

# DECOMPOSING FINITE $\mathbb{Z}$ -ALGEBRAS

MARTIN KREUZER, ALEXEI MIASNIKOV, AND FLORIAN WALSH

ABSTRACT. For a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ , i.e., for a ring which is not necessarily associative or unitary, but whose additive group is finitely generated, we construct a decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  into directly indecomposable factors under weak hypotheses. The method is based on constructing and decomposing a ring of scalars  $S$ , and then lifting the decomposition of  $S$  to the bilinear map given by the multiplication of  $R$ , and finally to  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$ . All steps of the construction are given as explicit algorithms and it is shown that the entire procedure has a probabilistic polynomial time complexity in the bit size of the input, except for the possible need to calculate the prime factorization of one integer. In particular, in the case when  $\text{Ann}(R) = 0$ , these algorithms compute direct decompositions of  $R$  into directly indecomposable factors.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the early 1980s, A. Miasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov studied the elementary theory of finite-dimensional algebras (not necessarily associative, not necessarily unitary) over regularly separable fields (see [18], [19]), making use of Mal'cev's correspondence (see [14]). Later, in the early 1990s, A. Miasnikov extended and improved these results to finite-dimensional algebras over arbitrary fields (cf. [17]), and even to bilinear maps satisfying some weak hypotheses (cf. [16]). In the last decade this topic has received renewed interest and many improvements and generalizations have been found (see for instance [15] and [5]).

For a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ , i.e., a ring which is not necessarily associative or unitary, but whose additive group is finitely generated, a central step is the construction of a maximal ring of scalars which is a commutative, unitary ring. Then one uses the theory of commutative rings to write the maximal ring of scalars as a direct product of indecomposable rings, and finally this decomposition is lifted to a decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$ .

The goal of this paper is to make all steps of this process explicit and algorithmic, and to keep carefully track of the complexity of all operations involved in the process. Already the case  $R = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $n = pq$  is the product of two large prime numbers  $p$  and  $q$ , shows that the complexity of the entire construction cannot be purely polynomial time. However, as we shall see, everything can be computed in probabilistic polynomial time plus one integer factorization.

Let us discuss the results of the paper in more detail. In Section 2 we present an algorithm to compute a presentation via generators and relations of the maximal ring of scalars of a bilinear map. Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups and assume that  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow M$  is a bilinear map. The maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  of  $f$  is a subring of the ring  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  and can be defined by equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$ . After fixing presentations of the groups  $N_1, N_2$  and  $M$ , its defining equations can be found by solving linear diophantine equations. This means that the maximal ring of scalars can be computed in polynomial time. After making all steps

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 16Z05; Secondary 68W30, 16P10, 13P10.

*Key words and phrases*. algebra decomposition, directly indecomposable factor, bilinear map, maximal ring of scalars, primitive idempotent, Lie ring.

in the construction of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ , we present the resulting algorithm in Proposition 2.13, and then we turn its result into a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  in Proposition 2.14.

Next, in Section 3, we apply this technique to compute a presentation of the maximal ring of scalars of a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ . We can calculate the maximal ring of scalars for the bilinear map

$$f : R / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R / \text{Ann}_\rho(R) \longrightarrow R^2$$

and derive the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  of  $R$  from  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  (see Proposition 3.9).

The next step, namely the product decomposition of a finite unitary and commutative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, is taken in Section 4. For this task, we need to solve the problem of computing the primitive idempotents of an explicitly presented commutative finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $S = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$ , where  $I$  is an ideal in  $P = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . To this end, we use a simplified version of the algorithm given in [21] for the computation of a primary decomposition of  $I$  (see Algorithm 1 and Proposition 4.7). Then it only remains to compute the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(S)$  (see Algorithm 2) and thus the primitive idempotents of  $S$  (see Proposition 4.12). For a presentation of  $S$  like the one we have for a maximal ring of scalars, all algorithms in this section can be performed in probabilistic polynomial time in the bit size of the input presentation, except for one integer prime factorization (see [11]).

In Section 5 we combine the results of Section 2 and Section 4. More precisely, given a bilinear map  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  as above, we compute a presentation of its maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  and then find the primitive idempotents of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ . Using these, we decompose  $f$  into a direct product of directly indecomposable bilinear maps (see Corollary 5.4).

The topic of the last section is to lift this decomposition from the multiplication map of a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra to a decomposition of the algebra itself. In this case we obtain a decomposition of the residue class ring  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  into a direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras (see Theorem 6.2). The algorithm for computing this decomposition has again probabilistic polynomial time complexity plus one integer prime factorization. Using suitably constructed finite dimensional Lie rings, we see that some decompositions of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  may not be constructable by lifting a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  (see Example 6.9), and that the decomposition of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  cannot be lifted to a decomposition of  $R$  without further hypotheses (see Examples 6.10 and 6.11). Moreover, Proposition 6.8 provides a criterion under which the factors in the decomposition given by Theorem 6.2 are directly indecomposable.

One of the main applications of these results is that if  $\text{Ann}(R) = 0$  in a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ , then the algorithms designed in this paper compute direct decompositions of  $R$  into directly indecomposable factors and these computations are quite efficient.

Unless explicitly noted otherwise, we use the definitions and notation introduced in [9] and [10]. The algorithms in this paper were implemented by the third author in the computer algebra system `ApCoCoA` (see [2]). The source code is available from the authors upon request.

## 2. THE MAXIMAL RING OF SCALARS OF A BILINEAR MAP

In this section we study the maximal ring of scalars of a bilinear map between abelian groups. The existence proof follows the construction in [16]. Our main goal is to find an algorithm for calculating the maximal ring of scalars.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $M$  be abelian groups and  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  a map.

- (a) The map  $f$  is called **bilinear** if, for every  $a \in N_1$  and  $b \in N_2$ , the maps  $f(a, \cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot, b)$  are group homomorphisms.
- (b) The map  $f$  is called **non-degenerate** if  $f(a, b) = 0$  for all  $b \in N_2$  implies  $a = 0$ , and if  $f(a, b) = 0$  for all  $a \in N_1$  implies  $b = 0$ .
- (c) If the additive group generated by  $f(N_1, N_2)$  is  $M$  then the map  $f$  is called **full**.

The central object of study in this section is defined as follows.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups and  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  a bilinear map.

- (a) A commutative ring  $R$  is called a **ring of scalars** of  $f$  if  $N_1, N_2$  and  $M$  are faithful  $R$ -modules, and if  $f(ra, b) = f(a, rb) = r f(a, b)$  for all  $r \in R, a \in N_1$  and  $b \in N_2$ .
- (b) A ring of scalars  $R$  of  $f$  is called **maximal** if every other ring of scalars  $R'$  of  $f$  can be embedded as a subring of  $R$ .

A ring of scalars can be embedded into a larger ring as follows.

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups and  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  a bilinear map.

- (a) By viewing  $N_1, N_2$  and  $M$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules, we have the rings of endomorphisms  $\text{End}(N_1), \text{End}(N_2)$  and  $\text{End}(M)$ .
- (b) Given a ring of scalars  $R$  of  $f$ , we associate to every element  $r \in R$  the **multiplication endomorphisms**  $\varphi_{i,r} : N_i \longrightarrow N_i$  given by  $\varphi_{i,r}(a) = ra$  for  $a \in N_i$ , and  $\psi_r : M \longrightarrow M$  given by  $\psi_r(c) = rc$  for  $c \in M$ .
- (c) Since  $N_1, N_2$  and  $M$  are faithful  $R$ -modules, the maps  $\Phi_i : R \longrightarrow \text{End}(N_i)$  given by  $\Phi_i(r) = \varphi_{i,r}$  and  $\Psi : R \longrightarrow \text{End}(M)$  given by  $\Psi(r) = \psi_r$  are injective ring homomorphisms.

In the following we let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups, let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  be a bilinear map, and let  $R$  be a ring of scalars of  $f$ . Using the maps  $\Phi_i$  in part (c) of the preceding remark, we identify  $R$  with its images in  $\text{End}(N_1)$  and  $\text{End}(N_2)$ , and diagonally in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$ . Our next goal is to show that a maximal ring of scalars of  $f$  exists.

**Definition 2.4.** The elements of the sets

$$\text{Sym}(f) := \{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2) \mid f(\varphi_1(a), b) = f(a, \varphi_2(b)) \\ \text{for all } a \in N_1, b \in N_2\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Z}(\text{Sym}(f)) := \{\varphi \in \text{Sym}(f) \mid \varphi\psi = \psi\varphi \text{ for all } \psi \in \text{Sym}(f)\}$$

are called the **symmetric**, respectively **central symmetric**, endomorphisms of  $N_1 \times N_2$  with respect to  $f$ .

The set of central symmetric endomorphisms of  $N_1 \times N_2$  has the following properties.

**Proposition 2.5.** Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups, and let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  be a bilinear map.

- (a) The set  $\text{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  is a commutative unitary subring of  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$ .
- (b) If  $f$  is non-degenerate then every ring of scalars  $R$  of  $f$  can be embedded as subring of  $\text{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ .

*Proof.* To prove (a), we need to show that  $\text{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  is closed under addition and multiplication. For all  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \text{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  and all  $a \in N_1$  and

$b \in N_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f((\varphi_1 + \psi_1)(a), b) &= f(\varphi_1(a), b) + f(\psi_1(a), b) = f(a, \varphi_2(b)) + f(a, \psi_2(b)) \\ &= f(a, (\varphi_2 + \psi_2)(b)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $f(\varphi_1\psi_1(a), b) = f(\psi_1(a), \varphi_2(b)) = f(a, \psi_2\varphi_2(b)) = f(a, \varphi_2\psi_2(b))$ . Consequently, we get  $\varphi + \psi \in \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  and  $\varphi\psi \in \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ , as claimed.

To show (b), we let  $R$  be a ring of scalars of  $f$ . Notice that, by definition, we have  $R \subseteq \text{Sym}(f)$ . It remains to show that  $R \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ . For  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in R$  and  $\psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in \text{Sym}(f)$  and all  $a \in N_1$  and  $b \in N_2$ , we have

$$f(\varphi_1\psi_1(a), b) = \varphi \cdot f(\psi_1(a), b) = \varphi \cdot f(a, \psi_2(b)) = f(\varphi_1(a), \psi_2(b)) = f(\psi_1\varphi_1(a), b)$$

Thus we obtain  $f((\varphi_1\psi_1 - \psi_1\varphi_1)(a), b) = 0$  for all  $a \in N_1$  and  $b \in N_2$ . Since  $f$  is non-degenerate, it follows that  $(\varphi_1\psi_1 - \psi_1\varphi_1)(a) = 0$  for all  $a \in N_1$ . Analogously we obtain  $(\varphi_2\psi_2 - \psi_2\varphi_2)(b) = 0$  for all  $b \in N_2$ , and hence  $\varphi\psi = \psi\varphi$ . This proves  $R \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to prove the existence of a maximal ring of scalars of  $f$ . The following theorem was first shown in [16], Thm. 1, using a different setting and notation.

**Theorem 2.6.** *Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups, and let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow M$  be a non-degenerate and full bilinear map. Then there exists a unique maximal ring of scalars of  $f$ . It is denoted by  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  and satisfies*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{S}(f) &= \{(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f)) \mid \sum_i f(\varphi_1(a_i), b_i) = \sum_j f(\varphi_1(a'_j), b'_j) \\ &\quad \text{for } a_i, a'_j \in N_1 \text{ and } b_i, b'_j \in N_2 \text{ with } \sum_i f(a_i, b_i) = \sum_j f(a'_j, b'_j) \in M\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The ring  $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  is commutative and acts faithfully on  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ . By Proposition 2.5, we know that every ring of scalars is a subring of  $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ . Since the map  $f$  is full, every element  $c \in M$  can be represented in the form  $c = \sum_i f(a_i, b_i)$  with  $a_i \in N_1$  and  $b_i \in N_2$ .

Let  $R$  be the set of all maps  $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$  such that  $\sum_i f(a_i, b_i) = \sum_j f(a'_j, b'_j)$  implies  $\sum_i f(\varphi_1(a_i), b_i) = \sum_j f(\varphi_1(a'_j), b'_j)$  for all  $a'_j \in N_1$  and  $b'_j \in N_2$  with  $c = \sum_j f(a'_j, b'_j)$ . Using the bilinearity of  $f$ , we see that for  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2), \psi = (\psi_1, \psi_2) \in R$  and  $a_i, b_i, a'_j, b'_j, c$  as above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i f((\varphi_1 + \psi_1)(a_i), b_i) &= \sum_i f(\varphi_1(a_i), b_i) + \sum_i f(\psi_1(a_i), b_i) = \\ &= \sum_j f(\varphi_1(a'_j), b'_j) + \sum_j f(\psi_1(a'_j), b'_j) \\ &= \sum_j f((\varphi_1 + \psi_1)(a'_j), b'_j) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $\varphi + \psi \in R$ . Furthermore,  $\sum_i f(\psi_1(a_i), b_i) = \sum_j f(\psi_1(a'_j), b'_j)$  implies  $\sum_i f(\varphi_1\psi_1(a_i), b_i) = \sum_j f(\varphi_1\psi_1(a'_j), b'_j)$ . Hence the set  $R$  is closed under addition and multiplication, and therefore a subring of  $\mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ .

By definition, the action  $\varphi(c) := \sum_i f(\varphi(a_i), b_i)$  on  $c = \sum_i f(a_i, b_i) \in M$  is well-defined for all  $\varphi \in R$ . Since the action of  $R$  on  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  is faithful and  $f$  is non-degenerate, the action of  $R$  on  $M$  is faithful as well. Thus  $R$  is a ring of scalars of  $f$ .

It remains to show that  $R$  is a maximal ring of scalars and that it is unique. Let  $\tilde{R}$  be a ring of scalars of  $f$ . Using Remark 2.3, we identify  $\tilde{R}$  with its image in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$ . Then, by Proposition 2.5, we have  $\tilde{R} \subseteq \mathbf{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ . Finally, since  $M$  is a faithful  $\tilde{R}$ -module, the ring  $\tilde{R}$  has to satisfy the defining condition of  $R$ , and thus is a subring of  $R$ . This shows that  $R$  is a maximal ring of scalars of  $f$ . As every other maximal ring of scalars embeds into  $R$ , it is the unique ring with this property.  $\square$

In the following we denote the additive group of a ring  $R$  by  $R^+$ . In the next step we describe the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  of  $f$  as a set of solutions of a system of homogeneous linear equations over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Since it is a subring of the ring  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$ , the first goal is to describe the additive groups of  $\text{End}(N_1)$  and  $\text{End}(N_2)$  as the set of solutions of such a linear system.

In the following we assume that  $N$  is a finitely generated abelian group and that a presentation of  $N$  is given by

$$N = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n / \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i1} e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i\nu} e_i \right\rangle$$

with  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we denote the residue class of  $e_i$  in  $N$  by  $a_i$ . Then  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is a system of generators of  $N$ . We shall describe  $\text{End}(N)$  by solving a homogeneous linear system over  $N$  in the following sense.

**Remark 2.7. (Solving Systems of Linear Equations over  $N$ )**

Let  $N$  be a finitely generated abelian group with a presentation as above, let  $v_1, \dots, v_p \in N$ , and let

$$(i) \quad x_1 v_1 + \cdots + x_p v_p = 0$$

be a homogeneous linear equation over  $N$  in the indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_p$ . For  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , we write  $v_i = c_{i1} a_1 + \cdots + c_{in} a_n$  with  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Then a tuple  $(s_1, \dots, s_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p$  is a solution of (i) if and only if there exist  $s_{p+1}, \dots, s_{p+\nu} \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $(s_1, \dots, s_{p+\nu})$  is a solution of the system of  $n$  homogeneous linear equations

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i (c_{i1} e_1 + \cdots + c_{in} e_n) + \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} x_{p+j} (r_{1j} e_1 + \cdots + r_{nj} e_n) = 0$$

where  $x_{p+1}, \dots, x_{p+\nu}$  are further indeterminates.

Hence we may find a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the set of solutions of equation (i) by solving the system (ii), projecting the generators of the solution space to their first  $p$  coordinates, and interreducing the result.

Now we are ready to describe  $\text{End}(N)$  as follows.

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let  $N$  be a finitely generated abelian group with a presentation as above. Consider the following system of homogeneous linear equations over  $N$  in the indeterminates  $x_{ij}$ , where  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ :*

$$(iii) \quad r_{1i} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{1j} a_j + \cdots + r_{ni} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{nj} a_j = 0$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ . Then the elements of  $\text{End}(N)$  correspond to the solutions of (iii). More precisely, a solution  $(c_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^n$  of (iii) corresponds to the endomorphism  $\varphi \in \text{End}(N)$  which satisfies  $\varphi(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} a_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* To every  $\varphi \in \text{End}(N)$ , we associate the  $n$ -tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in N^n$  with  $b_i = \varphi(a_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . An arbitrary tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in N^n$  is associated to an endomorphism of  $N$  if and only if it satisfies the relations of  $N$ , i.e., iff  $\sum_{i=1}^n r_{ij} b_i = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, \nu$ . We write each component  $b_i$  as a linear combination of the generators  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  of  $N$  and get  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} a_j$  with coefficients  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus the tuple  $(b_1, \dots, b_n)$  is associated to an element  $\varphi \in \text{End}(N)$  if and only if the tuple of coefficients  $(c_{ij})$  is a solution of (iii).  $\square$

Having described the elements of  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  as solutions of a system of homogeneous linear equations, we now consider  $\text{Sym}(f)$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}(\text{Sym}(f))$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ .

Let  $N_1$  be generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  and let  $N_2$  be generated by  $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n'}\}$ . Since these sets depend also on the abelian group  $M$ , we need to fix a presentation

$$M = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_m / \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^m r'_{i1} e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m r'_{i\mu} e_i \right\rangle$$

with  $r'_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $j = 1, \dots, \mu$ . The residue class of  $e_j$  in  $M$  is denoted by  $b_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, m$ . Then the set  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  is a system of generators of  $M$ .

Furthermore, we may describe  $f$  explicitly as follows. For  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $j = n+1, \dots, n'$ , we write  $f(a_i, a_j) = \gamma_{ij1} b_1 + \dots + \gamma_{ijm} b_m$  with  $\gamma_{ij1}, \dots, \gamma_{ijm} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Notice that we can now solve the systems of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$  appearing in the following proposition in analogy to the method explained in Remark 2.7. In the following we assume that elements  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in \text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  are defined under the bijection given in Proposition 2.8 by tuples  $(d_{ij})$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  with  $\varphi_1(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} a_j$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi_2(a_i) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{ij} a_j$  for  $i = n+1, \dots, n'$ .

**Proposition 2.9.** *Let  $(d_{ij})$  be a tuple in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  which is associated to an element  $\varphi \in \text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  as above. Then the elements of  $\text{Sym}(f)$ ,  $Z(\text{Sym}(f))$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  correspond to the solutions of the following systems of homogeneous linear equations.*

- (a) *The element  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\text{Sym}(f)$  if and only if  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  is a solution of the following system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$ :*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ki} f(a_i, a_\ell) - \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{\ell j} f(a_k, a_j) = 0$$

for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\ell \in \{n+1, \dots, n'\}$

- (b) *Let  $(\delta_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (\delta_{ij}^{(p)})$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  such that the corresponding endomorphisms in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  generate the additive group  $\text{Sym}(f)$ . Then an element  $\varphi$  of  $\text{Sym}(f)$  is contained in  $Z(\text{Sym}(f))$  if and only if the tuple  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of the following system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$ :*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{ki} \delta_{\ell j}^{(\pi)} f(a_i, a_j) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{\ell j} \delta_{ki}^{(\pi)} f(a_i, a_j) = 0$$

for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\ell \in \{n+1, \dots, n'\}$  and  $\pi \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ .

- (c) *Let  $(z_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (z_{ij}^{(q)})$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{n'-n}$  which form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solution space of the following homogeneous linear equation over  $M$ :*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} x_{ij} f(a_i, a_{j+n}) = 0$$

Then an element  $\varphi$  of  $Z(\text{Sym}(f))$  given by a tuple  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  if and only if  $(d_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, n}^{j=1, \dots, n}$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^n$  is a solution of the following system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$ :

$$\sum_{i,\ell=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} x_{i\ell} z_{ij}^{(k)} f(a_\ell, a_{j+n}) = 0$$

for  $k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$ .

*Proof.* A version of these claims was shown in [16]. For the convenience of the reader, and since it is the basis for any implementation, we provide a proof using our notation.

To prove (a) we note that, for a tuple  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$ , we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_{ki} f(a_i, a_\ell) = f(\varphi_1(a_k), a_\ell)$  and  $\sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{\ell j} f(a_k, a_j) = f(a_k, \varphi_2(a_\ell))$ . Hence the tuple  $(d_{ij})$  solves the system if and only if  $\varphi$  is symmetric.

Next we show (b). For  $\pi = 1, \dots, p$ , let  $\psi^\pi = (\psi_1^\pi, \psi_2^\pi)$  be the tuple of endomorphisms corresponding to  $(\delta_{ij}^{(\pi)})$ . By assumption,  $\{\psi^1, \dots, \psi^p\}$  generates  $\text{Sym}(f)$ . For every tuple  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{ki} \delta_{\ell j}^{(\pi)} f(a_i, a_j) &= f(\varphi_1(a_k), \psi_2^\pi(a_\ell)) \text{ and} \\ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{\ell j} \delta_{ki}^{(\pi)} f(a_i, a_j) &= f(\psi_1^\pi(a_k), \varphi_2(a_\ell)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore this tuple solves the system if and only if we have  $f(\varphi_1(a_k), \varrho_2(b_\ell)) = f(\varrho_1(a_k), \varphi_2(b_\ell))$  for every  $\varrho = (\varrho_1, \varrho_2) \in \text{Sym}(f)$ . Since  $\varrho$  is symmetric, this is equivalent to

$$f(\varrho_1 \varphi_1(a_k), b_\ell) = f(\varphi_1(a_k), \varrho_2(b_\ell)) = f(\varrho_1(a_k), \varphi_2(b_\ell)) = f(\varphi_1 \varrho_1(a_k), b_\ell).$$

Now we use the facts that  $f$  is non-degenerate and that  $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n'}\}$  generates  $N_2$  to conclude that the latter condition is equivalent to  $\varrho_1 \varphi_1 = \varphi_1 \varrho_1$ . Since  $\varphi$  is symmetric, we analogously obtain  $\varrho_2 \varphi_2 = \varphi_2 \varrho_2$ , which proves  $\varphi \in Z(\text{Sym}(f))$ .

Finally, we prove (c). By writing the elements  $a_i, b_i, a'_j, b'_j$  in Theorem 2.6 in terms of the generators of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$ , it is easy to check that  $\varphi$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} \gamma_{ij} f(a_i, a_{j+n}) = 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} \gamma_{ij} f(\varphi_1(a_i), a_{j+n}) = 0$$

for all  $(\gamma_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{n'-n}$ . By construction, the tuples  $(z_{ij}^{(k)})$  with  $k \in \{1, \dots, q\}$  form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solution space of the first equation. For every  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$ , the tuple  $(d_{ij})_{i=1, \dots, n}^{j=1, \dots, n}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{i, \ell=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{i\ell} z_{ij}^{(k)} f(a_\ell, a_j) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} z_{ij}^{(k)} f(\varphi_1(a_i), b_j).$$

Hence we have  $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} z_{ij}^{(k)} f(\varphi_1(a_i), a_j) = 0$  for  $k = 1, \dots, q$ , if and only if this tuple solves the second system in (c) and this is equivalent to  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}(f)$ .  $\square$

Part (c) of the preceding proposition allows us to compute tuples representing a system of generators of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ . It remains to determine the relations between these generators. This can be done as follows.

**Proposition 2.10.** *Let  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(\ell)})$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  which represent elements  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_\ell \in \mathfrak{S}(f)$  with  $\varphi_i = (\varphi_{i1}, \varphi_{i2})$ , and assume that  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_\ell\}$  generates the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\mathfrak{S}(f)^+$ . Then a tuple of integers  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell$  represents a relation  $\sum_{i=1}^\ell \gamma_i \varphi_i = 0$  if and only if it is a solution of the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$  given by*

$$\sum_{i=1}^\ell \sum_{k=1}^n x_i d_{jk}^{(i)} a_k = 0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$\sum_{i=1}^\ell \sum_{k=n+1}^{n'} x_i d_{jk}^{(i)} a_k = 0$$

for  $j = n+1, \dots, n'$ .

*Proof.* Clearly, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i \varphi_i = 0$  if and only if we have  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i \varphi_{i1}(a_j) = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \gamma_i \varphi_{i2}(a_j) = 0$  for  $j = n+1, \dots, n'$ . Now it suffices to use the equalities  $\varphi_{i1}(a_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n d_{jk}^{(i)} a_k$  and  $\varphi_{i2}(a_j) = \sum_{k=n+1}^{n'} d_{jk}^{(i)} a_k$   $\square$

In summary, to compute a presentation of the maximal ring of scalars, we need to solve the systems of linear equations over  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  given in Propositions 2.8 and 2.10, and the systems over  $M$  given in 2.9. As we have noted in Remark 2.7, this amounts to solving various systems of homogeneous linear equations over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Let us mention one way to perform this well-known task.

**Remark 2.11.** Let  $A \in \text{Mat}_{m,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be an integer matrix which defines a system of homogeneous linear equations. Since the set of solutions of this system forms a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , it is free. As for instance described in [12], we can compute a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the set of solutions of the system as follows.

For the matrix  $A$ , there exist unimodular matrices  $L \in \text{Mat}_m(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $R \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{Z})$  such that  $LAR = D$  where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix called the *Smith normal form* of  $A$ . Using these matrices, we can describe all solutions of the linear system. Namely, let  $s$  be the rank of  $D$ . Then a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the set of solutions of the system is given by  $\{Re_{s+1}, \dots, Re_n\}$ . Hence it suffices to compute the Smith normal form of  $A$  and the corresponding unimodular matrix  $R$ .

In [8], Kannan and Bachem give an algorithm for computing the Smith normal form and the corresponding unimodular matrices in polynomial time and such that the number of digits of all matrix entries are bounded by a polynomial. More detailed complexity analyses of solving systems of linear equations over  $\mathbb{Z}$  are given by Storjohann in [23] and Wan in [24].

At this point we are ready to combine the results of this section to give an algorithm which computes a system of generators of the maximal ring of scalars of a bilinear map.

**Remark 2.12.** As an input for all further calculations, we assume that we are given the following information.

- (a) Presentations of  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  of the form

$$N_1 = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n / \langle \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i1}e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n r_{iv}e_i \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$N_2 = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_{n'-n} / \langle \sum_{i=n+1}^{n'} r_{i1}e_{i-n}, \dots, \sum_{i=n+1}^{n'} r_{iv'}e_{i-n} \rangle$$

with  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denoting the residue class of  $e_i$  in  $N_1$  by  $a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we get a system of generators  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $N_1$ . Analogously, denoting the residue class of  $e_i$  in  $N_2$  by  $a_{n+i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n' - n$ , we get a system of generators  $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n'}\}$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $N_2$ .

- (b) A presentation of  $M$  of the form

$$M = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_m / \langle \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho_{i1}e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^m \varrho_{i\mu}e_i \rangle$$

with  $\varrho_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denoting the residue class of  $e_i$  in  $M$  by  $c_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , we get a system of generators  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M$ .

- (c) In order to encode the bilinear map  $f$ , we write the image  $f(a_i, a_j)$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of the generators of  $M$  and obtain

$$f(a_i, a_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m s_{ijk} b_k$$

with structure constants  $s_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = n+1, \dots, n'$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m$ .



**Proposition 2.13.** *Let  $f: N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow M$  be a full and non-degenerate bilinear map. Assume that we are given presentations as in Remark 2.12. Then the following steps define an algorithm which computes elements  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$  in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  corresponding to a system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ .*

- (1) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$  given by*

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij} r_{ik} a_j = 0$$

*for  $k \in \{1, \dots, \nu\}$  and*

$$\sum_{i,j=n+1}^{n'} x_{ij} r_{ik} a_j = 0$$

*for  $k \in \{1, \dots, \nu'\}$ , and let  $L$  be its solution space.*

- (2) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$  given by*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ki} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{i\ell\kappa} b_\kappa - \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{\ell j} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{kj\kappa} b_\kappa = 0 \quad (i)$$

*for  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\ell \in \{n+1, \dots, n'\}$ .*

- (3) *Compute the intersection of  $L$  and the solution space of the linear system (i). Let  $((\delta_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (\delta_{ij}^{(p)}))$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  which form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the intersection.*

- (4) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$  given by*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{ki} \delta_{\ell j}^{(\pi)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{ij\kappa} b_\kappa - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} x_{\ell j} \delta_{ki}^{(\pi)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{ij\kappa} b_\kappa = 0 \quad (ii)$$

*where  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\ell \in \{n+1, \dots, n'\}$  and  $\pi \in \{1, \dots, p\}$*

- (5) *Solve the following homogeneous linear equation over  $M$ :*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} x_{ij} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{ij\kappa} b_\kappa = 0 \quad (iii)$$

*Let  $(z_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (z_{ij}^{(q)})$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^{n'-n}$  which form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solution space.*

- (6) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $M$  given by*

$$\sum_{i,\ell=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{n'-n} x_{i\ell} z_{ij}^{(k)} \sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{\ell j\kappa} b_\kappa = 0 \quad (iv)$$

*for  $k = 1, \dots, q$  and let  $\mathcal{L} \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^n)^n$  be its solution space.*

- (7) *Compute the intersection of  $\mathcal{L} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}^{n'-n})^{n'-n}$  and the subspaces of  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  obtained in Step (3) and Step (5). Let the tuples  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(r)})$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the intersection.*

- (8) *Return the elements  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$  in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  given by  $\varphi_k = (\varphi_{k1}, \varphi_{k2})$  with  $\varphi_{k1}(a_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}^{(k)} a_j$  and  $\varphi_{k2}(a_i) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{ij}^{(k)} a_j$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.8, the solutions of the system in Step (1) correspond to the tuples of endomorphisms in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$ . When we replace in (i) the sum  $\sum_{\kappa=1}^m s_{ij\kappa} c_\kappa$  by  $f(a_i, a_j)$ , we see that it is exactly the system in Proposition 2.9.a. Therefore the intersection of  $L$  and the solutions of (i) in Step (3) corresponds to the elements of  $\text{Sym}(f)$ . Next we note that system (ii) in conjunction with the intersection in Step (3) corresponds by Proposition 2.9.b to the system defining the elements of  $Z(\text{Sym}(f))$ . Furthermore system (iii) is equivalent to the hypothesis in Proposition 2.9.c and system (iv) is the conclusion of this statement. Together with Proposition 2.9.c we obtain that the intersection computed in Step (7) represents

exactly the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ . Hence Step (8) returns the correct endomorphisms.  $\square$

The final step is to take the endomorphisms found in this proposition and to compute a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$  from them. This is achieved as follows.

**Proposition 2.14.** *Let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow M$  be a full and non-degenerate bilinear map and assume that we are given presentations as in Remark 2.12. Moreover, suppose we are given tuples  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(r)})$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  which represent  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$  in  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  corresponding to a system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators of  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ .*

*Then the following steps define an algorithm which returns tuples  $(v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and  $(u_{\ell k}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  for  $\ell = 1, \dots, \varrho$  and  $(t_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$  such that we have a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation*

$$\mathfrak{S}(f) = \mathbb{Z}[y_1, \dots, y_r] / \langle v_1 y_1 + \dots + v_r y_r - 1, \sum_{k=1}^r u_{\ell k} y_k, y_i y_j - \sum_{k=1}^r t_{ijk} y_k \rangle$$

for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\ell = 1, \dots, \varrho$ .

- (1) For  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , form the elements  $\delta_{ik} = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{kj}^{(i)} a_j \in N_1$  where  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and the elements  $\delta_{ik} = \sum_{j=n+1}^{n'} d_{kj}^{(i)} b_j \in N_2$  where  $k \in \{n+1, \dots, n'\}$ .
- (2) Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$  given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \delta_{ij} = 0$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n'$ . Let  $u_1, \dots, u_{\varrho}$  be tuples in  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  which form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of the solution space.

- (3) Solve the system of linear equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$  given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^r x_i \delta_{ik} - a_k = 0$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n'$ . Let  $(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  be an element of the solution space.

- (4) For  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $N_1 \times N_2$  given by

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n'} d_{k\ell}^{(j)} \delta_{i\ell} - \sum_{\kappa=1}^r x_{\kappa} \delta_{\kappa k} = 0$$

for  $k = 1, \dots, n'$ . For  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , let  $(t_{ij1}, \dots, t_{ijr}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  be an element of the solution space.

- (5) Return the tuples  $(v_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  and  $(u_{\ell k}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  for  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, \varrho\}$  as well as  $(t_{ijk}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  and stop.

*Proof.* In Step (1) we form for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  the elements  $\delta_{ik}$  in  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  such that we have  $\varphi_{i1}(a_k) = \delta_{ik}$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\varphi_{i2}(a_k) = \delta_{ik}$  for  $k = n+1, \dots, n'$ . Then the system of linear equations in Step (2) corresponds to the system in Proposition 2.10. Therefore Step (2) computes the linear relations of  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r$ .

Step (3) computes a tuple  $(v_1, \dots, v_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  such that  $(v_1 \varphi_{11} + \dots + v_r \varphi_{r1})(a_k) = a_k$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  and  $(v_1 \varphi_{12} + \dots + v_r \varphi_{r2})(a_k) = a_k$  for  $k = n+1, \dots, n'$ . Thus the linear combination  $v_1 \varphi_1 + \dots + v_r \varphi_r$  is the identity map. In order to finally get a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ , it remains to express the products  $\varphi_i \varphi_j$  using the system of generators  $\{\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_r\}$ . This is done in Step (4), where we use

$$\varphi_{i1} \varphi_{j1}(a_k) = \varphi_{i1} \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{k\ell}^{(j)} a_{\ell} \right) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{k\ell}^{(j)} \varphi_{i1}(a_{\ell}) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{k\ell}^{(j)} \delta_{i\ell}.$$

Then for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$  a solution  $(t_{ij1}, \dots, t_{ijr}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  of the system in Step (4) satisfies  $\varphi_{i1} \varphi_{j1}(a_k) = (t_{ij1} \varphi_{11} + \dots + t_{ijr} \varphi_{r1})(a_k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . Analogously, a

solution  $(t_{ij_1}, \dots, t_{ij_r}) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$  satisfies  $\varphi_{i_2} \varphi_{j_2}(a_k) = (t_{ij_1} \varphi_{12} + \dots + t_{ij_r} \varphi_{r2})(a_k)$  for  $k = n + 1, \dots, n'$ .  $\square$

Notice that all computations performed by the algorithms of Proposition 2.13 and Proposition 2.14 can be done in polynomial time with respect to the size of the input and require only coefficients whose size is bounded by a polynomial (see Remark 2.11).

### 3. THE MAXIMAL RING OF SCALARS OF A FINITE $\mathbb{Z}$ -ALGEBRA

In this section we focus on our central topic, namely the study of finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(R, +)$  be an abelian group, i.e., a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

- (a) The group  $R$  is called a  **$\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra** if it is equipped with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map  $R \times R \rightarrow R$ . This bilinear map is called the **multiplication** of  $R$  and is denoted by  $(a, b) \mapsto ab$ .
- (b) A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra is called **finite** if it is finitely generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. Sometimes such rings are also called **finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras**, or briefly **FDZ-algebras**.

In other words, a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra is a ring for which we do not require the existence of an identity element or associativity or commutativity of the multiplication. We denote the additive group of  $R$  by  $R^+$ . It is a finitely generated abelian group, and the multiplication in  $R$  gives rise to a bilinear map  $R^+ \times R^+ \rightarrow R^2$ , where  $R^2$  is the subgroup of  $R^+$  generated by all products  $a \cdot b$  with  $a, b \in R$ . This bilinear map is clearly full, but it can be degenerate, and therefore the results of Section 2 cannot be applied directly. In order to construct a non-degenerate bilinear map, we proceed as follows.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. Then the sets

$$\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) = \{a \in R \mid ab = 0 \text{ for all } b \in R\},$$

$$\text{Ann}_\rho(R) = \{a \in R \mid ba = 0 \text{ for all } b \in R\},$$

$$\text{and } \text{Ann}(R) = \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \cap \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$$

are called the **left**, **right** and **two-sided annihilator** of  $R$ , respectively.

Notice that  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  are subgroups of  $R^+$  and that  $\text{Ann}(R)$  is a two-sided ideal in  $R$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and let  $R^2$  be the subgroup of  $R^+$  generated by the products  $a \cdot b$ , where  $a, b \in R$ . Then the map

$$f_R : R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R) \rightarrow R^2$$

given by  $f_R(a + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), b + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = a \cdot b$  is non-degenerate and full.

*Proof.* Let us indicate the proof of the non-degeneracy of  $f_R$ . Suppose that  $a \in R$  is such that its residue class  $\bar{a} = a + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  satisfies  $f_R(\bar{a}, \bar{b}) = ab = 0$  for all  $\bar{b} \in R / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ . Then we have  $ab = 0$  for all  $b \in R$ , and thus  $a \in \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  implies  $\bar{a} = 0$ . The non-degeneracy in the other argument follows in the same way.  $\square$

Now Theorem 2.6 shows that  $f_R$  has a unique maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ . By definition of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ , the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ ,  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ , and  $R^2$  are faithful  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ -modules. But, in general, the action of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$  is not defined on all of  $R$ . For our goal of computing a direct decomposition of  $R$  we need some additional properties of the ring  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ .

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

- (a) A commutative ring  $S$  is called a **ring of scalars** of  $R$  if the following two conditions are satisfied.
- (i) The ring  $S$  is a ring of scalars of the bilinear map
$$f_R^* : R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \longrightarrow R^2.$$
  - (ii) The canonical  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $R^2 \longrightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  is  $S$ -linear.
- (b) A ring of scalars  $S$  of  $R$  is called **maximal** if every other ring of scalars  $S'$  of  $R$  can be embedded as a subring of  $S$ .

To show the existence of the maximal ring of scalars of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  we can not immediately apply Theorem 2.6, since the bilinear map  $f_R^*$  might be degenerate. Instead we show that the maximal ring of scalars of  $R$  is a subring of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *A ring of scalars of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  is a ring of scalars of the bilinear map  $f_R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $R$  then  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  and  $R^2$  are faithful  $S$ -modules, the map  $f_R^*$  is  $S$ -bilinear and the map  $R^2 \rightarrow R / \text{Ann}(R)$  is  $S$ -linear. Observe that in this case the subgroups  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) / \text{Ann}(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}_\rho(R) / \text{Ann}(R)$  are, in fact,  $S$ -submodules of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$ . To see this, let  $x \in \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ , let  $\alpha \in S$ , and suppose that  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}(R)$  in  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  for some  $x' \in R$ . For every  $y \in R$  we get

$$f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = f_R^*(x' + \text{Ann}(R), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = x'y.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = \alpha(xy) = \alpha \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus we obtain  $x'y = 0$  for every  $y \in R$ , and hence  $x' \in \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ . Therefore  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) / \text{Ann}(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}_\rho(R) / \text{Ann}(R)$  are  $S$ -submodules of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$ . This implies that the residue class modules

$$(R / \text{Ann}(R)) / (\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) / \text{Ann}(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad (R / \text{Ann}(R)) / (\text{Ann}_\rho(R) / \text{Ann}(R))$$

induce  $S$ -module structures on

$$R / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \cong (R / \text{Ann}(R)) / (\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) / \text{Ann}(R))$$

and

$$R / \text{Ann}_\rho(R) \cong (R / \text{Ann}(R)) / (\text{Ann}_\rho(R) / \text{Ann}(R))$$

in such a way that, in the notation above, if  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}(R)$  in  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  then  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  in  $R / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  in  $R / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ . Note that  $R^2$  is a faithful  $S$ -module, as mentioned above.

Now we are ready to show that the map  $f_R$  is  $S$ -bilinear. For  $y \in R$ , we have

$$f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = f_R(x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = x'y.$$

On the other hand,

$$f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = f_R^*(x' + \text{Ann}(R), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = x'y,$$

so

$$f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R))$$

for all  $x, y \in R$ . Hence, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) &= f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R)) \\ &= \alpha f_R^*(x + \text{Ann}(R), y + \text{Ann}(R)) \\ &= \alpha(xy) = \alpha f_R(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $f_R$  is  $S$ -linear in the first variable. A similar argument shows that  $f_R$  is  $S$ -linear in the second variable.

Finally, it remains to show that  $R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  are faithful  $S$ -modules. Suppose that  $\alpha \in S$  is such that for every  $x \in R$  there exists an element  $x' \in \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  such that  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ . For every  $y \in R$  we obtain

$$f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = \alpha(xy)$$

and also

$$f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = f_R(x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), y + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = x'y = 0.$$

Therefore we see that  $\alpha(xy) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , so  $\alpha R^2 = 0$ . Since  $R^2$  is a faithful  $S$ -module, we conclude that  $\alpha = 0$ . This shows that  $R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  is a faithful  $S$ -module. A similar argument proves that  $R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  is a faithful  $S$ -module.  $\square$

The following theorem is a generalization of Proposition 8 in [17].

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $R$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, and let*

$$\eta : R \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$$

*be the canonical diagonal group homomorphism. Then there exists a unique maximal ring of scalars of  $R$ . It is the largest subring  $S$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$  for which the following conditions hold.*

(1) *The canonical group homomorphisms*

$$R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \quad \text{and} \quad R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$$

*are  $S$ -linear, i.e. the restriction of  $\eta$  to  $R^2$  is  $S$ -linear.*

(2) *The image  $\eta(R) \cong R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  is an  $S$ -submodule of the  $S$ -module*

$$R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R).$$

*In the following we denote this ring  $S$  by  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a ring of scalars of  $R$ . Then  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $f_R$  by Lemma 3.5. We start by proving that  $S$  satisfies Conditions (1) and (2). Indeed, by the construction in Lemma 3.5, the structure of an  $S$ -module on  $R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and on  $R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  is induced by the structure of the  $S$ -modules

$$(R/\text{Ann}(R))/(\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)/\text{Ann}(R)) \quad \text{and} \quad (R/\text{Ann}(R))/(\text{Ann}_\rho(R)/\text{Ann}(R)).$$

Hence the group homomorphism

$$R/\text{Ann}(R) \rightarrow (R/\text{Ann}(R))/(\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)/\text{Ann}(R)) \times (R/\text{Ann}(R))/(\text{Ann}_\rho(R)/\text{Ann}(R))$$

is  $S$ -linear. This proves that  $S$  satisfies Condition (2).

To show (1) observe that  $R^2 \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}(R)$  is  $S$ -linear, since  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $R$ . Now the canonical group homomorphism  $R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  is a composition of two  $S$ -linear homomorphisms

$$R^2 \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}(R) \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R),$$

hence it is  $S$ -linear, so (1) holds.

Conversely, let  $S$  be a ring of scalars of  $f_R$  which satisfies Conditions (1) and (2). We now prove that  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $f_R^*$ . Since  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $f_R$ , the groups  $R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ ,  $R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ , and  $R^2$  are faithful  $S$ -modules. Condition (2) ensures that the embedding

$$R/\text{Ann}(R) \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$$

induces a faithful  $S$ -module structure on  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  in such a way that, for  $\alpha \in S$  and  $x, x' \in R$  we have  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}(R)$  if and only if  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = x' + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ . Now we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} f_R^*(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}(R)), y + \text{Ann}(R)) &= f_R^*(x' + \text{Ann}(R), y + \text{Ann}(R)) = x'y \\ &= f_R(x' + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = f_R(\alpha(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) \\ &= \alpha f_R(x + \text{Ann}_\lambda(R), y + \text{Ann}_\rho(R)) = \alpha(xy) = \alpha f_R^*(x + \text{Ann}(R), y + \text{Ann}(R)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $f_R^*$  is  $S$ -linear in the first variable. A similar argument shows that  $f_R^*$  is  $S$ -linear in the second variable. We proved that  $S$  is a ring of scalars of  $f_R^*$ . It is left to show that the group homomorphism  $R^2 \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}(R)$  is  $S$ -linear. But this follows from Condition (1).

Finally, we need to show that there is a maximal ring  $S$  of scalars of  $f_R$  which satisfies Conditions (1) and (2). Denote by  $S$  the set of all elements  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}(f_R)$  such that the maps  $R^2 \rightarrow R^+/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $R^2 \rightarrow R^+/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  are  $\alpha$ -linear and the subset  $\eta(R)$  of the  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ -module  $R^+/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  is invariant under the action of  $\alpha$ . It is easy to check that  $S$  is a subring of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ . Hence it is the largest subring of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$  satisfying Conditions (1) and (2). This proves the theorem.  $\square$

Let us now examine how to compute the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  of a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ . The first step is to apply the results of Section 2 and compute the maximal ring of scalars of the bilinear map  $f_R$ .

**Assumption 3.7.** For the remainder of this section, we assume that we are given the following information.

- (a) A presentation of  $R^+$  of the form

$$R^+ = \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n / \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i1} e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n r_{in} e_i \right\rangle$$

with  $r_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Denoting the residue class of  $e_i$  in  $R^+$  by  $a_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we get a system of generators  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  for the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R^+$ . We denote the canonical image of  $a_i$  in  $R^+/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  by  $b_i$  and the canonical image of  $a_i$  in  $R^+/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  by  $c_i$ .

- (b) Furthermore, we assume that we are given equalities

$$a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{ijk} a_k$$

with  $s_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$ .

In this setting, we can calculate  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  as follows.

**Remark 3.8.** Let  $R$  be a ring which is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, and assume that  $R$  is presented as above. In order to find systems of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators for  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ , it suffices to solve suitable systems of linear equations over  $R^+$  via Remark 2.7.

To compute a system of generators of  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ , we have to find all  $b \in R$  such that  $ba_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . Let us write  $b = c_1 a_1 + \cdots + c_n a_n$  with  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Using the above equalities to replace  $a_i a_j$ , we see that we have to solve the system of homogeneous linear equations

$$x_1 \sum_{j=1}^n s_{1ij} a_j + \cdots + x_n \sum_{j=1}^n s_{nij} a_j = 0$$

where  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

We can determine a presentation of  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$ . Let  $\{b_1, \dots, b_\mu\}$  be a system of generators of  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and write  $b_i = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}a_j$  with  $d_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then we get a presentation

$$R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}e_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}e_n / \left\langle \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i1}e_i, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n r_{i\nu}e_i, \sum_{j=1}^n d_{1j}e_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n d_{\mu j}e_j \right\rangle.$$

A presentation of  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  can be computed analogously.

Having determined generators of the maximal ring of scalars of  $f_R$ , we can compute the subring corresponding to  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  as follows.

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $f_R$  be the bilinear map defined as above, and let  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$  be an element of its maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ . Assume that  $\varphi$  is given by a tuple  $(d_{ij}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  defining endomorphisms  $\varphi_1$  of  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  by  $\varphi_1(b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}b_j$  and  $\varphi_2$  of  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  by  $\varphi_2(c_i) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} d_{ij}c_{j-n}$ . Then  $\varphi$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  if and only if the following conditions are satisfied.*

- (1) *The tuple  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of the system of homogeneous equations over  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  given by*

$$(i) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\ell j k} x_{i \ell} b_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n s_{i j k} x_{k \ell} b_\ell = 0 \quad \text{and}$$

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\ell j k} x_{i+n, \ell+n} c_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n s_{i j k} x_{k+n, \ell+n} c_\ell = 0$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- (2) *There exist  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that the tuple  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of the system of equations over  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  given by*

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} b_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j b_j \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{i+n, j+n} c_j = \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j c_j$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_\lambda : R \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $\pi_\varrho : R \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  be the canonical homomorphisms. Then  $\varphi$  satisfies Condition (1) of Theorem 3.6 if and only if  $\pi_\lambda(\varphi(a_i a_j)) = \varphi_1(\pi_\lambda(a_i a_j))$  and  $\pi_\varrho(\varphi(a_i a_j)) = \varphi_2(\pi_\varrho(a_i a_j))$ . Since the action of  $\varphi$  on  $a_i a_j = f_R(b_i, c_j)$  is defined by  $f_R(\varphi_1(b_i), c_j)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\lambda(f_R(\sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{i \ell} b_\ell, c_j)) &= \pi_\lambda(\sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{i \ell} a_\ell a_j) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{i \ell} \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\ell j k} b_k = \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n s_{i j k} \sum_{\ell=1}^n d_{k \ell} b_\ell = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{i j k} \varphi_1(b_k). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have  $\pi_\lambda(\varphi(a_i a_j)) = \varphi_1(\pi_\lambda(a_i a_j))$  if and only if  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of (i) for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Similarly,  $\pi_\varrho(\varphi(a_i a_j)) = \varphi_2(\pi_\varrho(a_i a_j))$  is satisfied if and only if  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of (ii) for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

For every tuple  $(d_{ij})$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij} b_j = \varphi_1(\pi_\lambda(a_i))$  and  $\sum_{j=1}^n d_{i+n, j+n} c_j = \varphi_2(\pi_\varrho(a_i))$ . Let  $\eta : R \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\varrho(R)$  be the canonical diagonal group homomorphism. Then every tuple  $(\pi_\lambda(r), \pi_\varrho(r)) \in \eta(R)$  is of the form  $(\pi_\lambda(r), \pi_\varrho(r)) = (\sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j b_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma_j c_j)$  with  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We conclude that  $(d_{ij})$  is a solution of the system in (2) if and only if  $(\varphi_1(\pi_\lambda(a_i)), \varphi_2(\pi_\varrho(a_i))) = (\pi_\lambda(r), \pi_\varrho(r))$  for some  $r \in R$ . This is equivalent to  $\varphi$  satisfying Condition (2) of Theorem 3.6.  $\square$

Combining these results with those of the previous section, we get the following algorithm.

**Corollary 3.10.** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra given by a presentation as in Remark 3.7. Then the following steps define an algorithm which returns elements  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$  of  $\text{End}(R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) \times \text{End}(R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R))$  corresponding to a system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ , together with an ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  such that we have a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$ .*

- (1) *Using Remark 3.8, compute presentations of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)$  and  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ .*
- (2) *For  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , let  $m_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{ijk} a_k$ . Using Remark 2.7, solve the linear equation  $\sum_{i,j=1}^n x_{ij} m_{ij} = 0$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}^n)^n$  and deduce a finite presentation of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R^2$ .*
- (3) *Apply Proposition 2.13 to compute tuples  $(\delta_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (\delta_{ij}^{(r)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  corresponding to a system of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators of  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ .*
- (4) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\ell j k} x_{i \ell} b_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n s_{i j k} x_{k \ell} b_\ell &= 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n s_{\ell j k} x_{i+n, \ell+n} c_k - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{\ell=1}^n s_{i j k} x_{k+n, \ell+n} c_\ell &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $L_1 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  be its solution space.

- (5) *Solve the system of homogeneous linear equations over  $R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} b_j - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j b_j &= 0 \quad \text{and} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n x_{i+n, j+n} c_j - \sum_{j=1}^n y_j c_j &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $L_2 \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  be the projection of the solution space onto the  $x$ -coordinates.

- (6) *Compute the intersection of  $L_1$ ,  $L_2$  and the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\langle (\delta_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (\delta_{ij}^{(r)}) \rangle$ . Let  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(t)})$  be tuples in  $(\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  which form a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of this intersection.*
- (7) *Apply Proposition 2.14 to the tuples  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(t)})$  and obtain an ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]$ .*
- (8) *Return the tuples of endomorphisms  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_t$  defined by  $\varphi_k = (\varphi_{k1}, \varphi_{k2})$  with  $\varphi_{k1}(b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{ij}^{(k)} b_j$  and  $\varphi_{k1}(c_i) = \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n} d_{ij}^{(k)} c_{j-n}$ , together with the ideal  $I$ .*

*Proof.* An element  $v \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  of the intersection in Step (6) corresponds to an element  $\varphi$  of  $\text{End}(R^+ / \text{Ann}_\lambda(R)) \times \text{End}(R^+ / \text{Ann}_\rho(R))$  such that  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ . Since the element  $v$  is a solution of the system in Step (4), it satisfies Condition (1) in Proposition 3.9. It is also a solution of the system in Step (5) and therefore satisfies Condition (2) in Proposition 3.9. Hence we get  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{S}(R)$ . This means that the intersection in Step (6) represents exactly the elements of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ . Therefore Step (8) returns a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

Notice that all computations in this algorithm reduce to solving linear systems over a finitely presented abelian group. Solutions of such linear systems can be obtained in polynomial time with respect to the size of the input, as described in Remark 2.7 and 2.11.



## 4. COMPUTING THE PRIMITIVE IDEMPOTENTS

In the preceding sections we saw how to compute a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra presentation of the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  of a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ . Now we want to decompose  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  into irreducible factors, i.e., we want to calculate its primitive idempotents. Thus we use the following setting.

Let  $S$  be a commutative ring which is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. We assume that  $S$  is given by a presentation

$$S \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$$

where  $I$  is an ideal in  $P = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  which is given by an explicit set of generators.

Recall that an idempotent  $e$  of  $S$  is called **primitive** if it is non-zero and not of the form  $e = e' + e''$  with idempotents  $e', e''$  such that  $e'e'' = 0$ . In order to compute the primitive idempotents of  $S$ , we shall use strong Gröbner bases which are defined as follows.

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $\mathbb{T}^n = \{x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \mid \alpha_i \geq 0\}$  be the monoid of **terms** in  $P$ .

- (a) A **term ordering**  $\sigma$  on  $\mathbb{T}^n$  is a complete ordering relation which is a well-ordering and compatible with multiplication. In other words, for  $t, t', t'' \in \mathbb{T}^n$  we have  $1 \leq_\sigma t$  and  $t \leq_\sigma t'$  implies  $tt'' \leq_\sigma t't''$ .
- (b) Every polynomial  $f \in P \setminus \{0\}$  has a unique representation  $f = c_1 t_1 + \cdots + c_s t_s$  with  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , with  $t_i \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , and with  $t_1 >_\sigma \cdots >_\sigma t_s$ . Then  $\text{LM}_\sigma(f) = c_1 t_1$  is called the **leading monomial** of  $f$ , the number  $\text{LC}_\sigma(f) = c_1$  is called its **leading coefficient**, and the term  $\text{LT}_\sigma(f) = t_1$  is called its **leading term** with respect to  $\sigma$ .
- (c) Given an ideal  $I$  in  $P$ , a set of polynomials  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  in  $I$  is called a **strong  $\sigma$ -Gröbner basis** of  $I$  if, for every polynomial  $f \in I \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists an index  $i \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  such that  $\text{LM}_\sigma(f)$  is a multiple of  $\text{LM}_\sigma(g_i)$ .

Strong Gröbner bases can be computed using a suitable extension of Buchberger's Algorithm (see [1], Ch. 4). Many improvements for this computation have been found and implemented (see for instance [13] and [22]). Subsequently, our main task will be reduced to computing several strong Gröbner bases. For some ideal-theoretic operations which can be performed effectively using strong Gröbner bases, we refer to [1], Ch. 4 and [9], Ch. 3.

The first step is to calculate the primary decomposition of the ideal  $I$ . For this, we use a simplification of the algorithm presented in [6] and [21]. Let us collect some basic observations about the structure of the primary decomposition of  $I$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $P = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $P/I$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

- (a) The ring  $S = P/I$  satisfies  $\dim(S) \leq 1$ . Hence the primary components of  $I$  have height  $n$  or  $n + 1$ .
- (b) A primary component  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $I$  has height  $n + 1$  if and only if it contains an integer  $q \geq 2$ . In this case, the radical of  $\mathfrak{Q}$  is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $P$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathbb{Z} = \langle p \rangle$  for some prime number  $p$  and  $q = p^e$  for some  $e > 0$ . The residue class ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a maximal ideal, too.
- (c) In the setting of (b), we may recover the ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$  from  $\mathfrak{m}$  as follows. For  $\bar{a} \in \mathbb{F}_p$ , we call the unique element  $a \in \{0, \dots, p - 1\}$  such that  $\bar{a} = a + \langle p \rangle$  the *canonical lifting* of  $\bar{a}$ . For every polynomial in  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , we define its *canonical lifting* in  $P$  by lifting all coefficients canonically. Given a system of generators  $\{\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_s\}$  of  $\mathfrak{m}$ , we then have  $\mathfrak{M} = \langle p, g_1, \dots, g_s \rangle$ , where  $g_i$  is the canonical lifting of  $\bar{g}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ .

- (d) A primary component  $\mathfrak{Q}$  of  $I$  has height  $n$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ . In this case we have  $\mathfrak{Q} = \mathfrak{Q}\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap P$ , and the extended ideal  $\mathfrak{Q}\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is primary to a maximal ideal.

The next proposition allows us to split the task of computing the primary composition of  $I$  into two cases. Recall that a strong Gröbner basis is called **minimal** if no leading monomial divides another one.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $P = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  such that  $P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$  be a minimal strong Gröbner basis of  $I$ , and let  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$  be the least common multiple of the leading coefficients of the elements of  $G$ .*

- (a) *The ideal  $(I : \langle N \rangle)/I$  is the torsion subgroup of  $P/I$ .*  
 (b) *We have  $I = (I : \langle N \rangle) \cap (I + \langle N \rangle)$ .*

*Proof.* To prove (a), it obviously suffices to show the inclusion  $\supseteq$ . Let  $f \in P$  be a polynomial such that  $f + I$  is in the torsion of  $P/I$ . By [1], Prop. 4.4.4 and Ex. 4.4.1, we know that  $N^i f \in I$  for some  $i \geq 1$ . We want to show that  $Nf \in I$ . Assuming that this is not the case, there exists a smallest number  $i \geq 1$  such that  $N^i f \notin I$  and  $N^{i+1} f \in I$ . Among all polynomials  $f$  with this property, choose the one with the smallest leading term w.r.t.  $\sigma$ . Then  $N^{i+1} f \in I$  implies that there exists a  $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  such that  $\text{LM}_\sigma(g_j)$  divides  $\text{LM}_\sigma(N^{i+1} f)$ . Write  $\text{LM}_\sigma(g_j) = c_j t_j$  with  $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t_j \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . Then  $t_j$  divides  $\text{LT}_\sigma(f)$  and we can write  $\text{LT}_\sigma(f) = t_j \tilde{t}$  with  $\tilde{t} \in \mathbb{T}^n$ . Since  $c_j$  divides  $N$ , it follows that  $\text{LM}_\sigma(g_j)$  divides  $\text{LM}_\sigma(Nf)$ . Hence there is a monomial  $h$  such that  $Nf - hg_j$  has a smaller leading term than  $f$ . Now  $Nf - hg_j \notin I$  and  $N^i(Nf - hg_j) \in I$  contradict the minimality of  $\text{LT}_\sigma(f)$ .

Since (a) implies  $I : \langle N \rangle = I : \langle N \rangle^\infty$ , claim (b) is a standard lemma in commutative algebra. In fact, if  $f \in P$  with  $Nf \in I$  and  $f = g + Nh$  for some  $g \in I$ ,  $h \in P$  then  $N^2 h \in I$  implies  $Nh \in I$ , and hence  $f \in I$ .  $\square$

Note that the number  $N$  from the previous proposition is in general not the exponent of  $P/I$ .

**Example 4.4.** Consider the ideal  $I = \langle x^2, y^2, z^2, xz + yz, xy, 2x - y, 3z \rangle \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z]$ . The generators form a strong Gröbner basis of  $I$  and  $P/I$  is generated as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module by the residue classes of  $x, y, z$ . Even though  $2x \in \text{LM}(I)$ , the element  $\bar{x}$  is not in the torsion of  $P/I$ , since the normal form of  $2x$  with respect to  $I$  is  $y$ , and no proper multiple of  $y$  is in  $I$ . Therefore the exponent of  $P/I$  is 3.

At this point we are ready to formulate Algorithm 1 for computing the primary decomposition of  $I$ .

Let us point out how the various steps of this algorithm can be carried out effectively.

**Remark 4.5.** Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $P$  such that  $P/I$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

- (a) The ideal  $I\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in Line 6 is a 0-dimensional ideal in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Hence its primary decomposition can be computed using standard computer algebra methods (see for instance [10], Ch. 5).  
 (b) If  $I$  contains a non-zero integer  $q$  and  $p$  is a prime dividing  $q$ , then also  $I\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a 0-dimensional ideal. We can therefore again use standard primary decomposition algorithms for 0-dimensional ideals in Line 16 such as the ones in [10], Ch. 5.  
 (c) Notice that most of the algorithms mentioned in (a) and (b) also determine the **prime components** of the respective ideals, i.e., the radical ideals of the primary components.  
 (d) The preimages in Line 21 can be found as in Remark 4.2.b.

---

**Algorithm 1** Computing a Primary Decomposition
 

---

**Input:** Generators of an ideal  $I \subseteq P$  such that  $P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

**Output:** A tuple of ideals  $L = (\mathfrak{Q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_k)$  such that  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k$  is a primary decomposition of  $I$ .

- 1: Compute a minimal strong Gröbner basis  $\{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$  of  $I$ .
  - 2: Let  $q$  be the generator of  $I \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .
  - 3:  $L := ()$
  - 4: **if**  $q = 0$  **then**
  - 5:      $N := \text{lcm}(\text{LC}(g_1), \dots, \text{LC}(g_s))$
  - 6:     Compute a primary decomposition  $I \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_\ell$ .
  - 7:     Compute  $\overline{Q}_j \cap P$  and append these ideals to  $L$ .
  - 8:     Recursively apply the algorithm to  $I + \langle N \rangle$  and obtain the set  $M$ .
  - 9:     Compute  $J := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{Q} \in L} \mathfrak{Q}$ .
  - 10:     Remove all ideals in  $M$  that contain  $J$ .
  - 11:     **return**  $L \cup M$
  - 12: **else**
  - 13:     Compute the prime factorization  $q = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_r^{\nu_r}$ .
  - 14:      $M := ()$
  - 15:     **for**  $i = 1, \dots, r$  **do**
  - 16:         Compute a primary decomposition  $I \mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_m$ .
  - 17:         **if**  $\nu_i = 1$  **then**
  - 18:             Compute the preimages  $\mathfrak{Q}_j$  of  $\overline{Q}_j$  in  $P$  and append them to  $M$ .
  - 19:         **else**
  - 20:             Compute the prime components  $\overline{P}_1, \dots, \overline{P}_m$  of  $I \mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .
  - 21:             For  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , compute the preimage  $\mathfrak{P}_j$  of  $\overline{P}_j$  in  $P$ .
  - 22:             For  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , calculate  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(I + \mathfrak{P}_j^k) \cap (I : \mathfrak{P}_j^\infty) = I$ .
  - 23:             For  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , append the ideal  $\mathfrak{Q}_j := I + \mathfrak{P}_j^k$  to  $M$ .
  - 24:         **end if**
  - 25:     **end for**
  - 26:     **return**  $M$
  - 27: **end if**
- 

The following results, adapted from [21], are used to prove the correctness of Algorithm 1. They allow us to reduce the task to the computation of primary decompositions in  $\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and  $\mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ .

**Lemma 4.6.** *Let  $I \subseteq P$  be an ideal such that  $S = P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.*

- (a) *Suppose that  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ , and let  $I \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_s$  be a primary decomposition. If we set  $\mathfrak{Q}_i = \overline{Q}_i \cap P$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_s$  is a primary decomposition of  $I$ .*
- (b) *Suppose that  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = \langle p \rangle$  for some prime number  $p$ , and let  $I \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_s$  be a primary decomposition. If we let  $\mathfrak{Q}_i$  be the preimage of  $\overline{Q}_i$  in  $P$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_s$  is a primary decomposition of  $I$ .*
- (c) *Suppose that  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = \langle p^\nu \rangle$  for some prime number  $p$  and  $\nu \geq 1$ , and let  $\overline{P}_1, \dots, \overline{P}_s$  be the prime components of  $I \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If we let  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  be the preimage of  $\overline{P}_i$  in  $P$  for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , then  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_s$  are the prime components of  $I$ .*

*Proof.* First we prove (a). Consider the ring homomorphism  $\Phi : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  given by embedding the coefficients into  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I &= I\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap P = \Phi^{-1}(I\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \\ &= \Phi^{-1}(\overline{Q}_1) \cap \dots \cap \Phi^{-1}(\overline{Q}_s) = \Omega_1 \cap \dots \cap \Omega_s. \end{aligned}$$

Since the preimage of a primary ideal is primary, this implies the claim.

To prove (b), we proceed analogously. We consider the ring homomorphism  $\Psi : \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  given by  $\Psi(c_1 t_1 + \dots + c_m t_m) = \bar{c}_1 t_1 + \dots + \bar{c}_m t_m$  for  $c_i \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $t_i \in \mathbb{T}^n$  and argue as before.

Finally, we show (c). If  $p^\nu \in I$  then  $p \in \sqrt{I}$ , and we can apply (b) to compute the primary components of  $\sqrt{I}$ . Since  $\sqrt{I}$  is a radical ideal, these are prime ideals and thus precisely the prime components of  $I$ .  $\square$

Now we can prove the correctness of Algorithm 1.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Algorithm 1 terminates and computes a primary decomposition of the input ideal  $I$ .*

*Proof.* Since the first recursive call of the algorithm necessarily leads to the else branch, the algorithm terminates. It remains to prove the second claim.

By Proposition 4.3, we have  $I : \langle N \rangle = I : \langle N \rangle^\infty$  and  $I = (I : \langle N \rangle) \cap (I + \langle N \rangle)$  for the number  $N > 0$  computed in Step (5). Hence, in the case  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = \{0\}$ , we may compute the primary decomposition of

$$I : \langle N \rangle = I\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cap P$$

via Lemma 4.6.a and the ideal  $I + \langle N \rangle$  can be decomposed by the following steps, since it intersects  $\mathbb{Z}$  non-trivially.

If  $I \cap \mathbb{Z} = \langle q \rangle$  for some  $q > 0$ , we determine the prime factorization  $q = p_1^{\nu_1} \cdots p_r^{\nu_r}$  in Step (13). Then we have  $I = \bigcap_{i=1}^r (I + \langle p_i^{\nu_i} \rangle)$ , and thus it suffices to decompose each ideal  $I + \langle p_i^{\nu_i} \rangle$  individually.

In the case  $\nu_i = 1$ , we may again use Lemma 4.6 and conclude that it is enough to compute the primary decomposition of  $I\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  and to calculate the preimages of the primary components in  $P$ . In the case  $\nu_i > 1$ , we can still compute a set of minimal associated primes of  $I$ . They are the preimages of the minimal associated primes of  $I\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  in  $P$ . Then we can extract the primary components  $\Omega_j$  from the corresponding prime components  $\mathfrak{P}_j$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}_j$  is a maximal ideal,  $I + \mathfrak{P}_j^k$  is  $\mathfrak{P}_j$ -primary for every positive  $k$ . If  $k$  is sufficiently large,  $I + \mathfrak{P}_j^k$  is a primary component of  $I$  (see [4], Section 4). We can determine such a  $k$  by checking whether  $(I + \mathfrak{P}_j^k) \cap (I : \mathfrak{P}_j) = I$  (see [7], Criterion 3).

Altogether, the collection of all primary components in  $L \cup M$  intersects to  $I$ . If  $\bigcap_{i \neq j} \Omega_j \subset \Omega_i$  for some primary ideal  $\Omega_i \in L \cup M$  then  $\Omega_i$  has to be an element of  $M$ . But this means the condition  $J \subseteq Q_i$  in Step (10) is satisfied. Hence we have found a primary decomposition of  $I$ .  $\square$

A small modification of Algorithm 1 allows us to compute the prime components of the ideal  $I$  as well.

**Remark 4.8.** In Algorithm 1, replace Steps (6) and (20) by

- (6') Compute a primary decomposition  $I\mathbb{Q}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_\ell$  and the corresponding prime components  $\overline{P}_1, \dots, \overline{P}_\ell$ .
- (20') Compute a primary decomposition  $I\mathbb{F}_{p_i}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \overline{Q}_1 \cap \dots \cap \overline{Q}_m$  and the corresponding prime components  $\overline{P}_1, \dots, \overline{P}_m$ .

Furthermore, include the computation of  $\mathfrak{P}_i = \overline{Q}_i \cap P$  in Step (7), include the computation of the preimages  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  of  $\overline{P}_i$  in Steps (18) and (21), and append the pairs  $(\Omega_i, \mathfrak{P}_i)$  to the lists  $L$  and  $M$  in the appropriate places.

Then the resulting algorithm computes a primary decomposition  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k$  of  $I$  as well as the corresponding prime components  $\mathfrak{P}_i$ . In particular, we have a primary decomposition  $\sqrt{I} = \mathfrak{P}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{P}_k$ .

Having calculated a primary decomposition  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k$  of the ideal  $I$ , our next goal is to find the primitive idempotents of  $S = P/I$ . Recall that the **spectrum** of the ring  $S$  is the set  $\text{Spec}(S)$  of all prime ideals of  $S$ . This set is a topological space via the **Zariski topology** whose closed sets are the sets  $\mathcal{V}(J)$  consisting of all prime ideals containing some ideal  $J$  of  $S$ . The spectrum and the primitive idempotents of  $S$  are related through the following observations (see [3], II.4.3, ex. 14 and [20], ex. 4.B.5).

**Remark 4.9.** Let  $T$  be a commutative, unitary, noetherian ring.

- (a) Given an idempotent  $e \in T$ , the set  $\mathcal{V}(1 - e)$  is both open and closed in  $\text{Spec}(T)$ .
- (b) If  $U \subseteq \text{Spec}(T)$  is a subset which is both open and closed, there exists a unique idempotent  $e \in T$  such that in  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}T_{\mathfrak{p}}$  we have  $\bar{e} = 1$  for  $\mathfrak{p} \in U$  and  $\bar{e} = 0$  otherwise.
- (c) The correspondence given in (a) and (b) is 1-1. The primitive idempotents correspond uniquely to the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(T)$ .

Thus, in order to compute the primitive idempotents of  $S$ , we calculate the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(S)$  first. If  $\dim(S) = 1$ , this ring has infinitely many prime ideals. To describe the connected components of  $\text{Spec}(S)$ , the following definition will come in handy.

**Definition 4.10.** Let  $I \subseteq P$  be an ideal, and let  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k$  be a primary decomposition of  $I$ . A maximal subset of  $\{\mathfrak{Q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_k\}$  such that all corresponding prime ideals are part of the same connected component of  $\text{Spec}(P/I)$  is called a **connected component** of the primary decomposition.

Recall that, given a primary decomposition  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k$  of an ideal  $I \subseteq P$  such that  $S = P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, the primary components  $Q_i$  are either of height  $n$  and do not contain a non-zero integer, or they are of height  $n + 1$  and correspond to a maximal component of  $I$ . The connected components of the primary decomposition of  $I$  are determined by the next Algorithm 2.

**Proposition 4.11.** *Algorithm 2 computes the connected components of a primary decomposition of  $I$ .*

*Proof.* In view of Remark 4.2, a connected component  $C$  of the primary decomposition  $I = \mathfrak{Q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}_k \cap \mathfrak{Q}'_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{Q}'_m$  computed in Step (1) is of one of the following forms.

- (a) There exists a subset  $T$  of  $\{\mathfrak{Q}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}'_m\}$  such that  $C$  consists of  $T$  and all ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}_j$  containing an ideal in  $T$ .
- (b) We have  $C = \{\mathfrak{Q}_i\}$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ .

Here two ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}'_i, \mathfrak{Q}'_j$  are in the same connected component if and only if there exists a maximal ideal containing both  $\mathfrak{P}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{P}_j$ , and this is equivalent to  $\mathfrak{P}_i + \mathfrak{P}_j \neq \langle 1 \rangle$ . Thus the ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}'_i$  in connected components of type (a) are correctly determined in Steps (8)-(10), and the ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}_i$  in these components are sorted out in the loop (3)-(7).

The remaining ideals  $\mathfrak{Q}_i$  correspond to connected components  $\{\mathfrak{M}_i\}$  of  $\text{Spec}(P/I)$  for which  $\mathfrak{M}_i$  is both a minimal and a maximal ideal, i.e., to isolated points of  $\text{Spec}(P/I)$ . They are correctly found in Step (11).  $\square$

Finally, we can calculate the desired idempotents of  $S$  as follows.

---

**Algorithm 2** Computing the Connected Components
 

---

**Input:** An ideal  $I \subseteq P$  such that  $P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra.

**Output:** A set  $M = \{C_1, \dots, C_\nu\}$  such that  $C_1, \dots, C_\nu$  are the connected components of a primary decomposition of  $I$ .

- 1: Using Remark 4.8, compute a primary decomposition of  $I$  together with the corresponding prime components. Let  $\mathfrak{Q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}_\ell$  be the primary components of height  $n + 1$ , let  $\mathfrak{M}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_\ell$  be the corresponding prime components, let  $\mathfrak{Q}'_1, \dots, \mathfrak{Q}'_m$  be the primary components of height  $n$ , and let  $\mathfrak{P}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{P}_m$  be the corresponding prime components.
  - 2: Let  $M = \{\{\mathfrak{Q}'_1\}, \dots, \{\mathfrak{Q}'_m\}\}$ .
  - 3: **for**  $i = 1$  **to**  $m$  **do**
  - 4:   **for**  $j = 1$  **to**  $\ell$  **do**
  - 5:     If  $\mathfrak{P}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_j$  then append  $\mathfrak{Q}_j$  to the set in  $M$  that contains  $\mathfrak{Q}'_i$ .
  - 6:   **end for**
  - 7: **end for**
  - 8: **while** there are sets  $C, C' \in M$  such that there exist  $\mathfrak{Q}'_i \in C$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}'_j \in C'$  with  $\mathfrak{P}_i + \mathfrak{P}_j \neq \langle 1 \rangle$  **do**
  - 9:   replace  $C$  and  $C'$  in  $M$  by  $C \cup C'$ .
  - 10: **end while**
  - 11: For every ideal  $\mathfrak{Q}_i$  which is not contained in any of the sets of  $M$ , append the set  $\{\mathfrak{Q}_i\}$  to  $M$ .
  - 12: **return**  $M$ .
- 

**Proposition 4.12. (Computing the Primitive Idempotents)**

Let  $I$  be an ideal in  $P$  such that  $S = P/I$  is a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. The following steps define an algorithm which computes the primitive idempotents of  $S$ .

- (1) Using Algorithm 2, compute the connected components  $C_1, \dots, C_\nu$  of a primary decomposition of  $I$ .
- (2) For  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ , compute  $J_i = \bigcap_{Q \in C_i} Q$ .
- (3) For  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ , compute elements  $q_i \in \bigcap_{j \neq i} J_j$  and  $p_i \in J_i$  such that  $q_i + p_i = 1$ .
- (4) Return  $\{q_1, \dots, q_\nu\}$ .

*Proof.* First we show that the ideals  $J_1, \dots, J_\nu$  are pairwise comaximal. It suffices to show that all ideals  $\mathfrak{Q} \in C_i$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}' \in C_j$  are pairwise comaximal for  $i \neq j$ . Since  $\mathfrak{Q}$  and  $\mathfrak{Q}'$  are primary ideals, we may replace them by their prime components  $\mathfrak{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}'$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{P}' = \langle 1 \rangle$ , since  $\mathfrak{P}$  and  $\mathfrak{P}'$  would otherwise belong to the same connected component of  $\text{Spec}(P/I)$ .

Next we consider the canonical ring homomorphism

$$\Phi: P/I \longrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\nu} P/J_i$$

given by  $\Phi(f + I) = (f + J_1, \dots, f + J_\nu)$  for  $f \in P$ . Since the ideals  $J_i$  are pairwise comaximal, and since  $J_1 \cap \dots \cap J_\nu = I$ , the Chinese Remainder Theorem (cf. [9], Lemma 3.7.4) shows that  $\Phi$  is an isomorphism. Furthermore, by the definition of the ideals  $J_i$ , the spectrum  $\text{Spec}(P/J_i)$  is connected, and therefore the ring  $P/J_i$  has only the trivial idempotents. The primitive idempotents of  $P/I$  are then given by the preimages of the elements  $e_1, \dots, e_\nu$  on the right-hand side. The polynomials  $q_i$  computed in Step (3) are precisely the preimages of the elements  $e_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \nu$ .  $\square$

Let us finish with some observations on the complexity of the algorithms in this section.

**Remark 4.13.** Given a presentation of a commutative finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $S = P/I$  as at the beginning of this section, the computation of the primitive idempotents of  $S$  is dominated by the calculation of a strong Gröbner basis of  $I$  in Line 1 of Algorithm 1. Although a precise complexity estimate seems not to have been derived yet, it appears to be singly exponential in the number and size of the generators of  $S$ .

The Gröbner basis computation can be avoided if a presentation of  $S$  is given as in Proposition 2.14. This means we are given  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generators and structure constants, together with a presentation  $S \cong \mathbb{Z}^m/U$  of  $S$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, where  $U$  is a submodule of  $\mathbb{Z}^m$ . In a forthcoming paper [11] we give a detailed complexity analysis of the computation of the primitive idempotents, if the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra is given as above. We show that all calculations, except for one integer prime factorization in Step 13 of Algorithm 1, can be performed in probabilistic polynomial time in the bit complexity of the input.

## 5. THE CANONICAL DECOMPOSITION OF A BILINEAR MAP

Given a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$ , our main goal is to use the decomposition of its maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  into directly indecomposable factors to get a decomposition of  $R$  itself. In this section we start by studying the decomposition of bilinear maps. Later we apply the results to the multiplication maps of finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $N_1, N_2, M$  be abelian groups, and let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  be a bilinear map.

- (a) If there exist subgroups  $M_i$  of  $M$ ,  $N_{1i}$  of  $N_1$  and  $N_{2i}$  of  $N_2$  such that  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k M_i$ ,  $N_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k N_{1i}$  and  $N_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k N_{2i}$ , and if there are bilinear maps  $f_i : N_{1i} \times N_{2i} \longrightarrow M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  such that  $f(x_1 + \dots + x_k, y_1 + \dots + y_k) = f_1(x_1, y_1) + \dots + f_k(x_k, y_k)$  for all  $x_i \in N_{1i}$  and  $y_i \in N_{2i}$ , then we say that  $f$  is the **direct product** of the maps  $f_i$ , and we write  $f = f_1 \times \dots \times f_k$ .
- (b) The map  $f$  is called **directly indecomposable** if  $f$  cannot be written as a direct product of non-trivial bilinear maps.

A direct product decomposition of a bilinear map  $f$  into directly indecomposable maps can be computed using the primitive idempotents of its maximal ring of scalars.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \longrightarrow M$  be a full, non-degenerate bilinear map, and assume that we are given a complete set of primitive idempotents  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  of the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ . Then we obtain direct sum decompositions  $N_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k e_i N_1$ ,  $N_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k e_i N_2$  and  $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k e_i M$  such that  $f$  is the direct product of the full, non-degenerate and directly indecomposable bilinear maps*

$$f_i : e_i N_1 \times e_i N_2 \longrightarrow e_i M$$

given by  $f_i(e_i x, e_i y) = e_i f(x, y)$  for  $x \in N_1$ ,  $y \in N_2$  and for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ .

*Proof.* Writing  $1 = e_1 + \dots + e_k$  in  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ , we see that  $x = 1 \cdot x = e_1 x + \dots + e_k x$  for every  $x$  in  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  or  $M$ . Thus we obtain the sum decompositions  $N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i N_1$ ,  $N_2 = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i N_2$  as well as  $M = \sum_{i=1}^k e_i M$ . These sum decompositions are direct, since for instance  $e_i m = e_j m'$  with  $m, m' \in M$  and  $i \neq j$  implies  $e_i m = e_i^2 m = e_i e_j m' = 0$ .

Clearly, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the maps  $f_i : e_i N_1 \times e_i N_2 \rightarrow e_i M$  defined by letting  $f_i(e_i x, e_i y) = e_i f(x, y)$  for  $x \in N_1$  and  $y \in N_2$  are well-defined and bilinear. To show that the map  $f_i$  is full, let  $x \in e_i M$ . Then there exists an element  $m \in M$  with  $x = e_i m$ . Since the map  $f$  is full, we find elements  $n_1, \dots, n_s \in N_1$  and  $n'_1, \dots, n'_s \in N_2$  such that  $m = f(n_1, n'_1) + \dots + f(n_s, n'_s)$ . Therefore we obtain

$$x = e_i m = e_i^2 f(n_1, n'_1) + \dots + e_i^2 f(n_s, n'_s) = f_i(e_i n_1, e_i n'_1) + \dots + f_i(e_i n_s, e_i n'_s).$$

To show the non-degeneracy of  $f_i$ , assume that  $x \in N_1$  satisfies  $f_i(e_i x, e_i y) = e_i f(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in N_2$ . Then we have  $f(x, y) = 0$  for all  $y \in N_2$ , since  $M$  is a faithful  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ -module. Now the fact that  $f$  is non-degenerate implies  $x = 0$ .

Finally, given elements  $x \in N_1$  and  $y \in N_2$ , we let  $x_i = e_i x$  and  $y_i = e_i y$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , and we calculate

$$f(x, y) = f(x_1 + \dots + x_k, y_1 + \dots + y_k) = f_1(x_1, y_1) + \dots + f_k(x_k, y_k)$$

since  $f(e_i x, e_j y) = f(x, e_i e_j y) = f(x, 0) = 0$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Thus we obtain the direct product decomposition  $f = f_1 \times \dots \times f_k$ .

It remains to show that the maps  $f_i$  are directly indecomposable. Suppose there exists a non-trivial decomposition  $f_i = g \times h$ . Then we have  $\mathfrak{S}(f_i) = \mathfrak{S}(g) \times \mathfrak{S}(h)$  by [16], Proposition 3.1, and we get  $e_i = e_g + e_h$  with orthogonal idempotents  $e_g = (1, 0)$  and  $e_h = (0, 1)$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $e_i$  is primitive.  $\square$

**Definition 5.3.** The decomposition  $f = f_1 \times \dots \times f_k$  given in this proposition is called the **canonical decomposition** of the bilinear map  $f$ . Using the results of the preceding sections, it can be computed as follows.

**Corollary 5.4. (Computing the Canonical Decomposition of a Bilinear Map)** *Let  $f : N_1 \times N_2 \rightarrow M$  be a full and non-degenerate bilinear map. Assume that  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ ,  $\{a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n'}\}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$  are generating sets of  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $M$ , respectively. Furthermore, assume that we are given presentations of  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$  and  $M$  as in Remark 2.12 and structure constants  $s_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j = n+1, \dots, n'$  and  $k = 1, \dots, m$  such that  $f(a_i, a_j) = \sum_{k=1}^m s_{ijk} b_k$ . Then the following steps define an algorithm which computes the canonical decomposition  $f = f_1 \times \dots \times f_\ell$ .*

- (1) Use Proposition 2.13 to compute tuples  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(r)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{n'})^{n'}$  corresponding to elements of  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  which generate the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, r$  let  $A_k \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix given by  $(d_{i,j}^{(k)})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  and let  $A'_k \in \text{Mat}_{n'-n,n'-n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix given by  $(d_{i,j}^{(k)})_{i,j=n+1,\dots,n'}$ .
- (2) Use Proposition 2.14 to compute generators  $g_1, \dots, g_k \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]$  of an ideal  $I$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}(f) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/I$ .
- (3) Use Proposition 4.12 to compute polynomials representing a complete set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_\ell\}$  of primitive idempotents of  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_r]/I$ .
- (4) For  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , let  $E_i = e_i(A_1, \dots, A_r)$  and  $E'_i = e_i(A'_1, \dots, A'_r)$  and compute

$$(n_{i1}, \dots, n_{in})^{tr} = E_i \cdot (a_1, \dots, a_n)^{tr} \quad \text{and} \\ (n'_{i1}, \dots, n'_{i,n'-n})^{tr} = E'_i \cdot (a_{n+1}, \dots, a_{n'})^{tr}.$$

- (5) Return the bilinear maps  $f_i : N_{1i} \times N_{2i} \rightarrow M_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , where  $N_{1i}$  is the subgroup of  $N_1$  generated by  $\{n_{i1}, \dots, n_{in}\}$ ,  $N_{2i}$  is the subgroup of  $N_2$  generated by  $\{n'_{i1}, \dots, n'_{i,n'-n}\}$ , and  $M_i$  is the subgroup of  $M$  generated by  $f(n_{ij}, n'_{ik})$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $k = 1, \dots, n' - n$ .



Notice that all steps in this computation can be carried out in probabilistic polynomial time, except for one integer prime factorization inside the algorithm of Step (3).

## 6. COMPUTING DECOMPOSITIONS OF FINITE $\mathbb{Z}$ -ALGEBRAS

In this section we combine the previous results and use the idempotents of the maximal ring of scalars of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  to obtain a decomposition of  $R$ . Recall that we denote the underlying  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  by  $R^+$ .

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  be  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras.

- (a) The **direct product** of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $R_1, \dots, R_n$  is the direct product  $R_1^+ \times \dots \times R_n^+$  of the underlying  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules equipped with componentwise multiplication. It is denoted by  $R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ .
- (b) A  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra is called **directly indecomposable** if it cannot be written as the direct product of two non-trivial  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras.

Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. Our goal is to obtain a decomposition of  $R$  into a direct product of directly indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras of  $R$ . Let  $R^2$  be the subgroup of  $R^+$  generated by all products  $a \cdot b$  with  $a, b \in R^+$ . Recall from Section 3 that the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  of  $R$  is a subring of the maximal ring of scalars of the bilinear map

$$f_R^*: R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \longrightarrow R^2$$

which satisfies the additional condition that the canonical map  $R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  is  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ -linear. Using the primitive idempotents of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ , computed as in Section 4, we can now decompose the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  as follows.

**Theorem 6.2. (Direct Decomposition of Finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -Algebras Modulo Annihilator)** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, and assume that we are given a complete set of primitive idempotents  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  of the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ . For each  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , let  $R_i = e_i(R / \text{Ann}(R))$ . Then we obtain a decomposition*

$$R / \text{Ann}(R) = R_1 \times \dots \times R_k$$

*of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  into a direct product of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras.*

*Proof.* Since  $R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  is a faithful  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ -module the primitive idempotents yield a decomposition of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  into a direct sum of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules  $R_i$ . Therefore the map

$$\varphi: R_1 \times \dots \times R_k \rightarrow R / \text{Ann}(R), \quad (r_1, \dots, r_k) \mapsto r_1 + \dots + r_k$$

is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module isomorphism. Let us now show that the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodules  $R_i$  are closed under multiplication. We denote the canonical image of an element  $x \in R$  in  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  by  $\bar{x}$ . Let  $x, y \in R$  such that  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in R_i$ . Then these elements satisfy  $e_i \bar{x} = \bar{x}$  and  $e_i \bar{y} = \bar{y}$ . Since the canonical homomorphism  $\pi: R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  is  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ -linear by the definition of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ , we get

$$\bar{x} \bar{y} = \pi(xy) = \pi(f_R^*(\bar{x}, \bar{y})) = \pi(f_R^*(e_i \bar{x}, e_i \bar{y})) = \pi(e_i xy) = e_i \bar{x} \bar{y}.$$

This shows that each  $R_i$  is a subalgebra of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$ . We proceed to show that  $\varphi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra isomorphism. For  $x, y \in R / \text{Ann}(R)$  and  $i \neq j$ , we have

$$e_i x \cdot e_j y = \pi(f_R^*(e_i x, e_j y)) = e_i e_j \pi(f_R^*(x, y)) = 0.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , let  $r_i, s_i \in R_i$ . Then we have  $r_i = e_i r_i$  and  $s_i = e_i s_i$ , and the above equation implies

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi((r_1, \dots, r_k)) \varphi((s_1, \dots, s_k)) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^k e_i r_i \right) \left( \sum_{i=1}^k e_i s_i \right) = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k e_i s_i r_i = \varphi((r_1, \dots, r_k)(s_1, \dots, s_k)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Combining this theorem with the results of the proceeding sections, we get the following algorithm. We assume that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra  $R$  is given as in Remark 3.7. This means that  $R$  is generated by elements  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , and we are given a presentation of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R^+$ . Furthermore, the multiplication is represented by structure constants  $s_{ijk} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $a_i a_j = \sum_{k=1}^n s_{ijk} a_k$ .

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra. Assume that  $R$  is given as in Remark 3.7. Then the following steps define an algorithm which computes a direct decomposition  $R/\text{Ann}(R) = R_1 \times \dots \times R_\ell$  into  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$ .*

- (1) Use Corollary 3.10 to compute tuples  $(d_{ij}^{(1)}), \dots, (d_{ij}^{(t)}) \in (\mathbb{Z}^{2n})^{2n}$  corresponding to elements of  $\text{End}(N_1) \times \text{End}(N_2)$  which generate the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(f)$ , together with an ideal  $I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]$  such that  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$ . For  $k = 1, \dots, t$  let  $A_k \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix given by  $(d_{i,j}^{(k)})_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$  and let  $A'_k \in \text{Mat}_{n,n}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the matrix given by  $(d_{i,j}^{(k)})_{i,j=n+1,\dots,2n}$ .
- (2) Use Proposition 4.12 to compute a complete set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_\ell\}$  of primitive idempotents of  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_t]/I$ .
- (3) For  $i = 1, \dots, \ell$ , let  $E_i = e_i(A_1, \dots, A_t)$  and  $E'_i = e_i(A'_1, \dots, A'_t)$  and compute

$$r_{ij} = \eta^{-1}(E_i \cdot (0, \dots, b_j, \dots, 0)^{tr}, E'_i \cdot (0, \dots, c_j, \dots, 0)^{tr})$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\eta : R \rightarrow R/\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) \times R/\text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  is the canonical group homomorphism.

- (4) Return the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $R_1, \dots, R_\ell$ , where  $R_i$  is the subalgebra of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  generated by the residue classes of  $r_{i1}, \dots, r_{in}$ .

Again, except for one integer prime factorization inside the algorithm of Step (2), all computations can be performed in probabilistic polynomial time. Note that, in general, we cannot lift a direct decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  to a direct decomposition of  $R$ . Also, the direct decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  that we obtain need not be directly indecomposable. Examples which show this are given below.

A class of examples for finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras for which the left and right annihilators coincide, but which do not contain an identity element, is provided by finite dimensional Lie rings.

**Definition 6.4.** A **Lie ring**  $L$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module together with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -bilinear map  $[\cdot, \cdot] : L \times L \rightarrow L$  such that

- (a)  $[x, x] = 0$  and
- (b)  $[x, [y, z]] + [z, [x, y]] + [y, [z, x]] = 0$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ . The bilinear map is called **Lie bracket**. A Lie ring is called **finite dimensional** if it is finitely generated as an abelian group.

Since we have  $[x, y] = -[y, x]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , a Lie ring  $R$ , or more generally any (anti-)commutative  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra, satisfies  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) = \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ . In the following example we apply Corollary 6.3 to a concrete case.

**Example 6.5.** Let us consider the finite dimensional Lie ring  $L$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_5$  with relations  $3x_1 = 6x_2 = 3x_3 = 7x_4 = 7x_5 = 0$  whose multiplication is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [x_1, x_2] &= x_2, & [x_1, x_3] &= 2x_5, \\ [x_2, x_1] &= 5x_2, & [x_2, x_3] &= 3x_5, \\ [x_3, x_1] &= 5x_5, & [x_3, x_2] &= 4x_5, & [x_3, x_4] &= x_3, \\ [x_4, x_3] &= 2x_3 \end{aligned}$$

and  $[x_i, x_j] = 0$  in all remaining cases. When we compute the annihilators of  $L$ , we obtain  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(L) = \text{Ann}_\rho(L) = \langle x_5, 3x_4 \rangle$ . Following the steps of the algorithm in Corollary 6.3 we get that the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(L)$  is generated by the endomorphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{End}(L^+ / \text{Ann}(L))$  given by the matrices

$$M_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

These yield a presentation

$$\mathfrak{S}(L) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2] / \langle 6, 3y_2, y_1 + y_2 - 1, y_2^2 - y_2 \rangle.$$

Using this presentation we compute the primitive idempotents  $3, y_2$ , and  $2y_2 + 4$ . Finally, we get the direct decomposition  $L / \text{Ann}(L) = \langle 3\bar{x}_2 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_3 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_1, 4\bar{x}_2 \rangle$ .

The next two lemmas will come in handy when we analyze under the which conditions the factors obtained in Corollary 6.3 are directly indecomposable. In the following we consider  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras  $R$  which satisfy  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) = \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$ . Note that in this case we have  $f_R^* = f_R$ .

**Lemma 6.6.** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra and let  $S_1, S_2$  be subalgebras of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  such that  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  is the direct product of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ . Let  $s_1, s_2 \in R$  be chosen such that  $\bar{s}_1 \in S_1$  and  $\bar{s}_2 \in S_2$ . Then we have  $s_1s_2, s_2s_1 \in \text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly, we have  $s_1s_2, s_2s_1 \in R^2$ , and it remains to show  $s_1s_2, s_2s_1 \in \text{Ann}(R)$ . By assumption, the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $\varphi: S_1 \times S_2 \rightarrow R / \text{Ann}(R)$  given by  $(a, b) \mapsto a + b$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra isomorphism. Therefore we get

$$\varphi((\bar{s}_1, 0)(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2)) = \varphi((\bar{s}_1^2, 0)) = \bar{s}_1^2 = \bar{s}_1^2 + \bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 = \bar{s}_1(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2) = \varphi((\bar{s}_1, 0))\varphi((\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2))$$

This shows  $\bar{s}_1\bar{s}_2 = 0$ , and hence  $s_1s_2 \in \text{Ann}(R)$ . Analogously, we obtain  $s_2s_1 \in \text{Ann}(R)$ .  $\square$

Under the following assumption a direct decomposition of the bilinear map  $f_R$  yields a decomposition of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ .

**Lemma 6.7.** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra that satisfies  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) = \text{Ann}_\rho(R)$  and  $\text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2 = \{0\}$ . Assume that  $S_1, S_2$  are subalgebras of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  such that the bilinear map*

$$f_R: R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \rightarrow R^2$$

*can be decomposed into a direct product of the non-trivial bilinear maps  $f_{S_1} \times f_{S_2}$ , where  $f_{S_i}: S_i^+ \times S_i^+ \rightarrow \langle f_R(S_i^+, S_i^+) \rangle$  is given by the restriction of  $f_R$  to  $S_i^+ \times S_i^+$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  can be decomposed into a direct product of non-trivial subrings.*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have  $R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) = S_1^+ \times S_2^+$ . We denote the projection from  $R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  to  $S_i^+$  by  $\pi_i \in \text{End}(R^+ / \text{Ann}(R))$ . Then, in view of the proof of

[16], Proposition 3.1, we have  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ , and  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  are orthogonal idempotents in  $\mathfrak{S}(f_R)$  with  $1 = \pi_1 + \pi_2$ .

Now we proceed to show that  $\pi_i \in \mathfrak{S}(R) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}(f_R)$ . Let  $\psi : R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  be the canonical homomorphism. We want to show that  $\psi(\pi_i(x)) = \pi_i(\psi(x))$  for all  $x \in R^2$ . By assumption, the group  $R^2$  is generated by elements of the form  $f_R(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2)$  with  $s_1, s'_1, s_2, s'_2 \in R$  such that  $\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}'_1 \in S_1$  and  $\bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_2 \in S_2$ . Then we have

$$\psi(\pi_1(f_R(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2))) = \psi(f_R(\pi_1(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2), \pi_1(\bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2))) = \psi(f_R(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}'_1)) = \bar{s}_1 \bar{s}'_1.$$

From Lemma 6.6 we get  $s_1 s'_2 + s_2 s'_1 \in \text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2 = \{0\}$ , and therefore

$$\pi_1(\psi(f_R(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2))) = \pi_1(\psi(s_1 s'_1 + s_2 s'_2)) = \pi_1(\bar{s}_1 \bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}_2 \bar{s}'_2) = \bar{s}_1 \bar{s}'_1.$$

This proves  $\pi_1 \in \mathfrak{S}(R)$ , and  $\pi_2 \in \mathfrak{S}(R)$  follows analogously. Altogether, we see that  $\mathfrak{S}(R) = \pi_1 \mathfrak{S}(R) \times \pi_2 \mathfrak{S}(R)$ .  $\square$

The direct decomposition of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  obtained in Proposition 6.2 is, in general, not directly indecomposable, as we shall see below. However, under the following additional hypothesis, it is.

**Proposition 6.8.** *Let  $R$  be a finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebra with  $\text{Ann}_\lambda(R) = \text{Ann}_e(R)$ , and let  $R / \text{Ann}(R) = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_k$  be the decomposition into  $\mathbb{Z}$ -subalgebras obtained from the primitive idempotents  $\{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  as in Proposition 6.2. If  $R^2 \cap \text{Ann}(R) = \{0\}$ , then the factors  $R_1, \dots, R_k$  are directly indecomposable.*

*Proof.* Recall that  $R_i = e_i(R / \text{Ann}(R))$ , and that the idempotents yield a decomposition of the bilinear map  $f_R : R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \times R^+ / \text{Ann}(R) \rightarrow R^2$  into the direct product of the bilinear maps

$$f_{R_i} : R_i^+ \times R_i^+ \rightarrow \langle f_R(R_i^+, R_i^+) \rangle, \quad (e_i \bar{x}, e_i \bar{y}) \mapsto e_i x y.$$

Assume that  $R_i$  is the direct product  $R_i = S_1 \times S_2$  of two non-trivial subalgebras  $S_1, S_2 \subseteq R_i$ . For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $M_j$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -submodule generated by  $f_R(S_j^+, S_j^+)$ . We show that the bilinear map  $f_{R_i}$  can be further decomposed into the direct product of the two non-trivial bilinear maps  $f_{S_j} : S_j^+ \times S_j^+ \rightarrow M_j$  given by the restriction of  $f_R$  to  $S_j^+ \times S_j^+$ .

By assumption, we have  $R_i^+ = S_1^+ \oplus S_2^+$ . Next we show that  $\langle f_R(R_i^+, R_i^+) \rangle = M_1 \oplus M_2$ . Let  $\pi : R^2 \rightarrow R^+ / \text{Ann}(R)$  be the canonical homomorphism. Since  $S_1 \cap S_2 = 0$  and  $\pi(M_j) \subseteq S_j$ , we have  $\pi(M_1 \cap M_2) = 0$ , and hence

$$M_1 \cap M_2 \subset \text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2 = \{0\}.$$

To show that  $\langle f_R(R_i^+, R_i^+) \rangle = M_1 + M_2$ , let  $\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2$  and  $\bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2$  be two elements of  $R_i$  with  $\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}'_1 \in S_1$  and  $\bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_2 \in S_2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_R(\bar{s}_1 + \bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_1 + \bar{s}'_2) &= f_R(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}'_1) + f_R(\bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_2) + s_1 s'_2 + s_2 s'_1 = \\ &= f_{S_1}(\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}'_1) + f_{S_2}(\bar{s}_2, \bar{s}'_2) \end{aligned}$$

since  $s_1 s'_2 + s_2 s'_1 \in \text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2 = \{0\}$  by Lemma 6.6. This proves  $\langle f_R(R_i^+, R_i^+) \rangle = M_1 \oplus M_2$ , and it also shows that  $f_{R_i}$  is the direct product of the bilinear maps  $f_{S_1}$  and  $f_{S_2}$ . By Lemma 6.7 the ring  $e_i \mathfrak{S}(R)$  can then be decomposed into a direct product of subrings. Therefore the primitive idempotent  $e_i$  is the sum of two non-trivial orthogonal idempotents, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

Our next example shows that the direct decomposition of  $R / \text{Ann}(R)$  given in Proposition 6.2 is, in general, not directly indecomposable.

**Example 6.9.** Let us consider the finite dimensional Lie ring  $R$  generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_5$  with multiplication given by  $[x_1, x_2] = x_5$ ,  $[x_3, x_4] = x_5$ , and  $[x_i, x_j] = 0$  in all remaining cases. This implies  $\text{Ann}(R) = \langle x_5 \rangle$  and  $R^2 = \langle x_5 \rangle$ . Clearly, the product  $R/\text{Ann}(R) = \langle \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4 \rangle$  is a direct decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$ . However, the maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  is indecomposable, since the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $R^2$  is directly indecomposable. Therefore we obtain no decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  using Proposition 6.2.

Moreover, in general, we cannot lift a direct decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  to a direct decomposition of  $R$ , as the following two examples show.

**Example 6.10.** Let  $R$  be the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_6$ . We define a commutative multiplication on  $R$  by

$$x_1x_3 = x_4, \quad x_2x_3 = x_4, \quad x_3x_4 = x_3, \quad x_5x_6 = x_1 - x_2$$

and  $x_ix_j = 0$  in all other cases. Then we obtain  $\text{Ann}(R) = \langle x_1 - x_2 \rangle$ , since we have  $(x_1 - x_2)x_3 = x_4 - x_4 = 0$ . The maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  is generated by the endomorphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{End}(R)$  given by the matrices

$$M_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then the maximal ring of scalars has the presentation

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2] / \langle y_1^2 - y_1, y_1y_2, y_2^2 - y_2, y_1 + y_2 - 1 \rangle,$$

and from this we compute the primitive idempotents  $-y_2 + 1$  and  $y_2$ .

Finally, we get the direct decomposition  $R/\text{Ann}(R) = \langle \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_5, \bar{x}_6 \rangle$ . This decomposition cannot be lifted to a direct decomposition of  $R$  because of  $x_1 - x_2 \in \langle x_5, x_6 \rangle \cap \langle x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \rangle$ .

In the final example of this section we see a case in which a decomposition of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  cannot be lifted to  $R$  for a different reason.

**Example 6.11.** Let  $R$  be the free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module generated by  $x_1, \dots, x_5$ . We define a commutative multiplication on  $R$  by letting

$$x_1^2 = 2x_4, \quad x_1x_2 = x_2, \quad x_2^2 = x_2, \quad x_3^2 = x_3$$

and  $x_ix_j = 0$  in all other cases. Then we have  $\text{Ann}(R) = \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle$  and  $R^2 = \langle x_2, x_3, 2x_4 \rangle$ . The maximal ring of scalars  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$  is generated by the endomorphisms  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{End}(R)$  given by the matrices

$$M_{\varphi_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad M_{\varphi_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here we calculate the presentation

$$\mathfrak{S}(R) \cong \mathbb{Z}[y_1, y_2] / \langle y_1^2 - y_1, y_1y_2, y_2^2 - y_2, y_1 + y_2 - 1 \rangle,$$

and from this we determine the primitive idempotents  $-y_2 + 1$  and  $y_2$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(R)$ .

Finally, we obtain the direct decomposition  $R/\text{Ann}(R) = \langle \bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2 \rangle \times \langle \bar{x}_3 \rangle$ . To lift this decomposition to a decomposition of  $R$ , we would need to find a direct complement of  $\text{Ann}(R) \cap R^2 = \langle 2x_4 \rangle$  in  $\text{Ann}(R) = \langle x_4, x_5 \rangle$ . However, it is clear that  $\langle 2x_4 \rangle$  is not a direct summand in  $\langle x_4, x_5 \rangle$ .

In view of these examples we can lift the decompositions of  $R/\text{Ann}(R)$  to decompositions of  $R$  only under suitable additional assumptions. One setting where one could look for such hypotheses are Lie rings associated to finitely generated nilpotent groups.

Let us denote the members of the lower central series of a group  $G$  by  $\gamma_i(G)$ , i.e., we let  $\gamma_1(G) = G$  and  $\gamma_{k+1}(G) = [\gamma_k(G), G]$  for  $k \geq 1$ . The group  $G$  is called **nilpotent** if  $\gamma_n(G) = \{e\}$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . The smallest such  $n$  is then called the **nilpotency class** of  $G$ . Let us also recall the following construction.

**Definition 6.12.** Let  $G$  be a nilpotent group. The additive group of the **associated Lie ring**  $L(G)$  is given by the direct sum  $L(G) = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_k/\gamma_{k+1}$ . The direct summand  $\gamma_k/\gamma_{k+1}$  is called the **homogeneous component of weight  $k$**  of  $L(G)$ .

Multiplication of elements of homogeneous components of  $L(G)$  is defined by

$$[a + \gamma_{i+1}, b + \gamma_{j+1}] = [a, b] + \gamma_{i+j+1}$$

for  $a \in \gamma_i(G)$  and  $b \in \gamma_j(G)$ . Then this multiplication is extended to  $L(G)$  by linearity.

Let us consider the case of nilpotent groups of class 2, i.e., groups  $G$  such that  $[G, [G, G]] = \{e\}$ .

**Remark 6.13.** If  $G$  is nilpotent of class 2, the definition of  $L(G)$  simplifies to  $L(G) = G/[G, G] \times [G, G]$ . An element  $a[G, G] + b \in L(G)$  is in  $\text{Ann}(L(G))$  if and only if  $[a[G, G] + b, c[G, G] + d] = [a[G, G], c[G, G]] = [a, c] = e$  for all  $c \in G$ . This is equivalent to  $a \in Z(G)$ , where  $Z(G)$  denotes the center of  $G$ . Hence we have

$$\text{Ann}(L(G)) = Z(G)/[G, G] \times [G, G]$$

and the bilinear map associated to  $L(G)$  is given by

$$f_G : G/Z(G) \times G/Z(G) \longrightarrow [G, G], \quad (gZ(G), hZ(G)) \mapsto [g, h].$$

Note that the canonical group homomorphism  $[G, G] \rightarrow G/Z(G)$  is trivial, and therefore  $\mathfrak{S}(f_G)$  linear. This implies  $\mathfrak{S}(L(G)) = \mathfrak{S}(f_G)$  by Theorem 3.6. Now the primitive idempotents of  $\mathfrak{S}(L(G))$  yield a decomposition of  $f_G$  into a direct product of indecomposable bilinear maps. In particular, we obtain a decomposition of the abelian group  $G/Z(G)$  into cyclic subgroups.

At this point a number of further questions arise. Can we deduce a decomposition of  $G$  from the decomposition of the bilinear map  $f_G$ ? Can one find decompositions for nilpotent groups of higher nilpotency classes using this method? We leave this study to future research.

**Acknowledgements.** The first and third author thank the Stevens Institute, Hoboken, USA, for its hospitality and support during part of the preparation of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Adams and P. Loustaunau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, Graduate Studies in Math. **3**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1994.
- [2] The ApCoCoA Team, ApCoCoA: Applied Computations in Computer Algebra, available at [apcocoa.uni-passau.de](http://apcocoa.uni-passau.de)
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre Commutative, Ch. 1-4*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2006.
- [4] D. Eisenbud, C. Huneke, W. Vasconcelos, Direct methods for primary decomposition, *Invent. Math.* **1** (1992), 207-235.
- [5] A. Garreta, A. Miasnikov, and D. Ovchinnikov, Studying the Diophantine problem in finitely generated rings and algebras via bilinear maps, preprint 2022, available at [arXiv:1805.02573](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02573) [[math.RA](https://arxiv.org/abs/1805.02573)]

- [6] P. Gianni, B. Trager, and G. Zacharias, Gröbner bases and primary decomposition of polynomial ideals, *J. Symb. Comput.* **6** (1988), 149-167.
- [7] Y. Ishihara and K. Yokoyama, Effective localisation using double ideal quotient and its implementation, in: V. Gerdt et al. (eds.), *Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing*, Springer Int. Publ., Cham 2018, pp. 272-287.
- [8] R. Kannan, and A. Bachem, Polynomial algorithms for computing the Smith and Hermite normal forms of an integer matrix, *SIAM J. Comput.* **4** (1979), 499-507.
- [9] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, *Computational Commutative Algebra 1*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2000.
- [10] M. Kreuzer and L. Robbiano, *Computational Linear and Commutative Algebra*, Springer Int. Publ., Cham 2016.
- [11] M. Kreuzer and F. Walsh, Efficient algorithms for finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -algebras, preprint 2023.
- [12] F. Lazebnik, On systems of linear Diophantine equations, *Math. Mag.* **4** (1996), 261-266.
- [13] D. Lichtblau, Effective computation of strong Gröbner bases over Euclidean domains, *Illinois J. Math.* **56** (2013), 177-194.
- [14] A. I. Mal'cev, On a certain correspondences between rings and groups (in Russian), *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **50** (1960), 257-266; English translation in: A. I Mal'cev, *The Metamathematics of Algebraic Systems*, Collected Papers: 1936-1967, North Holland Publ. Company, Amsterdam 1971.
- [15] A. G. Myasnikov, F. Oger and M. Sohrabi, Elementary equivalence of rings with finitely generated additive groups, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic* **169** (2018), 514-522.
- [16] A. G. Myasnikov, Definable invariants of bilinear mappings, *Siberian Math. J.* **1** (1990), 89-99.
- [17] A. G. Myasnikov, The structure of models and a criterion for the decidability of complete theories of finite-dimensional algebras, *Math. USSR-Izv* **2** (1990), 389-407.
- [18] A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, Definability of the set of Mal'cev bases and elementary theories of finite-dimensional algebras I (in Russian), *Sibirsk Math. Zh.* **23** (1982), 152-167; English translation in *Siberian Math. J.* **23** (1983), 711-724.
- [19] A. G. Myasnikov and V. N. Remeslennikov, Definability of the set of Mal'cev bases and elementary theories of finite-dimensional algebras II (in Russian), *Sibirsk Math. Zh.* **24** (1983), 97-113; English translation in *Siberian Math. J.* **24** (1983), 231-246.
- [20] D.P. Patil and U. Storch, *Introduction to Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, World Scientific, Singapore 2010.
- [21] G. Pfister, A. Sadiq, and S. Steidel, An algorithm for primary decomposition in polynomial rings over the integers, *Central Europ. J. Math.* **9** (2011), 897-904.
- [22] A. Popescu, Signature standard bases over principal ideal rings, dissertation, Technische Universität Kaiserslautern, 2016.
- [23] A. Storjohann, A fast + practical + deterministic algorithm for triangularizing integer matrices, Technical Report **255**, ETH Zürich, 1996.
- [24] Z. Wan, Computing the Smith forms of integer matrices and solving related problems, dissertation, Univ. of Delaware, Newark, 2005.

(Martin Kreuzer) FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK UND MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PASSAU, D-94030 PASSAU, GERMANY

*Email address:* martin.kreuzer@uni-passau.de

(Alexei Miasnikov) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, STEVENS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, 1 CASTLE POINT TERRACE, HOBOKEN, NJ 07030, USA

*Email address:* amiasnikov@gmail.com

(Florian Walsh) FAKULTÄT FÜR INFORMATIK UND MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT PASSAU, D-94030 PASSAU, GERMANY

*Email address:* florian.walsh@uni-passau.de