

Recognition of chordal graphs and cographs which are Cover-Incomparability graphs

Arun Anil*

Manoj Changat†

Department of Futures Studies, University of Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala, India.

revisions 27th July 2023, 11th Apr. 2024, 23rd Sep. 2024; accepted 2nd Oct. 2024.

Cover-Incomparability graphs (C-I graphs) are an interesting class of graphs from posets. A C-I graph is a graph from a poset $P = (V, \leq)$ with vertex set V , and the edge-set is the union of edge sets of the cover graph and the incomparability graph of the poset. The recognition of the C-I graphs is known to be NP-complete (Maxová et al., Order 26(3), 229–236(2009)). In this paper, we prove that chordal graphs having at most two independent simplicial vertices are exactly the chordal graphs which are also C-I graphs. A similar result is obtained for cographs as well. Using the structural results of these graphs, we derive linear-time recognition algorithms for chordal graphs and cographs which are C-I graphs.

Keywords: Cover-Incomparability graphs; Chordal graph; Cographs; Recognition Algorithm.

1 Introduction

The cover-incomparability graphs of posets, or shortly C-I graphs form an interesting class of graphs from posets. These graphs were introduced in [8] as the underlying graphs of the so-called standard transit function of posets. The C-I graphs are precisely the graphs whose edge set is the union of edge sets of the cover graph and the incomparability graph (complement of a comparability graph) of a poset. Graph-theoretic characterization of C-I graphs has not been known until now and, moreover, the recognition complexity of C-I graphs is NP-complete (Maxová et al. [24]). Hence, problems in C-I graphs are focused on identifying the structure and characterization of well-known graph families, which are C-I graphs. Such C-I graphs studied include the family of split graphs, block graphs [7], cographs [9], Ptolemaic graphs [25], distance-hereditary graphs [25], and k -trees [23]. The C-I graphs were identified among the planar and chordal graphs along with new characterizations of the Ptolemaic graphs, respectively, in [4] and [3]. It is also interesting to note that every C-I graph has a Ptolemaic C-I graph as a spanning subgraph [4]. C-I graphs are also studied among the comparability graphs [1]. The effect of composition operation, lexicographic, and strong products of C-I graphs was studied in a recent paper [2].

Another approach in the theory of C-I graphs is to study posets whose cover-incomparability graphs exhibit specific properties [7, 8, 9]. Characterizations of posets whose cover-incomparability graphs are

*arunani193@gmail.com

†mchangat@keralauniversity.ac.in

distance-hereditary and Ptolemaic were given in [8], while those for cographs appear in [9], and for block graphs and split graphs in [7], in terms of forbidden isometric subposets. However, the characterization in terms of forbidden ‘isometric subposets’ in [7, 8, 9] was an error, and it was resolved in [6] by introducing \triangleleft -preserving subposets instead of isometric subposets.

Chordal graphs or triangulated graphs are graphs that do not have induced cycles of length greater than three. Together with cographs, which are exactly P_4 -free graphs, they form two well-studied graph classes that have wider applications beyond mathematics. These two classes of graphs and their subclasses are also studied in many contexts, including theoretical, practical, and algorithmic interests. A vertex v of a graph G is a simplicial vertex if v together with all its adjacent vertices forms a clique (a complete subgraph) in G . It is a well-known fact that every chordal graph contains at least one simplicial vertex, and a non complete chordal graph contains at least two nonadjacent simplicial vertices. Chordal graphs that contain exactly two non-adjacent simplicial vertices form a special class of chordal graphs.

The recognition algorithm for an arbitrary chordal graph [30, 27] and a cograph [14] is well known and has linear-time complexity. In this paper, we identify chordal graphs having exactly two non-adjacent simplicial vertices and prove that they are C-I graphs. In other words, we prove that the intersection of the class of chordal graphs and the class of C-I graphs is precisely the class of chordal graphs having exactly two non-adjacent simplicial vertices. We further develop a linear-time algorithm for recognizing such chordal graphs. It is interesting to observe, from [4, 7], that in the class of C-I graphs, the classes of chordal, strongly chordal, and interval graphs are one and the same.

In this paper, similar to the chordal C-I graphs, we develop a linear-time recognition algorithm for cographs which are also C-I graphs from the structural results already proved in [9]. For this algorithm, we make use of the special type of cotree structure of cographs.

Chordal graphs are precisely the intersection graphs of subtrees of a tree [20]. Most of the information contained in a chordal graph is captured in its clique tree representation, which is useful for its algorithmic applications [20, 29].

Cographs are exactly the P_4 -free graphs and the class of cographs has been intensively studied since its definition by Seinsche [28]. The cographs appear as comparability graphs of series-parallel partial orders [22], and can be generated from the single-vertex graph K_1 by complementation and disjoint union operations. It is well known that any cograph has a canonical tree representation called a cotree. This tree decomposition scheme for cographs is a particular case of modular decomposition [19] that applies to arbitrary graphs. Indeed, the algorithm that computes the modular tree decomposition of an arbitrary graph in linear-time can also recognize cographs in linear-time. In 1994, linear-time modular decomposition algorithms were designed independently by Cournier and Habib [15] and by McConnell and Spinrad [26]. In 2001, Dahlhaus et al. [16] proposed a simpler algorithm. In 2004, Habib and Paul [21] proposed a new algorithm, which is not incremental, and instead of building the cotree directly, it first computes a special ordering of the vertices, namely a factorizing permutation, using a very efficient partition refinement techniques via two elementary refinement rules.

A search of a graph visits all vertices and edges of the graph and will visit a new vertex only if it is adjacent to some previously visited vertex. The two fundamental search strategies are Breadth-First Search (BFS) and Depth-First Search (DFS). As the names indicate, BFS visits all previously unvisited neighbors of the currently visited vertex before visiting the previously unvisited non-neighbors. Several greedy recognition algorithms for chordal graphs are known. The most famous is Lex-BFS [27], a variant of *BFS*, introduced by Rose et al. in [27] and Maximum Cardinality Search (MCS for short) [30]. Both algorithms are linear. The recognition of chordal graphs involves two distinct phases: the execution

of MCS or Lex-BFS in order to compute an elimination ordering and a checking procedure to decide whether this elimination ordering is perfect (PEO). By employing Lex-BFS as a basic method to check the chordality and to find the perfect elimination ordering, the recognition algorithm for the chordal graphs can be done in linear-time for chordal graphs which are C-I graphs. For cographs, using the BFS, we can check whether a given rooted tree is the cotree of the C-I cograph in linear-time because of the special structure of the cotrees of these graphs.

The rest of this section is organized as follows. In Section 2, we begin with some preliminaries. In Section 3, we characterize chordal C-I graphs in terms of the number of independent simplicial vertices and present a linear-time algorithm for recognizing chordal C-I graphs based on this characterization. In Section 4, we present a structure of the C-I cograph and characterize the structure of the cotree of C-I cographs and, using this, present a linear-time recognition algorithm for C-I cographs.

2 Preliminaries

A *partially ordered set* or *poset* $P = (V, \leq)$ consists of a nonempty set V and a reflexive, antisymmetric, transitive relation \leq on V , denoted as $P = (V, \leq)$. We call $u \in V$ an element of P . If $u \leq v$ or $v \leq u$ in P , we say u and v are *comparable*, otherwise *incomparable*. If $u \leq v$ but $u \neq v$, then we write $u < v$. If u and v are in V , then v *covers* u in P if $u < v$ and there is no w in V with $u < w < v$, denoted by $u \triangleleft v$. We write $u \triangleleft \triangleleft v$ if $u < v$ but not $u \triangleleft v$. By $u \parallel v$, we mean that u and v are incomparable elements of P . In this paper, we consider only posets defined on finite sets. Let $V' \subseteq V$ and $Q = (V', \leq')$ be a poset, Q is called a *subposet* of P , if $u \leq' v$ if and only if $u \leq v$, for any $u, v \in V'$. The subposet $Q = (V', \leq)$ is a *chain* (*antichain*) in P , if every pair of elements of V' is comparable (incomparable) in P . A chain of maximum cardinality is named as the *height* of P denoted as $h(P)$. An element u in P is a *minimal* (*maximal*) if there is no $x \in V$ such that $x \leq u$ ($u \leq x$) in P . A *finite ranked poset* (also known as *graded poset* [11]) is a poset $P = (V, \leq)$ that is equipped with a rank function $\rho : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ satisfying:

- ρ has value 0 on all minimal elements of P , and
- ρ preserves covering relations: if $a \triangleleft b$ then $\rho(b) = \rho(a) + 1$.

A ranked poset P is said to be *complete* if for every i , every element of rank i covers all elements of rank $i - 1$. For a completely ranked poset $P = (V, \leq)$ we say that the element $v \in V$ is at height i if $\rho(v) = i - 1$. For disjoint posets, $P_1 = (V_1, \leq)$ and $P_2 = (V_2, \leq)$, the *sum* of the posets, $Z = P_1 + P_2$ is defined as the poset $(V_1 \cup V_2, \leq)$, where $z_1 \leq z_2$ in Z , if and only if $z_1 \leq z_2$ in P_1 or $z_1 \leq z_2$ in P_2 . We refer to [11], for notions of posets.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a connected graph, vertex set and edge set of G denoted as $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ respectively, the complement of G is denoted as \overline{G} . For a vertex $v \in V(G)$, the set of all vertices adjacent to v is called the *open neighborhood* of v and is denoted by $N(v)$. The set consisting of the open neighborhood and the vertex v is the *closed neighborhood* of v and is denoted by $N[v]$. A *tree* is a graph in which two vertices are connected by exactly one path. Let T be a rooted tree and two vertices x and y in T , we say that x is an ancestor of y and y is a descendant of x if x lies on the path from y to the root of T . For a set of leaves S of T , we say that the *lowest common ancestor (LCA)* of S is the internal node v of T such that v is the root of the smallest rooted subtree of T containing S . A graph H is said to be a *subgraph* of G if $V(H) \subseteq V(G)$ and $E(H) \subseteq E(G)$. H is an *induced subgraph* of G if for $u, v \in V(H)$ and $uv \in E(G)$ implies $uv \in E(H)$. A graph G is said to be *H-free* if G has no induced subgraph

isomorphic to H . A *complete graph* is a graph whose vertices are pairwise adjacent, denoted K_n , a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a *clique* if the subgraph of G induced by S is a complete graph, and a *maximum clique* is a clique that is not contained by any other clique. A vertex v is called *simplicial vertex* if its neighborhood induces a complete subgraph. An *independent set* in a graph is a set of pairwise non-adjacent vertices. If graphs G_1 and G_2 have disjoint vertex set V_1 and V_2 and edge set E_1 and E_2 respectively, then their *union* $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ has $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $E = E_1 \cup E_2$ and their *join*, denoted by $G_1 \vee G_2$, consists of $G = G_1 \cup G_2$ and all edges joining V_1 with V_2 .

A graph G is *chordal* if it contains no induced cycles of length more than 3. A graph G is *distance-hereditary* if every induced path is also the shortest path in G . A graph G is *Ptolemaic* if it is distance-hereditary and chordal. Equivalently, G is Ptolemaic if and only if it is a 3-fan-free chordal graph. P_4 -free graphs are called *cographs*. A graph G that is both chordal and cograph is called *chordal cograph*, also known as *trivially perfect graph*. Let $P = (V, \leq)$ be a poset. A graph G is the *cover graph* of P if $V(G) = V$ and $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if either $u \triangleleft v$ or $v \triangleleft u$ in P . Similarly, G is the *comparability graph* of P if $V(G) = V$ and $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if u and v are comparable in P . The *incomparability graph* is the complement of the comparability graph. Finally, the *cover-incomparability graph (C-I graph)* of a poset $P = (V, \leq)$ denoted as G_P is the graph $G = (V, E)$, where $uv \in E(G)$, if $u \triangleleft v$ or $v \triangleleft u$ or $u \parallel v$ in P . A graph is a C-I graph if it is the C-I graph of some poset P . It may be noted that the class of C-I graphs is not a *hereditary class* in the sense that every induced subgraph of a C-I graph need not be a C-I graph. We call a graph that is both a chordal and a C-I graph as *a chordal C-I graph*. Similarly, we use the term *Ptolemaic C-I graph*, *C-I cograph*, *chordal C-I cograph*, etc. to denote the C-I graph which is Ptolemaic, cograph, chordal cograph, etc.




Fig. 1: (a) A poset P , (b) incomparability graph of the poset P , and (c) cover-incomparability graph of the poset P .

In Figure 1 (a), we illustrate the Hasse diagram of a poset P , which is isomorphic to the cover graph of P . Figure 1(b), depicts the incomparability graph of the poset P , and in Figure 1(c), we depict the C-I graph of P . As already mentioned, the class of C-I graphs is not hereditary; for example, the 4-fan is a C-I graph (as shown in Figure 1(c)), but the claw graph is an induced subgraph of this graph, which is not a C-I graph.

Now we recall some basic properties of posets and their C-I graphs.

Lemma 1. [8] *Let P be a poset. Then*

- (i) the C-I graph of P is connected;
- (ii) points of P that are independent in the C-I graph of P lie on a common chain;
- (iii) an antichain of P corresponds to a complete subgraph in the C-I graph of P ;
- (iv) the C-I graph of P contains no induced cycles of length greater than 4.

Lemma 2. [25] *If G is a C-I graph, then G does not contain 3 independent simplicial vertices.*

Lemma 3. [25] *Let P be a poset and G its C-I graph. If v is a simplicial vertex in G , then v is a maximal or a minimal element of P .*

Theorem 1. [23] *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a C-I graph of a poset P and $v \in V$ a minimal or maximal element in P . Then $G \setminus v$ is also a C-I graph.*

In the following sections, we discuss algorithms for recognizing chordal C-I graphs and C-I cographs.

3 Structure of chordal C-I graphs

In this section, we study the structure of chordal C-I graphs. For that, we prove that a chordal graph having exactly two independent vertices is a chordal graph that is also a C-I graph.

If v is a simplicial vertex of a chordal graph G , then the closed neighborhood $N[v]$ is a clique in G . So removal of v does not affect the chordal property of $G \setminus \{v\}$. This is stated in the following remark.

Remark 1. *If G is a chordal graph and v is a simplicial vertex, then $G \setminus v$ is chordal.*

Lemma 4. *Let G be a chordal graph, and v be a simplicial vertex of G . If G has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then either $G \setminus v$ is chordal and has exactly two independent simplicial vertices or $G \setminus v$ is a complete graph.*

Proof: Let G be a chordal graph with exactly two independent simplicial vertices and v be a simplicial vertex. Then by Remark 1, $G \setminus v$ is a chordal graph. Since v is a simplicial vertex, the removal of v from G does not increase the number of independent simplicial vertices in $G \setminus v$. And if $G \setminus v$ is not a complete graph, then removal of v from G does not decrease the number of independent simplicial vertex in $G \setminus v$. That is, $G \setminus v$ has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, otherwise $G \setminus v$ is a complete graph. Hence the lemma. \square

From Lemmas 3-4 and Theorem 1, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a chordal C-I graph and v be a simplicial vertex of G . If G has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then $G \setminus v$ is a chordal C-I graph and has exactly two independent simplicial vertices or $G \setminus v$ is a complete graph.*

A *pendant clique* of a graph G is a clique that contains a clique separator C such that one of the components obtained after removing C is a single vertex. Observe that if G is a chordal graph, then G has a pendant clique.

Let G be a chordal graph having at most two independent simplicial vertices. We denote by \mathcal{G} the family of chordal graphs obtained from G by adding a vertex v to G such that v is adjacent to some or all vertices in a pendant clique of G .

The following remark is immediate.

Remark 2. Let G' be a chordal graph having exactly two independent simplicial vertices. If G is the graph obtained by adding a simplicial vertex v to G' such that the resulting graph has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then G belongs to the family \mathcal{G} .

Lemma 5. Let G' be a chordal C-I graph having exactly two independent simplicial vertices, and let G be a graph obtained by adding a simplicial vertex v to G' . If G has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then G is a chordal C-I graph.

Proof: Let G be a chordal graph obtained by adding a simplicial vertex v to a chordal G' that has exactly two independent simplicial vertices such that G also has exactly two independent simplicial vertices. Then by Remark 2, the vertex v is such that v is adjacent to some or all vertices in a pendant clique in G' .

Now we need to prove that G is a chordal C-I graph. Since G' is both a C-I graph and chordal, let P' be a poset such that $G_{P'} \cong G'$. Since the vertex v is added to some or all vertices in a pendant clique in G' , the graph G is chordal. Since $G'_{P'}$ is chordal and has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, $G'_{P'}$ has exactly two pendant cliques, and the two pendant cliques are formed, respectively, by S and S' , where S and S' are defined as follows.

$M = \{u \in P' \mid u \text{ is a maximal element of } P'\}$, $S_1 = \{w \in P' \mid w \triangleleft u, u \in M\}$, $M' = \{u \in P' \mid u \text{ is a minimal element of } P'\}$, $S'_1 = \{w \in P' \mid u \triangleleft w, u \in M'\}$ $S = M \cup S_1 \setminus \{u \in S_1 \mid \exists w \in S_1, u \triangleleft \triangleleft w \text{ in } P'\}$ and

$S' = M' \cup S'_1 \setminus \{u \in S'_1 \mid \exists w \in S'_1, w \triangleleft \triangleleft u \text{ in } P'\}$. Now v is adjacent to some or all vertices of the set S or S' in G . If v is adjacent to some vertices of S , then v must be adjacent to all the elements of M , as otherwise there will be more than two independent simplicial vertices. Similarly, if v is adjacent to some vertices of S' , then v must be adjacent to all elements of M' . Now, we construct a poset P from P' as follows.

If v adjacent to some vertices of S :

- If v is adjacent to only the elements in M , then P is constructed from P' with the covering relation defined as $u \triangleleft v$ for all $u \in M$.
- If v is adjacent to the elements of S_0 , where $M \subset S_0 \subset S$, then P is constructed from P' with the covering relation as $u' \triangleleft v$ for all $u' \in S_0 \setminus M$ and $u'' \triangleleft u \triangleleft v$ for all $u'' \in S \setminus S_0$ for some $u \in M$.
- If v is adjacent to all elements of S , then P is constructed from P' with the covering relation defined as $u \triangleleft v$ for all $u \in S \setminus M$.

Similarly, if v adjacent to some vertices of S' :

- if v is adjacent to only the elements in M' then P is constructed from P' with the covering relation defined as $v \triangleleft u$ for all $u \in M'$.
- if v is adjacent to the elements of S'_0 , where $M' \subset S'_0 \subset S'$, then P is constructed with the covering relation defined as $v \triangleleft u'$ for all $u' \in S'_0 \setminus M'$ and $v \triangleleft u \triangleleft u''$ for all $u'' \in S' \setminus S'_0$ and some $u \in M'$.
- If v is adjacent to all elements of S' , then P is constructed from P' with the covering relation $v \triangleleft u$ for all $u \in S' \setminus M'$.

It follows from construction that P is a well-defined poset and that G is isomorphic to G_P . That is, G is a chordal C-I graph. Hence the lemma. \square

A *perfect elimination ordering (PEO)* is an ordering $\pi = v_1, \dots, v_n$ of vertices in G such that the neighborhood $N[v_i]$ of v_i is a clique of the subgraph $G_{\{v_i, \dots, v_n\}}$ induced by the vertices $\{v_i, \dots, v_n\}$ of G . The following characterizations of chordal graphs are well known.

Theorem 2. [18] *A graph G is chordal if and only if G has a perfect elimination ordering.*

Lemma 6. [17] *Every chordal graph G has a simplicial vertex. If G is not complete, then it has two non-adjacent simplicial vertices.*

In the following, we prove an interesting characterization of C-I chordal graphs as precisely those chordal graphs having exactly two independent simplicial vertices.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a chordal graph. Then G is a C-I graph if and only if G is a complete graph or G has exactly two independent simplicial vertices.*

Proof: Suppose G is a chordal and C-I graph, then G is a complete graph, or G contains exactly two independent simplicial vertices by Lemma 2 and 6.

Conversely, every complete graph is a C-I graph. It remains to prove that if G is chordal and G has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then G is a C-I graph.

Let G be the chordal graph with the vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$. Since G is chordal, there is a perfect elimination ordering(PEO), let $v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_2, v_1$ be a PEO in G . By the definition of PEO on a chordal graph, the neighborhood $N(v_{n-i})$ of v_{n-i} is a clique in the subgraph $G_{\{v_{n-i+1}, \dots, v_1\}}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ and also the subgraphs $G_{\{v_{n-(i+1)}, \dots, v_1\}}$ are chordal for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

According to Lemma 4, if a chordal graph has exactly two independent simplicial vertices, then the removal of a simplicial vertex results in either a chordal graph with exactly two independent simplicial vertices or a complete graph. We eliminate the simplicial vertices until we get the smallest non-trivial chordal graph containing exactly two independent simplicial vertices, say G_k . The removal of a simplicial vertex from G_k results in a complete graph. That is, G_{k-1} is a complete graph with $G_{k-1} = G_k \setminus v_k$, where v_k is a simplicial vertex in G_k . Clearly, G_{k-1} is a C-I graph being a complete graph. Now, we add the simplicial vertex v_k to G_{k-1} and form the graph G_K by adding the deleted edges back. We continue this process by adding the eliminated simplicial vertices $v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, \dots, v_n$ and deleted edges in the reverse order in the PEO to the graphs $G_k, G_{k+1}, \dots, G_{n-1}$, respectively obtaining finally the graph $G_n \cong G$.

It may be noted that we add edges so that the resulting graph obtained in each stage contains exactly two independent simplicial vertices.

In particular, the graph G_k is obtained by adding the simplicial vertex v_k to G_{k-1} . The vertex v_k is adjacent to some vertices of G_{k-1} . Let $C_{k-1} \subset V(G_{k-1})$ and $C'_{k-1} = V(G_{k-1}) \setminus C_{k-1}$ such that v_k is adjacent to every element of C_{k-1} and not adjacent to any element of C'_{k-1} . We form a poset, say P_0 consisting of elements, C'_{k-1} , v_k , and C_{k-1} . Now fix C'_{k-1} as the set of minimal elements, v_k covering every element in C'_{k-1} and all elements of C_{k-1} covering v_k . It is clear that the C-I graph of P_0 is isomorphic to G_k . That is, we obtain that G_k is a C-I graph. It is in-fact a Ptolemaic C-I graph of the completely ranked poset with rank 2, where C'_{k-1} represents elements of rank 0, v_k represents the element of rank 1 and C_{k-1} represents elements of rank 2. Thus G_k is a chordal C-I graph with exactly two simplicial vertices.

Now the graph G_{k+1} is obtained by adding the simplicial vertex v_{k+1} and the deleted edges to G_k and from Lemma 5, we obtain that G_{k+1} is a chordal C-I graph having exactly two independent simplicial vertices. By the inductive arguments, we have that $G_{k+2}, G_{k+3}, \dots, G_n$ are chordal C-I graphs with

exactly two independent simplicial vertices. Finally, we get that the graph G_n is isomorphic to G and hence G is a C-I graph. Hence the theorem. \square

3.1 Recognition of chordal C-I graphs

In this section, we design an algorithm for recognizing chordal C-I graphs. For this, we use the clique tree representation of a chordal graph. Since this algorithm computes a clique tree, if the graph is a chordal graph explicitly, it turns out that the algorithm provides a certification. It is well known that a chordal graph G can be characterized as the intersection graph of subtrees of some tree T . Such a tree is known as the clique tree of G . This well-known theorem is proved independently by Buneman [12] and Gavril [20]. A tree representation of a chordal graph G is a pair (T, \mathcal{F}) where T is a tree and \mathcal{F} is a family of subtrees of T such that the intersection graph of \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to G . Further Gavril [20] has shown that given a chordal graph G , it is possible to construct a tree T with vertex set $K = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$ where q_i corresponds to the maximal clique Q_i of G , such that $(T, \{R_{v_1}, R_{v_2}, \dots, R_{v_n}\})$ is a tree representation of G . Here, each R_{v_i} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, is the set of maximal cliques that contain the vertex v_i , that is, $R_{v_i} = \{q_j \mid v_i \in Q_j\}$. Such a tree representation of G is called a *clique tree* of G . The clique tree T of G need not be unique, for the sets R_i determine the edges of T , not necessarily in a unique manner. Fig.2 shows a chordal graph and two of its clique trees.




Fig. 2: A chordal graph and two of its clique trees

Theorem 4 (Gavril [20] and Buneman [12]). *The following propositions are equivalent:*

1. G is a chordal graph.
2. G is the intersection graph of a family of subtrees of a tree.
3. There exists a tree T (called *clique tree*) with vertex set $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_r\}$ such that for each vertex v , the set $R_v = \{q_i \mid v \in Q_i\}$ induces a subtree of T . Here q_i represents the maximal clique Q_i .

From the above description, we have that a graph G is a chordal graph if and only if there exists a clique tree T with maximal cliques of G as the vertices of T and any two cliques containing $v \in V(G)$ are either adjacent in T or connected by a path of cliques that contain v . The Lemma below is also very useful in understanding the structure of chordal graphs and their simplicial vertices.

Lemma 7. [5] *A vertex is simplicial if and only if it belongs to precisely one maximal clique.*

Even though the result of the following lemma is a known property of chordal graphs, we require this for establishing the recognition of chordal graphs which are C-I graphs, and hence we state it below.

Lemma 8. *Every leaf node of a clique tree of a chordal graph contains a simplicial vertex.*

Proof: Let T be a clique tree of a chordal graph G . Let C_k be a leaf node of T . Since C_k is a leaf node of T there is a node $C_{k'}$ in T such that $C_k C_{k'} \in E(T)$. Since T is a clique tree of G every node of T is a maximal clique of G , and hence there is a vertex v of G in C_k but not in $C_{k'}$. From the definition of the clique tree, it is clear that v is not in any other nodes of T (cliques). Then it follows that v is a simplicial vertex by Lemma 7. \square

The following lemma will also be useful for the recognition of chordal C-I graphs.

Lemma 9. *Let G be a chordal graph with the clique tree T being a path. Let C_i be an internal node of T , C_{i+1} , the parent node of C_i , and C_{i-1} the child node of C_i in T . If v is vertex of G such that $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i+1}$ and $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}$, then v is a simplicial vertex in G .*

Proof: Since $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i+1}$ and $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}$ and T is a path, it follows from the definition of a clique tree that v is not in any other cliques in T . Then by Lemma 7, v is a simplicial vertex. \square

Based on Theorem 3 and Lemma 8 and 9, we formulate the following algorithm for recognizing a chordal C-I graph G . The correctness of the algorithm follows from these results.

Algorithm 1: Algorithm for recognizing given graph G is chordal C-I graph G or not.

Input : G be a connected graph with $|V(G)| = n$ and $|E(G)| = m$
Output: G is chordal C-I graph or not

- Step 1: Apply the perfect elimination ordering (PEO) on G and check whether G is a chordal graph or not.
 If there is no PEO then return G not chordal and stop. Otherwise, go to Step 2.
- Step 2: Using the PEO find the clique tree T of the given graph G using Blair-Peyton algorithm.
 If the clique tree has more than 2 leaf nodes, then return G , not chordal C-I graph, and stop.
 Otherwise, go to Step 3. (Now the clique tree is a path, and the vertices are in order C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k)
- Step 3: Check whether there is an element in C_i that is not in C_{i+1} and C_{i-1} for $i = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$.
 If such an element exists at any stage, then stop and return G as not a chordal C-I graph.
 Otherwise, return G as a chordal C-I graph.
-

The time complexity of Algorithm 1 can be analyzed as follows.

Using Lex-BFS or MCS, a PEO can be found in $O(n + m)$ time. In Step 2, the Blair-Peyton algorithm is used to construct a clique tree from a chordal graph based on the PEO [5]. This algorithm also runs in linear time, $O(n + m)$. Additionally, checking whether there are more than two leaf nodes can be done in constant time.

In Step 3, let k be the length of the clique tree which is a path P . Then, in the worst case, the size of the sets C_i is of the order $O(n/k)$. To check whether an element $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i+1}$ and $v \in C_i \setminus C_{i-1}$ takes $O(3n/k)$ time by using a hash set operation. Since there are at most $k - 2$ internal nodes, the total time for Step 3 is $O(n)$. Now, the complexity of Algorithm 1 is $O(n + m)$.

According to Theorem 3, a graph G is a chordal C-I graph if and only if G is either a complete graph or has exactly two independent simplicial vertices. Lemma 7 and Lemma 8 further imply that the clique tree of a chordal C-I graph contains exactly two leaf nodes, meaning that the clique tree forms a path. The next step is to certify that none of the internal vertices of the clique tree contain simplicial vertices. Lemma 7 and Lemma 9 address this in Step 3 of the algorithm.

Since the Blair-Peyton algorithm explicitly computes the clique tree when G is a chordal graph and after Steps 2 and 3 of the algorithm outputs a clique tree which is a path and contains no internal vertices with simplicial vertices, if the given graph is a chordal C-I graph. So the algorithm actually returns a chordal C-I graph if G is such a graph, which is a certification model.

4 Structure of C-I cographs

In this section, we present an algorithm for recognizing C-I cograph.

Theorem 5. [9] *Let G be a chordal cograph. Then G is a C-I graph if and only if G is a connected graph that contains at most two maximal cliques.*

From Theorem 5, we get the following remark

Remark 3. *A graph G is a chordal C-I cograph if and only if there exists three pairwise disjoint sets C_1, C_2 and C_3 such that $V(G) = C_1 \cup C_2 \cup C_3$, and $x, y \in V(G)$ are adjacent in G if and only if $x, y \in C_1 \cup C_2$ or $x, y \in C_2 \cup C_3$.*

That is, $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_1 \cup C_2$ and $C_2 \cup C_3$ form cliques and the graph has no other edges. So in the C-I chordal cograph, if $xy, xz \notin E(G)$ then $yz \in E(G)$. It is clear that a chordal C-I cograph is a Ptolemaic C-I graph as it is a C-I graph of a completely ranked poset of height 3. The structure of an arbitrary chordal C-I cograph is shown in Fig. 3.




Fig. 3: General structure of a chordal C-I cograph G

Theorem 6. [9] *A graph G is a C-I cograph if and only if G is the join of chordal C-I cographs.*

From Theorem 6, we get the following remark.

Remark 4. *Let G be a C-I cograph such that $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$, where G_i 's are chordal C-I cographs. By Remark 3, there exists a pairwise disjoint set C_1^i, C_2^i and C_3^i such that $V(G_i) = C_1^i \cup C_2^i \cup C_3^i$*

and $xy \in E(G_i)$ if and only if $x, y \in C_1^i \cup C_2^i$ or $x, y \in C_2^i \cup C_3^i$. Thus in G , $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_2^i$ are universal vertices and for $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $uv \notin E(G)$ if and only if both u and v in $V(G_i)$ with $u \in C_1^i$ and $v \in C_3^i$, for some i . Thus, for any $u, v, w \in V(G)$ with $uv, uw \notin E(G)$, then $vw \in E(G)$.

The structure of an arbitrary C-I cograph is depicted in Fig. 4.




Fig. 4: General structure of a C-I cograph G

Lemma 10. Let G be a C-I cograph then there exists a poset $P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_r$, where P_i 's are completely ranked poset of rank 2 such that $G \cong G_P$.

Proof: Let G be a C-I cograph. Then G is the join of chordal C-I cographs. That is, let $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_r$. Since G_i 's are chordal cographs, G_i 's are C-I graphs of the completely ranked poset P_i 's of rank at most 2. Hence $P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_r$. \square




Fig. 5: Claw

Lemma 11. If G is a C-I cograph then G is a claw-free graph.

Proof: Let G be a C-I cograph and $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$, where G_i 's are chordal C-I cograph for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Suppose that G contains an induced claw by the vertices $\{u, v, w, x\} \subseteq V(G)$ with edges $ux, vx, wx \in E(G)$ and $uv, vw, uw \notin E(G)$ (see Fig.7). Since $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$, the non-adjacent vertices lie in the same G_j for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. That is, $u, v, w \in V(G_j)$. Since G_j is a chordal

C-I cograph, if $uv, uw \notin E(G_j)$ then $vw \in E(G_j)$, which is a contradiction. Hence, the C-I cograph is a claw-free graph. \square

A *cotree* is a tree in which the internal nodes are labelled with the numbers 0 and 1. Every cotree T defines a cograph G having the leaves of T as vertices, and in which the subtree rooted at each node of T corresponds to the induced subgraph in G defined by the set of leaves descending from that node. A subtree rooted at a node labelled 0 corresponds to the union of the subgraphs defined by the children of that node and a node labelled 1 corresponds to the join of the subgraphs defined by the children of that node. The cotree satisfies the property that, on every root-to-leaf path, leaves are the vertices of the graph, the labels of the internal nodes alternate between 0 and 1, and every internal node has at least two children. The cotree can be easily obtained from any tree labelled with such 0/1 T by coalescing all pairs of child-parent nodes in T having the same label or where the parent has only one child. Vertices x and y of G are adjacent in G if and only if their lowest common ancestor(LCA) in the cotree is labelled 1. This representation is unique and every cograph can be represented in this way by a cotree [13]. Since G is a connected graph, the root of the cotree is a 1-node.

It is known that cographs have a unique tree representation, called a cotree. Using the cotree, it is possible to design very fast polynomial-time algorithms for problems that are intractable for graphs in general. Such problems include chromatic number, clique determination, clustering, minimum weight domination, isomorphism, minimum fill-in, and Hamiltonicity. A linear-time cograph recognition algorithm, such as those in [10, 14, 21], which also builds a cotree, a data structure that fully encodes the cograph.

The Fig. 6 illustrates a cograph G and an embedding of the corresponding cotree T_G . The leaves of T_G represent the set of vertex $V(G)$, and each internal node signifies the union (0) or the joining (1) operations in the children. The significance of the 0(1) nodes is captured by the fact that $xy \in E(G)$ if and only if $LCA(x, y)$ is a 1 node, as shown in Fig. 6.





Fig. 6: G be a cograph and T_G be the cotree of G

The general structure of the cotree of C-I cographs is shown in Fig. 7, and we denote the family of cotree of C-I cograph as \mathcal{T}_C . The children of the root node are referred to as *level 2* nodes, while the


 Fig. 7: Cotree for C-I cograph (\mathcal{T}_C)

children of level 2 nodes are termed *level 3 nodes*. Let G be a C-I cograph, $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$, where G_i 's are chordal C-I cographs. Then by Remark 4, two vertices x and y are not adjacent in G if and only if $x \in C_1^i$ and $y \in C_3^i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Therefore, in the cotree, the lowest common ancestor (LCA) of x and y is 0-node. That is, $LCA(x, y) = 0$ for $x \in C_1^i$ and $y \in C_3^i$. In other cases, LCA is a 1-node. That is, $LCA(x, y) = 1$ for $x \notin C_1^i$ and $y \notin C_3^i$.

Lemma 12. *The cotree of a C-I cograph which is not a complete graph satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *The root node (which is a 1-node) of \mathcal{T}_C has leaf nodes and 0-nodes as children. the number of 0-nodes (which are children of the root node) is always less than or equal to the number of leaf nodes (which are children of the root node).*
- (ii) *Every 0-node has exactly two children (both the children are 1-nodes or both the children are leaf nodes or one child is a leaf node and another child is 1-node).*
- (iii) *The 1-nodes other than the root node have only leaf nodes as children.*
- (iv) *The cotree of G belongs to the family of \mathcal{T}_C .*

Proof: Let G be a C-I cograph which is not a complete graph.

- (i) Since G is not a complete C-I graph, it is either a chordal cograph or joins of chordal cographs. Since there are non-adjacent vertices, there exist some 0-nodes as children of the root node. From the structure of the C-I cograph, there exist universal vertices, which should be leaf nodes of the root node. From Theorem 6, $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$, where G_i are chordal C-I cographs. The leaf nodes of the subtree rooted by each 0-node contain the non-universal vertices of each G_i . That is, if there are k 0-nodes, then since the universal vertices of each G_i , are also universal vertices of G , and since each G_i has at least one universal vertex, the number of 0-nodes of the root node must be at most the number of leaf nodes as a child nodes. Hence (i) follows.

- (ii) Suppose there are 0-nodes having more than two children. Let $0'$ be a 0-node having three child nodes. Let u, v, w be the three leaf nodes from the different branches of the subtree with the root node as the $0'$ -node. Then by the structure of the C-I cograph, we have that $uv, vw, uw \notin E(G)$. Let x be a leaf node of the root of the cotree \mathcal{T}_C . Then x is adjacent to u, v and w . That is, $\{u, v, w, x\}$ induce a claw in G which is a contradiction to Lemma 11. So every 0-node has no more than two children. In a cotree, every node has a minimum of two child nodes. Hence every 0-node has exactly two child nodes as 1-nodes. Hence (ii) follows.
- (iii) Suppose that there is a 1-node other than the root node which has a 0-node, say $0'$. Consider a subtree T of \mathcal{T}_C with rooted in $0'$. Let u and v be the vertices of G such that the $LCA(u, v)$ is $0'$. The vertices u and v exist since the subtree T has exactly two branches. Now consider the subtree T' of \mathcal{T}_C containing T with root as a 0-node, say $0''$ different from $0'$. Let w be a vertex of G such that the $LCA(u, v, w)$ is $0''$ (the vertex w exists since there are two children from $0''$ of T'). Clearly, the vertices u, v, w are mutually non-adjacent. Now any vertex x of G which are leaves of the root node 1 of \mathcal{T}_C is adjacent to all of u, v, w so that u, v, w, x form an induced claw, a contradiction. Hence (iii) follows.
- (iv) Follows from (i),(ii) and (iii).

□

Theorem 7. *A cograph G is a C-I cograph if and only if the cotree of G belongs to the family \mathcal{T}_C .*

Proof: It is clear that when G is a complete graph if and only if the cotree is the tree with every vertex of G as a child of the root node and which is a part of \mathcal{T}_C .

Let G be a C-I cograph which is not a complete graph. Then by Lemma 12, cotree of G is of the form \mathcal{T}_C

Conversely, we need to prove that if \mathcal{T}_C is the cotree of a cograph G then G is a C-I graph. Consider the set of leaves of the cotree \mathcal{T}_C (Refer Figure 7). It follows by the definition of cotree of a cograph G that the sets C_i^j 's are cliques of G , for $i = 1, 3$ and $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\bigcup_{i=1}^k C_2^i = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m\}$ are universal vertices. Now C_1^j is adjacent to every vertex in G except C_3^j and C_3^j is adjacent to every vertex in G except C_1^j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. Then by Remark 4, G is a C-I cograph.

□

In [14], Corneil et al. presented a linear-time algorithm for recognizing cographs and constructing their cotree representation. Using that algorithm, we get the cotree.

Theorem 8. [14] *The family of cographs possesses a linear-time recognition algorithm by the construction of the cotrees in linear-time.*

Algorithm 2: Algorithm for recognizing C-I cograph

Input : A connected graph G with $|V(G)| = n$

Output: G is a C-I cograph or not. If G is a C-I cograph then find a poset P such that $G_P \cong G$.

- Step 0: Using the recognition algorithm in Theorem 8 determine the cotree if G is a cograph and go to Step 1. Otherwise, stop and return G is not cograph
- Step 1: Perform BFS from the root node 1 of the cotree.
- Step 2: If BFS completes in one step by reaching all the leaf nodes (if all the adjacent nodes of the root node are leaf nodes), then the graph is a complete graph and it is C-I cograph; stop, and we are done. Otherwise, go to Step 3.
- Step 3(i): After Step 2, only 0-nodes are obtained. Then G is not a C-I cograph and Stop.
- Step 3(ii): After Step 2, both 0-nodes and leaf nodes are obtained. Check whether the number of zero nodes is greater than the number of leaf nodes. If yes, then G is not a C-I cograph and stop. Otherwise goto Step 4
- Step 4: Continue the searching at level 2. If 0-nodes has more than two children as 1-nodes then G is not a C-I cograph and stop. Otherwise, go to Step 5.
- Step 5: Continue searching at level 3. In level 3, if any 1-node has 0-node as a child, then G is not a C-I cograph and stop. If all the children of the 1-nodes are the leaf node then we are done. The resulting graph is a C-I cograph.
- Step 6: Label the leaf nodes of each 0-node branch in level 2 as C_1^i and C_3^i . Partition the leaf nodes from the rooted node 1 into the number of 0-nodes. That is, the leaf nodes are partitioned into r sets, C_2^i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$, where r denotes the number of 0-nodes at level 2.
- Step 7: Construct completely ranked posets P_i with rank 0 elements as C_1^i , rank 1 elements as C_2^i , and rank 2 elements as C_3^i for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, r$.
Return $P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_r$ as one of the poset of the C-I cograph G .
-

Proof of correctness: The correctness of the Algorithm 2 up to step 5 follows from the structure of cotree stated in Lemma 12 and Theorem 7, and the related facts mentioned above. If after step 5 results in a C-I cograph, then the poset $P = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_r$ is certification and it follows from Remark 4, Lemma 10 and Theorem 7 that it is one of the completely ranked poset corresponding to the input graph G .

Now the size of the cotree of a C-I cograph can be estimated as follows. Let G be a C-I cograph with n vertices and $G = G_1 \vee G_2 \vee \dots \vee G_k$. Then from the structure of the cotree T of G , T contains leaf nodes (which are the n -vertices of G), 0-nodes, and 1-nodes. Then T contains k 0-nodes, and each 0-node has exactly 2 children. Therefore T has at most $1 + k + 2k + n$ vertices. The worst case for k is when $k = \frac{n}{3}$. Therefore in the worst case, the total number of vertices in T is $1 + \frac{n}{3} + \frac{2n}{3} + 3$, which is of the order of $O(n)$.

The time complexity of the recognition algorithm for cographs and finding its cotree by Theorem 8 is linear. Now the complexity of Algorithm 2 is linear in the size of the cotree, which is $O(n)$ in the worst case since the complexity of the BFS can be performed on the cotree in linear-time.

Acknowledgment:

Arun Anil acknowledges the financial support from the University of Kerala, for providing University Post Doctoral Fellowship (Ac EVII 5576/2023/UOK dated 30/06/2023).

References

- [1] Anil, A., Changat, M.: Comparability Graphs Among Cover-Incomparability Graphs. In: Balachandran, N., Inkulu, R. (Eds.), CALDAM 2022, *Algorithms and Discrete Applied Mathematics*, LNCS 13179, pp. 48–61, Springer, Cham (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-95018-7_5.
- [2] Anil, A., Changat, M.: Composition and Product of Cover-Incomparability Graphs. *The Art of Discrete and Applied Mathematics* 6, #P2.09(2023). <https://doi.org/10.26493/2590-9770.1515.af9>
- [3] Anil, A., Changat, M.: Ptolemaic and Chordal Cover-Incomparability Graphs. *Order* 39, 29–43(2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-021-09551-w>
- [4] Anil, A., Changat, M., Gologranc, T., Sukumaran, B.: Ptolemaic and planar cover-incomparability graphs. *Order* 38, 421–439(2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-021-09549-4>
- [5] Blair, J.R.S., Peyton, B.: An Introduction to Chordal Graphs and Clique Trees. In: George, A., Gilbert, J.R., Liu, J.W.H. (Eds.), *Graph Theory and Sparse Matrix Computation*. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, vol 56, pp. 1-29 Springer(1993).
- [6] Bok, J., Maxová, J.: Characterizing Subclasses of Cover-Incomparability Graphs by Forbidden Subposets. *Order* 36, 349–358(2019).
- [7] Brešar, B., Changat, M., Gologranc, T., Mathew, J., Mathews, A.: Cover-incomparability graphs and chordal graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 158, 1752–1759(2010).
- [8] Brešar, B., Changat, M., Klavžar, S., Kovše, M., Mathew, J., Mathews, A.: Cover-incomparability graphs of posets. *Order* 25, 335–347(2008).
- [9] Brešar, B., Gologranc, T., Changat, M., Sukumaran, B.: Cogroups Which are Cover-Incomparability Graphs of Posets. *Order* 32, 179–187(2015).
- [10] Bretscher, A., Corneil, D.G., Habib, M., Paul, C.: A simple linear time LexBFS cogroup recognition algorithm. *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 22 1277–1296(2008).
- [11] Brightwell, G., West, W.B.: Partially ordered sets. Chapter 11 in *Handbook of Discrete and Combinatorial Mathematics* (K.H. Rosen, ed.) CRC Press, Boca Raton, 717–752(2000).
- [12] Buneman, P.: A characterization of rigid circuit graphs. *Discrete Math.* 9, 205–212(1974).
- [13] Corneil, D.G., Lerchs, H., Stewart Burlingham, L.: Complement reducible graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 3, 163–174(1981).
- [14] Corneil, D.G., Perl, Y., Stewart, L.K.: A linear recognition algorithm for cogroups. *SIAM J. Computing* 14, 926–934(1985).

- [15] Cournier, A., Habib, M.: A new linear algorithm for modular decomposition. In: Tison, S. (Ed.), *19th International Colloquium Trees in Algebra and Programming, CAAP'94*, Vol. 787, LNCS, Springer, Berlin, 68–82(1994).
- [16] Dahlhaus, E., Gustedt, J., McConnell, R.M.: Efficient and practical algorithms for sequential modular decomposition. *J. Algorithms* 41(2), 360–387(2001).
- [17] Dirac, G., A.: On rigid circuit graphs. *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. HamDurg*, 25, 71-76(1961).
- [18] Fulkerson, D.R., Gross, O.A.: Incidence matrices and interval graphs. *Pacific J. Math.* 15, 835-855(1965).
- [19] Gallai, T.: Transitiv orientierbare Graphen. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 18, 25–66(1967).
- [20] Gavril, F.: The intersection graphs of a path in a tree are exactly the chordal graphs. *J. Comb. Theory* 16, 47–56(1974).
- [21] Habib, M., Paul, C.: A simple linear time algorithm for cograph recognition. *Discrete Appl. Mathematics* 145, 183–197(2005).
- [22] Jung, H.A.: On a class of posets and the corresponding comparability graphs. *Journal of Combin. Theory B* 24, 125-133(1978)
- [23] Maxová, J., Dubcová, M., Pavlíková, P., Turzík, D.: Which k-trees are cover-incomparability graphs. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 167, 222–227(2014).
- [24] Maxová, J., Pavlíková, P., Turzík, D.: On the complexity of cover-incomparability graphs of posets. *Order* 26(3), 229–236(2009).
- [25] Maxová, J., Turzík, D.: Which distance-hereditary graphs are cover-incomparability graphs?. *Discrete Appl. Math.* 161, 2095–2100(2013).
- [26] McConnell, R.M., Spinrad, J.: Linear-time modular decomposition and efficient transitive orientation of comparability graphs. In *Proceedings of the Fifth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms Arlington, VA, ACM, New York*, 536–545(1994).
- [27] Rose, D.J., Tarjan, R.E., Lueker, G.S.: Algorithmic Aspects of Vertex Elimination on Graphs. *SIAM Journal on Computing* 5(2), 266-283 (1976).
- [28] Seinsche, S.: On the property of the class of n-colorable graphs. *J. Comb. Theory (B)*, 191–193(1974).
- [29] Shibata, Y.: On the tree representation of chordal graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory* 12, 421–428(1988).
- [30] Tarjan, R.E., Yannakakis, M.: Simple linear-time algorithms to test chordality of graphs, test acyclicity of hypergraphs, and selectively reduce acyclic hypergraphs. *SIAM J. Comput.* 13, 566–579(1984).