

Chiral effects: new trends

G. Yu. Prokhorov^{1,2}, O. V. Teryaev^{1,2}, and V. I. Zakharov^{2,1,3}

¹Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Joliot-Curie str. 6, Dubna
141980, Russia

²NRC Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia

³Pacific Quantum Center, Far Eastern Federal University, 10 Ajax
Bay, Russky Island, Vladivostok 690950, Russia

Abstract

By chiral effects one understands manifestations of chiral gauge anomaly and of gravitational chiral anomaly in hydrodynamics. In recent two-three years our understanding of the chiral effects has considerably changed. Here we present mini-review of two topics. First, shift in understanding symmetry which underlies the chiral magnetic effect and, second, interpretation of the chiral kinematical effect uncovered recently.

1 Introduction

Chiral effects have attracted a lot of attention in the last ten-fifteen years, see, e.g., [1,2]. The beauty of these effects is that they represent a quantum loop effects in hydrodynamics which traditionally treated as belonging to classical physics (with the exception of theory of superfluidity which is based on a particular mechanism and this mechanism does not apply to chiral effects).

In particular, the gauge anomaly takes the form:

$$\partial_\alpha J_5^\alpha = e^2 C_5^{el} \cdot \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B}, \quad (1)$$

where J_α^5 is axial-vector current, C_5^{el} is a constant which depends on the choice of fundamental constituents, \vec{E} and \vec{B} are electric and magnetic fields, respectively, e is electromagnetic coupling. By the chiral magnetic effect (CME) one understands the electric current flowing in the direction of the magnetic field:

$$\vec{J}^{el} = e^2 C_5^{el} \cdot \mu_5 \vec{B}, \quad (2)$$

where μ_5 is the axial chemical potential and C_5^{el} is the same constant which enters definition of the anomaly (1). As indicated by the e^2 factor, (2) looks

as a Hall-type current. The guess turns true once one takes into account the specific hydrodynamic interaction \hat{H}_{hydro} :

$$\hat{H}_{hydro} = \hat{H}_{field\ theory} - \mu_{el} \hat{Q}_{el} - \mu_5 \hat{Q}_5 , \quad (3)$$

where \hat{Q}_{el} and \hat{Q}_5 are electric and axial charges. The use of (3) assumes that the charges are conserved.

In presence of external gravitational field divergence of the axial current J_5^α receives a further contribution:

$$\nabla_\alpha J_5^\alpha = C_5^{grav} R\tilde{R} , \quad (4)$$

where ∇_α is the covariant derivative, $R\tilde{R} = (1/2)\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma\delta} R_{\gamma\delta\mu\nu}$, $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$ is the Riemann tensor, C_5^{grav} is a constant which depends on spin of fermions and is known. No generalization of Eq. (3) to the case of gravitational field is known. Hence, no analog of (2) is known either. For recent development see Sect. III.

2 From chiral symmetry to diffeomorphism

In this section we describe briefly recent progress in understanding physics behind the chiral magnetic effect.

Let us first note that using the well known analogy between the magnetic field \vec{B} and angular velocity $\vec{\Omega}$ generates so called chiral vortical effect (CVE) from Eq. (2):

$$\vec{J}_{5,CVE} = \mu_{el}^2 C_5^{el} \vec{\Omega} , \quad (5)$$

Let us emphasize that this current exists in absence of \vec{E}, \vec{B} and, therefore, is to be conserved. Nevertheless, it is proportional to the constant in front of the gauge anomaly, C_5^{el} . The paradox is strengthened by observation that there is no place for a new Noether current, since there is no free symmetry to associate with such a current. A possible way out is to assume that the conservation of the current (5) is specific for absence of dissipation, or for ideal fluid, see [3, 4]. And, indeed, one can demonstrate that the current (5) is conserved in case of ideal fluid.

For ideal fluid, however, there exists another conserved axial charge (not chiral!), see, e.g., [5] :

$$Q_{helicity} = (const) \int d^3x \vec{v} \cdot (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{v}) , \quad (6)$$

or

$$J_{helicity}^\alpha \sim \epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} u_\beta \partial_\gamma u_\delta , \quad (7)$$

where u_α is the fluid 4-velocity.

The crucial point is that the current (7) is indeed conserved but rather as a consequence of *diffeomorphism* symmetry of ideal fluid. Thus, chiral symmetry is apparently embedded into diffeomorphism and we encounter a fundamental change of symmetry. Thus, let us pause here and make a few remarks.

First of all, the conserved helicity current (7) is not proportional to the electromagnetic coupling and represents a classical current while the commonly discussed CME current (2) is a quantum, or loop effect. To generate a CME current and its divergence back from (7) replace $\partial_\alpha \rightarrow \nabla_\alpha$. Then we come to amusing non-relativistic analogs to chiral anomalies [6-8]:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_\alpha J_5^\alpha &\sim \vec{E} \cdot \vec{B} \quad (\text{as "usual"}), \\ \partial_\alpha J_{el}^\alpha &\sim \vec{E}_5 \cdot \vec{B} \equiv \vec{\nabla}_{\mu_5} \cdot \vec{B},\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

where $\vec{E} \equiv \vec{\nabla}\mu$, $\vec{E}_5 \equiv \vec{\nabla}_{\mu_5}$. In other words, we generate anomaly in electromagnetic current. And this seems to be a fundamental challenge to the theory. But actually it is not: the current is not conserved simply because in non-inertial frames the 4-volume depends on the choice of the frame, and this factor is to be taken into account [9].

Here we summarize our findings in the current section:

- The newly discovered anomalies (8) are not related to any ultraviolet divergences.
- Since we started with a non-relativistic current (7) the anomalies (8) are non-relativistic in nature as well. The non-relativistic anomalies match the commonly known UV anomalies only up to a factor.
- Non-conservation of density of electromagnetic current is found.

The overall conclusion to this section is the conjecture that chiral fluid of massless quarks at short distances might look as an ideal non-relativistic fluid at large distances. The reason for the conjecture is that there is matching of the corresponding anomalies (variation of the 't Hooft consistency condition).

3 Gravitational anomaly and hydrodynamics

Let us start this section with a very brief reminder of some of the basics of the General Relativity (GR). General Relativity is built on metric tensor

$$g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu} .\tag{9}$$

Gauge transformations, analog of $\delta A_\mu = \partial_\mu \Lambda$, look as:

$$\delta h_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \epsilon_\nu + \partial_\nu \epsilon_\mu ,\tag{10}$$

where ϵ_μ are arbitrary (smooth) functions. Gauge invariant field, analog of \vec{E}, \vec{B} , is Riemann tensor $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ which involves $(\partial_\alpha\partial_\beta h_{\mu\nu})$ and $(\partial_\alpha h_{\mu\nu})^2$. Gravitational anomaly is built on the curvature $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$, see Eq. (4).

A new question, not much explored so far is: “In absence of sizable curvature, what is left of the anomaly?”. Without being rigorous, let us try to give a preliminary answer: what is left is the equivalence principle. It states that the manifestations of a gravitational field $h_{\mu\nu}$ and of going into a non-inertial frame are identical to each other. Both are gauge dependent but their identity is a gauge invariant statement. In a bit more formal language, the covariant derivatives are also gauge invariant, not only curvature.

Two basic non-inertial frames, considered already by Einstein are accelerated and rotated frames (corresponding $h_{\mu\nu}$ are easy to find out in textbooks). In applications to a liquid, notably quark-gluon plasma, acceleration and rotation 4-vectors are given by:

$$a_\mu = u^\alpha \partial_\alpha u_\mu, \quad \omega_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} u^\nu \partial^\rho u^\sigma \quad (u^\nu \text{ is 4-velocity}). \quad (11)$$

In particular, in absence of curvature the axial current is still not vanishing and fixed uniquely in terms of kinematical quantities a_μ, w_μ . In case of spin 1/2:

$$J_5^{\alpha,kinematic} = -\frac{1}{24\pi^2} (3a_\mu^2 + w_\mu^2) w^\alpha. \quad (12)$$

Moreover, a particular combination of coefficients in front of $(a_\mu)^2 = a_\mu a^\mu$ and $(w_\mu)^2 = w_\mu w^\mu$ can be expressed in terms of the coefficient in front of the anomaly C_5^{grav} [10]. This relation is called kinematical vortical effect (KVE) [10].

The idea and details of derivation of KVE are summarized in other talks at this conference. Here, we add interpretation of the KVE. The key point is the Unruh effect. According to Unruh [11], observer moving with acceleration a with respect to Minkowskian vacuum sees thermal distribution of particles with temperature

$$T_{Unruh} = \frac{a}{2\pi}, \quad (13)$$

while an observer at rest sees no particles. For many, it might sound disappointing that the Unruh effect is a kind of observer-dependent. In fact, it is dynamical. Indeed, by virtue of the equivalence principle, accelerated frame is equivalent to vacuum placed in (strong) gravitational field resulting in the same acceleration a . Naturally, such a field produces particles and is obviously dynamical.

After these remarks, we can go directly to the conclusion to the current section. Kinematical effects refer to non-inertial frames, describing results of measurements on the Unruh sample of particles. The validity of this explanation can be substantiated by a direct analysis of derivation of KVE in [10]. There is a subtle point: rotation does not produce work. For this

reason the “Unruh sample of particles” is determined by acceleration alone, not by rotation.

4 Duality of statistical and gravitational approaches

Properties of fluids in equilibrium are evaluated statistically in terms of density operator, or effective interaction:

$$\hat{H}_{eff} = -\vec{\Omega} \cdot \hat{M} - \vec{a} \cdot \hat{K}, \quad (14)$$

where \hat{M} is operator of angular momentum and \hat{K} is operator of boost (for details see, e.g. [12]).

In field theory, gravitational interaction is described by fundamental interaction Lagrangian:

$$\delta L_{fund} = -\frac{1}{2} \hat{\Theta}^{\alpha\beta} h_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (15)$$

where $\Theta^{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of matter, $h_{\alpha\beta}$ is the gravitational potential accommodating the same $\vec{\Omega}$, \vec{a} as the density operator (14). Furthermore, one evaluates “external probes”, $\langle \Theta^{\alpha\beta} \rangle$, $\langle J_5^\alpha \rangle$ within both approaches, statistical and gravitational. For the same values of a_μ , w_μ , the expectation is that results turn to be the same. The expectations are confirmed on many examples [13]. No surprise, since our example does satisfy a standard set of conditions to be satisfied for two theories to be dual to each other:

- Two theories are to have the same symmetry pattern. And, indeed, both ideal fluid and gravity are diffeomorphic invariant.
- Infrared vs ultraviolet sensitivity. Statistics is not valid at short distances, while field theory does need UV regularization. Thus, the two approaches are complementary to each other.
- Both theories allow to evaluate a common set of observables.

As a result, evaluation of the kinematical effect can be rewritten as regularization, via acceleration, of gravitational chiral anomaly. Such a regularization is infrared-sensitive, unlike the standard derivation of the anomalous divergence of the current, which is UV sensitive. To elaborate, how general this observation is and whether it could be developed into a novel type of regularization, is a task for the future.

References

- [1] Dmitri Kharzeev , Karl Landsteiner , Andreas Schmitt , Ho-Ung Yee (ed.). Strongly Interacting Matter in Magnetic Fields, *Lect. Notes Phys.* **2013**, 871, 1-624.
- [2] Dmitri E. Kharzeev , Jinfeng Liao , Shuzhe Shi. Implications of the isobar-run results for the chiral magnetic effect in heavy-ion collisions **2022**, *Phys. Rev.C* 106 5, L051903, 2205.00120 [nucl-th].
- [3] A. Avdoshkin , V. P. Kirilin , A. V. Sadofyev , V. I. Zakharov. On consistency of hydrodynamic approximation for chiral media **2016**, *Phys. Lett. B* 755 1, e-Print: 1402.3587 [hep-th].
- [4] V. I. Zakharov. Notes on conservation laws in chiral hydrodynamics, 2016, e-Print: 1611.09113 [hep-th].
- [5] R. Jackiw , V. P. Nair , S. Y. Pi , and A. P. Polychronakos. Perfect fluid theory and its extensions **2004**, *J. Phys. A* 37 R327, e-Print: hep-ph/0407101.
- [6] P. B. Wiegmann and A. G. Abanov. Chiral anomaly in Euler fluid and Beltrami flow **2022**, *JHEP* 06 038, e-Print: 2202.12437 [hep-th].
- [7] A. G. Abanov and P. B. Wiegmann. Axial-Current Anomaly in Euler Fluids **2022**, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 128 5, 054501, e-Print: 2110.11480 [hep-th].
- [8] Arpan Krishna Mitra and Subir Ghosh. Divergence anomaly and Schwinger terms: Towards a consistent theory of anomalous classical fluids **2022**, *Phys.Rev.D* 106 4, L041702, 2111.00473 [hep-th].
- [9] P. G. Mitkin and V. I. Zakharov. Macroscopic Manifestations of Quantum Anomalies in Hydrodynamics (Brief Review) **2021**, *JETP Lett.* 113 7, 433.
- [10] G. Yu. Prokhorov , O. V. Teryaev , V. I. Zakharov. Hydrodynamic Manifestations of Gravitational Chiral Anomaly **2022**, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 129 15, 151601, e-Print: 2207.04449 [hep-th].
- [11] W. G. Unruh. Notes on black hole evaporation **1976**, *Phys.Rev.D* 14 870.
- [12] F. Becattini. Thermodynamic equilibrium with acceleration and the Unruh effect **2018**, *Phys. Rev. D* 97 8, 085013, e-Print: 1712.08031 [gr-qc].
- [13] V. I. Zakharov , G. Y. Prokhorov , O. V. Teryaev. Acceleration and rotation in quantum statistical theory **2020**, *Phys. Scripta* 95 8, 084001.