

Corrected Weight Functions for Stellar Oscillation Eigenfrequencies

R. H. D. TOWNSEND¹ AND S. D. KAWALER²

¹*Department of Astronomy, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 475 N Charter St, Madison, WI 53706, USA*

²*Department of Physics and Astronomy, Iowa State University, 2323 Osborn Drive, Ames, IA 50011, USA*

ABSTRACT

Kawaler et al. (1985) present a variational expression for the eigenfrequencies associated with stellar oscillations. We highlight and correct a typographical error in the weight functions appearing in these expressions, and validate the correction numerically.

Keywords: Asteroseismology (73) — Pulsating variable stars (1307) — Stellar oscillations (1617) — Astronomical Methods (1043)

1. INTRODUCTION

Under the assumption of a vanishing surface density, the equations governing linear, adiabatic, non-radial stellar oscillations are self-adjoint and therefore obey a variational principle (see, e.g. Unno et al. 1989). Variational expressions for mode eigenfrequencies serve as a valuable computational check on numerical solutions to the oscillation equations. Via so-called weight functions, they also quantify how eigenfrequencies are sensitive to different parts of a star’s internal structure; they are therefore key tools in determining the asteroseismic probing potential of each mode.

Kawaler et al. (1985) present a variational expression that has been adopted in many studies of stellar oscillations (e.g., Kawaler et al. 1986; Brassard et al. 1992a,b; Córscico & Benvenuto 2002; Timmes et al. 2018). In the present paper we highlight and correct a typographical error in one of the weight functions appearing in their expression, and use numerical calculations to demonstrate the validity of the correction.

2. WEIGHT FUNCTIONS

The Kawaler et al. (1985) variational expression for the eigenfrequency $\sigma(\mathbf{y})$ of a mode is

$$\sigma^2(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{\int_0^R [\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}, r) + \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}, r) + \mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, r)] \rho r^2 dr}{\int_0^R \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{y}, r) \rho r^2 dr}. \quad (1)$$

Here, $\mathbf{y}(r) = \{y_1(r), \dots, y_4(r)\}$ is the vector of eigenfunctions introduced by Dziembowski (1971), r is the radial coordinate (with R the stellar radius), and ρ the density. The three weight functions are

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}, r) = g^2 \ell(\ell + 1) S_\ell^{-2} (y_2 - y_3)^2, \quad (2)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{y}, r) = r^2 N^2 y_1^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, r) = -\frac{gr}{U} [y_4 + \ell(\ell + 1)y_3]^2. \quad (4)$$

where ℓ is the model harmonic degree, g is the gravity, N the Brunt-Väisälä frequency, S_ℓ the Lamb frequency, and U the usual homology invariant. The function

$$\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{y}, r) = r^2 \left[y_1^2 + \ell(\ell + 1) \left(\frac{g}{r\sigma^2} \right)^2 y_2^2 \right] \quad (5)$$

appearing in the denominator is proportional to the differential inertia of the mode.

By re-deriving these expressions from equations (13.13) and (13.15) of Unno et al. (1979)¹, we find that the correct expression for \mathcal{G} is

$$\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}, r) = -\frac{gr}{U} [y_4 + (\ell + 1)y_3]^2; \quad (6)$$

comparing this against equation (4), there is an extra factor of ℓ multiplying the y_3 term in the latter. We have confirmed that this error is typographical in nature; the spurious factor was not included in the calculations presented in Kawaler et al. (1985) and follow-up papers by the same authors.

¹ The equivalent equations in Unno et al. (1989) are (14.16) and (14.19).




Figure 1. The relative frequency error Δ between numerical and variational frequencies of the $n = 3$ polytrope, plotted as a function of mode radial order n_{pg} using the original (equation (4); left) and corrected (equation (6); right) expressions for \mathcal{G} .

2.1. Numerical Validation

To validate our analysis we explore how well equation (1) can reproduce the numerical eigenfrequencies of an $n = 3$ polytrope model, using both original and corrected expressions for \mathcal{G} . We apply the GYRE oscillation code (Townsend & Teitler 2013; Townsend et al. 2018; Goldstein & Townsend 2020) to calculate adiabatic eigenfrequencies and eigenfunctions for low-radial order, $\ell = 0, \dots, 4$ modes of this model. GYRE’s VACUUM boundary condition (where the Lagrangian pressure perturbation vanishes at the surface) is adopted, and the oscillation equations are discretized using the COLLOC_GL2 (second-order Gauss-Legendre collocation) scheme on a radial grid of ~ 1000 points.

For each mode found we evaluate the relative frequency error

$$\Delta = \left| \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{y}) - \sigma}{\sigma} \right|, \quad (7)$$

where σ is the numerical eigenfrequency reported by GYRE. Figure 1 plots Δ as a function of mode radial order n_{pg} (defined in the Eckart-Osaki-Scuflaire classification scheme; see Unno et al. 1989), for calculations based on the original (equation 4) and corrected (equation 6) expressions for \mathcal{G} . In the former case (left panel) the errors are significant for $\ell \geq 2$ modes, reaching $\Delta \gtrsim 0.1$ at small $|n_{\text{pg}}|$. In the latter (right panel) the frequency errors are small for all modes, $\Delta \lesssim 10^{-4}$, and arise pri-

marily from the truncation error of GYRE’s numerical scheme. This confirms the validity of the corrected expression.

The original expression for \mathcal{G} happens to yield small Δ for both radial ($\ell = 0$) and dipole ($\ell = 1$) modes. When $\ell = 1$ the spurious factor of ℓ in equation (4) makes no difference to the value of \mathcal{G} . When $\ell = 0$, this factor introduces an additive offset

$$\delta\mathcal{G}(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{gr}{U} y_3 (y_3 + 2y_4) \quad (8)$$

to \mathcal{G} ; however, integration by parts, together with the fact that $y_3 = 0$ at the outer boundary for radial modes, can be used to demonstrate that this offset makes no net contribution to the numerator of the variational expression (1).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work has been supported by NSF grants ACI-1663696, AST-1716436 and PHY-1748958, and NASA grant 80NSSC20K0515.

Facilities: We have made extensive use of NASA’s Astrophysics Data System Bibliographic Services.

Software: Astropy (Astropy Collaboration et al. 2013, 2018, 2022), GYRE (Townsend & Teitler 2013; Townsend et al. 2018; Goldstein & Townsend 2020), Matplotlib (Hunter 2007)

REFERENCES

- Astropy Collaboration, Robitaille, T. P., Tollerud, E. J., et al. 2013, *A&A*, 558, A33
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Sipőcz, B. M., et al. 2018, *AJ*, 156, 123
- Astropy Collaboration, Price-Whelan, A. M., Lim, P. L., et al. 2022, *ApJ*, 935, 167
- Brassard, P., Fontaine, G., Wesemael, F., & Hansen, C. J. 1992a, *ApJS*, 80, 369

- Brassard, P., Fontaine, G., Wesemael, F., & Tassoul, M. 1992b, *ApJS*, 81, 747
- Córsico, A. H., & Benvenuto, O. G. 2002, *Ap&SS*, 279, 281
- Dziembowski, W. A. 1971, *AcA*, 21, 289
- Goldstein, J., & Townsend, R. H. D. 2020, *ApJ*, 899, 116
- Hunter, J. D. 2007, *Computing in Science & Engineering*, 9, 90
- Kawaler, S. D., Winget, D. E., & Hansen, C. J. 1985, *ApJ*, 295, 547
- Kawaler, S. D., Winget, D. E., Hansen, C. J., & Iben, I., J. 1986, *ApJL*, 306, L41
- Timmes, F. X., Townsend, R. H. D., Bauer, E. B., et al. 2018, *ApJL*, 867, L30
- Townsend, R. H. D., Goldstein, J., & Zweibel, E. G. 2018, *MNRAS*, 475, 879
- Townsend, R. H. D., & Teitler, S. A. 2013, *MNRAS*, 435, 3406
- Unno, W., Osaki, Y., Ando, H., Saio, H., & Shibahashi, H. 1989, *Nonradial oscillations of stars*, 2nd edn. (University of Tokyo Press)
- Unno, W., Osaki, Y., Ando, H., & Shibahashi, H. 1979, *Nonradial oscillations of stars*, 1st edn. (University of Tokyo Press)