator network is to generate synthetic data that imitate the real data to trick the discriminator and the role of the discriminator network is to distinguish the fake image from the real one. However, GANs are limited to small dataset sizes, with low-resolution image generation. Due to this generating a high-resolution image has been a challenging task. Controlling the attributes of the generated image also poses another challenge to image generation.

StyleGAN[19] is an extension to the GAN architecture that advances the generator model. StyleGAN tackled the traditional GAN model challenges by building Style based GAN architectures to generate high-quality images and disentangling the latent factor of variation. StyleGAN has also been trained on the Flickr-Faces-HQ dataset and it has shown that it can generate high-quality (1024 x 1024) realistic synthetic human face images. Disentangling the latent factor of variation in StyleGAN has enabled the guided generation of images.

This research aims to investigate how the distribution of datasets across different demographic groups impacts the model bias. Specifically, we seek to answer the following research questions:

- How does an uneven distribution of datasets across different demographic groups contribute to model bias?
- What are the cost-effective ways to create an evenly distributed dataset across different demographic groups?
- Can generating synthetic datasets help reduce the bi-

The project was completed with the guidance and mentorship of Prof. Kostas Daniilidis, and the author expresses gratitude for the necessary resources and support provided by the professor.

ases of ML models?

To attain the research objectives, a novel approach known as "demographic-based dataset balancing" is proposed, which aims to balance the dataset across different demographic groups to ensure equal representation.

The ultimate goal of this research is to shed light on the significance of a balanced dataset in mitigating model bias and to develop feasible solutions that can be implemented in practical applications.

In this work, the images have been generated by guiding StyleGAN to generate faces where the face images are uniformly distributed across different demographic groups.

This paper makes the following important contributions:

- Highlighting and demonstrating the uneven distribution of StyleGAN's face image synthesis, which can lead to fairness concerns by generating biased synthetic data.
- Proposes a strategy to address the issue of uneven generation of data samples from different groups by guiding styleGAN in image synthesis.
- Generation of a high-quality and large-scale face image dataset using StyleGAN. The dataset is evenly distributed across different demographic groups, making it a valuable resource for researchers in the field of computer vision.
- Annotating the generated dataset for several downstream tasks, such as eye state, smile, and demographic groups. This annotated dataset can be used to improve fairness and accuracy in facial recognition systems, as well as help researchers working on computer vision tasks such as emotion recognition and facial expression analysis.

The paper starts with a brief introduction to the work. The subsequent sections are organized as follows: In section two, the study of previous works on image generation, active labeling, and reducing bias in machine learning is presented. Section three outlines the research methodology employed. The experimental results are showcased in section four. Furthermore, sections five and six dedicated discussions on future work and the conclusion of the study, respectively.

2. RELATED WORK

Obtaining extensive labeled datasets to be used for the training of machine learning models has posed a difficulty. To address this issue, active labeling has been used to automatically label collected images. However, collecting the images themselves remains a challenge. Another approach that has been proposed is to use Generative Adversarial Networks to generate synthetic images, which can then be labeled using active labeling techniques [20].

GANs are deep neural networks that can be trained in adversarial settings and have been used to generate realistic images[18]. However, it has been shown that in the original implementation of GANs it is hard to control the generation of images. Conditional GANs are introduced to bias the GANs to generate a specific class[21], but training these models to generate high-resolution images poses another challenge. StyleGAN[19] is a generative model, which enables unsupervised separation of high-level attributes of generated images and intuitive control over the synthesis of images.

Often, face image datasets exhibit an overrepresentation of some groups and an underrepresentation of others. Failure to pay adequate attention to data collection, processing, and organization can result in a lack of geodiversity, leading to biased data that perpetuates gender, ethnic, and cultural biases. Ultimately, biased training data is the primary cause of bias in AI systems[12, 22, 23, 24]. When training GANs using these biased datasets, the trained model also will be biased[25]. One solution that has been proposed to deal with bias in GANs was controlled manipulation of specific image characteristics[26]. Here a vector pointing in the direction of the specific attributes is used to guide the generation of the images. This work overlaps with our method in the way of using a vector to guide the generation of images by GANs. The other solution proposed was auxiliary classifier GAN which strives for demographic parity or equality of opportunity[27].

The proposed solution enables the generation of uniform distribution of faces across various demographics. The generator model was trained to learn to generate a fairer dataset in the original input feature space. Another paper [28] discusses the fairness concerns of Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) and shows that GANs models may inherently prefer certain groups during the training process, which can lead to representation bias during the testing phase. The authors propose two solutions to address fairness concerns in generative adversarial networks (GANs). The first solution is using Conditional GANs as a solution to address the fairness concerns of GANs. This involves conditioning the generator and discriminator on the group label in addition to the class label. By doing so, the generator can generate samples that are homogeneously distributed across different groups during the testing phase. However, this solution needs to be explored further as biases may shift to subgroups. The second solution is an ensemble learning approach, where multiple generators are trained and combined to generate data with an equal representation rate of different groups. Their paper focused on addressing fairness concerns in GANs while this work focused on dataset creation.

(Kärkkäinen et al., 2019)[15] addresses the issue of racial bias in existing public face datasets, which are predominantly biased toward Caucasian faces, leading to inconsistent model accuracy and adversely affecting research findings. These authors proposed a solution to mitigate demographic bias in existing public face datasets by constructing a novel face image

dataset with a balanced race composition. The dataset contains over 100,000 images with a balanced race composition. Unlike this work, the dataset was created by collecting images from the YFCC-100M Flickr dataset and labeling them with race, gender, and age groups.

In their work, Qi and colleagues [29] introduced a regularization technique for mode seeking, aimed at mitigating the mode collapse issue in CGANs. Nevertheless, their method does not align with the objective of generating fair data from distinct groups since it does not guarantee diversity in the generated samples. This means that even a varied set of samples may still lack representation from underrepresented groups.

Xu et al. [30] developed a GAN architecture called FairGAN that generates data that is considered "fair" based on statistical parity. Their method aims to remove any sensitive attribute information from the generated data while preserving as much information as possible. They incorporated an additional discriminator that differentiates whether the generated samples are from the protected or unprotected group. Although their work also tackles fairness in GANs, their objective is different than this work.

3. METHOD

The process of generating and labeling synthetic face images is divided into different pipelines. The pipelines are executed sequentially. This section provides a detailed explanation of each pipeline, and the result of each pipeline is presented in the following section.

3.1. Guided Image Generation and Labeling

The objective of this project is to generate images that are uniformly distributed across different demographic groups. To achieve this, the initial step involved training a model to classify images into different demographic groups. The model was trained to identify the following demographic groups: Asian, Black, Indian, White, and Others. The setup is robust and can be extended to any number of groups.

To train a race classifier, we utilized the UTKFace [31] image dataset, which comes equipped with a race label. The VGGFace [32] model was fine-tuned to create the race classifiers. The RaceClassifier model was then used to identify the race of images during the image generation process using StyleGAN. This model is referred to as the RaceClassifier throughout this paper.

3.1.1. Training classifier from face images

The deep neural network model takes an image as input and predicts the corresponding race label for that particular image.

Let X be a set of image features in the UTKFace image dataset and Y be a set of labels associated with the image features. The race classifier model is a function that accepts input




Fig. 1. Race classifier model.

images $x_i \in X$ and predicts a target $y_i \in Y$. Mathematically the model corresponds to the following equation.

$$\text{RaceClassifier}(x_i) = P_{\theta}(y_i|x_i). \quad x_i \in X \text{ and } y_i \in Y \quad (1)$$

The pre-trained StyleGAN face image generator model was utilized to produce 180,000 face images.

Let Z represent the latent input space of the StyleGAN model. $Z \in R^{512}$ and normally distributed around zero with standard deviation of 1.

$$Z \in R^{512}, \quad z_i \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad (2)$$

To generate each image, Z_i fed to StyleGAN.

$$\hat{x}_i = \text{StyleGAN}(z_i) \quad (3)$$

3.1.2. Generating and labeling high-resolution face image

Figure 2 illustrates a process in which random vectors (Z) are initially generated from a normal distribution of R^{512} dimensions, and then used as input to StyleGAN to generate face images. These images are subsequently fed into the RaceClassifier model to obtain their corresponding race label. The generated image, input vector, and race label are then mapped and stored for future use. In order to maintain a uniform distribution of images across all race groups, only 10,000 images per race were saved.

It is assumed that the output generated by the StyleGAN model will exist in the same vector space as the input for the RaceClassifier model. To ensure this, the resolution of the images was reduced before being fed into the RaceClassifier model.

3.2. Training Logistic regression that classifies StyleGAN input space

Manipulation of image generation is possible using the StyleGAN model. Consequently, The factors of variation are disentangled, which means moving a small distance in a certain direction changes only a specific or small set of features.




Fig. 2. Face image generation and race classification.

This fact was proved in this work while generating images for some demographic groups. Using the dataset generated (R^{512} input vector, image, race label), for each demographic group, one-vs-rest logistic regression model was trained to classify the input space of the StyleGAN model into positive and negative regions. This model is referred to as the LogisticClassifier.




Fig. 3. StyleGAN input space classifier. Multiclass Classification One vs All (One vs Rest)

3.3. The parameter vector and hyperplane

For each demographic group, the LogisticClassifier's parameters vector is used to compute a vector perpendicular to the hyperplane of the classification. This vector is used to guide the generation of the images from StyleGAN by maximizing the probability of generating a face image with a given demographic group. The RaceClassifier model is used to verify if the generated images are from the group of interest. If the images are not from the group they will be discarded, but if they are from the group of interest the triple (input, image, race) will be saved for later use.

This section discusses how to generate darker skin face images by guiding the styleGAN model. The results can be extended to control many features of generated face images.

Let $Z \subset R^{512}$ be our input space of the StyleGAN and let $f(z)$ represents the parameterized LogisticClassifier of the darker skin face.

$$f_{\theta}(z_i) = \sigma(\langle \theta, z_i \rangle) \quad (4)$$

We are looking for a directional vector that maximizes the probability of finding the latent feature that can generate a




Fig. 4. Parameter vector pointing to the positive direction of race attribute.

darker skin face image when fed to the StyleGAN model. The function $f(z)$ has been trained and can map any point in Z to $(0, 1)$.

On the classification hyperplane, we have the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta}(z_i) = \sigma(\langle \theta, z_i \rangle) &= 0.5 \\ \implies \langle \theta, z_i \rangle &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since we want to maximize the probability of finding the latent feature vector which maximizes $f(z)$, we can write the objective as follows.

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{z_i} f_{\theta}(z_i) \quad (6)$$

To find a unique solution we have restricted our choice of z_i to have an $L2$ norm of 1. With this constraint, we can write the problem as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmax}_{z_i} f_{\theta}(z_i) &= \operatorname{argmax}_{z_i} \|\theta\|_2 \|z_i\|_2 \cos(\alpha) \\ z_i^* &= \frac{\theta}{\|\theta\|_2} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus the direction pointed by the parameters vector is perpendicular to the hyperplane and it is also the direction that maximizes finding the latent feature vectors that will generate darker skin face images.

3.4. Training models for downstream tasks

Deep neural networks are utilized for performing various downstream tasks, including emotion classification, eye state detection, smile detection, mask detection, and gender recognition. CNN models have been developed for this purpose, and the CelebA [33] and Kaggle FER2013 datasets were employed for training the models. These trained models are referred to as DownstreamModels in this study.

3.5. Labeling the generated images

The final pipeline of this work involves using Downstream-Models to label the dataset. It has been observed that the models occasionally make incorrect predictions, particularly when the predicted class has a low probability. Therefore, images that were predicted with lower probabilities were selected and manually labeled.

4. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1. RaceClassifier

To construct a RaceClassifier model, a pretrained VGGface model was used. The UTK-Face dataset was used in the training process with an Adam optimizer and a learning rate of 0.001. The model was trained for 50 epochs with early stopping to prevent overfitting that occurs after a few epochs. The model’s performance on the training, validation, and test sets is presented in the table below.

	Training	Validation	Test
Accuracy	79.93%	80.86%	80.12%
Loss	0.5545	0.5466	0.5753

Table 1. Performance of RaceClassifier model

4.2. StyleGAN image Generation

The following images are generated randomly by the StyleGAN model. First a random vector from the R^{512} space is generated. All components of the random vector are drawn from standard normal distribution.



Fig. 5. Sample images generated by StyleGAN model.

When there is no guiding, the distribution of images being generated is biased towards Caucasian face images. To make concrete justification we have randomly generated 10,000 face images using StyleGAN. Table 2 shows the number of face images for each demographic groups.

4.3. Guided StyleGAN image Generation

As it has been discussed in Section 3.3 the direction pointed by the parameter vector is perpendicular to the hyperplane and it is also the direction that maximizes finding the latent feature vectors that will generate the target face images. Using this

Class	Asian	Black	Indian	White	Others
No. of images	882	449	975	6837	867
Percentage %	8.82%	4.49%	9.75%	68.37 %	8.67%

Table 2. Generated images distribution across different demographic groups. Most of the time the model generates Caucasian face images.

fact, a random vector was randomly chosen from the latent input space, and the parameter vector of the LogisticClassifier add to the random vector before feeding it to StyleGAN.

Table 3 shows the percentage of the images for each demographic group when guiding is used. 1000 images per demographic have been generated. As it has been shown in the table, the percentage of the images for each underrepresented group has increased.

Class	Asian	Black	Indian
No. of images	423	633	259
Percentage	42.3%	63.3%	25.9%

Table 3. Distribution of generated images when guiding is applied. The bias was highly reduced.




Fig. 6. Sample images when guiding StyleGAN model to generate specific demographic group face image.

5. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK

A large-scale dataset was generated with potential for future improvements. The ability to guide StyleGAN to generate face images with specific skin color was demonstrated, and this approach can be extended to include other facial features, such as happy faces, closed eyes faces, talking faces, engaged faces, etc. Additionally, generating faces with specific features can be accelerated by fixing the latent feature

vector around a region with a small radius. This involves randomly sampling a latent vector, adding directional parameters, and fixing the vector around this new point, after which many faces with similar features can be generated by moving a small distance from this new point.

The dataset generated can serve as a baseline for training models and measuring their performance on uniformly distributed datasets for face-related tasks.

6. CONCLUSION

This research presents a large-scale labeled dataset that is evenly distributed among different demographic groups. The process of generating the synthetic face images dataset and labeling the dataset is also described. Training the classifier on this expanded and diverse collection of dataset helps minimize biases against underrepresented groups. Consequently, this work represents a step toward addressing algorithmic bias.

7. ETHICS STATEMENT

This research focuses on mitigating bias in machine learning, particularly arising from the utilization of unbalanced datasets in training. The approach involves guiding the image generation process of a StyleGAN model to produce a diverse collection of facial images. This collection ensures equal representation across different demographic groups. Throughout our study, we are dedicated to identifying and rectifying any unintended biases that might emerge during the phases of dataset generation and annotation. Additionally, the potential for biases within the model's architecture and training procedures is acknowledged. Recognizing these possibilities is crucial before engaging in model development, deployment, or enhancement.

The research incorporates these concerns into the algorithms, aimed at enhancing the balanced generation of StyleGANs across diverse demographic categories. We acknowledge the limitations inherent in real-world datasets and underline the significance of training on a comprehensive dataset encompassing all demographics to foster fairness and inclusivity. Leveraging synthetic data generation assists in achieving equitable distributions and minimizing the need for labor-intensive manual labeling. Ethical considerations steer us toward ensuring privacy safeguards and preventing the propagation of harmful depictions.

The ultimate goal is to contribute to responsible AI advancement, prioritizing societal benefits.

8. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This paper was initially completed by the author as a requirement for obtaining an MSc in Machine Intelligence degree at AMMI-AIMS Rwanda, with a submission date of 30 Mar

2021. An earlier version of the paper was presented at the AMLD Africa 2021 on September 3rd on the AI for Social Good track. The project was completed with the guidance and mentorship of Prof. Kostas Daniilidis, and the author expresses gratitude for the necessary resources and support provided by the professor.

9. REFERENCES

- [1] Alex Krizhevsky, Ilya Sutskever, and Geoffrey E Hinton, "Imagenet classification with deep convolutional neural networks," *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 84–90, 2017.
- [2] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Lukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin, "Attention is all you need," *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [3] Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, Ilya Sutskever, et al., "Language models are unsupervised multitask learners," *OpenAI blog*, vol. 1, no. 8, pp. 9, 2019.
- [4] Alex Graves and Jürgen Schmidhuber, "Framewise phoneme classification with bidirectional lstm and other neural network architectures," *Neural networks*, vol. 18, no. 5-6, pp. 602–610, 2005.
- [5] Geoffrey Hinton, Li Deng, Dong Yu, George E Dahl, Abdel-rahman Mohamed, Navdeep Jaitly, Andrew Senior, Vincent Vanhoucke, Patrick Nguyen, Tara N Sainath, et al., "Deep neural networks for acoustic modeling in speech recognition: The shared views of four research groups," *IEEE Signal processing magazine*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 82–97, 2012.
- [6] Yann LeCun, Yoshua Bengio, and Geoffrey Hinton, "Deep learning," *nature*, vol. 521, no. 7553, pp. 436–444, 2015.
- [7] Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio, and Aaron Courville, *Deep Learning*, MIT Press, 2016, <http://www.deeplearningbook.org>.
- [8] Shreya Shankar, Yoni Halpern, Eric Breck, James Atwood, Jimbo Wilson, and D Sculley, "No classification without representation: Assessing geodiversity issues in open data sets for the developing world," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1711.08536*, 2017.
- [9] Andrew Ng, "Nuts and bolts of building ai applications using deep learning," *NIPS Keynote Talk*, 2016.

- [10] Demis Hassabis, Dharshan Kumaran, Christopher Summerfield, and Matthew Botvinick, “Neuroscience-inspired artificial intelligence,” *Neuron*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 245–258, 2017.
- [11] Mei Wang and Weihong Deng, “Deep face recognition: A survey,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 429, pp. 215–244, 2021.
- [12] Joy Buolamwini and Timnit Gebru, “Gender shades: Intersectional accuracy disparities in commercial gender classification,” in *Conference on fairness, accountability and transparency*. PMLR, 2018, pp. 77–91.
- [13] Antonio Torralba and Alexei A Efros, “Unbiased look at dataset bias,” in *CVPR 2011*. IEEE, 2011, pp. 1521–1528.
- [14] Timnit Gebru, Jamie Morgenstern, Briana Vecchione, Jennifer Wortman Vaughan, Hanna Wallach, Hal Daumé Iii, and Kate Crawford, “Datasheets for datasets,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 64, no. 12, pp. 86–92, 2021.
- [15] Kimmo Kärkkäinen and Jungseock Joo, “Fairface: Face attribute dataset for balanced race, gender, and age,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.04913*, 2019.
- [16] Alankrita Aggarwal, Mamta Mittal, and Gopi Battineni, “Generative adversarial network: An overview of theory and applications,” *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 100004, 2021.
- [17] Zhaoqing Pan, Weijie Yu, Xiaokai Yi, Asifullah Khan, Feng Yuan, and Yuhui Zheng, “Recent progress on generative adversarial networks (gans): A survey,” *IEEE access*, vol. 7, pp. 36322–36333, 2019.
- [18] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio, “Generative adversarial networks,” *Communications of the ACM*, vol. 63, no. 11, pp. 139–144, 2020.
- [19] Tero Karras, Samuli Laine, and Timo Aila, “A style-based generator architecture for generative adversarial networks,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 4401–4410.
- [20] Jialei Chen, Yujia Xie, Kan Wang, Chuck Zhang, Mani A Vannan, Ben Wang, and Zhen Qian, “Active image synthesis for efficient labeling,” *IEEE transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, vol. 43, no. 11, pp. 3770–3781, 2020.
- [21] Mehdi Mirza and Simon Osindero, “Conditional generative adversarial nets,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.1784*, 2014.
- [22] Kate Crawford and Trevor Paglen, “Excavating ai: The politics of images in machine learning training sets,” *Ai & Society*, vol. 36, no. 4, pp. 1105–1116, 2021.
- [23] Tolga Bolukbasi, Kai-Wei Chang, James Y Zou, Venkatesh Saligrama, and Adam T Kalai, “Man is to computer programmer as woman is to homemaker? debiasing word embeddings,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 29, 2016.
- [24] Hannah Rashkin, Eunsol Choi, Jin Yea Jang, Svitlana Volkova, and Yejin Choi, “Truth of varying shades: Analyzing language in fake news and political fact-checking,” in *Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, 2017, pp. 2931–2937.
- [25] Niharika Jain, Alberto Olmo, Sailik Sengupta, Lydia Manikonda, and Subbarao Kambhampati, “Imperfect imagination: Implications of gans exacerbating biases on facial data augmentation and snapchat selfie lenses,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.09528*, 2020.
- [26] Emily Denton, Ben Hutchinson, Margaret Mitchell, Timnit Gebru, and Andrew Zaldivar, “Detecting bias with generative counterfactual face attribute augmentation,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.06439*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 7, 2019.
- [27] Prasanna Sattigeri, Samuel C Hoffman, Vijil Chenthamarakshan, and Kush R Varshney, “Fairness gan: Generating datasets with fairness properties using a generative adversarial network,” *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, vol. 63, no. 4/5, pp. 3–1, 2019.
- [28] Patrik Joslin Kenfack, Daniil Dmitrievich Arapov, Rasheed Hussain, SM Ahsan Kazmi, and Adil Khan, “On the fairness of generative adversarial networks (gans),” in *2021 International Conference “Nonlinearity, Information and Robotics”(NIR)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–7.
- [29] Qi Mao, Hsin-Ying Lee, Hung-Yu Tseng, Siwei Ma, and Ming-Hsuan Yang, “Mode seeking generative adversarial networks for diverse image synthesis,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, 2019, pp. 1429–1437.
- [30] Depeng Xu, Shuhan Yuan, Lu Zhang, and Xintao Wu, “Fairgan: Fairness-aware generative adversarial networks,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 570–575.

- [31] Song Yang Zhang, Zhifei and Hairong Qi, “Age progression/regression by conditional adversarial autoencoder,” in *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*. IEEE, 2017.
- [32] Omkar M. Parkhi, Andrea Vedaldi, and Andrew Zisserman, “Deep face recognition,” in *BMVC*, 2015.
- [33] Ziwei Liu, Ping Luo, Xiaogang Wang, and Xiaoou Tang, “Deep learning face attributes in the wild,” in *Proceedings of International Conference on Computer Vision (ICCV)*, December 2015.