High-efficient deep learning-based DTI reconstruction with flexible diffusion gradient encoding scheme

Zejun Wu[®] | Jiechao Wang | Zunquan Chen | Qinqin Yang | Shuhui Cai | Zhong Chen | Congbo Cai

¹Department of Electronic Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Correspondence

Congbo Cai, Department of Electronic Science, Xiamen University, Xiamen 361005, China. Email: cbcai@xmu.edu.cn

Funding Information

This work was partially supported by National Key Research and Development Program of China; grant 2022YFC2402102 and in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China; grant 82071913 and 22161142024 **Purpose:** To develop and evaluate a novel dynamic-convolution-based method called FlexDTI for high-efficient diffusion tensor reconstruction with flexible diffusion encoding gradient schemes.

Methods: FlexDTI was developed to achieve high-quality DTI parametric mapping with flexible number and directions of diffusion encoding gradients. The proposed method used dynamic convolution kernels to embed diffusion gradient direction information into feature maps of the corresponding diffusion signal. Besides, our method realized the generalization of a flexible number of diffusion gradient directions by setting the maximum number of input channels of the network. The network was trained and tested using data sets from the Human Connectome Project and a local hospital. Results from FlexDTI and other advanced tensor parameter estimation methods were compared.

Results: Compared to other methods, FlexDTI successfully achieves high-quality diffusion tensor-derived variables even if the number and directions of diffusion encoding gradients are variable. It increases peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) by about 10 dB on Fractional Anisotropy (FA) and Mean Diffusivity (MD), compared with the state-of-the-art deep learning method with flexible diffusion encoding gradient schemes.

Conclusion: FlexDTI can well learn diffusion gradient direction information to achieve generalized DTI reconstruction with flexible diffusion gradient schemes. Both flexibility and reconstruction quality can be taken into account in this network.

KEYWORDS:

Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), Reconstruction, Deep learning, Dynamic convolution, Diffusion gradient encoding

1 | INTRODUCTION

Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) constitutes an essential part of magnetic resonance imaging modalities. It measures the extent of water molecule diffusion along the diffusion gradient direction¹. DWI can be used in the early detection of ischemic stroke 2,3,4,5 and brain $tumors^{6,7,8}$. Due to diffusion can vary greatly along or perpendicular to the direction of the tissue microstructure, so diffusion tensor is introduced to describe the anisotropy of tissue. Diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) has been shown to be useful for the detection of traumatic brain injury 9,10,11 , multiple sclerosis 12,13,14 , and major depressive disorder^{15,16}. In DTI, the diffusion properties in each voxel are described by a rank-2 symmetric diffusion tensor. Eigen decomposition is applied to the diffusion tensor to get DTI parametric maps such as FA and MD, which can visualize the tissue microstructures. There exist more sophisticated diffusion models, such as diffusion kurtosis imaging¹⁷ and high angular resolution diffusion imaging¹⁸, but DTI remains a widely used tool for neuroscience research¹⁹ because of easier acquisition and model fitting.

The reconstruction of DTI theoretically requires a minimum of six DW images acquired with non-collinear diffusion gradient directions, as well as one non-DW image. These images are processed using the least square fitting algorithm. However, high-quality DTI usually requires more DW image acquisitions due to the low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of DWI, which causes a long scan time. For instance, it is typically necessary to acquire 30 DW images to generate DTI parametric maps with sufficient diagnostic quality^{20,21}, leading to a scan time of 5-10 minutes. Such a long scan time makes the patient uncomfortable, and increases the chance of motion artifacts corruption.

Recently, deep neural networks have been widely applied in MRI reconstruction, such as in compressed sensing 22,23,24 , T2 mapping 25,26,27 , and DTI 28,29,30 . The q-space deep learning (q-DL)²⁸ is one of the earliest deep learning methods for diffusion parameter estimation, including diffusion kurtosis as well as neurite orientation dispersion and density measures. The results have shown that a simple three-layer neural network can accurately estimate the diffusion parameters and shorten twelve-fold scan time due to the reduction of required diffusion gradient directions. Thereafter, several deep learning-based methods (e.g., DeepDTI²⁹, and SuperDTI³⁰), which use only six-direction DW images, were proposed to further shorten scan time. In SuperDTI, six DW images and one non-DW image are used for the tensor parameter estimation, which made it feasible to conduct superfast DTI and fiber tractography.

Even though the present deep learning methods can reconstruct high-quality diffusion tensor with a small number of diffusion gradient directions, they require that the number and direction of the diffusion gradients must be the same as the training data. For different diffusion gradient schemes, the networks need to be retrained, and a large amount of training data is additionally required. This issue of generalization hinders the practical applications of deep learning methods since different diffusion encoding schemes are often used in the MRI scanners from different manufacturers such as Siemens and Philips. Although traditional least-squares fitting (LLS) method has good generalization performance, the accuracy of fitting results is not high when the number of diffusion gradient directions is few. To solve this issue, a new method called DIFFnet³¹ was proposed. In this method, diffusion signals were normalized in the qspace and then projected and quantized in an orthogonal plane, producing a matrix as an input for the network to achieve generalization. Nevertheless, DIFFnet used voxel-wise fitting and is not robust for noise. In addition, part of the information was lost because of overlapping in projection. When the number of diffusion gradient directions is reduced, the projection will cause the signal to appear sparse and scattered on the orthogonal plane. reducing the quality of DTI.

To build a flexible DTI reconstruction network, diffusion gradient direction information needs to be efficiently input into the network, because there are significant differences in the diffusion gradient directions among different DW images. Chen et al., designed a new convolutional kernel which aggregates multiple parallel convolution kernels dynamically based upon input dependent.³² Zhang et al. utilized dynamic convolutional kernels to achieve the fusion of classification tasks and input information.³³ Huang et al. used dynamic convolution to fuse spatial and physical information with different dimensions and overcome the receptive field limitation of the convolutional network.³⁴ In this work, we implement a dynamic-convolution-based network to get the dynamical kernel parameters conditioned by the DW images and diffusion gradient direction information. Furthermore, our method realizes the generalization of flexible number of diffusion gradient directions by setting the maximum number of input channels of the network. Compared to the conventional fitting method and DIFFnet, the results of the proposed method have a lower normalized root mean squared error (NRMSE) and a higher PSNR in the DTI parametric maps.

2 | METHODS

2.1 | DTI model

The voxel-wise magnitude signal in DTI is described by the Stejskal-Tanner equation: 35

$$S_i = S_0 exp(-b\mathbf{g}_i^T \mathbf{D} \mathbf{g}_i) \tag{1}$$

in which S_i is the signal intensity for a special *b* value of diffusion (S_0 corresponding to b = 0). $\mathbf{g}_i = (g_{ix}, g_{iy}, g_{iz})^T$ is the unit direction vector of diffusion, and diffusion tensor (**D**) is:

$$\mathbf{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} D_{xy} D_{xz} \\ D_{xy} D_{yy} D_{yz} \\ D_{xz} D_{yz} D_{zz} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

By matrix linear transformation $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i = (g_{ix}^2, g_{iz}^2, g_{iz}^2, 2g_{ix}g_{iy}, 2g_{ix}g_{iz}, 2g_{iy}g_{iz})^T$, the diffusion tensor elements

 $\boldsymbol{D}_{vec} = (D_{xx}, D_{yy}, D_{zz}, D_{xy}, D_{xz}, D_{yz})^T$ and the apparent diffusion coefficients $\boldsymbol{\beta}_i = ln(S_0/S_i)/b$ can be expressed as:

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}_i^T \boldsymbol{D}_{vec} = \boldsymbol{\beta}_i \tag{3}$$

The diffusion tensor elements D_{vec} consist of six variables, which mathematically requires at least six S_i of non-collinear directions for the LLS method.

2.2 | Data acquisition

The data were obtained from the Human Connectome Project (HCP).³⁶ There were 203 subjects in total, among which 136 subjects were used for training, 46 subjects for validation, and 21 subjects for testing. T_1 weighted images and DW images with two *b* values ($b = 0, 1000 \ s/mm^2$) and 90 diffusion gradient directions were used. The parameters of the Spin-Echo EPI sequence were as follow: TR = 5520 ms, TE = 94 ms, slice thickness = 1.25 mm, FOV = 210 × 180mm², matrix size = 168×144 , slice number = 145, pulse flip angle = 78° , multiband factor = 3, echo spacing = 0.78 ms, and phase partial Fourier = 6/8.

Three healthy volunteers from a local hospital were also included for testing and analysis. The MRI data, including DW images, T_1 and T_2 images, were acquired on a 3T MRI scanner (MAGNETOM Prisma, Siemens, Germany). The sequence parameters were the same as HCP data except for the diffusion gradient direction scheme. DW images with 90 diffusion-weighted directions $(b = 1000 \ s/mm^2)$ and one b = 0 image were acquired. The study protocol was approved by the institutional research ethics committees, and written informed consents were obtained from the volunteers before the experiments. We applied the minimal preprocessing pipelines³⁷ for the MRI data. The Structural Pipelines were run first, and then the Diffusion Preprocessing Pipeline, which was comprised of b = 0 image intensity normalization, EPI distortion correction, eddy correction, gradient nonlinearity correction, and registration. The b = 0 images were used to calculate the susceptibility-induced B_0 field deviations.

2.3 | Dynamic convolutional network

The input of the network shown in Figure 1 were: a T_1 weighted image for preventing blurring and offering more structural information,²⁸ one b = 0 image, and different numbers of DW images of $b = 1000 \ s/mm^2$ along different diffusion gradient directions were randomly selected from the first 50 directions in the 90 directions of HCP for training and from the last 40 directions for testing.

We implemented a dynamic-convolution-based model to achieve generalized reconstruction for various diffusion gradient directions. Firstly, each DW image with $b = 1000 \ s/mm^2$ was separately fused with diffusion gradient features through a dynamic convolution module, obtaining a DW feature map with diffusion gradient encoding information. Here, U-Net³⁸ was used to achieve direct mapping from DW feature maps to diffusion tensors. In previous DTI networks, the diffusion gradient encoding scheme was generally fixed, which limited their flexibility. In this work, FlexDTI achieved the generalization with the flexible number of DW images by setting the maximum number of input channels. After the input DW image passed through the dynamic convolution module, the obtained feature map, T_1 image, and b = 0 image were overlaid as the input to U-Net. Assuming that the maximum number of input channels for U-Net is N, when the number of channels was less than N, the remaining channels would be filled with duplicate feature maps. The output of the network was six different elements $(D_{xx}, D_{yy}, D_{zz}, D_{xy}, D_{xz}, D_{yz})$ of the diffusion tensor. The reconstructed diffusion tensor was then through Eigen decomposition to obtain the DTI quantitative maps, including FA, MD, axial diffusivity (AD), and radial diffusivity (RD).

The implementation of the dynamic convolutional module is demonstrated in Figure 1 (b). The DW images (\mathbf{W}) were aggregated into a one-dimensional vector and were connected with a one-dimensional diffusion gradient direction vector (\mathbf{V}) via global average pooling (GAP), which had a global receptive field and realized information fusion between diffusion encoding direction and corresponding DW images. By specifying the parameters



FIGURE 1 (A) Overall architecture of the proposed method for generalized DTI reconstruction. The input of the network has one T_1 -weighted image, one b = 0 image, and six DW images from six diffusion directions. The output of the network is diffusion tensor, and Eigen decomposition is used to fit the DTI parametric maps. (B) The dynamic convolution module to achieve generalized reconstruction of DTI. The DWIs are aggregated into a one-dimensional vector via GAP concatenated with the diffusion direction vector. The concatenated vector is convolved and fully connected to get the kernel parameters, which are allocated to three dynamic convolution layers.

of dynamic convolution layers $(\boldsymbol{\theta})$, the concatenated vector through the attention mechanism module $(\boldsymbol{\psi})$ was utilized to get the kernel parameter. This process was expressed as follows:

$$\omega = \psi(GAP(\mathbf{W})||\mathbf{V};\boldsymbol{\theta}_{\psi}) \tag{4}$$

where θ_{ψ} represented the weights and the biases of the dynamic convolution layers. The kernel parameter ω was allocated to three dynamic convolution layers. Within the dynamic convolutional module, the length of the one-dimensional DWI vector was ten through global average pooling, and the length of the diffusion gradient direction vector is three. Under the condition of 3×3 convolution kernel, each of the three dynamic convolution layers had three channels, and a total of 180 parameters were generated.

The U-Net network structure was composed of 3×3 convolutional blocks repeatedly. Each block included a ReLU (Rectified Linear Unit) activation and a 2×2 maximum pooling operation. Given feature maps W_{fm} formed by passing DW images through the dynamic convolution module, the output images were:

$$D = f(\boldsymbol{W}_{fm}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_f) \tag{5}$$

where θ_f represented all network parameters to be learned during training.

The sum of the average mean squared error (L_2) between final output image $\overline{D_j}$ and the corresponding ground-truth diffusion tensor image D_j is defined as the loss function:

$$Loss = ||\overline{D_j} - D_j||_2^2 \tag{6}$$

Deep learning framework PyTorch was used on one NVIDIA GTX 1080Ti. The proposed method took about

10 hours for training, and about 147.3 ms per slice for testing. In the training process, Adam solver was applied with an initial learning rate of 0.001 and the batch size was 16.

2.4 | Evaluation

The average of 18 b = 0 images and 90 DW images of $b = 1000 \ s/mm^2$ were used to obtain the ground truth based on the Stejskal-Tanner equation.

To demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed method, a series of comparative experiments were carried out, which all supported flexible diffusion gradient directions, including conventional LLS, LLS after blockmatching and 4D filtering (LLS-BM4D)³⁹ and DIFFnet methods. SuperDTI (fixed diffusion gradient scheme) was also performed for comparison. There were two sets of validation experiments, one was under fixed diffusion gradient number and flexible diffusion gradient directions, and the other was under flexible number and directions of diffusion gradients. For the first set of experiments, the diffusion gradient directions number was fixed at 6 and 12 respectively. For the second set of experiments, a maximum of 20 diffusion gradient directions was set.

The PSNR and NRMSE were calculated to quantify the reconstruction quality. The PSNR is defined as follows:

$$PSNR = 10 \log_{10} \frac{Peak^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{V} [\overline{v(i)} - v_{ref}(i)]^2 / V}$$
(7)

where Peak is the maximum value of all pixel values in the reference image, \overline{v} is the reconstructed image and v_{ref} is the reference image. NRMSE is defined as follows:

$$NRMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{V} [\overline{v(i)} - v_{ref}(i)]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{V} (v_{ref}(i))^2}}$$
(8)

3 | RESULTS

3.1 | DTI reconstruction with flexible diffusion gradient directions

The results of four estimated tensor-derived variables, i.e., FA, MD, AD, and RD through different reconstruction methods were provided in Figure 2 , where 6 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions were involved in the reconstruction. In terms of the reconstruction quality of the four quantitative parameters, FlexDTI has significant performance improvement compared with the other methods. The images from FlexDTI

appear less noisy than others, with details more similar to the reference images. It is worth pointing out that 6 DW images are not sufficient to reconstruct highquality DTI for DIFFnet method, while the proposed method can achieve high-quality DTI quantitative maps using only 6 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions.



FIGURE 2 Four parametric maps (FA, MD, AD, and RD) reconstructed by LLS, LLS-BM4D, DIFFnet and FlexDTI using 6 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions. The references were reconstructed by LLS using 90 DW images. The PSNRs and NRMSEs are given at the upper left corner of each reconstruction image.

Table 1 presents the average PSNR and NRMSE of the data collected from 21 volunteers for the four estimated tensor-derived variables by the four methods. Compared to DIFFnet, FlexDTI reduces NRMSE by 0.53 and increases PSNR by 9.06 dB on FA, and reduces NRMSE by 0.16 and increases PSNR by 10.64 dB on MD.

D11 parametric map	metrics	LLS	LL5-DM4D	DIFFIC	FIEXDII
FA	PSNR (dB)	13.40	14.72	20.36	29.42
	NRMSE	1.78	1.57	0.80	0.27
MD	PSNR (dB)	25.03	25.72	28.59	39.23
	NRMSE	0.63	0.52	0.25	0.09
AD	PSNR (dB)	21.27	22.27	28.38	37.16
	NRMSE	1.15	0.87	0.27	0.11
RD	PSNR (dB)	24.50	25.26	25.87	38.71
	NRMSE	0.75	0.62	0.34	0.09

TABLE 1 Quantitative assessment of FA, MD, AD and RD for four methods using 6 DW images

In Figure 3 , 12 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions were used. Similar to the results with 6 DW images, FlexDTI has significant performance improvement compared to the other methods. Table 2 presents the average PSNR and NRMSE. Compared to DIFFnet, FlexDTI reduces NRMSE by 0.14 and increases PSNR by 5.14 dB on FA, and reduces NRMSE by 0.12 and increases PSNR by 8.86 dB on MD.

3.2 | DTI reconstruction with flexible number and directions of diffusion gradients

To validate the performance of reconstruction using flexible diffusion gradient direction number (6 or more), 6 to 20 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions were randomly selected from the first 50 diffusion gradient directions of HCP for training, and 6, 8, 12 and 20 diffusion gradient directions were selected from the last 40 diffusion gradient directions of HCP for testing.

Figure 4 shows that as the number of diffusion gradient directions increases, both FlexDTI and DIFFnet exhibit an improvement in the reconstruction quality of FA and MD, while FlexDTI consistently demonstrates significantly higher reconstruction quality than DIFFnet method.

3.3 | DTI reconstruction of local clinical data

Figure 5 and Table 3 show the results of further evaluations of our method on 3 test subjects from the local hospital volunteers. We used 6 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions to test the reconstruction effects of tensor-derived variables from the four methods. It can be observed that our method is still able to reconstruct better results than the other three methods, as demonstrated in Figure 5 and Table 3 .



FIGURE 3 Four parametric maps (FA, MD, AD and RD) reconstructed by LLS, LLS-BM4D, DIFFnet and FlexDTI using 12 DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions. The references were reconstructed by LLS using 90 DW images. The PSNRs and NRMSEs are given at the upper left corner of each reconstruction image.

3.4 | Comparison with SuperDTI methods

SuperDTI is a state-of-the-art DTI reconstruction network based on fixed diffusion gradient directions.

DTI parametric map	Metrics	\mathbf{LLS}	LLS-BM4D	DIFFnet	FlexDTI
FA	PSNR (dB)	21.61	26.20	25.36	30.50
	NRMSE	0.67	0.40	0.41	0.27
MD	PSNR (dB)	37.47	33.89	30.62	39.48
	NRMSE	0.10	0.16	0.20	0.08
AD	PSNR (dB)	36.01	32.49	27.09	37.77
	NRMSE	0.11	0.19	0.31	0.10
RD	PSNR (dB)	33.73	33.43	28.65	38.94
	NRMSE	0.15	0.16	0.25	0.09

TABLE 2 Quantitative assessment of FA, MD, AD and RD for four methods using 12 DW images.



FIGURE 4 The reconstruction quality assessment of FA and MD under different diffusion gradient direction numbers.

Although FlexDTI has important advantages in terms of flexibility in the diffusion gradient scheme, it is important to know the performance difference between our method and SuperDTI under the same number of diffusion gradient directions. LLS, BM4D and DIFFnet have also been carried out for comparison.

Figure 6 shows the reconstructed results of two estimated tensor-derived variables, i.e., FA and MD from all methods. Compared to SuperDTI, FlexDTI showed a mild performance decline in FA reconstruction (-1.34 dB in PSNR and +0.03 in NRMSE) and was nearly consistent in MD reconstruction. This means that FlexDTI achieves good flexibility without sacrificing too much reconstruction quality.

4 | DISCUSSION

In this work, FlexDTI was proposed for rapid highquality DTI reconstruction with flexible diffusion gradient encoding schemes. The input feature maps of the network contain both DW images information and diffusion gradient directions information, allowing the network to learn the mapping relationship between DW images and diffusion tensors for flexible diffusion gradient directions, solving the limitation of previous deep learning methods that are restricted to a specific diffusion gradient encoding scheme and significantly improving the generalization of the deep learning-based DTI. In addition, the network

DTI parametric map	Metrics	\mathbf{LLS}	LLS-BM4D	DIFFnet	FlexDT
FA	PSNR (dB)	14.88	15.63	17.61	26.18
	NRMSE	1.39	1.28	0.76	0.37
MD	PSNR (dB)	26.37	26.41	24.94	31.33
	NRMSE	0.32	0.32	0.47	0.19
AD	PSNR (dB)	25.08	25.35	23.16	30.43
	NRMSE	0.36	0.34	0.52	0.22
RD	PSNR (dB)	25.26	25.49	28.81	30.29
	NRMSE	0.35	0.33	0.27	0.20

TABLE 3 Quantitative assessment of FA, MD, AD and RD from four methods for local dataset.



FIGURE 5 Four parametric maps (FA, MD, AD and RD) reconstructed by LLS, LLS-BM4D, DIFFnet and FlexDTI for the local dataset. The references were reconstructed by LLS using 90 DW images. The PSNRs and NRMSEs are given at the upper left corner of each reconstruction image.

can reconstruct diffusion tensors from a flexible number of DW images (six or more), thereby enhancing the flexibility. The performance of FlexDTI was systematically evaluated in terms of the quality of tensor-derived variables, as well as compared to the performance of traditional methods and advanced deep learning methods that offer similar levels of flexibility.

It is worth pointing out that DIFFnet, another advanced flexible DTI reconstruction method, does not show good reconstruction performance. The reason may be twofold. On the one hand, DIFFnet is a voxel-level reconstruction method, which cannot effectively use the correlation between adjacent pixels, so its anti-noise ability is insufficient. On the other hand, DIFFnet is unable to improve the DTI reconstruction quality by using the high-quality structural information prior provided by high-resolution T_1 -weighted image.

An important design element of the FlexDTI framework is the dynamic convolutional module, which uses attention mechanism to construct the convolutional kernel based on the input images. Dynamic convolution adds only marginal attention computation and kernel construction costs but does not increase the depth or width of the network, rendering the additional computational burden essentially negligible. Therefore, dynamic convolution enhances the network's representation capacity by leveraging the input image to construct the convolutional kernel, with almost no additional computation. Moreover, dynamic convolution can be used as a module to replace static convolution in traditional convolutional neural network, facilitating the implementation of specific network mapping tasks.

In the dynamic convolution module, DW image vector is first concatenated with specific-length diffusion gradient direction vector, before undergoing parameter generation. If the vector length is too short, a significant loss of information may occur due to global average pooling, resulting in an insufficient contribution of DW image information during parameter generation. Conversely, if the vector length is too long, the information of diffusion gradient directions may become less important since diffusion gradient direction vector is a three-dimensional vector, compromising the network's encoding ability concerning diffusion gradient directions



FIGURE 6 A comparison of FA and MD generated from LLS, LLS-BM4D, DIFFnet, SuperDTI and FlexDTI using six DW images. The diffusion directions were fixed for SuperDTI and flexible for other methods. The references were generated from LLS using 90 DW images. The PSNRs and NRMSEs are given at the upper left corner of each reconstruction image.

and ultimately hampering the network's mapping performance. Thus, vectorizing the DW image to a length of ten strikes the best balance between these competing needs, achieving optimal reconstruction performance.

In DTI reconstruction experiments with flexible diffusion gradient directions (Figures 2 and 3), only 6 DW images and 12 DW images were involved. Because clinically, 20-30 DW images are typically used for generating DTI parametric maps, and using an excessive number of DW images may compromise the purpose of fast DTI.

The DTI reconstruction of local clinical data (Figure 5) shows that our method is suitable for different scanners, indicating its strong generalization ability. Once the network is trained, it can be used for different diffusion gradient schemes on different types of scanners, which is of great convenience for the clinical application of deep learning-based DTI.

In comparison with SuperDTI with fixed diffusion gradient directions (Figure 6), the parameter quantitative result of MD, which is related to the diagonal elements of diffusion tensor, shows that the reconstruction performance of FlexDTI on is similar to SuperDTI. The parameter FA, which is related to the off-diagonal elements of diffusion tensor, shows a decrease in PSNR of 1 to 2 dB. Although there were some compromises in the reconstruction quality, the results were acceptable. These observations further demonstrate that FlexDTI has high reconstruction quality while obtaining flexibility.

5 | CONCLUSION

A novel method FlexDTI was proposed to achieve highefficient diffusion tensor reconstruction with flexible diffusion gradient schemes. The quantitative results show the flexibility and efficiency of this method. By using dynamic convolution to encode the diffusion gradient directions, the network can reconstruct diffusion tensors using DW images with flexible diffusion gradient directions. This solves the problem of traditional deep learning methods that can only reconstruct specific diffusion gradient directions. In addition, we utilized the method of setting the maximum number of input channels of the network to achieve diffusion tensor reconstruction with a flexible number of (six or more) DW images and improved the flexibility of the network. The proposed method meets the requirements of both shortening scan time and increasing flexibility and generalization.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the National Key Research and Development Program of China under Grant 2022YFC2402102, and in part by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant numbers 82071913 and 22161142024.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no potential conflict of interests.

ORCID

Zejun Wu 🕩 0009-0007-9986-3066

REFERENCES

- Tae WS, Ham BJ, Pyun SB, Kang SH, Kim BJ. Current clinical applications of diffusion-tensor imaging in neurological disorders. *J Clin Neurol.* 2018;14(2):129-140.
- Edlow BL, Hurwitz S, Edlow JA. Diagnosis of DWInegative acute ischemic stroke: A meta-analysis. *Neurol*ogy. 2017;89(3):256-262.
- Auriat AM, Borich MR, Snow NJ, Wadden KP, Boyd LA. Comparing a diffusion tensor and non-tensor approach to white matter fiber tractography in chronic stroke. *NeuroImage Clin.* 2015;7:771-781.
- Moseley ME, Cohen Y, Mintorovitch J, et al. Early detection of regional cerebral ischemia in cats: Comparison of diffusion- and T2-weighted MRI and spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* 1990;14(2):330-346.
- Zhang RZ, Zhao L, Lou WT, et al. Automatic segmentation of acute ischemic stroke from DWI using 3-D fully convolutional DenseNets. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2018;37(9):2149-2160.
- Stadnik TW, Chaskis C, Michotte A, et al. Diffusionweighted MR imaging of intracerebral masses: Comparison with conventional MR imaging and histologic findings. *Am J Neuroradiol.* 2001;22(5):969-976.
- Kono K, Inoue Y, Nakayama K, et al. The role of diffusion-weighted imaging in patients with brain tumors. *Am J Neuroradiol.* 2001;22(6):1081-1088.
- Szczepankiewicz F, Lasic S, Van D, et al. Quantification of microscopic diffusion anisotropy disentangles effects of orientation dispersion from microstructure: Applications in healthy volunteers and in brain tumors. *Neuroimage*. 2015;104:241-252.
- Muller HP, Turner MR, Grosskreutz J, et al. A largescale multicentre cerebral diffusion tensor imaging study in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2016;87(6):570-579.
- Narayana PA, Yu X, Hasan KM, et al. Multi-modal MRI of mild traumatic brain injury. *NeuroImage Clin.* 2015;7:87-97.
- Pasternak O, Kelly S, Sydnor VJ, Shenton ME. Advances in microstructural diffusion neuroimaging for psychiatric disorders. *Neuroimage*. 2018;182:259-282.
- Rovaris M, Filippi M. Diffusion tensor MRI in multiple sclerosis. J Neuroimaging. 2007;17 Suppl 1:27S-30S.
- Song SK, Sun SW, Ramsbottom MJ, Chang C, Russell J, Cross AH. Dysmyelination revealed through MRI as increased radial (but unchanged axial) diffusion of water. *Neuroimage*. 2002;17(3):1429-1436.

- Van der Walt A, Kolbe SC, Wang YE, et al. Optic nerve diffusion tensor imaging after acute optic neuritis predicts axonal and visual outcomes. *PLoS One.* 2013;8(12):e83825.
- Elliott R, Zahn R, Deakin JF, Anderson LM. Affective cognition and its disruption in mood disorders. *Neuropsychopharmacology*. 2011;36(1):153-182.
- Rive MM, Van Rooijen G, Veltman DJ, Phillips ML, Schene AH, Ruhe HG. Neural correlates of dysfunctional emotion regulation in major depressive disorder. A systematic review of neuroimaging studies. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2013;37(10):2529-2553.
- Li ZW, Gong T, Lin ZC, et al. Fast and robust diffusion kurtosis parametric mapping using a threedimensional convolutional neural network. *IEEE Access.* 2019;7:71398-71411.
- Zhang H, Schneider T, Wheeler Kingshott CA, Alexander DC. NODDI: Practical in vivo neurite orientation dispersion and density imaging of the human brain. *Neuroimage*. 2012;61(4):1000-1016.
- Tetreault P, Harkins KD, Baron CA, Stobbe R, Does MD, Beaulieu C. Diffusion time dependency along the human corpus callosum and exploration of age and sex differences as assessed by oscillating gradient spin-echo diffusion tensor imaging. *Neuroimage*. 2020;210:116533.
- Jones DK. The effect of gradient sampling schemes on measures derived from diffusion tensor MRI: A Monte Carlo study. *Magn Reson Med.* 2004;51(4):807-815.
- Skare S, Hedehus M, Moseley ME, Li TQ. Condition number as a measure of noise performance of diffusion tensor data acquisition schemes with MRI. J Magn Reson. 2000;147(2):340-352.
- Aggarwal HK, Mani MP, Jacob M. MoDL: Model-based deep learning architecture for inverse problems. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2019;38(2):394-405.
- 23. Wang JC, Yang QQ, Yang QZ, Xu LN, Cai CB, Cai SH. Joint optimization of Cartesian sampling patterns and reconstruction for single-contrast and multi-contrast fast magnetic resonance imaging. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2022;226:107150.
- Zhang XL, Guo D, Huang YM, et al. Image reconstruction with low-rankness and self-consistency of k-space data in parallel MRI. *Med Image Anal.* 2020;63:101687.
- 25. Zhang J, Wu J, Chen SJ, et al. Robust single-shot T2 mapping via multiple overlapping-echo acquisition and deep neural network. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2019;38(8):1801-1811.
- Cai CB, Wang C, Zeng YQ, et al. Single-shot T2 mapping using overlapping-echo detachment planar imaging and a deep convolutional neural network. *Magn Reson Med.* 2018;80(5):2202-2214.
- 27. Yang QQ, Lin YH, Wang JC, et al. MOdel-based synthetic data-driven learning (MOST-DL): Application in single-shot T2 mapping with severe head motion using overlapping-echo acquisition. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2022;41(11):3167-3181.
- Golkov V, Dosovitskiy A, SPERL JI, et al. Q-space deep learning: Twelve-fold shorter and model-free diffusion MRI Scans. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2016;35(5):1344-1351.

- Tian Q, Bilgic B, Fan Q, et al. DeepDTI: High-fidelity six-direction diffusion tensor imaging using deep learning. *Neuroimage*. 2020;219:117017.
- Li HY, Liang ZF, Zhang CY, et al. SuperDTI: Ultrafast DTI and fiber tractography with deep learning. *Magn Reson Med.* 2021;86(6):3334-3347.
- Park J, Jung W, Choi EJ, et al. DIFFnet: Diffusion parameter mapping network generalized for input diffusion gradient schemes and b-value. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging.* 2022;41(2):491-499.
- Chen YP, Dai XY, Liu MC, Chen DD, Yuan L, Liu ZC. Dynamic convolution: Attention over convolution kernels. In: IEEE 11027-11036. Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit (CVPR); June 13–19, 2020; Seattle, WA.
- 33. Zhang JP, Xie YT, Xia Y, Shen CH. DoDNet: Learning to segment multi-organ and tumors from multiple partially labeled datasets. In: IEEE 1195-1204. Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit (CVPR); June 20–25, 2021; Nashville, TN.
- 34. Huang HT, Yang QQ, Wang JC, Zhang PJ, Cai SH, Cai CB. High-efficient Bloch simulation of magnetic resonance imaging sequences based on deep learning. *Phys Med Biol.* 2023;68(8):085002.
- 35. Stejskal EO, Tanner JE. Spin diffusion measurements: Spin echoes in the presence of a time dependent field gradient. J Chem Phys. 1965;42(1):288-292.
- Van Essen DC, Ugurbil K, Auerbach E, et al. The Human Connectome Project: A data acquisition perspective. *Neuroimage*. 2012;62(4):2222-2231.
- Glasser MF, Sotiropoulos SN, Wilson JA, et al. The minimal preprocessing pipelines for the Human Connectome Project. *Neuroimage*. 2013;80:105-124.
- Falk T, Mai D, Bensch R, et al. U-Net: Deep learning for cell counting, detection, and morphometry. *Nat Methods*. 2019;16(1):67.
- Dabov K, Foi A, Katkovnik V, Egiazarian K. Image denoising by sparse 3-D transform-domain collaborative filtering. *IEEE Trans Image Process.* 2007;16(8):2080-2095.