RECOVERY OF TRAVELING WATER WAVES WITH SMOOTH VORTICITY FROM THE HORIZONTAL VELOCITY ON A LINE OF SYMMETRY FOR VARIOUS WAVE REGIMES

DANIEL BÖHME AND BOGDAN-VASILE MATIOC

ABSTRACT. In the general context of rotational water waves with a smooth vorticity it is shown that the wave profile can be recovered from the horizontal component of the velocity field on a line of symmetry. The method, which applies to waves of finite and infinite depth, uses only the values of the horizontal velocity of particles located on the line of symmetry that are close to the wave surface. In fact, together with the wave surface we recover also the velocity field in a suitable surface layer. The explicit recovery formula is valid under the assumption that there are no stagnation points in the fluid for both periodic and solitary waves in each of the three regimes of gravity, capillary-gravity, and capillary waves. The efficiency of this method is illustrated in the context of the explicit solutions provided by Crapper for periodic capillary waves and Gerstner for periodic gravity waves.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we show that the wave profile of two-dimensional rotational symmetric waves with smooth vorticity can be recovered from the horizontal velocity of the fluid particles on a line of symmetry of the flow. More precisely, we prove that the horizontal velocity of the particles located on the symmetry line in a (arbitrary thin) surface layer identifies the wave profile and the fluid velocity in each point of the surface layer. This property is valid regardless of whether the waves propagate in shallow or deep water, whether they are periodic or solitary, and also it does not distinguish between gravity waves, capillary waves, or capillary-gravity waves. It is important to mention that though at a formal level stagnation points need to be excluded only in a thin surface layer below the wave profile and on the line of symmetry, the justification of the recovery method is build on the assumption that the entire flow does not contain stagnation points, see Remark 2.2. This aspect is exemplified in Figure 3 in the context of Gerstner's cycloidal wave, which has stagnation points at the crests, where the wave profile is recovered by considering the trough line as a line of symmetry.

From a mathematical point of view, we deal with an elliptic boundary value problem (see e.g. the stream function formulation (2.7)) defined in a fluid domain which is unknown since, on the one hand, the wave surface is unknown and, on the other hand, because we do not distinguish between waves of finite depth and waves of infinite depth (boundary conditions on the bed or/and at infinity play no role in the analysis and are therefore disregarded). Our

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 35J60; 76B07; 76B15; 76B45.

Key words and phrases. water waves; free surface recovery; gravity and capillarity.

Partially supported by DFG Research Training Group 2339 "Interfaces, Complex Structures, and Singular Limits in Continuum Mechanics - Analysis and Numerics".

main result stated in Theorem 2.1 shows that, knowing some information about the solution to the problem, we may reconstruct the wave surface regardless of the values of the Earth's gravity constant $g \ge 0$ and of the surface tension coefficient $\sigma \ge 0$. Our result relies to a large extend on the fact that the height function h associated to waves without stagnation points, see Section 2.2, is smooth with respect to the horizontal variable q and satisfies the a priori estimates (2.9), see [2,32]. The structure of the quasilinear elliptic equation satisfied by h, see (2.8)₁, is a further building block of our recovery method. This paper extends the previous result established in [34] in the particular context of periodic gravity waves of finite depth also to other wave regimes and exemplifies the recovery method in the context of Crapper's exact solutions for periodic capillary waves and Gerstner's periodic gravity waves (with and without stagnation points), see Section 3. For an extension of the method from [34] to the setting of stratified waves we refer to [42].

The question of determining the free surface of steady water waves from measured flow data is of great importance in the field of fluid dynamics and at the same time a challenging mathematical problem. Since direct measurements of the surface of ocean waves are difficult and costly, alternative ways of computing the free surface from measured flow data are of great importance. Theorem 2.1 is a contribution in this direction since the velocity on crest or trough lines can be measured in numerical but also laboratory experiments, see [13, 21, 27, 37]. In this context, a further important direction of research addresses the question whether the wave profile can be recovered from the measured pressured data on the fluid bed. This research considers mainly the setting of gravity waves of finite depth which are either irrotational or have a constant vorticity. A positive answer to this question was provided in [23] in the general setting of solitary water waves with analytic vorticity, where it was proven that the pressure function on the flat bed identifies the surface profile in a unique way. The result of [23] was improved in [3] where less regularity was required for the vorticity of the flow. Explicit formulas that relate the pressure on the bed to the wave profile were derived first mainly in the linear setting, cf. [1, 18, 30, 40], and involve so called pressure transfer functions. However, this approach does not take into account nonlinear effects, which leads to prediction errors. Explicit and exact nonlinear and nonlocal formulas, obtained from the Euler formulation of the water-wave problem without approximation, that relate the wave profile to the pressure on the flat bed were derived in the irrotational setting in [9,16,26,36]. Further exact formulas, more tractable from a numerical point of view, were provided for steady periodic waves in [4,5]. As recently shown in [6], the formulas from [4,5]are applicable also to the celebrated extreme Stokes wave which possesses a stagnation point at the crest. A recovery formula in the more general setting of rotational waves with constant vorticity, and possibly with stagnation points, was derived only recently in [7] (see also [25] for recovery formulas for linear and weakly nonlinear rotational water waves with arbitrary vorticity distributions).

2. The mathematical problem and the statement of the main result

We consider the setting of smooth two-dimensional steady waves traveling at the surface of an inviscid and incompressible fluid of constant density, which we regard as being water, with constant wavespeed c > 0. We choose the Cartesian coordinates (x, y, z) such that the x-axis is the direction of wave propagation and the y-axis points vertically upwards, the flow being independent of the z-variable. Under these considerations, the equations governing the motion in the bulk are the Euler equations. Since we consider the framework of steady waves, in a reference frame moving with the wavespeed the Euler equations are encompassed by the system

$$uu_{x} + vu_{y} = -P_{x}, uv_{x} + vv_{y} = -P_{y} - g, u_{x} + v_{y} = 0,$$
(2.1)

where (u, v) is the velocity field and P is the pressure observed in the moving reference frame, while $g \ge 0$ is the Earth's gravity constant (which is set to be zero when gravity effects are neglected). The density of the fluid is assumed to be constant 1. These equations are satisfied in the fluid domain Ω_{η} , which is bounded from above by the the wave surface

$$\Gamma_{\eta} := \{ (x, \eta(x)) : x \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

We consider both waves of finite and infinite depth. In the first case Ω_{η} is bounded from below by a flat impermeable bed, in the second case Ω_{η} is unbounded from below. Letting $\sigma \in [0, \infty)$ denote the surface tension coefficient at the water surface (surface tension effects are neglected when $\sigma = 0$), we supplement (2.1) by the following natural dynamic and the kinematic boundary conditions at the wave surface

$$P = -\sigma \frac{\eta''}{(1+\eta'^2)^{3/2}}, \\ v = u\eta'$$
 on $\Gamma_{\eta}.$ (2.2)

Depending on the physical scenario which is considered, these equations have to be supplemented by periodicity assumptions on the flow and/or boundary conditions which describe the asymptotic behavior of the flow at infinity and/or on the bed. As these do not play any role in our analysis, we refrain from mentioning them here.

We consider solutions to (2.1)-(2.2) for which the flow does not contain any stagnation points, that is

$$\sup u < 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_{\eta}. \tag{2.3}$$

.

We emphasize that the vorticity

$$\omega := u_y - v_x$$

of the flow is not subject to any restrictions (apart from the regularity assumptions (2.5) below). Finally, we shall consider only waves which are symmetric with respect to a vertical line (which may be a crest or trough line). Since the equations of motion are translation invariant with respect to the x-variable, we may take this line as being the vertical line $\{x = 0\}$, hence we assume that

$$\eta(x) = \eta(-x) \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ (u, v, P)(x, y) = (u, -v, P)(-x, y) \quad \text{for all } (x, y) \in \Omega_{\eta}. \end{cases}$$

$$(2.4)$$

We point out that there exists vast body of literature which investigates the symmetry of rotational and irrotational waves, see e.g. [10–12, 14, 17, 24, 28, 29, 33, 39, 41].

D. Böhme & B.-V. Matioc

We restrict our attention to the setting of classical solutions to problem (2.1)-(2.3) which posses a smooth vorticity, that is we assume that

$$u, v, P \in \mathrm{BUC}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega_{\eta}), \quad \eta \in \mathrm{BUC}^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \omega \in \mathrm{BUC}^{\infty}(\Omega_{\eta}),$$
 (2.5)

where $\alpha \in (0,1)$ is fixed. Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and an open set $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 1$, the Banach space $\operatorname{BUC}^{k+\alpha}(\mathcal{O})$ consists of the functions which have bounded derivatives up to order kand uniformly α -Hölder continuous derivatives of order k. Moreover, we define

$$\operatorname{BUC}^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}) = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{BUC}^{k+\alpha}(\mathcal{O}).$$

Before stating our result, we first reexpress the problem (2.1)-(2.3), under the assumptions (2.4) and (2.5), by using the so-called stream function and the height function.

2.1. The stream function formulation. The stream function $\psi : \overline{\Omega_{\eta}} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the formula

$$\psi(x,y) := -\int_{y}^{\eta(x)} u(x,s) \,\mathrm{d}s \quad \text{for } (x,y) \in \overline{\Omega_{\eta}}$$

The function ψ vanishes at the wave surface and $\psi(x, y) = \psi(-x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \Omega_{\eta}$. Combining (2.1)₃, (2.2)₂, and (2.5), we deduce that $\nabla \psi = (-v, u) \in \text{BUC}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega_{\eta})^2$. In particular, since $\psi_y = u < 0$, we deduce that ψ takes only positive values below the surface. Recalling (2.3) and (2.5), the implicit function theorem ensures that for each constant $\mu \ge 0$, the level set $\{\psi(x, y) = \mu\}$ – which is a streamline of the steady flow – is the graph of an even function that belongs to $\text{BUC}^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R})$.

We next introduce the Dubreil-Jacotin's semihodograph transform $\mathcal{H} := (q, p) : \overline{\Omega_{\eta}} \to \overline{\Omega}$, with $\Omega := \mathcal{H}(\Omega_{\eta})$, by the formula

$$\mathcal{H}(x,y) := (x, -\psi(x,y)).$$

In the setting of waves of infinite depth it holds that $\Omega := \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p < 0\}$, respectively, for waves of finite depth, we have $\Omega := \{(q, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : p_0 , where <math>-p_0$ is the value taken by ψ on the fluid bed. In view of (2.3), we deduce that \mathcal{H} is a $C^{2+\alpha}$ -diffeomorphism from Ω_{η} to Ω , which maps the streamlines of the steady flow onto horizontal lines in Ω . Observing that

$$\frac{\partial(q,p)}{\partial(x,y)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & -u \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial(x,y)}{\partial(q,p)} \circ \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{v}{u} & -\frac{1}{u} \end{pmatrix},$$

we compute

$$\frac{d}{dq}(\omega \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1}) = \frac{u(u_{xy} - v_{xx}) + v(u_{yy} - v_{xy})}{u} \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1} \qquad \text{in } \Omega$$

Moreover, differentiating $(2.1)_1$ with respect to y and $(2.1)_2$ with respect to x, we obtain, after taking the difference of the resulting identities that

$$0 = (uu_x + vu_y)_y - (uv_x + vv_y)_x$$

= $[u(u_{xy} - v_{xx}) + v(u_{yy} - v_{xy})] + \omega(u_x + v_y)$ in Ω_{η} .

Using also the incompressibility condition $(2.1)_3$, we conclude that

$$\frac{d}{dq} \left(\omega \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Therefore, the vorticity function $\gamma := \omega \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1}$ depends only on the variable p, that is $\gamma = \gamma(p)$ and

$$\omega(x,y) = \gamma \circ \mathcal{H}(x,y) = \gamma(-\psi(x,y)) \quad \text{for } (x,y) \in \overline{\Omega_{\eta}}.$$

Finally, defining the total energy of the flow $E:\overline{\Omega_{\eta}} \to \mathbb{R}$ by the formula

$$E(x,y) := \frac{u^2 + v^2}{2}(x,y) + gy + P(x,y) - \int_0^{\psi(x,y)} \gamma(-s) \,\mathrm{d}s, \qquad (2.6)$$

partial differentiation together with $(2.1)_1$ - $(2.1)_2$ shows that $\nabla E = 0$ in Ω_{η} . Herewith we recover Bernoulli's law which states that E is constant in Ω_{η} . Evaluating (2.6) at the wave surface, we obtain from $(2.2)_1$ and

$$\Delta \psi = \partial_x \psi_x + \partial_y \psi_y = -v_x + u_y = \omega = \gamma(-\psi) \quad \text{in } \Omega_\eta,$$

that (ψ, η) solves the system

$$\left. \begin{array}{ccc}
\Delta\psi = \gamma(-\psi) & \text{in } \Omega_{\eta}, \\
\psi = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_{\eta}, \\
|\nabla\psi|^{2} + 2g\eta - 2\sigma \frac{\eta''}{(1+\eta'^{2})^{3/2}} = Q & \text{on } \Gamma_{\eta}, \end{array} \right\}$$
(2.7)

where Q is a constant. The conditions on the periodicity of the flow and/or the boundary conditions prescribing the behavior of the flow at infinity and/or on the bed are again not explicitly mentioned.

2.2. The height function formulation. The height function $h:\overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by the formula

$$h(q,p) := y \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1}(q,p)$$

and specifies the vertical position of the fluid particle $(x, y) = \mathcal{H}^{-1}(q, p)$. The height function h satisfies $\nabla h \in \text{BUC}^{1+\alpha}(\Omega)$, is symmetric with respect to the vertical line $\{q = 0\}$, that is h(q, p) = h(-q, p) for all $(q, p) \in \Omega$, and solves the following system

$$(1+h_q^2)h_{pp} - 2h_ph_qh_{pq} + h_p^2h_{qq} - \gamma h_p^3 = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, 1+h_q^2 + (2gh-Q)h_p^2 - 2\sigma \frac{h_p^2h_{qq}}{(1+h_q^2)^{3/2}} = 0 \quad \text{on } p = 0,$$

$$(2.8)$$

supplemented by periodicity conditions and/or appropriate boundary conditions on h. It is important to mention that, given any streamline of the flow, there exists $p \leq 0$ such that $h(\cdot, p)$ is a parameterization of this streamline (the wave surface corresponds to the choice p = 0). 2.3. The main result. The main result of this paper is formulated in Theorem 2.1 below and states that for periodic or solitary waves of finite or infinite depth, which posses a vertical line of symmetry, the wave profile and the flow in any given surface layer are determined by the horizontal velocity on the segment of the line of symmetry which is contained in the given layer. This holds regardless of the values of $g \ge 0$ and $\sigma \ge 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (u, v, P, η) be a solution to (2.1)-(2.3) satisfying (2.4) and (2.5), with constants $g, \sigma \geq 0$ such that

$$g + \sigma > 0$$

Assume further that the values $\mathfrak{u}(y) := u(0, y)$ of u on the line of symmetry $\{x = 0\}$ are known for all $y \in [\overline{y}, \eta(0)]$, where the point $(0, \overline{y})$ lies in the fluid domain $\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}$ below the wave surface. We further set $\overline{p} := -\psi(0, \overline{y})$ and we assume there exists a constant L > 0 such that the corresponding height function h satisfies

$$\|\partial_q^m h\|_{\mathrm{BUC}^{2+\alpha}(\mathbb{R}\times(\overline{p},0))} \le L^m m! \qquad \text{for all } m \ge 0.$$

$$(2.9)$$

Then the free wave surface and the velocity field in the surface layer

$$\{(x,y)\in\overline{\Omega_{\eta}}\,:\,0\leq\psi(x,y)\leq-\overline{p}\}$$

are determined by the function $[y \mapsto \mathfrak{u}(y)] : [\overline{y}, \eta(0)] \to \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.2. Though we formulate (2.9) as a condition on the solution to (2.8), classical solutions to the water wave problem which do not contain stagnation points a priori satisfy this estimate, see [2, 32].

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof. As a direct consequence of (2.9), for every $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$, the map $[q \mapsto h(q, p)] : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is real-analytic. Indeed, given $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$ and $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, Taylor's theorem together with (2.9) yields

$$\left|h(q,p) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{\partial_{q}^{k} h(q_{0},p)}{k!} (q-q_{0})^{k}\right| \leq \frac{\|\partial_{q}^{n+1} h(\cdot,p)\|_{\infty}}{(n+1)!} |q-q_{0}|^{n+1} \leq (L|q-q_{0}|)^{n+1}, \quad (2.10)$$

which shows that the Taylor series converges on $(q_0 - L^{-1}, q_0 + L^{-1})$. In particular, the wave surface $\eta = h(\cdot, 0)$ and also the streamline $h(\cdot, \overline{p})$, are real-analytic. Since the stream function ψ solves the elliptic Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$\Delta \psi = \omega \quad \text{in } \{h(x, \overline{p}) < y < \eta(x)\},\ \psi = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{\eta},\ \psi = \overline{p} \quad \text{on } \{y = h(x, \overline{p})\} \end{cases}$$

in a smooth domain and with ω smooth, standard elliptic theory, see e.g. [20, Theorem 6.19], ensures that ψ is smooth (up to the boundary) in $\{h(x,\overline{p}) < y < \eta(x)\}$. Recalling the definition of the vorticity function γ , we deduce that $\gamma \in C^{\infty}([\overline{p}, 0])$. Moreover, also h is smooth (up to the boundary) in the strip $\{\overline{p} .$ Using now the symmetry of h with respect to the vertical line $\{q = 0\}$, we deduce that $\partial_q^n h(0, p) = 0$ for all $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$ and all odd integers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, hence

$$h(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{2n}(p)q^{2n}$$
 for all $|q| < L^{-1}$ and $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$,

where $a_{2n} \in C^{\infty}([\overline{p}, 0]), n \in \mathbb{N}$, and

$$a_0(p) = h(0, p), \qquad p \in [\overline{p}, 0].$$

We next prove that the equation $(2.8)_1$ enables us to express all coefficient functions a_{2n} with $n \ge 1$ in terms of (the derivatives of) a_0 and γ . Indeed, arguing as in the proof of (2.10), we infer from (2.5) that for all $|q| < L^{-1}$ and $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$ we have

$$h_{q}(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(n+1)a_{2(n+1)}(p)q^{2n+1},$$

$$h_{p}(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a'_{2n}(p)q^{2n},$$

$$h_{pp}(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a''_{2n}(p)q^{2n},$$

$$h_{pq}(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(n+1)a'_{2(n+1)}(p)q^{2n+1},$$

$$h_{qq}(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2(n+1)(2n+1)a_{2(n+1)}(p)q^{2n}.$$
(2.11)

We now use Cauchy's product formula for series to infer from (2.11) that for all $|q| < L^{-1}$ and $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_q^2 h_{pp} \end{pmatrix}(q,p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^n a_{2(n-k)}'(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^k 4\ell(k-\ell+1)a_{2\ell}(p)a_{2(k-\ell+1)}(p) \right] q^{2n},$$

$$(2h_p h_q h_{pq})(q,p) = 8 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{2(n-k-1)}'(p) \sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1} \ell(k-\ell+2)a_{2\ell}(p)a_{2(k-\ell+2)}'(p) \right] q^{2n},$$

$$(h_p^2 h_{qq})(q,p) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)(2k+1)a_{2k+2}(p) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} a_{2\ell}'(p)a_{2(n-k-\ell)}'(p) \right] q^{2n},$$

$$\gamma(p)h_p^3(q,p) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(p) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n a_{2k}'(p) \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} a_{2\ell}'(p)a_{2(n-k-\ell)}'(p) \right] q^{2n}.$$

Plugging these expressions and (2.11) for h_{pp} into the quasilinear equation (2.8)₁ we obtain, after identifying for each fixed $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$ the coefficients of each power of q the following

identities

$$a_2 = \frac{\gamma a_0'}{2} - \frac{a_0''}{2a_0'^2},\tag{2.12a}$$

and

$$a_{2(n+1)} = \frac{1}{2(n+1)(2n+1)a_0'^2} \left\{ -a_{2n}'' - \sum_{k=1}^n a_{2(n-k)}'' \sum_{\ell=1}^k 4\ell(k-\ell+1)a_{2\ell}a_{2(k-\ell+1)} + 8\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{2(n-k-1)}' \sum_{\ell=1}^{k+1} \ell(k-\ell+2)a_{2\ell}a_{2(k-\ell+2)}' - 2\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (k+1)(2k+1)a_{2k+2} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} a_{2\ell}'a_{2(n-k-\ell)}' + \gamma \sum_{k=0}^n a_{2k}' \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-k} a_{2\ell}'a_{2(n-k-\ell)}' \right\}, \qquad (2.12b)$$

in $[\overline{p}, 0]$. We note that, in view of (2.3), we have

$$a'_0(p) = h_p(0, p) = -\frac{1}{u \circ \mathcal{H}^{-1}(0, p)} > 0$$
 for all $p \in [\overline{p}, 0]$.

Consequently, the formulas (2.12) are well-defined. Moreover, it is important to point out that the right side of (2.12b) is expressed only in terms of derivatives of γ and a_{2k} with $k \leq n$. We may thus conclude that all the coefficients a_{2n} with $n \geq 1$ in the Taylor series of h are determined by a_0 and γ . Thus, knowing γ and a_0 , we may recover the function h in the rectangle $(-L^{-1}, L^{-1}) \times [\overline{p}, 0]$. By analytic continuation (note that the formula (2.10) is valid for all $q_0 \in \mathbb{R}$) this determines h in the strip $\mathbb{R} \times [\overline{p}, 0]$, hence the wave surface and the fluid velocity in the surface layer $\{(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega_{\eta}} : 0 \leq \psi(x, y) \leq -\overline{p}\}$.

In the final part of the proof we show how a_0 can be determined from $\mathfrak{u}(y) = u(0, y)$ with $y \in [\overline{y}, \eta(0)]$.

(i) Recalling the definition of ψ , we have

$$\psi(0,y) = -\int_{y}^{\eta(0)} \mathfrak{u}(s) \mathrm{d}s, \qquad y \in [\overline{y},0]$$

and

$$\overline{p} = -\psi(0, \overline{y}) = \int_{\overline{y}}^{\eta(0)} \mathfrak{u}(s) \mathrm{d}s.$$

(ii) The function $-\psi(0, \cdot) : [\overline{y}, \eta(0)] \to [\overline{p}, 0]$ is in view of (2.3) invertible. Its inverse is exactly the function $a_0 : [\overline{p}, 0] \to [\overline{y}, \eta(0)]$.

In view of (i)-(ii) we have identified a_0 in terms of \mathfrak{u} , and this completes the proof.

8

3. Some examples

In this last section we illustrate the recovery formula in the context of two famous explicit solutions for water waves of infinite depth. Namely, we first consider in Section 3.1 Gerstner's wave (with and without stagnation points), which is a rotational periodic gravity wave, and in Section 3.2 Crapper's wave, which is a periodic irrotational capillary wave. These examples illustrate both that the recovery formula provides better results if the line of symmetry is chosen to be a trough line. This is in accordance to the key feature of nonlinear periodic water waves of having long flat troughs and short sharper crests, cf. e.g. [8, 38], which justifies a better convergence of the Taylor series, derived by our recovery formula, close to the wave trough.

3.1. Recovery of Gerstner's wave. Gerstner's wave describes periodic gravity waves that propagate at the surface of a fluid of infinite depth. It is described in a Lagrangian framework via the diffeomorphism $\Phi(t) := (X(t), Y(t)) : \mathbb{R} \times (-\infty, b_0) \to \Omega_{\eta(t)}$ defined by

$$(X(t,a,b),Y(t,a,b)) := \left(a - \frac{e^{kb}}{k}\sin(k(a-ct)), b + \frac{e^{kb}}{k}\cos(k(a-ct))\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (3.1)$$

where $b_0 \leq 0$, see [19,22]. The constant k > 0 is the wavenumber, g is the Earth's gravity, and the wavespeed c is given by

$$c = \sqrt{\frac{g}{k}}.$$

We now set k = 1 and g = 1, so that also c = 1. At time t = 0 the wave surface is then the graph of the smooth curve $(X(0, a, b_0), Y(0, a, b_0)) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ with

$$(X(0, a, b_0), Y(0, a, b_0)) := \left(a - e^{b_0} \sin(a), b_0 + e^{b_0} \cos(a)\right),$$

which is a trochoid if $b_0 < 0$ and a cycloid if $b_0 = 0$ (and has cusps, thus the regularity assumption (2.5) is not satisfied in this case). One of the wave crests is located at $(x, y) = (0, b_0 + e^{b_0})$. At time t = 0, the velocity of the fluid particles is given by

$$(U(0, a, b), V(0, a, b)) = (e^b \cos(a)), e^b \sin(a)))$$

We also note that the vertical halfline $\{(0,b) : b \leq b_0\}$ is mapped by $\Phi(0)$ onto the crest line $\{(0,b+e^b) : b \leq b_0\}$, which is a line of symmetry for the wave.

Let $\phi : (-\infty, b_0] \to (-\infty, b_0 + e^{b_0}]$ be the diffeomorphism defined by $\phi(b) = b + e^b$. The horizontal velocity of the particle $\Phi(0, 0, b)$ is given by $U(0, 0, b) = e^b$, hence

$$\mathfrak{u}(y) = e^{\phi^{-1}(y)} - 1, \qquad y \le b_0 + e^{b_0},$$

is the horizontal velocity of the fluid particles on the line of symmetry (in the moving frame). Therefore, we have

$$-\psi(0,y) = \int_{y}^{b_0 + e^{b_0}} \left(e^{\phi^{-1}(s)} - 1 \right) \mathrm{d}s, \qquad y \le b_0 + e^{b_0} = \eta(0),$$

and $a_0 = (-\psi(0, \cdot))^{-1}$. Finally, the vorticity at $\Phi(0, b) = (0, \phi(b))$ is given by

$$\omega(0,\phi(b)) = \frac{-2e^{2b}}{1-e^{2b}}, \qquad b \le b_0,$$

D. Böhme & B.-V. Matioc

hence

$$\omega(0,y) = \frac{-2e^{2\phi^{-1}(y)}}{1 - e^{2\phi^{-1}(y)}}, \qquad y \le \eta(0),$$

and

$$\gamma(p) = \omega(a_0(p)) = \frac{-2e^{2\phi^{-1}(a_0(p))}}{1 - e^{2\phi^{-1}(a_0(p))}}, \qquad p \le 0.$$

Similar formulas are available for ϕ , \mathfrak{u} , a_0 , and γ when considering the trough line $\{x = \pi\}$ as a line of symmetry. In Figure 1 we recover the wave profile in a neighborhood of the wave crest.



FIGURE 1. Gerstner's wave Γ_{η} close to the crest line $\{x = 0\}$ in the particular case k = 1 and g = 1 for the values $b_0 = -1$ (left) and $b_0 = -2$ (right) and the Taylor polynomials $T_N(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} a_{2n}(0)q^{2n}$, $N \in \{4, 10, 16\}$.

In Figure 2 we have verified our recovery formula in a neighborhood of the wave trough $\{x = \pi\}$, which is also a line of symmetry for the flow.



FIGURE 2. Gerstner's wave Γ_{η} close to the crest line $\{x = \pi\}$ in the particular case k = 1 and g = 1 for the values $b_0 = -1$ (left) and $b_0 = -2$ (right) and the Taylor polynomials $T_N(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} a_{2n}(0)(q-\pi)^{2n}, N \in \{4, 10, 16\}.$

Figure 3 illustrates that the recovery formula can be used also when stagnation points are present, but they do not lie on the line of symmetry. Indeed, if $b_0 = 0$, then Gerstner's wave has a stagnation point at the crest and therefore we choose again the wave trough $\{x = \pi\}$ as the line of symmetry of the flow.

10



FIGURE 3. Gerstner's wave Γ_{η} close to the crest line $\{x = \pi\}$ in the particular case k = 1 and g = 1 for the values $b_0 = 0$ and the Taylor polynomials $T_N(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} a_{2n}(0)(q-\pi)^{2n}, N \in \{4, 10, 16\}.$

The Taylor polynomials T_4 , T_{10} , and T_{16} appear to be a better approximations for the wave profile compared to the case when the line of symmetry is a crest line. The coefficients $a_{2n}(0)$, $n \leq 8$, in Figure 1-Figure 3 are computed by using the recurrence formulas (2.12) with the help of Mathematica.

3.2. **Recovery of Crapper's wave.** Crapper's wave is a two-dimensional irrotational periodic capillary wave (gravity effects are neglected) that propagates at the surface of a fluid of infinite depth. The wave surface is the curve

$$\left[a \mapsto \lambda \left(a - \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{A \sin(2\pi a)}{1 + 2A \cos(2\pi a) + A^2}, \frac{2}{\pi} - \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{1 + A \cos(2\pi a)}{1 + 2A \cos(2\pi a) + A^2}\right)\right] : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2,$$

cf. [15, 31, 35], where λ is the wavelength. The wavelength λ is related to the parameter A, to the surface tension coefficient σ (we recall that the density is set to be $\rho = 1$), and the wavespeed c via

$$\lambda = 2\pi \frac{1-A^2}{1+A^2} \frac{\sigma}{c^2}.$$

Crapper's wave can be described as a graph if and only if $|A| < \sqrt{2} - 1$, see [35]. The flow corresponding to these wave is described by the equation

$$z = \frac{w}{c} + \frac{2\lambda i}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{1 + Ae^{\frac{2\pi w i}{c\lambda}}} \right),\tag{3.2}$$

where $z = (x, y) \in \overline{\Omega_{\eta}}$ is an arbitrary point and $w = (\phi(z), \psi(z))$, with ϕ being the velocity potential, which satisfies $\nabla \phi = (u, v)$ and $\phi = 0$ on the crest line $\{x = 0\}$, while ψ is the stream function. Evaluating on the crest line $\{x = 0\}$, we infer from (3.2) that $\psi(0, \cdot)$ satisfies the equation

$$y = \frac{\psi(0, y)}{c} + \frac{2\lambda}{\pi} \frac{A}{A + e^{\frac{2\pi}{c\lambda}\psi(0, y)}}, \qquad y \le \frac{2\lambda A}{\pi(1 + A)}.$$



FIGURE 4. Crapper's wave Γ_{η} close to the crest line $\{x = 0\}$ for the values A = 1/5 and $\lambda = (24\pi)/13$ (left) and A = 1/10 and $\lambda = (198\pi)/101$ (right) together with the Taylor polynomials $T_N(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} a_{2n}(0)q^{2n}$ with $N \in \{4, 10, 16\}$.

In particular, we find an explicit expression for a_0 , namely that

$$a_0(p) = -\frac{p}{c} + \frac{2\lambda}{\pi} \frac{A}{A + e^{-\frac{2\pi}{c\lambda}p}}, \qquad p \le 0$$

A similar formula is available for a_0 when considering the trough line $\{x = \lambda/2\}$ as a line of symmetry. We illustrate the recovery method for this wave in the particular case when

$$c = 1$$
 and $\sigma = 1$.



FIGURE 5. Crapper's wave Γ_{η} close to the crest line $\{x = \lambda/2\}$ for the values A = 1/5 and $\lambda = (24\pi)/13$ (left) and A = 1/10 and $\lambda = (198\pi)/101$ (right) together with the Taylor polynomials $T_N(q) := \sum_{n=0}^{N/2} a_{2n}(0) \left(q - \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2n}$ with $N \in \{4, 10, 16\}$.

In Figure 4 we recover Crapper's wave in a neighborhood of the crest line $\{x = 0\}$ and in Figure 5 we consider the trough line $\{x = \lambda/2\}$ as a line of symmetry. Similarly as in the case of Gerstner's wave, the Taylor polynomials T_4 , T_{10} , and T_{16} approximate better the wave profile when the line of symmetry is a trough line. Also in Figure 4 and Figure 5 we have computed the coefficients $a_{2n}(0)$, $n \leq 8$, by using the recurrence formulas (2.12) with the help of Mathematica.

References

- A. BAQUERIZO AND M. A. LOSADA, Transfer function between wave height and wave pressure for progressive waves, Coastal Engineering, 24 (1995), pp. 351–353.
- H. CHEN, W.-X. LI, AND L.-J. WANG, Regularity of traveling free surface water waves with vorticity, J. Nonlinear Sci., 23 (2013), pp. 1111–1142.
- R. M. CHEN AND S. WALSH, Unique determination of stratified steady water waves from pressure, J. Differential Equations, 264 (2018), pp. 115–133.
- [4] D. CLAMOND, New exact relations for easy recovery of steady wave profiles from bottom pressure measurements, J. Fluid Mech., 726 (2013), pp. 547–558.
- [5] D. CLAMOND AND A. CONSTANTIN, Recovery of steady periodic wave profiles from pressure measurements at the bed, J. Fluid Mech., 714 (2013), pp. 463–475.
- [6] D. CLAMOND AND D. HENRY, Extreme water-wave profile recovery from pressure measurements at the seabed, J. Fluid Mech., 903 (2020), pp. R3, 12.
- [7] D. CLAMOND, J. LABARBE, AND D. HENRY, Recovery of steady rotational wave profiles from pressure measurements at the bed, J. Fluid Mech., 961 (2023), pp. Paper No. R2, 11.
- [8] A. CONSTANTIN, Nonlinear Water Waves with Applications to Wave-Current Interactions and Tsunamis, vol. 81 of CBMS-NSF Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2011.
- [9] —, On the recovery of solitary wave profiles from pressure measurements, J. Fluid Mech., 699 (2012), pp. 376–384.
- [10] A. CONSTANTIN, M. EHRNSTRÖM, AND E. WAHLÉN, Symmetry of steady periodic gravity water waves with vorticity, Duke Math. J., 140 (2007), pp. 591–603.
- [11] A. CONSTANTIN AND J. ESCHER, Symmetry of steady deep-water waves with vorticity, European J. Appl. Math., 15 (2004), pp. 755–768.
- [12] —, Symmetry of steady periodic surface water waves with vorticity, J. Fluid Mech., 498 (2004), pp. 171–181.
- [13] A. CONSTANTIN, K. KALIMERIS, AND O. SCHERZER, A penalization method for calculating the flow beneath traveling water waves of large amplitude, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 75 (2015), pp. 1513–1535.
- [14] W. CRAIG AND P. STERNBERG, Symmetry of solitary waves, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 13 (1988), pp. 603–633.
- [15] G. D. CRAPPER, An exact solution for progressive capillary waves of arbitrary amplitude, J. Fluid Mech., 2 (1957), pp. 532–540.
- [16] B. DECONINCK, D. HENDERSON, K. L. OLIVERAS, AND V. VASAN, *Recovering the water-wave surface from pressure measurements*, In Proc. 10th Intl Conf. on Waves, Vancouver Juli 25-29, PIMS, 2011, pp. 699–702.
- M. EHRNSTRÖM, Deep water waves with vorticity: Symmetry and rotational behaviour, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 19 (2007), pp. 483–491.
- [18] J. ESCHER AND T. SCHLURMANN, On the recovery of the free surface from the pressure within periodic traveling water waves, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 15 (2008), pp. 50–57.
- [19] F. GERSTNER, Theorie der Wellen samt einer daraus abgeleiteten Theorie der Deichprofile, Ann. Phys., 2 (1809), pp. 412–445.
- [20] D. GILBARG AND N. S. TRUDINGER, Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order, Springer Verlag, 2001.
- [21] J. GRUE, D. CLAMOND, M. HUSEBY, AND A. JENSEN, Kinematics of extreme waves in deep water, Applied Ocean Research, 25 (2003), pp. 355–366.
- [22] D. HENRY, On Gerstner's water wave, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 15 (2008), pp. 87–95.
- [23] _____, On the pressure transfer function for solitary water waves with vorticity, Math. Ann., 357 (2013), pp. 23–30.
- [24] D. HENRY AND A.-V. MATIOC, On the symmetry of steady equatorial wind waves, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., 18 (2014), pp. 50–56.

- [25] D. HENRY AND G. P. THOMAS, Prediction of the free-surface elevation for rotational water waves using the recovery of pressure at the bed, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. A, 376 (2018), pp. 20170102, 21.
- [26] H.-C. HSU, Recovering surface profiles of solitary waves on a uniform stream from pressure measurements, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. A, 34 (2014), pp. 3035–3043.
- [27] H.-C. HSU, Y.-Y. CHEN, C.-Y. LIN, AND C.-Y. CHENG, Experimental study of the velocity field in solitary water waves, Journal of Nonlinear Mathematical Physics, 19 (2012), p. 1240003.
- [28] V. M. HUR, Symmetry of steady periodic water waves with vorticity., Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. A, 365 (2007), pp. 2203–2214.
- [29] —, Symmetry of solitary water waves with vorticity, Math. Res. Lett., 15 (2008), pp. 491–509.
- [30] Y.-Y. KUO AND Y.-F. CHIU, Transfer function between wave height and wave pressure for progressive waves, Coastal Engineering, 23 (1994), pp. 81–93.
- [31] C. I. MARTIN, On Crapper's wave, Appl. Anal., 93 (2014), pp. 1106–1113.
- [32] B.-V. MATIOC, Regularity results for deep-water waves with Hölder continuous vorticity, Appl. Anal., 92 (2013), pp. 2144–2151.
- [33] —, A characterization of the symmetric steady water waves in terms of the underlying flow, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 34 (2014), pp. 3125–3133.
- [34] —, Recovery of Stokes waves from velocity measurements on an axis of symmetry, J. Phys. A, 48 (2015), pp. 255501, 8.
- [35] H. OKAMOTO AND M. SHŌJI, The mathematical theory of permanent progressive water-waves, vol. 20 of Advanced Series in Nonlinear Dynamics, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [36] K. L. OLIVERAS, V. VASAN, B. DECONINCK, AND D. HENDERSON, Recovering the water-wave profile from pressure measurements, SIAM J. Appl. Math., 72 (2012), pp. 897–918.
- [37] C. SWAN, I. P. CUMMINGS, AND R. L. JAMES, An experimental study of two-dimensional surface water waves propagating on depth-varying currents. Part 1. Regular waves, J. Fluid Mech., 428 (2001), pp. 273–304.
- [38] A. F. TELES DA SILVA AND D. H. PEREGRINE, Steep, steady surface waves on water of finite depth with constant vorticity, J. Fluid Mech., 195 (1988), pp. 281–302.
- [39] J. F. TOLAND, On the symmetry theory for Stokes waves of finite and infinite depth, in Trends in applications of mathematics to mechanics (Nice, 1998), vol. 106 of Chapman & Hall/CRC Monogr. Surv. Pure Appl. Math., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2000, pp. 207–217.
- [40] C.-H. TSAI, M.-C. HUANG, F.-J. YOUNG, Y.-C. YIN, AND H.-W. LI, On the recovery of surface wave by pressure transfer function, Ocean Engineering, 32 (2005), pp. 1247–1259.
- [41] S. WALSH, Some criteria for the symmetry of stratified water waves, Wave Motion, 46 (2009), pp. 350–362.
- [42] F. XU, Y. ZHANG, AND F. LI, On the symmetry and recovery of steady continuously stratified periodic water waves, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 23 (2021), pp. Paper No. 90, 13.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT REGENSBURG, D-93040 REGENSBURG, DEUTSCHLAND Email address: daniel.boehme@ur.de Email address: bogdan.matioc@ur.de

14