## The Paradox of Bose-Einstein Condensation

Phil Attard

phil.attard1@gmail.com July 16, 2023

The paradox of Bose-Einstein condensation is that phenomena such as the  $\lambda$ -transition heat capacity and superfluid flow are macroscopic, whereas the occupancy of the ground state is microscopic. This contradiction is resolved with a simple derivation for ideal bosons that shows Bose-Einstein condensation is into multiple low-lying states, not just the ground state.

Bose-Einstein condensation has a contradiction at its heart. Einstein wrote in a letter to Paul Ehrenfest (1924),

'From a certain temperature on, the molecules "condense" without attractive forces, that is, they accumulate at zero velocity.' (Balibar 2014)

Although Einstein was specifically discussing ideal bosons, in which the energy and the momentum ground states are the same, Bose-Einstein condensation has ever since been generally considered as occurring in the energy ground state, even for interacting bosons.

The paradox arises because the size of the ground state decreases with increasing subsystem size. Specifically, the spacing of momentum states is  $\Delta_p = 2\pi\hbar/L$  (Messiah 1961, Merzbacher 1970), where  $\hbar$  is Planck's constant divided by  $2\pi$ , and L is the edge length of the subsystem; the momentum volume of the ground state is  $\Delta_p^3 = (2\pi\hbar)^3/V$ , where  $V = L^3$  is the volume of the subsystem. Since the size of the ground state decreases with increasing subsystem size, the occupancy of the ground state must be an intensive thermodynamic variable: if the size of the subsystem is doubled, then both the number of bosons and the number of states in a given range are also doubled, leaving the occupancy of each state unchanged. Mathematically, ideal bosons have an average ground state occupancy  $\overline{N}_0(z) = z/(1+z)$ , where the fugacity z is an intensive variable (see below). In consequence if Bose-Einstein condensation was indeed into the ground state then it would not be measurable by any macroscopic method.

However it is widely believed that Bose-Einstein condensation is a macroscopic phenomena ever since F. London's (1938) ideal boson analysis that explained the  $\lambda$ transition and superfluid flow in liquid helium-4 in terms of it. Since the  $\lambda$ -transition is signified by the peak in the heat capacity, which is an extensive thermodynamic variable, Bose-Einstein condensation must itself be extensive. Similarly, the fact that superfluid flow is observable with the naked eye must mean that Bose-Einstein condensation is also macroscopic in nature.

Hence one has two contradictory interpretations: On the one hand general thermodynamic arguments show that the occupancy of the ground state is an intensive variable, and so by Einstein's definition that Bose-Einstein condensation is into the ground state, it must also be intensive and independent of subsystem size. On the other hand the  $\lambda$ -transition and superfluid flow are both macroscopic phenomena, and in so far as BoseEinstein condensation is the basis for both then it must be extensive with the subsystem size.

To resolve this paradox let us re-analyse the ideal boson treatment of the  $\lambda$ -transition of F. London (1938), as set out by Pathria (1972 section 7.1). For ideal bosons, the partition function can be written as the product of the sums over the occupancies of the single particle momentum states  $\mathbf{a} = \{a_x, a_y, a_z\} = \mathbf{n}\Delta_p$ , where  $\mathbf{n}$  is a three-dimensional integer. Hence the grand potential is given by (Pathria 1972 section 6.2, Attard 2023a section 7.7)

$$-\beta \Omega = \ln \prod_{\mathbf{a}} \sum_{N_{\mathbf{a}}=0}^{\infty} z^{N_{\mathbf{a}}} e^{-\beta N_{\mathbf{a}} a^2/2m}$$
$$= -\sum_{\mathbf{a}} \ln \left[1 - z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}\right]. \tag{1}$$

Here  $\beta = 1/k_{\rm B}T$  is the inverse temperature,  $a^2/2m$  is the kinetic energy of the single particle momentum state **a**, and  $z = e^{\beta\mu}$  is the fugacity,  $\mu$  being the chemical potential. The average total number of bosons is given by the usual derivative (Pathria 1972, Attard 2023a)

$$\overline{N} = \frac{z\partial(-\beta\Omega)}{\partial z} = \sum_{\mathbf{a}} \frac{ze^{-\beta a^2/2m}}{1 - ze^{-\beta a^2/2m}}.$$
 (2)

The summand is the average momentum state occupancy  $\overline{N}_{\mathbf{a}}$ .

Choose a momentum magnitude  $a_0$  corresponding to some fraction of the thermal energy, such that  $\nu \equiv \beta a_0^2/2m < 1$ . The number of momentum states in the neighborhood of the ground state by this criterion is  $M_0 = 4\pi a_0^3/3\Delta_p^3 = (4\pi/3)(\nu/\pi)^{3/2}V/\Lambda^3$ . Here  $\Lambda \equiv \sqrt{2\pi\hbar\beta/m}$  is the thermal wavelength, which is of molecular size and which routinely arises from wave function symmetrization effects (Pathria 1972, Attard 2023a). The number of states in the neighborhood is macroscopic and it increases with increasing subsystem size.

For  $\nu$  chosen small enough we may replace  $e^{-\beta a^2/2m} \Rightarrow 1$  for  $a \leq a_0$ . With this the sum over states for the average number of bosons may be split into two, the first containing constant terms, and the second approximated

by a continuum integral,

$$\overline{N} \approx \sum_{\mathbf{a}} {}^{(a \le a_0)} \frac{z}{1-z} + \sum_{\mathbf{a}} {}^{(a > a_0)} \frac{z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}{1-z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}$$
$$\approx M_0 \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1}{\Delta_p^3} \int_{a_0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}a \ 4\pi a^2 \frac{z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}{1-z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}$$
$$\approx M_0 \frac{z}{1-z} + \frac{1}{\Delta_p^3} \int_0^{\infty} \mathrm{d}a \ 4\pi a^2 \frac{z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}{1-z e^{-\beta a^2/2m}}$$
$$= M_0 \frac{z}{1-z} + V \Lambda^{-3} g_{3/2}(z)$$
$$\leq M_0 \frac{z}{1-z} + V \Lambda^{-3} \zeta(3/2), \ T \lesssim T_{\lambda}.$$
(3)

The second term, which is the number of uncondensed bosons, involves the Bose-Einstein integral,  $g_n(z) = \Gamma(n)^{-1} \int_0^\infty dx \quad x^{n-1} z e^{-x} / [1 - z e^{-x}] = \sum_{l=1}^\infty z^l l^{-n}$  (Pathria 1972 section 7.1, Attard 2023a section 8.2.2). The final equality holds in the vicinity of the  $\lambda$ -transition, with the maximum density of uncondensed ideal bosons being  $\rho_*^{id} \Lambda^3 \leq g_{3/2}(1) = \zeta(3/2) = 2.612...$  When the actual density exceeds this value, the additional bosons are given by the first term, and Bose-Einstein condensation is said to occur.

In the third equality the integral has been extended to the origin, with the maximum error at z = 1 being  $\Delta_p^{-3} \times a_0 \times 4\pi a_0^2/(\beta a_0^2/2m) = 4(\nu/\pi)^{1/2} V/\Lambda^3$ . This increases the number of uncondensed bosons by a factor of  $1 + \sqrt{\nu}$ , which error can be neglected.

The conventional derivation (F. London 1938, Pathria 1972 section 7.1) sets  $M_0 = 1$ , which limits the condensed bosons solely to the ground state. In this case the number of condensed bosons equals the number of ground state bosons,  $\overline{N}_{000} = z/(1-z)$ , which is intensive. In the present analysis the  $M_0 = (4\pi/3)(\nu/\pi)^{3/2}V/\Lambda^3$  states in the neighborhood of the ground state are occupied by condensed bosons. This number of states grows with the size of the subsystem while the occupancy of each state remains unchanged. Even for an error of say 1%,  $\nu \sim 10^{-4}$ , since  $V/\Lambda^3$  is on the order of Avogadro's number the number of condensed states is macroscopic.

The original criterion for the  $\lambda$ -transition given by F. London (1938) also holds for the present analysis: condensation occurs when the saturated liquid density and thermal wave length exceed the number of uncondensed bosons given by the continuum integral,  $\rho \Lambda^3 > \zeta(3/2)$ . For <sup>4</sup>He at the measured liquid saturation density this corresponds to  $T_{\lambda}^{\rm id} = 3.13 \,\mathrm{K}$ , which is close to the measured value,  $T_{\lambda} = 2.19 \,\mathrm{K}$ .

Obviously the virtue of ideal boson analysis is qualitative rather than quantitative. It reveals the physical basis of the phenomenon, and the approximate agreement with reality must be regarded as a bonus.

The present result has the interpretation that states within about the thermal energy of the ground state contain condensed bosons (ie. are highly occupied), and uncondensed bosons inhabit states beyond the thermal energy (ie. such states are empty or sparsely occupied). This makes more physical sense than Einstein's (1924) and F. London's (1938) assertion that bosons condense solely into the ground state. The present analysis fills the lacuna in Pathria's (1972 section 7.2) derivation of the ideal boson result where his justification for adding the ground state contribution to the continuum integral is a little lame, and it extends that derivation beyond the ground state. The present result resolves the problem of the missing latent heat at the  $\lambda$ -transition (if a macroscopic number of bosons condensed into the ground state at the transition then there would be a discontinuous change in energy). It also makes sense for superfluid flow, which necessarily involves bosons with non-zero momentum. This result is consistent with the discussion in Attard (2023a chapters 8 and 9) for the  $\lambda$ -transition, although the simulated transition temperature for <sup>4</sup>He bosons interacting with the Lennard-Jones pair potential, is based on ground state condensation only (Attard 2023a section 8.5). The result is also consistent with the recent calculation and explanation for the superfluid viscosity (Attard 2023b). The present analysis complements these earlier arguments with mathematical rigor, and yields a consistent picture of the  $\lambda$ -transition, superfluidity, and Bose-Einstein condensation.

## References

- Attard P 2023a Entropy Beyond the Second Law. Thermodynamics and Statistical Mechanics for Equilibrium, Non-Equilibrium, Classical, And Quantum Systems (Bristol: IOP Publishing, 2nd edition)
- Attard P 2023b Quantum Stochastic Molecular Dynamics Simulations of the Viscosity of Superfluid Helium arXiv:2306.07538
- Balibar S (2014) Superfluidity: How Quantum Mechanics Became Visible. In: Gavroglu, K. (eds) *History of Artificial Cold, Scientific, Technological* and Cultural Issues. Boston Studies in the Philosophy and History of Science, **299** (Dordrecht: Springer)
- London F 1938 The  $\lambda$ -Phenomenon of Liquid Helium and the Bose-Einstein Degeneracy *Nature* **141** 643
- Merzbacher E 1970 *Quantum Mechanics* 2nd edn (New York: Wiley)
- Messiah A 1961 *Quantum Mechanics* (Vol 1 and 2) (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- Pathria R K 1972 Statistical Mechanics (Oxford: Pergamon Press)