

On massive higher spin supermultiplets in $d = 3$

Yu. M. Zinoviev *

*Institute for High Energy Physics
of National Research Center "Kurchatov Institute"
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142280, Russia*

Abstract

In this paper, using a frame-like gauge invariant formulation of the massive higher spin bosons and fermions, we develop a direct construction of the completely off-shell cubic vertices describing an interaction of the massless gravitino with the massive higher spin supermultiplets. To achieve the invariance under the local supersymmetry we introduce all necessary supertransformations (both for the physical as well as for the auxiliary fields) and thus all the supercurrents constructed are conserved on-shell. As an illustration of the technique used we present some lower superspin examples and then we consider the arbitrary superspin. We also check that the whole construction is completely consistent with all bosonic and fermionic gauge symmetries of the fields entering the supermultiplets.

*E-mail address: Yurii.Zinoviev@ihep.ru

1 Introduction

Surprisingly, a task of explicit construction for the massive higher spin supermultiplets appears to be much more complicated than a similar task for the massless ones. As far as the global supersymmetry is concerned, the procedure seems to be pretty straightforward: one has to take two famous Singh-Hagen Lagrangians [1, 2] for massive boson and fermion which differ in spins by $1/2$ and find supertransformations leaving the sum of these two Lagrangian invariant. However, starting already with vector supermultiplet, one has to introduce some complicated higher derivative corrections to the supertransformations. Moreover, the higher the spins one tries to consider, the more derivatives one has to introduce. And this makes the task almost intractable¹.

In the metric-like formalism a general solution was suggested in [4] on the base of the gauge invariant description of massive higher spin bosons [5] and fermions [6]. The main idea was to construct massive supermultiplet using an appropriate set of the massless ones exactly in the same way as the gauge invariant description of massive fields can be obtained starting with the appropriate set of the massless ones. With the development of the frame-like formalism for the massive higher spin fields [7, 8, 9], this approach becomes even more effective and allowed us to construct not only massive supermultiplets [10], but the supermultiplets containing partially-massless fields [11] and infinite spin fields [12] as well.

As in many other aspects, three dimensions appear to be special also on the properties of the massive higher spin supermultiplets. In-particular, there exist supermultiplets containing so-called topologically massive higher spin fields [13, 14]. At the same time the construction of the massive supermultiplets similar to the four-dimensional one was successfully realized [15, 16] (see [17] for review) using the gauge invariant formulation for massive bosons and fermions specially adopted to three dimensions [18, 19].

Let us turn now to the local supersymmetry. Again the task seems to be straightforward. Having in our disposal the global supertransformations we just have to make them local. Then the sum of the two free Lagrangian ceases to be invariant but this must be compensated by the interaction with the massless gravitino. However in so doing one faces the ambiguities related with a lot of possible field redefinitions². One of the ways to construct cubic vertices describing an interaction of the massless gravitino and the massive supermultiplet is to use the so-called Fradkin-Vasiliev formalism [21, 22]. In three dimensions this formalism does not work for the massless fields but does work for the massive ones [23]. And indeed this approach was realized in [24, 17]. However, FV-formalism involves the so-called extra fields (which do not enter the free Lagrangians but appears only in the interactions) making the formalism essentially to be on-shell. Moreover, generally it produces terms having much more derivatives that is absolutely necessary. This number of derivatives can be diminished again by the field redefinitions but it requires a lot of work³.

Our aim in this work is a direct construction of the completely off-shell cubic vertices de-

¹Only recently the superfield analogue for the Singh-Hagen procedure has been realized for the massive supermultiplets with half-integer superspins [3].

²For the general discussions on the field redefinitions specific to the gauge invariant formulation of the massive fields see [20].

³As an illustration, see what happens in the case of massless fields and massless supermultiplets in $d = 4$ [25, 26].

scribing interactions of the massless gravitino and the massive arbitrary superspin multiplets having minimal number of derivatives possible. To make these vertices to be gauge invariant we introduce all necessary supertransformations both for the physical as well as for the auxiliary fields. This in turn guarantee that the corresponding supercurrents are conserved on-shell. One of the technical problems here is that lower spin components are zero-forms, while all higher spin ones are one-forms. So one faces the situations when some zero-form must transform into one-form. There are two possibilities here. From one hand, one can introduce an inverse frame allowing to convert world indices into local ones with the risk to spoil the compactness and elegance of the frame-like formalism. The other approach, which we follow in this work, is to switch in such cases to the metric-like multispinor formalism, similar to the ones used in [13, 14, 27]. Let us stress that all cubic vertices constructed in our work are written in the pure frame-like formalism, while the metric-like one is used only to write the supertransformations for the zero-forms as well as at some intermediate steps of calculations.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we provide all necessary information on the gauge invariant formulation for the massive higher spins in $d = 3$. In section 3 we present a number of the lower superspin examples mainly to illustrate the usage of the metric-like formalism for the lower spin components. At last in section 4 we construct the cubic interaction vertex for the arbitrary superspin multiplet as well as the complete set of the supertransformations.

Notation and conventions We work in the frame-like multispinor formalism where all fields are one-forms or zero-forms having a set of completely symmetric local spinor indices $\alpha = 1, 2$. For coordinate free description of the flat three dimensional space we use background frame $e^{\alpha(2)}$ and background Lorentz covariant derivative D such that

$$D \wedge D = 0, \quad D \wedge e^{\alpha(2)} = 0 \quad (1)$$

Besides $e^{\alpha(2)}$, a complete basis of forms contain two-form $E^{\alpha(2)}$ and three-form E defined as follows

$$e^{\alpha(2)} \wedge e^{\beta(2)} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} E^{\alpha\beta}, \quad E^{\alpha(2)} \wedge e^{\beta(2)} = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} E \quad (2)$$

As it was already mentioned, each time as it is convenient we switch to the metric-like multispinor formalism using the fact that any one-form can be transformed into a set of zero-forms (see [27] for details), for example

$$\begin{aligned} H^{\alpha(2)} &= e_{\beta(2)} h^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta h^{\alpha\beta} + e^{\alpha(2)} h \\ \Phi^\alpha &= e_{\beta(2)} \phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta \phi^\beta \end{aligned}$$

2 Kinematics

In this section we provide all necessary information on the frame-like gauge invariant description for the massive higher spin bosons and fermions. As it was already mentioned in the Introduction, such formalism plays an essential role in the construction of the massive higher spin supermultiplets in any dimensions.

2.1 Massive bosons $s \geq 2$

In this case the frame-like gauge invariant formulation requires [18] a set of one-forms $\Omega^{\alpha(2k)}$, $H^{\alpha(2k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq s-1$, A and zero-forms $B^{\alpha(2)}$, $\pi^{\alpha(2)}$ and φ . The free Lagrangian in the flat Minkowski background has the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_0 = & \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} [k\Omega_{\alpha(2k-1)\beta} e^\beta{}_\gamma \Omega^{\alpha(2k-1)\gamma} + \Omega_{\alpha(2k)} DH^{\alpha(2k)}] \\
& + EB_{\alpha(2)} B^{\alpha(2)} - B_{\alpha(2)} e^{\alpha(2)} DA - E\pi_{\alpha(2)} \pi^{\alpha(2)} + \pi_{\alpha(2)} E^{\alpha(2)} D\varphi \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{s-2} (-1)^{k+1} a_k \left[-\frac{(k+2)}{k} \Omega_{\alpha(2k)\beta(2)} e^{\beta(2)} H^{\alpha(2k)} + \Omega_{\alpha(2k)} e_{\beta(2)} H^{\alpha(2k)\beta(2)} \right] \\
& + 2a_0 \Omega_{\alpha(2)} e^{\alpha(2)} A - a_0 H_{\alpha\beta} E^\beta{}_\gamma B^{\alpha\gamma} + 2Ms\pi_{\alpha(2)} E^{\alpha(2)} A \\
& + \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} b_k H_{\alpha(2k-1)\beta} e^\beta{}_\gamma H^{\alpha(2k-1)\gamma} + \frac{Ms a_0}{2} H_{\alpha(2)} E^{\alpha(2)} \varphi + \frac{3}{2} a_0^2 E\varphi^2, \quad (3)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_k^2 = \frac{k(s+k+1)(s-k-1)}{2(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)} M^2, \quad a_0^2 = \frac{(s+1)(s-1)}{3} M^2, \quad b_k = \frac{M^2 s^2}{4k(k+1)^2}. \quad (4)$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under the following local gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\Omega^{\alpha(2k)} &= D\eta^{\alpha(2k)} + \frac{(k+2)}{k} a_k e_{\beta(2)} \eta^{\alpha(2k)\beta(2)} + \frac{a_{k-1}}{k(2k-1)} e^{\alpha(2)} \eta^{\alpha(2k-2)} + \frac{b_k}{k} e^\alpha{}_\beta \xi^{\alpha(2k-1)\beta}, \\
\delta H^{\alpha(2k)} &= D\xi^{\alpha(2k)} + a_k e_{\beta(2)} \xi^{\alpha(2k)\beta(2)} + \frac{(k+1)a_{k-1}}{k(k-1)(2k-1)} e^{\alpha(2)} \xi^{\alpha(2k-2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta \eta^{\alpha(2k-1)\beta}, \\
\delta\Omega^{\alpha(2)} &= D\eta^{\alpha(2)} + 3a_1 e_{\beta(2)} \eta^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + b_1 e^\alpha{}_\beta \xi^{\alpha\beta}, \\
\delta H^{\alpha(2)} &= D\xi^{\alpha(2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta \eta^{\alpha\beta} + a_1 e_{\beta(2)} \xi^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + 2a_0 e^{\alpha(2)} \xi, \\
\delta B^{\alpha(2)} &= 2a_0 \eta^{\alpha(2)}, \quad \delta A = D\xi + \frac{a_0}{4} e_{\alpha(2)} \xi^{\alpha(2)}, \\
\delta\pi^{\alpha(2)} &= \frac{Ms a_0}{2} \xi^{\alpha(2)}, \quad \delta\varphi = -2Ms\xi.
\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Lagrangian equations for the one-forms (up to $(-1)^{k+1}$) look like:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D\Omega^{\alpha(2k)} + \frac{(k+2)}{k} a_k e_{\beta(2)} \Omega^{\alpha(2k)\beta(2)} + \frac{a_{k-1}}{k(2k-1)} e^{\alpha(2)} \Omega^{\alpha(2k-2)} + \frac{b_k}{k} e^\alpha{}_\beta H^{\alpha(2k-1)\beta}, \\
0 &= DH^{\alpha(2k)} + a_k e_{\beta(2)} H^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + \frac{(k+1)a_{k-1}}{k(k-1)(2k-1)} e^{\alpha(2)} H^{\alpha(2k-2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta \Omega^{\alpha(2k-1)\beta}, \\
0 &= D\Omega^{\alpha(2)} + 3a_1 e_{\beta(2)} \Omega^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + b_1 e^\alpha{}_\beta H^{\alpha\beta} - \frac{a_0}{2} E^\alpha{}_\beta B^{\alpha\beta} + \frac{Ms a_0}{2} E^{\alpha(2)} \varphi, \\
0 &= DH^{\alpha(2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta \Omega^{\alpha\beta} + a_1 e_{\beta(2)} H^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + 2a_0 e^{\alpha(2)} A, \\
0 &= e_{\alpha(2)} DB^{\alpha(2)} - 2a_0 e_{\alpha(2)} \Omega^{\alpha(2)} + 2Ms E_{\alpha(2)} \pi^{\alpha(2)},
\end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

while equations for the zero-forms $B^{\alpha(2)}$, $\pi^{\alpha(2)}$ and φ :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2E[B^{\alpha(2)} - 2D^\alpha{}_\beta A^{\alpha\beta} - 2a_0 h^{\alpha(2)}], \\ 0 &= 2E[D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi - \pi^{\alpha(2)} + 2M_s A^{\alpha(2)}], \\ 0 &= E[-2(D\pi) + 3M_s a_0 h + 3a_0^2 \varphi], \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where we use the following relations between one-forms and zero-forms:

$$D = e_{\alpha(2)} D^{\alpha(2)}, \quad A = e_{\alpha(2)} A^{\alpha(2)}, \quad H^{\alpha(2)} = e_{\beta(2)} h^{\alpha(2)\beta(2)} + e^\alpha{}_\beta h^{\alpha\beta} + e^{\alpha(2)} h.$$

2.2 Massive vector

In this case we use metric-like first order formalism with physical fields $A^{\alpha(2)}$, φ and auxiliary fields $B^{\alpha(2)}$, $\pi^{\alpha(2)}$. The free Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2} B_{\alpha(2)} B^{\alpha(2)} + 4B^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha{}^\gamma A_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \pi_{\alpha(2)} \pi^{\alpha(2)} - \pi^{\alpha(2)} D_{\alpha(2)} \varphi + 2M \pi^{\alpha(2)} A_{\alpha(2)} \quad (8)$$

is invariant under the following gauge transformations

$$\delta A^{\alpha(2)} = D^{\alpha(2)} \xi, \quad \delta \varphi = 2M \xi. \quad (9)$$

The equations for all fields have the form:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= B_{\alpha(2)} + 2D_\alpha{}^\beta A_{\alpha\beta}, & 0 &= 2D_\alpha{}^\beta B_{\alpha\beta} + 2M \pi_{\alpha(2)} \\ 0 &= -\pi_{\alpha(2)} - D_{\alpha(2)} \varphi + 2M A_{\alpha(2)}, & 0 &= (D\pi). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

2.3 Massive scalar

For the scalar we also use the metric-like first order formalism with the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \pi_{\alpha(2)} \pi^{\alpha(2)} - \pi_{\alpha(2)} D^{\alpha(2)} \varphi - \frac{M^2}{4} \varphi^2, \quad (11)$$

and the corresponding equations:

$$0 = -\pi_{\alpha(2)} - D_{\alpha(2)} \varphi, \quad 0 = (D\pi) - \frac{M^2}{2} \varphi. \quad (12)$$

2.4 Massive fermions $s \geq \frac{3}{2}$

The frame-like gauge invariant formulation for the massive fermions with spin $s+1/2$ requires [19] a set of one-forms $\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)}$, $0 \leq k \leq s-1$ and a zero-form ρ^α . The free Lagrangian has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{i} \mathcal{L}_0 &= \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} \left[\frac{1}{2} \Phi_{\alpha(2k+1)} D \Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \rho_\alpha E^\alpha{}_\beta D \rho^\beta \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} c_k \Phi_{\alpha(2k-1)\beta(2)} e^{\beta(2)} \Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)} + c_0 \Phi_\alpha E^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{d_k}{2} \Phi_{\alpha(2k)\beta} e^\beta{}_\gamma \Phi^{\alpha(2k)\gamma} - \frac{3d_0}{2} E \rho_\alpha \rho^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where

$$d_k = \frac{(2s+1)}{(2k+3)}M, \quad c_k^2 = \frac{(s+k+1)(s-k)}{2(k+1)(2k+1)}M^2, \quad c_0^2 = 2s(s+1)M^2. \quad (14)$$

This Lagrangian is invariant under the following gauge transformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} &= D\zeta^{\alpha(2k+1)} + \frac{d_k}{(2k+1)}e^\alpha{}_\beta \zeta^{\alpha(2k)\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_k}{k(2k+1)}e^{\alpha(2)}\zeta^{\alpha(2k-1)} + c_{k+1}e_{\beta(2)}\zeta^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta(2)}, \\ \delta_0 \rho^\alpha &= c_0 \zeta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Lagrangian equations for the one-forms (up to $(-1)^{k+1}$) look like

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} + \frac{d_k}{(2k+1)}e^\alpha{}_\beta \Phi^{\alpha(2k)\beta} \\ &\quad + \frac{c_k}{k(2k+1)}e^{\alpha(2)}\Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)} + c_{k+1}e_{\beta(2)}\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta(2)}, \\ 0 &= D\Phi^\alpha + d_0 e^\alpha{}_\beta \Phi^\beta + c_1 e_{\beta(2)}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} - c_0 E^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

while equation for the zero-form ρ^α

$$0 = E[2D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta + 3c_0 \phi^\alpha - 3d_0 \rho^\alpha]. \quad (17)$$

Note that the zero-form ϕ^α appears in the decomposition

$$\Phi^\alpha = e_{\beta(2)}\phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} + e^{\alpha(2)}\phi^\alpha.$$

2.5 Massive spinor

Here the free Lagrangian is simply

$$\frac{1}{i}\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta - \frac{M}{4}\rho_\alpha \rho^\alpha, \quad (18)$$

with the corresponding equation:

$$0 = D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta - \frac{M}{2}\rho^\alpha. \quad (19)$$

3 Lower superspins

In this section we consider some lower superspin examples, mainly to illustrate the usage of the metric-like formalism for the zero form components.

3.1 Superspin $Y = 0$

We begin with the sum of the free Lagrangians for the spinor and the scalar:

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \frac{1}{2}\rho_\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta - \frac{M_0}{4}\rho_\alpha \rho^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\alpha(2)}\pi^{\alpha(2)} - \pi_{\alpha(2)}D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi - \frac{M^2}{4}\varphi^2, \quad (20)$$

with the corresponding equations:

$$0 = -D_\alpha{}^\beta \rho_\beta - \frac{M_0}{2}\rho_\alpha, \quad 0 = -\pi_{\alpha(2)} - D_{\alpha(2)}\varphi, \quad 0 = (D\pi) - \frac{M^2}{2}\varphi. \quad (21)$$

Now let us consider the following ansatz for the cubic vertex describing an interaction for such massive supermultiplet with the massless gravitino:

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = \Psi_\alpha [g_1(E\pi)\rho^\alpha + g_2 e^{\alpha\beta} D\varphi \rho_\beta + g_3 \varphi E^{\alpha\beta} \rho_\beta]. \quad (22)$$

Calculating variations under the local supertransformations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 = & \zeta_\alpha [-g_1 E_{\beta(2)} D\pi^{\beta(2)} \rho^\alpha - g_1 E_{\beta(2)} \pi^{\beta(2)} D\rho^\alpha - g_2 e^{\alpha\beta} D\varphi D\rho_\beta \\ & - g_3 E^{\alpha\beta} D\varphi \rho_\beta - g_3 E^{\alpha\beta} \varphi D\rho_\beta]. \end{aligned}$$

Switching to the metric-like formalism and omitting three form E as a common multiplier we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 = & -2g_1 \zeta_\alpha (D\pi)\phi^\alpha - 2g_1 \zeta_\alpha \pi_{\beta(2)} D^{\beta(2)} \phi^\alpha \\ & + 4g_2 \zeta_\alpha (D^{\alpha\gamma} \varphi D^\beta{}_\gamma + D^{\beta\gamma} \varphi D^\alpha{}_\gamma) \phi_\beta \\ & - 2g_3 \zeta_\alpha D^{\alpha\beta} \varphi \phi_\beta - 2g_3 \zeta_\alpha \varphi D^{\alpha\beta} \phi_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

To compensate for these variations we introduce the following supertransformations for the bosonic fields:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \pi^{\alpha(2)} &= -2g_2 (\zeta^\beta D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\alpha + \zeta^\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta) - g_3 \zeta^\alpha \rho^\alpha, \\ \delta_1 \varphi &= 2g_1 \zeta_\alpha \rho^\alpha, \\ \delta_1 \rho^\alpha &= -2g_3 \varphi \zeta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

They produce

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = & -2f_2 \zeta_\alpha [(D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi + \pi^{\alpha(2)} D_\alpha{}^\beta \rho_\beta + (D^{\beta(2)}\varphi + \pi^{\beta(2)}) D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho_\beta] \\ & + g_3 \zeta_\alpha [(D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi + \pi^{\alpha(2)}) \rho_\alpha - 2\varphi (D^\alpha{}_\beta - \frac{M_0}{2} \rho^\alpha)] \\ & + 2g_1 \zeta_\alpha (D\pi) - \frac{M^2}{2} \varphi \rho^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = & \zeta_\alpha [-2g_1 \pi_{\beta(2)} D^{\beta(2)} \rho^\alpha - 2g_2 \pi_{\beta(2)} D^{\alpha\beta} \rho^\beta + 2g_2 \pi^{\alpha(2)} D_\alpha{}^\beta \rho_\beta] \\ & + \zeta_\alpha [g_3 \pi^{\alpha(2)} \rho_\alpha + (M_0 g_3 - M^2 g_1) \varphi \rho^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Using simple identities

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\beta(2)}\rho^\alpha &= \frac{1}{3}\rho^{\alpha\beta(2)} - \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}D^\beta{}_\gamma\rho^\gamma \\ D^{\alpha\beta}\rho^\beta &= \frac{2}{3}\rho^{\alpha\beta(2)} + \frac{1}{3}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}D^\beta{}_\gamma\rho^\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\rho^{\alpha(3)} = D^{\alpha(2)}\rho^\alpha,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \zeta_\alpha\left[-\frac{2(g_1+2_2)}{3}\pi_{\beta(2)}\rho^{\alpha\beta(2)} + \frac{4(g_1-4g_2)}{3}\pi^\alpha{}_\beta D^\beta{}_\gamma\rho^\gamma\right] \\ &\quad + \zeta_\alpha[g_3\pi^{\alpha(2)}\rho_\alpha + (M_0g_3 - M^2g_1)\varphi\rho^\alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Now we put

$$g_2 = -\frac{g_1}{2} \tag{24}$$

and we finally get

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 = \zeta_\alpha[4g_1\pi^\alpha{}_\beta\rho^\beta - 2g_3\pi^\alpha{}_\beta\rho^\beta + (M_0g_2 - M^2g_1)\varphi\rho^\alpha]$$

At last, we introduce appropriate supertransformations for the spinor

$$\delta_1\rho^\alpha = -4g_1\pi^{\alpha\beta}\zeta_\beta \tag{25}$$

and this leaves us with

$$\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 = \zeta_\alpha[2(M_0g_1 - g_3)\pi^\alpha{}_\beta\rho^\beta + (M_0g_3 - M^2g_1)\varphi\rho^\alpha].$$

So we must have

$$g_3 = M_0g_1, \quad M_0^2 = M^2 \tag{26}$$

Thus we obtain the vertex

$$\mathcal{L}_1 = g_1\Psi_\alpha[(E\pi)\rho^\alpha - \frac{1}{2}e^{\alpha\beta}D\varphi\rho_\beta + M_0\varphi E^{\alpha\beta}\rho_\beta] \tag{27}$$

as well as the following supertransformations

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\pi^{\alpha(2)} &= g_1(\zeta^\beta D^\alpha{}_\beta\rho^\alpha + \zeta^\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta\rho^\beta) - M_0g_1\zeta^\alpha\rho^\alpha, \\ \delta\varphi &= 2g_1\zeta_\alpha\rho^\alpha, \\ \delta\rho^\alpha &= -4g_1\pi^{\alpha\beta}\zeta_\beta - 2M_0g_1\varphi\zeta^\alpha. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

3.2 Superspin $Y = \frac{1}{2}$

In our second example we also begin with the sum of the free Lagrangians for the massive vector and the spinor:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_0 &= \frac{1}{2}B_{\alpha(2)}B^{\alpha(2)} + 4B^{\alpha\beta}D_\alpha{}^\gamma A_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2}\pi_{\alpha(2)}\pi^{\alpha(2)} - \pi^{\alpha(2)}D_{\alpha(2)}\varphi + 2M\pi^{\alpha(2)}A_{\alpha(2)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\rho_\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho^\beta - \frac{M_0}{4}\rho_\alpha \rho^\alpha,\end{aligned}\tag{29}$$

with the complete set of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= B_{\alpha(2)} + 2D_\alpha{}^\beta A_{\alpha\beta}, & 0 &= 2D_\alpha{}^\beta B_{\alpha\beta} + 2M\pi_{\alpha(2)}, \\ 0 &= -\pi_{\alpha(2)} - D_{\alpha(2)}\varphi + 2MA_{\alpha(2)}, & 0 &= (D\pi), \\ 0 &= -D_\alpha{}^\beta \rho_\beta - \frac{M_0}{2}\rho_\alpha.\end{aligned}\tag{30}$$

In this case a possible ansatz for the cubic vertex is restricted by the invariance under the gauge transformations of the vector field. We consider

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 &= \Psi_\alpha[g_1(E^\alpha{}_\beta B^\beta{}_\gamma - B^\alpha{}_\beta E^\beta{}_\gamma)\rho^\gamma + g_2 D A \rho^\alpha \\ &\quad + g_3(E\pi)\rho^\alpha + g_4 e^{\alpha\beta}(D\varphi - 2MA)\rho_\beta].\end{aligned}\tag{31}$$

Calculating the variations and transforming them into the metric-like formalism we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 &= g_1\zeta_\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta B^{\alpha\beta}\phi_\alpha + 2g_1\zeta_\alpha(B^{\alpha\beta}D_\beta{}^\gamma\phi_\gamma + B^{\beta\gamma}D_\beta{}^\alpha\phi_\gamma) \\ &\quad + 4g_2\zeta_\alpha D_\beta{}^\gamma A_{\beta\gamma}D^{\beta(2)}\phi^\alpha - 2g_3\zeta_\alpha(D\pi)\phi^\alpha - 2g_3\zeta_\alpha\pi_{\beta(2)}D^{\beta(2)}\phi^\alpha \\ &\quad + 2g_4(D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi - 2MA^{\alpha(2)})(\zeta_\alpha D^\beta{}_\alpha\phi_\beta + \zeta_\beta D^\beta{}_\alpha\phi_\alpha) - 4Mg_4\zeta_\alpha D^\alpha{}_\beta A^{\alpha\beta}\phi_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce the following supertransformations for the bosons:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1 B^{\alpha(2)} &= -2g_2\zeta_\beta D^{\alpha(2)}\rho^\beta - 2Mg_4\zeta^\alpha\rho^\alpha, & \delta_1 A_{\alpha(2)} &= \frac{g_1}{2}\zeta_\alpha\rho_\alpha, \\ \delta_1 \pi_{\alpha(2)} &= 2g_4(\zeta_\alpha D^\beta{}_\alpha\rho_\beta + \zeta_\beta D^\beta{}_\alpha\rho_\alpha), & \delta_1 \varphi &= 2g_3\zeta_\alpha\rho^\alpha.\end{aligned}\tag{32}$$

They produce

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \zeta_\alpha[-2g_1(D^\alpha{}_\beta B^{\alpha\beta} - M\pi^{\alpha(2)})\rho_\alpha - 2g_2\zeta_\alpha(2D_\beta{}^\gamma A_{\beta\gamma} + B_{\beta(2)})D^{\beta(2)}\rho^\alpha + 2g_3\zeta_\alpha(D\pi)\rho^\alpha \\ &\quad + \zeta_\alpha[-2g_4(D^{\alpha(2)}\varphi + \pi^{\alpha(2)} - 2MA^{\alpha(2)})D_\alpha{}^\beta \rho_\beta - 2g_4(D^{\beta(2)}\varphi + \pi^{\beta(2)} - 2MA^{\beta(2)})D^\alpha{}_\beta \rho_\beta] \\ &\quad + 4Mg_4\zeta_\alpha(2D^\alpha{}_\beta A^{\alpha\beta} - B^{\alpha(2)})\rho_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_0\mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \zeta_\alpha\left[\frac{2(g_1 - g_2)}{3}B_{\beta(2)}\rho^{\alpha\beta(2)} - \frac{2(2g_4 + g_3)}{3}\pi_{\beta(2)}\rho^{\alpha\beta(2)}\right] \\ &\quad + \zeta_\alpha\left[\frac{4(2g_1 + g_2)}{3}B^{\alpha\beta}D_\beta{}^\gamma\rho_\gamma + \frac{4(g_3 - 4g_4)}{3}\pi^{\alpha\beta}D_\beta{}^\gamma\rho_\gamma\right] \\ &\quad + \zeta_\alpha(2Mg_1\pi^{\alpha(2)} - 4Mg_4B^{\alpha(2)})\rho_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Now we put

$$g_2 = g_1, \quad g_4 = -\frac{g_3}{2} \quad (33)$$

and obtain

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = \zeta_\alpha [(4g_1 B^{\alpha\beta} + 4g_3 \pi^{\alpha\beta}) D_\beta^\gamma \rho_\gamma + (4Mg_3 B^{\alpha\beta} + 4Mg_1 \pi^{\alpha\beta}) \rho_\beta].$$

At last we introduce supertransformations for the spinor

$$\delta \rho^\alpha = 4g_1 B^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta + 4g_3 \pi^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta \quad (34)$$

and this leaves us with

$$\delta_0 \mathcal{L}_1 + \delta_1 \mathcal{L}_0 = 2\zeta_\alpha [(Mg_3 - M_0g_1) B^{\alpha\beta} + (Mg_1 - M_0g_3) \pi^{\alpha\beta}] \rho_\beta.$$

Thus we obtain two solutions

$$M_0 = \pm M, \quad g_3 = \pm g_1 \quad (35)$$

Recall that in three dimensions the massive spin $s \geq 1$ boson has two physical degrees of freedom corresponding to two helicities $\pm s$. while the massive fermion has only one physical degree of freedom corresponding to helicity $+s$ or $-s$. Moreover, the sign of the helicity is correlated with the sign of the mass term in the free Lagrangian.

Combining all things together, we obtain the cubic vertex

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 = & \Psi_\alpha [g_1 (E^\alpha_\beta B^\beta_\gamma - B^\alpha_\beta E^\beta_\gamma) \rho^\gamma + g_1 D A \rho^\alpha \\ & + g_3 (E \pi) \rho^\alpha - \frac{g_3}{2} e^{\alpha\beta} (D \varphi - 2M A) \rho_\beta], \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

which is invariant under the following supertransformations:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta B^{\alpha(2)} &= -2g_1 \zeta_\beta D^{\alpha(2)} \rho^\beta + 2Mg_3 \zeta^\alpha \rho^\alpha, & \delta A_{\alpha(2)} &= \frac{g_1}{2} \zeta_\alpha \rho_\alpha, \\ \delta \pi_{\alpha(2)} &= -g_3 (\zeta_\alpha D^\beta_\alpha \rho_\beta + \zeta_\beta D^\beta_\alpha \rho_\alpha), & \delta \varphi &= 2g_3 \zeta_\alpha \rho^\alpha \\ \delta \rho^\alpha &= 4g_1 B^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta + 4g_3 \pi^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

3.3 Superspin $Y = 1$

In our last example we also begin with the sum of the free Lagrangians for massive spin-3/2 and spin-1:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_0 = & -\frac{1}{2} \Phi_\alpha D \Phi^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \rho_\alpha E^\alpha_\beta D \rho^\beta - \frac{M_0}{2} \Phi_\alpha e^\alpha_\beta \Phi^\beta + 2M_0 \Phi_\alpha E^\alpha_\beta \rho^\beta - \frac{3M_0}{2} E \rho_\alpha \rho^\alpha \\ & + E \left[\frac{1}{2} B_{\alpha(2)} B^{\alpha(2)} + 4B^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha^\gamma A_{\beta\gamma} - \frac{1}{2} \pi_{\alpha(2)} \pi^{\alpha(2)} - \pi^{\alpha(2)} (D_{\alpha(2)} \varphi - 2M A_{\alpha(2)}) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

with the corresponding free equations

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -D \Phi^\alpha - M_0 e^\alpha_\beta \Phi^\beta + 2M_0 E^\alpha_\beta \rho^\beta, \\ 0 &= E^\alpha_\beta D \rho^\beta - 2M_0 E^\alpha_\beta \Phi^\beta - 3M_0 E \rho^\alpha \\ &= E [2D^\alpha_\beta \rho^\beta + 6M_0 \phi^\alpha - 3M_0 \rho^\alpha]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= B_{\alpha(2)} + 2D_\alpha^\beta A_{\alpha\beta}, & 0 &= 2D_\alpha^\beta B_{\alpha\beta} + 2M\pi_{\alpha(2)}, \\
0 &= -\pi_{\alpha(2)} - D_{\alpha(2)}\varphi + 2MA_{\alpha(2)}, & 0 &= (D\pi).
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

In this case we begin with the following ansatz for the cubic terms with one derivative:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{11} &= g_0\Psi_\alpha(eB)\Phi^\alpha + g_1\Psi_\alpha(E^\alpha_\beta B^\beta_\gamma - B^\alpha_\beta E^\beta_\gamma)\rho^\gamma + g_2\Psi_\alpha DA\rho^\alpha \\
&+ g_3\Psi_\alpha(E\pi)\rho^\alpha + g_4\Psi_\alpha e^{\alpha\beta}D\varphi\rho_\beta.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

The only new term is the first one with the coefficient g_0 so calculations are similar to the previous case. We need the following supertransformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1\Phi^\alpha &= g_0(eB)\zeta^\alpha, & \delta_1\rho^\alpha &= (2g_1B^{\alpha\beta} + 2g_3\pi^{\alpha\beta})\zeta_\beta, \\
\delta_1B^{\alpha(2)} &= -2g_2\zeta_\beta D^{\alpha(2)}\rho^\beta, & \delta_1A_{\alpha(2)} &= 2g_0\zeta_\beta\phi^\beta_{\alpha(2)} - g_0\zeta_\alpha\phi_\alpha + \frac{g_1}{2}\zeta_\alpha\rho_\alpha, \\
\delta_1\pi_{\alpha(2)} &= 2g_4(\zeta_\alpha D^\beta_{\alpha}\rho_\beta + \zeta_\beta D^\beta_{\alpha}\rho_\alpha), & \delta_1\varphi &= 2g_3\zeta_\alpha\rho^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

As in the previous case we have to put

$$g_1 = g_1, \quad g_4 = -\frac{g_3}{2} \tag{43}$$

and this leaves us with

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_0\mathcal{L}_{11} + \delta_1\mathcal{L}_0 &= \zeta_\alpha[4M_0g_0B_{\beta(2)}\phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} + (6M_0g_1 + 4M_0g_0)B^{\alpha(2)}\phi_\alpha - (3M_0g_1 + 2M_0g_0)B^{\alpha(2)}\rho_\alpha] \\
&+ \zeta_\alpha[-4Mg_0\pi_{\beta(2)}\phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} + (2Mg_0 + 6M_0g_3)\pi^{\alpha(2)}\phi_\alpha + (2Mg_1 - 3M_0g_3)\pi^{\alpha(2)}\rho_\alpha] \\
&- 2Mg_3\zeta_\alpha(A^{\alpha(2)}D_\alpha^\beta\rho_\beta + A^{\beta(2)}D_\beta^\alpha\rho_\alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

The structure of the cubic terms without derivatives is also completely determined by the gauge transformations of the vector field

$$\mathcal{L}_{10} = \Psi_\alpha[f_0A\Phi^\alpha - 2Mg_4e^{\alpha\beta}A\rho_\beta - \frac{f_0}{2}e^\alpha_\beta\varphi\Phi^\beta + f_0E^\alpha_\beta\varphi\rho^\beta] \tag{44}$$

but this time the invariance is non-trivial and requires

$$\delta\Psi^\alpha = f_0\Phi^\alpha\xi, \quad \delta\Phi^\alpha = -f_0\Psi^\alpha\xi. \tag{45}$$

At this step we have to introduce additional terms to supertransformations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_1\Phi^\alpha &= f_0A\zeta^\alpha - \frac{f_0}{2}e^\alpha_\beta\varphi\zeta^\beta, & \delta_1\rho^\alpha &= f_0\varphi\rho^\alpha, \\
\delta_1B^{\alpha(2)} &= f_0(2\zeta_\beta\phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} - \zeta^\alpha\pi^\alpha) + 2Mg_3\zeta^\alpha\rho^\alpha, \\
\delta_1\pi^{\alpha(2)} &= -2f_0(\zeta_\beta\phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} + \zeta^\alpha\phi^\alpha) + f_0\zeta^\alpha\rho^\alpha,
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

where

$$f_0 = 2M_0g_0, \quad g_0 = -g_1 \tag{47}$$

In this case we also obtain two solutions corresponding to

$$Mg_1 = M_0g_3, \quad M_0g_1 = Mg_3 \quad \Rightarrow \quad M_0 = \pm M, \quad g_3 = \pm g_1 \tag{48}$$

To be consistent this vertex (41)+(44) must also be invariant under the (spontaneously broken) Φ^α supertransformations

$$\delta\Phi^\alpha = D\xi^\alpha + M_0 e^\alpha{}_\beta \xi^\beta, \quad \delta\rho^\alpha = 2M_0 \xi^\alpha \quad (49)$$

and this serves as a non-trivial check for the whole construction. Indeed, by direct calculations (similar to the ones described above), one can check that the vertex is invariant with the following corrections:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\Psi^\alpha &= g_0(eB)\xi^\alpha + f_0 A\xi^\alpha - \frac{f_0}{2} e^\alpha{}_\beta \varphi \xi^\alpha, \\ \delta A^{\alpha(2)} &= 2g_0(2\xi_\beta \psi^{\alpha(2)\beta} - \xi^\alpha \psi^\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

4 Arbitrary superspin $Y > 1$

In the frame-like multispinor formalism the cubic vertices for the higher spin bosons and fermions have very simple and regular structure [28]. So we are ready to consider massive supermultiplet with arbitrary superspin containing massive boson with spin $s \geq 2$ and massive fermion with spin $s \pm 1/2$. Taking into account the experience gained on the lower superspin examples, we consider the following ansatz for the cubic vertex:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_1 &= \sum_k (-1)^{k+1} \Psi_\alpha [h_{1,k} \Omega_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{2,k} \Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)} \Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &\quad + h_{3,k} H_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{4,k} H^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)} \Phi_{\beta(2k-1)}] \\ &\quad + \Psi_\alpha [g_0(eB)\Phi^\alpha + g_1(E^\alpha{}_\beta B^\beta{}_\gamma - B^\alpha{}_\beta E^\beta{}_\gamma) \rho^\gamma + g_2 D A \rho^\alpha + g_3 (E\pi) \rho^\alpha + g_4 e^{\alpha\beta} D\varphi \rho_\beta \\ &\quad + f_0 A \Phi^\alpha + f_1 e^{\alpha\beta} A \rho_\beta + f_2 e^\alpha{}_\beta \varphi \Phi^\beta + f_3 E^{\alpha\beta} \varphi \rho_\beta + f_4 (eH) \rho^\alpha]. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

To simplify presentation we move calculation details into Appendix and present here only final results. First of all, the supersymmetry completely fixes all the unknown coefficients in terms of just one arbitrary coupling constant h_0 :

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,k} &= \sqrt{k(s \pm (k+1))} h_0, & h_{2,k} &= \sqrt{\frac{2k(k+1)(s \mp k)}{(2k+1)}} h_0, \\ h_{3,k} &= \frac{Ms}{2(k+1)} \sqrt{\frac{(s \pm (k+1))}{k}} h_0, & h_{4,k} &= Ms \sqrt{\frac{(s \mp k)}{2k(k+1)(2k+1)}} h_0, \\ g_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{(s \pm 1)} h_0, & g_1 = g_2 = g_3 = 2g_4 &= \sqrt{\frac{s}{2}} h_0, & f_0 &= 2Ms g_0, \\ f_1 &= Ms g_1, & f_2 &= Ms g_0, & f_3 &= -M(s \pm 1) g_1, & f_4 &= \frac{a_0}{4} g_1. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Here upper/lower signs correspond to integer/half-integer superspin. The invariance under the local supertransformations requires non-trivial transformations for all components. For the bosonic one-forms we obtain

$$\delta\Omega^{\alpha(2k)} = h_{3,k} \zeta_\beta \Phi^{\alpha(2k)\beta} + \frac{h_{4,k}}{2k} \zeta^\alpha \Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta H^{\alpha(2k)} &= h_{1,k} \zeta_\beta \Phi^{\alpha(2k)\beta} + \frac{h_{2,k}}{2k} \zeta^\alpha \Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)}, \\
\delta \Omega^{\alpha(2)} &= h_{3,1} \zeta_\beta \Phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} + \frac{h_{4,1}}{2} \zeta^\alpha \Phi^\alpha - f_4 e^{\alpha(2)} \zeta_\beta \rho^\beta, \\
\delta H^{\alpha(2)} &= h_{1,1} \zeta_\beta \Phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} + \frac{h_{2,1}}{2} \zeta^\alpha \Phi^\alpha, \\
\delta A &= -g_0 \zeta_\alpha \Phi^\alpha + \frac{g_1}{2} \zeta_\alpha e^{\alpha\beta} \rho_\beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

while for the bosonic zero-forms we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta B^{\alpha(2)} &= -g_2 \zeta_\beta D^{\alpha(2)} \rho^\beta + f_0 (\zeta_\beta \phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} + \zeta^\alpha \phi^\alpha) + f_1 \zeta^\alpha \rho^\alpha, \\
\delta \pi^{\alpha(2)} &= -g_4 (\zeta^\alpha D^\alpha \rho^\beta + \zeta_\beta D^{\alpha\beta} \rho^\alpha) - 2f_2 (\zeta_\beta \phi^{\alpha(2)\beta} + \zeta^\alpha \phi^\alpha) + \frac{f_3}{2} \zeta^\alpha \rho^\alpha, \\
\delta \varphi &= -g_3 \zeta_\alpha \rho^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Recall that the zero-forms $\phi^{\alpha(3)}$ and ϕ^α come from the decomposition

$$\Phi^\alpha = e_{\beta(2)} \phi^{\alpha\beta(2)} + e^{\alpha(2)} \phi^\alpha.$$

At the same time the transformations for the fermions look like:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} &= \frac{h_{1,k}}{(2k+1)} \Omega^{\alpha(2k)} \zeta^\alpha + h_{2,k+1} \Omega^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta} \zeta_\beta \\
&\quad + \frac{h_{3,k}}{(2k+1)} H^{\alpha(2k)} \zeta^\alpha + h_{4,k+1} H^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta} \zeta_\beta, \\
\delta \Phi^\alpha &= h_{2,1} \Omega^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta + h_{4,1} H^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta \\
&\quad + g_0 (eB) \zeta^\alpha + F_0 A \zeta^\alpha + f_2 e^\alpha{}_\beta \varphi \zeta^\beta, \\
\delta \rho^\alpha &= 2g_1 B^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta + g_1 \pi^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta - f_3 \varphi \zeta^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Thus supersymmetry completely fixes both the structure of the cubic vertex as well as the supertransformations for all components. However, the whole construction is based on the gauge invariant description of massive higher spin bosons and fermions. So we have to check that our cubic vertex is consistent with all these gauge symmetries.

Fermionic gauge transformations Here the gauge invariance is achieved with the following corrections for the gravitino

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Psi^\alpha &= \sum_{k=1} (-1)^{k+1} [-h_{1,k} \Omega_{\beta(2k)} \zeta^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{2,k+1} \Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k+1)} \zeta_{\beta(2k+1)} \\
&\quad - h_{3,k} H_{\beta(2k)} \zeta^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{4,k+1} H^{\alpha\beta(2k+1)} \zeta_{\beta(2k+1)}] \\
&\quad - h_{2,1} \Omega^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta - h_{4,1} H^{\alpha\beta} \zeta_\beta - g_0 (eB) \zeta^\alpha - f_0 A \zeta^\alpha + f_2 e^{\alpha\beta} \varphi \zeta_\beta,
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

as well as for all bosonic components

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta \Omega^{\alpha(2k)} &= -h_{3,k} \Psi_\beta \zeta^{\alpha(2k)\beta} - \frac{h_{4,k}}{2k} \Psi^\alpha \zeta^{\alpha(2k-1)}, \\
\delta H^{\alpha(2k)} &= -h_{1,k} \Psi_\beta \zeta^{\alpha(2k)\beta} - \frac{h_{2,k}}{2k} \Psi^\alpha \zeta^{\alpha(2k-1)}, \\
\delta A &= g_0 \Psi_\alpha \zeta^\alpha.
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

Bosonic gauge transformations In this case the gravitino must transform as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Psi^\alpha &= \sum_{k=1}(-1)^{k+1}[h_{1,k}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)}\eta_{\beta(2k)} + h_{2,k}\eta^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &\quad + h_{3,k}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)}\xi_{\beta(2k)} + h_{4,k}\xi^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)}] + f_0\Phi^\alpha\xi,\end{aligned}\quad (58)$$

while corrections for the fermionic components look like:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} &= -\frac{h_{1,k}}{(2k+1)}\Psi^\alpha\eta^{\alpha(2k)} - h_{2,k+1}\Psi_\beta\eta^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta} \\ &\quad -\frac{h_{3,k}}{(2k+1)}\Psi^\alpha\xi^{\alpha(2k)} - h_{4,k+1}\Psi_\beta\xi^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta}, \\ \delta\Phi^\alpha &= -h_{2,1}\Psi_\beta\eta^{\alpha\beta} - h_{4,1}\Psi_\beta\xi^{\alpha\beta} - f_0\Psi^\alpha\xi.\end{aligned}\quad (59)$$

Thus the cubic vertex constructed is completely consistent with all the gauge symmetries.

A Calculation details

The lower spin part of the cubic vertex is already familiar from the lower superspin examples, so let us restrict ourselves with higher spin part of the vertex:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\mathcal{L}_1 &= \sum_k(-1)^{k+1}\Psi_\alpha[h_{1,k}\Omega_{\beta(2k)}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{2,k}\Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &\quad + h_{3,k}H_{\beta(2k)}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + h_{4,k}H^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)}].\end{aligned}\quad (60)$$

Taking the variation of this part under the local supertransformations we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta(\Delta\mathcal{L}_1) &= \sum_k(-1)^{k+1}\zeta_\alpha[h_{1,k}(-D\Omega_{\beta(2k)}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + \Omega_{\beta(2k)}D\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)}) \\ &\quad + h_{2,k}(-D\Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} + \Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}D\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &\quad [h_{3,k}(-DH_{\beta(2k)}\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)} + H_{\beta(2k)}D\Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)}) \\ &\quad + h_{4,k}(-DH^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} + H^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)}D\Phi_{\beta(2k-1)}].\end{aligned}\quad (61)$$

From this expression it is easy to read out all necessary corrections to the supertransformations of the bosonic and fermionic fields:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Omega^{\alpha(2k)} &= h_{3,k}\zeta_\beta\Phi^{\alpha(2k)\beta} + \frac{h_{4,k}}{2k}\zeta^\alpha\Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)}, \\ \delta H^{\alpha(2k)} &= h_{1,k}\zeta_\beta\Phi^{\alpha(2k)\beta} + \frac{h_{2,k}}{2k}\zeta^\alpha\Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)},\end{aligned}\quad (62)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)} &= \frac{h_{1,k}}{(2k+1)}\Omega^{\alpha(2k)}\zeta^\alpha + h_{2,k+1}\Omega^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta}\zeta_\beta \\ &\quad + \frac{h_{3,k}}{(2k+1)}H^{\alpha(2k)}\zeta^\alpha + h_{4,k+1}H^{\alpha(2k+1)\beta}\zeta_\beta.\end{aligned}\quad (63)$$

These corrections produce a lot of terms proportional to the free bosonic (6) and fermionic (16) equations. As a result, all the terms with explicit derivatives cancel leaving us with the terms without derivatives. As an illustration let us consider all terms with $\Omega^{\alpha(2k)}$ and organize them by the fermionic fields they contain.

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k+3)}$:

$$0 = [-a_k h_{1,k+1} + c_{k+1} h_{1,k}] \zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} \Omega_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)\gamma(2)}.$$

This gives us

$$a_k h_{1,k+1} = c_{k+1} h_{1,k}. \quad (64)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k-3)}$

$$0 = \left[-\frac{(k+1)}{(k-1)} a_{k-1} h_{2,k-1} + c_{k-1} h_{2,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} \Omega^{\alpha\beta(2k-3)\gamma(2)} \Phi_{\beta(2k-3)}.$$

This gives

$$(k+1) a_{k-1} h_{2,k-1} = (k-1) c_{k-1} h_{2,k}. \quad (65)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[\frac{d_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} + \frac{a_k}{(k+1)} h_{2,k+1} \right] \zeta_\alpha e^\alpha_\gamma \Omega_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\beta(2k)\gamma} \\ &+ \left[\frac{2kd_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} - 2kh_{3,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e^\gamma_\delta \Omega_{\beta(2k-1)\gamma} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)\delta} \\ &+ \left[\frac{ka_k}{(k+1)} h_{2,k+1} - c_k h_{2,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} \Omega^\alpha_{\beta(2k-1)} \Phi^{\beta(2k-1)\gamma(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case we have to take into account an identity

$$e^\alpha_\gamma \Omega_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\beta(2k)} - e^\delta_\gamma \Omega_{\beta(2k-1)\delta} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)\gamma} = e_{\gamma(2)} \Omega^\alpha_{\beta(2k-1)} \Phi^{\beta(2k-1)\gamma(2)},$$

so we obtain only two independent equations:

$$0 = \frac{d_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} + a_k h_{2,k+1} - c_k h_{2,k}, \quad (66)$$

$$0 = \frac{2kd_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} - 2kh_{3,k} - \frac{ka_k}{(k+1)} h_{2,k+1} + c_k h_{2,k}. \quad (67)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[-\frac{(k+1)a_{k-1}}{(k-1)} h_{1,k-1} + \frac{(2k-1)c_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e^{\gamma(2)} \Omega_{\beta(2k-2)\gamma(2)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k-2)} \\ &+ \left[\frac{2c_k}{(2k+1)} h_{1,k} + h_{4,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e^{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\beta(2k-1)\gamma} \Phi^{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &+ \left[-d_{k-1} h_{2,k} + (2k-1) h_{4,k} \right] \zeta_\alpha e^\gamma_\delta \Omega^\alpha_{\beta(2k-2)\gamma} \Phi^{\beta(2k-2)\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, using an identity

$$e^{\alpha\gamma} \Omega_{\beta(2k-1)\gamma} \Phi^{\beta(2k-1)} - e^{\gamma(2)} \Omega_{\beta(2k-2)\gamma(2)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k-2)} = e^\gamma_\delta \Omega^\alpha_{\beta(2k-2)\gamma} \Phi^{\beta(2k-2)\delta},$$

we obtain the last pair of equations

$$0 = -\frac{(k+1)a_{k-1}}{(k-1)}h_{1,k-1} + c_k h_{1,k} + h_{4,k}, \quad (68)$$

$$0 = -d_{k-1}h_{2,k} + 2kh_{4,k} + \frac{2c_k}{(2k+1)}h_{1,k}. \quad (69)$$

The equations (64) - (69) appear to be consistent and give the solution (52).

Similarly, let us consider terms with the field $H^{\alpha(2k)}$.

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k+3)}$

$$0 = \left[-\frac{(k+2)a_k}{k}h_{3,k+1} + c_{k+1}h_{3,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} H_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k)\gamma(2)}.$$

Thus

$$(k+2)a_k h_{3,k+1} = kc_{k+1}h_{3,k}. \quad (70)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k-3)}$

$$0 = [-a_{k-1}h_{4,k-1} + c_{k-1}h_{4,k}]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} H^{\alpha\beta(2k-3)\gamma(2)} \Phi_{\beta(2k-3)}.$$

Thus

$$a_{k-1}h_{4,k-1} = c_{k-1}h_{4,k}. \quad (71)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k+1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[-2b_k h_{1,k} + \frac{2kd_k}{(2k+1)}h_{3,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma\delta} H_{\beta(2k-1)\gamma} \Phi^{\alpha\beta(2k-1)\delta} \\ &+ \left[\frac{d_k}{(2k+1)}h_{3,k} + \frac{(k+2)a_k}{k(k+1)}h_{4,k+1}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma} H_{\beta(2k)} \Phi^{\beta(2k)\gamma} \\ &+ \left[\frac{(k+2)a_k}{(k+1)}h_{4,k+1} - c_k h_{4,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} H^{\alpha}_{\beta(2k-1)} \Phi^{\beta(2k-1)\gamma(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the appropriate identity we obtain

$$0 = -2b_k h_{1,k} + d_k h_{3,k} + \frac{(k+2)a_k}{k(k+1)}h_{4,k+1}, \quad (72)$$

$$0 = \frac{(k+2)a_k}{k}h_{4,k+1} - c_k h_{4,k} + \frac{d_k}{(2k+1)}h_{3,k}. \quad (73)$$

Terms with $\Phi^{\alpha(2k-1)}$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[\frac{b_k}{k}h_{2,k} + \frac{2c_k}{(2k+1)}h_{3,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma} H^{\beta(2k-1)\gamma} \Phi_{\beta(2k-1)} \\ &+ \left[\frac{(2k-1)b_k}{k}h_{2,k} - d_{k-1}h_{4,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma}^{\delta} H^{\alpha\beta(2k-2)\gamma} \Phi_{\beta(2k-2)\delta} \\ &+ \left[-a_{k-1}h_{3,k-1} + \frac{(2k-1)c_k}{(2k+1)}h_{3,k}\right]\zeta_\alpha e_{\gamma(2)} H^{\beta(2k-2)\gamma(2)} \Phi^{\alpha}_{\beta(2k-2)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we obtain

$$0 = 2b_k h_{2,k} - d_{k-1} h_{4,k} + \frac{2c_k}{(2k+1)} h_{3,k}, \quad (74)$$

$$0 = -a_{k-1} h_{3,k-1} + c_k h_{3,k} + \frac{b_k}{k} h_{2,k}. \quad (75)$$

Equations (70) - (75) are also consistent and give exactly the same solution (52).

References

- [1] L. P. S. Singh, C. R. Hagen "Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 1. The boson case.", Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 898.
- [2] L. P. S. Singh, C. R. Hagen "Lagrangian formulation for arbitrary spin. 2. The fermion case.", Phys. Rev. **D9** (1974) 910.
- [3] Konstantinos Koutrolikos "Superspace formulation of massive half-integer superspin", JHEP **03** (2021) 254, arXiv:2012.12225.
- [4] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Massive $N=1$ supermultiplets with arbitrary superspins", Nucl. Phys. **B785** (2007) 98-114, arXiv:0704.1535.
- [5] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On Massive High Spin Particles in $(A)dS$ ", arXiv:hep-th/0108192.
- [6] R. R. Metsaev "Gauge invariant formulation of massive totally symmetric fermionic fields in $(A)dS$ space", Phys. Lett. **B643** (2006) 205-212, arXiv:hep-th/0609029.
- [7] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Frame-like gauge invariant formulation for massive high spin particles", Nucl. Phys. **B808** (2009) 185, arXiv:0808.1778.
- [8] D. S. Ponomarev, M. A. Vasiliev "Frame-Like Action and Unfolded Formulation for Massive Higher-Spin Fields", Nucl. Phys. **B839** (2010) 466, arXiv:1001.0062.
- [9] M.V. Khabarov, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Massive higher spin fields in the frame-like multi-spinor formalism", Nucl. Phys. **B948** (2019) 114773, arXiv:1906.03438.
- [10] I. L. Buchbinder, M.V. Khabarov, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Lagrangian formulation of the massive higher spin $N = 1$ supermultiplets in AdS_4 space", Nucl. Phys. **B942** (2019) 1-29, arXiv:1901.09637.
- [11] I. L. Buchbinder, M. V. Khabarov, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Lagrangian description of the partially massless higher spin $N=1$ supermultiplets in AdS_4 space", JHEP **08** (2019) 116, arXiv:1904.01959.
- [12] I. L. Buchbinder, M. V. Khabarov, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Lagrangian formulation for the infinite spin $N=1$ supermultiplets in $d=4$ ", Nucl. Phys. **B946** (2019) 114717, arXiv:1904.05580.

- [13] Sergei M. Kuzenko, Mirian Tsulaia "Off-shell massive $N=1$ supermultiplets in three dimensions", Nucl. Phys. **B914** (2017) 160, arXiv:1609.06910.
- [14] Sergei M. Kuzenko, Michael Ponds "Topologically massive higher spin gauge theories", JHEP **10** (2018) 160, arXiv:1806.06643.
- [15] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Lagrangian formulation of the massive higher spin supermultiplets in three dimensional space-time", JHEP **10** (2015) 148, arXiv:1508.02829.
- [16] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Unfolded equations for massive higher spin supermultiplets in AdS_3 ", JHEP **08** (2016) 075, arXiv:1606.02475.
- [17] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Supersymmetric higher spin models in three dimensional spaces", Symmetry **10** (2018) 9, arXiv:1711.11450.
- [18] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation of massive higher spin fields in $(A)dS_3$ space", Phys. Lett. **B716** (2012) 243-248, arXiv:1207.1215.
- [19] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Frame-like gauge invariant Lagrangian formulation of massive fermionic higher spin fields in AdS_3 space", Phys. Lett. **B738** (2014) 258, arXiv:1407.3918.
- [20] Nicolas Boulanger, Cedric Deffayet, Sebastian Garcia-Saenz, Lucas Traina "Consistent deformations of free massive field theories in the Stueckelberg formulation", JHEP **07** (2018) 021, arXiv:1806.04695.
- [21] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "On the gravitational interaction of massless higher-spin fields", Phys. Lett. **B189** (1987) 89.
- [22] E. S. Fradkin, M. A. Vasiliev "Cubic interaction in extended theories of massless higher-spin fields", Nucl. Phys. **B291** (1987) 141.
- [23] Yu. M. Zinoviev "Towards the Fradkin-Vasiliev formalism in three dimensions", Nucl. Phys. **B910** (2016) 550, arXiv:1606.02922.
- [24] I. L. Buchbinder, T. V. Snegirev, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Lagrangian description of massive higher spin supermultiplets in AdS_3 space", JHEP **08** (2017) 021, arXiv:1705.06163.
- [25] M. V. Khabarov, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Massless higher spin cubic vertices in flat four dimensional space", JHEP **08** (2020) 112, arXiv:2005.09851.
- [26] M. V. Khabarov, Yu. M. Zinoviev "Cubic interaction vertices for massless higher spin supermultiplets in $d=4$ ", JHEP **02** (2021) 167, arXiv:2012.00482.
- [27] M. V. Khabarov, Yu. M. Zinoviev "On massive higher spins in $d=3$ ", JHEP **04** (2022) 055, arXiv:2201.09491.
- [28] Yu. M. Zinoviev "On higher spin cubic interactions in $d=3$ ", JHEP **11** (2021) 022, arXiv:2109.08480.