

A pedestrian approach to Einstein's formula $E = mc^2$ with an application to photon dynamics

A. V. Nenashev,^{1,2,3} S. D. Baranovskii,^{1,4} and F. Gebhard¹

¹*Department of Physics and Material Sciences Center, Philipps-University, D-35032 Marburg, Germany*

²*Institute of Semiconductor Physics, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

³*Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

⁴*Department für Chemie, Universität zu Köln, Luxemburger Strasse 116, 50939 Köln, Germany*

(Dated: August 8, 2023)

There are several ways to derive Einstein's celebrated formula for the energy of a massive particle at rest, $E = mc^2$. Noether's theorem applied to the relativistic Lagrange function provides an unambiguous and straightforward access to energy and momentum conservation laws but those tools were not available at the beginning of the twentieth century and are not at hand for newcomers even nowadays. In a pedestrian approach, we start from relativistic kinematics and analyze elastic and inelastic scattering processes in different reference frames to derive the relativistic energy-mass relation. We extend the analysis to Compton scattering between a massive particle and a photon, and a massive particle emitting two photons. Using the Doppler formula, it follows that $E = \hbar\omega$ for photons at angular frequency ω where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant. We relate our work to other derivations of Einstein's formula in the literature.

I. INTRODUCTION

The energy-mass relation for a particle of mass m at rest (c : speed of light),

$$E_0 = mc^2 \quad (1)$$

is one of the most popular formulas in physics. It is the basis for our understanding of the energy production by fusion in stars and by fission in nuclear power plants. For this reason, it is desirable to make it accessible to beginners, not only at university level but preferably already at high-school level. Consequently, physicists seek to provide an elementary derivation of the famous formula (1), as are the actual titles of Einstein's paper in 1935 [1] and of Rohrlich's paper in 1990 [2].

The notion of "elementary derivation" implies at least three points.

1. all physical concepts are stated clearly;
2. notions extrinsic to mechanics, e.g., those from electrodynamics or even quantum mechanics, are avoided;
3. sophisticated mathematics are kept to a minimum.

Naturally, all derivations must be correct. This is not always guaranteed, see the comment by Ruby and Reynolds [3] who pointed out that the non-relativistic Doppler formula used by Rohrlich is insufficient to derive the relativistic relation (1). Moreover, the derivations should be self-contained and not use short-cuts that are justified only a-posteriori.

The second postulation appears impossible to meet because Einstein's formula invokes the speed of light c . In the preface to the celebrated textbook *The Classical Theory of Fields* by Landau and Lifshitz [4] where relativity and electromagnetism are treated in one volume, the

authors explicitly state that 'A complete, logically connected theory of the electromagnetic field includes the special theory of relativity, so the latter has been taken as the basis of the presentation.' Likewise, Einstein's original considerations [5] in 1905 are not based on classical mechanics alone. Einstein addresses a process of emitting electromagnetic waves by a massive object. He concludes from the energy balance that when the object loses some amount ΔE of its energy, it simultaneously loses the amount $\Delta m = \Delta E/c^2$ of its mass,

$$\Delta E_0 = \Delta mc^2. \quad (2)$$

In his arguments, Einstein relies on Maxwell's electrodynamics, more precisely, to the results from the electrodynamic part of his famous work 'Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper' [6]. Also, the relativistic formula for kinetic energy is obtained by Einstein from electrodynamic arguments, namely, from equations of motion of a charged particle in an electric field [6]. This little historical excursion demonstrates how closely the relativistic theory is tied to the theory of electromagnetism.

Already in 1906, Planck recognized that the relativistic dynamics fits into the framework of the principle of least action [7]; according to Pais [8] this was most probably the first work on Einstein's special relativity not written by Einstein himself. Then, in 1907, Minkowski gave a talk in which he introduced four-vectors in spacetime and showed that the kinetic energy of a massive body is related to the temporal component of body's four-velocity [9]. Thus, very soon after the invention of special relativity there appeared at least three 'points of support' that permit to derive relativistic dynamics (energy, momentum, etc.) from relativistic kinematics (time dilation, length contraction, Lorentz transformations, etc.). These 'points of support' remain electrodynamics, the principle of least action, Noether's theorem [10], and vectors in Minkowski spacetime.

Albeit of fundamental importance, the concepts introduced by Planck and Minkowski are much more involved than relativistic kinematics alone, and could be seen as an obstacle for beginners who just want to understand relativistic dynamics. One line of argument that avoids electrodynamics, the principle of least action, Noether's theorem, and the notion of four-vectors, is based on the analysis of particle collisions. Already in 1909, Lewis and Tolman [11] used a collision argument to prove the relativistic expression for the momentum. Their proof is generally adopted in various textbooks, such as *Spacetime Physics* by Taylor and Wheeler [12], *The Feynman Lectures on Physics* [13], and, in a somewhat restricted version, in the Berkeley Physics Course [14]. Interestingly, even when they rely on particle collisions, the authors of textbooks prefer to introduce relativistic formulas for momentum and energy *ad hoc*, and use thought experiments with collisions only as supporting arguments. For example, in *Spacetime Physics* [12] the authors postulate that momentum and energy are just parts of the four-vector of relativistic momentum, and write down the corresponding expressions for them. Only at the end of the chapter, as an exercise, they provide a derivation of the relativistic momentum through a collision experiment. This reflects a 'Babylonian' approach to physics [15, p. 47] rather than the 'Greek' method in mathematics' [16].

In this work we shall employ relativistic kinematics and analyze two-particle scattering processes to derive the energy-momentum relation that leads to Einstein's formula (1). Since we shall analyze scattering processes with very simple geometries, we require first and second-order Taylor expansion and the solution of simple first-order differential equations as mathematical tools to turn the Babylonian approach to an Euclidean one.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we review the properties of point particles in classical mechanics and collect the Lorentz transformation and relativistic Doppler formulas. In Sec. III, to set a point of reference, we briefly derive the dynamics of a single particle using the principle of least action, and identify momentum and energy using Noether's theorem [4, 10]. In Sec. IV we provide the pedestrian derivation of those formulas using only basic concepts of classical mechanics as outlined in Sec. II applied to two-particle scattering. Using the relativistic Doppler effect, we show in Sec. V from particle-photon (Compton) scattering that a photon with angular frequency ω has the energy $E = \hbar\omega$, where \hbar is the reduced Planck constant [17]. The same result can be obtained from particle-antiparticle annihilation. Furthermore, in Sec. VI, we briefly review other approaches to derive Einstein's formula. Short conclusions, Sec. VII, close our presentations. Mathematical derivations are deferred to three appendices.

II. KINEMATICS: POINT PARTICLE AND LORENTZ TRANSFORMATION

Momentum and energy are concepts of particle dynamics. Before we address the equations of motions of a single particle in Sect. III and derive Einstein's formula in Sect. IV, we first recall the basic concept of a point particle in classical mechanics. Next, we collect the Lorentz transformation formulas for the transformation of coordinates and velocities between two inertial systems. Since we address photon dynamics in Sect. V, we also collect the formulas for the relativistic Doppler effect in the present section.

A. Point particle in classical mechanics

The first axiom of mechanics defines the setting of space-time: space-time is four-dimensional, i.e., an event is given by a point P with four coordinates (t, x, y, z) in some (inertial) reference frame.

The second axiom in classical mechanics states that a particle is at some spatial point \mathbf{r}_1 at time t_1 and arrives at some other spatial point \mathbf{r}_2 at time $t_2 > t_1$ whereby the world-line that contains all intermediate points $P(t) = (t_1 \leq t \leq t_2, \mathbf{r}(t))$ is continuous and (at least) twice differentiable with respect to the time t .

Kinematics describes the functional dependence of $\mathbf{r}(t)$ on the time t .

B. Lorentz transformation

Apparently, kinematics requires the use of coordinate systems. However, the choice of a reference system seems to prefer one coordinate system over the other. The third axiom of classical mechanics, the Galilean principle of special relativity, states that this must not be the case: the equations of motion must have the same functional form in all inertial reference frames. A frame that moves with constant velocity \mathbf{u} with respect to an inertial frame also is an inertial frame. The necessity of inertial frames is overcome in the theory of general relativity.

What remains unspecified in the axiom is the transformation of coordinates between two such inertial frames. Maxwell's equations describe the propagation of light. They are form-invariant under coordinate transformations if time and space transform according to the Lorentz transformation formulas. Lorentz transformations guarantee that the relativistic distance between two events is the same in all coordinate systems. The coordinates of an event P is described in K with the coordinates (t, x, y, z) and in K' with coordinates (t', x', y', z') . The invariant distance between two events P_1 and P_2 is given by

$$s_{12}^2 = c^2(t_2 - t_1)^2 - (x_2 - x_1)^2 - (y_2 - y_1)^2 - (z_2 - z_1)^2, \quad (3)$$

and $s_{12}^2 = (s'_{12})^2$ must hold with

$$(s'_{12})^2 = c^2(t'_2 - t'_1)^2 - (x'_2 - x'_1)^2 - (y'_2 - y'_1)^2 - (z'_2 - z'_1)^2, \quad (4)$$

where we use the coordinates of the two events P_1 and P_2 in the two different reference frames. When the two events are infinitesimally close, $(ds)^2 = c^2(dt)^2 - (dx)^2 - (dy)^2 - (dz)^2$ is the invariant distance. Note that the velocity of light is the same in all reference frames, $c' = c$ (Einstein's axiom of the invariance of the speed of light).

To simplify the discussion, we assume that the two reference frames K and K' coincide at time $t = t' = 0$, and K' moves with velocity $\mathbf{u} = u\mathbf{e}_x$. Then, the coordinates of an event P is described in K with the coordinates (t, x, y, z) and in K' with coordinates (t', x', y', z') . The Lorentz transformation provides the relation between the coordinates,

$$x = \frac{x' + ut'}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}, \quad y = y', \quad z = z', \quad t = \frac{t' + ux'/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}. \quad (5)$$

For infinitesimal distances, one simply has to replace (t, x, y, z) by (dt, dx, dy, dz) and (t', x', y', z') by (dt', dx', dy', dz') .

The velocities of a particle are given by $\mathbf{v} = d\mathbf{r}/(dt)$ in K and $\mathbf{v}' = d\mathbf{r}'/(dt')$ in K' . Therefore, they transform according to

$$v_x = \frac{v'_x + u}{1 + v'_x u/c^2}, \quad v_y = v'_y \frac{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}{1 + v'_x u/c^2}, \quad v_z = v'_z \frac{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}{1 + v'_x u/c^2}, \quad (6)$$

when we use the Lorentz transformation for the coordinates (5) in their infinitesimal form.

C. Doppler effect

Light is described by electromagnetic plane waves with frequency ω and wave vector \mathbf{k} as solutions of the Maxwell equations in the absence of external sources, e.g.,

$$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \mathbf{E}_0 \cos(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r}) \quad (7)$$

for the vector of the electric field where \mathbf{E}_0 is a three-dimensional vector with real components. Apparently, $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ has extrema and zeros when the phase

$$\varphi(\mathbf{r}, t) = \omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} \quad (8)$$

is a multiple of $\pi/2$. The number of zeros or the number of maxima/minima are independent of the reference frame so that the phase must be a Lorentz scalar. This implies that (ω, \mathbf{k}) form a relativistic four-vector in the

same way as (t, \mathbf{r}) so that its components transform analogously to eq. (5),

$$k_x = \frac{k'_x + u\omega'/c^2}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}, \quad k_y = k'_y, \quad k_z = k'_z, \quad \omega = \frac{\omega' + uk'_x}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}} \quad (9)$$

when K and K' move with constant velocity $\mathbf{u} = u\mathbf{e}_x$ relative to each other. When the light also travels along the x -axis to the right, we have $k_y = k_z = 0$ and $k_x = k = \omega/c$ from the dispersion relation

$$\omega = |\mathbf{k}|c. \quad (10)$$

Therefore, we obtain the relativistic Doppler formula for the frequency shift,

$$\omega = \omega' \sqrt{\frac{1 + u/c}{1 - u/c}} \quad (11)$$

between the frequencies measured in K and K' . When the light travels to the left ($k_y = k_z = 0$ and $k_x = -k = -\omega/c$), the signs '+' and '-' in eq. (11) swap their places.

III. DYNAMICS: LAGRANGE FORMALISM

The ultimate goal in classical mechanics is to derive the motion of particles from basic principles, i.e., to formulate equations from which the particle trajectory $\mathbf{r}(t)$ can be deduced. Newton's original formulation was superseded by the Lagrange and Hamilton formulation because the underlying Hamilton principle of least action constitutes the basis of present-day theoretical physics.

A. Particle mass

To describe particle dynamics, Newton assigns a second defining property to a point particle, namely its (inertial) mass m . Below we shall assume that

1. the non-relativistic momentum and (kinetic) energy of a particle are proportional to the mass m ;
2. the mass is a scalar under Lorentz transformations;
3. a particle with mass M can decay into two particles with mass $m \leq M/2$.

Property 1 seems self-evident because it requires twice the force to push two mugs of beer over a counter compared to pushing a single one. Moreover, we tacitly assume that we do not gain or lose liquid when looking at the mug from different reference frames (property 2), and we know that we can split a liquid into equal volumes without losing any (property 3).

On a more fundamental level, the generation of inertial mass requires an understanding of the interaction of relativistic particle fields with the Higgs field. Even more intricate is the notion of a gravitational mass and its equivalence to the inertial mass. We will not dwell into these fundamental issue here but move on to the equations of motions that govern the particle dynamics.

B. Euler-Lagrange equations

Modern physics is based on the principle of least action. For a point particle in classic mechanics, the action S along a path $\mathbf{R}(t)$ with velocity $\dot{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ within the time interval $[t_1, t_2]$ reads

$$S = \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt L(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}, t). \quad (12)$$

Here, L is the Lagrange function that depends only on the particle coordinates $\mathbf{R}(t)$, velocities $\dot{\mathbf{R}}(t)$, and time t . Consequently, the particle acceleration must be a function of the particle position and velocity only, i.e., the particle motion is deterministic.

To find the realized trajectory $\mathbf{r}(t)$, the principle of least action states that S is stationary with respect to small variations of the realized trajectory whereby all trajectories start and end at the points $P_1 = \mathbf{r}(t_1)$ and $P_2 = \mathbf{r}(t_2)$, respectively. For this reason, the Lagrange function is not unique. For example, the variation does not change when we add a constant C to L , i.e., using $\tilde{L} = L + C$ in S leads to the same realized trajectory as using L .

As shown in textbooks, the realized trajectory $\mathbf{r}(t)$ fulfills the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{R}}} \Big|_{\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}=\dot{\mathbf{r}}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{R}} \Big|_{\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}=\dot{\mathbf{r}}}. \quad (13)$$

The equations (13) constitute Newton's second law.

For example, in non-relativistic mechanics, a single point-particle has the Lagrange function

$$L^{\text{nr}}(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}, t) = \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2. \quad (14)$$

When inserted in eq. (13), the Euler-Lagrange equations read

$$\frac{d}{dt} (m\dot{\mathbf{r}}) = \mathbf{0}, \quad (15)$$

i.e., a free particle moves along a straight line (Newton's first law).

C. Energy and momentum

One big advantage of the Lagrange formalism over Newton's formulation of classical mechanics lies in the

fact that conserved quantities like *momentum* and *energy* are well defined. As shown by Noether [10], when the Lagrange function is invariant under translations in space (time), there is a conserved quantity called *momentum (energy)*. Thus, these objects and their conservation laws result from the homogeneity of space and time.

The simplest example is the non-relativistic point particle in Sec. III B where eq. (15) expresses that the momentum

$$\mathbf{p}^{\text{nr}} = m\mathbf{v}, \quad (16)$$

with $\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{v}$ on the realized trajectory, is a conserved quantity for a non-relativistic free particle, i.e., \mathbf{p}^{nr} does not change in time.

Apparently, momentum conservation is based on the fact that the Lagrange function does not depend on \mathbf{r} . The theory reflects the fact that space is homogeneous so that L does not change under translations. Therefore, for a general Lagrange function $L = L(\dot{\mathbf{R}})$ the conserved momentum is defined by

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{\partial L(\dot{\mathbf{R}})}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{R}}} \Big|_{\dot{\mathbf{R}}=\dot{\mathbf{r}}}. \quad (17)$$

We shall derive the relativistic Lagrange function in the next subsection III D and thus readily find the expression for the relativistic momentum of a point particle.

Homogeneity in time implies that L does not explicitly depend on time, $L = L(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}})$. The resulting conserved quantity is the energy (or Jacobi integral),

$$E = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}})}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{R}}} \Big|_{\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}=\dot{\mathbf{r}}} - L(\mathbf{r}, \dot{\mathbf{r}}). \quad (18)$$

For the non-relativistic point particle in Sec. III B we thus find ($\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{v}$)

$$E^{\text{nr}} = \dot{\mathbf{r}} \cdot (m\dot{\mathbf{r}}) - \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{r}}^2 = \frac{m}{2} \mathbf{v}^2 \equiv T^{\text{nr}}, \quad (19)$$

the well-known expression for the (kinetic) energy of a non-relativistic point particle.

D. Action for a particle in Minkowski space

Axiomatically, the action S is a scalar under Lorentz transformations. The only infinitesimal scalar for a single particle on the world line from P_1 to P_2 is the infinitesimal distance ds between two point on the world-line. Therefore,

$$S = -\alpha \int_{P_1}^{P_2} ds = -\alpha c \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt \sqrt{1 - \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2/c^2}. \quad (20)$$

Thus, we know the relativistic Lagrange function up to a constant $\alpha > 0$ that is determined from the comparison

with the non-relativistic limit. Indeed, we can read off the relativistic Lagrange function from eq. (20),

$$L(\mathbf{R}, \dot{\mathbf{R}}, t) \equiv L(\dot{\mathbf{R}}) = -\alpha c \sqrt{1 - \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2/c^2}. \quad (21)$$

For small velocities $|\dot{\mathbf{R}}| \ll c$, the Taylor expansion leads to

$$L(\dot{\mathbf{R}}) \approx -\alpha c \left(1 - \frac{\dot{\mathbf{R}}^2}{2c^2} \right) = -\alpha c + \frac{\alpha}{2c} \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2. \quad (22)$$

The comparison with the non-relativistic Lagrange function in eq. (14) shows that we must set $\alpha = mc$ to arrive at $L(\dot{\mathbf{R}}) \approx L^{\text{nr}}(\dot{\mathbf{R}}) + C$.

E. Energy and momentum for a single particle

With the relativistic Lagrange function from eq. (21),

$$L(\dot{\mathbf{R}}) = -mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \dot{\mathbf{R}}^2/c^2} \quad (23)$$

and the results from Sec. III C we can readily determine the conserved momentum of a single point particle with mass m , see eq. (17),

$$\mathbf{p} = \frac{m\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2}} = \gamma m\mathbf{v} \quad (24)$$

with the relativistic factor

$$\gamma = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2}}. \quad (25)$$

The particle's conserved energy reads, see eq. (18),

$$E = \mathbf{v} \cdot \frac{m\mathbf{v}}{\sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2}} + mc^2 \sqrt{1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2} = \gamma mc^2. \quad (26)$$

For a particle at rest, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}$, the energy is finite,

$$E_0 \equiv E(\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}) = mc^2, \quad (27)$$

the famous Einstein formula for the rest energy of a particle.

Equations (24) and (26) constitute the main results that need to be proven using the pedestrian approach outlined in the next section.

IV. PEDESTRIAN DERIVATION

The derivation in Sect. III is concise and elegant but it uses a number of concepts in theoretical physics that were not common knowledge at the beginning of the 20th century nor are they familiar to newcomers nowadays. For this reason, we collect the main ideas to derive comprehensively the Einstein formula using only basic concepts of classical mechanics as outlined in Secs. II and III.

We pursue the following strategy.

- A. Derive the relativistic momentum from elastic scattering of two particles;
- B. Derive the relativistic kinetic energy from elastic scattering of two particles;
- C. Derive the mass defect formula from fission of a heavy particle into two equal light particles;
- D. Derive the Einstein energy-mass relation.

We only invoke the concepts of relativistic classical mechanics and do not refer to classical electrodynamics (electromagnetic waves) or concepts of quantum mechanics (photons).




FIG. 1. Collision of two identical particles with velocities $\pm v$ in the center-of-mass frame.

A. Elastic scattering: momentum conservation

We start our investigation with an elastic scattering of two identical classical particles, as shown in Fig. 1. The particles approach each other on the x -axis and move along the y -axis after the scattering. Elastic scattering means that there is no loss of energy and the particles remain the same so that the speed of each particle after the impact will remain the same ($v = |\mathbf{v}|$), only its direction will change. It is intuitively clear that the laws of momentum and energy conservation are fulfilled: the total momentum is zero before and after the collision, and the total energy of the two particles remains the same.

We now demand that momentum conservation is also fulfilled in a different frame of reference. Let there be two reference frames, one 'primed' where all values will be marked with primes, the other 'unprimed'. Let the primed frame move relative to the unprimed one in the direction of the x -axis with velocity $\mathbf{u} = ue_x$. Then, the connection between the 'primed' particle velocity $\mathbf{v}' = (v'_x, v'_y, v'_z)$ and its 'unprimed' velocity $\mathbf{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ is given by eq. (6) in Sect. II.

As 'primed frame' we choose the center-of-mass frame as in Fig. 1 so that the 'unprimed frame' is the laboratory frame in which the observer may be viewed at rest. A

short calculation convinces the reader that the momentum of a particle cannot be given by $\mathbf{p}^{\text{nr}} = m\mathbf{v}$ because $m\mathbf{v}_1 + m\mathbf{v}_2 \neq m\mathbf{v}_3 + m\mathbf{v}_4$. Since the system is rotational invariant, the modulus of the momentum of a particle must be a function of the modulus of the velocity,

$$|\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{v})| = p(v), \quad (28)$$

where $p = \sqrt{p_x^2 + p_y^2 + p_z^2}$ and $v = \sqrt{v_x^2 + v_y^2 + v_z^2}$ so that

$$p_x = \frac{v_x}{v}p(v), \quad p_y = \frac{v_y}{v}p(v), \quad p_z = \frac{v_z}{v}p(v), \quad (29)$$

with the Cartesian components, $v_{x,y,z} = \mathbf{e}_{x,y,z} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, $p_{x,y,z} = \mathbf{e}_{x,y,z} \cdot \mathbf{p}$.




FIG. 2. Elastic collision in the ‘primed’ center-of-mass reference frame (left) and in the ‘unprimed’ laboratory reference frame (right). The ‘primed’ frame moves to the right with velocity $\mathbf{u} = u\mathbf{e}_x$, relative to the ‘unprimed’ one.

To find the unknown function $p(v)$, we use the conservation of momentum in a collision,

$$\mathbf{p}_1 + \mathbf{p}_2 = \mathbf{p}_3 + \mathbf{p}_4 \quad (30)$$

for which we only need to consider the x -projection of this equality because the other components just give $0 = 0$. We consider the elastic scattering event in the laboratory frame, see Fig. 2. We note that

$$p_{1,x} = p_1 = p(v_1) = p\left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu/c^2}\right) \quad (31)$$

because the velocity \mathbf{v}_1 is just directed along the x -axis. Next,

$$p_{2,x} = -p_2 = -p(v_2) = -p\left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu/c^2}\right). \quad (32)$$

To be definite, we assume that u is less than v so that the velocity \mathbf{v}_2 is directed towards the negative x -axis, and hence $p_{2,x} = -p_2$. Lastly,

$$\begin{aligned} p_{3,x} &= \frac{v_{3,x}}{v_3}p(v_3) = \\ &= \frac{u}{\sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - v^2u^2/c^2}}p\left(\sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - v^2u^2/c^2}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and $p_{4,x} = p_{3,x}$. When we insert these expressions into $p_{1,x} + p_{2,x} = p_{3,x} + p_{4,x}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu/c^2}\right) - p\left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu/c^2}\right) &= \\ &= 2\frac{u}{\sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - v^2u^2/c^2}}p\left(\sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - v^2u^2/c^2}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

This is the equation for the unknown function $p(v)$. The unique solution for $p(v)$ for all $0 < u < v < c$ is

$$p(v) = C_p \frac{v}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} \quad (35)$$

with some constant C_p which is readily achieved after some algebra, or by using MATHEMATICA [18]. A derivation of eq. (35) is given in appendix A.

It remains to determine the constant C_p in eq. (35). It is known that, for small velocities, $|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$, we have the non-relativistic relation $\mathbf{p}^{\text{nr}} = m\mathbf{v}$ for the relation between particle velocity and its momentum, see eq. (16). The expansion of eq. (35) for small v gives $p(v \ll c) \approx C_p v$ so that the constant is the mass of the particle, $C_p = m$. Thus, $p(v) = mv/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$, $p = \gamma mv$, or, in vector form,

$$\mathbf{p} = \gamma m\mathbf{v}. \quad (36)$$

In sum, we re-derived the relativistic momentum as a function of the particle velocity as given in eq. (24).

B. Elastic scattering: kinetic energy conservation

We reconsider the scattering experiment in Figs. 1 and 2 in the context of energy conservation. The collision in this experiment is *elastic*, i.e., the internal states of the particles do not change. The only difference between the states of the particles results from their velocities. Therefore, the energy E of each particle is a function of its velocity v only, $E = E(v)$, again assuming rotational invariance. Energy conservation thus implies

$$E(v_1) + E(v_2) = E(v_3) + E(v_4) \quad (37)$$

in the scattering event in both reference frames.

It is convenient to divide the particle’s energy E into its internal energy (also called ‘potential energy’ or ‘rest energy’) E_0 , and its kinetic energy T ,

$$E(v) = E_0 + T(v). \quad (38)$$

The internal energy is nothing else but the energy at zero velocity, $E_0 \equiv E(0)$. Since the internal energy does not change in elastic collisions, we can rewrite the energy conservation law for our scattering experiment as conservation of the kinetic energy,

$$T(v_1) + T(v_2) = T(v_3) + T(v_4). \quad (39)$$

Apparently, the value of E_0 cannot be determined using elastic scattering.

Now, we derive the function $T(v)$ from the condition (39). In the ‘primed’ reference frame (center-of-mass system, left part of Fig. 2), it is evident that the kinetic energy is conserved, $T(v'_1) + T(v'_2) = T(v'_3) + T(v'_4)$, because the velocity moduli are all equal, $v'_1 = v'_2 = v'_3 = v'_4 = v$.

For the laboratory frame, things are a little bit more complicated. The velocity moduli are

$$v_1 = \frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2}, \quad v_2 = \frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2},$$

$$v_3 = v_4 = \sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - \frac{v^2 u^2}{c^2}}. \quad (40)$$

As for the case of the momentum, the non-relativistic expression, $T^{\text{nr}}(v) = mv^2/2$ in eq. (19), does not fulfill eq. (39) when eq. (40) is used.

We find the correct expression for $T(v)$ as for the momentum function $p(v)$. For general $0 < u < v < c$, we must solve eq. (39) for the velocities given in eq. (40),

$$T\left(\frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2}\right) + T\left(\frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2}\right) =$$

$$= 2T\left(\sqrt{v^2 + u^2 - \frac{v^2 u^2}{c^2}}\right). \quad (41)$$

The unique solution that reproduces the non-relativistic limit, $T(v \ll c) \approx mv^2/2$, obeys

$$T(v) = (\gamma - 1)mc^2. \quad (42)$$

This is shown explicitly in appendix B. The proof that $T(v)$ from eq. (42) requires only some basic algebra, or can be done using MATHEMATICA [18].

Eq. (42) provides the relativistic formula for the kinetic energy $T(v)$ of a particle with mass m and velocity $|\mathbf{v}| = v$. We recall that the mass is considered as independent of the velocity. The velocity v appears here in the γ -factor only, $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$.

C. Particle fission: mass defect

Thus far, we used elastic collisions as a convenient tool to derive the relativistic formulas for the momentum and the kinetic energy. But elastic processes, by definition, do not change internal states of particles. Therefore, we need to address *inelastic* processes to get access to the internal energy. The simplest example process is the fission of a particle of mass M into two lighter identical particles of mass $m \leq M/2$. This process is shown in Fig. 3 in two different reference frames. When we reverse the arrow of time, the process describes particle fusion.

The left part of Fig. 3 shows the particle decay in the center-of-mass frame. The particle with mass M splits




FIG. 3. Decay of a particle of mass M into two identical particles of mass $m \leq M/2$. The left part depicts this process in the (primed) center-of-mass reference frame, and the right part in the unprimed frame, in which the center of mass moves to the right with velocity u (laboratory frame).

into two particles that fly away in opposite directions with velocities $\mathbf{v} = \pm v\mathbf{e}_x$. Before the decay, the kinetic energy of the heavy particle is equal to zero. After the decay, the total kinetic energy is equal to $2mc^2(\gamma - 1)$, where $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}$. Hence, due to energy conservation, the total internal energy decreases by the same amount,

$$\Delta E_0(m) = 2mc^2(\gamma - 1). \quad (43)$$

This decrease in internal energy is proportional to the mass defect as we shall show next.

To this end, we apply the momentum conservation law in the unprimed (laboratory) frame. The right part of Fig. 3 shows the same fission process in a reference frame, where the center-of-mass frame moves to the right with velocity $u < v < c$. In this frame, the heavy particle moves to the right with velocity u , one light particles moves to the right with velocity

$$v_1 = \frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2}, \quad (44)$$

and the other light particle moves to the left with velocity

$$v_2 = \frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2}. \quad (45)$$

The momentum conservation law along the x -axis reads

$$\frac{Mu}{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}} = \frac{mv_1}{\sqrt{1 - v_1^2/c^2}} - \frac{mv_2}{\sqrt{1 - v_2^2/c^2}}. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, the heavy mass reads

$$M = m \frac{\sqrt{1 - u^2/c^2}}{u} \left(\frac{v_1}{\sqrt{1 - v_1^2/c^2}} - \frac{v_2}{\sqrt{1 - v_2^2/c^2}} \right). \quad (47)$$

We substitute $v_{1,2}$ from eqs. (44) and (45) and find after some algebra

$$M = \frac{2m}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \quad (48)$$

We see that the mass M of the heavy particle is larger than the total mass of the debris particles $2m$, assuming that the decay particles move away from each other, $v >$

0. Due to the decay, the total mass decreases by

$$\Delta M(m) = M - 2m = 2m \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}} - 1 \right) = 2m(\gamma - 1), \quad (49)$$

which is sometimes called the *mass defect*.

Now we can compare the mass defect $\Delta M(m)$ in eq. (49) with the decrease of internal energy $\Delta E_0(m)$ in eq. (43), which leads to

$$\Delta E_0(m) = \Delta M(m)c^2. \quad (50)$$

Apparently, every change of the total internal energy $\Delta E_0(m)$ of the system is accompanied by a change of its total mass $\Delta M(m)$, such that eq. (50) holds.

D. Energy-mass formula

A point particle has no internal degrees of freedom like, e.g., a molecule. Point particles in classical physics are solely characterized by their mass. Therefore, its internal energy can only depend on m , $E_0 \equiv E_0(m)$. We apply this Ansatz to eq. (43) and write explicitly

$$\Delta E_0(m) = E_0(M) - 2E_0(m) = 2mc^2(\gamma - 1). \quad (51)$$

Note that the velocity v was never specified because, in a real experiment, it is measured and used to interpret the fission process and the internal structure of the particle of mass M .

In our thought experiment, we can consider the extreme case that the heavy particle just splits into two halves that do not separate from each other, $v = 0$. Therefore, the internal energy must obey the relation

$$\Delta E_0(m) = E_0(2m) - 2E_0(m) = 0 \quad (52)$$

because there is no mass defect in this case, $M = 2m$, as $\Delta M(m) = 0$, see eq. (49). Since eq. (52) must hold for all m , it follows that

$$E_0(m) = C_0 m, \quad (53)$$

and the constant must be $C_0 = c^2$ in view of eq. (50). Therefore, we finally arrive at the desired result

$$E_0(m) = mc^2 \quad (54)$$

for the internal energy of a point particle of mass m .

The total energy for a moving particle is then

$$E(v) = \gamma mc^2 = \frac{mc^2}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}, \quad (55)$$

according to eqs. (38) and (42), and in agreement with the expression from the Lagrange formalism outlined in Sec. III, see eq. (26).

V. PHOTON DYNAMICS

So far we considered collisions of massive particles. In this section, we study the collision of a massive particle with a photon, i.e., Compton scattering, and the emission of two photons by a massive particle.

A. Compton scattering

First, we show that energy and momentum conservation in the Compton scattering event provides enough information to prove

$$E_{\text{ph}} = \hbar\omega \quad , \quad E_{\text{ph}} = |\mathbf{p}_{\text{ph}}|c \quad (56)$$

for photons. In this sense, particle scattering alone also proves Einstein's second famous formula (56), without resorting to the photoelectric effect.

Our derivation is based on the assumption that the photon energy is some (yet unknown) function $f(\omega)$ of its (angular) frequency ω , and that the absolute value of its momentum is given by another function $g(\omega)$,

$$E_{\text{ph}}(\omega) = f(\omega) \quad , \quad |\mathbf{p}_{\text{ph}}| = g(\omega). \quad (57)$$

The latter also depends only on ω due to the dispersion relation (10).

For simplicity, we direct the photon momentum along its wave vector. As in the previous sections, we imply that the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$ permit Taylor expansions at any $\omega > 0$.

Let us consider a collision of a photon with a massive particle (an electron for brevity) in the center-of-mass frame where the net momentum is equal to zero. To be definite, we let the particles travel towards each other along the x -axis before the collision, the electron to the right with some velocity $\mathbf{v} = v\mathbf{e}_x$, and the photon to the left. The condition of zero net momentum relates the electron velocity v to the photon frequency ω

$$\gamma(v)mv = g(\omega), \quad (58)$$

where m is the electron mass, and $\gamma(v) = (1 - v^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$ as in Sect. IV. The conservation laws permit that both particle fly apart also along the x axis after the collision, the electron to the left with velocity $-v\mathbf{e}_x$, and the photon to the right with the same frequency ω as before the collision. This process is schematically depicted in the left part of Fig. 4.

Now we look at this very process from another reference frame K , see Fig. 4, right part, that moves to the left along x -axes with some velocity u , as seen from the center-of-mass frame K' . In the frame K , the initial and the final electron velocities are

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2} \mathbf{e}_x \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = -\frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2} \mathbf{e}_x, \quad (59)$$

correspondingly, as follows from the relativistic velocity addition rules (6). The photon frequency undergoes the




FIG. 4. Compton scattering process as seen in the center-of-mass frame K' (left part) and in another reference frame K that moves to the left relative to K' with velocity u (right part).

Doppler shift when switching from frame K' to frame K , see eq. (11),

$$\omega_2 = \omega \sqrt{\frac{1 - u/c}{1 + u/c}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_4 = \omega \sqrt{\frac{1 + u/c}{1 - u/c}} \quad (60)$$

before and after the collision, respectively.

The energy conservation law in the laboratory frame K therefore reads

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \left(\frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2} \right) mc^2 + f \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 - u/c}{1 + u/c}} \right) = \\ = \gamma \left(\frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2} \right) mc^2 + f \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 + u/c}{1 - u/c}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Similarly, the momentum conservation law in the frame K has the following form when projected onto the x -axis

$$L(v, u, \omega) = R(v, u, \omega),$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(v, u, \omega) = \\ = \gamma \left(\frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2} \right) m \frac{v + u}{1 + vu/c^2} - g \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 - u/c}{1 + u/c}} \right), \\ R(v, u, \omega) = \\ = -\gamma \left(\frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2} \right) m \frac{v - u}{1 - vu/c^2} + g \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 + u/c}{1 - u/c}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Equations (61) and (62) must be fulfilled for all positive values ω and for all values of u , provided that electron velocity v is related to ω by eq. (58). They permit to

determine the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$, up to a few parameters.

As in the previous section, we expand eqs. (61) and (62) in a power series in u which provides differential equations for the functions $f(\omega)$ and $g(\omega)$, see Appendix C. Their solution leads to the following expressions for the photon energy $f(\omega)$,

$$f(\omega) = C_1 \omega - \frac{C_2}{\omega} + C_3, \quad (63)$$

and for the photon momentum $g(\omega)$,

$$g(\omega) = \left(C_1 \omega + \frac{C_2}{\omega} \right) \frac{1}{c}, \quad (64)$$

where C_1 , C_2 , and C_3 are some constants. To fix these constants, we note that the energy and pressure of light are always positive, thence $f(\omega) > 0$ and $g(\omega) > 0$ for all positive frequencies ω . Therefore,

$$C_1 > 0, \quad C_2 = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad C_3 \geq 0. \quad (65)$$

Also, knowing that photons can have arbitrarily small energies, we conclude that $C_3 = 0$. Hence, the only free constant is C_1 so that from $f(\omega) = cg(\omega)$ we find that

$$E_{\text{ph}} = |\mathbf{p}_{\text{ph}}|c \quad (66)$$

holds. Moreover, the result

$$E_{\text{ph}}(\omega) = C_1 \omega \quad (67)$$

is nothing but Planck's fundamental assertion that light comes in quanta (called photons) with energy $E_{\text{ph}}(\omega) = \hbar\omega$, i.e., the constant C_1 was first named by Planck, $C_1 = \hbar$. Using this identification, eqs. (66) and (67) prove eq. (56) entirely.

B. Two-photon emission

An alternative approach to the mass-defect formula (49) modifies Einstein's original thought experiment [5] by making it independent of the knowledge of

electrodynamics. In the original setting, a body at rest with mass M emits two equal bunches of light in opposite directions, see Fig. 5a. Rohrlich [2] argues that even the nineteenth-century non-relativistic physics, supplied by the photons' energy and momentum formulas, makes it possible to derive the mass-defect formula (49) by an analysis of the experiment depicted in Fig. 5a. Feigenbaum and Mermin [19] suggest to replace the light by massive particles which makes the problem purely mechanical, and we are left with the problem analyzed in Sec. IV C.




FIG. 5. (a) Einstein's seminal thought experiment [5] modified later by Feigenbaum and Mermin [19] and by Rohrlich [2]; (b, c) its simplified version, where a particle annihilates into two photons.

Here, we retrace the thought experiment of particle annihilation into two photons. Note that we make use of the information that a photon of frequency ω has energy $E = \hbar\omega$ and momentum $|\mathbf{p}| = \hbar\omega/c$.

In the frame K' where the particle is at rest, see Fig. 5b, both photons must have the same absolute value of momentum and, consequently, the same frequency ω . The energy balance in K' therefore reads

$$E_0 = 2\hbar\omega, \quad (68)$$

where E_0 is the particle's internal energy, and $\hbar\omega$ is the energy of one photon. In another frame K , see Fig. 5c, that moves with speed u to the left relative to K' , the photons undergo the Doppler shift and acquire the frequencies

$$\omega_1 = \omega \sqrt{\frac{1+u/c}{1-u/c}} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2 = \omega \sqrt{\frac{1-u/c}{1+u/c}}, \quad (69)$$

according to eq. (11). Next, we apply momentum conservation in the frame K . The particle's momentum $\gamma(u)mu$ turns into the photon momenta, $\hbar\omega_1/c$ and $\hbar\omega_2/c$, which are directed to the right and to the left, respectively. Therefore,

$$\gamma(u)mu = \frac{\hbar\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1+u/c}{1-u/c}} - \frac{\hbar\omega}{c} \sqrt{\frac{1-u/c}{1+u/c}}. \quad (70)$$

We divide both sides by $\gamma(u) = 1/\sqrt{(1+u/c)(1-u/c)}$ and obtain

$$mu = \frac{2\hbar\omega u}{c^2}. \quad (71)$$

This gives $\hbar\omega = mc^2/2$ so that substituting into eq. (68) finally gives

$$E_0 = mc^2. \quad (72)$$

Note that, instead of the momentum conservation in frame K , we can also consider the energy conservation in this frame which leads to the same conclusion.

VI. RELATION TO OTHER WORK

In the literature, one can find many clever designs that aim at the construction or derivation of the relativistic momentum, the kinetic energy, or the mass-to-energy relation from thought experiments with collisions, including a version by Einstein himself, dated from the year 1935 [1]. Many of them are cited and discussed by Hu [20] who also suggests two additional collision schemes. Here, we briefly relate to those that employ particle collisions.

A. Relativistic momentum

Lewis and Tolman [11] consider the elastic collision of two identical particles that approach each other at an infinitely small angle θ relative to the x -axis, and move at the same angle θ after the collision, see Fig. 6a. When we look at this process from the reference frame K_1 that moves to the right relative to the center-of-mass frame K' along with the lower particle, see Fig. 6b, one recognizes that the lower particle moves up and down at some small, non-relativistic speed w . The higher particle moves at some speed v . In the frame K_2 that moves to the left along with the upper particle, see Fig. 6c, the particles exchange their roles: the upper one moves at the low speed w , and the lower one at the high speed v . The comparison of Figs. 6b and 6c shows that the vertical projection of the upper particle's velocity in frame K_1 is equal to $\pm w/\gamma(v)$, where the denominator arises from the relativistic time dilation. Therefore the momentum conservation law in the projection to the vertical axis reads

$$mw - p(v) \frac{w/\gamma(v)}{v} = -mw + p(v) \frac{w/\gamma(v)}{v}, \quad (73)$$

where m is the particle mass. Resolving this equation with respect to the particle's momentum $p(v)$, one readily




FIG. 6. Thought experiment by Lewis and Tolman [11–13] that proves the relativistic formula for the momentum, eq. (24).

recovers the relativistic formula $p(v) = \gamma(v)mv$, eq. (24).

A number of schemes use the following convenient rule for the transformation of the γ -factor between the ‘primed’ and ‘unprimed’ reference frames,

$$\gamma(v) = \gamma(v')\gamma(u) \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{v}' \cdot \mathbf{u}}{c^2} \right), \quad (74)$$

where \mathbf{u} is the velocity of the ‘primed’ frame relative to the ‘unprimed’ one. Eq. (74) is a direct consequence of the velocity transformation rule (6). Using eq. (74) one can rewrite the transformation rules for the components of the velocity in the y, z -directions, assuming that \mathbf{u} is parallel to the x -axis,

$$v_y = v'_y \frac{\gamma(v')}{\gamma(v)}, \quad v_z = v'_z \frac{\gamma(v')}{\gamma(v)}. \quad (75)$$




FIG. 7. Thought experiment adopted from the paper by Finkler [21] that proves the relativistic formula for the momentum, eq. (24).

Finkler [21] considers two identical particles that move in the xy -plane with opposite velocities \mathbf{v}'_1 and $\mathbf{v}'_2 = -\mathbf{v}'_1$ relative to the center-of-mass frame K' , see Fig. 7a. In an ‘unprimed’ frame K , see Fig. 7b, that moves along the x -axis with respect to K' , the y -component of the total momentum $p_{1y} + p_{2y}$ is equal to zero. Indeed, one may imagine that the particles originally move along the y -axis in frame K' , as shown by dashed lines, and were scattered elastically. Then, in frame K , the y -components of their momenta before the collision compensated each other by symmetry, as seen in Fig. 7b. We write the y -component of a particle’s momentum as $p_y = p(v) v_y/v$, and express the vanishing of the total y -momentum in frame K as

$$p(v_1) \frac{v_{1y}}{v_1} = -p(v_2) \frac{v_{2y}}{v_2}. \quad (76)$$

The y -components of the particle velocities \mathbf{v}_1 and \mathbf{v}_2 in the ‘unprimed’ frame K can be transformed to frame K' using the rule (75),

$$v_{1y} = v'_{1y} \frac{\gamma(v'_1)}{\gamma(v_1)}, \quad v_{2y} = v'_{2y} \frac{\gamma(v'_2)}{\gamma(v_2)}. \quad (77)$$

Since $v'_1 = v'_2$ and $v'_{1y} = -v'_{2y}$ it follows from eq. (77) that

$$\gamma(v_1) v_{1y} = -\gamma(v_2) v_{2y}. \quad (78)$$

Finally, dividing each side of eq. (76) by the corresponding side of eq. (78) we find

$$\frac{p(v_1)}{\gamma(v_1) v_1} = \frac{p(v_2)}{\gamma(v_2) v_2}. \quad (79)$$

Varying the conditions of this thought experiment with velocities and inclination angles in frame K' , and the velocity of frame K relative to K' , we can independently vary v_1 and v_2 in eq. (79). Therefore, eq. (79) implies that both sides are constants,

$$F = \frac{p(v)}{\gamma(v) v} \quad (80)$$

is independent of v . In other words, it is proven that the relativistic momentum $p(v)$ is proportional to $\gamma(v)v$. Using the non-relativistic limit it is seen that $F \equiv m$ is the particle mass.

B. Relativistic kinetic energy

In his unpublished lectures in the 1920s, Langevin uses the collision scheme as in Fig. 2 to derive the relativistic formula for the kinetic energy. Later, his arguments were reconstructed by Penrose, Rindler, and Ehlers [22, 23]. Here, we only provide a brief summary of their arguments.




FIG. 8. Kinetic energy as a function of the γ -factor.

Energy conservation during the elastic collision in the ‘unprimed’ frame, see the right part of Fig. 2, states that $T(v_1) + T(v_2) = T(v_3) + T(v_4)$ where $T(v)$ denotes the kinetic energy. Since $v_3 = v_4$ by symmetry, we have

$$T(v_3) = \frac{T(v_1) + T(v_2)}{2}. \quad (81)$$

Then, applying the rule (74) to the velocities \mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3 , and \mathbf{v}_4 , one obtains

$$\gamma(v_1) = \gamma(v)\gamma(u) \left(1 + \frac{vu}{c^2} \right), \quad (82)$$

$$\gamma(v_2) = \gamma(v)\gamma(u) \left(1 - \frac{vu}{c^2} \right), \quad (83)$$

$$\gamma(v_3) = \gamma(v_4) = \gamma(v)\gamma(u), \quad (84)$$

whence

$$\gamma(v_3) = \frac{\gamma(v_1) + \gamma(v_2)}{2}. \quad (85)$$

When we plot the kinetic energy $T(v)$ versus $\gamma(v)$, see Fig. 8, eqs. (81) and (85) imply that the point $(\gamma(v_3), T(v_3))$ in the plot is always located exactly in the middle between the points $(\gamma(v_1), T(v_1))$ and $(\gamma(v_2), T(v_2))$. Since v_1 and v_2 can be varied independently, the only possible shape of the curve $T(v)$ as a function of $\gamma(v)$ is a straight line,

$$T(v) = c_1 \gamma(v) + c_2. \quad (86)$$

Here, c_1 and c_2 are some coefficients to be found from comparison with the non-relativistic expression $T(v \ll c) \approx mv^2/2$, namely, $c_1 = mc^2 = -c_2$. Using these coefficients, eq. (86) reduces to the relativistic expression $T(v) = mc^2(\gamma(v) - 1)$ for the relativistic kinetic energy, see eq. (42).

C. Energy-mass relation

With relativistic formulas for the momentum and the kinetic energy in our hands, we can go ahead and find the relation between mass and energy. In the *Feynman Lectures on Physics* [13] this is done in the following way.




FIG. 9. Thought experiment considered in the *Feynman Lectures on Physics* [13] for obtaining the energy-mass relation.

Imaging that two equal masses m approach each other along the x -axis with equal speeds $|v| = v$. When they meet, they coalesce into a larger body of some mass M , see Fig. 9a. Let us look at this process from another reference frame K , see Fig. 9b, that moves along the y -axis relative to the center-of-mass frame K' with a very small, non-relativistic speed u . Conservation of the y -component of the momentum in the frame K reads

$$2\gamma(\tilde{v})mu = Mu, \quad (87)$$

where \tilde{v} is the speed of the initial masses in the frame K . Neglecting the difference between v and \tilde{v} , we find the fused mass M from eq. (87),

$$M = 2\gamma(v)m. \quad (88)$$

Therefore, the fused mass exceeds the original particle masses by

$$\Delta m = M - 2m = 2m(\gamma(v) - 1). \quad (89)$$

At the same time, the sum of the internal energies of the particles have increased by

$$\Delta E_0 = 2mc^2(\gamma(v) - 1) \quad (90)$$

because all the kinetic energy $T(v) = 2mc^2(\gamma(v) - 1)$ of the two masses, as seen from the center-of-mass frame K' , transformed into internal energy. From comparison between equations (89) and (90) we come to the conclusion that

$$\Delta E_0 = \Delta mc^2, \quad (91)$$

the mass defect formula (49).

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we used relativistic kinematics of point particles and two-particle collisions to derive the energy-mass relation that reduces to Einstein's famous formula (1) for a particle at rest. Our derivation offers several advantages over other derivations briefly discussed in Sec. VI.

1. Since it does not appeal to electrodynamics, it is conceptually simpler than Einstein's original argument that involves electromagnetic radiation. Moreover, using the relativistic Doppler formula, we can derive the energy of photons as massless particles and Planck's formula (67) from an analysis of Compton scattering or from particle annihilation into two photons.
2. We address very simple geometries for the two-particle scattering, see Fig. 2, which already provides us with the relativistic expressions for the relativistic expressions for particle momentum and its kinetic energy.
3. The mass defect formula straightforwardly follows from the decay of a massive particle at rest into two identical particle, see Fig. 3. With the plain assumption that the internal energy of a point particle can only depend on its mass, Einstein's formula (1) readily follows.

The price of the conceptual simplicity is the use of some standard elements of calculus, namely (second-order) Taylor expansion and ordinary first-order differential equations to find the unique solutions of eqs. (34), (41), (61), and (62). The proof that the given expressions solve these equations requires only elementary mathematics.

Students who are scared off by calculus and prefer physically motivated shortcuts may resort to the literature, some of which we briefly reviewed above. We presume that the most straightforward 'derivation' of Einstein's energy formula starts from the four-vector of the relativistic velocity. Scattering thought experiments

show that the three spatial components are conserved in a scattering experiment, i.e., they must be the particle momentum up to a mass factor. Therefore, the ‘Babylonian approach’ inspires the notion that the zero component must be the particle energy, up to a mass factor [1, 9].

In this work we argue that the pedestrian but still Euclidean way to Einstein’s formula is neither short nor simple. Instead, it requires the detailed analysis of scattering experiments and some calculus to extract the correct formulas for the relativistic momentum and kinetic energy.

Appendix A: Derivation of the momentum modulus

In this appendix we derive eq. (35). We expand eq. (34) in a Taylor series in u around $u = 0$ up to first order in u . First, we find

$$p\left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu/c^2}\right) = p(v) + (1-v^2/c^2)p'(v)u + \dots, \quad (\text{A1})$$

where the ellipsis denotes further terms that are proportional to u^2 , u^3 , and so on. The prime denotes the derivative with respect to v . Next,

$$p\left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu/c^2}\right) = p(v) - (1-v^2/c^2)p'(v)u + \dots \quad (\text{A2})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 2\frac{u}{\sqrt{v^2+u^2-v^2u^2/c^2}}p\left(\sqrt{v^2+u^2-v^2u^2/c^2}\right) &= \\ &= \frac{2u}{v}p(v) + \dots \quad (\text{A3}) \end{aligned}$$

We collect the terms to first order in u in eq. (34) and find the condition

$$2(1-v^2/c^2)p'(v) = \frac{2}{v}p(v). \quad (\text{A4})$$

Writing $p'(v) = dp/dv$, this differential equation can be solved by separation of variables,

$$\frac{dp}{p} = \frac{dv}{v(1-v^2/c^2)}, \quad (\text{A5})$$

so that the integration of both sides leads to

$$\ln p(v) = \ln \left[\frac{v}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} \right] + \text{const}, \quad (\text{A6})$$

or

$$p(v) = C_p \frac{v}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}}, \quad (\text{A7})$$

which proves eq. (35).

Appendix B: Derivation of the kinetic energy

Eq. (41) defines the yet unknown function $T(v)$ for the kinetic energy. As in appendix A, we expand each term of this equation in a Taylor series around $u = 0$ to second order in u ,

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu/c^2}\right) &\approx T(v) + u\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)T'(v) \\ &+ \frac{u^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\left[-\frac{2v}{c^2}T'(v) + \left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)T''(v)\right] \quad (\text{B1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T\left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu/c^2}\right) &\approx T(v) - u\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)T'(v) \\ &+ \frac{u^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\left[-\frac{2v}{c^2}T'(v) + \left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)T''(v)\right] \quad (\text{B2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$T\left(\sqrt{v^2+u^2-\frac{v^2u^2}{c^2}}\right) \approx T(v) + \frac{u^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\frac{T'(v)}{v} \quad (\text{B3})$$

where $T'(v)$ and $T''(v)$ are first and second derivatives of function $T(v)$, and higher orders in the Taylor expansion were ignored. When inserted into eq. (41), the constant and linear terms drop out, and the quadratic terms lead to the differential equation

$$-\frac{2v}{c^2}T'(v) + \left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)T''(v) = \frac{T'(v)}{v}. \quad (\text{B4})$$

We temporarily denote $T'(v)$ as f , and $T''(v)$ as df/dv and find the first-order differential equation

$$-\frac{2v}{c^2}f + \left(1-\frac{v^2}{c^2}\right)\frac{df}{dv} = \frac{f}{v} \quad (\text{B5})$$

that is solved by separation of variables. It has the solution

$$f = f_0 \frac{v}{(1-v^2/c^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (\text{B6})$$

where f_0 is an arbitrary constant. We recall that $f = T'(v)$, and integrate once more to find

$$\begin{aligned} T(v) &= \int^v dx T'(x) = \int^v dx f_0 \frac{x}{(1-x^2/c^2)^{3/2}} = \\ &= C_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-v^2/c^2}} + C_2, \quad (\text{B7}) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$T(v) = \gamma C_1 + C_2, \quad (\text{B8})$$

where C_1 and C_2 are some constants.

We can fix C_2 by considering the particle at rest, when $v = 0$, $T = 0$, and $\gamma = 1$,

$$0 = C_1 + C_2, \quad (\text{B9})$$

hence $C_2 = -C_1$ and

$$T(v) = (\gamma - 1)C_1. \quad (\text{B10})$$

To determine C_1 , we consider small but non-zero velocities $v/c \ll 1$. The expansion of the γ -factor near $v = 0$ gives $\gamma(v) \approx 1 + v^2/(2c^2)$ so that

$$T(v \ll c) \approx \frac{C_1}{2c^2} v^2. \quad (\text{B11})$$

The non-relativistic formula reads $T^{\text{nr}} = mv^2/2$, see eq. (19), so that we must set $C_1 = mc^2$. Hence,

$$T(v) = (\gamma - 1)mc^2, \quad (\text{B12})$$

as given in eq. (42).

Appendix C: Derivation of photon energy and momentum

For better readability, we set the speed of light c to unity within this appendix. Hence, equations (61) and (62) take the simpler forms

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu} \right) m + f \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1-u}{1+u}} \right) &= \\ &= \gamma \left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu} \right) m + f \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1+u}{1-u}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \left(\frac{v+u}{1+vu} \right) m \frac{v+u}{1+vu} - g \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1-u}{1+u}} \right) &= \\ = -\gamma \left(\frac{v-u}{1-vu} \right) m \frac{v-u}{1-vu} + g \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1+u}{1-u}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

where $\gamma(v) = (1 - v^2)^{-1/2}$.

The Taylor expansions in eq. (C1) at $u = 0$ up to and including linear terms in u require

$$\gamma \left(\frac{v \pm u}{1 \pm vu} \right) m = \gamma(v)m [1 \pm uv + \mathcal{O}(u^2)], \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$f \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm u}{1 \mp u}} \right) = f(\omega) \pm u\omega f'(\omega) + \mathcal{O}(u^2). \quad (\text{C4})$$

Substituting these expansions into eq. (C1), we see that the constant terms cancel each other, and the linear terms lead to

$$\gamma(v)mv = \omega f'(\omega). \quad (\text{C5})$$

The left-hand side of eq. (C5) can be replaced with $g(\omega)$, see eq. (58). Hence, from eqs. (C1) and (58) we obtain a

differential relation between the photon energy $f(\omega)$ and its momentum $g(\omega)$,

$$\omega f'(\omega) = g(\omega). \quad (\text{C6})$$

Note that, for a massive particle traveling with some velocity v , the momentum-to-energy ratio fulfills $p/E = \gamma mv/\gamma mc^2 = v/c^2$. Into the latter relation we might insert $v = c$ for a photon traveling with velocity c . Then, $g(\omega)/f(\omega) \equiv p/E = 1/c$ immediately follows, i.e., $g(\omega) = f(\omega)$ when $c = 1$. Therefore, eq. (C6) takes the form $\omega f'(\omega) = f(\omega)$ that immediately gives rise to the conclusion that the photon energy $f(\omega)$ is proportional to the frequency ω . However, we shall not follow this shortcut. Instead, we will employ the momentum conservation law, eq. (C2).

The Taylor expansion of the terms contributing to eq. (C2) at $u = 0$ require

$$\gamma \left(\frac{v \pm u}{1 \pm vu} \right) m \frac{v \pm u}{1 \pm vu} = \gamma(v)m \left[v \pm u + \frac{u^2 v}{2} + \mathcal{O}(u^3) \right] \quad (\text{C7})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g \left(\omega \sqrt{\frac{1 \pm u}{1 \mp u}} \right) &= \\ &= g(\omega) \pm u\omega g'(\omega) + \frac{u^2}{2} [\omega g'(\omega) + \omega^2 g''(\omega)] + \mathcal{O}(u^3) \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C8})$$

up to and including second order in u . Substituting these expansions into eq. (C2), we see that the constant terms reproduce the known eq. (58) whereas the terms proportional to u cancel each other. Collecting the terms proportional to u^2 we find

$$\gamma(v)mv = \omega g'(\omega) + \omega^2 g''(\omega). \quad (\text{C9})$$

In eq. (C9), the left-hand side can be replaced by $g(\omega)$ due to eq. (58). Therefore, we arrive at a differential equation for the function $g(\omega)$,

$$\omega^2 g''(\omega) + \omega g'(\omega) - g(\omega) = 0. \quad (\text{C10})$$

This is a homogeneous second-order linear equation with the two independent solutions $g_1(\omega) = \omega$ and $g_2(\omega) = \omega^{-1}$. Hence, the general solution is

$$g(\omega) = C_1 \omega + \frac{C_2}{\omega}, \quad (\text{C11})$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants. Substituting this solution into eq. (C6) and integrating over ω leads to the function $f(\omega)$,

$$f(\omega) = C_1 \omega - \frac{C_2}{\omega} + C_3, \quad (\text{C12})$$

where C_3 is yet another constant. When we return to physical units, we have to divide the right-hand side of eq. (C11) by c to account the difference between the unit of energy and that of momentum. In this way, we obtain eqs. (63) and (64) of the main text.

-
- [1] A. Einstein, Elementary derivation of the equivalence of mass and energy, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41**, 223 (1935).
- [2] F. Rohrlich, An elementary derivation of $E = mc^2$, *American Journal of Physics* **58**, 348 (1990).
- [3] L. Ruby and R. E. Reynolds, Comment on “An elementary derivation of $E = mc^2$,” by F. Rohrlich [*Am. J. Phys.* **58**, 348–349 (1990)], *American Journal of Physics* **59**, 756 (1991).
- [4] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *The Classical Theory of Fields*, 3rd ed. (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1971).
- [5] A. Einstein, Ist die Trägheit eines Körpers von seinem Energieinhalt abhängig?, *Annalen der Physik* **323**, 639 (1905).
- [6] A. Einstein, Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper, *Annalen der Physik* **322**, 891 (1905).
- [7] M. Planck, Das Prinzip der Relativität und die Grundgleichungen der Mechanik, *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft* **8**, 136 (1906).
- [8] A. Pais, *The Science and the Life of Albert Einstein* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1982).
- [9] H. Minkowski, Die Grundgleichungen für die elektromagnetischen Vorgänge in bewegten Körpern, *Mathematische Annalen* **68**, 472 (1910).
- [10] E. Noether, Invarianten beliebiger Differentialausdrücke, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl.* **1918**, 37 (1918).
- [11] G. N. Lewis and R. C. Tolman, The principle of relativity, and non-Newtonian mechanics, *Proceedings of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences* **44**, 711 (1909).
- [12] E. Taylor and J. Wheeler, *Spacetime Physics* (W. H. Freeman and Company, New York, 1992).
- [13] R. Feynman, R. Leighton, and M. Sands, *The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol. I: Mainly Mechanics, Radiation, and Heat* (Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1977).
- [14] C. Kittel, W. Knight, and Ruderman, *Berkeley Physics Course: Mechanics*, Bd. 1 (McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, 1965).
- [15] R. Feynman, *The Character of Physical Law* (The M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1967).
- [16] The Babylonians knew $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.41421$ with six-digit accuracy but it is unknown how they came to this result. Naturally, this guess can be tested by calculating $1.41421^2 = 1.9999899241$. Euclid showed that $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, and the Heron and Bakhshali algorithms permit to compute it numerically very efficiently.
- [17] M. Planck, Über das Gesetz der Energieverteilung im Normalspectrum, *Annalen der Physik* **309**, 553 (1901).
- [18] Wolfram Research, Inc., *Mathematica, Version 12.3* (Wolfram Research, Inc., Champaign, IL, 2021).
- [19] M. J. Feigenbaum and N. D. Mermin, $E = mc^2$, *American Journal of Physics* **56**, 18 (1988).
- [20] B. Y.-K. Hu, Relativistic momentum and kinetic energy, and $E = mc^2$, *European Journal of Physics* **30**, 325 (2009).
- [21] P. Finkler, Relativistic momentum, *American Journal of Physics* **64**, 655 (1996).
- [22] R. Penrose and W. Rindler, Energy Conservation as the Basis of Relativistic Mechanics, *American Journal of Physics* **33**, 55 (1965).
- [23] J. Ehlers, W. Rindler, and R. Penrose, Energy Conservation as the Basis of Relativistic Mechanics. II, *American Journal of Physics* **33**, 995 (1965).