

THE CLIQUE GRAPHS OF THE HEXAGONAL LATTICE – AN EXPLICIT CONSTRUCTION AND A SHORT PROOF OF DIVERGENCE

MARTIN WINTER

ABSTRACT. We present a new, explicit and very geometric construction for the iterated clique graphs of the hexagonal lattice Hex which makes apparent its clique-divergence and sheds light on some previous observations, such as the boundedness of the degrees and clique sizes of k^n Hex as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a (potentially infinite) simple graph G , its *clique graph* kG is the intersection graph of the cliques in G . More precisely, kG has as its vertices the *cliques* of G (*i.e.*, the inclusion maximal complete subgraphs), two of which are adjacent in kG if they have non-empty intersection in G . A graph is said to be *clique divergent* if its *iterated clique graphs* kG, k^2G, k^3G, \dots are pairwise non-isomorphic. It is called *clique convergent* otherwise.

The *hexagonal lattice* Hex (shown in [Figure 1](#)) is known to be clique divergent. This was first hinted to by the findings of [\[2,3\]](#), which proved the clique divergence of its finite quotient graphs (6-regular triangulations of the torus and Klein bottle). Later, divergence was proven directly in [\[4\]](#), building on an explicitly construction of the clique graphs of Hex (and of other graphs) introduced in [\[1\]](#).




Figure 1. The hexagonal lattice.

The article at hand presents a new explicit, and as we find, rather neat construction of the clique graphs of the hexagonal lattice, that makes its clique-divergence completely apparent. Our construction is noteworthy in that, once the idea is presented, the proofs require little more than some 3-dimensional intuition. Moreover,

Date: July 24, 2023.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C69, 05C76, 05C63, 37E15.

Key words and phrases. clique graphs, hexagonal lattice, clique convergence, clique divergence, clique dynamics, lattice graphs.

this new perspective sheds light on several previous observations, such as the boundedness of the degrees and clique sizes of k^n Hex as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Even though the result applies to a single object only, we do believe that it is of interest: the hexagonal lattice itself and its quotients have received notable attention in the literature on clique dynamics, some results of which we were able to reproduce using compacter arguments.

In [Section 2](#) we introduce the construction and the main result, which is proven in [Section 3](#). In [Section 4](#) we make some more observations regarding the construction and explain further relation to the literature.

2. CONSTRUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULT

In the following let G_d denote the ℓ^∞ -unit distance graph of the \mathbb{Z}^d lattice. That is, G_d has vertex set \mathbb{Z}^d , with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ being adjacent in G_d if and only if their ℓ^∞ -distance equals 1, that is, if

$$\|x - y\|_{\ell^\infty} := \max_i |x_i - y_i| = 1.$$

To establish our main result about the hexagonal lattice it is completely sufficient to restrict to $d = 3$, on which we shall focus in the following. The general definition is however still useful: the case $d = 2$ is especially suited for visualizations that provide intuition (*e.g.* see [Figure 2](#)). Moreover, our result generalizes in some form to $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, fails however for $d \geq 4$. We discuss this further in [Section 4.4](#).




Figure 2. The graph G_2 with a highlighted clique (a “ 2×2 square”). All cliques are of this form.

The relevance of $d = 3$ is as follows: the hexagonal lattice can be obtained as the subgraph of G_3 induced on points with coordinate sum zero:

$$\text{Hex} := G_3[x \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0].$$

The points with a given coordinate sum we shall call a *layer* of G_d . Our main observation is then that *all* (even) clique graphs k^{2n} Hex can be interpreted as subgraphs of G_3 induced on one or more such layers.

For general $d \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$ we introduce the *layered graph*

$$G_d(n) := G_d[x \in \mathbb{Z}^d \mid |\sum_i x_i| \leq n].$$

In particular, $\text{Hex} = G_3(0)$. Our core result for the hexagonal lattice reads

$$k^{2n} \text{Hex} \cong G_3(n),$$

from which clique-divergence is apparent (see also [Section 4.2](#)).

To also state the result for odd clique graphs k^{2n+1} Hex, we introduce the “dual” graph G_d^* , *i.e.*, the ℓ^∞ -unit distance graph of the half-integer lattice $\mathbb{Z}^d + 1/2$ (that is,




Figure 3. The layered graphs $G_2(0)$, $G_2(1)$ and $G_2(3)$.

all coordinates are half-integers). It is clearly isomorphic to G_d . The corresponding layered graph $G_d^*(n)$ is defined analogously:

$$G_d^*(n) := G_d^* \left[x \in \mathbb{Z}^d + 1/2 \mid \left| \sum_i x_i \right| \leq n \right].$$

The main result can now be stated in full:

Theorem 2.1. *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$kG_3(n) \cong G_3^*(n + 1/2) \quad \text{and} \quad kG_3^*(n) \cong G_3(n + 1/2).$$

Combining these yields $k^2G_3(n) \cong G_3(n + 1)$, and in particular,

$$k^n \text{Hex} \cong \begin{cases} G_3(n/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ G_3^*(n/2) & \text{if } n \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

3. PROOF OF MAIN RESULT

We believe that, once stated, verifying [Theorem 2.1](#) is fairly straightforward. The proof below will contain no real surprises. It does however require us to verify some technical points that are best dealt with using some 3-dimensional intuition.

We first present the main argument as a sequence of simple observations. Many of them are at least plausible from “visual inspection”. For some of them we provide more detailed arguments further below:

- (i) The cliques of G_3 are exactly the “ $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes” in G_3 , that is, they are of the form $x + \{0, 1\}^3$ with $x \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ ([Figure 2](#) shows the analogue situation in G_2 , where the cliques are “ 2×2 squares”).
- (ii) A cube $x + \{0, 1\}^3$ in G_3 has its centroid at $x + \{1/2\}^3$, which is a vertex of G_3^* . This correspondence yields an isomorphism $kG_3 \cong G_3^*$.
- (iii) Since $G_3(n)$ is an induced subgraph of G_3 , each clique Q in $G_3(n)$ extends to a clique \tilde{Q} in G_3 , that is, $Q = \tilde{Q} \cap G_3(n)$. In fact, more is true:
 - a. the extension \tilde{Q} is unique.
 - b. cliques Q_1, Q_2 in $G_3(n)$ intersect if and only if their extensions \tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2 intersect in G_3 .

We will provide justification for a. and b. below.

The discussion so far allows us to define a graph embedding

$$\iota: kG_3(n) \hookrightarrow kG_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} G_3^*, \quad Q \xrightarrow{(iii) a.} \tilde{Q} \stackrel{(i)}{=} x + \{0, 1\}^3 \xrightarrow{(ii)} x + \{1/2\}^3,$$

and we can consider $kG_3(n)$ as a subgraph $kG_3(n) \cong \text{im}(\iota) \subseteq G_3^*$. By (iii) b. we can consider $kG_3(n)$ even as an *induced* subgraph of G_3^* . It therefore remains to determine the vertices of G_3^* in the image of ι . We need two more observations:

- (iv) A clique \tilde{Q} in G_3 is an extension of a clique in $G_3(n)$ if and only if \tilde{Q} intersects $G_3(n)$ in *at least two* vertices (see [Figure 4](#) for the analogous situation within G_2)
- (v) A $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube $x + \{0, 1\}^3$ intersects $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices if and only if its centroid $x + \{1/2\}^3$ has coordinate sum of absolute value $\leq n + 1/2$.




Figure 4. The “squares” A, B and C intersect $G_2(1)$, but only B and C intersect in more than one vertex and therefore correspond to cliques in $G_2(1)$. Those squares then yield vertices of $kG_2(1)$. Note that $kG_2(1) \cong G_2^*(1)$ in contrast to $d = 3$, where $kG_3(1) \cong G_3^*(1 + 1/2)$.

This shows that $kG_3(n) \cong \text{im}(\iota) = G_3^*(n + 1/2)$ and concludes this part of the proof. By swapping G_3 and G_3^* we obtain an analogous proof for the other isomorphism.

We now provide arguments for (iii) a., b., as well as (iv) and (v).

Claim (iii), a. *Every clique Q in $G_3(n)$ has a unique extension \tilde{Q} in G_3 .*

Suppose that there are two distinct cliques (aka. cubes) \tilde{Q}, \tilde{Q}' in G_3 that extend the clique Q of $G_3(n)$. Then $Q \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap \tilde{Q}'$, which is a shared face of the two cubes. However, considering [Figure 2](#) we see that an intersections of a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube with $G_3(n)$ that has at least two vertices (such as Q) never lies completely inside a face.




Figure 5. The seven ways in which a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cube can intersect $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices. The dashed lines represent the layers of G_3 that intersect the cube (the numbers are for reference and do not necessarily indicate the coordinate sum of the layer). The line is black if the layer is in $G_3(n)$.

Claim (iii), b. *Cliques Q_1, Q_2 in $G_3(n)$ intersect in $G_3(n)$ if and only if their extension \tilde{Q}_1, \tilde{Q}_2 intersect in G_3 .*

One direction is obvious. For the other direction consider **Figure 6**: it shows the five way in which two distinct $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes in G_3 can intersect (up to symmetry of $G_3(n)$). The figure also highlights layers of $G_3(n)$ that must necessarily intersect the cubes in order for $G_3(n)$ to intersect each cube in at least two vertices. It is evident from the figure that these intersections necessarily contain vertices that lie in both cubes. In other words, these cubes also intersect when restricted to $G_3(n)$.




Figure 6. The five configurations (up to symmetries of $G_3(n)$) in which two distinct $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes in G_3 can intersect. Each figure highlights the minimal amount of consecutive layers that intersects each cube in at least two vertices (strictly speaking, in the second case from the left it must be at least one of the layers 1 and 2).

Claim (iv). *A clique \tilde{Q} in G_3 is an extension of a clique in $G_3(n)$ if and only if \tilde{Q} intersects $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices.*

If $\tilde{Q} \cap G_3(n)$ has a single vertex, then it is not a clique of $G_3(n)$, since $G_3(n)$ has no isolated vertices. Conversely, suppose \tilde{Q} intersects $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices. Let Q be a clique of $G_3(n)$ that contains $\tilde{Q} \cap G_3(n)$, and let \tilde{Q}' be its extension. If $\tilde{Q} \neq \tilde{Q}'$ then $\tilde{Q} \cap G_3(n) \subseteq \tilde{Q} \cap \tilde{Q}'$ must be a shared face of the cubes. But considering once more the possible ways in which a cube can intersect $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices in **Figure 5**, we see that this is not possible. Thus $\tilde{Q} \cap G_3(n) = \tilde{Q}' \cap G_3(n) = Q$ is a clique.

Claim (v). *A cube $\tilde{Q} := x + \{0, 1\}^3$ intersects $G_3(n)$ in at least two vertices if and only if its centroid $x + \{1/2\}^3$ has coordinate sum of absolute value $\leq n + 1/2$.*

Let s be the coordinate sum of x . The layers of G_3 that intersect \tilde{Q} in at least two vertices have coordinate sum $s + 1$ and $s + 2$. Thus, for $G_3(n)$ to intersect \tilde{Q} we require $|s + 1| \leq n$ or $|s + 2| \leq n$. Elementary computation shows that this is equivalent to $|s + 3/2| \leq n + 1/2$. Since $s + 3/2$ is the coordinate sum of the centroid of \tilde{Q} , the claim follows.

4. FURTHER COMMENTS

4.1. Bounded degree and clique number. The vertex degree of G_3 is $3^3 - 1 = 26$. As we have seen, k^n Hex appears as a subgraph of G_3 , which shows that the vertex degrees in k^n Hex stay bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The number 26 also played a major role in the proofs of [4], where it was considered a curiosity. Our constructions provides an explanation for the appearance of this peculiar number.

We also note that the clique number of G_3 is 8. This fact gives a concise explanation for the observation that clique numbers of k^n Hex stay bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This has previously been observed for the finite quotients of Hex in [2, 3].

4.2. Clique-divergence of Hex. The explicit form $k^{2n} \text{Hex} \cong G_3(n)$ makes apparent the clique-divergence of Hex. Here is a more explicit argument: consider the subgraph H_n of $G_3(n)$ induced on all vertices of degree < 26 . One can show that for n sufficiently large H_n has two connected components, and the graph-theoretic distance between those components diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4.3. Clique-convergence of G_d . As noted in [Section 3 \(ii\)](#), we have $kG_3 \cong G_3^*$, and by symmetry, $kG_3^* \cong G_3$. Thus $k^2G_3 \cong G_3$, and G_3 is clique-convergent.

The argument applies completely analogous to G_d for general $d \geq 1$: observe first that the cliques in G_d are exactly the $2 \times \cdots \times 2$ cubes $x + \{0, 1\}^d$. The isomorphism $kG_d \cong G_d^*$ is then given by $x + \{0, 1\}^d \mapsto x + \{1/2\}^d$.

For example, for $d = 1$ we have that G_1 is the infinite path graph, which indeed is clique-convergent.

4.4. Other values for d . In this article we have been motivated mainly by the clique dynamics of the hexagonal lattice, and therefore, the case $d = 3$. As it turns out, the statement of [Theorem 2.1](#) and its proof given in [Section 3](#) can be easily adjusted to also work with a few other values of d , though $d = 3$ remains the most interesting one of them:

Theorem 4.1. *If $d \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $n \geq 0$, but $(d, n) \neq (1, 0)$, then there are natural isomorphisms*

$$kG_d(n) \cong G_d^*(n + d/2 - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad kG_d^*(n) \cong G_d(n + d/2 - 1).$$

Combining these yields $k^2G_d(n) \cong G_d(n + d - 2)$.

Let us consider the values $d \in \{1, 2\}$ in some more detail, and also explain where the proof fails for $d \geq 4$.

For $d = 1$ the graph $G_1(0)$ is a single vertex and must be excluded from [Theorem 4.1](#) (in this case the proof in [Section 3](#) fails in step (iv), where we require that $G_1(0)$ has no isolated vertices). For general $n \geq 1$, the graph $G_1(n)$ is a path of length $2n + 1$. The peculiarity of the case $d = 1$ is that $k^2G_1(n) \cong G_1(n - 1)$, that is, the clique graphs are shrinking, completely in agreement with what we expect from the finite path graph.

For $d = 2$ we have $k^2G_2(n) \cong G_2(n)$, and so the clique graphs are “stable”. A special case is $G_2(0)$, which is the infinite path. See [Figure 3](#) for other examples.

For $d \geq 4$ there is no direct analogue of [Theorem 4.1](#). The proof of [Section 3](#) fails in step (iii) b.: two cliques Q_1, Q_2 in $G_4(n)$ can be disjoint, while their extensions in G_4 intersect. Here is an example: the $2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$ cubes

$$(1, -1, 0, 0) + \{0, 1\}^4 \quad \text{and} \quad (0, 0, 1, -1) + \{0, 1\}^4$$

intersect only in the point $(1, 0, 1, 0)$. Yet their intersections with $G_4(1)$ are disjoint, even though each cube intersects $G_4(1)$ in at least two vertices. We can however still find $kG_d(n)$ as a spanning subgraph of $G_d^*(n + d/2 - 1)$, and vice versa.

4.5. Triangulations of the torus and the Klein bottle. Any group action $\Gamma \curvearrowright \text{Hex}$ extends uniquely to actions $\Gamma \curvearrowright G_3$ and $\Gamma \curvearrowright G_3(n)$ that preserves coordinate sums. Taking the quotient of $G_3(n)$ by such an action yields an explicit description for the clique graphs of the quotient $T := \text{Hex}/\Gamma$, which is a 6-regular triangulation

of an unbounded surface (*i.e.*, the torus, the Klein bottle, the infinite cylinder, the infinite Möbius strip or the plane):

$$k^{2n}T = k^{2n}(\text{Hex}/\Gamma) \cong (k^{2n} \text{Hex})/\Gamma \cong G_3(n)/\Gamma.$$

Some more technicalities are involved in verifying the two isomorphism (see also [4, Lemma 4.4]), but all in all, we obtain a concise description of the clique graphs first mentioned in [3], that also makes transparent their linear growth as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

4.6. Relation to the geometric clique graph. The geometric clique graphs \mathcal{G}_n (introduced in [1]) provides an alternative description for the clique graphs of the hexagonal lattice (and more generally, of all “locally cyclic graph of minimum degree $\delta \geq 6$ ”). The vertices of \mathcal{G}_n are the triangular shaped subgraphs of Hex (shown in Figure 7) of side length m , where $m \leq n$ and $m \equiv n \pmod{2}$, subject to a non-trivial set of rules for adjacency (see [1, Definition 4.1] or [4, Definition 2.1]). It was proven in [1, Theorem 6.8 + Corollary 7.8] that $k^n \text{Hex} \cong \mathcal{G}_n$.




Figure 7. The “triangular-shaped subgraphs” of Hex of side length 0, ..., 4, as used in the construction of the geometric clique graph \mathcal{G}_n

Our description of $k^n \text{Hex}$ allows for an alternative interpretation of \mathcal{G}_n and yields a natural explanation for the otherwise ad hoc adjacency rules. Define the positive resp. negative orthant:

$$O^+ := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad O^- := \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^3 \mid x_1, x_2, x_3 \leq 0\}$$

and set $O^\pm := O^+ \cup O^-$. To each vertex $x \in G_3 \cup G_3^*$ (which is a point with integer or half-integer coordinates) we associate a triangular-shaped subgraph $T_x \subset \text{Hex} = G_3(0)$ as follows (*cf.* Figure 8):

$$T: x \mapsto T_x := G_3(0) \cap (2x + O^\pm).$$

This yields an interpretation for the vertices of $k^{2n} \text{Hex} \cong G_3(n)$ resp. $k^{2n-1} \text{Hex} \cong$




Figure 8. The intersection of $\text{Hex} = G_3(0)$ with $x + O^\pm$, yields a triangular-shaped subgraph T_x .

$G_3^*(n)$ as triangular-shaped subgraphs of Hex which is in accordance with the interpretation from \mathcal{G}_n . In fact, $x, y \in G_3 \cup G_3^*$ are adjacent if and only if T_x and T_y are adjacent in \mathcal{G}_n , providing a new interpretation for the adjacency rules in \mathcal{G}_n .

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Baumeister and A. M. Limbach. Clique dynamics of locally cyclic graphs with $\delta \geq 6$. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(7):112873, 2022.
- [2] F. Larrión and V. Neumann-Lara. Clique divergent graphs with unbounded sequence of diameters. *Discrete mathematics*, 197:491–501, 1999.
- [3] F. Larrión and V. Neumann-Lara. Locally c6 graphs are clique divergent. *Discrete Mathematics*, 215(1-3):159–170, 2000.
- [4] A. M. Limbach and M. Winter. Characterising clique convergence for locally cyclic graphs of minimum degree $\delta \geq 6$. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00503*, 2023.

MATHEMATICS INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK, COVENTRY CV4 7AL, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: martin.h.winter@warwick.ac.uk