

Entropy Maximization in Sparse Matrix by Vector Multiplication ($\max_E SpMV$)

ABHISHEK JAIN, ISMAIL BUSTANY, HENRI FRAISSE, MANSIMRAN BENIPAL, DINESH GAITONDE, and PAOLO D'ALBERTO, Xilinx

The peak performance of any SpMV depends primarily on the available memory bandwidth and its effective use. GPUs, ASICs, and new FPGAs have higher and higher bandwidth; however, for large scale and highly sparse matrices, SpMV is still a hard problem because of its random access pattern and workload imbalance. Here, we show how to turn randomness to our advantage. We propose a matrix permutation pre-processing step that aims to maximize the entropy of the distribution of the nonzero elements. We seek any permutation that uniformly distributes the non-zero elements' distribution, thereby generating a SpMV problem that is amenable to work load balancing or to speed up sort algorithms. We conjecture these permutations would be most effective for matrices with no dense rows or columns and, as in preconditioning, when the matrix is reused. We shall show that entropy maximization is an optimization that any architecture may take advantage although in different ways. Most importantly, any developer can consider and deploy. We shall present cases where we can improve performance by 15% on AMD-based (GPU-CPU) systems.

ACM Reference Format:

Abhishek Jain, Ismail Bustany, Henri Fraise, Mansimran Benipal, Dinesh Gaitonde, and Paolo D'Alberto. 2021. Entropy Maximization in Sparse Matrix by Vector Multiplication ($\max_E SpMV$). *J. ACM* -, -, Article – (March 2021), 26 pages.

1 INTRODUCTION

To define the scope of our work, the obvious questions to ask are: first, what randomization or entropy maximization is in the context of sparse matrices; second, why would we use it; third, when it does work. We shall provide formal definitions in the following sections. Here briefly, we will permute randomly the rows and columns of a sparse matrix before multiplying it by a dense vector (SpMV) with the aim of speeding this operation (accordingly the input and output vectors will be permuted).

$$(P_r \mathbf{y}) = (P_r A P_c) * (P_c^{-1} \mathbf{x}) \quad (1)$$

Undoubtedly, this scheme requires some restrictions about the matrix structure: for example, it must have no or few dense columns or rows. In this unfortunate case, a sparse/dense partitioning scheme should be used and different algorithms/hardware could be deployed separately instead. Here suffice to say that the partitioning advantages rely on a clear definition of density. For the remainder of this manuscript, we shall assume the former nonzero structure. We use randomization because it is the poor man's way for preconditioning SpMV in our context, and we do not mean it in a pejorative sense.

Authors' address: Abhishek Jain, abhishek@xilinx.com; Ismail Bustany, ismailb@xilinx.com; Henri Fraise, henrif@xilinx.com; Mansimran Benipal, mansimra@xilinx.com; Dinesh Gaitonde, dineshg@xilinx.com; Paolo D'Alberto, paolod@xilinx.com, Xilinx, 2100 Logic Dr, San Jose, California, 95124.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

© 2021 Association for Computing Machinery.

0004-5411/2021/3-ART– \$15.00

<https://doi.org/>

Preconditioning speeds up the convergence rate of an iterative linear solver by linearly transforming the associated matrix into a form that affords a faster reduction of the residual error at every iteration. The cost of this transformation is justified by the runtime reduction it affords. Likewise, we foresee randomization playing a similar role for SpMV in the context of iterative linear solvers and other methods (e.g. in convolutions) where the matrix is reused.

Sparse linear algebra and GraphBLAS kernels are memory bound and there is a common thread in the scientific computing community to develop acceleration libraries mostly for multi-core systems. These predominantly include multi-core processors and GPUs. The goal is a balanced work distribution and, when applicable, minimal communication [6, 11]. When storage strategy and algorithms must be considered together then GPUs provide the work horse for abundant thrust in research [1]. These works aim at optimal solutions and strive for a clear and complete understanding/exploitation of the software-hardware interface; usually the hardware is composed of symmetric computational units. Interestingly, the SpMV's space and time complexity, which are small, may not warrant more performance because we typically end up utilizing only one-thousandth fraction of the available hardware capacity.

The peak performance of any SpMV accelerator depends primarily on the available memory bandwidth (i.e., DRAM such as DDR or HBM) and the capability of the accelerator to effectively use it. Because SpMV is memory-bound, a more important metric than peak performance alone is the fraction of bandwidth utilized, which captures the overall efficiency of the architecture. GPU platforms exhibit very high bandwidth, see the experimental Section 8: Ellesmere DDR5 224GB/s, Fiji HBM 512GB/s, and Vega 20 HBM 1TB/s. Although utilizing this much bandwidth efficiently is difficult for large scale and highly sparse matrices due to very high random access pattern. Custom architectures based on FPGA or ASIC devices can maximize bandwidth utilization by highly customized data-paths and memory hierarchy designs [3, 4, 13]. Most of the existing accelerators saturate the relatively low memory bandwidth available on FPGA platforms (less than 80 GB/s) [3, 4, 8, 10, 12, 13]. Modern FPGA platforms have multiple HBM stacks to provide large memory bandwidth. However, there is no implementation (currently available) that saturates all of the available DRAM bandwidth for SpMV kernel on HBM-enabled FPGA platforms. Scalability of accelerator design remains a major concern, and it is an active area of research.

FPGA platforms used in early works exhibit low peak performance due to the scarcity of external memory bandwidth [3, 7, 14]. For example, Microsoft's implementation of SpMV uses an FPGA platform which only has 2 DDR2-400 memory banks with a resulting bandwidth of 6.4 GB/s [7]. The accelerator is running at 100 MHz, it reads 64 Bytes of data every cycle, which corresponds to 5 non-zeros at every cycle (a non-zero is about 12 Bytes). At best, the peak performance is 10 double precision operations every cycle at 100 MHz, which is 1 GFLOPS (only). In 2009, Convey systems Inc. released the Convey HC-1 FPGA platform. It has 16 DDR2-677 memories resulting in overall 80 GB/s memory bandwidth [10]. The accelerator logic runs at 150 MHz. It consumes 512 Bytes of data every cycle, which corresponds to around 40 non-zeros every cycle. At best, the peak performance is 80 double precision operations every cycle at 150 MHz, which is 12 GFLOPS.

One of the key building blocks for custom architecture solutions is a multi-ported buffer used to storing vector entries [3]. During execution, multiple column indices are used as addresses to read corresponding vector entries; we shall provide more details about the application in Section 2. Designing a buffer with a very large number of read ports is challenging. One solution is *banking* as a mechanism to store partitioned vector entries. Although banking could allow very high throughput indexing unless the same entry is required multiple times and its reads are purely sequential causing loss of bandwidth. For example, hashing techniques and data duplication are possible solutions for this problem. However, another issue arises: When we distribute SpMV computations across p -nodes, some of the nodes, say k , finish later than the rest because of unbalanced work loads (i.e.,

number of nonzero element) in row/column major traversal. This is a common phenomena for matrices where few rows or columns are dense. These k nodes are referred to as *laggard nodes*. By applying random permutation of columns/rows, we are attempting to balance the loads across all p workers so that there are no laggards. From this hardware vantage point, randomization or maximizing the entropy of the non-zero element distribution is an optimization transform and provides a clear context for our work.

Clearly, accelerating SpMV is a hard many-parameters optimization problem dependent on the choice of algorithm, data structures, and dedicated hardware (CPU, GPUs, FPGA's, Custom ASIC's). Rather, our goal is to provide a tool, we may say a naive tool, to help understand how the structure of the matrix may affect the HW-SW solution. For the readers in the field of algorithms, SpMV can be mapped into a sorting algorithm. For example, finding elements $x_{i,j}$ and $x_{i,k>j}$ in a sparse matrix requires to find row i and then columns j and k . Sorting is a method to find if an element is in a list with no prior or limited knowledge of its contents. Sorting can be used to prepare the matrix and to find elements in between sparse matrices and sparse vectors. In custom architectures, sorting networks are used to route matrix and vector elements to functional units. In a sense, if one is stuck with a sorting algorithm and a poor distribution, randomization may alter the distribution and throttle performance. Interestingly, the best sorting algorithm is a function of the distribution of the elements [5, 9].

We organize this work as follows: In Section 2, we define the matrix by vector operation; in Section 3, we define what we mean by randomization or entropy maximization. We use randomization to create a uniform distribution in Section 5 and measure uniformity by entropy in Section 4. We present how we drive our experiments to show the effects of randomization in Section 6. In the last sections, we present a summary of the results: we present our work loads for the given benchmarks in Section 7, and the complete set of measures for an AMD CPU and GPUs systems in Section 8 .

2 BASIC NOTATIONS

Let us start by describing the basic notations so we can clear the obvious (or not). A Sparse-matrix by vector multiplication $SpMV$ on an (semi) ring based on the operations $(+, *)$ is defined as $\mathbf{y} = \mathbb{M}\mathbf{x}$ so that $y_i = \sum_j M_{i,j} * y_j$ where $M_{i,j}=0$ are not represented nor stored. Most of the experimental results in Section 8 are based on the classic addition $(+)$ and multiplication $(*)$ in floating point precision using 64 bits (i.e., double floating point precision) albeit are extensible to other semi-rings. For instance, it is well known that SpMV defined on the semi-ring $(\min,+)$ is a kernel in computing an all-pairs shortest paths starting with a graph adjacency matrix, and in using a Boolean algebra we can check if two nodes are connected, which is slightly simpler.

We identify a sparse matrix \mathbb{M} of size $M \times N$ as having $O(M + N)$ non-zero elements, number of non zero nnz . Thus the complexity of $\mathbb{M}\mathbf{x}$ is $O(M + N) \approx 2nnz$. Also, we must read at least nnz elements and thus the complexity is $\Theta(M + N) \approx nnz$. We can appreciate that reading the data is as complex as the overall operation. Of course, the definition of sparsity may vary. We represent the matrix \mathbb{M} by using the coordinate list COO or and the compressed sparse row CSR¹ formats. The COO represents the non-zero of a matrix by a triplet (i, j, v) ; very often there are three identical-in-size vectors for the ROW, COLUMN, and VALUE. The COO format takes $3 \times nnz$ space and two consecutive elements in the value array are not bound to be neither in the same row nor column. In fact, we know only that $VALUE[i] = M_{ROW[i], COLUMN[i]}$.

The CSR format stores elements in the same row and with increasing column values consecutively. There are three arrays V, COL, and ROW. The ROW is sorted in increasing order. Its size is M , and $ROW[i]$ is an index in V and COL describing where i -th row starts (i.e., if row i exists). Accordingly,

¹a.k.a. Compressed row storage CRS.

$M_{i,*}$ is stored in $V[ROW[i] : ROW[i + 1]]$. The column indices are stored at $COL[ROW[i] : ROW[i + 1]]$ and sorted increasingly. The CSR format takes $2 \times nnz + M$ space and a row vector of the matrix can be found in $O(1)$.

The computation $y_i = \sum_j M_{i,j} * x_j$ is a sequence of scalar products and, using the CSR format, is computed as follows:

$$Index = ROW[i] : ROW[i + 1]$$

$$y_i = \sum_{\ell \in Index} V[\ell] * x_{COL[\ell]}$$

The matrix row is contiguous (in memory) and rows are stored in increasing order. However, the access of the dense vector x has no particular pattern, well increasing.

The COO format can be endowed with certain properties. For example, we can sort the array by row and add row information to achieve the same properties of CSR. In contrast, transposing a "sorted" COO matrix simply entails swapping of the arrays ROW and COL. Think about matrix multiply (one of us does constantly). Each scalar product achieves peak performance if the reads of the vector x are streamlined as much as possible and so the reads of the vector V . If we have multiple cores, each could compute a subset of the y_i and a clean data load balancing can go a long way. If we have few functional units, we would like to have a constant stream of independent $*$ and $+$ operations but with data already in registers. That is, data pre-fetch will go a long way especially for $x_{COL[i]}$, which may have an irregular pattern.

3 RANDOMIZATION AND ENTROPY MAXIMIZATION

We define *Randomization* as row or column permutation transform of the matrix \mathbb{M} (thus a permutation of y and x), and we choose these by a pseudo-random process. The obvious question is to as why should we seek randomization transforms? The sparsity of a given matrix \mathbb{M} has a non-zero element distribution induced by the nature of the original problem or by some imposed ordering on the respective nodes of its associated graph. This distribution may be computationally incompatible with the chosen algorithm or architecture. For instance, it can induce some load imbalance in the computation. We could break this load imbalance by seeking to maximize entropy for this distribution. Our conjecture is that would favor the average case performance rather than the worse case when operating on the "max-entropy transformed" matrix.

For linear system solvers, if we know the matrix \mathbb{M} , and we know the architecture, preconditioning (when affordable) is a better solution. If we run experiments long enough, we choose the best permutation(s) for the architecture, permute \mathbb{M} , and go on testing the next. On one end, preconditioning exerts a full understanding of both the matrix (the problem) and how the final solution will be computed (architecture). On the other end, the simplicity of a random permutation requires no information about the matrix, the vector, and the architecture. Such a simplicity can be exploited directly in Hardware. We are after an understanding when randomization is just enough: We seek to let the hardware do its best with the least effort, or at least with the appearance to be effortless.

Interestingly, this work stems from a sincere surprise about randomization efficacy and its application on custom SpMV. Here, we wish to study this problem systematically so that to help future hardware designs. Intuitively, if we can achieve a uniform distribution of the rows of matrix \mathbb{M} we can have provable expectation of its load balancing across multiple cores. If we have a uniform distribution of accesses on x we could exploit column load balancing and exploit better sorting algorithms: In practice, the reading of $x_{COL[i]}$ can be reduced to a sorting, and there we know that different sparsity may require different algorithms. This may be a lot to unpack but it




Fig. 1. Left: OPF 3754. Right: LP OSA 07. These are histograms where we represent normalized buckets and counts

translates to a better performance of the sequential algorithm without changing the algorithm or to improved bandwidth utilization.

We will show that (different) randomness affects architectures and algorithms differently, making randomization a suitable optimization transform especially when the application and hardware are at odds: Hardware (unless programmable) is difficult to change and the matrix sparsity is simple to change. We want to show that there is a randomness hierarchy that we can distinguish as global and local. There are simple-to-find cases where the sparsity breaks randomness optimization. For instance, matrices with dense rows or columns are better partitioned into sparse and dense components and operated on separately.

4 ENTROPY

Patterns in sparse matrices are often visually pleasing, see Figure 1 where we present the height histogram, the width histograms, and a two-dimensional histogram as heat map. We will let someone else using AI picture classification. Intuitively, we would like to express a measure of uniform distribution and here we apply the basics: *Entropy*. Given an histogram $i \in [0, M - 1]$ $h_i \in \mathbb{N}$, we define $S = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} h_i$ and thus we have a probability distribution function $p_i = \frac{h_i}{S}$. The *information* of bin i is defined as $I(i) = -\log_2 p_i$. If we say that the stochastic variable X has PDF p_i then the entropy of X is defined as.

$$H(x) = - \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p_i \log_2 p_i = \sum_{i=0}^{M-1} p_i I(i) = E[I_x] \quad (2)$$

The maximum entropy is when $\forall i, p_i = p = \frac{1}{M}$; that is, we are observing a uniform distributed event. Our randomization should aim at higher entropy numbers. The entropy for matrix LP OSA 07 is 8.41 and for OPF 3754 is 8.39. We use the entropy specified in the Scipy stats module. A single number is concise and satisfying. If you are pondering why they are so close contrary to their sparsity we discuss this next.

5 UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION

We know that we should **not** compare the entropy numbers of two matrices because entropy does not use any information about the order of the buckets, it uses only their probabilities. By

construction, the matrices are quite different in sparsity and in shapes, however their entropy numbers are close. Two matrices with the same number of non-zeros, spaced well enough in the proper number of bin, will have the same entropy. To appreciate their different sparsity, we should compare their entropy distributions by Jensen-Shannon measure [2] or we could use cumulative distribution function (CDF) measures, which imply an order. Here, we use a representation of a hierarchical 2D-entropy, see Figure 2, where the entropy is split into 2x2, 4x4 and 8x8 (or fewer if the distribution is not square). We have hierarchical entropy heat maps.




Fig. 2. Hierarchical 2D entropy for OPF 3754 (left) and LP OSA 07 (right).

We can see that even a small 2D-entropy matrix summarizes the nature of the original matrix because it has spatial information. In this work, the entropy matrix is used mostly for visualization purpose more than for comparison purpose. Of course, we can appreciate how the matrix LP OSA 07 has a few very heavy rows and they are clustered. This matrix will help us showing how randomization need some tips. Now we apply row and column random permutation once by row and one by column: Figure 3: OPF has now entropy 11.27 and LP 9.26. The numerical difference is significant. The good news is that for entropy, being an expectation, we can use simple techniques like bootstrap to show that the difference is significant or we have shown that Jensen-Shannon can be used and a significance level is available. What we like to see is the the hierarchical entropy heat map is becoming *more* uniform for at least one of the matrix.




Fig. 3. Hierarchical 2D entropy after row and column random permutation for OPF 3754 (left) and LP OSA 07 (right).

In practice, permutations need some help especially for relatively large matrices. As you can see, the permutation affects locally the matrix. Of course, it depends on the implementation of the random permutation, we use *numpy* for this. It is reasonable that a slightly modified version of the original is still a random selection and unfortunately they seem too likely in practice. We need to compensate or help the randomization. If we are able to identify the row and column that divide high and low density, we could use them as pivot for a shuffle like in a quick-sort algorithm. We could apply a sorting algorithm but its complexity will be the same of SpMV. We use a gradient operations to choose the element with maximum steepness, Figure 4 and 5.

LP achieves entropy 8.67 and 9.58 and OPF achieves 10.47 and 11.40.




Fig. 4. Hierarchical 2D entropy after height gradient based shuffle and row random permutation for OPF 3754 (left) and LP OSA 07 (right).




Fig. 5. Hierarchical 2D entropy after height and width gradient shuffle and row and column random permutation for OPF 3754 (left) and LP OSA 07 (right).

If the goal is to achieve a uniformly sparse matrix, it seems that we have the tools to compute and to measure such a sparsity. We admit that we do not try to find the best permutation. But our real goal is to create a work bench where randomization can be tested on different architectures and different algorithms. A randomization with a measurable uniform distribution is preferable than just random. We are interested to find out when random is enough or not enough. Also, consider that to achieve a uniform distribution, we do not need a random transformation and any permutation balancing the number of non-zero is possible, but for now not looked for.

6 MEASURING THE RANDOMIZATION EFFECTS

Whether or not this ever applied to the reader, when we have timed algorithms (i.e., measure execution time), we came to expect variation. The introduction of randomization may hide behind the ever present variance, after all these are algorithms on *small* inputs: small error can be comparable to the overall execution time. Here, we must address this concern even before describing the experiments.

First, we execute every algorithm between 1000 and 5000 times. The time of each experiment is in the seconds, providing a granularity for which we are confident the measuring time error is under control. Thus, for each experiment we provide an average execution time: we measure the time and we divide by the number of trials. Cold starts, the first iteration, are still accounted. To make the measure portable across platform we present GFLOPS, that is, Giga (10^{12}) floating operations per second: $2 * nnz$ divided by the average time in seconds.

Then we repeat the same experiment 32 times. Permutations in *numpy* Python uses a seed that is time sensitive: thus every experiment is independent from the previous. The number 32 is an old statistic trick and it is a minimum number of independent trials to approximate a normal distribution. In practice, they are not but the number is sufficient for most of the cases and it is an excellent starting point.

A short hand legend: **Reg** is the regular matrix without any permutation; **R** stands for random Row permutation; **G-R** stands for gradient-based row shuffle and random row permutation; **G-C** stands for gradient-based column shuffle and random column permutation; **R-C** stands for random row and column permutation. This legend is used in the pictures to be concise, in the tables in the following sections, we use a verbose description. We shall clarify the gradient based approach in the experimental results section 8. Intuitively, we help the random permutation by a quick targeting of high and low volume of the histogram (and thus the matrix).

In Figure 6, we show two plots respectively of the CPU performance using COO and CSR SpMV algorithms for the matrix OPF 3754. The figure represents histograms: The x is GFLOPS and the y label is the number of counts. Thus we show what is the performance distribution of an algorithm. We can see that the CSR algorithms are consistent and the Regular (i.e., the original) has always the best performance. Also the variance of the computation time is small, and the shape is approximately Gaussian. Different story for the COO, the permutations introduce long tails, thus 2× performance advantage.




Fig. 6. CPU COO (left) and CPU CSR (left) for OPF 3754

If we take the original matrix and split into parts having the same number of rows, and execute them in parallel using different cores, we can see in Figure 7 that randomization is quite useful.




Fig. 7. Parallel CPU CSR for OPF 3754

In Figure 8, 9 and 10, randomization is harmful to the GPU implementation. The OPF 375 matrix is mostly diagonal, thus the vector x is read in close quarters, randomization breaks it. If the load balance is fixed (i.e., by dividing the matrix by row and in equal row), randomization is beneficial.




Fig. 8. Vega 20, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754




Fig. 9. Ellesmere, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754




Fig. 10. Fiji, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754

For matrix LP OSA 07, randomization helps clearly only for CPU CSR as we show in Figure 11. In Figure 12, 13, and 14, we can see that randomization is harmful but for one GPU, we can show that a single exception is possible (40% improvement).




Fig. 11. CPU CSR for LP OSA 07




Fig. 12. Vega 20, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754




Fig. 13. Ellesmere, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754




Fig. 14. Fiji, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for OPF 3754

An example, the matrix MULT DCOP 01, is where randomization is useful for the CPU, GPU, and the parallel version Figure 15, 16 - 19 and the gains can be up to 10-15%. Consider, we can achieve these improvements without any insights to the architecture, the algorithms and their relationships.




Fig. 15. CPU COO (left) and CPU CSR (right) for MULT DCOP 01




Fig. 16. Vega 20, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for MULT DCOP 01




Fig. 17. Ellesmere, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for MULT DCOP 01




Fig. 18. Fiji, GPU 64bits COO (left) and GPU CSR (right) for MULT DCOP 01

What does it mean when randomization does not work? The matrices we use in this work are not chosen randomly (pun not intended), they are the matrices that are difficult to handle in our custom SpMV engines using a combination of sorting networks and systolic arrays. If randomization does not work in our simplified work bench, will not work in our specialized architecture because the reorganization of the matrix or the input and output vector does not have the necessary parallelism,




Fig. 19. Parallel CPU CSR for MULT DCOP 01

data locality, and data streaming. We need to do something else. In this case disrupting the memory pattern is not sufficient. Thus, if we cannot beat the pattern, we must exploit it, well not in this work.

7 WORKLOADS

In the previous sections, we defined what we mean for randomization and we present our tools of tricks for the measure of the effects of randomization. Here we describe the work loads, the applications, we use to test the effects of the randomization.

7.1 Python COO and CSR algorithms

The simplicity to compute the SpMV by the code $z = A * b$ in Python is very rewarding. By change of the matrix storage format, $A = A.tocsr()$; $z = A * b$, we have a different algorithm. The performance exploitation is moved to the lower level. The CSR implementation is often two times faster but there are edge cases where the COO and COO with randomization can go beyond and be surprisingly better: MUL DCOP 03 is an example where COO can do well.

Intuitively, Randomization can affect the performance because the basic implementation is a sorting algorithm and it is a fixed algorithm. There are many sorting algorithms and each can be optimal for a different initial distribution. If we knew what is the sorting algorithm we could tailor the input distribution. Here we just play with it.

In Section 8, we present all the results for CPU and GPUS. Keep in mind that these problems are hard, in the sense they do not have fancy performance sheets (these architectures can achieve Tera FLOPs sustained performance for dense computations). If we go through diligently, we can see that there is a 15x performance difference between the single thread CPU and Vega 20 GPU (i.e, 3 vs 40 GFLOPS).

7.2 Parallel CSR using up to 16 cores

Python provides the concept of Pool to exploit a naive parallel computation. We notice that work given to a Pool is split accordingly to the number of elements to separate HW cores. We also noticed that the work load move from a core to another, thus not ideal. Also we notice that Pool introduce a noticeable overhead: a Pool of 1, never achieves the performance of the single thread $z = A * b$. Using Pool allows us to investigate how a naive row partitioning without counting can scale up with number of cores. We tested by splitting the rows to 1–16 cores evenly (one thread per core) and we present the performance for only the best configuration. The randomization goal is to

distribute the work uniformly: a balanced work distribution avoid the unfortunate case where a single core does all the work. We are pleased by the simplicity of the benchmark and we know we can do better.

7.3 GPU COO and CSR algorithms

In this work, we use AMD GPUs and *rocSPARSE* is their current software. The software has a few glitches but overall can be used for different generation of AMD GPUs. We use the COO and CSR algorithms and we provide performance measure for double precision only. The ideas of using different GPUs: it is important to verify that the randomization can be applied independently of the HW. We are not here to compare performance across GPUs and CPUs. Often the limitation is the software, how the software can exploit the hardware or how the software will make easy to use a specific GPU. For example, the Fiji architecture is clearly superior to the Ellesmere, however the latter have better support and the system overall is more stable and user friendly.

The performance of the CSR algorithm is about two times faster than the COO. Most of the algorithms count the number of sparse elements in a row and thus they can decide the work load partition accordingly. Counting give you an edge but without changing the order of the computation there could be cases where the work load is not balanced and a little randomization could help and it does.

7.4 Randomization sometimes works

For the majority of the cases we investigated and reported in the following sections, Randomization does not work. However, there are cases where randomization does work and does work for different algorithms and architectures. If you are in the business of preconditioning, permutations are pretty cheap. If you can find a good one just consider like a preconditioning matrix, which it is.

This shows also that HW has to be more conscious, well the HW designer should, and accept that there are options at software level, at matrix level and beyond.

8 EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The main hardware setup is a AMD Threadripper with 16 cores. We have three Radeon GPUs: Vega 20 7nm, Pro 2xFiji, and Pro 2xEllesmere.

Vega 20 can deliver 3.5TFLOPS in double precision and it has 1TB/s HBM memory. Each Fiji provides 0.5 TFLOPS in double precision and has 512GB/s HBM, the card has two chips. The Ellesmere provides 0.3TFLOPS in double precision and has 224GB/s DDR5, the card has two chips. In the performance plots presented earlier and in the following, you will notice that the performance gap between these GPUs is not so marked. We can safely state that $vega \sim 2 \times Fiji$ and $Fiji \sim 2 \times ellesmere$

There are 4 basic randomization formats:

- **Random Row Permutation**, we take the original matrix and permute the rows.
- **Random Row and Column Permutation**, we take the original matrix and permute the rows and the columns.
- **Gradient based row permutation**, we compute the row histogram and we compute the gradient: $h_{i+1} - h_i$. We find a single point where the gradient is maximum, this is the pivot for a shuffle like a magician would shuffle a deck of cards. Then we permute the two parts randomly.
- **Gradient based row and column permutation**, As above but also for the columns.

For large matrices (large number of columns and rows) a permutation tends to be a close variation of the original, still a random permutation. The gradient allows us to describe two areas of the

original matrix where there is a clear and de-marked density variation: for example, there are two uniform distributed sub matrices but one denser than the other. A shuffle redistributes every other sample/card to different parts and these can be permuted locally.

We report in the following the performance results GFLOPS, we introduce a * following the best performance. This is tedious to read and, we assure, to write. The code and the results are available as software repository. Remember each experiment is based on 32 different runs and thus we report maximum, minimum, and mean as a summary. We use the symbol H for entropy.

9 VEGA VII AND THREADRIPPER

mult_dcop_03.mtx						CPU COO	min	0.728	max	0.880	mean	0.757	CPU CSR	min	1.563	max	1.581	mean	1.577
Regular						GPU 64 COO	min	8.540	max	8.670	mean	8.619	CSR	min	16.240	max	16.750	mean	16.552
						CPU PAR	min	1.170	max	1.269	mean	1.226	H	min	10.737	max	10.742	mean	10.740
						H	min	9.689	max	9.689	mean	9.689							
Row-Premute						CPU COO	min	0.710	max	0.845	mean	0.724	CPU CSR	min	1.549	max	1.597	mean	1.589
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.360	max	8.540	mean	8.442	CSR	min	16.680	max	19.550	mean	17.907
						CPU PAR	min	1.205	max	1.319	mean	1.263	H	min	10.572	max	10.585	mean	10.581
						H	min	10.737	max	10.742	mean	10.740							
Row-Gradient						CPU COO	min	0.706	max	1.603	mean	0.806	CPU CSR	min	1.493	max	1.534	mean	1.528
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.430	max	8.610	mean	8.527	CSR	min	17.070	max	18.970	mean	18.115
						CPU PAR	min	1.331	max	1.695	mean	1.513	H	min	10.576	max	10.585	mean	10.580
						H	min	10.576	max	10.585	mean	10.580							
Column-Gradient						CPU COO	min	0.694	max	1.632	mean	0.797	CPU CSR	min	1.491	max	1.534	mean	1.529
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.350	max	8.520	mean	8.429	CSR	min	15.970	max	18.180	mean	17.124
						CPU PAR	min	1.321	max	1.728	mean	1.514	H	min	10.826	max	10.840	mean	10.833
						H	min	10.826	max	10.840	mean	10.833							
Row-Column-Permute						CPU COO	min	0.688	max	0.757	mean	0.696	CPU CSR	min	1.490	max	1.595	mean	1.584
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.380	max	8.500	mean	8.445	CSR	min	16.230	max	16.780	mean	16.513
						CPU PAR	min	1.192	max	1.274	mean	1.237	H	min	10.737	max	10.742	mean	10.740
						H	min	10.737	max	10.742	mean	10.740							
mult_dcop_01.mtx						CPU COO	min	0.710	max	1.453	mean	0.761	CPU CSR	min	1.561	max	1.581	mean	1.578
Regular						GPU 64 COO	min	8.520	max	8.670	mean	8.597	CSR	min	18.320	max	18.870	mean	18.636
						CPU PAR	min	1.163	max	1.246	mean	1.212	H	min	9.689	max	9.689	mean	9.689
						H	min	9.689	max	9.689	mean	9.689							
Row-Premute						CPU COO	min	0.699	max	1.305	mean	0.745	CPU CSR	min	1.585	max	1.597	mean	1.590
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.360	max	8.520	mean	8.446	CSR	min	16.260	max	16.780	mean	16.528
						CPU PAR	min	1.192	max	1.298	mean	1.242	H	min	10.738	max	10.742	mean	10.740
						H	min	10.738	max	10.742	mean	10.740							
Row-Gradient						CPU COO	min	0.709	max	1.656	mean	0.819	CPU CSR	min	1.527	max	1.535	mean	1.530
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.450	max	8.680	mean	8.527	CSR	min	16.520	max	19.480	mean	17.984
						CPU PAR	min	1.280	max	1.704	mean	1.485	H	min	10.572	max	10.585	mean	10.581
						H	min	10.572	max	10.585	mean	10.581							
Column-Gradient						CPU COO	min	0.698	max	1.042	mean	0.737	CPU CSR	min	1.458	max	1.536	mean	1.528
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.340	max	8.600	mean	8.443	CSR	min	16.360	max	18.450	mean	17.247
						CPU PAR	min	1.307	max	1.712	mean	1.494	H	min	10.823	max	10.841	mean	10.835
						H	min	10.823	max	10.841	mean	10.835							
Row-Column-Permute						CPU COO	min	0.683	max	1.247	mean	0.749	CPU CSR	min	1.583	max	1.595	mean	1.590
						GPU 64 COO	min	8.370	max	8.500	mean	8.435	CSR	min	16.250	max	16.780	mean	16.518
						CPU PAR	min	1.206	max	1.291	mean	1.243	H	min	10.738	max	10.742	mean	10.740
						H	min	10.738	max	10.742	mean	10.740							
mult_dcop_02.mtx						CPU COO	min	1.615	max	1.677	mean	1.652	CPU CSR	min	1.539	max	1.579	mean	1.575
Regular						GPU 64 COO	min	8.530	max	8.700	mean	8.614	CSR	min	18.290	max	18.890	mean	18.597
						CPU PAR	min	1.120	max	1.248	mean	1.211	H	min	9.689	max	9.689	mean	9.689
						H	min	9.689	max	9.689	mean	9.689							
Row-Column-Permute						CPU COO	min	0.727	max	1.815	mean	0.892	CPU CSR	min	2.867	max	2.936	mean	2.917
						GPU 64 COO	min	0.000	max	0.000	mean	0.000	CSR	min	0.000	max	0.000	mean	0.000
						CPU PAR	min	1.680	max	1.751	mean	1.719	H	min	7.205	max	7.205	mean	7.205
						H	min	7.205	max	7.205	mean	7.205							
Row-Premute						CPU COO	min	0.678	max	1.483	mean	0.746	CPU CSR	min	2.311	max	2.326	mean	2.320
						GPU 64 COO	min	6.840	max	7.270	mean	6.930	CSR	min	15.650	max	16.800	mean	16.233
						CPU PAR	min	1.649	max	1.730	mean	1.682	H	min	11.026	max	11.031	mean	11.029
						H	min	11.026	max	11.031	mean	11.029							
Row-Gradient						CPU COO	min	0.708	max	1.209	mean	0.779							

	H	min 10.377 max 10.382 mean 10.379	Row-Premute		CPU COO min 0.733 max 1.640 mean 0.777
cvxqp3.mtx					CPU CSR min 2.450 max 2.543 mean 2.525
Regular					GPU 64 COO min 7.200 max 7.320 mean 7.268
	CPU COO	min 0.697 max 0.720 mean 0.712			CSR min 17.420 max 18.540 mean 18.102
	CPU CSR	min 2.624 max* 2.643 mean 2.638			CPU PAR min 1.474 max 1.595 mean 1.546
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.060 max* 6.220 mean 6.121			H min 10.042 max 10.046 mean 10.044
		CSR min 19.450 max*22.710 mean 21.277	Row-Gradient		
	CPU PAR	min 1.733 max* 1.860 mean 1.804			CPU COO min 0.712 max 0.926 mean 0.750
	H	min 8.646 max 8.646 mean 8.646			CPU CSR min 1.819 max 1.846 mean 1.832
Row-Premute					GPU 64 COO min 7.210 max* 7.370 mean 7.298
	CPU COO	min 0.695 max* 1.577 mean 0.894			CSR min 17.550 max*20.740 mean 19.089
	CPU CSR	min 2.452 max 2.471 mean 2.464			CPU PAR min 1.256 max 1.554 mean 1.495
	GPU 64 COO	min 5.870 max 6.060 mean 5.930			H min 9.666 max 9.704 mean 9.690
		CSR min 17.510 max 19.130 mean 18.516	Column-Gradient		
	CPU PAR	min 1.723 max 1.833 mean 1.774			CPU COO min 0.710 max* 1.690 mean 0.791
	H	min 11.028 max 11.033 mean 11.030			CPU CSR min 1.813 max 1.836 mean 1.830
Row-Gradient					GPU 64 COO min 7.130 max 7.310 mean 7.211
	CPU COO	min 0.693 max 1.523 mean 0.788			CSR min 16.550 max 18.690 mean 17.617
	CPU CSR	min 1.287 max 1.305 mean 1.296			CPU PAR min 1.385 max 1.539 mean 1.506
	GPU 64 COO	min 5.920 max 6.000 mean 5.962			H min 10.611 max*10.659 mean 10.634
		CSR min 16.810 max 18.410 mean 17.561	Row-Column-Permute		
	CPU PAR	min 1.378 max 1.485 mean 1.429			CPU COO min 0.709 max 1.531 mean 0.963
	H	min 11.061 max 11.069 mean 11.064			CPU CSR min 2.506 max 2.648 mean 2.622
Column-Gradient					GPU 64 COO min 7.140 max 7.330 mean 7.244
	CPU COO	min 0.693 max 1.521 mean 0.772			CSR min 17.410 max 18.520 mean 18.148
	CPU CSR	min 1.291 max 1.302 mean 1.297			CPU PAR min 1.466 max 1.574 mean 1.528
	GPU 64 COO	min 5.900 max 6.060 mean 5.960			H min 10.041 max 10.046 mean 10.044
		CSR min 16.620 max 18.330 mean 17.592	OPF_6000.mtx		
	CPU PAR	min 1.372 max 1.464 mean 1.409	Regular		
	H	min 11.127 max*11.135 mean 11.130			CPU COO min 0.714 max 0.731 mean 0.720
Row-Column-Permute					CPU CSR min 2.667 max* 2.770 mean 2.720
	CPU COO	min 0.704 max 1.503 mean 0.875			GPU 64 COO min 12.310 max*12.550 mean 12.425
	CPU CSR	min 2.447 max 2.468 mean 2.459			CSR min 39.860 max*43.770 mean 42.075
	GPU 64 COO	min 5.880 max 5.980 mean 5.931			CPU PAR min 1.735 max 1.945 mean 1.845
		CSR min 17.550 max 19.140 mean 18.227			H min 8.799 max 8.799 mean 8.799
	CPU PAR	min 1.639 max 1.743 mean 1.704	Row-Premute		
	H	min 11.028 max 11.035 mean 11.030			CPU COO min 0.689 max 0.710 mean 0.695
case9.mtx					CPU CSR min 2.358 max 2.413 mean 2.392
Regular					GPU 64 COO min 11.430 max 11.770 mean 11.549
	CPU COO	min 0.721 max* 1.800 mean 1.177			CSR min 24.470 max 25.580 mean 24.785
	CPU CSR	min 3.021 max* 3.046 mean 3.036			CPU PAR min 1.758 max 1.896 mean 1.829
	GPU 64 COO	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000			H min 11.872 max 11.877 mean 11.875
		CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000	Row-Gradient		
	CPU PAR	min 1.508 max 1.605 mean 1.573			CPU COO min 0.716 max 0.775 mean 0.739
	H	min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380			CPU CSR min 1.651 max 1.689 mean 1.675
Row-Premute					GPU 64 COO min 12.100 max 12.410 mean 12.205
	CPU COO	min 0.724 max 1.100 mean 0.765			CSR min 31.670 max 34.910 mean 33.370
	CPU CSR	min 2.581 max* 2.626 mean 2.609			CPU PAR min 2.079 max* 2.286 mean 2.207
	GPU 64 COO	min 7.170 max 7.340 mean 7.253			H min 11.111 max 11.116 mean 11.113
		CSR min 17.360 max 18.500 mean 18.014	Column-Gradient		
	CPU PAR	min 1.494 max* 1.607 mean 1.558			CPU COO min 0.715 max* 1.021 mean 0.743
	H	min 10.043 max 10.047 mean 10.044			CPU CSR min 1.655 max 1.674 mean 1.666
Row-Gradient					GPU 64 COO min 11.340 max 11.560 mean 11.463
	CPU COO	min 0.716 max 1.701 mean 0.804			CSR min 23.770 max 25.470 mean 24.489
	CPU CSR	min 1.824 max 1.840 mean 1.832			CPU PAR min 2.056 max 2.172 mean 2.118
	GPU 64 COO	min 7.220 max* 7.510 mean 7.303			H min 12.040 max*12.047 mean 12.043
		CSR min 17.540 max*20.710 mean 19.302	Row-Column-Permute		
	CPU PAR	min 1.384 max 1.593 mean 1.526			CPU COO min 0.677 max 0.785 mean 0.687
	H	min 9.681 max 9.706 mean 9.694			CPU CSR min 2.325 max 2.434 mean 2.369
Column-Gradient					GPU 64 COO min 11.450 max 11.650 mean 11.538
	CPU COO	min 0.711 max 1.029 mean 0.746			CSR min 24.330 max 25.560 mean 25.008
	CPU CSR	min 1.817 max 1.834 mean 1.827			CPU PAR min 1.631 max 1.776 mean 1.709
	GPU 64 COO	min 7.110 max 7.270 mean 7.193			H min 11.873 max 11.877 mean 11.875
		CSR min 16.530 max 18.590 mean 17.574	OPF_3754.mtx		
	CPU PAR	min 1.390 max 1.574 mean 1.511	Regular		
	H	min 10.612 max*10.659 mean 10.634			CPU COO min 0.726 max 0.774 mean 0.747
Row-Column-Permute					CPU CSR min 2.898 max* 2.919 mean 2.908
	CPU COO	min 0.719 max 1.391 mean 0.756			GPU 64 COO min 7.680 max* 7.820 mean 7.766
	CPU CSR	min 2.546 max 2.625 mean 2.611			CSR min 25.070 max*29.030 mean 26.756
	GPU 64 COO	min 7.190 max 7.320 mean 7.248			CPU PAR min 1.437 max 1.508 mean 1.471
		CSR min 17.500 max 18.640 mean 18.040			H min 8.393 max 8.393 mean 8.393
	CPU PAR	min 1.465 max 1.573 mean 1.533	Row-Premute		
	H	min 10.041 max 10.046 mean 10.044			CPU COO min 0.714 max* 1.574 mean 0.817
TSOPF_FS_b9_c6.mtx					CPU CSR min 2.686 max 2.711 mean 2.699
Regular					GPU 64 COO min 7.410 max 7.570 mean 7.484
	CPU COO	min 0.705 max 0.734 mean 0.718			CSR min 19.600 max*21.190 mean 20.307
	CPU CSR	min 3.028 max* 3.052 mean 3.045			CPU PAR min 1.443 max 1.505 mean 1.469
	GPU 64 COO	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000			H min 11.267 max 11.272 mean 11.269
		CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000	Row-Gradient		
	CPU PAR	min 1.528 max* 1.602 mean 1.568			CPU COO min 0.723 max 1.232 mean 0.775
	H	min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380			

	H	min 10.776 max 10.778 mean 10.777	Row-Premute		
aft01.mtx				GPU 64 COO	min 3.860 max 4.090 mean 4.001
Regular				CSR	min 9.520 max 10.340 mean 9.936
	CPU COO	min 0.735 max* 2.079 mean 1.069		H	min 11.161 max 11.167 mean 11.165
	CPU CSR	min 3.132 max* 3.154 mean 3.145	Row-Gradient		
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.390 max* 6.610 mean 6.457		GPU 64 COO	min 4.010 max 4.240 mean 4.135
	CSR	min 19.990 max*23.250 mean 21.820		CSR	min 5.890 max 11.350 mean 6.882
	CPU PAR	min 1.746 max* 1.865 mean 1.812		H	min 10.246 max 10.262 mean 10.256
	H	min 7.811 max 7.811 mean 7.811	Column-Gradient		
Row-Premute				GPU 64 COO	min 3.850 max 4.100 mean 4.012
	CPU COO	min 0.714 max 1.648 mean 0.840		CSR	min 5.460 max 8.790 mean 6.005
	CPU CSR	min 2.864 max 2.892 mean 2.883		H	min 11.112 max 11.122 mean 11.117
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.280 max 6.380 mean 6.329	Row-Column-Permute		
	CSR	min 17.980 max 19.700 mean 19.105		GPU 64 COO	min 3.850 max 4.080 mean 3.990
	CPU PAR	min 1.729 max 1.850 mean 1.782		CSR	min 5.420 max 6.760 mean 5.977
	H	min 11.162 max 11.168 mean 11.165		H	min 11.162 max*11.169 mean 11.165
Row-Gradient			bloweya.mtx		
	CPU COO	min 0.735 max 1.806 mean 0.878	Regular		
	CPU CSR	min 2.706 max 2.744 mean 2.726		GPU 64 COO	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.390 max 6.500 mean 6.433		CSR	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000
	CSR	min 19.780 max 22.870 mean 20.936		H	min 7.205 max 7.205 mean 7.205
	CPU PAR	min 1.710 max 1.865 mean 1.785	Row-Premute		
	H	min 10.251 max 10.267 mean 10.257		GPU 64 COO	min 3.800 max 3.940 mean 3.875
Column-Gradient				CSR	min 3.710 max 4.570 mean 4.399
	CPU COO	min 0.728 max 1.792 mean 0.986		H	min 11.025 max 11.031 mean 11.028
	CPU CSR	min 2.521 max 2.720 mean 2.703	Row-Gradient		
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.280 max 6.370 mean 6.327		GPU 64 COO	min 3.800 max* 4.120 mean 3.962
	CSR	min 18.000 max 19.720 mean 19.040		CSR	min 4.340 max* 4.670 mean 4.546
	CPU PAR	min 1.649 max 1.741 mean 1.702		H	min 10.296 max 10.307 mean 10.300
	H	min 11.113 max 11.121 mean 11.117	Column-Gradient		
Row-Column-Permute				GPU 64 COO	min 3.880 max 4.100 mean 3.978
	CPU COO	min 0.714 max 1.525 mean 0.957		CSR	min 4.240 max 4.570 mean 4.412
	CPU CSR	min 2.876 max 2.892 mean 2.884		H	min 10.881 max 10.886 mean 10.883
	GPU 64 COO	min 6.280 max 6.370 mean 6.322	Row-Column-Permute		
	CSR	min 17.960 max 19.670 mean 18.670		GPU 64 COO	min 3.800 max 3.980 mean 3.885
	CPU PAR	min 1.667 max 1.754 mean 1.710		CSR	min 4.130 max 4.540 mean 4.399
	H	min 11.162 max*11.168 mean 11.165		H	min 11.025 max*11.033 mean 11.029
TSOPF_RS_b39_c7.mtx			brainpc2.mtx		
Regular			Regular		
	CPU COO	min 0.771 max 0.793 mean 0.780		GPU 64 COO	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000
	CPU CSR	min 3.219 max* 3.232 mean 3.227		CSR	min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000
	GPU 64 COO	min 11.070 max*11.200 mean 11.142		H	min 7.478 max 7.478 mean 7.478
	CSR	min 37.050 max*42.100 mean 39.040	Row-Premute		
	CPU PAR	min 1.910 max 2.027 mean 1.982		GPU 64 COO	min 3.840 max* 6.750 mean 4.110
	H	min 7.304 max 7.304 mean 7.304		CSR	min 4.260 max* 4.500 mean 4.437
Row-Premute				H	min 9.809 max 9.813 mean 9.811
	CPU COO	min 0.701 max 0.722 mean 0.707	Row-Gradient		
	CPU CSR	min 2.931 max 2.952 mean 2.942		GPU 64 COO	min 0.640 max 4.030 mean 3.864
	GPU 64 COO	min 10.860 max 11.030 mean 10.928		CSR	min 4.270 max 4.470 mean 4.383
	CSR	min 28.730 max 30.880 mean 29.483		H	min 9.722 max 9.727 mean 9.724
	CPU PAR	min 1.760 max 1.922 mean 1.851	Column-Gradient		
	H	min 10.537 max 10.541 mean 10.539		GPU 64 COO	min 0.640 max 4.070 mean 3.898
Row-Gradient				CSR	min 4.230 max 4.500 mean 4.386
	CPU COO	min 0.747 max 0.808 mean 0.757		H	min 10.368 max*10.372 mean 10.370
	CPU CSR	min 2.606 max 2.648 mean 2.624	Row-Column-Permute		
	GPU 64 COO	min 10.850 max 11.120 mean 10.999		GPU 64 COO	min 3.980 max 4.110 mean 4.027
	CSR	min 33.910 max 37.600 mean 35.909		CSR	min 4.320 max 4.490 mean 4.437
	CPU PAR	min 2.154 max* 2.245 mean 2.203		H	min 9.809 max 9.813 mean 9.811
	H	min 9.636 max 9.646 mean 9.642	c-47.mtx		
Column-Gradient			Regular		
	CPU COO	min 0.718 max* 1.693 mean 0.802		GPU 64 COO	min 3.980 max* 4.080 mean 4.026
	CPU CSR	min 2.502 max 2.585 mean 2.547		CSR	min 4.760 max 4.850 mean 4.812
	GPU 64 COO	min 10.700 max 10.990 mean 10.804		H	min 8.364 max 8.364 mean 8.364
	CSR	min 27.230 max 29.380 mean 28.488	Row-Premute		
	CPU PAR	min 2.128 max 2.227 mean 2.172		GPU 64 COO	min 3.880 max 4.010 mean 3.942
	H	min 11.131 max*11.222 mean 11.208		CSR	min 4.040 max 4.900 mean 4.807
Row-Column-Permute				H	min 10.059 max 10.063 mean 10.061
	CPU COO	min 0.709 max 0.726 mean 0.716	Row-Gradient		
	CPU CSR	min 2.917 max 2.958 mean 2.940		GPU 64 COO	min 3.900 max 4.050 mean 3.976
	GPU 64 COO	min 10.840 max 11.030 mean 10.930		CSR	min 4.380 max 4.740 mean 4.630
	CSR	min 28.780 max 30.810 mean 29.578		H	min 10.201 max 10.228 mean 10.214
	CPU PAR	min 1.757 max 1.834 mean 1.792	Column-Gradient		
	H	min 10.537 max 10.540 mean 10.539		GPU 64 COO	min 3.860 max 3.990 mean 3.936
				CSR	min 4.350 max 4.610 mean 4.525
				H	min 11.204 max*11.241 mean 11.222
			Row-Column-Permute		
				GPU 64 COO	min 3.890 max 4.020 mean 3.953
				CSR	min 4.490 max* 4.920 mean 4.840
				H	min 10.058 max 10.063 mean 10.061
			case9.mtx		
			Regular		

10 ELLESMERE

aft01.mtx
Regular

GPU 64 COO	min 4.080 max* 4.280 mean 4.186
CSR	min 9.660 max*12.660 mean 11.485
H	min 7.811 max 7.811 mean 7.811

	GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000		lp_fit2d.mtx Regular	H min 10.250 max 10.255 mean 10.252
Row-Permute	H min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.820 max 4.940 mean 4.859 CSR min 5.080 max 6.520 mean 6.342		Row-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.360 max* 4.640 mean 4.515 CSR min 10.080 max 10.900 mean 10.491 H min 11.109 max 11.109 mean 11.109
Row-Gradient	H min 10.042 max 10.047 mean 10.044			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.810 max* 4.940 mean 4.876 CSR min 6.100 max* 6.560 mean 6.307		Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.170 max 4.630 mean 4.476 CSR min 0.910 max 10.910 mean 10.257 H min 11.098 max 11.104 mean 11.101
Column-Gradient	H min 9.681 max 9.704 mean 9.694			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.810 max 4.930 mean 4.869 CSR min 4.820 max 6.460 mean 6.208		Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.370 max 4.630 mean 4.529 CSR min 10.030 max 10.970 mean 10.624 H min 11.109 max 11.109 mean 11.109
Row-Column-Permute	H min 10.554 max*10.661 mean 10.638			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.810 max 4.940 mean 4.864 CSR min 5.930 max 6.520 mean 6.379		Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.250 max 4.640 mean 4.499 CSR min 8.510 max*11.010 mean 10.505 H min 11.328 max*11.333 mean 11.331
cvxqp3.mtx Regular	H min 10.041 max 10.047 mean 10.044			
	GPU 64 COO min 3.350 max* 3.590 mean 3.483 CSR min 5.430 max* 9.260 mean 8.333		lp_osa_07.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 4.350 max 4.640 mean 4.511 CSR min 10.040 max 10.790 mean 10.468 H min 11.097 max 11.106 mean 11.101
Row-Permute	H min 8.646 max 8.646 mean 8.646			
	GPU 64 COO min 3.230 max 3.480 mean 3.371 CSR min 7.560 max 8.220 mean 7.900		Row-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 0.460 max* 3.640 mean 3.456 CSR min 5.570 max* 8.530 mean 8.106 H min 8.412 max 8.412 mean 8.412
Row-Gradient	H min 11.027 max 11.033 mean 11.030			
	GPU 64 COO min 3.240 max 3.510 mean 3.396 CSR min 6.990 max 7.890 mean 7.574		Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.140 max 3.450 mean 3.367 CSR min 7.600 max 8.070 mean 7.853 H min 9.255 max 9.258 mean 9.256
Column-Gradient	H min 11.060 max 11.069 mean 11.064			
	GPU 64 COO min 3.240 max 3.480 mean 3.374 CSR min 6.980 max 7.900 mean 7.557		Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.190 max 3.610 mean 3.509 CSR min 0.000 max 8.260 mean 7.597 H min 8.583 max 8.678 mean 8.670
Row-Column-Permute	H min 11.126 max*11.134 mean 11.130			
	GPU 64 COO min 3.110 max 3.470 mean 3.365 CSR min 4.810 max 8.210 mean 7.742		Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 3.330 max 3.500 mean 3.416 CSR min 6.730 max 7.540 mean 7.199 H min 9.542 max* 9.604 mean 9.581
ex19.mtx Regular	H min 11.026 max 11.032 mean 11.030			
	GPU 64 COO min 2.450 max* 2.610 mean 2.564 CSR min 4.490 max 4.760 mean 4.714		Maragal_6.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 3.290 max 3.430 mean 3.365 CSR min 7.390 max 8.060 mean 7.832 H min 9.255 max 9.258 mean 9.256
Row-Permute	H min 8.228 max 8.228 mean 8.228			
	GPU 64 COO min 2.000 max 2.040 mean 2.021 CSR min 4.640 max 4.780 mean 4.733		Row-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.160 max 4.310 mean 4.217 CSR min 4.940 max 4.960 mean 4.956 H min 9.930 max 9.930 mean 9.930
Row-Gradient	H min 11.835 max 11.840 mean 11.838			
	GPU 64 COO min 2.240 max 2.390 mean 2.329 CSR min 4.570 max* 4.850 mean 4.807		Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.220 max 4.240 mean 4.225 CSR min 4.750 max*13.040 mean 5.133 H min 10.776 max 10.778 mean 10.777
Column-Gradient	H min 10.742 max 10.752 mean 10.747			
	GPU 64 COO min 2.010 max 2.050 mean 2.034 CSR min 4.570 max 4.760 mean 4.701		Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.180 max* 4.450 mean 4.245 CSR min 4.880 max 4.940 mean 4.915 H min 11.259 max*11.302 mean 11.281
Row-Column-Permute	H min 11.872 max*11.881 mean 11.878			
	GPU 64 COO min 2.000 max 2.040 mean 2.023 CSR min 0.770 max 4.780 mean 4.594		Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.200 max 4.250 mean 4.236 CSR min 4.800 max 4.890 mean 4.859 H min 12.022 max 12.073 mean 12.051
gen4.mtx Regular	H min 11.835 max 11.840 mean 11.838			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.880 max 4.980 mean 4.900 CSR min 10.020 max*11.300 mean 10.716		mhd4800a.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 4.210 max 4.230 mean 4.222 CSR min 4.860 max 4.890 mean 4.887 H min 10.776 max 10.778 mean 10.778
Row-Permute	H min 9.234 max 9.234 mean 9.234			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.860 max 4.930 mean 4.890 CSR min 0.330 max 11.200 mean 10.038		Row-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.570 max* 4.710 mean 4.608 CSR min 12.690 max*13.940 mean 13.369 H min 7.132 max 7.132 mean 7.132
Row-Gradient	H min 10.249 max 10.254 mean 10.252			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.860 max* 4.990 mean 4.908 CSR min 9.160 max 11.240 mean 10.435		Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.420 max 4.520 mean 4.445 CSR min 10.520 max 10.880 mean 10.696 H min 10.960 max*10.968 mean 10.963
Column-Gradient	H min 9.939 max 9.961 mean 9.947			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.780 max 4.880 mean 4.816 CSR min 7.770 max 10.570 mean 9.407		Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.570 max 4.690 mean 4.605 CSR min 4.550 max 13.350 mean 12.479 H min 9.508 max 9.527 mean 9.520
Row-Column-Permute	H min 10.851 max*10.876 mean 10.864			
	GPU 64 COO min 4.850 max 4.950 mean 4.886 CSR min 10.220 max 11.280 mean 10.748		Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.430 max 4.530 mean 4.461 CSR min 10.250 max 10.940 mean 10.603 H min 10.934 max 10.945 mean 10.939

Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 4.420 max 4.520 mean 4.450 CSR min 7.380 max 10.900 mean 10.598 H min 10.959 max 10.967 mean 10.963	GPU 64 COO min 4.580 max 4.870 mean 4.756 CSR min 5.630 max 6.180 mean 6.055 H min 11.394 max*11.401 mean 11.397
mult_dcop_01.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 3.420 max 3.630 mean 3.555 CSR min 3.650 max 4.090 mean 3.814 H min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689	GPU 64 COO min 4.610 max 4.900 mean 4.780 CSR min 5.010 max 6.300 mean 6.113 H min 11.268 max 11.272 mean 11.270
Row-Premute	GPU 64 COO min 3.450 max 3.580 mean 3.521 CSR min 3.610 max 4.150 mean 3.785 H min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740	OPF_6000.mtx Regular GPU 64 COO min 3.780 max* 3.920 mean 3.864 CSR min 4.270 max 4.360 mean 4.332 H min 8.799 max 8.799 mean 8.799
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.510 max* 3.660 mean 3.579 CSR min 3.650 max 4.160 mean 3.806 H min 10.576 max 10.585 mean 10.580	Row-Premute GPU 64 COO min 3.770 max 3.870 mean 3.821 CSR min 3.970 max*11.050 mean 4.439 H min 11.872 max 11.877 mean 11.875
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.460 max 3.650 mean 3.584 CSR min 3.660 max* 4.240 mean 3.799 H min 10.826 max*10.842 mean 10.836	Row-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 3.700 max 3.870 mean 3.795 CSR min 4.330 max 4.440 mean 4.403 H min 11.109 max 11.116 mean 11.113
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 3.470 max 3.580 mean 3.532 CSR min 3.600 max 3.980 mean 3.743 H min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740	Column-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 3.690 max 3.870 mean 3.804 CSR min 4.260 max 4.340 mean 4.308 H min 12.041 max*12.045 mean 12.043
mult_dcop_02.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 3.390 max 3.660 mean 3.585 CSR min 0.960 max 4.330 mean 4.162 H min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689	Row-Column-Permute GPU 64 COO min 3.780 max 3.860 mean 3.819 CSR min 4.090 max 4.290 mean 4.259 H min 11.873 max 11.877 mean 11.876
Row-Premute	GPU 64 COO min 3.310 max 3.600 mean 3.488 CSR min 0.620 max 4.290 mean 4.132 H min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740	shermanAcB.mtx Regular GPU 64 COO min 2.920 max* 3.140 mean 3.048 CSR min 5.550 max 5.980 mean 5.803 H min 8.600 max 8.600 mean 8.600
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.310 max* 3.670 mean 3.593 CSR min 4.130 max* 4.430 mean 4.331 H min 10.576 max 10.584 mean 10.580	Row-Premute GPU 64 COO min 2.760 max 3.020 mean 2.898 CSR min 2.660 max 5.830 mean 5.632 H min 10.377 max 10.381 mean 10.379
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 0.550 max 3.660 mean 3.486 CSR min 3.890 max 4.410 mean 4.275 H min 10.831 max*10.843 mean 10.836	Row-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 2.800 max 3.040 mean 2.944 CSR min 5.330 max* 6.020 mean 5.742 H min 9.919 max 9.925 mean 9.922
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 3.470 max 3.590 mean 3.542 CSR min 4.190 max 4.290 mean 4.242 H min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740	Column-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 2.720 max 3.010 mean 2.926 CSR min 0.000 max 5.840 mean 5.513 H min 10.587 max*10.596 mean 10.591
mult_dcop_03.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 3.360 max* 3.660 mean 3.550 CSR min 3.650 max 4.090 mean 3.813 H min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689	Row-Column-Permute GPU 64 COO min 2.780 max 3.030 mean 2.939 CSR min 4.860 max 5.810 mean 5.667 H min 10.376 max 10.382 mean 10.379
Row-Premute	GPU 64 COO min 3.450 max 3.580 mean 3.521 CSR min 3.610 max 4.160 mean 3.784 H min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740	TSOPF_FS_b9_c6.mtx Regular GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 H min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.470 max 3.660 mean 3.572 CSR min 3.640 max 4.190 mean 3.809 H min 10.572 max 10.584 mean 10.580	Row-Premute GPU 64 COO min 4.540 max 4.940 mean 4.874 CSR min 6.280 max 6.520 mean 6.403 H min 10.042 max 10.047 mean 10.044
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 3.430 max 3.650 mean 3.562 CSR min 3.670 max* 4.290 mean 3.793 H min 10.828 max*10.840 mean 10.834	Row-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 4.830 max 4.930 mean 4.875 CSR min 5.790 max* 6.560 mean 6.289 H min 9.675 max 9.706 mean 9.692
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 COO min 3.370 max 3.610 mean 3.502 CSR min 3.610 max 3.970 mean 3.744 H min 10.738 max 10.741 mean 10.740	Column-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 4.790 max* 4.960 mean 4.880 CSR min 5.760 max 6.450 mean 6.204 H min 10.601 max*10.661 mean 10.626
OPF_3754.mtx Regular	GPU 64 COO min 4.700 max* 4.930 mean 4.842 CSR min 6.230 max* 6.600 mean 6.411 H min 8.393 max 8.393 mean 8.393	Row-Column-Permute GPU 64 COO min 4.330 max 4.950 mean 4.845 CSR min 5.740 max 6.500 mean 6.375 H min 10.041 max 10.046 mean 10.044
Row-Premute	GPU 64 COO min 4.620 max 4.890 mean 4.787 CSR min 5.780 max 6.310 mean 6.192 H min 11.265 max 11.272 mean 11.269	TSOPF_RS_b39_c7.mtx Regular GPU 64 COO min 4.300 max* 4.430 mean 4.364 CSR min 4.480 max 4.750 mean 4.716 H min 7.304 max 7.304 mean 7.304
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 COO min 4.570 max 4.870 mean 4.776 CSR min 5.770 max 6.510 mean 6.302 H min 10.464 max 10.473 mean 10.468	Row-Premute GPU 64 COO min 4.260 max 4.400 mean 4.353 CSR min 4.490 max 4.770 mean 4.734 H min 10.536 max 10.541 mean 10.539
Column-Gradient		Row-Gradient GPU 64 COO min 3.970 max 4.420 mean 4.338

		CSR min 4.620 max* 4.820 mean 4.789	Row-Permute				
	H	min 9.638 max 9.644 mean 9.641			GPU 64 COO min 4.990 max 5.020 mean 5.004		
Column-Gradient					CSR min 6.370 max 7.220 mean 6.771		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.240 max 4.430 mean 4.368		Row-Gradient		H	min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740	
	CSR min 4.710 max 4.770 mean 4.736				GPU 64 COO min 5.060 max 5.100 mean 5.082		
Row-Column-Permute	H	min 11.129 max*11.222 mean 11.205			CSR min 6.730 max 7.720 mean 7.317		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.260 max 4.410 mean 4.359		Column-Gradient		H	min 10.574 max 10.585 mean 10.580	
	CSR min 4.660 max 4.760 mean 4.738				GPU 64 COO min 4.980 max 5.100 mean 5.012		
	H	min 10.537 max 10.541 mean 10.539			CSR min 6.580 max 7.510 mean 7.054		
			Row-Column-Permute		H	min 10.828 max*10.842 mean 10.835	
					GPU 64 COO min 4.970 max 5.000 mean 4.986		
					CSR min 6.390 max 7.050 mean 6.677		
					H	min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740	
11 FIJI							
mult_dcop_03.mtx							
Regular							
	GPU 64 COO min 5.140 max* 5.140 mean 5.140		mult_dcop_02.mtx				
	CSR min 10.340 max*10.390 mean 10.365		Regular				
Row-Permute	H	min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689			GPU 64 COO min 5.120 max 5.140 mean 5.133		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.970 max 4.990 mean 4.980				CSR min 6.950 max 7.590 mean 7.336		
	CSR min 9.420 max 9.430 mean 9.425		Row-Permute		H	min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689	
Row-Gradient	H	min 10.739 max 10.739 mean 10.739			GPU 64 COO min 4.970 max 4.990 mean 4.984		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.080 max 5.090 mean 5.085				CSR min 6.440 max 7.110 mean 6.719		
	CSR min 9.720 max 10.300 mean 10.010		Row-Gradient		H	min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740	
Column-Gradient	H	min 10.579 max 10.582 mean 10.580			GPU 64 COO min 5.070 max* 5.150 mean 5.086		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.030 max 5.120 mean 5.075				CSR min 6.650 max* 7.930 mean 7.304		
	CSR min 9.330 max 9.770 mean 9.550		Column-Gradient		H	min 10.574 max 10.587 mean 10.580	
Row-Column-Permute	H	min 10.835 max*10.838 mean 10.836			GPU 64 COO min 4.980 max 5.040 mean 5.012		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.000 max 5.010 mean 5.005				CSR min 6.520 max 7.650 mean 7.139		
	CSR min 7.580 max 9.460 mean 8.520		Row-Column-Permute		H	min 10.829 max*10.846 mean 10.836	
	H	min 10.739 max 10.741 mean 10.740			GPU 64 COO min 4.970 max 5.050 mean 4.983		
mult_dcop_03.mtx					CSR min 6.440 max 7.380 mean 6.779		
Regular					H	min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740	
	GPU 64 COO min 5.140 max* 5.140 mean 5.140		lp_fit2d.mtx				
	CSR min 10.340 max*10.390 mean 10.365		Regular				
Row-Permute	H	min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689			GPU 64 COO min 3.960 max 3.960 mean 3.960		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.970 max 4.990 mean 4.980				CSR min 6.360 max 7.450 mean 6.711		
	CSR min 9.420 max 9.430 mean 9.425		Row-Permute		H	min 11.109 max 11.109 mean 11.109	
Row-Gradient	H	min 10.739 max 10.739 mean 10.739			GPU 64 COO min 3.950 max* 3.980 mean 3.953		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.080 max 5.090 mean 5.085				CSR min 6.330 max 7.400 mean 6.661		
	CSR min 9.720 max 10.300 mean 10.010		Row-Gradient		H	min 11.098 max 11.104 mean 11.101	
Column-Gradient	H	min 10.579 max 10.582 mean 10.580			GPU 64 COO min 3.960 max 3.980 mean 3.961		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.030 max 5.120 mean 5.075				CSR min 6.270 max*10.770 mean 7.017		
	CSR min 9.330 max 9.770 mean 9.550		Column-Gradient		H	min 11.109 max 11.109 mean 11.109	
Row-Column-Permute	H	min 10.835 max*10.838 mean 10.836			GPU 64 COO min 3.940 max 3.960 mean 3.950		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.000 max 5.010 mean 5.005				CSR min 6.270 max 7.370 mean 6.696		
	CSR min 7.580 max 9.460 mean 8.520		Row-Column-Permute		H	min 11.329 max*11.334 mean 11.331	
	H	min 10.739 max 10.741 mean 10.740			GPU 64 COO min 3.950 max 3.960 mean 3.952		
mult_dcop_03.mtx					CSR min 6.180 max 7.420 mean 6.641		
Regular					H	min 11.098 max 11.105 mean 11.101	
	GPU 64 COO min 5.130 max* 5.220 mean 5.142		bloweya.mtx				
	CSR min 7.250 max* 9.320 mean 7.722		Regular				
Row-Permute	H	min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689			GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.980 max 5.030 mean 4.999				CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000		
	CSR min 6.460 max 8.470 mean 6.950		Row-Permute		H	min 7.205 max 7.205 mean 7.205	
Row-Gradient	H	min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740			GPU 64 COO min 4.020 max 4.030 mean 4.023		
	GPU 64 COO min 5.070 max 5.140 mean 5.088				CSR min 6.070 max 6.750 mean 6.340		
	CSR min 6.780 max 8.700 mean 7.268		Row-Gradient		H	min 11.025 max 11.031 mean 11.028	
Column-Gradient	H	min 10.572 max 10.584 mean 10.580			GPU 64 COO min 4.090 max* 4.160 mean 4.111		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.980 max 5.030 mean 5.010				CSR min 5.980 max* 7.370 mean 6.678		
	CSR min 6.390 max 7.640 mean 6.982		Column-Gradient		H	min 10.295 max 10.304 mean 10.300	
Row-Column-Permute	H	min 10.825 max*10.845 mean 10.836			GPU 64 COO min 3.980 max 4.010 mean 3.995		
	GPU 64 COO min 4.990 max 5.010 mean 4.997				CSR min 5.880 max 6.780 mean 6.295		
	CSR min 6.300 max 7.160 mean 6.636		Row-Column-Permute		H	min 10.881 max*10.887 mean 10.883	
	H	min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740			GPU 64 COO min 4.020 max 4.030 mean 4.023		
mult_dcop_01.mtx					CSR min 5.970 max 6.420 mean 6.183		
Regular					H	min 11.025 max 11.033 mean 11.028	
	GPU 64 COO min 5.120 max* 5.140 mean 5.134		lp_osa_07.mtx				
	CSR min 6.990 max* 9.230 mean 7.546		Regular				
	H	min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689					

	GPU 64 COO min 4.260 max* 4.270 mean 4.261 CSR min 6.440 max 7.640 mean 6.863 H min 8.412 max 8.412 mean 8.412	cvxqp3.mtx Regular	H min 10.377 max 10.381 mean 10.379
Row-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 3.500 max* 3.540 mean 3.501 CSR min 11.860 max*13.100 mean 12.694 H min 8.646 max 8.646 mean 8.646
	GPU 64 COO min 4.200 max 4.200 mean 4.200 CSR min 6.020 max 7.030 mean 6.418 H min 9.255 max 9.257 mean 9.256	Row-Permute	
Row-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 3.360 max 3.370 mean 3.365 CSR min 6.210 max 7.610 mean 6.631 H min 11.027 max 11.032 mean 11.030
	GPU 64 COO min 4.210 max 4.240 mean 4.226 CSR min 6.070 max*10.050 mean 6.498 H min 8.607 max 8.678 mean 8.671	Row-Gradient	
Column-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 3.370 max 3.380 mean 3.376 CSR min 6.170 max 7.070 mean 6.499 H min 11.059 max 11.068 mean 11.064
	GPU 64 COO min 4.170 max 4.190 mean 4.180 CSR min 5.610 max 7.300 mean 5.988 H min 9.534 max* 9.601 mean 9.585	Column-Gradient	
Row-Column-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 3.350 max 3.390 mean 3.371 CSR min 6.150 max 7.180 mean 6.531 H min 11.125 max*11.133 mean 11.130
	GPU 64 COO min 4.190 max 4.190 mean 4.190 CSR min 6.070 max 7.000 mean 6.386 H min 9.255 max 9.257 mean 9.256	Row-Column-Permute	
ex19.mtx Regular			GPU 64 COO min 3.350 max 3.380 mean 3.364 CSR min 6.040 max 7.440 mean 6.603 H min 11.028 max 11.033 mean 11.030
	GPU 64 COO min 6.140 max* 6.180 mean 6.159 CSR min 12.780 max*14.400 mean 13.328 H min 8.228 max 8.228 mean 8.228	case9.mtx Regular	
Row-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 H min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380
	GPU 64 COO min 5.820 max 5.850 mean 5.833 CSR min 9.870 max 11.070 mean 10.372 H min 11.836 max 11.840 mean 11.838	Row-Permute	
Row-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 4.130 max 4.170 mean 4.134 CSR min 6.180 max* 9.200 mean 6.796 H min 10.041 max 10.046 mean 10.044
	GPU 64 COO min 6.070 max 6.120 mean 6.104 CSR min 11.290 max 12.760 mean 12.088 H min 10.743 max 10.752 mean 10.748	Row-Gradient	
Column-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 4.150 max* 4.220 mean 4.163 CSR min 6.410 max 7.500 mean 6.816 H min 9.682 max 9.706 mean 9.693
	GPU 64 COO min 5.760 max 5.840 mean 5.813 CSR min 9.710 max 14.220 mean 10.376 H min 11.873 max*11.882 mean 11.878	Column-Gradient	
Row-Column-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 4.080 max 4.110 mean 4.096 CSR min 6.020 max 7.220 mean 6.309 H min 10.597 max*10.658 mean 10.631
	GPU 64 COO min 5.810 max 5.860 mean 5.838 CSR min 9.920 max 10.820 mean 10.240 H min 11.836 max 11.841 mean 11.838	Row-Column-Permute	
brainpc2.mtx Regular			GPU 64 COO min 4.120 max 4.140 mean 4.130 CSR min 6.210 max 7.200 mean 6.609 H min 10.041 max 10.046 mean 10.044
	GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 H min 7.478 max 7.478 mean 7.478	TSOPF_FS_b9_c6.mtx Regular	
Row-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 CSR min 0.000 max 0.000 mean 0.000 H min 7.380 max 7.380 mean 7.380
	GPU 64 COO min 4.760 max 4.790 mean 4.773 CSR min 6.930 max 7.780 mean 7.310 H min 9.810 max 9.813 mean 9.811	Row-Permute	
Row-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 4.120 max 4.140 mean 4.129 CSR min 6.170 max 7.160 mean 6.664 H min 10.041 max 10.045 mean 10.043
	GPU 64 COO min 4.820 max* 4.840 mean 4.831 CSR min 7.220 max 8.290 mean 7.583 H min 9.721 max 9.725 mean 9.723	Row-Gradient	
Column-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 4.150 max* 4.180 mean 4.162 CSR min 6.420 max 7.360 mean 6.723 H min 9.682 max 9.706 mean 9.693
	GPU 64 COO min 4.760 max 4.820 mean 4.779 CSR min 6.870 max* 8.300 mean 7.393 H min 10.368 max*10.373 mean 10.370	Column-Gradient	
Row-Column-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 4.080 max 4.120 mean 4.096 CSR min 5.880 max 7.090 mean 6.403 H min 10.611 max*10.660 mean 10.637
	GPU 64 COO min 4.750 max 4.780 mean 4.765 CSR min 6.940 max 7.580 mean 7.298 H min 9.809 max 9.814 mean 9.811	Row-Column-Permute	
shermanACb.mtx Regular			GPU 64 COO min 4.130 max 4.140 mean 4.130 CSR min 6.330 max* 7.390 mean 6.695 H min 10.042 max 10.047 mean 10.044
	GPU 64 COO min 4.090 max* 4.130 mean 4.112 CSR min 6.320 max* 7.200 mean 6.779 H min 8.600 max 8.600 mean 8.600	OPF_6000.mtx Regular	
Row-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 7.270 max* 7.370 mean 7.293 CSR min 12.890 max*14.500 mean 13.566 H min 8.799 max 8.799 mean 8.799
	GPU 64 COO min 4.020 max 4.050 mean 4.036 CSR min 5.670 max 6.460 mean 6.014 H min 10.376 max 10.382 mean 10.379	Row-Permute	
Row-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 6.640 max 6.720 mean 6.678 CSR min 9.680 max 11.600 mean 10.040 H min 11.873 max 11.877 mean 11.875
	GPU 64 COO min 4.050 max 4.100 mean 4.074 CSR min 5.580 max 6.420 mean 5.996 H min 9.918 max 9.924 mean 9.921	Row-Gradient	
Column-Gradient			GPU 64 COO min 7.090 max 7.140 mean 7.122 CSR min 11.250 max 13.030 mean 12.142 H min 11.110 max 11.117 mean 11.114
	GPU 64 COO min 4.010 max 4.080 mean 4.033 CSR min 0.000 max 6.320 mean 5.527 H min 10.543 max*10.595 mean 10.589	Column-Gradient	
Row-Column-Permute			GPU 64 COO min 6.590 max 6.710 mean 6.644 CSR min 9.400 max 13.140 mean 9.991 H min 12.040 max*12.046 mean 12.043
	GPU 64 COO min 4.020 max 4.050 mean 4.036 CSR min 5.670 max 6.510 mean 6.092		

Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 C00 min 6.640 max 6.710 mean 6.679 CSR min 9.690 max 10.740 mean 10.050 H min 11.874 max 11.877 mean 11.875	GPU 64 C00 min 3.240 max 3.260 mean 3.249 CSR min 5.090 max 8.660 mean 5.546 H min 10.853 max 10.873 mean 10.864
OPF_3754.mtx Regular	GPU 64 C00 min 4.430 max 4.450 mean 4.443 CSR min 9.710 max 13.000 mean 11.377 H min 8.393 max 8.393 mean 8.393	GPU 64 C00 min 3.290 max 3.320 mean 3.296 CSR min 5.190 max 7.550 mean 5.659 H min 10.249 max 10.255 mean 10.252
Row-Premute	GPU 64 C00 min 4.230 max 4.250 mean 4.240 CSR min 7.430 max 8.750 mean 7.986 H min 11.266 max 11.272 mean 11.266	GPU 64 C00 min 10.580 max 10.620 mean 10.599 CSR min 15.620 max 16.470 mean 15.832 H min 9.930 max 9.930 mean 9.930
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 4.370 max 4.420 mean 4.382 CSR min 8.160 max 9.470 mean 8.682 H min 10.462 max 10.473 mean 10.468	GPU 64 C00 min 10.340 max 10.430 mean 10.362 CSR min 12.880 max 13.340 mean 13.057 H min 10.777 max 10.778 mean 10.777
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 4.210 max 4.240 mean 4.227 CSR min 7.160 max 8.080 mean 7.595 H min 11.394 max 11.401 mean 11.398	GPU 64 C00 min 10.650 max 10.740 mean 10.688 CSR min 12.310 max 13.670 mean 12.562 H min 11.247 max 11.300 mean 11.281
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 C00 min 4.230 max 4.250 mean 4.243 CSR min 7.230 max 8.940 mean 8.056 H min 11.264 max 11.271 mean 11.269	GPU 64 C00 min 10.340 max 10.440 mean 10.398 CSR min 9.480 max 10.110 mean 9.782 H min 12.023 max 12.069 mean 12.047
c-47.mtx Regular	GPU 64 C00 min 5.320 max 5.340 mean 5.329 CSR min 8.890 max 9.590 mean 9.249 H min 8.364 max 8.364 mean 8.364	GPU 64 C00 min 10.330 max 10.380 mean 10.356 CSR min 12.840 max 13.530 mean 13.119 H min 10.776 max 10.778 mean 10.777
Row-Premute	GPU 64 C00 min 5.240 max 5.250 mean 5.241 CSR min 7.790 max 8.890 mean 8.214 H min 10.059 max 10.063 mean 10.061	GPU 64 C00 min 3.680 max 3.690 mean 3.688 CSR min 13.860 max 14.830 mean 14.560 H min 7.811 max 7.811 mean 7.811
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 5.230 max 5.260 mean 5.242 CSR min 7.080 max 8.050 mean 7.673 H min 10.206 max 10.226 mean 10.218	GPU 64 C00 min 3.510 max 3.530 mean 3.513 CSR min 6.420 max 10.520 mean 7.265 H min 11.161 max 11.170 mean 11.165
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 5.080 max 5.120 mean 5.105 CSR min 5.780 max 6.970 mean 6.359 H min 11.205 max 11.233 mean 11.222	GPU 64 C00 min 3.630 max 3.670 mean 3.643 CSR min 10.760 max 13.510 mean 12.199 H min 10.248 max 10.265 mean 10.258
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 C00 min 5.220 max 5.250 mean 5.227 CSR min 7.860 max 8.710 mean 8.247 H min 10.059 max 10.064 mean 10.061	GPU 64 C00 min 3.510 max 3.520 mean 3.519 CSR min 6.490 max 11.230 mean 7.645 H min 11.112 max 11.121 mean 11.117
mhd4800a.mtx Regular	GPU 64 C00 min 3.090 max 3.100 mean 3.098 CSR min 11.570 max 12.290 mean 12.092 H min 7.132 max 7.132 mean 7.132	GPU 64 C00 min 3.510 max 3.540 mean 3.515 CSR min 6.510 max 11.650 mean 7.311 H min 11.161 max 11.168 mean 11.165
Row-Premute	GPU 64 C00 min 3.020 max 3.020 mean 3.020 CSR min 5.560 max 7.270 mean 6.007 H min 10.959 max 10.968 mean 10.963	GPU 64 C00 min 5.970 max 6.010 mean 5.988 CSR min 12.470 max 21.120 mean 13.816 H min 7.304 max 7.304 mean 7.304
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 3.080 max 3.100 mean 3.088 CSR min 10.250 max 12.150 mean 11.340 H min 9.509 max 9.528 mean 9.520	GPU 64 C00 min 5.840 max 5.870 mean 5.856 CSR min 10.780 max 15.810 mean 11.425 H min 10.537 max 10.540 mean 10.539
Column-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 3.020 max 3.050 mean 3.026 CSR min 5.530 max 10.580 mean 6.432 H min 10.933 max 10.946 mean 10.939	GPU 64 C00 min 5.950 max 6.000 mean 5.975 CSR min 11.520 max 17.250 mean 12.799 H min 9.638 max 9.646 mean 9.641
Row-Column-Permute	GPU 64 C00 min 3.020 max 3.020 mean 3.020 CSR min 5.510 max 6.830 mean 6.136 H min 10.959 max 10.967 mean 10.963	GPU 64 C00 min 5.790 max 5.860 mean 5.827 CSR min 10.500 max 14.080 mean 11.237 H min 11.128 max 11.223 mean 11.209
gen4.mtx Regular	GPU 64 C00 min 3.300 max 3.320 mean 3.308 CSR min 5.250 max 6.340 mean 5.705 H min 9.234 max 9.234 mean 9.234	GPU 64 C00 min 5.850 max 5.870 mean 5.855 CSR min 10.790 max 15.250 mean 11.718 H min 10.537 max 10.541 mean 10.539
Row-Premute	GPU 64 C00 min 3.290 max 3.310 mean 3.299 CSR min 5.190 max 7.420 mean 5.683 H min 10.249 max 10.254 mean 10.252	GPU 64 C00 min 5.130 max 5.220 mean 5.142 CSR min 7.250 max 9.320 mean 7.722 H min 9.689 max 9.689 mean 9.689
Row-Gradient	GPU 64 C00 min 3.300 max 3.310 mean 3.301 CSR min 5.370 max 6.310 mean 5.659 H min 9.934 max 9.958 mean 9.948	GPU 64 C00 min 4.980 max 5.030 mean 4.999 CSR min 6.460 max 8.470 mean 6.950 H min 10.738 max 10.742 mean 10.740
Column-Gradient		GPU 64 C00 min 5.070 max 5.140 mean 5.088

Column-Gradient	H	CSR min 6.780 max 8.700 mean 7.268 min 10.572 max 10.584 mean 10.580
	GPU 64 C00	min 4.980 max 5.030 mean 5.010 CSR min 6.390 max 7.640 mean 6.982 min 10.825 max*10.845 mean 10.836
Row-Column-Permute	H	GPU 64 C00 min 4.990 max 5.010 mean 4.997 CSR min 6.300 max 7.160 mean 6.636 min 10.738 max 10.743 mean 10.740

REFERENCES

- [1] Hartwig Anzt, Terry Cojean, Chen Yen-Chen, Jack J. Dongarra, Goran Flegar, Pratik Nayak, Stanimire Tomov, Yuhsiang M. Tsai, and Weichung Wang. 2020. Load-balancing Sparse Matrix Vector Product Kernels on GPUs. *ACM Trans. Parallel Comput.* 7, 1 (2020), 2:1–2:26. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3380930>
- [2] Paolo D’Alberto, Chris Drome, and Ali Dasdan. 2012. Non-Parametric Methods Applied to the N-Sample Series Comparison. *arXiv:stat.CO/1205.1880*
- [3] Jeremy Fowers, Kalin Ovtcharov, Karin Strauss, Eric S Chung, and Greg Stitt. 2014. A high memory bandwidth fpga accelerator for sparse matrix-vector multiplication. In *IEEE Symposium on FPGAs for Custom Computing Machines (FCCM)*. IEEE, 36–43.
- [4] Paul Grigoras, Pavel Burovskiy, and Wayne Luk. 2016. CASK: Open-Source Custom Architectures for Sparse Kernels. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA)*. ACM, 179–184.
- [5] B. Huang, J. Gao, and X. Li. 2009. An Empirically Optimized Radix Sort for GPU. In *2009 IEEE International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing with Applications*. 234–241.
- [6] Enver Kayaaslan, Cevdet Aykanat, and Bora Uçar. 2018. 1.5D Parallel Sparse Matrix-Vector Multiply. *SIAM J. Scientific Computing* 40, 1 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1137/16M1105591>
- [7] Srinidhi Kestur, John D Davis, and Eric S Chung. 2012. Towards a universal FPGA matrix-vector multiplication architecture. In *IEEE Symposium on FPGAs for Custom Computing Machines (FCCM)*. IEEE, 9–16.
- [8] Sicheng Li, Yandan Wang, Wujie Wen, Yu Wang, Yiran Chen, and Hai Li. 2016. A data locality-aware design framework for reconfigurable sparse matrix-vector multiplication kernel. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computer-Aided Design (ICCAD)*. IEEE, 1–6.
- [9] X. Li, M. J. Garzaran, and D. Padua. 2004. A dynamically tuned sorting library. In *International Symposium on Code Generation and Optimization, 2004. CGO 2004*. 111–122.
- [10] Krishna K Nagar and Jason D Bakos. 2011. A sparse matrix personality for the convey HC-1. In *IEEE Symposium on FPGAs for Custom Computing Machines (FCCM)*. IEEE, 1–8.
- [11] Brian A. Page and Peter M. Kogge. 2018. Scalability of Hybrid Sparse Matrix Dense Vector (SpMV) Multiplication. In *2018 International Conference on High Performance Computing & Simulation, HPCS 2018, Orleans, France, July 16-20, 2018*. IEEE, 406–414. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HPCS.2018.00072>
- [12] Kevin Townsend and Joseph Zambreno. 2013. Reduce, reuse, recycle (r 3): A design methodology for sparse matrix vector multiplication on reconfigurable platforms. In *Proceedings of the International Conference on Application-Specific Systems, Architectures and Processors (ASAP)*. IEEE, 185–191.
- [13] Shijie Zhou, Rajgopal Kannan, Viktor K Prasanna, Guna Seetharaman, and Qing Wu. 2019. HitGraph: High-throughput Graph Processing Framework on FPGA. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems* 30, 10 (2019), 2249–2264.
- [14] Ling Zhuo and Viktor K Prasanna. 2005. Sparse matrix-vector multiplication on FPGAs. In *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGA)*. ACM, 63–74.