

Pasquale C. Africa^{a,b}, Roberto Piersanti^{a,*}, Francesco Regazzoni^a, Michele Bucelli^a, Matteo Salvador^{a,c}, Marco Fedele^a, Stefano Pagani^a, Luca Dede'^a, and Alfio Quarteroni^{a,d}

^aMOX, Department of Mathematics, Politecnico di Milano, Italy ^bmathLab, Mathematics Area, SISSA International School for Advanced Studies, Trieste, Italy

^cInstitute for Computational and Mathematical Engineering, Stanford University, California, USA

^dInstitute of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, Switzerland (Professor emeritus)

^{*}Corresponding Author: roberto.piersanti@polimi.it

03 August 2023

Abstract

Simulating the cardiac function requires the numerical solution of multi-physics and multi-scale mathematical models. This underscores the need for streamlined, accurate, and high-performance computational tools. Despite the dedicated endeavors of various research teams, comprehensive and user-friendly software programs for cardiac simulations, capable of accurately replicating both physiological and pathological conditions, are still in the process of achieving full maturity within the scientific community. This work introduces life^x-ep, a publicly available software for numerical simulations of the electrophysiology activity of the cardiac muscle, under both physiological and pathological conditions. life^x-ep employs the monodomain equation to model the heart's electrical activity. It incorporates both phenomenological and second-generation ionic models. These models are discretized using the Finite Element method on tetrahedral or hexahedral meshes. Additionally, life^x-ep integrates the generation of myocardial fibers based on Laplace-Dirichlet Rule-Based Methods, previously released in Africa et al., 2023, within life^x-fiber. As an alternative, users can also choose to import myofibers from a file. This

Official website: https://lifex.gitlab.io/

Download link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8085266

paper provides a concise overview of the mathematical models and numerical methods underlying life^x-ep, along with comprehensive implementation details and instructions for users. life^x-ep features exceptional parallel speedup, scaling efficiently when using up to thousands of cores, and its implementation has been verified against an established benchmark problem for computational electrophysiology. We showcase the key features of life^x-ep through various idealized and realistic simulations conducted in both physiological and pathological scenarios. Furthermore, the software offers a user-friendly and flexible interface, simplifying the setup of simulations using self-documenting parameter files. life^x-ep provides easy access to cardiac electrophysiology simulations for a wide user community. It offers a computational tool that integrates models and accurate methods for simulating cardiac electrophysiology within a high-performance framework, while maintaining a user-friendly interface. life^x-ep represents a valuable tool for conducting in silico patient-specific simulations.

1 Introduction

Cardiac electrophysiology focuses on the heart conduction system from both the physiological and pathological perspectives: e.g., it involves the study, diagnosis and treatment planning of cardiac arrhythmias [1, 2].

Nowadays, several clinical tools are widely employed to address these rhythm disorders. The Electrocardiogram (ECG) provides a recording of the electrical activity of the heart. Various deviations from sinus rhythm, such as Atrial Fibrillation (AF), Ventricular Tachycardia (VT), Left Bundle Branch Block (LBBB), can be monitored and identified based on the distinctive shape and morphology of the ECG on an individualized patient-specific level [3]. The ECG may be combined with other imaging data, such as Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) and Computed Tomography (CT), or electroanatomical maps that are directly recorded on the internal and external surfaces of the heart. Thanks to the aforementioned tools, clinicians can successfully reconstruct both the heart anatomy of a patient and multiple electrophysiology properties of the cardiac tissue. These information lay the foundations for traditional decision-making in cardiology. Indeed, medications and surgeries, such as the implantation of pacemakers or cardioverter defibrillators, are planned accordingly [4].

In recent years, the advent of computer models and in-silico simulations in cardiology has enabled the integration of novel scientific tools in standard clinical practice [5, 6]. Physics-based mathematical models and data-driven methods are combined to generate digital replicas of human hearts containing a detailed electrophysiology description, both at the cellular level and at the organ scale [7]. Patient-specific clinical data are embedded inside numerical simulations of the cardiac function for precision medicine [8]. For instance, personalized electrophysiology simulations are employed to assess



life^x heart modules: models and methods for cardiac simulations – life^x-fiber, life^x-cfd, life^x-ep

life^X-core: numerical solvers, time advancing, multi-physics coupling

deal.II: finite elements, mesh handling, linear algebra, input/output



Figure 1: The life^x library encompasses essential features and a framework for numerically solving Finite Element problems. life^x-ep is a publicly released package designed for cardiac electrophysiology simulations based on life^x. Left picture icons sourced from https://fontawesome.com/license.

risk stratification of arrhythmias, to define optimal catheter-based ablation targets, and to perform Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy (CRT) [9, 10, 11, 12].

In this work, we present life^x-ep, a publicly available software specifically designed for conducting numerical simulations of cardiac electrophysiology, encompassing both physiological and pathological conditions. life^x-ep is built upon the foundation of life^x [13], an open-source, high-performance C++ Finite Element (FE) numerical solver capable of tackling multi-physics, multi-scale, and multi-domain differential problems. Leveraging the deal.II [14] FE core, life^x was conceived as part of the iHEART project (refer to Section "Funding") with the primary aim of providing the scientific community with a cutting-edge FE solver for cardiac modeling.

As part of the life^x ecosystem, the software released in life^x-ep has already been widely employed in combination with other modules for cardiac simulations (some of which publicly available: life^x [13], life^x-fiber [15] and life^x-cfd [16], see also Fig. 1), including electrophysiology [17, 18, 19, 20], mechanics [21, 22, 23], electromechanics [24, 25, 26, 27], fluid dynamics [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35], fluid-structure interaction [36], electro-mechano-fluid interaction [37, 38] and myocardial perfusion [39, 40]. This wide range of applications stands as a proof of the flexibility and usability of life^x-ep. Overall, developing a personalized computer model of the human heart electrophysiology that accurately represents all the underlying biological aspects has garnered significant attention. Crucial to achieving this goal is the development of efficient parallel numerical algorithms that can handle computationally intensive tasks with high accuracy. In this context, life^x-ep proposes a software that offers a user-friendly yet very detailed and highly customizable interface, a variety of modeling and numerical options to choose from, while also ensuring accuracy and computational efficiency, representing a valuable tool for conducting in silico patient-specific



Figure 2: Multiscale cardiac electrophysiology model. From the largest to the smallest spatial scale: (a) organ; (b) tissue; (c) cell; (d) membrane.

simulations.

The present release focuses on the modeling of cardiac electrophysiology. In the following paragraphs, we provide a concise overview of cardiac electrophysiology and the prevailing mathematical methods employed to model it.

1.1 Cardiac electrophysiology: physiology and modeling

The heart wall consists of three distinct layers: the internal thin endocardium, the external thin epicardium and the thick muscular cardiac tissue known as the myocardium. The latter is predominantly composed of cardiomyocytes, which are specialized, striated excitable muscle cells responsible for the essential cardiac function. When these cardiomyocytes are stimulated by an electrical impulse, a change in the electro-chemical balance of the cell membrane results in a series of biochemical reactions that determine a large variation of the *transmembrane potential*, namely the voltage differential between the intra and extracellular spaces of the cell. The rapid depolarization and subsequent slow repolarization mechanism is known as the *action poten*tial. This process is triggered and controlled by the opening and closing of voltage-gated ion channels that make the cell membrane permeable to specific ionic species, like sodium, potassium, and calcium. The transmembrane potential changes as a result of the ionic fluxes, which, in turn, are driven by the voltage difference itself. The cells of the heart tissue are connected to each other through *qap junctions*, i.e. intercellular low-resistance ionic channels, which allow the electric signal to travel from cell to cell across the whole cardiac muscle (known as myocardium). The propagation of the electric signal within the myocardium is highly anisotropic, with preferential directions for conduction determined by the presence of muscle fibers [17]. These fibers are organized in sheets, that determine a second preferential direction of propagation. For further details on the biophysical mechanisms of cardiac electrophysiology, we refer the interested reader to [1, 2, 41]. A visual representation of the different spatial scales involved in this complex chain of physical processes is given in Fig. 2.

The mathematical description of these processes is made of two building blocks: a *ionic model*, describing the chemical processes taking place at the cellular scale, and an *action potential propagation model*, representing the spatial propagation of the action potential wavefront at the tissue scale.

1.1.1 Ionic models

Several ionic models with different levels of biophysical detail and suitable for specific types of cells have been proposed in the literature [41]. Most of them are written in the form of the following Ordinary Differential Equations (ODEs)

$$\begin{cases} \frac{du(t)}{dt} + \mathcal{I}_{ion}(u(t), \boldsymbol{w}(t)) = \mathcal{I}_{app}(t), & t \in (0, T], \\ \frac{d\boldsymbol{w}(t)}{dt} = \boldsymbol{H}(u(t), \boldsymbol{w}(t)), & t \in (0, T], \\ u(0) = u_0, \, \boldsymbol{w}(0) = \boldsymbol{w}_0, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where the unknowns are u = u(t), the transmembrane potential, and the vector $\boldsymbol{w} = \boldsymbol{w}(t) = (w_1, \ldots, w_M)$, collecting M ionic variables. Ionic variables may include concentrations of different ionic species and gating variables (describing the opening probability of ionic channels). The term \mathcal{I}_{ion} describes the electric current generated by the flux of ionic species across the cell membrane, while \mathcal{I}_{app} represents an externally applied current. The dynamics of ionic variables is modeled by the function \boldsymbol{H} . Different ionic models are characterized by the number of ionic variables M and the definition of the two functions \mathcal{I}_{ion} and \boldsymbol{H} .

The life^x-ep release includes two phenomenological ionic models (Aliev-Panfilov (APf) [42] and Bueno-Orovio (BO) [43]) and two physiological ones, one for ventricular cells (ten Tusscher-Panfilov 2006 (TTP06) [44]) and one for atrial cells (Courtemanche-Ramirez-Nattel (CRN) [45]).

1.1.2 Action potential propagation models

One of the most popular action potential propagation models is the *monodomain equation*, a Partial Differential Equation (PDE) in which the spatial propagation of the electric signal through gap junctions is accounted for by a diffusion term [41].

We consider a computational domain $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^3$, representing the region of the myocardium of interest (e.g., a slab of cardiac tissue, atria, ventricles or whole heart geometries). In the whole domain, we consider a local orthonormal triplet of vectors \mathbf{f}_0 , \mathbf{s}_0 and \mathbf{n}_0 , defining the fiber, the sheetlet and the sheet-normal directions, respectively [17].

A notable feature of $life^{x}-ep$ is its capability to divide the domain Ω into a generic number N of subdomains, thereby allowing distinct electrophysiology properties to be assigned to each individual region (e.g. different ionic models or different electrical conductivities). In practical implementation, this goal is realized by providing the simulation with a computational mesh, wherein each subdomain is distinctly labeled. More formally, we introduce a partition of Ω into N disjoint subdomains, namely $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \ldots, \Omega_N$ (more precisely, we assume $\overline{\Omega} = \bigcup_{i=1}^N \overline{\Omega}_i$ and $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$). We denote by $\Gamma_i = \partial \Omega \cap \partial \Omega i$ the external boundary of the *i*-th subdomain, and by $\Gamma_{ij} = \partial \Omega_i \cap \partial \Omega j$ the interface between the *i*-th and the *j*-th subdomains.

For each subdomain Ω_i , we consider a ionic model, featuring M_i ionic variables, and characterized by the functions \boldsymbol{H}^i and $\mathcal{I}^i_{\text{ion}}$ and initial conditions \boldsymbol{u}^i_0 and \boldsymbol{w}^i_0 . Moreover, we introduce the unknown $\boldsymbol{w}^i \colon \Omega_i \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}^{M_i}$. The transmembrane potential, namely $\boldsymbol{u} \colon \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbf{R}$, is instead defined in the whole computational domain.

The monodomain model reads as follows [5, 41]

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{I}_{ion}(u, \boldsymbol{w}^{i}) - \nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u) = \mathcal{I}_{app}(\mathbf{x}, t), & \text{in } \Omega_{i} \times (0, T], \\ \frac{d \boldsymbol{w}^{i}}{dt} = \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(u, \boldsymbol{w}^{i}), & \text{in } \Omega_{i} \times (0, T], \\ (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i} = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i} \times (0, T], \\ (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i} + (\boldsymbol{D}^{j} \nabla u) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j} = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{ij} \times (0, T], \\ u = u_{0}^{i}, & \text{in } \Omega_{i} \times \{0\}, \\ \boldsymbol{w}^{i} = \boldsymbol{w}_{0}^{i}, & \text{in } \Omega_{i} \times \{0\}, \end{cases}$$

where i, j = 1, ..., N, and the diffusion tensor D^i is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{i} = \sigma_{1}^{i} \mathbf{f}_{0} \otimes \mathbf{f}_{0} + \sigma_{t}^{i} \mathbf{s}_{0} \otimes \mathbf{s}_{0} + \sigma_{n}^{i} \mathbf{n}_{0} \otimes \mathbf{n}_{0}, \qquad (3)$$

with $\sigma_{l}^{i}, \sigma_{t}^{i}, \sigma_{n}^{i} \in \mathbf{R}^{+}$ denoting the longitudinal, transversal and normal conductivities, respectively [17]. Homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions are prescribed on the whole boundary $\partial\Omega$ to impose the condition of electrically isolated domain, with \mathbf{n}_{i} denoting the outward normal unit vector to the boundary. On the interface between subdomains, we impose continuity of flux conditions.

The action potential is triggered by an external applied current $\mathcal{I}_{app}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, that mimics the presence of a natural or artificial pacemaker, or the role of specialized conduction systems, such as the Purkinje network [1, 2] or other relevant bundles. Since these anatomical entities are not explicitly modeled in this release, $life^{\mathbf{x}}-ep$ provides great flexibility in the definition of $\mathcal{I}_{app}(\mathbf{x}, t)$, allowing for the definition of multiple stimuli of various shape and with customized duration and delay.

The possibility of prescribing different properties to different regions of the domain enables several use cases. For instance, it allows multi-chamber simulations, in which different ionic models are assigned to atria and ventricles [24]. Moreover, it also gives the possibility to simulate pathological scenarios, such as the presence of scars or fibrosis [25, 46, 47, 18]. Nonconductive zones can be introduced in the model, by labelling a given subregion of the domain as non-conductive. In this case, the corresponding portion of the domain will be excluded from the simulation, thus creating a block in the conduction [24]. Furthermore, grey zones can be modeled as well, by suitably adjusting the tissue conductivities and the ionic model properties in grey zone and fibrotic subregions [18, 25, 48, 49].

We remark that the monodomain equation is often expressed as

$$\chi_{\rm m}\left(C_{\rm m}\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}+\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{\rm ion}(u,\boldsymbol{w})\right)-\nabla\cdot(\widehat{\boldsymbol{D}}\nabla u)=\chi_{\rm m}\widehat{\mathcal{I}}_{\rm app}(\mathbf{x},t),$$

where $C_{\rm m}$ denotes the membrane cell capacitance and $\chi_{\rm m}$ is the membrane surface-to-volume ratio. This formulation can clearly be recast to the one of (2) by the rescaling $\mathcal{I}_{\rm ion} = \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{\rm ion}/C_{\rm m}$, $\mathcal{I}_{\rm app} = \hat{\mathcal{I}}_{\rm app}/C_{\rm m}$ and $\mathbf{D} = \hat{\mathbf{D}}/(\chi_{\rm m}C_{\rm m})$. These equivalences are helpful when comparing different literature sources.

1.2 Cardiac electrophysiology: numerical discretization

We partition the temporal domain (0,T] into N_T subintervals with a time step $\Delta t = t_{n+1} - t_n$, where $t_n = n\Delta t$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N_T$. We denote with a subscript *n* the approximation of a variable at time t_n (e.g., $u_n \approx u(t_n)$). Time derivatives are approximated by means of a Backward Differentiation Formula (BDF) scheme of order σ , with $\sigma \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, that is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \approx \frac{\alpha_{\mathrm{BDF}} f_{n+1} - f_{\mathrm{BDF},n}}{\Delta t}$$

where $f_{\text{BDF},n}$ is a linear combination of f_n , f_{n-1} , ..., and α_{BDF} is a coefficient depending on the order of the scheme [50]. We also define explicit extrapolations of order σ , denoted by the subscript EXT (e.g., $f_{\text{EXT},n+1} \approx f(t_{n+1})$).

The numerical discretization of the system (2) is obtained by means of the implicit-explicit time advancing scheme described in [26]. Given the solution up to time step t_n , to compute the solution at time t_{n+1} :

1. solve the time-discrete ionic model equations: for i = 1, ..., N,

$$\frac{\alpha_{\text{BDF}}\boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}^{i} - \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{BDF},n}^{i}}{\Delta t} = \boldsymbol{H}^{i}(\boldsymbol{u}_{\text{EXT},n+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{\text{EXT},n+1}^{i}, \boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}^{i}), \quad \text{in } \Omega_{i} .$$

$$\tag{4}$$

We treat some of the ionic variables appearing in H^i with an implicit formulation, and others with an explicit formulation, so that the resulting problem can be solved by directly inverting the equations [26]. 2. solve the time-discrete monodomain equation: for i, j = 1, ..., N,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\alpha_{\text{BDF}} u_{n+1} - u_{\text{BDF},n}}{\Delta t} + \mathcal{I}_{\text{ion}}(u_{\text{EXT},n+1}, \boldsymbol{w}_{n+1}^{i}) & \text{in } \Omega_{i}, \\ -\nabla \cdot (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u_{n+1}) = \mathcal{I}_{\text{app}}(\mathbf{x}, t), & (5) \\ (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u_{n+1}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i} = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{i}, \\ (\boldsymbol{D}^{i} \nabla u_{n+1}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i} + (\boldsymbol{D}^{j} \nabla u_{n+1}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{j} = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma_{ij}. \end{cases}$$

Notice that the ionic current term is treated explicitly by using the extrapolated potential $u_{\text{EXT},n+1}$, so that the resulting problem is linear in u_{n+1} .

For the spatial discretization, we introduce a tetrahedral or hexahedral mesh over Ω , and use the FE method [51] to approximate the solution variables u and w^i as piecewise polynomials of order p. life^x-ep supports polynomials of orders 1 and 2 on tetrahedral meshes, and polynomials of arbitrary degree on hexahedral meshes.

The ionic model (4) is solved at each support point of the degrees of freedom of the FE space. The ionic current \mathcal{I}_{ion} is also evaluated at every support point, and then interpolated onto quadrature nodes on the interior of the mesh elements, in the approach known as ionic current interpolation (ICI) [52, 53]. The time-discrete monodomain equation (5) is discretized in space using the FE method, leading to the following algebraic linear system of equations

$$\left(\frac{\alpha_{\text{BDF}}}{\Delta t}\mathsf{M} + \mathsf{K}\right)\mathsf{u}_{n+1} = \frac{1}{\Delta t}\mathsf{M}\mathsf{u}_{\text{EXT},n+1} - \mathsf{s}_{n+1} + \mathsf{f}_{n+1} , \qquad (6)$$

where, denoting by φ_j the basis functions of the FE space, M is the mass matrix of entries $M_{jk} = \int_{\Omega} \varphi_k \varphi_j d\mathbf{x}$, K is the stiffness matrix of entries $K_{jk} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega_i} \mathbf{D}^i \nabla \varphi_k \cdot \nabla \varphi_j d\mathbf{x}$ and f_{n+1} is the vector arising from the applied current term \mathcal{I}_{app} . The vector \mathbf{s}_{n+1} arises from the ionic current terms \mathcal{I}_{ion}^i . Exploiting the ICI formulation, it can be computed as

$$\mathsf{s}_{n+1} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathsf{M}^{i} \mathsf{I}_{\mathrm{ion},n+1}^{i}$$

where M^i is the mass matrix for subdomain Ω_i , whose entries are $M^i_{jk} = \int_{\Omega_i} \varphi_k \varphi_j d\mathbf{x}$, and $l^i_{\text{ion},n+1}$ is the vector of the evaluations of $\mathcal{I}^i_{\text{ion}}$ at the support points of the FE space. The integrals that arise from the FE discretization are numerically approximated using the Gauss-Legendre quadrature rule, with the minimum number of points to ensure exact integration of the mass matrix.

1.3 Overview of existing software

One of the first landmarks in computational cardiology has been defined by the pioneering work of Hodgkin and Huxley in the mid-1950s [54]. Since then, a plethora of electrophysiology models has been proposed in the literature [6, 41, 55, 56]. These mathematical models feature a different degree of biophysical complexity and act either at the microscopic level, by describing the behavior of single cardiomyocytes, or at the organ scale, where an ensemble of many myocardial cells is considered.

The development of software to perform electrophysiology simulations is still mainly steered by academia and public institutions, despite this variety of mathematical models, the presence of increasingly elaborated numerical methods, and the evolution of computer hardware. Indeed, several research tools for multi-physics and multi-scale cardiac simulations have been proposed in the last two decades. Among them, an important role is played by **openCARP** [57], an open-source C++ simulation environment integrated with cellML, a public repository that encompasses many cell-based mathematical models [58], Chaste [59], an open-source C++ library mainly developed at the University of Oxford, Cardioid [60], a highly efficient and scalable tool for high resolution electrophysiology simulations mainly developed at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, and Alya [61], a high-performance code from the Barcelona Supercomputing Center. Prominent examples of industrial projects that demonstrate translational research efforts for the heart are given by the Living Heart Project by Dassault Systèmes [62, 63] and the services and software provided by NumeriCor GmbH¹ [64].

The aforementioned tools allow to perform single-chamber, bi-atrial, biventricular or four-chamber heart electrophysiology simulations by means of accurate, yet computationally expensive physiologically-based models, such as the bidomain or monodomain equation coupled with the TTP06 and CRN ionic models [41, 44, 65], or the more efficient reaction-eikonal equation [66]. Model parameters can be calibrated on a patient-specific basis to match ECG or Body Surface Potential Mapping (BSPM) [67]. Different pathological scenarios involving AF [68, 69], VT [9, 12] and LBBB [11, 70] have been addressed by employing these software tools. Moreover, heterogeneity in the tissue and cellular properties can be prescribed to incorporate the presence of scar, grey zones and fibrosis importing measurements from clinical data, such as Late Gadolinium Enhancement-Magnetic Resonance Imaging (LGE-MRI) [10], contrast enhanced CT [71], or the Imaging Itensity Ratio (IIR) [72]. This allows to locally vary Conduction Velocity (CV) and Action Potential (AP) morphology. Another application is related to the in-silico assessment of drugs efficacy by means of numerical simulations [73, 74], where model parameters can be tuned to replicate the effects of pharmacological therapies. Electrophysiology simulations are also used to evaluate gender differences in

¹https://www.numericor.at/

healthy and pathological conditions involving arrhythmias [74, 75].

Compared to the aforementioned software and libraries, the life^x-ep solver stands out with several distinctive features and advantages, mostly inherited from the $life^{x}$ core structure [13]. It is designed to be user-friendly and easy to use, even for biomedical researchers without extensive experience in numerical methods. The solver is implemented in C++ using advanced programming paradigms and leverages Message Passing Interface (MPI) for distributed memory parallelism. Moreover, it supports the possibility to import arbitrary meshes with either hexahedral or tetrahedral elements, and incorporates advanced numerical solvers based on the Trilinos linear algebra backend, thus ensuring precise control over the numerical setting and accuracy. The solver also exhibits ideal scalability up to thousands of cores, as demonstrated in Section Strong scalability test, allowing to efficiently simulate large-scale scenarios. In addition to the numerical and programming features stemming from its foundation on life^x, life^x-ep offers two options for prescribing myocardial fibers, which can be either imported from a file or generated online taking advantage of the previous release life^x-fiber [15], based on the Laplace-Dirichlet Rule-Based Methods (LDRBMs) presented in [17]. Moreover, it supports spatial heterogeneity in the choice of both models and physical coefficients, easily configurable through a convenient parameter file, without the need to access and modify the source code.

In general, $life^{x}-ep$ stands out in its ease of use, performance, and compatibility with common I/O (input/output) file formats, as well as its comprehensive and self-contained infrastructure, achieved by combining sophisticated mathematical models with accurate numerical schemes. To the best of our knowledge, none of the packages mentioned above exhibits similar features altogether.

2 Implementation

In this section, we present the technical specifications of life^x-ep and provide a comprehensive documentation of its user interface. The aim is to guide users through the entire process, from downloading the software to successfully running a full cardiac electrophysiology simulation.

life^x-ep offers a numerical solver tailored for cardiac electrophysiology, leveraging the mathematical models and numerical algorithms discussed in the previous section. The linear algebra backend is provided by Trilinos [76], integrated into deal.II. This incorporates the implementation of various linear solvers (CG and GMRES) and flexible black-box preconditioners (AMG, additive Schwarz, block Jacobi) supported by life^x. The code is inherently parallel and designed to run efficiently on a diverse range of architectures, spanning from personal laptops to High-Performance Computing (HPC) facilities and cloud platforms. To ensure reliability and performance, life^{*}-ep has been thoroughly tested on multiple systems, including a cluster node equipped with 192 cores based on Intel Xeon Gold 6238R (2.20 GHz) at MOX, Dipartimento di Matematica, Politecnico di Milano, as well as the GALILEO100 supercomputer available at CINECA (Intel CascadeLake 8260, 2.40GHz, technical specifications available at https://wiki.u-gov.it/confluence/display/SCAIUS/UG3.3%3A+GALILEO100+UserGuide).

For further insights into the core functionalities of life^x, we recommend referring to [13]. Additionally, in the following sections, we provide a concise guide on how to quickly get started and run simulations using life^x-ep.

2.1 Running simulations in life^x-ep

The distribution and installation process of life^x-ep is designed to be userfriendly and platform-independent. The software is conveniently provided as a binary AppImage² executable, which can be obtained from https: //doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8085266. Along with the executable, all the necessary input files required to reproduce the numerical results presented in the subsequent sections are included.

The adoption of the AppImage format ensures a universal package that is compatible with x86-64 Linux operating systems, eliminating the need for multiple distribution-specific versions. From the user's perspective, this translates to a seamless and straightforward *download-then-run* experience, without the hassle of manually managing system dependencies.

As an AppImage, life^x-ep has been built on Debian Buster³, which corresponds to the current oldoldstable version. This follows the principle of *"Build on old systems, run on newer systems"*⁴. Consequently, the software is expected to function on virtually any recent x86-64 Linux distribution, provided that glibc⁵ version 2.28 or higher is installed.

Once downloaded and extracted the life^x-ep archive, the AppImage file needs to be made executable by typing the following command in a terminal:

```
$ chmod +x lifex_electrophysiology -1.5.0 - x86_64.AppImage
```

Finally, lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage can be executed with:

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage [ARGS]...
```

No root permissions are required for the commands mentioned above to run successfully. However, it is important to note that the AppImage relies on the userspace filesystem framework called $FUSE^6$. Please ensure that

²https://appimage.org/

³https://www.debian.org/releases/

⁴https://docs.appimage.org/introduction/concepts.html

⁵https://www.gnu.org/software/libc/

⁶https://www.kernel.org/doc/html/latest/filesystems/fuse.html

FUSE is installed on your system. If you encounter any errors, the following commands may be helpful in resolving the issue:

Additionally, we recommend referring to the AppImage troubleshooting guide⁷.

The following command will provide an inline help that includes detailed information about all the available command line options and their purpose:

\$./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage -h

The executable allows to run test cases with an arbitrary number of disjoint subdomains Ω_i , i = 1, ..., N, which are also referred to as *volumes*. The configuration of the simulation is supplied through a parameter file. The user can generate a template parameter file using the following command:

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
    -g [minimal|full] \
    [-vol <volume labels>...]
```

To match different user needs, the level of detail in the parameter files can be adjusted using the optional minimal or full option after the -g flag. The minimal option reduces the level of detail, making it suitable for initial usage of life^x-ep. On the other hand, the full option increases the level of detail, exposing advanced options, such as parameter choices and detailed options on linear algebra and preconditioning, among others. If the user does not specify either the minimal or full option, an intermediate verbosity level is selected by default. In all cases, parameters that are not present in the file will retain their default values. This flexibility allows users to customize the level of detail in the parameter files according to their specific requirements and familiarity with the software.

The parameters are written in a plain text file, organized as a list of key-value pairs grouped in subsections, which describe the configuration for the simulation to be run. Each parameter is accompanied by a brief documentation within the parameter file itself, explaining its meaning.

In the provided command, the optional argument -vol <volume labels> allows to specify a list of user-defined labels. These labels are used to differentiate each subdomain, enabling the selection of heterogeneous model options such as ionic model type, coefficients, and electrical conductivities for each subdomain. If not specified, a single subdomain characterized by its global Volumetric parameters is assumed to exist.

The following example illustrates a parameter file specifying three subdomains: Healthy, Fibrosis, and Scar:

⁷https://docs.appimage.org/user-guide/troubleshooting/fuse.html

```
subsection Electrophysiology
  # ...
  subsection Physical constants and models
   # ...
    subsection Healthy
      set Material IDs
                           = 1
      set Ionic model
                           = TTP06
      # ...
      subsection Ionic model parameters
        # ...
      end
    end
    subsection Fibrosis
                           = 2 3
      <mark>set</mark> Material IDs
      set Ionic model = Bueno-Orovio
      # ...
      subsection Ionic model parameters
      # ...
      end
    end
    subsection Scar
      <mark>set</mark> Material IDs
                         = 4
    # ...
    end
  # ...
  end
#
  . . .
end
```

The input mesh is expected to include at least one volumetric tag corresponding to each of the subdomains that need to be differentiated. These subdomain tags are specified in the Material IDs list, located under each respective subdomain section.

The parameter file includes a dedicated section called Fiber generation, which serves the purpose of enabling the importing from a file of the myocardial fibers or the online generation of them on various geometry types, such as slabs, ventricles, and atria. To accomplish this, life^x-ep incorporates the functionalities of its predecessor, life^x-fiber [15], which utilizes the Laplace-Dirichlet Rule-Based Methods presented in [17]. This unique feature of life^x-ep sets it apart from other existing software alternatives.

Once the user has edited the parameter file, the simulation can be started using the following command:

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
    [-vol <volume labels>...] \
    [-f parameter_file_name.prm] \
    [-o output_folder]
```

When executing the command, the volume labels provided must match the ones used for generating the parameter file. It is essential to use consistent volume labels throughout the process to ensure proper identification and configuration of the subdomains within the simulation.

A parallel simulation is started prepending the command with the mpirum or mpiexec wrapper (which may vary depending on the MPI implementation available), e.g.:

```
$ mpirun -n N ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
...
```

where N represents the desired number of parallel processes. The binary package supports parallel execution using MPICH (https://www.mpich.org/) version 4.0 or higher.

The parameter file also includes options that enable the serialization of the solution, allowing the simulation to be paused or stopped at any point and then resumed at a later time using the serialized data. This feature is particularly useful when dealing with long-running simulations or when unexpected interruptions occur.

2.2 License and third-party software

This work is is copyrighted by the life^x-ep authors and licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No-Derivatives 4.0 International License⁸.

It should be noted that life^x-ep incorporates several third-party libraries, which are separately copyrighted by their respective authors and whose use is covered by various permissive licenses.

Third-party software bundled with (in binary form), required by, copied, modified, or explicitly used in life^x-ep include:

- life^{x9} [13]: the open-source, high-performance software providing the core functionalities for the numerical solution of the Finite Element problems described in the previous section;
- deal.II¹⁰ [14]: it provides support to mesh handling, assembling and solving Finite Element problems (compiled with enabled support to Trilinos¹¹ for linear algebra data structures and solvers) and to input/output functionalities;
- Boost¹² [77]: its modules Filesystem and Math are used for manipulating files/directories and for advanced mathematical functions and interpolators, respectively;

⁸http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

¹¹https://trilinos.github.io/

VTK¹³ [78]: it is used for importing external surface or volume input data and coefficients appearing in the mathematical formulation.

Some of the packages listed above, as stated by their respective authors, rely on additional third-party dependencies that may also be bundled (in binary form) with life^x-ep, although not used directly. These dependencies include: ADOL-C¹⁴, ARPACK-NG¹⁵, BLACS¹⁶, Eigen¹⁷, FFTW¹⁸, GLPK¹⁹, HDF5²⁰, HYPRE²¹, METIS²², MPICH²³, MUMPS²⁴, NetCDF²⁵, OpenBLAS²⁶, PETSc²⁷, ParMETIS²⁸, ScaLAPACK²⁹, Scotch³⁰, SuiteSparse³¹, SuperLU³², oneTBB³³, p4est³⁴.

These libraries are free software and have relatively few restrictions on their use. However, please note that different terms may apply. For detailed information on the licenses and copyright statements for these packages, please refer to the content of the folder share/doc/licenses/ in the life^{*}-ep archive.

3 Results and Discussion

To highlight the versatility of life^x-ep, this section presents the results obtained in various numerical examples conducted on a range of idealized and realistic geometries, encompassing both physiological and pathological scenarios. To facilitate the reproduction of these test cases, this section provides a *getting started* guide, detailing the following steps:

• generating and importing the input data (e.g., computational meshes and fibers);

```
<sup>14</sup>https://github.com/coin-or/ADOL-C
<sup>15</sup>https://github.com/opencollab/arpack-ng
<sup>16</sup>https://www.netlib.org/blacs/
<sup>17</sup>https://eigen.tuxfamily.org/
<sup>18</sup>https://www.fftw.org/
<sup>19</sup>https://www.gnu.org/software/glpk/
<sup>20</sup>https://www.hdfgroup.org/solutions/hdf5/
<sup>21</sup>https://www.llnl.gov/casc/hypre/
<sup>22</sup>http://glaros.dtc.umn.edu/gkhome/metis/metis/overview
<sup>23</sup>https://www.mpich.org/
<sup>24</sup>http://mumps.enseeiht.fr/index.php?page=home
<sup>25</sup>https://www.unidata.ucar.edu/software/netcdf/
<sup>26</sup>https://www.openblas.net/
<sup>27</sup>https://www.mcs.anl.gov/petsc/
<sup>28</sup>http://glaros.dtc.umn.edu/gkhome/metis/parmetis/overview
<sup>29</sup>https://www.netlib.org/scalapack/
<sup>30</sup>https://gitlab.inria.fr/scotch/scotch
<sup>31</sup>https://people.engr.tamu.edu/davis/suitesparse.html
<sup>32</sup>https://portal.nersc.gov/project/sparse/superlu/
<sup>33</sup>https://oneapi-src.github.io/oneTBB/
<sup>34</sup>https://www.p4est.org/
```

- configuring the parameter files specific to each test case and executing the corresponding simulation;
- performing post-processing of the results and visualizing the output.

Finally, a strong scalability test is presented in Section Strong scalability test.

3.1 Input data

All parameter files and meshes related to the numerical simulations described below can be downloaded from the life^x-ep release archive https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8085266.

This guide provides various pre-configured hexahedral and tetrahedral meshes, including:

- four idealized geometries: cardiac slab tissue, left atrium, left ventricle, and ventricular slab, see fig. 3(a-d, g);
- two realistic geometries: left atrium and left ventricle, see fig. 3(e-f, h-i).

We emphasize that the provided example meshes are solely used to illustrate the life^x-ep features, as users have the flexibility to input any (idealized or patient-specific) meshes into life^x-ep.

The cardiac tissue slab, idealized left atrial, and left ventricular meshes are characterized by a single volumetric tag representing the entire myocardium, fig. 3(a-c). On the other hand, the ventricular slab, realistic left atrial, and left ventricular meshes have multiple distinct volumetric tags, fig. 3(d-i). The ventricular slab is divided into sub-endocardial, myocardial, and sub-epicardial layers, fig. 3(d, g). The realistic left atrium and left ventricle include regions with scars, grey zones, and fibrosis, fig. 3(e, f, h, i). The former meshes are used for *single volume simulations*, while the latter for *multi-volume simulations*. In all cases, volumetric tags must be defined during the mesh generation process [79].

The geometrical models for the idealized cardiac meshes were created using the built-in CAD engine of $gmsh^{35}$, an open-source 3D FE mesh generator. The gmsh scripts used to generate these meshes are included in the life^x-ep release archive (https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8085266). For detailed information on the syntax of the scripts, we refer to the online documentation of gmsh. Tetrahedral mesh generation for the slab tissue, idealized left atrium, and ventricular slab are also performed using gmsh. On the other hand, the idealized and realistic left ventricular hexahedral meshes were instead generated using the cubit³⁶ mesh generation software toolkit. Finally,

³⁵https://gmsh.info/doc/texinfo/gmsh.html

³⁶https://cubit.sandia.gov/



Figure 3: Domains and meshes used in the numerical examples. Domains in the top row (a-c) are composed of a single subdomain, while for domains in the middle row (d-f), zoomed on the bottom (g-i), colors are used to differentiate the subdomains.

the realistic left atrial mesh was perfomed using the semi-automatic meshing tools developed in [79], based on the Vascular Modelling Toolkit (vmtk) software [80].

The realistic left atrium and left ventricle, also containing the scar and fibrotic regions, have been produced starting from the openly available meshes used in [81] (for the left atrium³⁷) and in [82] (for the left ventricle³⁸). For the latter, we used the (vmtk) software [80], in conjunction with the cubit mesh generator. Mesh files can be specified in the life^x-ep parameter file for electrophysiology simulation within the section named Mesh and space discretization, by setting the proper element type (tetrahedra or hexa-

³⁷https://zenodo.org/record/5801337

³⁸https://kcl.figshare.com/articles/dataset/A_Virtual_Cohort_of_ Twenty-four_Left-ventricular_Models_of_Ischemic_Cardiomyopathy_Patients/

¹⁶⁴⁷³⁹⁰³

hedra), the polynomial order to be used in the FE discretization and an appropriate mesh rescaling factor (e.g., if the coordinates in the input mesh file are given in millimeters, Scaling factor = 1e-3, since life^x-ep internally handles all physical quantities in the International System of Units.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
  subsection Mesh and space discretization
    # Available options are Hex for hexahedra
    #
                        and Tet for tetrahedra
    set Element type
                        = Hex
    set FE space degree = 1
    subsection File
      <mark>set</mark> Filename
                         = /path/to/mesh/mesh.msh
      set Scaling factor = 1e-3 # [mm] to [m]
    end
  end
#
  . . .
end
```

Regarding the prescription of the myocardial fiber architecture, an essential building block for cardiac electrophysiology simulations, life^x-ep provides two options. Users can either import the myofibers from a file or generate them online fusing LDRBMs [17] by incorporating the life^x-fiber release package, which was recently published in [15].

To generate the myocardial fibers using LDRBMs, users can select the appropriate Geometry type within the parameter file under the section named Fiber generation. Each Geometry type corresponds to the specific LDRBM applicable for different geometries, such as (ventricular and spherical) slabs, (cut at base and complete) left ventricular and left atrial geometries. The parameters related to the fiber generation for a particular Geometry Type are located within a subsection with the same name. We refer to [15] for a more comprehensive guide on configuring LDRBMs, and to [17] for an in-depth mathematical description.

```
subsection Fiber generation
subsection Mesh and space discretization
    # Available options are Import from file, Slab,
    # Left ventricle, Left ventricle complete,
    # Left atrium
    set Geometry type = Slab
end
# ...
subsection Slab
# ...
end
end
```

As an alternative, users can choose to import myofibers from a file by setting Geometry type = Import from file. The myofibers are imported from a VTU file format with unstructured grid data. In this file, the three fiber



Figure 4: Fiber field computed using Laplace-Dirichlet Rule-Based Methods [15, 17] visualized as streamlines: (a) Slab tissue; (b) Idealized left atrium; (c) Idealized left ventricle; (d) Ventricular slab; (e) Realistic left atrium; (f) Realistic left ventricle. The Laplace solution ϕ is the transmural function where $\phi = 0$ on the endocardium and $\phi = 1$ on the epicardium.

directions (\mathbf{f}_0 , \mathbf{s}_0 , and \mathbf{n}_0 representing the fiber, sheet, and sheet-normal directions, respectively) must be normalized and embedded as point-data arrays. Additionally, users need to set an appropriate geometry rescaling factor (e.g., if the coordinates in the input fiber file are given in millimeters, Scaling factor = 1e-3). This ensures that the imported myofibers align properly with the geometry of the cardiac electrophysiology model.

```
subsection Fiber generation
subsection Mesh and space discretization
set Geometry type = Import from file
end
# ...
subsection Import fibers from file
# VTU file containing f0, s0, n0.
set VTU filename = /path/to/myofibers_to_import.vtu
# Comma-separated list of array names for f0, s0, n0.
set Array names = fiber, sheet, sheet_normal
set Geometry scaling factor = 1e-3 # [mm] to [m].
end
# ...
end
```

The myocardial fibers, generated using LDRBM, for the electrophysiology simulations presented hereafter are illustrated in fig. 4.

3.2 Physiological electrophysiology simulations

We present the physiological electrophysiology simulations applied to the set of idealized geometries, namely a rectangular slab of cardiac tissue, an idealized left atrium, an idealized left ventricle and a layered ventricular slab.

3.2.1 Slab benchmark

To perform software verification, we consider the N-version slab benchmark proposed in [83]. This benchmark involves a rectangular slab domain of size $(3 \times 7 \times 20) \times 10^{-3}$ m, as depicted in Figure 3(a). The fiber directions within the domain are oriented along the long axis (0.02 m), as shown in Figure 4(a). For comprehensive modeling and geometrical information regarding the benchmark definition, we refer to the original paper [83].

The simulation can be run by first generating the parameter file using

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage -g
```

then configuring the simulation by editing the lifex_electrophysiology.prm default parameter file, and finally running the simulation by typing

The same can be obtained by directly using the already prepared parameter file params_slab.prm uploaded in the release archive:

To match with [83], precise specifications are provided for the ionic model employed (in this test case, the TTP06 model [44]), including initial conditions and conductivity values along the myofiber directions (longitudinal, transversal, and normal).

```
subsection Electrophysiology
# ...
subsection Physical constants and models
# ...
subsection Volumetric parameters
set Ionic model = TTP06
subsection Monodomain conductivities
set Longitudinal conductivity = 0.95298e-4
set Transversal conductivity = 0.12576e-4
set Normal conductivity = 0.12576e-4
end
# ...
```

```
subsection Ionic model parameters
        # ...
        subsection TTP06
          set Cell type
                                           = Epicardium
          subsection Physical constants
            # ...
            subsection Initial conditions
              set Transmembrane potential = -85.23e-3
                                             = 0.00172
              set M
              set H
                                            = 0.7444
              set J
                                            = 0.7045
              set Xr1
                                             = 0.00621
              set Xr2
                                            = 0.4712
              set Xs
                                            = 0.00095
              set S
                                            = 0.999998
              set R
                                            = 2.42e-8
              set D
                                            = 3.373e-5
              set F
                                            = 0.7888
              set F2
                                            = 0.9755
              set FCass
                                            = 0.9953
              set Cai
                                            = 0.000126
              set CaSR
                                             = 3.64
              set CaSS
                                            = 0.00036
              <mark>set</mark> Nai
                                            = 8.604
              <mark>set</mark> Ki
                                            = 136.89
              set RR
                                            = 0.9073
            end
          end
        end
      end
   end
 end
 # ...
end
```

The applied stimulus current, delivered to a volume of $(1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ m, situated at one corner of the slab, is prescribed in the subsection Applied current. The stimulus has a duration of 2×10^{-3} s and an amplitude of 35.714 V/s.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
# ...
subsection Applied current
# ...
subsection Cubic
set Active = true
set Impulse sites = 0.00075 0.00075 0.00075
set Impulse amplitudes = 35.714
set Impulse length = 1.5e-3
set Impulse initial times = 0e-3
set Impulse durations = 2e-3
end
end
```



Figure 5: Snapshots of the transmembrane potential for all test cases: (a) Slab tissue; (b) Idealized left atrium; (c) Idealized left ventricle; (d) Ventricular slab; (e) Realistic left atrium; (f) Realistic left ventricle.



Figure 6: Activation maps computed for all the test cases: (a) Slab tissue; (b) Idealized left atrium; (c) Idealized left ventricle; (d) Ventricular slab; (e) Realistic left atrium; (f) Realistic left ventricle.

In fig. 5(a) and fig. 6(a), we display a snapshot of the transmembrane potential and the total activation time computed as output of the numerical



Figure 7: Activation times evaluated along the cuboid diagonal line in the N-version benchmark problem [83] for all the numerical solutions performed with life^x-ep at different refinements in space and time. Red lines=Hexahedral simulations; Blue lines=Tetrahedral simulations.

simulation, respectively. The computation of the activation time is evaluated in a given point in the cardiac muscle as the time when the transmembrane potential derivative $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t}$ reaches its maximum value. This can be enabled in the parameter file under the subsection named Activation time.

The problem was solved using both tetrahedral and hexahedral conforming meshes and the BDF2 scheme, using eight combinations of spatial resolutions ($dx = [0.5, 0.2, 0.1, 0.05] \times 10^{-3}$ m) and time steps ($\Delta t = [0.05, 0.01, 0.005, 0.001] \times 10^{-3}$ s), as reported in fig. 7. The results showcase the activation time at points along the diagonal line of the slab, as also shown in Figure 8(a). For both the tetrahedral and hexahedral meshes, the numerical solutions converge towards the finer space-time discretization ($\Delta t = 0.001 \times 10^{-3}$ s, $dx = 0.05 \times 10^{-3}$ m), yielding a latest activation time of 41.8 ms and 42.0 ms, respectively. These findings align with the values reported in the original N-version benchmark paper [83].

To further evaluate the $life^{x}$ -ep results in comparison to the other eleven codes participating in the N-version benchmark [83], we report in fig. 8 the activation time (for $\Delta t = 0.005 \times 10^{-3}$ s, $dx = 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ m) at specific points along the slab diagonal (namely P1-P8-P9, see fig. 8(a)) for all the codes, including $life^{x}$ -ep. The activation times at points P1-P8-P9



Figure 8: (a) Activation times evaluted along the cuboid diagonal and in the points P1, P9 and P8 in the N-version benchmark problem [83]. (b) Comparison of the life^x-ep numerical solutions (with Red line=Hexahedral mesh and Blue line=Tetrahedral mesh) with respect to the other codes partecipating to the benchmark problem [83].

for all the other eleven codes are available in the electronic supplementary material of [83]. As shown in fig. 8(b), the life^x-ep results fall within the range of activation time values computed by the other codes. Moreover, at such refinement level ($dt = 0.005 \times 10^{-3}$ s, $dx = 0.1 \times 10^{-3}$ m), the life^x-ep numerical solutions (in both tetrahedral and hexahedral meshes) are in excellent agreement with the reference converged activation time values of 42-43 ms computed at point P8, where the accumulation of errors tends to occur as the wave propagates across the cuboid.

3.2.2 Idealized left atrium

We simulate the propagation of the electrophysiology wavefront in an idealized left atrial geometry (see fig. 3(b)) using the APf ionic model [42]. To run the simulation, the user can modify the generic parameter file

lifex_electrophysiology.prm or use the predefined file params_ideal_la.prm available in the release archive.

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
        -f params_ideal_la.prm
```

We employ a second-order BDF temporal scheme with a time step $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$ s and a final time T = 0.15 s. Furthermore, we initialize the ionic model by running a 1000-cycle long single-cell simulation applying a stimulus with period 0.8 s.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
 # ...
 subsection Physical constants and models
   set Time OD simulation for variables initialization = 800
    subsection Volumetric parameters
     set Ionic model = Aliev-Panfilov
     # ...
     subsection Ionic model parameters
        # ...
        subsection Time solver OD
          set Time step = 1e-4
        end
        subsection Applied current OD
          set Initial times = 0.0
          set Durations = 4e-3
                           = 1.1628e3
          set Amplitudes
          set Period
                            = 0.8
        end
        # ...
     end
   end
 end
 #
   . . .
end
```

The simulation is initiated using a single spherical impulse with a radius of $r = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ m. The parameters related to the impulse site, amplitude, duration, and initial time are specified in the Applied current/Spherical subsection of the parameter file. Notice that, when utilizing the APf model, it is essential to properly rescale the impulse amplitude and also conductivity values embedded within the diffusion tensor (3) in accordance with the model's formulation [42]. Finally, the myofiber architecture is prescribed using the atrial LDRBM described in [17], as depicted in fig. 4(b), by specifying Geometry type = Left atrium in the subsection Fiber generation. It is important to remark that in the APf model formulation, the transmembrane potential u is a dimensionless variable ranging from 0 to 1. However, for visualization purposes, the actual transmembrane potential $u_{\rm mV}$ in millivolts is obtained by postprocessing the numerical results using the formula $u_{\rm mV} = (100u - 80)$ [mV] [42]. This conversion is applied to the data shown in Figure 5(b).

3.2.3 Idealized left ventricle

We simulate the electrophysiology wavefront propagation in an idealized left ventricular hexahedral mesh, shown in Figure 3(c), using the Bueno-Orovio (BO) ionic model [43]. The simulation can be run either by modifying the generic parameter file lifex_electrophysiology.prm or by utilizing the dedicated parameter file params_ideal_lv.prm.

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
    -f params_ideal_lv.prm
```

This test case can be executed at various levels of hierarchical grid refinements by modifying the parameter value Number of refinements in the Mesh and space discretization subsection. We remark that this is possible for all life^x simulations conducted with hexahedral meshes, but is not available for tetrahedral meshes, due to lack of support in deal.II.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
subsection Mesh and space discretization
set Element type = Hex
set Number of refinements = 3
# ...
end
# ...
end
```

For this simulation, we use a second-order BDF temporal scheme with a time step of $\Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ s and a final time of T = 0.15 s. The ionic model is initialized using 1000-cycle long single-cell simulations with a cardiac period of 0.8 s. We employ a pacing protocol where three ventricular endocardial areas are activated using Gaussian impulses [84]. The characteristics of these impulses, such as amplitude, duration, and initial time, can be set in the file parameter subsection Applied current/Gaussian.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
  # ...
  subsection Applied current
    subsection Gaussian
      set Active
                         = true
      set Impulse sites = -0.0271565 0.00506014 0.0141453,
                           -0.0068242 -0.0187902 0.0382122,
                                                            \
                            0.02695 0.00195906 0.0177283
                                     = 300, 300, 300
      set Impulse amplitudes
      set Impulse standard deviations = 2.5e-3, 2.5e-3, 2.5e-3
      set Impulse initial times = 0, 0, 0
      set Impulse durations
                                    = 2e-3, 2e-3, 2e-3
     set Impulse period
                                     = 0.8
    end
  end
#
 . . .
end
```

The myofiber architecture is prescribed using the Rossi-Lassila (RL) ventricular LDRBM [17], see fig. 4(c), by specifying Geometry type = Left ventricle and setting Algorithm type = RL in the subsection Fiber generation.

The simulation results are reported in fig. 5(c) and fig. 6(c), where a snapshot of the transmembrane potential and the total activation time are displayed, respectively. Note that the transmembrane potential $u_{\rm mV}$ shown

in fig. 5(c) is obtained by postprocessing the numerical results using the formula $u_{\rm mV} = (85.7u - 84) [mV]$ [43].

3.2.4 Ventricular slab

This test case serves as an explanatory example for the multi-volume simulation framework of life^x-ep. We simulate a portion of an idealized left ventricular geometry, also referred to as ventricular slab [15], where the computational domain is divided into three volumetric regions: Sub-endocardial, Myocardial, and Sub-epicardial layers, as shown in fig. 3(d).

To perform multi-volume simulations, the parameter template is created by specifying the volume labels on the command line as follows:

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage -g \
        -vol "Sub Endocardium" "Myocardium" "Sub Epicardium"
```

Doing so, the file lifex_electrophysiology.prm will contain three subsections named Sub Endocardium, Myocardium and Sub Epiucardium, where the volumetric tags (Material IDs) and all volume-specific parameters are prescribed. We use the TTP06 ionic model with a different Cell type for each layer (Endocardium, Myocardium and Epicardium) [44].

```
subsection Electrophysiology
 # ...
 subsection Physical constants and models
   # ...
   subsection Sub Endocardium
     set Material IDs = 1
                         = TTP06
      set Ionic model
     # ...
      subsection Ionic model parameters
        subsection TTP06
         set Cell type = Endocardium
          # ...
        end
        # ...
      end
    end
   subsection Myocardium
     set Material IDs = 2
      <mark>set</mark> Ionic model
                         = TTP06
     # ...
      subsection Ionic model parameters
        subsection TTP06
          set Cell type = Myocardium
          # ...
        end
        # ...
      end
    end
```

```
subsection Sub Epicardium
      set Material IDs = 3
      set Ionic model
                          = TTP06
      subsection Ionic model parameters
        subsection TTP06
          set Cell type = Epicardium
          # ...
        end
        # ...
      end
    end
    # ...
  end
 #
    . . .
end
```

The simulation can then be run by providing on the command line the same volume labels used when generating the parameter file:

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
        -f params_slab_lv.prm \
        -vol "Sub Endocardium" "Myocardium" "Sub Epicardium"
```

A second-order BDF temporal scheme is employed in this simulation, with a time step of $\Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ s and a final time of T = 0.12 s. The ionic model is initialized in each volume by conducting 1000-cycle long single-cell simulations with a cardiac period of 0.8 s. One single-cell simulation is run for every volume, so that the initialization is consistent with the spatiallyvarying parameters. The simulation onset is obtained with a single spherical impulse, of radius $r = 2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ m. The myofiber architecture is prescribed in the Fiber generation subsection by utilizing the Slab LDRBM, as shown in fig. 4(d). The fiber orientations are set to exhibit a linear transmural variation from 60° to -60° , passing from the endocardial to the epicardial surface.

The simulation results are shown in fig. 5(d) and fig. 6(d), which display a snapshot of the transmembrane potential and the total activation time, respectively.

3.3 Pathological electrophysiology simulations

In the following section, we present the pathological electrophysiology simulations applied to realistic left atrial and left ventricular geometries.

3.3.1 Realistic pathological left atrium

We perform a simulation of reentrant drivers typical of AF in a realistic left atrial tetrahedral mesh [45, 81], as shown in Figure 3(e, h).

In this pathological scenario, four volumes have been introduced, named Healthy, Fibrosis Mild, Fibrosis and Scar. We use the CRN ionic model adopting different ionic conductances and conductivity values to model the pathophysiological behaviour in the fibrotic regions, that are labelled according to their IIR [81]. Specifically, in the Fibrosis Mild volumetric region, we changed, with respect to the default CRN values, the parameters related to the transient outward current conductance gto, the L-type intracellular Ca²⁺ current conductance gCaL, and the delayed rectifier current represented by gKur_fix and gKur_var, to model the effects of chronic AF. In the Fibrosis region, we set specific current conductance values for gK1, gCaL and gNa, which stand for the inward rectifier, the L-type calcium, potassium and sodium current conductances, respectively. Conductances and conductivities were adjusted according to [48]. We also consider the Healthy physiological region, and the purely non-conductive region Scar, for which we set Disable conduction = true.

```
subsection Electrophysiology
 # ...
 subsection Physical constants and models
   Time OD simulation for variables initialization = 12
    subsection Healthy
     set Material IDs
                         = 1
     <mark>set</mark> Ionic model
                        = CRN
     subsection Monodomain conductivities
       set Longitudinal conductivity = 6.00e-4
       set Transversal conductivity = 0.50e-4
                                      = 0.50e - 4
       set Normal conductivity
      end
    end
   subsection Fibrosis Mild
     set Material IDs = 2
     set Ionic model = CRN
      subsection Monodomain conductivities
       set Longitudinal conductivity = 2.000e-4
       set Transversal conductivity = 0.175e-4
        set Normal conductivity
                                      = 0.175e-4
      end
      # ...
      subsection Ionic model parameters
        subsection CRN
          subsection Physical constants
            #..
                        = 0.0826
            <mark>set</mark> gto
            set gCaL
                        = 0.037125
            set gKur_fix = 0.0025
            set gKur_var = 0.025
          end
          # . .
        end
      end
```

```
subsection Fibrosis
         <mark>set</mark> Material IDs
                                = 3
         set Ionic model = CRN
         subsection Monodomain conductivities
           set Longitudinal conductivity = 0.500e-4
           set Transversal conductivity = 0.050e-4
set Normal conductivity = 0.050e-4
           set Normal conductivity
         end
         # ...
         subsection Ionic model parameters
           subsection CRN
              subsection Physical constants
                # . .
                <mark>set</mark> gNa
                               = 4.68
                <mark>set</mark> gK1
                              = 0.045
                <mark>set</mark> gCaL
                               = 0.061875
              end
              # . .
           end
           # ...
         end
       end
       subsection Scar
                                = 4
         set Material IDs
         set Disable conduction = true
       end
      # ...
    end
    # ...
  end
  # ...
end
```

For the spatial discretization we employ second-order FEs by setting FE space degree = 2. We use a first-order BDF temporal scheme with a time step $\Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ s and a final time T = 1.5 s. We initialize the ionic model by running a 24-cycle long single-cell simulation using an impulse period of 0.5 s [48]. We use a pacing protocol with a sequence of four multiple spherical impulses delivered every 220×10^{-3} s. This timing is set under the parameter set Impulse initial times in the Applied current/Spherical subsection of the parameter file. Finally, the myofiber architecture is prescribed using the atrial LDRBM [17], as depicted in fig. 4(e), by specifying Geometry type = Left atrium in the subsection Fiber generation.

The simulation can be run using

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
    -f params_real_la.prm \
    -vol "Healthy" "Fibrosis Mild" "Fibrosis" "Scar"
```

The simulation results are presented in fig. 5(e) and fig. 6(e), which display a snapshot of the transmembrane potential and the total activation time, respectively. In this video³⁹ (online version) we show the evolution of the transmembrane potential.

3.3.2 Realistic pathological left ventricle

We simulate a Ventricular Tachycardia (VT) macro-reentrant circuit in a realistic left ventricle [82], as shown in Figure 3(f, i).

To account for the presence of grey zone and scar, we consider three volumes: Healthy, Border Zone and Scar. Different ionic conductances in the TTP06 ionic model and conductivity values in the monodomain equation are used to characterize the electrophysiology properties within each region. In particular, in the Border Zone, we reduce the conductances of the peak sodium, L-type calcium, and potassium currents GNa, GCaL, Gkr and Gks_myo, according to [49]. The Healthy region has a physiological configuration, while the Scar region is modeled as non-conductive (setting Disable conduction = true).

```
subsection Electrophysiology
 # ...
 subsection Physical constants and models
   Time OD simulation for variables initialization = 800
   subsection Healthy
     set Material IDs
                         = 1
     set Ionic model
                         = TTP06
     subsection Monodomain conductivities
        set Longitudinal conductivity = 9.0e-5
        set Transversal conductivity = 1.8e-5
        set Normal conductivity
                                       = 1.8e-5
     end
     # ...
    end
   subsection Border Zone
     set Material IDs = 3
                       = TTP06
     <mark>set</mark> Ionic model
     subsection Monodomain conductivities
       set Longitudinal conductivity = 1.5e-5
       set Transversal conductivity = 1.5e-5
       set Normal conductivity
                                      = 1.2e-5
     end
     # ...
     subsection Ionic model parameters
       # . .
       subsection TTP06
         set Cell type
                                     = Myocardium
         subsection Physical constants
```

³⁹https://polimi365-my.sharepoint.com/:v:/g/personal/10594253_polimi_it/ EcRfIyP2ya5EsD6IixPi_4ABCaZfJViTwwgeDpKBzCsquw?e=VUMMP9

```
# . .
             set Gkr
                                           0.0459
             set Gks_myo
                                          = 0.0294
             set GNa
                                            5.63844
             set GCaL
                                          = 0.00001234
           end
           # . .
         end
      end
    end
    subsection Scar
      set Material IDs
                                = 4
      set Disable conduction = true
    end
    # ...
 end
 # ...
end
```

We use second-order FEs for the spatial discretization, with one level of hierarchical grid refinement (Number of refinements = 1), and a second-order BDF temporal scheme with a time step of $\Delta t = 5 \times 10^{-5}$ s and a final time of T = 2.5 s. The ionic model is initialized using 1000-cycle long single-cell simulations with a cardiac period of 0.8 s. We employ a pacing protocol with a sequence of multiple spherical impulses delivered in a specific ventricular endocardial area. The myofiber architecture is prescribed using the RL ventricular algorithm [17], see fig. 4(f), by specifying Geometry type = Left ventricle and setting Algorithm type = RL in the subsection Fiber generation.

The simulation can be run using

```
$ ./lifex_electrophysiology-1.5.0-x86_64.AppImage \
        -f params_real_lv.prm \
        -vol "Healthy" "Border Zone" "Scar"
```

The simulation results are presented in fig. 5(f) and fig. 6(f), which display a snapshot of the transmembrane potential and the total activation time, respectively. In this video⁴⁰ (online version) we show the evolution of the transmembrane potential.

3.4 Strong scalability test

We consider the slab benchmark of [83], and discretize the domain with a structured hexahedral mesh of 47 185 920 elements and 47 744 577 nodes, corresponding to a mesh size of approximately $dx = 3.6 \times 10^{-5}$ mm. We set $\Delta t = 1 \times 10^{-4}$ s and $T = 5 \times 10^{-2}$ s, and we use linear FEs and the

⁴⁰https://polimi365-my.sharepoint.com/:v:/g/personal/10594253_polimi_it/ EUOytZLaj1VGnsxoZxuZcb4BXSqKaGG63oUZPN6EPVt67g?e=77zmAP



Figure 9: Computational time (left) and parallel speedup (right) against the number of cores for the strong scalability test. Dashed lines indicate the ideal linear scaling.

second-order BDF scheme for time discretization. We run a strong scalability test, varying the number of parallel processes used in the computation and measuring the wall time necessary for the solution of the ionic models, the assembly of the monodomain system and the solution of the linear system. The test was run on the CINECA GALILEO100 supercomputer.

The wall times, plotted in fig. 9 for the different steps of the solver, scales linearly up to over 1000 cores, confirming the results of [13] on the scalability properties of the life^x core components. We report in table 1 a breakdown of the computational cost of the different sections for the simulation with 960 cores. Most of the computational time is spent for the solution of the linear system (6). The matrix of the linear system is assembled only once (since it is the same at every time step), and the right-hand-side is efficiently recomputed at every time step by means of matrix-vector products, resulting in a very small computational cost for the system assembly phase. Moreover, since the ionic model is solved independently at each degree of freedom, the associated computational cost scales almost perfectly, and becomes very small if a sufficiently large number of parallel processes is employed.

3.5 Output and visualization

Two different types of output file formats are available in the life^x-ep release: HDF5 and csv. Both of them can be enabled and configured in the Output subsections:

```
subsection Electrophysiology
# ...
subsection Output
set Enable output = true
```

Section	Wall time [s]	Wall time per time step [s]	Relative wall time $[\%]$
Total	379.51	-	100.0
Linear solver	226.98	0.45	71.0
Ionic model update	45.13	0.09	14.1
Initialization	33.76	-	10.6
Monodomain assembly	10.33	0.02	3.2
Other	3.55	0.01	1.1

Table 1: Summary of the computational costs for the strong scalability test, using 960 parallel cores. For each section, we report the total wall time, the wall time for each time step and the wall time relative to the total. Sections are sorted in descending order of cost.

```
set Filename = solution
set Enable CSV output = true
set CSV filename = electrophysiology.csv
end
# ...
end
```

The HDF5 output is available in the following subsections:

- Electrophysiology/Output,
- Electrophysiology/Activation time,
- Fiber generation/Output.

This generates an XDMF file named output_filename.xdmf (which links to a corresponding HDF5 output file output_filename.h5). These files can be visualized using ParaView⁴¹, an open-source multi-platform data analysis and visualization application, see e.g., fig. 4, fig. 5 and fig. 6. The HDF5 format ensures that the output can be easily post-processed, not only for visualization purposes but also as input for more advanced computational pipelines.

The Comma-Separated Values (csv) format consists of delimited text files where values are separated by commas, with each line representing a specific data record. The csv files can be found in different subsections:

- Ionic model parameters/Output,
- Ionic model parameters/OD Output,
- Electrophysiology/Output.

These csv output files can be conveniently used to plot electrophysiology variable (min, max and pointwise) values over time in the computed numerical simulation.

⁴¹https://www.paraview.org

4 Conclusions

In this work, we introduced life^x-ep, a robust and advanced software specifically designed for simulating the electrophysiology activity of the cardiac muscle. With the goal of addressing the computational challenges associated with cardiac simulations, life^x-ep provides efficient numerical methods while maintaining precision and accuracy. life^x-ep incorporates a numerical solver for the monodomain equation coupled with both phenomenological and second-generation ionic models, namely Aliev-Panfilov [42], Bueno-Orovio [43], TTP06 [44], and CRN [45]. These models are discretized in time using the BDF scheme and in space using the FE method of orders 1 and 2 on tetrahedral meshes, and of arbitrary degree on hexahedral meshes, thus providing a comprehensive framework for modeling the electrical activity of the heart under both physiological and pathological conditions.

Leveraging the capabilities of life^x, life^x-ep provides users with a userfriendly and flexible interface, facilitated by self-documenting parameter files for easy simulation setup. For enhanced accessibility, life^x-ep is distributed in an AppImage binary format, rendering it universally compatible with any recent x86-64 Linux system. Researchers from diverse backgrounds, such as medicine and bio-engineering, can readily access and utilize life^x-ep for in-silico simulations. The underlying principles and structure of life^x-ep can be readily understood thanks to the comprehensive technical and mathematical documentation.

As unique and distinctive features, life^x-ep provides two options for prescribing myocardial fibers. Users can either import them from a file or generate them online by exploiting the LDRBMs presented in [17] and implemented in the previous release life^x-fiber [15]. Moreover, it supports spatial heterogeneity in the choice of both models and physical coefficients, easily configurable through a convenient parameter file, without the need to access and modify the source code.

life^x-ep benefits from its high-performance computing capabilities, achieving ideal parallel speedup on thousands of cores. The accuracy and reliability of life^x-ep have been verified through a benchmark for computational electrophysiology, ensuring the validity of its results. Furthermore, a range of idealized and realistic cardiac simulations in both physiological and pathological settings highlights its capabilities and versatility in capturing complex cardiac dynamics and its potential for patient-specific studies. life^x-ep offers the capability to facilitate the simulation of pathological scenarios, allowing the creation of scars, grey zones, and an arbitrary number of conduction "levels". This potential impact in the study of pathologies can prove to be highly valuable.

In conclusion, life^x-ep provides to the scientific community a comprehensive, high-performance, and user-friendly software for conducting in-silico cardiac electrophysiology simulations.

In the future, efforts will be made to further improving the accuracy and efficiency of life^x-ep. One possible approach is the adoption of lookup tables instead of repeatedly evaluating the expensive functions in the ionic current term, which could provide a speed-up, although with a potential impact on accuracy [85]. Inexact solvers, such as those based on domain decomposition methods, show promising optimality and scalability properties [86]. Recent studies have also highlighted the advantages of employing high-order discretization schemes for accurately capturing the intricate electrical wavefront propagation observed in cardiac electrophysiology [19]. These schemes not only offer improved accuracy but also enable the implementation of efficient matrix-free solvers that require minimal memory usage [19]. This opens up the possibility of leveraging GPU architectures for accelerated computations [87]. Additionally, the use of higher-order or adaptive time stepping schemes and hp-adaptive FE holds the potential to achieve greater accuracy in simulations while optimizing computational efficiency [88]. These developments aim to further enhance the capabilities of life^x-ep and expand its range of features.

List of abbreviations

FE Finite Element

- **BDF** Backward Differentiation Formula
- TTP06 ten Tusscher-Panfilov 2006
- APf Aliev-Panfilov
- BO Bueno-Orovio

CRN Courtemanche-Ramirez-Nattel

AF Atrial Fibrillation

 \mathbf{VT} Ventricular Tachycardia

CRT Cardiac Resynchronization Therapy

MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging

LGE-MRI Late Gadolinium Enhancement-Magnetic Resonance Imaging

- **AP** Action Potential
- **CV** Conduction Velocity
- **AF** Atrial Fibrillation

VT Ventricular Tachycardia

LBBB Left Bundle Branch Block

 $\mathbf{ECG} \ \mathbf{Electrocardiogram}$

BSPM Body Surface Potential Mapping

 ${\bf CT}\,$ Computed Tomography

ODEs Ordinary Differential Equations

PDE Partial Differential Equation

 $\mathbf{ICI}\,$ ionic current interpolation

 \mathbf{RL} Rossi-Lassila

LDRBM Laplace-Dirichlet Rule-Based Method

IIR Imaging Itensity Ratio

MPI Message Passing Interface

Availability and requirements

Project name: life^x-ep Project home page: https://lifex.gitlab.io/ Operating system(s): Linux (x86-64) Programming language: C++ Other requirements: glibc version 2.28 or higher License: CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 Any restrictions to use by non-academics: no additional restriction.

Availability of data and materials

All input data, meshes and the binary executable of life^x-ep can be found at https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.8085266.

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No 740132, iHEART - An Integrated Heart Model for the simulation of the cardiac function, P.I. Prof. A. Quarteroni). P.C.A, R.P., F.R., M.B., M.F., S.P. and L.D. are members of the INdAM research group GNCS.

Authors' contributions

P.C.A.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and maintenance), formal analysis, supervision, writing (original draft). R.P.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft). F.R.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft). M.B.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development, simulation, testing and maintenance), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.S.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.S.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.F.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.F.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.F.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.F.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.F.: conceptualization, methodology, software (development and simulation), formal analysis, writing (original draft).
M.G.: project administration, writing (review and editing), supervision.

References

- G. J. Tortora and B. H. Derrickson. Principles of anatomy and physiology. 2008.
- [2] A. M. Katz. Physiology of the Heart. 2010.
- [3] R. Klabunde. Cardiovascular Physiology Concepts. 2011.
- [4] R. A. Harrington, J. Narula, and Z. J. Eapen. Hurst's the Heart. 2011.
- [5] A. Quarteroni, L. Dede', A. Manzoni, and C. Vergara. Mathematical Modelling of the Human Cardiovascular System: Data, Numerical Approximation, Clinical Applications. 2019.
- [6] S. A. Niederer, J. Lumens, and N. A. Trayanova. "Computational models in cardiology". In: *Nature Reviews Cardiology* 16 (2019), pp. 100– 111.
- J. Corral-Acero et al. "The 'Digital Twin' to enable the vision of precision cardiology". In: *European Heart Journal* 41.48 (2020), pp. 4556– 4564.
- [8] M. Peirlinck, F. S. Costabal, J. Yao, J. M. Guccione, S. Tripathy, Y. Wang, D. Ozturk, P. Segars, T. M. Morrison, S. Levine, and E. Kuhl. "Precision medicine in human heart modeling". In: *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology* 20 (2021), pp. 803–831.
- [9] H. J. Arevalo, F. Vadakkumpadan, E. Guallar, A. Jebb, P. Malamas, K. C. Wu, and N. A. Trayanova. "Arrhythmia risk stratification of patients after myocardial infarction using personalized heart models". In: *Nature Communications* 7 (2016), p. 11437.

- [10] A. Prakosa, H. J. Arevalo, D. Deng, P. M. Boyle, P. P. Nikolov, H. Ashikaga, J. J. E. Blauer, E. Ghafoori, C. J. Park, R. C. Blake, F. T. Han, R. S. MacLeod, H. R. Halperin, D. J. Callans, R. Ranjan, J. Chrispin, S. Nazarian, and N. A. Trayanova. "Personalized virtual-heart technology for guiding the ablation of infarct-related ventricular tachycardia". In: *Nature Biomedical Engineering* 2 (2018), pp. 732–740.
- [11] M. Strocchi, A. W. C. Lee, A. Neic, J. Bouyssier, K. Gillette, G. Plank, M. K. Elliott, J. Gould, J. M. Behar, B. Sidhu, V. Mehta, M. J. Bishop, E. J. Vigmond, C. A. Rinaldi, and S. A. Niederer. "His-bundle and left bundle pacing with optimized atrioventricular delay achieve superior electrical synchrony over endocardial and epicardial pacing in left bundle branch block patients". In: *Heart Rhythm* 1922-1929 (2020).
- [12] F. O. Campos, A. Neic, C. Mendonca Costa, J. Whitaker, M. O'Neill, R. Razavi, C. A. Rinaldi, D. Scherr, S. A. Niederer, G. Plank, and M. J. Bishop. "An automated near-real time computational method for induction and treatment of scar-related ventricular tachycardias". In: *Medical Image Analysis* 80 (2022), p. 102483.
- [13] P. C. Africa. "lifex: A flexible, high performance library for the numerical solution of complex finite element problems". In: SoftwareX 20 (2022), p. 101252.
- [14] D. Arndt, W. Bangerth, B. Blais, M. Fehling, R. Gassmöller, T. Heister, L. Heltai, U. Köcher, M. Kronbichler, M. Maier, P. Munch, J. P. Pelteret, S. Proell, S. Konrad, B. Turcksin, D. Wells, and J. Zhang. "The deal.II Library, Version 9.3". In: *Journal of Numerical Mathematics* 29.3 (2021), pp. 171–186.
- [15] P. C. Africa, R. Piersanti, M. Fedele, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "lifex-fiber: an open tool for myofibers generation in cardiac computational models". In: *BMC bioinformatics* 24.1 (2023), p. 143.
- [16] P. C. Africa, I. Fumagalli, M. Bucelli, A. Zingaro, M. Fedele, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "lifex-cfd: an open-source computational fluid dynamics solver for cardiovascular applications". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.12032v3 (2023).
- [17] R. Piersanti, P. C. Africa, M. Fedele, C. Vergara, L. Dede', A. F. Corno, and A. Quarteroni. "Modeling cardiac muscle fibers in ventricular and atrial electrophysiology simulations". In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 373 (2021), p. 113468.
- [18] S. Pagani, L. Dede', A. Frontera, M. Salvador, L. R. Limite, A. Manzoni, F. Lipartiti, G. Tsitsinakis, A. Hadjis, P. Della Bella, and A. Quarteroni. "A Computational Study of the Electrophysiological Substrate in Patients Suffering From Atrial Fibrillation". In: Frontiers in Physiology 12 (2021).

- [19] P. C. Africa, M. Salvador, P. Gervasio, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "A matrix-free high-order solver for the numerical solution of cardiac electrophysiology". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 478 (2023), p. 111984.
- [20] M. Salvador, F. Regazzoni, S. Pagani, L. Dede', N. Trayanova, and A. Quarteroni. "The role of mechano-electric feedbacks and hemodynamic coupling in scar-related ventricular tachycardia". In: *Computers* in Biology and Medicine (2022), p. 105203.
- [21] L. Cicci, S. Fresca, and A. Manzoni. "Deep-HyROMnet: A deep learningbased operator approximation for hyper-reduction of nonlinear parametrized PDEs". In: *Journal of Scientific Computing* 93.2 (2022), p. 57.
- [22] L. Cicci, S. Fresca, A. Manzoni, and A. Quarteroni. "Efficient approximation of cardiac mechanics through reduced order modeling with deep learning-based operator approximation". In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.03904* (2022).
- [23] L. Cicci, S. Fresca, S. Pagani, A. Manzoni, and A. Quarteroni. "Projectionbased reduced order models for parameterized nonlinear time-dependent problems arising in cardiac mechanics". In: *Mathematics in Engineering* 5.2 (2022), pp. 1–38.
- [24] M. Fedele, R. Piersanti, F. Regazzoni, M. Salvador, P. C. Africa, M. Bucelli, A. Zingaro, A. Quarteroni, et al. "A comprehensive and bio-physically detailed computational model of the whole human heart electromechanics". In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 410 (2023), p. 115983.
- [25] M. Salvador, M. Fedele, P. C. Africa, E. Sung, A. Prakosa, J. Chrispin, N. Trayanova, and A. Quarteroni. "Electromechanical modeling of human ventricles with ischemic cardiomyopathy: numerical simulations in sinus rhythm and under arrhythmia". In: *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 136 (2021), p. 104674.
- [26] F. Regazzoni, M. Salvador, P. C. Africa, M. Fedele, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "A cardiac electromechanical model coupled with a lumped-parameter model for closed-loop blood circulation". In: *Journal* of Computational Physics (2022), p. 111083.
- [27] R. Piersanti, F. Regazzoni, M. Salvador, A. F. Corno, C. Vergara, and A. Quarteroni. "3D–0D closed-loop model for the simulation of cardiac biventricular electromechanics". In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 391 (2022), p. 114607.
- [28] M. Corti, L. Dede', A. Zingaro, and A. Quarteroni. "Impact of atrial fibrillation on left atrium haemodynamics: A computational fluid dynamics study". In: *Computers in Biology and Medicine* (2022), p. 106143.

- [29] A. Zingaro, I. Fumagalli, L. Dede', M. Fedele, P. C. Africa, A. F. Corno, and A. M. Quarteroni. "A geometric multiscale model for the numerical simulation of blood flow in the human left heart". In: *Discrete and Continous Dynamical System S* 15.8 (2022), pp. 2391–2427.
- [30] A. Zingaro, M. Bucelli, R. Piersanti, F. Regazzoni, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "An electromechanics-driven fluid dynamics model for the simulation of the whole human heart". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02148 (2023).
- [31] I. Fumagalli, P. Vitullo, C. Vergara, M. Fedele, A. F. Corno, S. Ippolito, R. Scrofani, and A. Quarteroni. "Image-based computational hemodynamics analysis of systolic obstruction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy". In: *Frontiers in Physiology* (2022), p. 2437.
- [32] F. Marcinno', A. Zingaro, I. Fumagalli, L. Dede', and C. Vergara. "A Computational Study of Blood Flow Dynamics in the Pulmonary Arteries". In: *Vietnam Journal of Mathematics* (2022), pp. 1–23.
- [33] L. Bennati, C. Vergara, V. Giambruno, I. Fumagalli, A. F. Corno, A. Quarteroni, G. Puppini, and G. B. Luciani. "An Image-Based Computational Fluid Dynamics Study of Mitral Regurgitation in Presence of Prolapse". In: *Cardiovascular Engineering and Technology* (2023), pp. 1–19.
- [34] L. Bennati, V. Giambruno, F. Renzi, V. Di Nicola, C. Maffeis, G. Puppini, G. B. Luciani, and C. Vergara. "Turbulence and blood washout in presence of mitral regurgitation: a computational fluid-dynamics study in the complete left heart". In: *bioRxiv* (2023), pp. 2023–03.
- [35] A. Zingaro, M. Bucelli, I. Fumagalli, L. Dede', and A. Quarteroni. "Modeling isovolumetric phases in cardiac flows by an Augmented Resistive Immersed Implicit Surface Method". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.09435 (2022).
- [36] M. Bucelli, L. Dede', A. Quarteroni, and C. Vergara. "Partitioned and monolithic algorithms for the numerical solution of cardiac fluidstructure interaction". In: *Communications in Computational Physics* 32.5 (2022), pp. 1217–1256.
- [37] M. Bucelli, A. Zingaro, P. C. Africa, I. Fumagalli, L. Dede', and A. M. Quarteroni. "A mathematical model that integrates cardiac electro-physiology, mechanics and fluid dynamics: application to the human left heart". In: *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering* 39.3 (2023), e3678.
- [38] M. Bucelli, M. G. Gabriel, A. Quarteroni, G. Gigante, and C. Vergara.
 "A stable loosely-coupled scheme for cardiac electro-fluid-structure interaction". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* (2023), p. 112326.

- [39] S. Di Gregorio, C. Vergara, G. M. Pelagi, A. Baggiano, P. Zunino, M. Guglielmo, L. Fusini, G. Muscogiuri, A. Rossi, M. G. Rabbat, et al. "Prediction of myocardial blood flow under stress conditions by means of a computational model". In: *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging* (2022), pp. 1–12.
- [40] A. Zingaro, C. Vergara, L. Dede', F. Regazzoni, and A. Quarteroni. "A comprehensive mathematical model for cardiac perfusion". In: arXiv preprint [submitted to Nature - Scientific Reports] arXiv:2303.13914 (2023).
- [41] P. C. Franzone, L. Pavarino, and S. Scacchi. Mathematical cardiac electrophysiology. 2014.
- [42] R. R. Aliev and A. V. Panfilov. "A simple two-variable model of cardiac excitation". In: *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals* 7.3 (1996), pp. 293–301.
- [43] A. Bueno-Orovio, E. M. Cherry, and F. H. Fenton. "Minimal model for human ventricular action potentials in tissue". In: *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 253.3 (2008), pp. 544–560.
- [44] K. ten Tusscher and A. Panfilov. "Alternans and spiral breakup in a human ventricular tissue model". In: American Journal of Physiology Heart and Circulation Physiology 291 (2006), pp. 1088–1100.
- [45] M. Courtemanche, R. Ramirez, and S. Nattel. "Ionic mechanisms underlying human atrial action potential properties: insights from a mathematical model". In: American Journal of Physiology Heart and Circulation Physiology 275.1 (1998), H301–H321.
- [46] A. Frontera, S. Pagani, L. R. Limite, A. Hadjis, A. Manzoni, L. Dede', A. Quarteroni, and P. Della Bella. "Outer loop and isthmus in ventricular tachycardia circuits: Characteristics and implications". In: *Heart Rhythm* 17.10 (2020), pp. 1719–1728.
- [47] A. Frontera, S. Pagani, L. R. Limite, A. Peirone, F. Fioravanti, B. Enache, J. C. Silva, K. Vlachos, C. Meyer, G. Montesano, A. Manzoni, L. Dede', A. Quarteroni, D. G. Latcu, P. Rossi, and P. D. Bella. "Slow Conduction Corridors and Pivot Sites Characterize the Electrical Remodeling in Atrial Fibrillation". In: *JACC: Clinical Electrophysiology* 0.0 (2022).
- [48] S. Zahid, H. Cochet, P. M. Boyle, E. L. Schwarz, K. N. Whyte, E. J. Vigmond, R. Dubois, M. Hocini, M. Haišsaguerre, P. Jaiš, et al. "Patientderived models link re-entrant driver localization in atrial fibrillation to fibrosis spatial pattern". In: *Cardiovascular research* 110.3 (2016), pp. 443–454.

- [49] H. J. Arevalo, F. Vadakkumpadan, E. Guallar, A. Jebb, P. Malamas, K. C. Wu, and N. A. Trayanova. "Arrhythmia risk stratification of patients after myocardial infarction using personalized heart models". In: *Nature communications* 7.1 (2016), p. 11437.
- [50] A. Quarteroni, R. Sacco, and F. Saleri. Numerical mathematics. 2010.
- [51] A. Quarteroni. Numerical Models for Differential Problems. 2017.
- [52] P. Pathmanathan, G. R. Mirams, J. Southern, and J. P. Whiteley. "The significant effect of the choice of ionic current integration method in cardiac electro-physiological simulations". In: International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering 27.11 (2011), pp. 1751– 1770.
- [53] S. Rossi, T. Lassila, R. Ruiz-Baier, A. Sequeira, and A. Quarteroni. "Thermodynamically consistent orthotropic activation model capturing ventricular systolic wall thickening in cardiac electromechanics". In: European Journal of Mechanics-A/Solids 48 (2014), pp. 129–142.
- [54] A. L. Hodgkin and A. F. Huxley. "A quantitative description of membrane current and its application to conduction and excitation in nerve". In: *The Journal of physiology* 117.4 (1952), p. 500.
- [55] G. Lines, M. Buist, P. Grottum, A. Pullan, J. Sundnes, and A. Tveito. "Mathematical models and numerical methods for the forward problem in cardiac electrophysiology". In: *Computing and Visualization in Science* 5 (2003), pp. 215–239.
- [56] R. H. Clayton, Y. Aboelkassem, C. D. Cantwell, C. Corrado, T. Delhaas, W. Huberts, C. L. Lei, H. Ni, A. V. Panfilov, C. Roney, and R. W. dos Santos. "An audit of uncertainty in multi-scale cardiac electrophysiology models". In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 378.2173 (2020), p. 20190335.
- [57] G. Plank, A. Loewe, A. Neic, C. Augustin, Y.-L. Huang, M. A. Gsell, J. S. Elias Karabelas Mark Nothstein, A. J. Prassl, G. Seemann, and E. J. Vigmond. "The openCARP Simulation Environment for Cardiac Electrophysiology". In: *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine* 208 (2021), p. 106223.
- [58] C. Lloyd, J. Lawson, P. Hunter, and P. Nielsen. "The CellML model repository". In: *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* 24 (2008), pp. 2122– 3.
- [59] G. R. Mirams, C. J. Arthurs, M. O. Bernabeu, R. Bordas, J. Cooper, A. Corrias, Y. Davit, S. Dunn, A. G. Fletcher, D. G. Harvey, M. E. Marsh, J. M. Osborne, P. Pathmanathan, J. Pitt-Francis, J. Southern, N. Zemzemi, and D. J. Gavaghan. "Chaste: An Open Source C++

Library for Computational Physiology and Biology". In: *PLOS Computational Biology* 9.3 (2013), e1002970.

- [60] D. F. Richards, J. N. Glosli, E. W. Draeger, A. A. Mirin, B. Chan, J.-l. Fattebert, W. D. Krauss, T. Oppelstrup, C. J. Butler, J. A. Gunnels, et al. "Towards real-time simulation of cardiac electrophysiology in a human heart at high resolution". In: *Computer methods in biomechanics and biomedical engineering* 16.7 (2013), pp. 802–805.
- [61] M. Vázquez, G. Houzeaux, S. Koric, A. Artigues, J. Aguado-Sierra, R. Arís, D. Mira, H. Calmet, F. Cucchietti, H. Owen, A. Taha, E. D. Burness, J. M. Cela, and M. Valero. "Alya: Multiphysics engineering simulation toward exascale". In: *Journal of Computational Science* 14 (2016), pp. 15–27.
- [62] B. Baillargeon, N. Rebelo, D. D. Fox, R. L. Taylor, and E. Kuhl. "The Living Heart Project: A robust and integrative simulator for human heart function". In: *European Journal of Mechanics - A/Solids* 48 (2014), pp. 38–47.
- [63] S. Levine, T. Battisti, B. Butz, K. D'Souza, F. Costabal, and M. Peirlinck. "Dassault Systèmes' Living Heart Project". In: 2022, pp. 245– 259.
- [64] E. Vigmond, R. W. Dos Santos, A. Prassl, M. Deo, and G. Plank. "Solvers for the cardiac bidomain equations". In: *Progress in biophysics and molecular biology* 96.1-3 (2008), pp. 3–18.
- [65] M. Courtemanche, R. J. Ramirez, and S. Nattel. "Ionic targets for drug therapy and atrial fibrillation-induced electrical remodeling: insights from a mathematical model". In: *Cardiovascular Research* 42.2 (1999), pp. 477–489.
- [66] A. Neic, F. O. Campos, A. J. Prassl, S. A. Niederer, M. J. Bishop, E. J. Vigmond, and G. Plank. "Efficient computation of electrograms and ECGs in human whole heart simulations using a reaction-eikonal model". In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 346 (2017), pp. 191–211.
- [67] K. Gillette, M. A. F. Gsell, A. J. Prassl, E. Karabelas, U. Reiter, G. Reiter, T. Grandits, C. Payer, D. Štern, M. Urschler, J. D. Bayer, C. M. Augustin, A. Neic, T. Pock, E. J. Vigmond, and G. Plank. "A Framework for the generation of digital twins of cardiac electrophysiology from clinical 12-leads ECGs". In: *Medical Image Analysis* 71 (2021), p. 102080.
- [68] A. Loewe, E. Poremba, T. Oesterlein, A. Luik, C. Schmitt, G. Seemann, and O. Dössel. "Patient-Specific Identification of Atrial Flutter Vulnerability-A Computational Approach to Reveal Latent Reentry Pathways". In: Frontiers in Physiology 9 (2019).

- [69] L. Azzolin, M. Eichenlaub, C. Nagel, D. Nairn, J. Sanchez, L. Unger, O. Dössel, A. Jadidi, and A. Loewe. "Personalized ablation vs. conventional ablation strategies to terminate atrial fibrillation and prevent recurrence". In: *EP Europace* (2022).
- [70] K. Gillette, M. A. F. Gsell, J. Bouyssier, A. J. Prassl, A. Neic, E. J. Vigmond, and G. Plank. "Automated Framework for the Inclusion of a His-Purkinje System in Cardiac Digital Twins of Ventricular Electrophysiology". In: Annals of Biomedical Engineering 49 (2021), pp. 3143–3153.
- [71] E. Sung, A. Prakosa, K. N. Aronis, S. Zhou, S. L. Zimmerman, H. Tandri, S. Nazarian, R. D. Berger, J. Chrispin, and N. A. Trayanova. "Personalized Digital-Heart Technology for Ventricular Tachycardia Ablation Targeting in Hearts With Infiltrating Adiposity". In: *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology* 13.12 (2020), e008912.
- [72] C. H. Roney, I. Sim, J. Yu, M. Beach, A. Mehta, J. A. Solis-Lemus, I. Kotadia, J. Whitaker, C. Corrado, O. Razeghi, E. Vigmond, S. M. Narayan, M. O'Neill, S. E. Williams, and S. A. Niederer. "Predicting Atrial Fibrillation Recurrence by Combining Population Data and Virtual Cohorts of Patient-Specific Left Atrial Models". In: *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology* 15.2 (2022), e010253.
- [73] F. Margara, Z. J. Wang, F. Levrero-Florencio, A. Santiago, M. Vázquez, A. Bueno-Orovio, and B. Rodriguez. "In-silico human electro-mechanical ventricular modelling and simulation for drug-induced pro-arrhythmia and inotropic risk assessment". In: *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 159 (2021), pp. 58–74.
- [74] M. Peirlinck, F. Sahli Costabal, and E. Kuhl. "Sex Differences in Drug-Induced Arrhythmogenesis". In: Frontiers in Physiology 12 (2021), p. 708435.
- [75] P. González-Martiń, F. Sacco, C. Butakoff, R. Doste, C. Bederián, L. K. Gutierrez Espinosa de los Monteros, G. Houzeaux, P. A. Iaizzo, T. L. Iles, M. Vázquez, and J. Aguado-Sierra. "Ventricular anatomical complexity and gender differences impact predictions from computational models". In: *PLoS ONE* 18(2) (2023), e0263639.
- [76] Trilinos Project Website. https://trilinos.github.io. 2023.
- [77] B. Schäling. The boost C++ libraries. 2011.
- [78] W. Schroeder, K. M. Martin, and W. E. Lorensen. The Visualization Toolkit: An Object-Oriented Approach to 3D Graphics. USA, 2006.
- [79] M. Fedele and A. Quarteroni. "Polygonal surface processing and mesh generation tools for the numerical simulation of the cardiac function". In: International Journal for Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering 37.4 (2021), e3435.

- [80] L. Antiga and D. A. Steinman. "The vascular modeling toolkit". In: (2008). URL: http://www.vmtk.org.
- [81] C. H. Roney, I. Sim, J. Yu, M. Beach, A. Mehta, J. Alonso Solis-Lemus, I. Kotadia, J. Whitaker, C. Corrado, O. Razeghi, et al. "Predicting atrial fibrillation recurrence by combining population data and virtual cohorts of patient-specific left atrial models". In: *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology* 15.2 (2022), e010253.
- [82] C. M. Costa, A. Neic, E. Kerfoot, K. Gillette, B. Porter, B. Sieniewicz, J. Gould, B. Sidhu, Z. Chen, M. Elliott, V. Mehta, G. Plank, C. Rinaldi, M. Bishop, and S. Niederer. "A Virtual Cohort of Twentyfour Left-ventricular Models of Ischemic Cardiomyopathy Patients". In: King's College London (2020).
- [83] S. A. Niederer, E. Kerfoot, A. P. Benson, M. O. Bernabeu, O. Bernus, C. Bradley, E. M. Cherry, R. Clayton, F. H. Fenton, A. Garny, et al. "Verification of cardiac tissue electrophysiology simulators using an Nversion benchmark". In: *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society* A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences 369.1954 (2011), pp. 4331–4351.
- [84] R. Piersanti, F. Regazzoni, M. Salvador, A. F. Corno, L. Dede', C. Vergara, and A. Quarteroni. "3D–0D closed-loop model for the simulation of cardiac biventricular electromechanics". In: *Computer Methods in Applied Mechanics and Engineering* 391 (2022), p. 114607. ISSN: 0045-7825.
- [85] J. Cooper, R. J. Spiteri, and G. R. Mirams. "Cellular cardiac electrophysiology modeling with Chaste and CellML". In: *Frontiers in Physiology* 5 (2015), p. 511.
- [86] S. Zampini. "Inexact BDDC methods for the cardiac bidomain model". In: Domain decomposition methods in science and engineering XXI. Springer. 2014, pp. 247–255.
- [87] G. Del Corso, R. Verzicco, and F. Viola. "A fast computational model for the electrophysiology of the whole human heart". In: *Journal of computational physics* 457 (2022), p. 111084.
- [88] N. Chamakuri and P. Kügler. "Parallel space-time adaptive numerical simulation of 3D cardiac electrophysiology". In: Applied Numerical Mathematics 173 (2022), pp. 295–307. ISSN: 0168-9274.