

# Acute Semigroups, the Order Bound on the Minimum Distance and the Feng-Rao Improvements

Maria Bras-Amorós\*

**Abstract**— We introduce a new class of numerical semigroups, which we call the class of *acute semigroups* and we prove that they generalize symmetric and pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroups, Arf numerical semigroups and the semigroups generated by an interval. For a numerical semigroup  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots\}$  denote  $\nu_i = \#\{j \mid \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$ . Given an acute numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  we find the smallest non-negative integer  $m$  for which the order bound on the minimum distance of one-point Goppa codes with associated semigroup  $\Lambda$  satisfies  $d_{ORD}(C_i) := \min\{\nu_j \mid j > i\} = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ . We prove that the only numerical semigroups for which the sequence  $(\nu_i)$  is always non-decreasing are ordinary numerical semigroups. Furthermore we show that a semigroup can be uniquely determined by its sequence  $(\nu_i)$ .

**Index Terms**— One-point Goppa code, order bound on the minimum distance, Feng-Rao improvements, numerical semigroup, symmetric semigroup, pseudo-symmetric semigroup, Arf semigroup, semigroup generated by an interval.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\mathbb{N}_0$  denote the set of all non-negative integers. A *numerical semigroup* is a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\mathbb{N}_0$  containing 0, closed under summation and with finite complement in  $\mathbb{N}_0$ . For a numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  define the *genus* of  $\Lambda$  as the number  $g = \#(\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Lambda)$  and the *conductor* of  $\Lambda$  as the unique integer  $c \in \Lambda$  such that  $c-1 \notin \Lambda$  and  $c+\mathbb{N}_0 \subseteq \Lambda$ . The elements in  $\Lambda$  are called the *non-gaps* of  $\Lambda$  while the elements in  $\Lambda^c = \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \Lambda$  are called the *gaps* of  $\Lambda$ . The *enumeration* of  $\Lambda$  is the unique increasing bijective map  $\lambda : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \Lambda$ . We will use  $\lambda_i$  for  $\lambda(i)$ . For further details on numerical semigroups we refer the reader to [12]. The first aim of this work is to give a new class of numerical semigroups containing the well-known classes of symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroups, Arf semigroups and semigroups generated by an interval and which in turn is not the whole set of numerical semigroups.

Let  $F/\mathbb{F}$  be a function field and let  $P$  be a rational point of  $F/\mathbb{F}$ . For a divisor  $D$  of  $F/\mathbb{F}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}(D) = \{0\} \cup \{f \in F^* \mid (f) + D \geq 0\}$ . Define  $A = \bigcup_{m \geq 0} \mathcal{L}(mP)$  and let  $\Lambda = \{-v_P(f) \mid f \in A \setminus \{0\}\} = \{-v_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  with  $-v_i < -v_{i+1}$ . It is well known that the number of elements in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  which are not in  $\Lambda$  is equal to the genus of the function field. Moreover,  $v_P(1) = 0$  and  $v_P(fg) = v_P(f) + v_P(g)$  for all  $f, g \in A$ . Hence,  $\Lambda$  is a numerical semigroup. It is called the *Weierstrass semigroup* at  $P$ .

Suppose  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  are pairwise distinct rational points of  $F/\mathbb{F}_q$  which are different from  $P$  and let  $\varphi$  be the map  $A \rightarrow$

$\mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that  $f \mapsto (f(P_1), \dots, f(P_n))$ . For  $m \geq 0$  the *one-point Goppa code* of order  $m$  associated to  $P$  and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  is defined as  $C_m = \varphi(\mathcal{L}(\lambda_m P))^\perp$ .

Suppose that  $\lambda$  is the enumeration of  $\Lambda$ . For any  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  let  $N_i = \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda\}$  and let  $\nu_i = \#N_i$ . The *order bound* on the minimum distance of the code  $C_m$  is defined as

$$d_{ORD}(C_m) = \min\{\nu_i \mid i > m\}$$

and it satisfies  $d_{C_m} \geq d_{ORD}(C_m)$  where  $d_{C_m}$  is the minimum distance of the code  $C_m$ . A better bound is the *refined order bound* defined as

$$d_{ORD}^\circ(C_m) = \min\{\nu_i \mid i > m, C_i \neq C_{i-1}\}.$$

This one satisfies  $d_{C_m} \geq d_{ORD}^\circ(C_m) \geq d_{ORD}(C_m)$ . The order bound depends only on the Weierstrass semigroup whereas the refined order bound depends on the Weierstrass semigroup and on the map  $\varphi$  as well. These bounds can be found in [6], [12], [13]. We have that for all  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(2c-1)$ , the sequence  $(\nu_i)$  is increasing and so, for all  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(2c-1)-1$ ,  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$ . This equality makes it easier to compute the order bound, since we do not need to find a minimum in a set. The second goal of this work is to find an explicit formula for the smallest  $m$  for which  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ . This is equivalent to search for the largest  $i$  at which  $(\nu_i)$  is decreasing. We will give such a formula for the numerical semigroups in the class of *acute semigroups*.

On the other hand, given a designed minimum distance  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , since  $d_{ORD}(C_m) = \min\{\nu_i \mid i > m\}$ , the code  $C_m$  with largest dimension among the codes  $C_i$  with  $d_{ORD}(C_i) \geq \delta$  is with  $m = m(\delta) = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\}$ . We call this code  $C(\delta)$ . Now, let  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_i \in A \mid i \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$  be such that  $v_P(f_i) = v_i$ . With this notation,

$$C_m = [\varphi(f_i) \mid i \leq m]^\perp,$$

where  $[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  is the  $\mathbb{F}_q$ -vector space spanned by  $u_1, \dots, u_n$ . Define

$$\tilde{C}(\delta) = [\varphi(f_i) \mid \nu_i < \delta]^\perp.$$

This is a code and it satisfies  $\dim \tilde{C}(\delta) \geq \dim C(\delta)$  because  $\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\} \subseteq \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq m(\delta)\}$ . Feng and Rao proved that the minimum distance of  $\tilde{C}(\delta)$  is larger than or equal to  $\delta$  and hence, they are an improvement to one-point Goppa codes [7]. If moreover we take the morphism  $\varphi$  into account we can drop the redundant rows in the parity check matrix and define the code  $\tilde{C}_\varphi(\delta) = [\varphi(f_i) \mid \nu_i < \delta, C_i \neq C_{i-1}]^\perp$ . The third goal of this work is to characterize the numerical semigroups that satisfy

$$\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\} \subsetneq \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq m(\delta)\}$$

This work was supported in part by the Spanish CICYT under Grant TIC2003-08604-C04-01, by Catalan DURSI under Grant 2001SGR 00219.

The author is with the Computer Science Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193-Bellaterra, Catalonia, Spain (e-mail: mbras@ccd.uab.es).

at least for one value of  $\delta$ . Notice that this is equivalent to ask for which numerical semigroups the sequence  $(\nu_i)$  has  $\nu_i > \nu_{i+1}$  for at least one  $i$ .

This will give a characterization of a class of semigroups by means of a property on the sequence  $(\nu_i)$ . We may ask how a numerical semigroup can be determined by its associated sequence  $(\nu_i)$ . The last goal of this work is to prove that any numerical semigroup is uniquely determined by its sequence  $(\nu_i)$ .

In Section II we give the definition of symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroup and some related known results [12], [13], [17], in Section III we define Arf numerical semigroups as in [4] and give some results and in Section IV we present numerical semigroups generated by an interval as in [9]. In Section V we introduce the definition of acute numerical semigroups and we prove that they include symmetric, pseudo-symmetric, Arf and interval-generated semigroups. We then find in Section VI, for acute numerical semigroups, the largest  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  for which  $\nu_m > \nu_{m+1}$  and hence the smallest  $m$  for which  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ . We prove in Section VII that the only numerical semigroups for which  $(\nu_i)$  is always non-decreasing, that is,  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , or equivalently  $\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\} = \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq m(\delta)\}$  for all  $\delta \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , are ordinary numerical semigroups. Those are the numerical semigroups that are equal to  $\{0\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq c\}$  for some non-negative integer  $c$ . We finally prove in Section VIII that a numerical semigroup can be uniquely determined by its sequence  $(\nu_i)$ .

## II. SYMMETRIC AND PSEUDO-SYMMETRIC NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

*Definition 2.1:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$  is said to be *symmetric* if  $c = 2g$ .

Symmetric numerical semigroups have been studied in [3], [12], [13].

*Example 2.2:* Semigroups generated by two integers are the semigroups of the form

$$\Lambda = \{ma + nb \mid a, b \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

for some integers  $a$  and  $b$ . For  $\Lambda$  having finite complement in  $\mathbb{N}_0$  it is necessary that  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime integers. Semigroups generated by two coprime integers are symmetric [12], [13].

Geil introduces in [10] the norm-trace curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$  defined by the affine equation

$$x^{(q^r-1)/(q-1)} = y^{q^{r-1}} + y^{q^{r-2}} + \dots + y$$

where  $q$  is a prime power. It has a single rational point at infinity and the Weierstrass semigroup at the rational point at infinity is generated by the two coprime integers  $(q^r - 1)/(q - 1)$  and  $q^{r-1}$ . So, it is an example of a symmetric numerical semigroup.

Properties on semigroups generated by two coprime integers can be found in [13]. For instance, the semigroup generated by  $a$  and  $b$ , has conductor equal to  $(a - 1)(b - 1)$ , and any element  $l \in \Lambda$  can be written uniquely as  $l = ma + nb$  with  $m, n$  integers such that  $0 \leq m < b$ .

From the results in [12, Section 3.2] one can get, for any numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  generated by two integers, the equation of a curve having a point whose Weierstrass semigroup is  $\Lambda$ .

Let us state now a proposition related to symmetric numerical semigroups.

*Proposition 2.3:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with conductor  $c$  is symmetric if and only if for any non-negative integer  $i$ , if  $i$  is a gap, then  $c - 1 - i$  is a non-gap.

The proof can be found in [13, Remark 4.2] and [12, Proposition 5.7]. It follows by counting the number of gaps and non-gaps smaller than the conductor and the fact that if  $i$  is a non-gap then  $c - 1 - i$  must be a gap because otherwise  $c - 1$  would also be a non-gap.

*Definition 2.4:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$  is said to be *pseudo-symmetric* if  $c = 2g - 1$ .

Notice that a symmetric numerical semigroup can not be pseudo-symmetric. Next proposition as well as its proof is analogous to Proposition 2.3.

*Proposition 2.5:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with odd conductor  $c$  is pseudo-symmetric if and only if for any non-negative integer  $i$  different from  $(c - 1)/2$ , if  $i$  is a gap, then  $c - 1 - i$  is a non-gap.

*Example 2.6:* The Klein quartic over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is defined by the affine equation

$$x^3y + y^3 + x = 0$$

and it is non-singular if  $\gcd(q, 7) = 1$ . Suppose  $\gcd(q, 7) = 1$  and denote  $P_0$  the rational point with affine coordinates  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$ . The Weierstrass semigroup at  $P_0$  is

$$\Lambda = \{0, 3\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq 5\}.$$

For these results we refer the reader to [12], [16]. In this case  $c = 5$  and the only gaps different from  $(c - 1)/2$  are  $l = 1$  and  $l = 4$ . In both cases we have  $c - 1 - l \in \Lambda$ . This proves that  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric.

In [17] the authors prove that the set of irreducible semigroups, that is, the semigroups that can not be expressed as a proper intersection of two numerical semigroups, is the union of the set of symmetric semigroups and the set of pseudo-symmetric semigroups.

## III. ARF NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

*Definition 3.1:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with enumeration  $\lambda$  is called an *Arf numerical semigroup* if  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j - \lambda_k \in \Lambda$  for every  $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $i \geq j \geq k$  [4].

For further work on Arf numerical semigroups we refer the reader to [1], [18]. For results on Arf semigroups related to coding theory, see [2], [4].

*Example 3.2:* It is easy to check that the Weierstrass semigroup in Example 2.6 is Arf.

Let us state now two results on Arf numerical semigroups that will be used later.

*Lemma 3.3:* Suppose  $\Lambda$  is Arf. If  $i, i + j \in \Lambda$  for some  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $i + kj \in \Lambda$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Consequently, if  $\Lambda$  is Arf and  $i, i + 1 \in \Lambda$ , then  $i \geq c$ .

*Proof:* Let us prove this by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 0$  and  $k = 1$  it is obvious. If  $k > 0$  and  $i, i + j, i + kj \in \Lambda$  then  $(i + j) + (i + kj) - i = i + (k + 1)j \in \Lambda$ .  $\square$

Let us give the definition of inductive numerical semigroups. They are an example of Arf numerical semigroups.

**Definition 3.4:** A sequence  $(H_n)$  of numerical semigroups is called *inductive* if there exist sequences  $(a_n)$  and  $(b_n)$  of positive integers such that  $H_1 = \mathbb{N}_0$  and for  $n > 1$ ,  $H_n = a_n H_{n-1} \cup \{m \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid m \geq a_n b_{n-1}\}$ . A numerical semigroup is called *inductive* if it is a member of an inductive sequence [15, Definition 2.13].

**Proposition 3.5:** Inductive numerical semigroups are Arf.

*Proof:* [4].  $\square$

**Example 3.6:** Pellikaan, Stichtenoth and Torres proved in [14] that the numerical semigroups for the codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  associated to the second tower of Garcia-Stichtenoth attaining the Drinfeld-Vlăduț bound [8] are given recursively by  $\Lambda_1 = \mathbb{N}_0$  and, for  $m > 0$ ,

$$\Lambda_m = q \cdot \Lambda_{m-1} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq q^m - q^{\lfloor (m+1)/2 \rfloor}\}.$$

They are examples of inductive numerical semigroups and hence, examples of Arf numerical semigroups.

**Example 3.7:** *Hyperelliptic numerical semigroups.* These are the numerical semigroups generated by 2 and an odd integer. They are of the form

$$\Lambda = \{0, 2, 4, \dots, 2k - 2, 2k, 2k + 1, 2k + 2, 2k + 3, \dots\}$$

for some positive integer  $k$ .

**Proposition 3.8:** The only Arf symmetric semigroups are hyperelliptic semigroups.

*Proof:* [4, Proposition 2].  $\square$

In order to show which are the only Arf pseudo-symmetric semigroups we need the following definition and lemma.

**Definition 3.9:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a numerical semigroup. The Apéry set of  $\Lambda$  is

$$Ap(\Lambda) = \{l \in \Lambda \mid l - \lambda_1 \notin \Lambda\}.$$

**Remark 3.10:**  $\#Ap(\Lambda) = \lambda_1$ .

**Lemma 3.11:** Let  $\Lambda$  be a pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup. For any  $l \in Ap(\Lambda)$  different from  $\lambda_1 + (c - 1)/2$ ,  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l \in Ap(\Lambda)$ .

*Proof:* Let us prove first that  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l \in \Lambda$ . Since  $l \in Ap(\Lambda)$ ,  $l - \lambda_1 \notin \Lambda$  and it is different from  $(c - 1)/2$  by hypothesis. Thus  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l = c - 1 - (l - \lambda_1) \in \Lambda$  because  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric.

Now,  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l - \lambda_1 = c - 1 - l \notin \Lambda$  because otherwise  $c - 1 \in \Lambda$ . So  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l$  must belong to  $Ap(\Lambda)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.12:** The only Arf pseudo-symmetric semigroups are  $\{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$  and  $\{0, 3, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}$  (corresponding to the Klein quartic).

*Proof:* Let  $\Lambda$  be an Arf pseudo-symmetric numerical semigroup. Let us show first that  $Ap(\Lambda) = \{0, \lambda_1 + (c - 1)/2, \lambda_1 + c - 1\}$ . The inclusion  $\supseteq$  is obvious. In order to prove the opposite inclusion suppose  $l \in Ap(\Lambda)$ ,  $l \notin \{0, \lambda_1 + (c - 1)/2, \lambda_1 + c - 1\}$ . By Lemma 3.11,  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l \in \Lambda$  and since  $l \neq \lambda_1 + c - 1$ ,  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l \geq \lambda_1$ . On the

other hand, if  $l \neq 0$  then  $l \geq \lambda_1$ . Now, by the Arf condition,  $\lambda_1 + c - 1 - l + l - \lambda_1 = c - 1 \in \Lambda$ , which is a contradiction.

Now, if  $\#Ap(\Lambda) = 1$  then, by Remark 3.10,  $\lambda_1 = 1$  and  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}_0$ . But  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is not pseudo-symmetric.

If  $\#Ap(\Lambda) = 2$  then, by Remark 3.10,  $\lambda_1 = 2$ . But then  $\Lambda$  must be hyperelliptic and so  $\Lambda$  is not pseudo-symmetric.

So  $\#Ap(\Lambda)$  must be 3. Now Remark 3.10 implies that  $\lambda_1 = 3$  and that 1 and 2 are gaps. If  $1 = (c - 1)/2$  then  $c = 3$  and this gives  $\Lambda = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ . Else if  $2 = (c - 1)/2$  then  $c = 5$  and this gives  $\Lambda = \{0, 3, 5, 6, 7, \dots\}$ . Finally, if  $1 \neq (c - 1)/2$  and  $2 \neq (c - 1)/2$ , since  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric,  $c - 2, c - 3 \in \Lambda$ . But this contradicts Lemma 3.3.  $\square$

The next two propositions are two characterizations of Arf numerical semigroups.

**Proposition 3.13:** The numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  with enumeration  $\lambda$  is Arf if and only if for every two positive integers  $i, j$  with  $i \geq j$ ,  $2\lambda_i - \lambda_j \in \Lambda$ .

*Proof:* [4, Proposition 1].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.14:** The numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  is Arf if and only if for any  $l \in \Lambda$ , the set  $S(l) = \{l' - l \mid l' \in \Lambda, l' \geq l\}$  is a numerical semigroup.

*Proof:* Suppose  $\Lambda$  is Arf. Then  $0 \in S(l)$  and if  $m_1 = l' - l$ ,  $m_2 = l'' - l$  with  $l', l'' \in \Lambda$  and  $l' \geq l$ ,  $l'' \geq l$ , then  $m_1 + m_2 = l' + l'' - l - l$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is Arf,  $l' + l'' - l \in \Lambda$  and it is larger than or equal to  $l$ . Thus,  $m_1 + m_2 \in S(l)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\Lambda$  is such that  $S(l)$  is a numerical semigroup for any  $l \in \Lambda$  then, if  $\lambda_i \geq \lambda_j \geq \lambda_k$  are in  $\Lambda$ , we will have  $\lambda_i - \lambda_k \in S(\lambda_k)$ ,  $\lambda_j - \lambda_k \in S(\lambda_k)$ ,  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j - \lambda_k - \lambda_k \in S(\lambda_k)$  and therefore  $\lambda_i + \lambda_j - \lambda_k \in \Lambda$ .  $\square$

#### IV. NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS GENERATED BY AN INTERVAL

A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  is *generated by an interval*  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$  with  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $i \leq j$  if

$$\Lambda = \{n_i i + n_{i+1}(i + 1) + \dots + n_j j \mid n_i, n_{i+1}, \dots, n_j \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

A study of semigroups generated by intervals was carried out by García-Sánchez and Rosales in [9].

**Example 4.1:** Let  $q$  be a prime power. The Hermitian curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^2}$  is defined by the affine equation

$$x^{q+1} = y^q + y$$

and it has a single rational point at infinity. The Weierstrass semigroup at the rational point at infinity is generated by  $q$  and  $q + 1$  (for further details see [12], [19]). So, it is an example of numerical semigroup generated by an interval.

**Lemma 4.2:** The semigroup  $\Lambda_{\{i, \dots, j\}}$  generated by the interval  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$  satisfies

$$\Lambda_{\{i, \dots, j\}} = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \{ki, ki + 1, ki + 2, \dots, kj\}.$$

This lemma is a reformulation of [9, Lemma 1].

**Proposition 4.3:**  $\Lambda_{\{i, \dots, j\}}$  is symmetric if and only if  $i \equiv 2 \pmod{j - i}$ .

*Proof:* [9, Theorem 6].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4:** The only numerical semigroups which are generated by an interval and Arf, are the semigroups which

are equal to  $\{0\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq c\}$  for some non-negative integer  $c$ .

*Proof:* It is a consequence of Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.2.  $\square$

*Proposition 4.5:* The unique numerical semigroup which is pseudo-symmetric and generated by an interval is  $\{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ .

*Proof:* By Lemma 4.2, for the non-trivial semigroup  $\Lambda_{\{i, \dots, j\}}$  generated by the interval  $\{i, \dots, j\}$ , the intervals of gaps between  $\lambda_1$  and the conductor satisfy that the length of each interval is equal to the length of the previous interval minus  $j - i$ . On the other hand, the intervals of non-gaps between 1 and  $c - 1$  satisfy that the length of each interval is equal to the length of the previous interval plus  $j - i$ .

Now, by definition of pseudo-symmetric semigroup,  $(c - 1)/2$  must be the first gap or the last gap of an interval of gaps. Suppose that it is the first gap of an interval of  $n$  gaps. If it is equal to 1 then  $c = 3$  and  $\Lambda = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ . Otherwise  $(c - 1)/2 > \lambda_1$ . Then, if  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric, the previous interval of non-gaps has length  $n - 1$ . Since  $\Lambda$  is generated by an interval, the first interval of non-gaps after  $(c - 1)/2$  must have length  $n - 1 + j - i$  and since  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric the interval of gaps before  $(c - 1)/2$  must have the same length. But since  $\Lambda$  is generated by an interval, the interval of gaps previous to  $(c - 1)/2$  must have length  $n + j - i$ . This is a contradiction. The same argument proves that  $(c - 1)/2$  can not be the last gap of an interval of gaps. So, the only possibility for a pseudo-symmetric semigroup generated by an interval is when  $(c - 1)/2 = 1$ , that is, when  $\Lambda = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$ .  $\square$

## V. ACUTE NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

*Definition 5.1:* We say that a numerical semigroup is *ordinary* if it is equal to

$$\{0\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq c\}$$

for some non-negative integer  $c$ .

Almost all rational points on a curve of genus  $g$  over an algebraically closed field have Weierstrass semigroup of the form  $\{0\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq g + 1\}$ . Such points are said to be *ordinary*. This is why we call these numerical semigroups ordinary [5], [11], [20]. Caution must be taken when the characteristic of the ground field is  $p > 0$ , since there exist curves with infinitely many non-ordinary points [21].

Notice that  $\mathbb{N}_0$  is an ordinary numerical semigroup. It will be called the *trivial* numerical semigroup.

*Definition 5.2:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a numerical semigroup different from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  with enumeration  $\lambda$ , genus  $g$  and conductor  $c$ . The element  $\lambda_{\lambda^{-1}(c)-1}$  will be called the *dominant* of the semigroup and will be denoted  $d$ . For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  let  $g(i)$  be the number of gaps which are smaller than  $\lambda_i$ . In particular,  $g(\lambda^{-1}(c)) = g$  and  $g(\lambda^{-1}(d)) = g' < g$ . If  $i$  is the smallest integer for which  $g(i) = g'$  then  $\lambda_i$  is called the *subconductor* of  $\Lambda$  and denoted  $c'$ .

*Remark 5.3:* Notice that if  $c' > 0$ , then  $c' - 1 \notin \Lambda$ . Otherwise we would have  $g(\lambda^{-1}(c' - 1)) = g(\lambda^{-1}(c'))$  and  $c' - 1 < c'$ . Notice also that all integers between  $c'$  and  $d$  are in  $\Lambda$  because otherwise  $g(\lambda^{-1}(c')) < g'$ .

*Remark 5.4:* For a numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  different from  $\mathbb{N}_0$  the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\Lambda$  is ordinary,
- (ii) the dominant of  $\Lambda$  is 0,
- (iii) the subconductor of  $\Lambda$  is 0.

Indeed, (i)  $\iff$  (ii) and (ii)  $\implies$  (iii) are obvious. Now, suppose (iii) is satisfied. If the dominant is larger than or equal to 1 it means that 1 is in  $\Lambda$  and so  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}_0$  a contradiction.

*Definition 5.5:* If  $\Lambda$  is a non-ordinary numerical semigroup with enumeration  $\lambda$  and with subconductor  $\lambda_i$  then the element  $\lambda_{i-1}$  will be called the *subdominant* and denoted  $d'$ .

It is well defined because of Remark 5.4.

*Definition 5.6:* A numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  is said to be *acute* if  $\Lambda$  is ordinary or if  $\Lambda$  is non-ordinary and its conductor  $c$ , its subconductor  $c'$ , its dominant  $d$  and its subdominant  $d'$  satisfy  $c - d \leq c' - d'$ .

Roughly speaking, a numerical semigroup is acute if the last interval of gaps before the conductor is smaller than the previous interval of gaps.

*Example 5.7:* For the Hermitian curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$  the Weierstrass semigroup at the unique point at infinity is

$$\{0, 4, 5, 8, 9, 10\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq 12\}.$$

In this case  $c = 12$ ,  $d = 10$ ,  $c' = 8$  and  $d' = 5$  and it is easy to check that it is an acute numerical semigroup.

*Example 5.8:* For the Weierstrass semigroup at the rational point  $P_0$  of the Klein quartic in Example 2.6 we have  $c = 5$ ,  $d = c' = 3$  and  $d' = 0$ . So, it is an example of a non-ordinary acute numerical semigroup.

*Proposition 5.9:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a numerical semigroup.

- 1) If  $\Lambda$  is symmetric then it is acute.
- 2) If  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric then it is acute.
- 3) If  $\Lambda$  is Arf then it is acute.
- 4) If  $\Lambda$  is generated by an interval then it is acute.

*Proof:* If  $\Lambda$  is ordinary then it is obvious. Let us suppose that  $\Lambda$  is a non-ordinary semigroup with genus  $g$ , conductor  $c$ , subconductor  $c'$ , dominant  $d$  and subdominant  $d'$ .

- 1) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is symmetric. We know by Proposition 2.3 that a numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  is symmetric if and only if for any non-negative integer  $i$ , if  $i$  is a gap, then  $c - 1 - i \in \Lambda$ . If moreover it is not ordinary, then 1 is a gap. So,  $c - 2 \in \Lambda$  and it is precisely the dominant. Hence,  $c - d = 2$ . Since  $c' - 1$  is a gap,  $c' - d' \geq 2 = c - d$  and so  $\Lambda$  is acute.
- 2) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric. If  $1 = (c - 1)/2$  then  $c = 3$  and  $\Lambda = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6, \dots\}$  which is ordinary. Else if  $1 \neq (c - 1)/2$  then the proof is equivalent to the one for symmetric semigroups.
- 3) Suppose  $\Lambda$  is Arf. Since  $d \geq c' > d'$ , then  $d + c' - d'$  is in  $\Lambda$  and it is strictly larger than the dominant  $d$ . Hence it is larger than or equal to  $c$ . So,  $d + c' - d' \geq c$  and  $\Lambda$  is acute.
- 4) Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is generated by the interval  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$ . Then, by Lemma 4.2, there exists  $k$  such that  $c = ki$ ,  $c' = (k - 1)i$ ,  $d = (k - 1)j$  and  $d' = (k - 2)j$ .




Fig. 1. Diagram of semigroup classes and inclusions.

So,  $c - d = k(i - j) + j$  while  $c' - d' = k(i - j) - i + 2j$ .  
Hence,  $\Lambda$  is acute.  $\square$

In Figure 1 we summarize all the relations we have proved between acute semigroups, symmetric and pseudo-symmetric semigroups, Arf semigroups and semigroups generated by an interval.

*Remark 5.10:* There exist numerical semigroups which are not acute. For instance,

$$\Lambda = \{0, 6, 8, 9\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq 12\}.$$

In this case,  $c = 12$ ,  $d = 9$ ,  $c' = 8$  and  $d' = 6$ .

On the other hand there exist numerical semigroups which are acute and which are not symmetric, pseudo-symmetric, Arf or interval-generated. For example,

$$\Lambda = \{0, 10, 11\} \cup \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq 15\}.$$

In this case,  $c = 15$ ,  $d = 11$ ,  $c' = 10$  and  $d' = 0$ .

## VI. ON THE ORDER BOUND ON THE MINIMUM DISTANCE

In this section we will find a formula for the smallest  $m$  for which  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ , for the case of acute semigroups. We will use the following well-known result on the values  $\nu_i$ .

*Proposition 6.1:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a numerical semigroup with genus  $g$ , conductor  $c$  and enumeration  $\lambda$ . Let  $g(i)$  be the number of gaps smaller than  $\lambda_i$  and let

$$D(i) = \{l \in \Lambda^c \mid \lambda_i - l \in \Lambda^c\}.$$

Then for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$\nu_i = i - g(i) + \#D(i) + 1.$$

In particular, for all  $i \geq 2c - g - 1$  (or equivalently, for all  $i$  such that  $\lambda_i \geq 2c - 1$ ),  $\nu_i = i - g + 1$ .

*Proof:* [13, Theorem 3.8].  $\square$

*Remark 6.2:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-ordinary numerical semigroup with conductor  $c$ , subconductor  $c'$  and dominant  $d$ . Then,  $c' + d \geq c$ . Indeed,  $c' + d \in \Lambda$  and by Remark 5.4 it is strictly larger than  $d$ . So, it must be larger than or equal to  $c$ .

*Theorem 6.3:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-ordinary acute numerical semigroup with enumeration  $\lambda$ , conductor  $c$ , subconductor  $c'$  and dominant  $d$ . Let

$$m = \min\{\lambda^{-1}(c + c' - 2), \lambda^{-1}(2d)\}.$$

Then,

- 1)  $\nu_m > \nu_{m+1}$
- 2)  $\nu_i \leq \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i > m$ .

*Proof:* Following the notations in Proposition 6.1, for  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(c)$ ,  $g(i) = g$ . Thus, for  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(c)$  we have

$$\nu_i \leq \nu_{i+1} \text{ if and only if } \#D(i+1) \geq \#D(i) - 1. \quad (1)$$

Let  $l = c - d - 1$ . Notice that  $l$  is the number of gaps between the conductor and the dominant. Since  $\Lambda$  is acute, the  $l$  integers before  $c'$  are also gaps. Let us call  $k = \lambda^{-1}(c' + d)$ . For all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , both  $(c' - i)$  and  $(d + i)$  are in  $D(k)$  because they are gaps and

$$(c' - i) + (d + i) = c' + d.$$

Moreover, there are no more gaps in  $D(k)$  because, if  $j \leq c' - l - 1$  then  $c' + d - j \geq d + l + 1 = c$  and so  $c' + d - j \in \Lambda$ . Therefore,

$$D(k) = \{c' - i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\} \cup \{d + i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l\}.$$

Now suppose that  $j \geq k$ . By Remark 6.2,  $\lambda_k \geq c$  and so  $\lambda_j = \lambda_k + j - k = c' + d + j - k$ . Then,

$$D(j) = A(j) \cup B(j),$$

where

$$A(j) = \begin{cases} \{c' - i \mid 1 \leq i \leq l - j + k\} \\ \cup \{d + i \mid j - k + 1 \leq i \leq l\} \\ \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq c + c' - 2, \\ \text{otherwise.} \end{array}$$

$$B(j) = \begin{cases} \emptyset \\ \{d + i \mid 1 \leq i \leq \lambda_j - 2d - 1\} \\ \{d + i \mid \lambda_j - d - c + 1 \leq i \leq l\} \\ \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq 2d + 1 \\ \text{if } 2d + 2 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + d, \\ \text{if } c + d \leq \lambda_j \leq 2c - 2, \\ \text{if } \lambda_j \geq 2c - 1. \end{array}$$

Notice that  $A(j) \cap B(j) = \emptyset$  and hence

$$\#D(j) = \#A(j) + \#B(j).$$

We have

$$\#A(j) = \begin{cases} 2(l - j + k) & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq c + c' - 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\#B(j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq 2d + 1, \\ \lambda_j - 2d - 1 & \text{if } 2d + 2 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + d, \\ 2c - 1 - \lambda_j & \text{if } c + d \leq \lambda_j \leq 2c - 2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda_j \geq 2c - 1. \end{cases}$$

TABLE I  
 KLEIN QUARTIC

$i$	$\lambda_i$	$\nu_i$	$d_{ORD}(C_i)$
0	0	1	2
1	3	2	2
2	5	2	2
3	6	3	2
4	7	2	4
5	8	4	4

So,

$$\begin{aligned} \#A(j+1) &= \begin{cases} \#A(j) - 2 & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq c + c' - 2, \\ \#A(j) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ \#B(j+1) &= \begin{cases} \#B(j) & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq 2d \\ \#B(j) + 1 & \text{if } 2d + 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + d - 1 \\ \#B(j) - 1 & \text{if } c + d \leq \lambda_j \leq 2c - 2 \\ \#B(j) & \text{if } \lambda_j \geq 2c - 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $c + c' - 2 < c + d$ . Thus, for  $\lambda_j \geq c + d$ ,

$$\#D(j+1) = \begin{cases} \#D(j) - 1 & \text{if } c + d \leq \lambda_j \leq 2c - 2, \\ \#D(j) & \text{if } \lambda_j \geq 2c - 1. \end{cases}$$

Hence, by (1),  $\nu_i \leq \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(c + d)$  because  $\lambda^{-1}(c + d) \geq \lambda^{-1}(c)$ . Now, let us analyze what happens if  $\lambda_j < c + d$ .

If  $c + c' - 2 \leq 2d$  then

$$\#D(j+1) = \begin{cases} \#D(j) - 2 & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq c + c' - 2, \\ \#D(j) & \text{if } c + c' - 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq 2d, \\ \#D(j) + 1 & \text{if } 2d + 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + d - 1. \end{cases}$$

and if  $2d + 1 \leq c + c' - 2$  then

$$\#D(j+1) = \begin{cases} \#D(j) - 2 & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \lambda_j \leq 2d, \\ \#D(j) - 1 & \text{if } 2d + 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + c' - 2, \\ \#D(j) + 1 & \text{if } c + c' - 1 \leq \lambda_j \leq c + d - 1. \end{cases}$$

So, by (1) and since both  $c + c' - 2$  and  $2d$  are larger than or equal to  $c$ , the result follows.  $\square$

*Corollary 6.4:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-ordinary acute numerical semigroup with enumeration  $\lambda$ , conductor  $c$  and subconductor  $c'$ . Let

$$m = \min\{\lambda^{-1}(c + c' - 2), \lambda^{-1}(2d)\}.$$

Then,  $m$  is the smallest integer for which

$$d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$$

for all  $i \geq m$ .

*Example 6.5:* Recall the Weierstrass semigroup at the point  $P_0$  on the Klein quartic that we presented in Example 2.6. Its conductor is 5, its dominant is 3 and its subconductor is 3. In Table I we have, for each integer from 0 to  $\lambda^{-1}(2c - 2)$ , the values  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  and  $d_{ORD}(C_i)$ . Recall that, as mentioned in the introduction,  $\nu_{i+1} \leq \nu_{i+2}$  and  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(2c - 1) - 1$ .

For this example,  $\lambda^{-1}(c + c' - 2) = \lambda^{-1}(2d) = 3$  and so,  $m = \min\{\lambda^{-1}(c + c' - 2), \lambda^{-1}(2d)\} = 3$ . We can check that, as stated in Theorem 6.3,  $\nu_3 > \nu_4$  and  $\nu_i \leq \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i > 3$ . Moreover, as stated in Corollary 6.4,  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq 3$  while  $d_{ORD}(C_2) \neq \nu_3$ .

*Proposition 6.6:* Let  $\Lambda$  be a non-ordinary numerical semi-group with conductor  $c$ , subconductor  $c'$  and dominant  $d$ .

- 1) If  $\Lambda$  is symmetric then  $\min\{c + c' - 2, 2d\} = c + c' - 2 = 2c - 2 - \lambda_1$ ,
- 2) If  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric then  $\min\{c + c' - 2, 2d\} = c + c' - 2$ ,
- 3) If  $\Lambda$  is Arf then  $\min\{c + c' - 2, 2d\} = 2d$ ,
- 4) If  $\Lambda$  is generated by an interval then  $\min\{c + c' - 2, 2d\} = c + c' - 2$ .

*Proof:*

- 1) We already saw in the proof of Proposition 5.9 that if  $\Lambda$  is symmetric then  $d = c - 2$ . So,  $c + c' - 2 = d + c' \leq 2d$  because  $c' \leq d$ . Moreover, by Proposition 2.3, any non-negative integer  $i$  is a gap if and only if  $c - 1 - i \in \Lambda$ . This implies that  $c' - 1 = c - 1 - \lambda_1$  and so  $c' = c - \lambda_1$ . Therefore,  $c + c' - 2 = 2c - 2 - \lambda_1$ .
- 2) If  $\Lambda$  is pseudo-symmetric and non-ordinary then  $d = c - 2$  because 1 is a gap different from  $(c - 1)/2$ . So,  $c + c' - 2 = d + c' \leq 2d$ .
- 3) If  $\Lambda$  is Arf then  $c' = d$ . Indeed, if  $c' < d$  then  $d - 1 \in \Lambda$  and, since  $\Lambda$  is Arf,  $d + 1 = 2d - (d - 1) \in \Lambda$ , a contradiction. Since  $d \leq c - 2$ , we have  $2d \leq c + c' - 2$ .
- 4) Suppose  $\Lambda$  is generated by the interval  $\{i, i + 1, \dots, j\}$ . By Lemma 4.2, there exists  $k$  such that  $c = ki$  and  $d = (k - 1)j$ . We have that  $c - d \leq j - i$ , because otherwise  $(k + 1)i - kj = c - d - (j - i) > 0$ , and hence  $kj + 1$  would be a gap greater than  $c$ . On the other hand  $d - c' \geq j - i$ , and hence  $2d - (c + c' - 2) = d - c + d - c' + 2 \geq i - j + j - i + 2 \geq 2$ .  $\square$

*Example 6.7:* Consider the Hermitian curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{16}$ . Its numerical semigroup is generated by 4 and 5. So, this is a symmetric numerical semigroup because it is generated by two coprime integers, and it is also a semigroup generated by the interval  $\{4, 5\}$ .

In Table II we include, for each integer from 0 to 16, the values  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  and  $d_{ORD}(C_i)$ . Notice that in this case the conductor is 12, the dominant is 10 and the subconductor is 8. We do not give the values in the table for  $i > \lambda^{-1}(2c - 1) - 1 = 16$  because  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq \lambda^{-1}(2c - 1) - 1$ . We can check that, as follows from Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 6.6,  $\lambda^{-1}(c + c' - 2) = 12$  is the largest integer  $m$  with  $\nu_m > \nu_{m+1}$  and so the smallest integer for which  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ . Notice also that, as pointed out in Proposition 6.6,  $c + c' - 2 = 2c - 2 - \lambda_1$ .

Furthermore, in this example there are 64 rational points on the curve different from  $P_\infty$  and the map  $\varphi$  evaluating the functions of  $A$  at these 64 points satisfies that the words  $\varphi(f_0), \dots, \varphi(f_{57})$  are linearly independent whereas  $\varphi(f_{58})$  is linearly dependent to the previous ones. So,  $d_{ORD}^2(C_i) = d_{ORD}(C_i)$  for all  $i \leq 56$ .

*Example 6.8:* Let us consider now the semigroup of the fifth code associated to the second tower of Garcia and Stichtenoth over  $\mathbb{F}_4$ . As noticed in Example 3.6, this is an Arf numerical semigroup. We set in Table III the values  $\lambda_i$ ,  $\nu_i$  and  $d_{ORD}(C_i)$  for each integer from 0 to 25. In this case the conductor is 24, the dominant is 20 and the

TABLE II  
 HERMITIAN CURVE

$i$	$\lambda_i$	$\nu_i$	$d_{ORD}(C_i)$
0	0	1	2
1	4	2	2
2	5	2	3
3	8	3	3
4	9	4	3
5	10	3	4
6	12	4	4
7	13	6	4
8	14	6	4
9	15	4	5
10	16	5	8
11	17	8	8
12	18	9	8
13	19	8	9
14	20	9	10
15	21	10	12
16	22	12	12

 TABLE III  
 GARCIA-STICHTENOTH TOWER

$i$	$\lambda_i$	$\nu_i$	$d_{ORD}(C_i)$
0	0	1	2
1	16	2	2
2	20	2	2
3	24	2	2
4	25	2	2
5	26	2	2
6	27	2	2
7	28	2	2
8	29	2	2
9	30	2	2
10	31	2	2
11	32	3	2
12	33	2	2
13	34	2	2
14	35	2	2
15	36	4	2
16	37	2	2
17	38	2	2
18	39	2	4
19	40	5	4
20	41	4	4
21	42	4	4
22	43	4	6
23	44	6	6
24	45	6	6
25	46	6	6

subconductor is 20. As before, we do not give the values for  $i > \lambda^{-1}(2c-1) - 1 = 25$ . We can check that, as follows from Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 6.6,  $\lambda^{-1}(2d) = 19$  is the largest integer  $m$  with  $\nu_m > \nu_{m+1}$  and so, the smallest integer for which  $d_{ORD}(C_i) = \nu_{i+1}$  for all  $i \geq m$ .

## VII. ON THE IMPROVEMENT OF THE CODES $\tilde{C}(\delta)$

*Proposition 7.1:* If  $\Lambda$  is an ordinary numerical semigroup with enumeration  $\lambda$  then

$$\nu_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = 0, \\ 2 & \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq \lambda_1, \\ i - \lambda_1 + 2 & \text{if } i > \lambda_1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof:* It is obvious that  $\nu_0 = 1$  and that  $\nu_i = 2$  whenever  $0 < \lambda_i < 2\lambda_1$ . So, since  $2\lambda_1 = \lambda_{\lambda_1+1}$ , we have that  $\nu_i = 2$

for all  $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_1$ . Finally, if  $\lambda_i \geq 2\lambda_1$  then all non-gaps up to  $\lambda_i - \lambda_1$  are in  $N_i$  as well as  $\lambda_i$ , and none of the remaining non-gaps are in  $N_i$ . Now, if the genus of  $\Lambda$  is  $g$ , then  $\nu_i = \lambda_i - \lambda_1 + 2 - g$  and  $\lambda_i = i + g$ . So,  $\nu_i = i - \lambda_1 + 2$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 7.1, the sequence  $(\nu_i)$  is non-decreasing if  $\Lambda$  is an ordinary numerical semigroup. We will see in this section that ordinary numerical semigroups are in fact the only semigroups for which  $(\nu_i)$  is non-decreasing.

*Lemma 7.2:* Suppose that for the semigroup  $\Lambda$  the sequence  $(\nu_i)$  is non-decreasing. Then  $\Lambda$  is Arf.

*Proof:* Let  $\lambda$  be the enumeration of  $\Lambda$ . Let us see by induction that, for any non-negative integer  $i$ ,

- (i)  $N_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)} = \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j \leq i\} \sqcup \{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \mid 0 \leq j < i\}$ , where  $\sqcup$  means the union of disjoint sets.
- (ii)  $N_{\lambda^{-1}(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1})} = \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j \leq i\} \sqcup \{\lambda^{-1}(\lambda_i + \lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_j) \mid 0 \leq j \leq i\}$ .

Notice that if (i) is satisfied for all  $i$ , then  $\{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j \leq i\} \subseteq N_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)}$  for all  $i$ , and hence by Proposition 3.13  $\Lambda$  is Arf.

It is obvious that both (i) and (ii) are satisfied for the case  $i = 0$ .

Suppose  $i > 0$ . By the induction hypothesis,  $\nu_{\lambda^{-1}(\lambda_{i-1} + \lambda_i)} = 2i$ . Now, since  $(\nu_i)$  is not decreasing and  $2\lambda_i > \lambda_{i-1} + \lambda_i$ , we have  $\nu_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)} \geq 2i$ . On the other hand, if  $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are such that  $j \leq k$  and  $\lambda_j + \lambda_k = 2\lambda_i$  then  $\lambda_j \leq \lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_k \geq \lambda_i$ . So,  $\lambda(N_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)}) \subseteq \{\lambda_j \mid 0 \leq j \leq i\} \sqcup \{2\lambda_i - \lambda_j \mid 0 \leq j < i\}$  and hence  $\nu_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)} \geq 2i$  if and only if  $N_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)} = \{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid j \leq i\} \sqcup \{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \mid 0 \leq j < i\}$ . This proves (i).

Finally, (i) implies  $\nu_{\lambda^{-1}(2\lambda_i)} = 2i + 1$  and (ii) follows by an analogous argumentation.  $\square$

*Theorem 7.3:* The only numerical semigroups for which  $(\nu_i)$  is non-decreasing are ordinary numerical semigroups.

*Proof:* It is a consequence of Lemma 7.2, Proposition 5.9, Theorem 6.3 and Proposition 7.1.  $\square$

*Corollary 7.4:* The only numerical semigroup for which  $(\nu_i)$  is strictly increasing is the trivial numerical semigroup.

*Proof:* It is a consequence of Theorem 7.3 and Proposition 7.1.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 7.3 we can show that the only numerical semigroups for which the related evaluation codes  $C(\delta)$  are not improved by the codes  $\tilde{C}(\delta)$ , at least for one value of the designed minimum distance, are ordinary semigroups.

*Corollary 7.5:* Given a numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$  define  $m(\delta) = \max\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\}$ . There exists at least one value of  $\delta$  for which  $\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \nu_i < \delta\} \subsetneq \{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \leq m(\delta)\}$  if and only if  $\Lambda$  is non-ordinary.

## VIII. THE SEQUENCE $(\nu_i)$ DETERMINES THE SEMIGROUP

*Theorem 8.1:* Suppose that  $(\nu_i)$  corresponds to the numerical semigroup  $\Lambda$ . Then there is no other numerical semigroup with the same sequence  $(\nu_i)$ .

*Proof:* If  $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}_0$  then  $(\nu_i)$  is strictly increasing and, by Corollary 7.4, there is no other semigroup with the same sequence  $(\nu_i)$ .

Suppose that  $\Lambda$  is not trivial. Then we can determine the genus and the conductor from the sequence  $(\nu_i)$ . Indeed, let  $k = 2c - g - 2$ . In the following we will show how to determine  $k$  without the knowledge of  $c$  and  $g$ . Notice that  $c \geq 2$  and so  $2c - 2 \geq c$ . This implies  $k = \lambda^{-1}(2c - 2)$  and  $g(k) = g$ . By Proposition 6.1,  $\nu_k = k - g + \#D(k) + 1$ . But  $D(k) = \{c - 1\}$ . So,  $\nu_k = k - g + 2$ . By Proposition 6.1 again,  $\nu_i = i - g + 1$  for all  $i > k$  and so we have

$$k = \max\{i \mid \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}\}.$$

We can determine the genus as

$$g = k + 2 - \nu_k$$

and the conductor as

$$c = \frac{k + g + 2}{2}.$$

Now we know that  $\{0\} \in \Lambda$  and  $\{i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid i \geq c\} \subseteq \Lambda$  and, furthermore,  $\{1, c - 1\} \subseteq \Lambda^c$ . It remains to determine for all  $i \in \{2, \dots, c - 2\}$  whether  $i \in \Lambda$ . Let us assume  $i \in \{2, \dots, c - 2\}$ .

On one hand,  $c - 1 + i - g > c - g$  and so  $\lambda_{c-1+i-g} > c$ . This means that  $g(c - 1 + i - g) = g$  and hence

$$\nu_{c-1+i-g} = c - 1 + i - g - g + \#D(c - 1 + i - g) + 1. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, if we define  $\tilde{D}(i)$  to be

$$\tilde{D}(i) = \{l \in \Lambda^c \mid c - 1 + i - l \in \Lambda^c, i < l < c - 1\}$$

then

$$D(c - 1 + i - g) = \begin{cases} \tilde{D}(i) \cup \{c - 1, i\} & \text{if } i \in \Lambda^c \\ \tilde{D}(i) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

So, from (2) and (3),

$$i \text{ is a non-gap} \iff \nu_{c-1+i-g} = c + i - 2g + \#\tilde{D}(i).$$

This gives an inductive procedure to decide whether  $i$  belongs to  $\Lambda$  decreasingly from  $i = c - 2$  to  $i = 2$ .  $\square$

*Remark 8.2:* From the proof of Theorem 8.1 we see that a semigroup can be determined by  $k = \max\{i \mid \nu_i = \nu_{i+1}\}$  and the values  $\nu_i$  for  $i \in \{c - g + 1, \dots, 2c - g - 3\}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author would like to thank Michael E. O'Sullivan, Ruud Pellikaan and Pedro A. García-Sánchez for many helpful discussions. She would like to thank also the referees for their careful reading and for many interesting remarks.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Valentina Barucci, David E. Dobbs, and Marco Fontana. Maximality properties in numerical semigroups and applications to one-dimensional analytically irreducible local domains. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 125(598):x+78, 1997.
- [2] Maria Bras-Amorós. Improvements to evaluation codes and new characterizations of Arf semigroups. In *Applied algebra, algebraic algorithms and error-correcting codes (Toulouse, 2003)*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci. Springer, 2003.
- [3] A. Campillo and J. I. Farrán. Computing Weierstrass semigroups and the Feng-Rao distance from singular plane models. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 6(1):71–92, 2000.

- [4] Antonio Campillo, José Ignacio Farrán, and Carlos Munuera. On the parameters of algebraic-geometry codes related to Arf semigroups. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 46(7):2634–2638, 2000.
- [5] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra. *Riemann surfaces*, volume 71 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, second edition, 1992.
- [6] Gui Liang Feng and T. R. N. Rao. A simple approach for construction of algebraic-geometry codes from affine plane curves. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 40(4):1003–1012, 1994.
- [7] Gui-Liang Feng and T. R. N. Rao. Improved geometric Goppa codes. I. Basic theory. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 41(6, part 1):1678–1693, 1995. Special issue on algebraic geometry codes.
- [8] Arnaldo Garcia and Henning Stichtenoth. On the asymptotic behaviour of some towers of function fields over finite fields. *J. Number Theory*, 61(2):248–273, 1996.
- [9] P. A. García-Sánchez and J. C. Rosales. Numerical semigroups generated by intervals. *Pacific J. Math.*, 191(1):75–83, 1999.
- [10] Olav Geil. On codes from norm-trace curves. To appear in *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 2002.
- [11] David M. Goldschmidt. *Algebraic functions and projective curves*, volume 215 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [12] Tom Høholdt, Jacobus H. van Lint, and Ruud Pellikaan. *Algebraic Geometry codes*, pages 871–961. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [13] Christoph Kirfel and Ruud Pellikaan. The minimum distance of codes in an array coming from telescopic semigroups. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 41(6, part 1):1720–1732, 1995. Special issue on algebraic geometry codes.
- [14] Ruud Pellikaan, Henning Stichtenoth, and Fernando Torres. Weierstrass semigroups in an asymptotically good tower of function fields. *Finite Fields Appl.*, 4(4):381–392, 1998.
- [15] Ruud Pellikaan and Fernando Torres. On Weierstrass semigroups and the redundancy of improved geometric Goppa codes. *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 45(7):2512–2519, 1999.
- [16] Oliver Pretzel. *Codes and algebraic curves*. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
- [17] J. C. Rosales and M. B. Branco. Irreducible numerical semigroups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 209(1):131–143, 2003.
- [18] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. García-García, and M. B. Branco. Arf numerical semigroups. Submitted.
- [19] Henning Stichtenoth. A note on Hermitian codes over  $\text{GF}(q^2)$ . *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, 34(5, part 2):1345–1348, 1988. Coding techniques and coding theory.
- [20] Henning Stichtenoth. *Algebraic function fields and codes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [21] Karl-Otto Stöhr and José Felipe Voloch. Weierstrass points and curves over finite fields. *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3), 52(1):1–19, 1986.