

Probe of soft-QCD in minimum bias events of pp collisions with the ATLAS at the LHC

Yuri A. Kulchitsky¹

Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Russia

B.I. Stepanov Institute of Physics, National Academy of Sciences, Minsk, Belarus

The study of the minimum-bias charged-particle distributions is reviewed. The data are obtained using the ATLAS detector at the LHC in proton-proton collisions at centre-of-mass energies from 0.9 to 13 TeV. The particles are required to have an absolute pseudorapidity of less than 2.5. For charged-particle distributions, two transverse momentum threshold cases, greater than 100 MeV and 500 MeV, were taken. The charged-particle multiplicity, its dependence on the transverse momentum and pseudorapidity, the dependence of the average transverse momentum on the charged-particle multiplicity, and the KNO-scaling study are presented. The measured distributions are compared with the predictions of various tunings of the Monte Carlo generator, which implement different minimum-bias models. The Monte Carlo model predictions qualitatively describe the data well, but with some significant discrepancies. Measurements of minimum-bias events by the ALICE and CMS collaborations are presented.

Keywords: Hadron-Hadron Scattering, Proton-Proton Scattering, Minimum Bias, QCD

¹ E-mail: Yuri.Koulchitski@cern.ch, Kulchitsky@jinr.ru

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Soft QCD	5
3	ATLAS detector	6
4	Monte Carlo models	8
5	Analysis of minimum-bias events	10
5.1	Observables	11
5.2	Pseudorapidity dependence of charged-particle multiplicity	12
5.2.1	ATLAS distributions of charged-particle multiplicity over η	12
5.2.2	Distributions of charged-particle multiplicity over η of the LHC experiments	18
5.3	Charged-particle multiplicity density	20
5.3.1	Energy dependence of the multiplicity density at ATLAS	20
5.3.2	Energy dependence of the multiplicity density of the LHC experiments	24
5.4	Transverse momentum dependence of charged-particle multiplicity	28
5.4.1	ATLAS distributions of multiplicity over p_T	28
5.4.2	Distributions of multiplicity over p_T of the LHC experiments	32
5.5	Charged-particle multiplicity dependence	34
5.5.1	ATLAS multiplicity distributions	34
5.5.2	Multiplicity distributions of the LHC experiments	38
5.6	Average transverse momentum multiplicity dependence	41
5.6.1	ATLAS average transverse momentum distributions	41
5.6.2	Average transverse momentum distributions of the LHC experiments	45
6	KNO scaling	48
6.1	KNO scaling on the ATLAS results	48
6.2	KNO scaling at the LHC experiments	54
7	Conclusions	61

1 Introduction

The study of soft Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD) charged-particle distributions in proton–proton, pp , and proton–antiproton, $p\bar{p}$, collisions probes the strong interaction in the low transverse momentum, p_T , regime or non-perturbative QCD (non-pQCD). A theoretical description of low- p_T processes within pQCD is not possible. Predictions can be made with phenomenological models inspired by QCD (see reviews in [1, 2]). In the low- p_T region, charged-particle interactions are typically described by quantum QCD-inspired models implemented in Monte Carlo (MC) event generators. Data are used to constrain such MC models and gain further insight into the particle dynamics of the low- p_T regime. Measurements are used to constrain the free parameters of these models.

Low- p_T processes arising from pile-up events² may also affect the topologies of events involving an interaction with a high- p_T scale. An understanding of soft-QCD processes is therefore important both on its own and as a means of reducing systematic uncertainties in measurements of high- p_T phenomena. An accurate description of low- p_T strong interaction processes is essential for simulating single pp and $p\bar{p}$ interactions and the pile-up effects.

Understanding of soft-QCD interactions has a direct impact on precision measurements of high- p_T phenomena and searches for new physics; it provides insight into strong interactions in the non-pQCD regime: soft-QCD results are used in MC generator tuning, soft-QCD description is essential for simulating an underlying event (UE) with multiple parton interactions (MPI), and initial and final state gluon radiation (ISR, FSR). An important example of a process that is entirely governed by soft-QCD physics is hadronization. Since there is no uniform description of the phenomena that occur at low p_T , there are a variety of models trying to explain them through comparisons with extracted data. There is a wealth of CERN’s Large Hadron Collider (LHC) [3] measurements that probe the soft-QCD region, and basically all LHC experiments measure soft-QCD phenomena.

Minimum bias (MB) events were used for soft-QCD studies. MB are inelastic events selected by an MB trigger with as little bias as possible or with low- p_T events. MB events include non-diffractive (ND), single-diffractive (SD), double-diffractive (DD), and central-diffractive (CD) processes. In order to make a more complete study of particle properties in MB events, results are given for different multiplicity and kinematic selections termed “phase spaces” (PS).

Measurements of charged-particle distributions by the ATLAS [4] detector [5–9] at the centre-of-mass (CM) energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV were performed for the pseudorapidity (η) region $|\eta| < 2.5$ and for the samples of events with the primary charged-particle multiplicity (n_{ch}) more than or equal to 2 with the charged-particle transverse momentum $p_T > 100$ MeV and with the primary charged-particle multiplicity $n_{ch} \geq 1, 6, 20, 50$ with the charged-particle transverse momentum $p_T > 500$ MeV. Charged-particle transverse momentum results for pp and $Pb + Pb$ interactions at 2.76 TeV [10], for pp and $p + Pb$ interactions at 5.02 TeV [11, 12] in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2$ of particles with $p_T > 500$ MeV and $p_T > 4000$ MeV, respectively, and with $p_T \lesssim 200$ GeV [10] were studied by ATLAS.

Charged-particle distributions were measured by the ALICE [13] collaboration [14–26], the CMS [27] collaboration [28–36], the CMS and TOTEM [37] collaborations [38], the LHCb [39] collaboration [40, 41], the LHCf [42] collaboration, and the TOTEM [37] collaboration [43].

² Pile-up events are pp interactions in the same bunch crossing at higher instantaneous luminosities in addition to the triggered collision between two protons.

Similar measurements aimed at probing strong interactions at low p_T have been made in lower-energy from $\sqrt{s} = 0.03$ to 0.9 TeV for e^+e^- , ep and $p\bar{p}$ collisions. The low p_T studies were carried out in pp collisions at the ISR (CERN) by the ACHM and ABCDHW collaborations at $\sqrt{s} = 0.0304$, 0.0445, 0.0526 and 0.0622 TeV [44, 45]. Similar studies were also carried out in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the SPS (CERN) by the NA22 [46], UA1 [47, 48], UA4 [49] and UA5 [50–59] collaborations at $\sqrt{s} = 0.022$, 0.2, 0.54 and 0.9 TeV.

Important results on this subject were also obtained in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at Tevatron (Fermilab) by the CDF [60, 61] collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 0.63$, 1.8 and 1.96 TeV [62–66] and by the E735 collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 0.3$, 0.54, 0.9 and 1.8 TeV [67].

The hypothesis that at very high energies the probability distributions $P(n, \sqrt{s})$ of producing n particles in a certain collision process should exhibit a scaling relation was proposed in [68–70]. This scaling behaviour is a property of particle multiplicity distributions known as the KNO scaling hypothesis. The main assumption of the KNO scaling is the Feynman scaling [71], where it was concluded that for asymptotically large energies, the mean total number of any kind of particle rises logarithmically with the CM energy as $\langle n \rangle \propto \ln \sqrt{s}$.

The results of the KNO scaling study using the ATLAS experiment data are presented in [72]. The KNO scaling was also studied at the LHC energies by the CMS [30] and ALICE [15, 21, 25, 26, 73].

Charged-particle multiplicity and transverse momentum distributions in pp collisions at CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.2 - 14$ TeV within the MC Quark-Gluon String Model (QGSM) [74, 75] based on Gribov’s Reggeon Field Theory (RFT) [76, 77] were studied in [78, 79], where special attention was given to the origin of violation of the KNO scaling. A detailed theoretical description of the KNO scaling was done in [80–82]. The novel, physically well-motivated scaling rules for high-energy data were introduced in [83].

The MB events were also used by the LHC experiments to study UE, Bose-Einstein correlations (BEC), an inelastic cross section, track jets, particle correlations, hadronization, and colour reconnection. To perform precise Standard Model measurements or to search for new physics phenomena at Hadron Colliders, it is important to have a good understanding not only of the primary short-distance hard scattering process but also of the accompanying interactions of the rest of the pp collision, collectively termed the UE. It is impossible to uniquely separate the UE from the hard scattering process on an event-by-event basis, but observables can be defined that are particularly sensitive to the properties of the UE. Such observables have been studied using the MB events measurements performed by the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV [84, 85] and at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [86]. Using the MB events, the BEC effect with one size parameter, the source radius, has been studied by the ATLAS detector in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV [87] and at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [88]. Fiducial inelastic cross-sections were measured by ATLAS at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [89] and at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [90]. The recent soft-QCD measurement results of the LHC experiments are reported, for example, in [91–93].

This paper is organised as follows: A short description of the soft-QCD physics is presented in Sec. 2. The ATLAS detector for the study of MB events is described in Sec. 3. The MC model tunes are presented in Sec. 4. The charged-particle analysis is performed in Sec. 5. A study of the KNO scaling is presented in Sec. 6. The summary and conclusions are given in Sec. 7.




Figure 1: Schematic diagrams of (a) non-diffractive (ND), $pp \rightarrow X$, and diffractive processes with (b) single-diffraction (SD), $pp \rightarrow Xp$ or $pp \rightarrow pY$, (c) double-diffraction (DD), $pp \rightarrow XY$, and (d) central-diffraction (CD), $pp \rightarrow pXp$; X (Y) represents a dissociated proton or a centrally produced hadronic system. The double line \mathbb{P} corresponds to the Pomeron exchange and p for proton. Taken from Ref. [94].

2 Soft QCD

Understanding of soft-QCD interactions has a direct impact on precision measurements in high energy physics and searches for new physics that provides insight into strong interactions in the non-pQCD regime: the soft-QCD results are used

- in MC generator tuning,
- for description of UE simulation,
- for description of multiple parton interactions, MPI,
- for description of initial and final state gluon radiation, ISR and FSR.

Schematic diagrams of non-diffractive and diffractive processes with single dissociation, double dissociation, and central diffraction are shown in Fig. 1.

As discussed in Ref. [95], the Ryskin-Martin-Khoze (RMK) model introduced in [96] based on a modification of the classic Gribov's Reggeon Field Theory (RFT) [76, 77] allows one to trace the smooth transition from the pure perturbative region with large parton transverse momentum (k_T) into the soft domain. Strong absorption of low- k_T partons plays a crucial role here since it produces an effective infrared cut-off and provides a possibility of extending the parton approach used for hard processes to also describe high-energy soft and semi-hard interactions. This approach combines a description of soft physics and diffraction with jet physics in a coherent self-consistent way. The soft and hard components, independently included [97–100] are also possible. In this approach, the soft part is described in terms of RFT with the phenomenological soft Pomeron pole, while the hard part is calculated in terms of the Parton model for mini-jet production with the energy-dependent cut-off $k_T > k_0(s)$. A combined description of soft and hard processes in hadronic collisions is reached within the QGSJET-II MC model [101] using the semi-hard Pomeron approach [102]. In Ref. [103] a model was constructed that incorporated attractive features of two successful theoretical approaches to high-energy QCD: Balitsky-Fadin-Kuraev-Lipatov (BFKL) Pomeron calculus [104–108] and the Colour Glass Condensate approach (leads to a saturation of parton density with s) [109].




Figure 2: Cut-away view of the ATLAS detector. The dimensions of the detector are 25 m in diameter and 44 m in length. The overall weight of the detector is approximately 7000 tonnes. The number of electronic channels in the detector is about 100 million. Taken from Ref. [4].

In Refs. [64, 110–112] an analysis was done for the data set divided into two classes corresponding to soft and hard interactions. The term hard interactions is typically understood to mean high- p_T parton-parton interactions associated with such phenomena as jets, while the soft component consists of everything else. A comparison of the results shows distinct differences in the behaviour of the two samples as a function of the CM energy. Evidence was found that the properties of the soft sample are invariant as a function of the CM energy. The separation of hard and soft interactions in the LHC experiments can be done using the event shape observables [113], for example, sphericity or transverse thrust.

3 ATLAS detector

ATLAS is a multipurpose particle physics experiment [4] operating at one of the beam interaction points at the LHC [3]. The cut-away view of ATLAS detector³ is shown in Fig. 2. The ATLAS detector covers almost the whole solid angle around the collision point with layers of tracking detectors, calorimeters, and muon chambers. It is designed to study a wide range of physics topics at LHC energies. The tracking devices and the trigger system [114, 115] are of particular importance for the study of MB events.

³ ATLAS uses a right-handed coordinate system with its origin at the nominal interaction point (IP) in the centre of the detector and the z -axis along the beam pipe. The x -axis points from the IP to the centre of the LHC ring, and the y -axis points upward. Cylindrical coordinates (r, ϕ) are used in the transverse plane, ϕ being the azimuthal angle around the beam pipe. The pseudorapidity is defined in terms of the polar angle θ as $\eta = -\ln \tan(\theta/2)$. The angular distance is measured in units of $\Delta R = \sqrt{(\Delta\eta)^2 + (\Delta\phi)^2}$.




Figure 3: The cross-section of the ATLAS Inner Detector tracker (ID), showing the detection layers provided by three different detector technologies. The ATLAS ID comprises three detector types dedicated to tracking (from inside out): the Silicon Pixel Detector (Pixels), the Semi Conductor Tracker (SCT), and the Transition Radiation Tracker (TRT). During the first long shutdown of the LHC, the Insertable B-Layer (IBL) was constructed, inserted, and commissioned to become an additional (innermost) layer of the existing Pixel Detector. All these detectors allow precision measurement of charged particle trajectories in the environment of numerous tracks. The IBL and Pixels detectors mainly contribute to the accurate measurement of vertices; the SCT is to measure precisely the particle momenta; and the TRT is to ease pattern recognition with its very large number of close hits (while also contributing to electron identification). Taken from Ref. [116].

The innermost part of the ATLAS detector is the Inner Detector tracker (ID), which has full coverage in ϕ and covers the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.5$. The cut-away view of the ATLAS ID is shown in Fig. 3. The ID is immersed in the 2 T axial magnetic field of a superconducting solenoid and measures the trajectories of charged particles. It consists of a silicon pixel detector (Pixels), a silicon microstrip detector (SCT), and a straw-tube transition radiation tracker (TRT), each of which is split into a barrel and two endcap components. The Pixels, SCT, and TRT are located around the interaction point, spanning radial distances of 33–150 mm, 299–560 mm and 563–1066 mm, respectively. The barrel (each endcap) consists of four (three) pixel layers, four (nine) double layers of silicon microstrips, and 73 (160) layers of TRT straws. The Pixels, SCT, and TRT have (r, ϕ) -position resolutions of $10 \mu\text{m}$, $17 \mu\text{m}$, and $130 \mu\text{m}$, respectively.

During the first long shutdown of the LHC, the Insertable B-Layer (IBL) [116] was constructed, inserted, and commissioned to become an additional (innermost) layer of the existing Pixel Detector. The IBL is composed of 14 lightweight staves arranged in a cylindrical geometry, each made of 12 silicon planar sensors in its central region and 2×4 three-dimensional sensors at the ends. The IBL pixel dimensions are $50 \mu\text{m}$ in the ϕ -direction and $250 \mu\text{m}$ in the z -direction (compared with $50 \mu\text{m}$ by $400 \mu\text{m}$ for the other pixel layers). The intrinsic spatial resolution of the IBL readout is $10 \mu\text{m}$ in the (r, ϕ) -position and $75 \mu\text{m}$ in the z -position [117]. The smaller radius and the reduced pixel size result in improvements in both the transverse and longitudinal impact parameter resolutions [8, 9]. The services for the existing pixel detector

were upgraded, significantly reducing the amount of material in the region $|\eta| > 1.5$, in particular at the boundaries of the active tracking volume.

A track from a charged particle traversing the barrel detector typically has 12 silicon measurement points (hits), of which 4 at the Pixels and 8 at the SCT, and more than 30 TRT straw hits. Requirements on an IBL hit and on impact parameters strongly suppress the number of tracks from secondary particles.

The ATLAS detector has a two-level trigger system: the first-level (L1) trigger and the high-level trigger (HLT) [114, 115]. MB events were required to satisfy L1 triggers using the MB trigger scintillators (MBTS). These are mounted at each end of the detector in front of the liquid-argon endcap-calorimeter cryostats at $z = \pm 3.56$ m, and are segmented into two rings in pseudorapidity ($2.07 < |\eta| < 2.76$ and $2.76 < |\eta| < 3.86$). The inner (outer) ring consists of eight (four) azimuthal sectors, giving a total of 12 sectors on each side. The MB events were selected on the basis of the MBTS alone. The trigger used in this measurement requires at least one signal in a scintillator on one side to be above threshold. The MB ATLAS trigger collects inelastic events (INEL) in the definition of ALICE or the CMS.

The methods developed for the measurement of the properties of MB events during low luminosity runs using the ATLAS detector are described in Ref. [118]. An extensive software suite [119] is used in the reconstruction and analysis of real and simulated data, in detector operations, and in the trigger and data acquisition systems of the experiment.

4 Monte Carlo models

Inclusive MB data are modelled in MC event generators, assuming three different diffractive processes: non-diffractive, single-diffractive, and double-diffractive. Low- p_T scattering processes may be described by the lowest-order (LO) pQCD two-to-two parton scatters, where the divergence of the cross section at $p_T = 0$ MeV is regulated by phenomenological models. A summary of MC generator tunes used for comparison with the MB results based on the ATLAS measurements [5–9] is presented in Table 1.

The PYTHIA 6 [128, 136], PYTHIA 8 [121, 137, 138], PHOJET [134], EPOS [126], and QGSJET-II [101] MC generators are used to correct the data for detector effects and to compare with particle-level corrected data. For the purpose of comparing the present measurements to different phenomenological models describing MB events, the following particle-level MC samples were generated.

PYTHIA 8 [121] and EPOS [126] models use the effects of colour coherence, which is important in dense parton environments and effectively reduces the number of particles produced in multiple parton–parton interactions. In PYTHIA 8 the simulation is split into non-diffractive and diffractive processes, the former dominated by t -channel gluon exchange and amounting to approximately 80% of the selected events, and the latter described by a Pomeron-based approach [139].

Different parameter settings in the models are used in simulation to reproduce the existing experimental data and are referred to as tunes. A tune is a particular configuration or set of values for the parameters of a particular MC model.

The PYTHIA 8 MC generator [121] was used with the parameter values set to the A2 tune [124] and with the MSTW2008LO PDF set [125]. The contributions from ND, SD, and DD processes were included in proportion to the cross sections predicted by PYTHIA 8 with the A2 tune. The ATLAS MB tune PYTHIA 8 A2 was used for the determination of detector corrections. This was tuned using ATLAS MB data at 7 TeV for the MPI parameters.

Table 1: Summary of Monte Carlo generators used for comparison with the minimum-bias results of ATLAS [5–9]. The version number, the corresponding tune name, and the parton distribution function (PDF) are presented for each MC generator.

\sqrt{s} [TeV]		Monte Carlo Generator	Ref.	Version	Tune	Ref.	PDF	Ref.
13	[120]	PYTHIA 8	[121]	8.186	A3	[120]	NNPDF23LO	[122]
13	[8, 9]	PYTHIA 8	[121]	8.186	MONASH	[123]	NNPDF23LO	[122]
8	[7]	PYTHIA 8	[121]	8.185	A2	[124]	MSTW2008LO	[125]
		EPOS	[126]	LHCv3400	LHC	[127]	–	
		QGSJET-II	[101]	04	DEFAULT		–	
8	[7]	PYTHIA 6	[128]		AMBT2B	[129]	CTEQ6L1	[130]
7	[6]	PYTHIA 8	[121]	8.130	DEFAULT		MRST LO*	[131]
2.36	[6]	PYTHIA 6	[128]	6.4.21	AMBT1	[6]	MRST LO*	[131]
0.9	[5, 6]	PYTHIA 6	[128]	6.4.21	MC09	[132]	MRST LO*	[131]
		PYTHIA 6	[128]	6.4.21	DW	[133]	MRST LO*	[131]
		PHOJET	[134]	1.12	DEFAULT		MRST LO*	[131]
0.9	[5]	PYTHIA 6	[128]	6.4.21	MC09c	[132]	MRST LO*	[131]
		PYTHIA 6	[128]	6.4	PERUGIA 0	[135]		

The PYTHIA 8 MONASH [123] is used the tune using MB and UE results. It was constructed using Drell–Yan and UE data from ATLAS and also data from the CMS, SPS, and Tevatron in order to constrain energy scaling. The Monash UE tune is based on the NNPDF2.3LO PDF [122] and incorporates updated fragmentation parameters as well as SPS and Tevatron data to constrain the energy scaling.

The PYTHIA 8 version 8.130 MC generator [121] uses a diffraction model that produces much harder p_T and n_{ch} spectra for the SD and DD contributions than PYTHIA 6. The default parton shower model is similar to that used in PYTHIA 6 MC09.

The new PYTHIA 8 A3 tune [120] is suitable for inclusive QCD modelling for LHC Run 3. The PYTHIA 8 A3 uses the ATLAS Run 2 charged particle distribution and inelastic cross section results in addition to the Run 1 results used previously to construct MB tunes. The A3 uses the same NNPDF 2.3LO PDF and demonstrates that an acceptable description of data can be achieved by using the Donnachie–Landshoff (DL) model for diffraction.

The ATLAS PYTHIA 6 [128] MC09 tune [132] uses a specific set of optimised parameters; it employs the MRST LO* PDF [131] and the p_T -ordered parton shower [140]. These parameters were derived by tuning to the UE and MB Tevatron results from the energy region $\sqrt{s} = 0.63 - 1.96$ TeV.

The ATLAS PYTHIA 6 MC09c tune [132] is an extension of the ATLAS MC09 tune where the strength of the colour reconnection (CR) was tuned to describe the $\langle p_T \rangle$ distributions as a function of n_{ch} measured by CDF in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the Tevatron [65].

The CR phenomenon is a pure soft-QCD effect. The point is that after a number of coloured secondary partons are produced, there are different possibilities of forming the colour flow between these partons and grouping the partons into colourless clusters. In the process of reconnection, one rearranges the colour

flow in such a way as to minimise the size of the clusters. This is especially important when dealing with the contributions of MPI. The reconnection between the different cuts of Pomeron diagrams diminishes the final multiplicity and can change the form of the n_{ch} distributions [141–143].

The PYTHIA 6 AMBT1 tune (ATLAS Minimum Bias Tune 1) [6] was developed in order to adapt the free parameters of the ND models to the experimental data at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV in a diffraction-reduced PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, $|\eta| < 2.5$. The starting point for this tune is the ATLAS PYTHIA 6 MC09c [132].

The PYTHIA 6 DW tune [133] uses virtuality-ordered showers and was derived to describe the CDF Run II UE and Drell–Yan data.

The PYTHIA 6 AMBT2B tune [129] with the CTEQ6L1 PDF [130] was evaluated using jet and MB data.

EPOS [126] provides implementation of a parton-based Gribov’s Reggeon theory [76] which is an effective QCD-inspired field theory describing hard and soft scattering simultaneously. The EPOS generator, version LHCv3400, was used with the LHC tune [127]. The EPOS generator does not rely on PDF.

The QGSJET-II model version 04 [101] provides a phenomenological treatment of hadronic and nuclear interactions in the framework of the Reggeon field theory. The soft and semihard parton processes are included within the “semihard Pomeron” approach. For QGSJET-II the default settings of the generator are applied. The QGSJET-II generator does not rely on PDF.

The PHOJET MC generator [134] version 1.12.1.35 is used as an alternative model to PYTHIA-based generators. It describes low- p_{T} physics using the two-component Dual Parton Model (DPM) [144, 145] which includes soft hadronic processes described by Pomeron exchange and semi-hard processes described by perturbative parton scattering. The PHOJET relies on PYTHIA 6 version 6.1.15 for the fragmentation of partons.

The PYTHIA 6 MC generator PERUGIA 0 tune [135] with the soft-QCD part is tuned using only MB data from the $p\bar{p}$ Tevatron and CERN colliders.

All large MC samples of MB events were generated and passed through the ATLAS simulation programme [146], which is based on GEANT4 [147], and the reconstruction chain, which is exactly the same as used for the collision dataset.

ATLAS used 13 MC generators and their tunes to correct the data for detector effects and to compare with particle-level corrected MB results, which are presented in Table 1. The comparisons of the MC predictions with the ATLAS MB results are presented in Sec. 5.

5 Analysis of minimum-bias events

Measurements of inclusive particle spectra belong to the basic items in the physics programmes of LHC experiments, and they are usually measured regularly at each collision energy. The charged-particle multiplicity is one of the key characteristics of high-energy hadron collisions and has been the subject of many experimental and theoretical studies because, although quite simple to measure, it is quite difficult to describe it in the full measured range. Measurements of charged-particle distributions probe the non-pQCD regime where QCD-inspired models implemented in MC event generators are used to describe the data and to constrain the free parameters of MC models. Accurate description of low- p_{T} strong interaction processes

is essential for simulating single pp and pile-up multiple pp interactions. Such pp measurements are also used as input in many models trying to describe heavy-ion results.

The results used in this review are based on the pp data collected at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9 - 13$ TeV recorded by the ATLAS experiment [4] at the LHC [3] in 2010 – 2015 [5–9]. The data were taken in a special configuration of the LHC with low beam currents and reduced beam focusing, producing a low mean number of interactions per bunch-crossing in the range of 0.003 – 0.007.

The corrected distributions for primary charged particles in five separate PS regions for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6, 20, 50, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV are used. The results are compared to predictions of models tuned to a wide range of measurements. The measured distributions are presented as inclusive-inelastic distributions within a given PS region with minimal model-dependent corrections to facilitate comparisons with models.

5.1 Observables

The following observables were studied by ATLAS:

$$\frac{1}{N_{\text{ev}}} \cdot \frac{dN_{\text{ch}}}{d\eta}, \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{\text{ev}}} \cdot \frac{1}{2\pi p_{\text{T}}} \cdot \frac{d^2N_{\text{ch}}}{d\eta dp_{\text{T}}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{\text{ev}}} \cdot \frac{dN_{\text{ev}}}{dn_{\text{ch}}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle}{dn_{\text{ch}}}, \quad (4)$$

where, η is the particle pseudorapidity, p_{T} is the charged-particle transverse momentum,⁴ n_{ch} is the number of primary charged particles in an event within the kinematic acceptance. N_{ev} is the event number yield for a given event selection, N_{ch} is the total number of primary charged particles in all selected events in the data sample, $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ is the average transverse momentum of primary charged particles within the kinematic acceptance.

A primary charged particle is defined as a charged particle with a mean lifetime $\tau > 300$ ps, which is either directly produced in pp interactions or from decays of directly produced particles with $\tau < 30$ ps. Charged particles produced from decays of particles with $\tau > 30$ ps are considered as secondary particles and are thus excluded.

The usually used inclusive charged-particle spectra correspond to events with a minimum multiplicity $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ or $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and contain primary charged particles possessing a minimum transverse momentum $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV or $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, respectively, for the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$. Primary charged-particle spectra are also shown for higher-multiplicity events ($n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6, 20$ and $50, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV).

⁴ The factor $2\pi p_{\text{T}}$ in the p_{T} spectrum comes from the Lorentz-invariant definition of the cross-section in terms of d^3p . The results could thus be interpreted as the massless approximation to d^3p .




Figure 4: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.5$, each with a lifetime $\tau > 300 \text{ ps}$, at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100 \text{ MeV}$ [9] and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500 \text{ MeV}$ [8]. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [8, 9].

5.2 Pseudorapidity dependence of charged-particle multiplicity

5.2.1 ATLAS distributions of charged-particle multiplicity over η

The primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions (or “pseudorapidity distribution”) for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100 \text{ MeV}$ and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500 \text{ MeV}$ for $|\eta| < 2.5$ studied by ATLAS [5–9] at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 13, 8, 7, 2.36$ and 0.9 TeV are shown in Figs. 4, 5(a) and (b), 6(a) and (b), 7 and 8, respectively. The pseudorapidity distributions for particles with $p_{\text{T}} > 500 \text{ MeV}$ and higher minimum multiplicities per event $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6, 20, 50$ at $\sqrt{s} = 8 \text{ TeV}$ are shown in Figs. 5(c) – (d), and for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 0.9 TeV in Figs. 6(c) and 8(c), respectively. The accuracy of measurement of pseudorapidity distributions increases with increasing energy because of the better understanding of dead material values in the ATLAS ID in the data analysis for higher energies.

The ATLAS experimental results are compared to predictions of models tuned to a wide range of measurements described in Sec. 4 and presented in Table 1. The measured spectra are presented as inclusive distributions with corrections that minimally rely on the MC model used in order to facilitate an accurate comparison with predictions. In general, the systematic uncertainties are larger than the statistical uncertainties. In most regions of all distributions, the dominant uncertainty comes from track reconstruction efficiency.




Figure 5: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.5$, each with a lifetime $\tau > 300$ ps, at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$, (d) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 20$ and (e) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 50$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [7].

Figure 4 shows the pseudorapidity distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The distribution corresponding to the PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV [9] rises as $|\eta|$ increases, peaking at $|\eta| \approx 1.7$ before falling. For the PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [8], the mean particle density is roughly constant at 2.9 for $|\eta| \lesssim 1.5$ and falls at higher η .

For pseudorapidity distributions at 13 TeV for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ with $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV the PYTHIA 8 MONASH tune, EPOS and QGSJET-II give a good description for $|\eta| \lesssim 1.5$ in Fig. 4(a). The prediction from the PYTHIA 8 A2 tune has the same shape as predictions from the other generators but lies below the data.




Figure 6: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

In the case of PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, EPOS describes the data for $|\eta| \lesssim 1.0$, and predicts a slightly larger multiplicity at larger $|\eta|$ values. QGSJET-II and the PYTHIA 8 MONASH tune predict multiplicities that are too large by approximately 15% and 5%, respectively. The PYTHIA 8 A2 tune predicts a primary charged-particle multiplicity density that is 3% too low in the central region but describes the data well in the forward region.

In Fig. 5(a) at 8 TeV [7] the distribution corresponding to the PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV is well described by EPOS and PYTHIA 8 MONASH tune but is underestimated by the PYTHIA 8 A2 tune and QGSJET-II. In Fig. 5(b) for the PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV EPOS overestimates the distribution at $|\eta| > 1.7$ and describes the data well for the rest of the pseudorapidity range. The data are overestimated by the QGSJET-II and PYTHIA 8 MONASH tune calculations and underestimated by the PYTHIA 8 A2 tune prediction.

A similar shape is seen for the PS corresponding to higher multiplicities with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6, 20, 50$ shown in Fig. 5(c) – (e) with the extent of the plateau becoming shorter as the multiplicity threshold is raised. A small apparent structure in the distributions of the central values of the data points occurs at values of $|\eta| \sim 1.7$. In these figures, all models overestimate the overall yield for the PS with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6, 20$ although PYTHIA 8 A2 describes the plateau in the central region well. For the largest multiplicity threshold, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 50$, all of the models overestimate the data at $|\eta| > 1.7$ but provide a better description in the central region.

Figures 6(a) and 8(a) show the η distributions for the most inclusive PS region with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV. In these cases, the distributions show weaker dependence on $|\eta|$ than in the other plots at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV. Figures 6(b), 7 and 8(b) show the pseudorapidity distributions in the PS region with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, respectively. The mean particle density is roughly constant for $|\eta| < 1.0$ and decreases at higher $|\eta|$. The distribution shapes of the models are




Figure 7: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distribution for events for $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV [6] with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

Table 2: Fiducial inelastic cross-section measured by ATLAS at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [90] and at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [89] compared with the ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A3 [120] and Schuler–Sjöstrand (SS) model [148] predictions. The SS model is used in both the ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A2 and MONASH tunes. PYTHIA 8 A3 uses the Donnachie–Landshoff model [149] with two tuned parameters. Taken from Ref. [120].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	Experimental Results [mb]	SS model [mb]	PYTHIA 8 A3 [mb]
13	68.1 ± 1.4	74.4	69.9
7	60.3 ± 2.1	66.1	62.3

similar except for that of the PYTHIA 6 DW tune, which has a flatter spectrum and a more pronounced dip at central $|\eta|$, especially at low \sqrt{s} . At energies 7 TeV, 2.36 TeV and 0.9 TeV the PYTHIA 6 AMBT1 tune gives the best shape and normalisation description of the data, although it was tuned for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$ in Figs. 6(c) and 8(c).

At $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV all the shapes seem to model the observed spectrum reasonably well, but at this energy, the difference in normalisation among the models varies more widely, and no model reproduces the data. At $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV there is very little difference between the models, both in shape and normalisation, with the exception of PHOJET, which shows excellent agreement with the data. The other models show, on average, too few particles. The shape of the distribution is reasonably well described by all models.




Figure 8: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

In Ref. [120] the performance of the ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A3 tune was presented for primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions, transverse momentum distributions, and multiplicity distributions, as well as average transverse momentum multiplicity distributions, compared to the predictions of the previous ATLAS PYTHIA 8 tunes — A2 and MONASH. Both of these tunes use the default Schuler-Sjöstrand (SS) diffractive model [148], and predict the same value. The SS model overestimates the inelastic cross-section measured by ATLAS at 7 TeV and 13 TeV, as can be seen in Table 2; alternative models are therefore considered here. Changing the diffractive model affects the charged particle distributions not only at the low multiplicity or in the low p_{T} region, but also at intermediate values, and in each case, the MPI and CR parameters need retuning in order to preserve reasonable agreement with data.

The DL model [149] is found to give the best description of the MB observables and the measured fiducial inelastic cross-section [90]. The DL model comes with two tunable parameters that control the Pomeron Regge trajectory.

To understand the energy dependence of the parameters, the tuning results at different \sqrt{s} individually using just MB distributions were initially determined. For each parameter at each \sqrt{s} , a tuned value was determined and then compared to values of the same parameter when a subset of sampling runs is used. The spread of these points was an indication of the statistical and extrapolation uncertainty on the tune, as well as how well the parameter was constrained by the observables used. The next step was to determine the sensitivity of each of these parameters to different observables by successively adding distributions other than those from the MB analysis and varying the relative weight.

The fiducial inelastic cross section predictions from PYTHIA 8 A3 are about 5% lower compared to SS, which is somewhat closer to the values from the data. This does not come at the cost of sacrificing agreements with other distributions.




Figure 9: Top panel: The PYTHIA 8 A3, A2 and MONASH predictions [120] compared with ATLAS primarily charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV at the CM energies (a) $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, (b) $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, (c) $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, (d) $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV and (e) $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [6–9]. The yellow-shaded areas represent the measurement uncertainty. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [120].

In Figs. 9, 20, 29, and 40, the performance of the ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A3 tune can be seen for primarily charged-particle multiplicity pseudorapidity distributions, primary charged-particle multiplicity transverse momentum distributions, primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions, and average transverse momentum multiplicity distributions, compared to the previous PYTHIA 8 A2 and MONASH tunes.

The predicted values of the fiducial inelastic cross-section at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 13 TeV for the tunes compared with the data are shown in Table 2.

Figure 9 shows that the PYTHIA 8 A3 tune provides a small improvement in the modelling of charged particle pseudorapidity distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV and, to a lesser extent, at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, at the expense of a larger deterioration of the modelling of $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV data. Since the aim is to model soft collisions for pile-up at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, the PYTHIA 8 A3 tune’s mis-modelling of the $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV data is acceptable.

The models EPOS LHC, PHOJET, QGSJET-II, PYTHIA 6 and PYTHIA 8 show big troubles in describing the whole spectrum in the data, but the best agreement is achieved with EPOS. For $p_T > 100$ MeV at the highest energies PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS, QGSJET-II give a good description for $|\eta| < 1.5$. The prediction from PYTHIA 8 A2 has the same shape but lies below the data. For $p_T > 500$ MeV at the highest energies the MCs have the same shape but different normalisation; EPOS and PYTHIA 8 A2 give remarkably good predictions.




Figure 10: (a) Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.4$ at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The multiplicity of charged particles per event for the inelastic, NSD-enhanced, SD-enhanced, and SD-One-Side enhanced event samples are shown. The band encompassing the data points represents the total systematic uncertainty, while the statistical uncertainty is included as a vertical bar for each data point. Taken from Ref. [36]. Primary charged-particle multiplicity density pseudorapidity distributions from the (b) inelastic, (c) NSD-enhanced, and (d) SD-enhanced event samples at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV in $|\eta| < 2.2$, $5.3 < \eta < 6.5$ and $-6.5 < \eta < -5.3$ with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The error bars represent the statistical plus uncorrelated systematics between neighbouring bins and the bands show the combined systematic and statistical uncertainties. Taken from Ref. [38].

5.2.2 Distributions of charged-particle multiplicity over η of the LHC experiments

The CMS results for pseudorapidity distributions for events for $|\eta| < 2.4$ at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [36] are shown in Fig. 10(a). The measured distributions are presented for three different event data sets:

1. the most inclusive sample (inelastic),
2. the sample dominated by non-single diffractive dissociation events (NSD-enhanced sample),
3. the sample enriched by single diffractive dissociation events (SD-enhanced sample).

The SD-minus and SD-plus samples are mutually exclusive, depending on the side of the forward-detector that contains the hadronic activity. The pseudorapidity distribution of the SD-enhanced event sample is also presented as a symmetrized distribution constructed from the SD-minus and SD-plus enhanced samples and is referred to as the SD-One-Side enhanced event sample. The symmetrization is performed by reflecting the distribution with respect to $|\eta| = 0$. In general terms, the inelastic and NSD distributions are similar. The pseudorapidity density of the SD-enhanced event sample is about a factor of 4 lower than that of the most inclusive event samples.

The combined CMS–TOTEM pseudorapidity distributions are presented in Figs. 10(b) – (d) for the inclusive event selection sample, the NSD-enhanced event selection sample, and the SD-enhanced event selection sample [38]. The measurements are compared to the results from PYTHIA 6 (version 6.426) [128] tune Z2* [33], PYTHIA 8 (version 8.153) [121] tune 4C [139], HERWIG++ (version 2.5.0) [150] tune UE-EE-3 with CTEQ6L1 [130] PDFs, EPOS LHCv3400 tune LHC [127] and QGSJET-II version 04 [101].

In Ref. [43], similar figures for the pseudorapidity distributions were presented with additional η regions from TOTEM: $3.7 < \eta < 4.8$ and $-7.0 < \eta < -6.0$. The results are derived in the central region by averaging the data points in the corresponding $\pm\eta$ bins and in the forward region by averaging over the half-arms of four TOTEM T2 telescopes.

The primarily charged-particle multiplicity density at $\eta = 0$ is 5.35 ± 0.36 for the inclusive sample, 6.20 ± 0.46 for the NSD-enhanced sample, and $1.94^{+0.26}_{-0.23}$ for the SD-enhanced sample, with negligible statistical uncertainties. The CMS primarily charged-particle multiplicity density at $\eta = 0$ for the NSD-enhanced sample is in agreement within error bars with the ATLAS one presented in Table 3 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for PS $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV.

The predictions from various MC event generators differ from the data by up to 20% for the inclusive and NSD-enhanced samples, with even larger discrepancies for the SD-enhanced sample. The data are well described by PYTHIA 6 and QGSJET-II for the inclusive selection. For the NSD-enhanced sample, the predictions obtained from PYTHIA 6 and QGSJET-II agree with the data for most η bins. A good description of the measurement for the SD-enhanced sample is provided by both EPOS and PYTHIA 6.

The forward primarily charged-particle multiplicity density over pseudorapidity decreases with $|\eta|$. In the inclusive sample, $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$ is 3.85 ± 0.49 at $\eta = 5.375$ and 2.61 ± 0.28 at $\eta = 6.350$ with negligible statistical uncertainty. The pseudorapidity density of the NSD-enhanced sample varies between 4.80 ± 0.62 and 3.17 ± 0.35 , while for the SD-enhanced sample it is in the range of 1.49 ± 0.27 to 1.20 ± 0.20 . The MC predictions for the three samples differ from the data by up to about $\pm 30\%$. For the inclusive and NSD-enhanced samples, the data in the forward region are in agreement with the prediction from QGSJET-II and are between the EPOS and PYTHIA 8 results. For the SD-enhanced selection, the TOTEM data points are close to the PYTHIA 8 and HERWIG++ predictions, while QGSJET-II underestimates the data. The change in the slope of the MC curves close to $\eta = 5.3$, more visible for the NSD- and SD-enhanced distributions, is due to the event selection requirement of at least one charged particle in the pseudorapidity region of the TOTEM T2 telescopes.




Figure 11: The average primary charged-particle multiplicity density in pp interactions per unit of pseudorapidity for $|\eta| < 0.2$ as a function of the centre-of-mass energy \sqrt{s} for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV in comparison with predictions of Monte Carlo models (a) PYTHIA 8 A2, PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS LHC and QGSJET-II for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV [9] and (b) PYTHIA 6 AMBT1, PYTHIA 6 MC09, PYTHIA 6 DW, PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 7 TeV [6]. The values for pp centre-of-mass energies are taken from the ATLAS analyses [6–9]. The results have been extrapolated to include charged strange baryons (charged particles with a mean lifetime of $30 < \tau < 300$ ps). The data are shown as black triangles with vertical error bars representing the total uncertainty. They are compared to various MC predictions, which are shown as coloured lines. Taken from (a) Ref. [9] and (b) Ref. [6].

5.3 Charged-particle multiplicity density

5.3.1 Energy dependence of the multiplicity density at ATLAS

The energy dependence of primary charged-particle multiplicity density, $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, is of interest because it

1. provides information about the basic properties of pp collisions,
2. is related to the average energy density achieved in the interaction of protons,
3. constitutes a reference for the comparison with heavy ion collisions.

The average primary charged-particle multiplicity in pp interactions per unit of pseudorapidity, multiplicity density, for $|\eta| < 0.2$ as a function of the CM energy \sqrt{s} in three separate PS regions for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV are shown in Fig. 11. The results are compared to predictions of MC models tuned to a wide range of measurements. The comparison with the MC models PYTHIA 8 A2, PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS LHC, QGSJET-II for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV [9] and PYTHIA 6 AMBT1, PYTHIA 6 MC09, PYTHIA 6 DW, PYTHIA 8, PHOJET for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 7 TeV [6] is shown in Fig. 11(a) and Fig. 11(b), respectively.

The primary charged-particle multiplicity density in the central pseudorapidity region at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV is measured for fiducial PS to be 6.42 ± 0.10 , by averaging over

Table 3: Central primary charged-particle multiplicity density, $1/N_{ev} \cdot dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, for five phase spaces at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [8, 9] and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7]. The results are given for the fiducial definition $\tau > 300$ ps, as well as for the previously used fiducial definition $\tau > 30$ ps. Taken from Refs. [7–9].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	Phase Space		Multiplicity Density	
	$n_{ch} \geq$	p_T^{\min} [MeV]	$\tau > 300$ ps (Fiducial)	$\tau > 30$ ps (Extrapolated)
13	2	100	6.42±0.10	6.50±0.10
	1	500	2.87±0.03	2.99±0.03
8	2	100	5.64±0.10	5.71±0.11
	1	500	2.48±0.03	2.54±0.04
	6	500	3.68±0.04	3.78±0.05
	20	500	6.50±0.05	6.66±0.07
	50	500	12.40±0.15	12.71±0.18

$|\eta| < 0.2$; the quoted error is the systematic uncertainty, the statistical uncertainty is negligible. In order to compare with other measurements, it is corrected for the contribution from strange baryons (and therefore extrapolated to primary charged particles with $\tau > 30$ ps) by a correction factor of 1.0121 ± 0.0035 . The central value is taken from EPOS; the systematic uncertainty is taken from the difference between EPOS and PYTHIA 8 A2, and the statistical uncertainty is negligible. The mean number of primary charged particles after the correction is 6.50 ± 0.10 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for events with $n_{ch} \geq 2$, $p_T > 100$ MeV.

The mean number of primary charged particles in the central region is computed by averaging over $|\eta| < 0.2$ and found to be 2.874 ± 0.001 (stat) ± 0.033 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for events with $n_{ch} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV. This measurement is corrected for the contribution from strange baryons. The prediction from EPOS is used to perform the extrapolation, and the deviation from the PYTHIA 8 MONASH prediction is taken as a systematic uncertainty and symmetrised to give 1.024 ± 0.009 .

A summary of central primary charged-particle multiplicity densities at $\eta = 0$ in all measured PS at $\sqrt{s} = 8$, 13 TeV is given in Table 3. The primary charged-particle multiplicity density increases by a factor of 2.2 when \sqrt{s} increases by a factor of about 14 from 0.9 TeV to 13 TeV.

These extrapolated results are from Table 3, are shown in Fig. 11(a) [6, 7] and compared to predictions of the MC models PYTHIA 8 A2, PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS LHC and QGSJET-II for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV [9]. The predictions of EPOS and PYTHIA 8 MONASH match the data well at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for events with $n_{ch} \geq 2$, $p_T > 100$ MeV. For PYTHIA 8 A2, the agreement is not as good as that observed when measuring particles with $p_T > 500$ MeV [8]. For events with $n_{ch} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV EPOS and PYTHIA 8 A2 describe the dependence on \sqrt{s} very well, while PYTHIA 8 MONASH and QGSJET-II predict a steeper rise in multiplicity with \sqrt{s} .

In order to make consistent comparisons of pseudorapidity density at 8 TeV [7] with other measurements, these results are corrected to the earlier $\tau > 30$ ps definition of stable particles, using the factor 1.012 ± 0.004 in the $p_T > 100$ MeV PS and 1.025 ± 0.008 in the $p_T > 500$ MeV PS derived from predictions of the EPOS LHC tune with uncertainties following comparisons of the predictions of different MC models. Results at 8 TeV are shown in Fig. 11(a) for the PS ($p_T > 500$ MeV, $n_{ch} \geq 1$; 6) and ($p_T > 100$ MeV, $n_{ch} \geq 2$). It can be seen that the total uncertainty in the measurement at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV is about 30–40% less than for the study with the $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV data. This was achieved due to improved knowledge of the ID material distribution [151], which reduced the dominant source of systematic uncertainty by more than 50% with respect to the $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7$ TeV measurements. The best description of the data is given by

Table 4: Central primary charged-particle multiplicity density, $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$, for events with centre-of-mass energies at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7$ TeV for three different phase spaces $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1; 6, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [6]. The results for primary charged-particle average total multiplicity density are denoted by the symbol $(^*)$ for the phase space $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV. The results for the total multiplicity density of primary charged particles are denoted by the symbol $(^\dagger)$ for the phase space $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 0$ MeV. For MC sufficient statistics were generated such that the statistical uncertainty is smaller than the last digit quoted. The results were taken from Ref. [6].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	Phase Space		Multiplicity Density	
	$n_{\text{ch}} \geq$	$p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}$ [MeV]	Experimental Results	PYTHIA 6 AMBT1
7	2	100	5.630±0.003 (stat) ±0.169 (syst)	4.93
0.9			3.483±0.009 (stat) ±0.106 (syst)	3.01
7	(*) 2	100	5.881±0.002 (stat) ±0.276 (syst)	
0.9	(*)		3.614±0.006 (stat) ±0.170 (syst)	
7	(†) 2	0	6.252±0.002 (stat) ±0.304 (syst)	
0.9	(†)		3.849±0.006 (stat) ±0.185 (syst)	
7	1	500	2.423±0.001 (stat) ±0.050 (syst)	2.36
2.36			1.740±0.019 (stat) ±0.058 (syst)	1.70
0.9			1.343±0.004 (stat) ±0.027 (syst)	1.28
7	6	500	3.647±0.002 (stat) ±0.052 (syst)	3.63
0.9			2.380±0.009 (stat) ±0.027 (syst)	2.33

EPOS. The predictions of the PYTHIA 8 tunes provide a fair description of the shape of the multiplicity dependence with CM energy. As in the case of the other presented distributions, QGSJET-II calculations give the worst description.

The values for three PS regions are shown in Fig. 11(b) with comparison of PYTHIA 6 AMBT1, PYTHIA 6 MC09, PYTHIA 6 DW, PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET predictions for \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 7 TeV and in Table 4 [6].

The PS region with the largest minimum p_{T} and the highest minimum multiplicity ($p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$), which is the region with the least amount of diffraction, is the one where the models vary the least and the energy extrapolations of most models are in the best agreement with the data. For the most inclusive measurements, none of the models agree with the data, and the spread at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV of the expected values is almost one-third of the mean predicted value. The observed value is significantly higher at this energy than in any of the models.

The total multiplicity density of charged particles with $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV within the $|\eta| < 2.5$ are computed as the mean of the distributions shown in Fig. 6(a) and Fig. 8(a). They are found to be 5.881 ± 0.002 (stat) ± 0.276 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 3.614 ± 0.006 (stat) ± 0.170 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV (see Table 4). These charged-particle total multiplicities density in the full pseudorapidity region, $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$, are 29.04 ± 0.01 (stat) ± 1.38 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 18.07 ± 0.03 (stat) ± 0.85 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV and are in good agreement with the results presented in Table 5.

With extrapolation to $p_{\text{T}} = 0$ MeV, these numbers were multiplied by the model-dependent scale factors. The averaged inclusive charged-particle multiplicity for events with two or more particles for the kinematic region with $p_{\text{T}} \geq 0$ MeV is found to be 6.252 ± 0.002 (stat) ± 0.304 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and 3.849 ± 0.006 (stat) ± 0.185 (syst) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV (see Table 4). These are $\approx 6\%$ higher than average




Figure 12: The primary charged-particle average total multiplicity density, $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot \sum dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta$, dependence on pseudorapidity region $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$ for the ATLAS results for the charged-particle with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at the centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV [6–9]. The coloured symbols represent the data. The vertical bars represent statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. The black curves show the results of the fits with the fourth-degree polynomial function. Taken from Ref. [72].

Table 5: The average total multiplicity, $\langle n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}) \rangle$, and relative uncertainty, $\frac{\delta \langle n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}) \rangle}{\langle n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}) \rangle}$, as the results of the fits with a polynomial function of the primary charged-particle average multiplicity distributions in pseudorapidity region $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$ for the events samples with $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV using the ATLAS results [6–9]. Taken from Ref. [72].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	$n_{\text{ch}} \geq$	$p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}$ [MeV]	Average Total Multiplicity	Relative Uncertainty
13	2	100	33.88 ± 0.11	0.0032
	1	500	14.66 ± 0.04	0.0027
8	2	100	29.81 ± 0.10	0.0034
	1	500	12.25 ± 0.03	0.0024
7	2	100	29.40 ± 0.19	0.0065
	1	500	11.98 ± 0.05	0.0042
2.36	1	500	8.66 ± 0.51	0.0589
0.9	2	100	18.06 ± 0.12	0.0066
	1	500	6.53 ± 0.03	0.0046

multiplicities for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV. This result is interpreted as the average total inelastic multiplicity for events with two or more particles within $|\eta| < 2.5$.

For a correct comparison of charged-particle multiplicity and average transverse momentum distributions for different energies or PS regions, the scaled multiplicity is introduced as follows:

$$z = \frac{n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}})}{\langle n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}) \rangle}. \quad (5)$$

For example, a comparison of results for different PS regions with two $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}$ thresholds, was presented in Ref. [88].




Figure 13: (a) The evolution of the average total primary charged-particle multiplicity in pp interactions with a centre-of-mass energy for $|\eta| < 2.4$, including the data from lower-energy experiments NA22 [46], UA1 [48], and UA5 [53, 59] for $|\eta| < 2.5$. The data are compared with predictions from three analytical Regge-inspired models [152–154] and from a saturation model [155]. Taken from Ref. [30]. (b) Primary charged-particle multiplicity density $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ as a function of the centre-of-mass energy in pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions. Shown are measurements performed with different NSD event selections from the UA1 [48], UA5 [55], CDF [63, 65], ALICE [15], CMS [29], and CMS–TOTEM [38]. The dashed line is a power-law fit to the data. Taken from Ref. [38].

A fit with a fourth-degree polynomial function of the primary charged-particle multiplicity density distributions in the pseudorapidity region $-2.5 < \eta < 2.5$ was used in [72] for the calculation of an average total multiplicity, $\langle n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) \rangle$, for different CM energies and p_T^{\min} using the ATLAS results [6–9]. The $1/N_{ev} \cdot dN_{ch}/d\eta$ distributions over pseudorapidity are shown in Fig. 12. The average multiplicity, $\langle n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) \rangle$, resulting from the fit of these distributions with the fourth-degree polynomial function is presented in Table 5.

The average multiplicities from Table 5 were used for the calculation of horizontal axes using Eq. (5) for the correct comparison of primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions and multiplicity dependences of an average transverse momentum in Sec. 5.3, and for the KNO scaling study in Sec. 6.

5.3.2 Energy dependence of the multiplicity density of the LHC experiments

The average total primary charged-particle multiplicity, $\langle n_{ch} \rangle$, is equal to the integral of the corresponding single-particle inclusive density in the η interval considered. The $\langle n_{ch} \rangle$ is observed to rise with increasing CM energy in hadron-hadron collisions [44–46, 48, 52, 54, 156, 157]. The same behaviour is also observed in e^+e^- collisions, in deep-inelastic scattering [158], and in heavy ion collisions [80].

The CMS measured the average total primary charged-particle multiplicity for $|\eta| < 2.4$, presented in Table 6 and shown in Fig. 13(a), where the CMS data are compared with experimental data obtained at lower energies and various theoretical predictions. Recent Regge-inspired models [152–154] predict a

Table 6: The CMS average total primary charged-particle multiplicity in pp interactions with the centre-of-mass energy for the inclusive pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.4$ for the data, PYTHIA D6T tune, PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET events generators at the centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, \text{ and } 7$ TeV. The results were extrapolated to $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}} = 0$ MeV. For the data, the quoted uncertainties are first statistical, then upward and downward systematic. Taken from Ref. [30].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	Phase Space $n_{\text{ch}} \geq$	Average Total Multiplicity			
		Experimental Results	PYTHIA D6T	PYTHIA 8	PHOJET
7	1	30.4 ± 0.2 (stat) $^{+2.2}_{-2.0}$ (syst)	21.2	25.8	23.2
2.36	1	22.9 ± 0.5 (stat) $^{+1.6}_{-1.5}$ (syst)	16.7	17.8	18.7
0.9	1	17.9 ± 0.1 (stat) $^{+1.1}_{-1.1}$ (syst)	14.7	14.9	17.1

power-like behaviour, among which only Ref. [153] describes the highest energy data very well. Parton saturation models (such as [155]) predict a strong rise of the central rapidity plateau as well.

The PYTHIA 6 [128] generator and its fragmentation model tuned to CDF data [159, 160], called PYTHIA D6T, are used as a baseline model to simulate inelastic pp collisions. At 7 TeV a dedicated PYTHIA tune [160] better describes the high multiplicities used for correcting the data. Alternative tunings that differ mainly in the modelling of MPI have also been considered [159, 161, 162]. PHOJET [134, 163] is used as an alternative event generator that differs mainly in the underlying dynamical model for particle production.

Table 6 gives an overview of the average total primary charged-particle multiplicity for the data and for the PYTHIA D6T tune, PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET models. The PYTHIA D6T tune produces on average too few particles per event at all energies. PHOJET is consistent with the data within uncertainties for $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, but is not able to properly predict the average total multiplicity at higher energies. PYTHIA 8 describes best the $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV data, but underestimates $\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle$ systematically at all energies. The CMS results at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV presented in Table 6 are in agreement within the error bars with the ATLAS results at the same energies with $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV in Table 5.

The CM energy dependence of the pseudorapidity distribution at $\eta = 0$ is shown in Fig. 13(b), which includes data from various experiments for NSD events in pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions. The different experiments do not use identical event selection criteria; they all include a large fraction of NSD events. Particle production at $\eta = 0$ is expected to follow a power-law dependence,

$$dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta |_{\eta=0} \propto s^{\Delta}, \quad (6)$$

where Δ is the Pomeron intercept [164] and the effective Pomeron intercept is defined as

$$\alpha_{\text{eff}}(0) = 1 + \Delta \quad (7)$$

with Δ in the range $0.14 - 0.24$ [165]. The result of fitting the high-energy pp and $p\bar{p}$ central-pseudorapidity particle densities with this function is shown in Fig. 13(b). The value of $\Delta = 0.23 \pm 0.01$ is obtained.

In ALICE, the definition for multiplicity density in pp collisions, $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta |_{\eta=0}$, is an integral of the data over the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.5$. The results of the measurements of multiplicity density are shown in Fig. 14 and given in Table 7. Results are given for three conventional event classes: inelastic (INEL) events, non-single diffractive (NSD) events, and events with at least one charged particle in $|\eta| < 1$ (INEL>0).




Figure 14: (a) Charged-particle pseudorapidity density in the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 0.5$, $dN_{ch}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$. Results are given for three conventional event classes: inelastic (INEL) events, non-single diffractive (NSD) events, and events with at least one charged particle in $|\eta| < 1$ (INEL>0) as a function of a centre-of-mass energy. Lines indicate fits with a power-law dependence on \sqrt{s} . Grey bands represent one standard deviation range. Data points at the same energy are shifted horizontally for visibility. The nominal centre-of-mass LHC energy is indicated by a vertical line. Data are taken from the ALICE [15, 17], CMS [29], CDF [63], ISR [44, 45], UA1 [48], UA5 [51, 55, 57], STAR [166], PHOBOS [167], and CNPS [168]. Taken from Ref. [21]. (b) Charged-particle pseudorapidity density measured in the central pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 0.5$ for INEL and INEL>0 events measured by ALICE [15, 17, 21, 22], CMS [34], ACHM [44], UA5 [51, 55, 57] and PHOBOS [169]. The uncertainties are the quadratic sum of statistical and systematic contributions. The lines are power-law fits of the energy dependence of the data, and the grey bands represent the standard deviation of the fits. Taken from Ref. [22].

The fit is based on Eq. (6) to the combination of the ALICE data with other data at the LHC experiments and other experiments at lower energies in Fig. 14 yield $\Delta = 0.102 \pm 0.003$ for INEL events, $\Delta = 0.114 \pm 0.003$ for NDS events and $\Delta = 0.114 \pm 0.002$ for INEL>0 events. These results are compared to $\Delta = 0.15$ for central Pb–Pb collisions [170]. This is clear evidence that the charged-particle multiplicity density increases with energy in Pb–Pb collisions faster than in pp collisions. Fit results are shown in Fig. 14(a). The results of the extrapolations to CM energies of 13, 13.5 and 14 TeV are presented in Table 7.

The multiplicity densities $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ measured in the INEL and INEL>0 events in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.5$ at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ are shown in Fig. 14(b) [22] and are 5.31 ± 0.18 and 6.46 ± 0.19 , respectively. The multiplicity density for the INEL>0 events is also measured in $|\eta| < 1$ for direct comparison with the INEL>0 results of ALICE at lower energies and is found to be 6.61 ± 0.20 [17].

Figure 14(b) shows a compilation of results on the multiplicity density of charged particles measured in $|\eta| < 0.5$ for the INEL and INEL>0 results at different pp energies by ALICE [15, 17, 21, 22], CMS [34], ACHM [44], UA5 [51, 55, 57], and PHOBOS [169]. The energy dependence of $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ is parametrized by the power law (6) fitted to the data. By combining the data at lower energies with the ALICE and CMS results at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, it was obtained that $\Delta = 0.103 \pm 0.002$ for INEL events and $\Delta = 0.111 \pm 0.004$ for INEL>0 events. These fit results are in agreement within error bars with the results obtained in Fig. 14(a).

Table 7: Summary of the ALICE measurements and extrapolations of primary charged-particle multiplicity density, $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$. The experimental results were obtained for centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7,$ and 8 TeV [21], $\sqrt{s} = 13$ [22] and $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ [15]. The results were extrapolated to $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}} = 0$ MeV. Extrapolations of primary charged-particle multiplicity density were done for centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 13, 13.5$ and 14 TeV [21]. The results are given for three conventional event classes: inelastic (INEL) events, non-single diffractive (NSD) events, and events with at least one charged particle in $|\eta| < 1$ (INEL>0). The errors shown are systematic errors. Statistical errors are negligible. Taken from Ref. [21, 22].

\sqrt{s} [TeV]	$n_{\text{ch}} \geq$	INEL	NSD	INEL>0
13	1	5.15 ± 0.18	—	6.48 ± 0.19
8	1	$4.66^{+0.35}_{-0.17}$	$5.90^{+0.15}_{-0.13}$	$6.13^{+0.10}_{-0.08}$
7	1	$4.60^{+0.34}_{-0.17}$	$5.74^{+0.15}_{-0.15}$	$5.98^{+0.09}_{-0.07}$
2.76	1	$3.75^{+0.26}_{-0.16}$	$4.63^{+0.30}_{-0.19}$	$4.76^{+0.08}_{-0.07}$
2.36	1	$3.77^{+0.25}_{-0.12}$	$4.43^{+0.17}_{-0.12}$	—
0.9	1	$2.94^{+0.11}_{-0.05}$	$3.61^{+0.17}_{-0.16}$	$3.75^{+0.06}_{-0.05}$
Extrapolations in Ref. [21]				
14	1	5.37 ± 0.24	6.62 ± 0.20	6.98 ± 0.10
13.5	1	5.33 ± 0.25	6.56 ± 0.20	6.92 ± 0.10
13	1	5.30 ± 0.24	6.50 ± 0.20	6.86 ± 0.10

The CMS obtained value $\Delta = 0.23 \pm 0.01$ in Fig. 13(b) is higher than ALICE result $\Delta = 0.114 \pm 0.003$ in Fig. 14(a) by 0.12 ± 0.01 for the NSD event class. Note that a more complete data sample was used for the ALICE fit than for the CMS one.

The measurement of average multiplicity density at 13 TeV by the CMS [34] for the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.4$ resulted in $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{|\eta|<0.5} = 5.49 \pm 0.01$ (stat) ± 0.17 (syst) for inelastic events, which is consistent with the ALICE extrapolation of 5.30 ± 0.24 in Table 7.

Over the LHC energy range from 0.9 to 14 TeV, while the CM energy increases by a factor of 15.5, extrapolation of the present data for $dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{|\eta|=0}$ shows an increase by a factor of 1.75 ± 0.03 for the INEL event class, 1.87 ± 0.03 for the NSD event class, and 1.87 ± 0.01 for the INEL>0 event class. The multiplicity increase is similar for the NSD and INEL>0 classes but slightly lower for the INEL class.

The ALICE results at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 7$ and 8 TeV and extrapolation at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for the average multiplicity density for the NSD events in Table 7 are in agreement within uncertainties with the ATLAS results presented in Table 3 at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ and 13 TeV and in Table 4 at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV for inelastic events with $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$.

The multiplicity pseudorapidity distributions of the charged particles multiplicity density at mid-rapidity ($|\eta| < 0.2$) measured at several \sqrt{s} points were found to be well described by the PYTHIA 8 MONASH and EPOS models for three event selections. For $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV at the highest energies, the predictions from EPOS and PYTHIA 8 MONASH match the data well. For the predictions from PYTHIA 8 A2, the match is not as good as was observed when measuring particles with $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. For $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at the highest energies, the predictions from EPOS and PYTHIA 8 A2 match the data well. The energy dependence of the particle density $1/N_{\text{ev}} \cdot dN_{\text{ch}}/d\eta|_{\eta=0}$ is shown in Fig. 11 for ATLAS, in Fig. 13(b) for the CMS–TOTEM and in Fig. 14 for ALICE.




Figure 15: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured by ATLAS at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV [9] and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [8]. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Refs. [8, 9].

5.4 Transverse momentum dependence of charged-particle multiplicity

5.4.1 ATLAS distributions of multiplicity over p_{T}

The transverse momentum distributions of charged particles measured by ATLAS are shown in Figs. 15 – 19 at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8,$ and 13 TeV.

Figure 15(a) shows the charged-particle transverse momentum distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV [9]. The EPOS describes the data well for $p_{\text{T}} > 300$ MeV. For lower p_{T} the data are underestimated by up to 15%. The other generators show similar mis-modelling at low momenta but with larger discrepancies up to 35% for QGSJET-II. MC models mostly overestimate the charged-particle multiplicity for $p_{\text{T}} > 400$ MeV; PYTHIA 8 A2 yields overestimated results only in the intermediate p_{T} region and slightly underestimates the data for $p_{\text{T}} > 800$ MeV. Figure 15(b) shows the charged-particle transverse momentum distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [8]. EPOS describes the data well over the entire p_{T} spectrum. The PYTHIA 8 tunes describe the data reasonably well, but they are slightly above the data in the high- p_{T} region. QGSJET-II gives a poor prediction over the entire spectrum, overshooting the data in the low- p_{T} region and undershooting it in the high- p_{T} region.

Figures 16(a) – 16(e) show charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum; see




Figure 16: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured by ATLAS at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$, (d) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 20$ and (e) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 50$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [7].

Eq. (2), for various PS at the CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7]. No model is fully consistent with the distributions. Above 1 GeV PYTHIA 8 MONASH predictions agree well with the data. This model is the only one that gives a fair description of the data corresponding to the highest multiplicity threshold with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 50$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, where all other models show large deviations as p_{T} increases. The EPOS predictions give the best description of the data corresponding to the PS $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV, particularly at transverse momenta below 1 GeV, while the other models underestimate the data at the lowest p_{T} values. The EPOS provides fair predictions for the PS $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1; 6$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, but for the higher multiplicity thresholds, $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 20; 50$, deviations from the data are seen at high transverse momenta. PYTHIA 8 A2 gives fair descriptions of the data below 6 GeV, yet shows deviations of up to 30% around $p_{\text{T}} \sim 10$ GeV. In all




Figure 17: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured by ATLAS at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

measured PS the QGSJET-II approach shows large disagreements with the data as p_{T} increases.

Figures 17, 18(a), and 19 show the charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum, Eq. (2). Figures 17(b), 18(a), and 19(b) show three CM energies considered in the PS region $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. The observed p_{T} spectrum is not described by any of the models over the whole range. The region that is most difficult for the models to describe is the region above 1 GeV. Figures 17(a) and 19(a) show the charged-particle multiplicities in the most inclusive PS region $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$. At $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV PHOJET describes the data is best over the whole range, even though the agreement is still not excellent. The other models tend to under-predict the number of low- p_{T} particles, while at higher p_{T} the models vary widely. At $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV the effect at low p_{T} is more pronounced, whereas at high p_{T} the agreement of PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET with the data is quite good. The AMBT1 and MC09 tunes of PYTHIA 6 predict too many particles at higher p_{T} . Figures 17(c) and 19(c) show the charged-particle multiplicities with the smallest contribution from diffractive events. This distribution carried the most weight in the PYTHIA 6 AMBT1 tune. Considerable improvement in agreement with the data is seen between the older PYTHIA 6 MC09 and AMBT1 but the parameters varied in this tune and were not sufficient to describe the full spectrum.

The charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV and in $Pb+Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{\text{NN}}} = 2.76$ TeV are shown in Fig. 18(b) for the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2$ and for five centrality intervals in $Pb+Pb$ collisions: 0–5%, 10–20%, 30–40%, 50–60%, and 60–80% in the $0.5 < p_{\text{T}} < 150$ GeV. This figure shows the $Pb+Pb$ spectra divided by the $\langle T_{\text{AA}} \rangle$ (which is estimated as the number of nucleon–nucleon collisions over their cross section) of the corresponding centrality interval compared with the charged-particle production cross sections measured in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV. The charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum combine the measurement of the soft regime at low p_{T} with the hard regime at high p_{T} which can be calculated in




Figure 18: (a) Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured by ATLAS at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV [6] with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6]. (b) Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum for $Pb + Pb$ interactions at 2.76 TeV for the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 2.0$ shown with filled symbols in five centrality intervals: 0–5%, 10–20%, 30–40%, 50–60%, and 60–80% as well as the primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum for fully corrected charged-particle transverse momentum for pp interactions shown by open circles. Statistical uncertainties are smaller than the size of the symbols. Systematic uncertainties are shown by open boxes. The different centrality intervals are scaled down by powers of ten for clarity. Each centrality interval is divided by the corresponding $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$ (see text) and plotted together with the pp cross section scaled by the same factor shown with solid lines. The total systematic uncertainty on the $Pb + Pb$ spectra includes the uncertainty of $\langle T_{AA} \rangle$. Taken from Ref. [10].

pQCD. While early measurements could focus only on the regime up to a few GeV, distributions were later measured up to ≈ 200 GeV as presented in Fig. 18(b) [10] and in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV [11]. A similar result of the CMS is presented in Ref. [32].

For $p_T > 100$ MeV at the highest energies EPOS describes the data well for $p_T > 300$ MeV, while for $p_T < 300$ MeV, the data are underestimated by up to 15%. MCs show similar mis-modelling at low momentum but with larger discrepancies, up to 35% for QGSJET-II. MCs mostly overestimate the charged-particle multiplicity for $p_T > 400$ MeV. PYTHIA 8 A2 overestimates the data only in the intermediate p_T region and slightly underestimates them for $p_T > 800$ MeV. For $p_T > 500$ MeV at the highest energies, the measurement spans 10 orders of magnitude; EPOS and PYTHIA 8 MONASH give remarkably good predictions.




Figure 19: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum measured by ATLAS at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

Compared to PYTHIA 8 A2, PYTHIA 8 A3 provides a slightly worse description of the charged particle multiplicity distribution, which coincides with the improved charged-particle p_{T} distribution that performs similarly to PYTHIA 8 MONASH, as shown by Fig. 20. In all cases, $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV results are very similar to those at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV.

The comparison of the primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum for $|\eta| < 2.5$ measured at the CM energies from 0.9 to 13 TeV by ATLAS [6–9] are presented for events with PS $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV in Fig. 21(a) and with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV in Fig. 21(b).

Figures 21(a) and (b) show an increase in the primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions with the transverse momentum. As expected, the distributions acquire higher values at higher collision energies, and an increase by $\approx 40\%$ and $\approx 10\%$ is observed in the region of $p_{\text{T}} < 1$ GeV as the energy increases from 0.9 to 13 TeV for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, respectively. The results at 7 and 8 TeV are in agreement within error bars. The particle multiplicity in the transverse momentum region of $p_{\text{T}} > 5$ GeV increases by $\approx 40\%$ for particle p_{T} thresholds of 100 MeV and for that of 500 MeV when energy rises from 7 to 13 TeV.

5.4.2 Distributions of multiplicity over p_{T} of the LHC experiments

The CMS results for primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum, p_{T} , and a leading transverse momentum, $p_{\text{T,leading}}$, for events for $|\eta| < 2.4$ at the CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [36] are shown in Fig. 22. The measured distributions are presented for three different event data sets: an inelastic (INEL) sample, an NSD-enhanced sample, and an SD-enhanced




Figure 20: Top panel: The PYTHIA 8 A3, A2 and MONASH tune predictions [120] compared with the ATLAS primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum distributions for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ with $p_T > 500$ MeV at centre-of-mass energies (a) 13 TeV, (b) 8 TeV, (c) 7 TeV, (d) 2.36 TeV and (e) 0.9 TeV. The yellow-shaded areas represent the measurement uncertainty. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [120].

sample. The p_T distributions (i. e., p_T and $p_{T,\text{leading}}$) of the SD-enhanced event sample fall very steeply for large p_T values.

The ALICE measurement of primary charged particle transverse momentum spectra in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76, 7$ TeV was presented in Ref. [20]. The measurement is performed in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.8$ for particles with $p_T > 150$ MeV. The differential cross section for the INEL pp collisions as a function of p_T measured by ALICE is shown in Fig. 23(a) for three measured collision energies [20]. At high p_T a clear evolution of the slope from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 7 TeV can be observed. The next-to-Leading-Order pQCD (NLO-pQCD) calculation [171] for $p_T > 3$ GeV is compared to the spectra. The calculation shows a similar evolution of the high- p_T dependence with \sqrt{s} but over-predicts the data by a factor of two [32, 172]. The low systematic uncertainties demonstrate the accuracy of the measurements for all energies over the full p_T range.

Though the p_T dependence of the cross section for a single \sqrt{s} is not well described by NLO-pQCD, the relative dependence on p_T of cross sections of two collision energies is described better. Figure 23(b) shows the ratio between the differential cross section in INEL pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ to 7 TeV, $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 2.76 TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 7 TeV as a function of p_T in comparison to the same ratio calculated with NLO-pQCD. The total p_T -dependent systematic uncertainties on the ratios are evaluated with allowance for correlated contributions, and amount to 8.1–9.8% for 0.9 TeV/2.76 TeV, 7.8–9.9% for 0.9 TeV/7 TeV, and




Figure 21: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the transverse momentum for pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the centre-of-mass energies from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [6–9] for events with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. The grey curve and the band of uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. Bottom panel: The ratios of the lower energy distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ TeV to the distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

7.9–9.9% for 2.76 TeV/7 TeV. The corresponding normalisation uncertainties amount to +5.4%/–4.4%, +6.2%/–5.4%, and $\pm 4.1\%$, and are calculated assuming that the normalisation uncertainties on the p_{T} spectra are uncorrelated. In all ratios, good agreement between the data and the NLO-pQCD calculations is found, which can be seen in the double ratio of data and NLO-pQCD for the three energy ratios in the lower panel of Fig. 23(b).

5.5 Charged-particle multiplicity dependence

5.5.1 ATLAS multiplicity distributions

The charged-particle multiplicity distributions are shown in Figs. 24 – 28 at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8,$ and 13 TeV.

Figures 24(a) and (b) show the charged-particle multiplicity distributions at the CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV [9] and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [8], respectively.

In Fig. 24(a) for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV the form of the measured distribution is reproduced reasonably by all models. PYTHIA 8 A2 describes the data well for $30 < n_{\text{ch}} < 80$ but underestimates them for higher n_{ch} . For this multiplicity region, PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS and QGSJET-II underestimate the data by up to 20%. PYTHIA 8 MONASH and EPOS overestimate the data for the multiplicity region $n_{\text{ch}} > 80$ and drop below the measurement in the high- n_{ch} region, starting from $n_{\text{ch}} > 130$ and $n_{\text{ch}} > 200$, respectively. QGSJET-II significantly overestimates the data for the multiplicity region




Figure 22: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of (a) the transverse momentum and (b) the leading transverse momentum, $p_{T, \text{leading}}$, from the most inclusive (inelastic) sample, the sample dominated by non-single diffractive dissociation events (NSD-enhanced sample), and the sample enriched by single diffractive dissociation events (SD-enhanced event samples) for events at a centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_T > 500$ MeV. The error bars represent the statistical plus uncorrelated systematics uncertainties between neighbouring bins, and the bands show the combined systematic and statistical uncertainties. Taken from Ref. [36].

$n_{\text{ch}} > 100$. Figure 24 (b) shows the charged-particle multiplicity distribution for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The high- n_{ch} region has significant contributions from events with numerous MPI. PYTHIA 8 A2 describes the data well in the multiplicity region $n_{\text{ch}} < 50$ but predicts too few events at larger n_{ch} . PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS and QGSJET-II describe the data reasonably well in the multiplicity region $n_{\text{ch}} < 30$ but predict too many events in mid- n_{ch} region, with PYTHIA 8 MONASH and EPOS predicting too few events in region $n_{\text{ch}} > 100$ while QGSJET-II continues to be above the data.

In Figs. 25(a) and (b) show the distributions of primary charged-particle multiplicity for the minimum transverse momentum thresholds of 100 MeV and 500 MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7], respectively. For the lower threshold, the distribution rises until $n_{\text{ch}} \sim 9$ before falling steeply. For the higher threshold, the distribution peaks at $n_{\text{ch}} \sim 2$. The models are consistent with the data, although the EPOS model provides a fair description. The two PYTHIA 8 calculations predict distribution peaks that are at higher n_{ch} than those observed and underestimate the event yield at low and high multiplicities. The QGSJET-II tune overestimates the data at low and high n_{ch} values and underestimates the data for intermediate n_{ch} values.

In Figs. 26(a) and 28(a) shown the distributions of primary charged-particle multiplicity for the most inclusive PS region $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_T > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, respectively. Here, the variations between models at both low n_{ch} and high n_{ch} are increased, and no model predicts the observed spectra. Due to the normalisation, $1/N_{\text{ev}}$, the deviation observed in one region needs to be compensated for by the one in the other direction somewhere else. Figures 26(b), 27, and 28(b) show the primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, 2.36 TeV, and 0.9 TeV, respectively. At low n_{ch} , all models predict more events than observed in the data, which is compensated for by an under-prediction in the tails of the distributions. The predictions of PHOJET at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV model the data reasonably well, but at




Figure 23: (a) Top panel: Differential cross section of charged particles in INEL pp collisions for particles in the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| < 0.8$ with $p_T > 150$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76$ and 7 TeV as a function of p_T compared to the next-to-Leading-Order pQCD (NLO-pQCD) calculation [171] at the same energy. Only statistical uncertainties are shown. Bottom panel: Systematic uncertainties as a function of p_T for all three energies. The uncertainty on the normalisation of the spectra is not included (see colour figure online). (b) Top panel: Ratio of differential cross sections of charged particles in INEL pp collisions for $|\eta| < 0.8$ at different collision energies as a function of p_T . Grey boxes denote p_T -dependent systematic uncertainties. Normalisation uncertainties are not shown. The histograms show the same ratio determined from NLO calculations. Bottom panel: Ratio of data and NLO calculations derived from the top panel. A variation of the renormalization and factorization scale of the NLO calculation gives a systematic uncertainty on the double ratio of 0.5–23.6% for 0.9 TeV/2.76 TeV, 1.0–37.8% for 0.9 TeV/7 TeV, and 2.4–12.3% for 2.76 TeV/7 TeV. Taken from Ref. [20].

$\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV they do not model the observed spectrum so well. The PYTHIA 6 AMBT1 tune seems to provide the best agreement with the data. Figures 26(c) and 28(c) show the distribution for the diffraction-reduced PS region for events with $n_{ch} > 6$, $p_T > 500$ MeV. The distributions are very similar to those in Figs. 26(c) and 28(c) with a cut at $n_{ch} > 6$; only the normalisation is different.

In Fig. 29, for the charged-particle multiplicity, the ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A3 is comparable to other tunes. At $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV PYTHIA 8 A2 describes the low multiplicity part better than PYTHIA 8 A3 in the range of 40–60 charged particles. The shape of the distribution predicted by the PYTHIA 8 A3 tune is consistent across the CM energies.

For correct comparison of the charged-particle multiplicity and average transverse momentum distributions for different energies or kinematic regions, the scaled multiplicity z , usually called the KNO variable, see




Figure 24: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [8, 9] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Refs. [8, 9].

Eq. (5) is introduced. For example, a comparison of the results for different kinematic regions with two $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}$ thresholds was presented in Ref. [88]. The comparison of the primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the scaled multiplicity z or the KNO scale for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV; $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV for $|\eta| < 2.5$ measured by ATLAS at \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV [6–9] are presented in Fig. 30 and Fig. 31 [72], respectively. For these figures, the multiplicity axis was compressed by the factor $\langle n_{\text{ch}}(\sqrt{s}, p_{\text{T}}^{\text{min}}) \rangle$. The KNO scale is the same, and therefore it is the correct scale for comparing distributions at different \sqrt{s} or distributions in different PS regions. The scaled multiplicity regions are up to 7.5 of the average total multiplicity for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and up to 10.5 of the average total multiplicity for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV as shown in Figs. 30(a) and 31(a), respectively.

In Table 5, the relative uncertainty, $\delta\langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle / \langle n_{\text{ch}} \rangle$, is presented for average total multiplicities. Relative uncertainties are small and equal to 0.32–0.66% for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and 0.24–0.46% for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, except of the result at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ GeV which was measured with a lower accuracy.

In the bottom panels in Figs. 30 and 31 ratios of the charged-particle distributions at 0.9 – 8 TeV to the distribution at 13 TeV are shown. These ratios and their uncertainties are obtained by interpolation. For the interpolation procedure, the INTERPOLATOR method of the ROOT statistical analysis framework [173] was used. In Figs. 30 – 41, the grey curve and the band of uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the distribution at 13 TeV.




Figure 25: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [7].

Figures 30 and 31 show that primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions decrease as the collision energy increases from 0.9 to 13 TeV by a factor of ≈ 3 for maximum of the functions at $z \approx 0.7$. The results for $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV and $z \leq 3$ are presented in Fig. 30(b) for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and in Fig. 31(b) for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV are in agreement within error bars except for region $0.5 < z < 1.5$. The multiplicity distribution at 8 TeV is $\approx 20\%$ larger than at 13 TeV for region $z < 3$ in both cases.

For $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at the highest energies, the form of the measured distribution is reproduced reasonably by all models. PYTHIA 8 A2 describe the data well for middle n_{ch} but underestimates it for higher n_{ch} . For middle n_{ch} PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS, QGSJET-II underestimate the data by up to 10–20%. PYTHIA 8 MONASH, EPOS overestimate the data for higher n_{ch} and drop below the measurement in the very high- n_{ch} region. QGSJET-II overestimates the data significantly. The high- n_{ch} region has significant contributions from events with numerous MPI.

5.5.2 Multiplicity distributions of the LHC experiments

The CMS results for primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity for events with $|\eta| < 2.4$ at the CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [36] are shown in Fig.




Figure 26: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

32. The measured distributions are presented for two different event data sets: an INEL sample and an NSD-enhanced sample. The charged particle multiplicity distribution of the NSD-enhanced event sample shows a depletion of low- n_{ch} events and an increase of high- n_{ch} multiplicity events compared to that of the inelastic sample.

The NSD charged hadron multiplicity distributions are measured in increasing ranges of pseudorapidity from $|\eta| < 0.5$ to $|\eta| < 2.4$. The fully corrected results at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$, 2.36 and 7 TeV are compared in Fig. 33 with the measurements in the same pseudorapidity ranges performed by the UA5 [52, 54] and ALICE [15, 17]. The CMS measurements were also compared with the results obtained from the CMS cross-check analysis of the data at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV using a tracklet-based tracking algorithm as in Ref. [28]. With a reconstruction efficiency exceeding 90% for $p_{\text{T}} > 50$ MeV, the latter provided a cross-check of the extrapolation for tracks below $p_{\text{T}} < 100$ MeV, including the use of the data without the magnetic field at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. All measurements agree well within their total uncertainties. In the largest pseudorapidity interval $|\eta| < 2.4$, there is a change of slope in P_n for $n_{\text{ch}} > 20$, indicating a multicomponent structure, as was discussed in Refs. [174, 175] in terms of multiple-soft-Pomeron exchanges. This feature becomes more pronounced with increasing CM energies, notably at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV.

An extensive range of tunes [159–162] based on the PYTHIA 6 fragmentation model have been developed. They differ mainly in their parametrization of the multiple-parton interaction model. Some reproduce the charged hadron multiplicities better than others, but none is able to give a good description simultaneously at all \sqrt{s} and in all pseudorapidity ranges. For clarity, only the baseline tune PYTHIA D6T [159, 160] is shown in comparison with other models having a different physical description of soft-particle production, such as PHOJET [134, 163] and the fragmentation model of PYTHIA 8 [121]. A comparison of the CMS measurements with three classes of models is shown in Fig. 34 for all charged hadrons and for those with $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. PYTHIA D6T drastically underestimates the multiplicity at all measured energies but




Figure 27: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV [6] with $n_{ch} \geq 1$ and $p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

improves when $p_T > 500$ MeV is required. PYTHIA 8 is the only model that gives a reasonable description of the multiplicity distribution at all energies but tends to overestimate the multiplicity at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV when $p_T > 500$ MeV is required. PHOJET produces too few charged hadrons overall but gives a good description of the average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ at the fixed multiplicity n_{ch} , as illustrated in Fig. 34.

The ALICE results of the study on multiplicity (N_{ch}) distributions, transverse momentum spectra, and KNO scaling of inclusive primary charged particles in the kinematic range of $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV for pp , p - Pb , Xe - Xe and Pb - Pb collisions at CM energies per nucleon pair ranging from $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV up to 13 TeV were published in Ref. [25]. The N_{ch} distributions for pp collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for the kinematic region $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV are shown in Fig. 35(a). These distributions reach a maximum around $N_{ch} \approx 2$ and then fall steeply off over several orders of magnitude. The slope of the decay with N_{ch} decreases with increasing collision energy. This can be attributed to the larger p_T in the initial hard scattering, which results in larger multiplicities. Figure 35(b) compares measured results for pp collisions for the respective multiplicity distributions with predictions from PYTHIA 8 [137] (solid lines) and EPOS LHC [127] (dashed lines). The PYTHIA 8.306 event generator is used with the MONASH-2013 tune [123] for pp collisions. The overall shapes of the multiplicity distribution shown in Fig. 35(b) are better described by EPOS LHC, while PYTHIA 8 falls sharply off above $N_{ch}/\langle N_{ch} \rangle \approx 4$. Both models agree with the experimental distributions within 25% with larger deviations at the highest multiplicities.




Figure 28: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with (b) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and (c) $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 6$. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

5.6 Average transverse momentum multiplicity dependence

5.6.1 ATLAS average transverse momentum distributions

The charged-particle average transverse momentum distributions are shown in Figs. 36 – 39 at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8,$ and 13 TeV.

The average transverse momentum versus the primary charged-particle multiplicity is shown in Fig. 36 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2, p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV [9] and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV [8], respectively. For $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV in Fig. 36(a) it increases towards higher n_{ch} , as modelled by a colour reconnection mechanism in PYTHIA 8 and by the hydrodynamical evolution model in EPOS. The QGSJET-II generator, which has no model for colour coherence effects, describes the data poorly. For low n_{ch} , PYTHIA 8 A2 and EPOS underestimate the data, where PYTHIA 8 MONASH agrees within the uncertainties. For higher n_{ch} all generators overestimate the data, but for $n_{\text{ch}} > 40$, there is a constant offset for both PYTHIA 8 tunes, which describes the data to within 10%. EPOS describes the data reasonably well and to within 2%. Figure 36(b) for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV shows the mean transverse momentum versus the charged-particle multiplicity. The $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ rises with n_{ch} , from 0.8 to 1.2 GeV. This increase is expected due to colour coherence effects being important in dense parton environments and is modelled by the colour reconnection mechanism in PYTHIA 8 or by the hydrodynamical evolution model used in EPOS. If the high- n_{ch} region is assumed to be dominated by events with numerous MPI, without colour coherence effects, the $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ is approximately independent of n_{ch} . Inclusion of colour coherence effects leads to fewer additional charged particles produced with every additional MPI, with an equally large p_{T} to be shared among the produced hadrons [176]. EPOS predicts a slightly lower $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ but describes the dependence on n_{ch} very well. The PYTHIA 8 tunes predict a steeper rise of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ with n_{ch} than the data, predicting lower values in the low- n_{ch} region and




Figure 29: Top panel: The PYTHIA 8 A3, A2 and MONASH tune predictions [120] compared with the ATLAS primary charged-particle multiplicities as a function of the multiplicity distributions for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ with $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at centre-of-mass energies (a) $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV, (b) $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV, (c) $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, (d) $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV and (e) $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV. The yellow-shaded areas represent the measurement uncertainty. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [120].

higher values in the high- n_{ch} region. QGSJET-II predicts a $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ of ~ 1 GeV, with very little dependence on n_{ch} ; this is expected as it contains no model for colour coherence effects.

Similar plots as for 13 TeV are also shown for 8 TeV in Fig. 37 for transverse momentum thresholds of 100 MeV and 500 MeV, respectively. The average p_{T} rises with multiplicity, although the rise becomes progressively less steep as the multiplicity increases. This is expected due to colour coherence effects in dense parton environments, which are modelled by a colour reconnection mechanism in PYTHIA 8 or by the hydrodynamical evolution model used in EPOS. It is assumed that numerous MPI dominate the high-multiplicity events and that colour coherence effects thereby lead to fewer additional charged particles produced with every additional MPI, which share a higher average p_{T} . The EPOS and PYTHIA 8 models provide a fair description of the data. The QGSJET-II model fails to predict the mean transverse momentum over the entire multiplicity range, as it does not simulate colour coherence effects and therefore shows very little dependence on the multiplicity.

Figures 38 and 39 show the results for events at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV for $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, respectively. Globally, one can say that at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV the slope versus n_{ch} for high values of n_{ch} seems to be well described by most models, but the absolute value is best modelled by PYTHIA 6 DW. At the highest CM energy (8 and 13 TeV) above the multiplicity of 20 the




Figure 30: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z , defined in Eq. (5), for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ measured at the centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 7, 8 and 13 TeV by ATLAS [6–9] in (a) the complete multiplicity region and (b) the zoom multiplicity region with $z \leq 3$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV. The grey curve and the band of uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic contributions, respectively. Bottom panel: The ratios of the charged-particle multiplicity distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

models vary widely both in slope and in absolute value; at low values of n_{ch} none of the models describe the data very well. In the more inclusive PS region, Figs.38(a) and 39(a), the models vary widely, especially at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The measurement of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ as a function of the charged multiplicity at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV is not shown because different track reconstruction methods are used for determining p_{T} and multiplicity distributions.

In Fig. 40, which shows the mean transverse momentum, $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$, against the charged particle multiplicity correlation [120], the choice of lower colour reconnection strength led to slight improvement over PYTHIA 8 A2. Although $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV [177] and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV charged particle distributions were not used in tuning, comparisons are made with those distributions for completeness.

In Figs. 9, 20, 29 and 40 distributions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV predicted by PYTHIA 8 A3, in compared to PYTHIA 8 A2, show a broadly comparable, or better, level of agreement. PYTHIA 8 A2 demonstrates that an acceptable description of data can be achieved by using the DL model for diffraction and can be viewed as a possible starting point for further systematic studies of soft-QCD tunes. The results of PYTHIA 8 A3 provide good reasons to believe that an improved and more reliable simulation of pile-up overlay can be obtained.

The correct comparison of the primary charged-particle average transverse momentum, $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$, as a function of the scaled multiplicity z for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV; $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV measure for $|\eta| < 2.5$ at the CM energies from 0.9 to 13 TeV by ATLAS [6–9] are presented in Fig. 41 [72]. The $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ distribution as a function of z acquires a higher value at higher collision energies. The values of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ distributions increase by 18% and 13% for $z > 1$ with energy increasing from 0.9 to 13 TeV




Figure 31: Top panel: Primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z , defined in Eq. (5), for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ measured at the centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8 and 13 TeV by ATLAS [6–9] in (a) the complete multiplicity region and (b) the zoom multiplicity region with $z \leq 3$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV. The grey curve and the band of uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic contributions, respectively. Bottom panel: The ratios of the charged-particle multiplicity distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV, respectively. The results at 7 and 8 TeV are in agreement within error bars. The values of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ distributions increase by $\approx 3\%$ for $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and by $\approx 2.5\%$ for $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV with increase in energy from 8 to 13 TeV for $z > 0.5$. The ratio of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ distributions for 8 to 13 TeV are ≈ 6 times smaller than the ratio for 0.9 to 13 TeV.

For $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV at the highest energy distributions increase towards higher n_{ch} , as modelled by the CR mechanism in PYTHIA 8 and by the hydrodynamical evolution model in EPOS. The QGSJET-II generator describes the data poorly. For low n_{ch} , PYTHIA 8 A2, EPOS underestimate the data, and for higher n_{ch} all generators overestimate the data. EPOS describes the data reasonably well and to within 2%.

As discussed in Ref. [95], the $\langle p_{\text{T}}(n) \rangle$ of distributions of primary charged particles produced via jet fragmentation slowly increases with collision energy, as shown in Fig. 41. This is caused by the stronger absorption (at larger \sqrt{s}) of the gluons with a smaller k_{T} ($\sigma^{\text{abs}} \propto 1/k_{\text{T}}^2$). The growth of $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ with multiplicity can be explained by the fact that events with larger n_{ch} correspond to a smaller impact parameter, b , where the absorption of the low k_{T} component is stronger, and larger multiplicity can be originated by events with jets or minijets with higher p_{T} . Since $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$ of primary charged particles grows with \sqrt{s} , the increase with \sqrt{s} of transverse energy flow is a bit faster than that of the particle density.




Figure 32: Top panel: The CMS probability density of charged-particle multiplicity for the most inclusive (inelastic) sample and the sample dominated by non-single diffractive dissociation events (NSD-enhanced sample) for events at a centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$ and $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV. The error bars represent the statistical plus uncorrelated systematics between neighbouring bins, and the bands show the combined systematic and statistical uncertainties. Bottom panel: The ratio of the NSD-enhanced sample to the inelastic sample results is presented. Taken from Ref. [36].




Figure 33: The charged-particle multiplicity distributions for $|\eta| < 0.5$, $|\eta| < 1.5$, $|\eta| < 1.5$, $|\eta| < 2.0$ and $|\eta| < 2.4$ at (a) $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, (b) $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV, and (c) $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The CMS results were compared with the measurements of ALICE [15, 17] and the UA5 [52, 54] in the same η interval and at the same centre-of-mass energy. For clarity, results in different pseudorapidity intervals are scaled by powers of 10 as given in the plots. The error bars are the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Taken from Ref. [30].

5.6.2 Average transverse momentum distributions of the LHC experiments

Figure 42 (top) shows a CMS comparison of the average transverse momentum, $\langle p_{\text{T}} \rangle$, as a function of the charge-particle multiplicity, n_{ch} , for the inclusive pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.4$ with prediction of the PYTHIA D6T tune, the PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET models at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and 7 TeV [30]. In Fig. 34 (bottom) the ratios of the higher-energy data to the fit at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV indicate the approximate energy




Figure 34: The charged-particle multiplicity distributions for (a) $|\eta| < 2.4$, $p_T > 0$ MeV and (b) $|\eta| < 2.4$, $p_T > 500$ MeV at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV, and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The CMS results were compared with the predictions in the same η interval and at the same centre-of-mass energy of the MC models PYTHIA D6T tune, PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET. For clarity, the results in different pseudorapidity intervals are scaled by powers of 10 as given in the plots. The error bars are the statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Taken from Ref. [30].




Figure 35: (a) The ALICE probability density of charged-particle multiplicity for pp collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for events in the kinematic range $N_{ch} > 0$, $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV/c. Statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown as bars and semi-transparent bands, respectively. (b) The ratio of PYTHIA 8 [137] and EPOS LHC [127] model predictions to data for pp collisions at various energies for the primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions. The semi-transparent bands indicate the relative systematic uncertainties of the data. Taken from Ref. [25].




Figure 36: Top panel: The average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV [8, 9] with (a) $n_{ch} \geq 2, p_T > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{ch} \geq 1, p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Refs. [8, 9].

independence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ at fixed n_{ch} . These results are in disagreement with the ATLAS results presented in Fig. 41, where a ratio depends on the multiplicity.

The ATLAS ratio of $\langle p_T \rangle$ distributions for 7 TeV to 0.9 TeV is ≈ 1.18 for $z \geq 2$ as shown in Fig. 41(a). According to the CMS, the same ratio is shown in Fig. 34 is ≈ 1.05 for $n_{ch} \geq 30$ or $z \geq 1$, because $\langle n_{ch} \rangle = 30.4$ at 7 TeV in Table 6. That is ≈ 3.5 times smaller than for ATLAS.

Among the three classes of models, PYTHIA 8 gives the best overall description of the multiplicity distribution and the dependence of the average transverse momentum on n_{ch} . Inspired by [178] the fit of the first-degree polynomial in $\sqrt{n_{ch}}$ to the multiplicity dependence of $\langle p_T(n_{ch}) \rangle$ for $n_{ch} > 1.5$ at each energy yielding a good description that is valid at all three energies. The ratios of the data obtained at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV with respect to the data at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV show that the rise of the average transverse momentum with the multiplicity weakly depends on energy.

The average charged-particle transverse momenta for pp collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for the kinematic region $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV were obtained by the ALICE experiment [25] and are presented in Fig. 43. In Fig. 43(a) the average charged-particle transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ spectra and in Fig. 43(b) the $\langle p_T \rangle$ spectra divided by their respective multiplicity-integrated values, $\langle p_T \rangle_{incl}$, as a function of relative multiplicity $N_{ch}/\langle N_{ch} \rangle$, same as the scale variable z , are shown. The value of $\langle p_T \rangle_{incl}$ for pp collisions increase from 6.05 ± 0.17 at




Figure 37: Top panel: The average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [7] with (a) $n_{ch} \geq 2, p_T > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{ch} \geq 1, p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [7].

$\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV to 9.48 ± 0.07 at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV (see in Table 2 [25]). The values for each collision system align almost perfectly for the $\langle p_T \rangle / \langle p_T \rangle_{incl}$. In pp collisions, the overall shapes of the $\langle p_T \rangle$ distributions are shown in Fig. 43(c) in comparison with predictions from PYTHIA 8 [137] (solid lines) and EPOS LHC [127] (dashed lines). PYTHIA 8 underpredicts the experimental data on $\langle p_T \rangle$ at the lowest values of N_{ch} by up to 4%. The N_{ch} dependent $\langle p_T \rangle$ values produced by PYTHIA 8 increase faster than the measurements with an almost linear dependence up to $N_{ch} \approx 20$, after which the ratio shows a flat multiplicity dependence with an offset from unity varying from 0.5% at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ TeV up to 4% at the highest CM energy. EPOS LHC is further off at low multiplicities by up to 5% and increases slower than the measurements, underestimating them by up to 6% around $N_{ch} \approx 9$. At higher multiplicities, the increase is faster with a linearly rising ratio up to $N_{ch} \approx 20 - 30$, reaching a plateau that describes the measurements within $\pm 2\%$.

6 KNO scaling

6.1 KNO scaling on the ATLAS results

In pp collisions at \sqrt{s} from 0.0304 to 0.0622 TeV in the full PS for inelastic events at the ISR (CERN) the deviation from the KNO scaling was already observed [44, 45]. For NSD collisions KNO scaling was




Figure 38: Top panel: The average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ as a function of the multiplicity, at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{ch} \geq 2, p_T > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{ch} \geq 1, p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

still found to be present [45], suggesting that diffractive processes might also play a role in KNO scaling violations. Clear scaling violations become manifested above $\sqrt{s} \approx 0.2$ TeV both for the multiplicity distributions in full PS and in central pseudorapidity ranges [46, 64, 174, 179]. In NSD pp collisions at the LHC, at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV, 7 TeV in pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 0.5$, ALICE [15, 21] and the CMS [30] observed no significant deviation from the KNO scaling. In $p\bar{p}$ collisions at the SPS (CERN) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2, 0.546$ and 0.9 TeV, the KNO scaling was found to be violated for NSD collisions in full PS [50, 59, 180]. Nevertheless, for NSD collisions, in limited central pseudorapidity intervals, the KNO scaling was still found to hold up to 0.9 TeV, and at $\sqrt{s} = 0.546$ TeV, the KNO scaling was found to hold in the pseudorapidity interval $|\eta| < 3.5$ [156, 181]. In e^+e^- collisions at \sqrt{s} from 0.005 to 0.034 TeV the KNO scaling was found to hold within $\pm 20\%$ [182].

For the verification of the KNO scaling hypothesis the following equation with dependence on the CM energy and a kinematic region, p_T^{\min} , was used in Ref. [72]:

$$\Psi(z, \sqrt{s}) = \langle n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) \rangle \cdot P(n_{ch}, \sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) = \frac{\langle n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) \rangle}{N_{ev}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min})} \cdot \frac{dN_{ev}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min})}{dn_{ch}}, \quad (8)$$

where z is defined in Eq. (5), n_{ch} is the number of primary charged particles within the kinematic acceptance in an event, $P(n_{ch}, \sqrt{s})$ is the probability distributions of producing n_{ch} particles, N_{ev} is the number of




Figure 39: Top panel: The average transverse momentum $\langle p_T \rangle$ as a function of the multiplicity at the centre-of-mass energy $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV [6] with (a) $n_{ch} \geq 2, p_T > 100$ MeV and (b) $n_{ch} \geq 1, p_T > 500$ MeV. The data represented by dots is compared to various particle-level MC predictions, which are shown by curves. The shaded areas around the data points represent the total statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [6].

events with primary charged particles in the kinematic acceptance, $\langle n(\sqrt{s}) \rangle$ is the average multiplicity of primary particles at the CM energy, and $\Psi(z)$ is the particle distribution as a function of the scaled multiplicity.

The KNO scale variable z provides a way to study the evolution of shapes of the KNO charged-particle multiplicity distributions (see Eq. (8)) with varying CM energy and kinematic region, for example, the p_T^{\min} threshold. The KNO distributions and their ratios, studied using ATLAS results, are presented in Fig. 44 for charged particles with $p_T > 100$ MeV and in Fig. 45 for those with $p_T > 500$ MeV. These figures are similar to Fig. 30 and Fig. 31 but the vertical axis is stretched by the factor $\langle n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}, p_T^{\min}) \rangle$. The quantities of interest are derived from the original set of KNO distributions and the ratios of these distributions to the one at 13 TeV. The high-multiplicity tail of the distributions is pushed up, and the maximum of the distribution is shifted towards small values of z with increasing collision energy.

Ratios of the KNO distributions between the smallest CM energy 0.9 TeV to 13 TeV reach the maximum value at $z \approx 0.8$ and the minimum value for the highest multiplicity at $z \approx 5.5$ for $p_T > 100$ MeV, as can be seen in Fig. 44(a), and $z \approx 6.5$ for $p_T > 500$ MeV, in Fig. 45(a). There is an intersection point for all distributions at $z \approx 2$.

A test of the KNO scaling distributions between $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 13 TeV confirms that KNO scaling violations increase with decreasing collision energy. The ratios of the KNO distributions between the highest energies




Figure 40: Top panel: The PYTHIA 8 A3, A2 and MONASH tune predictions compared with the ATLAS charged-particle average transverse momentum, $\langle p_T \rangle$, distributions for events with $n_{ch} \geq 1$ with $p_T > 500$ MeV at the centre-of-mass energies (a) 13 TeV, (b) 8 TeV, (c) 7 TeV, and (d) 0.9 TeV. The yellow-shaded areas represent the measurement uncertainty. Bottom panel: The ratios of the MC predictions to the experimental results are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties of the experimental results. Taken from Ref. [120].

8 and 13 TeV exceed the maximum value of +8% at $z \approx 0.5$ and the minimum value of -15% at $z \approx 0.1$ for $p_T > 100$ MeV, as can be seen in Fig. 44(b), and the maximum value of +5% at $z \approx 0.5$ and -13% at $z \approx 0.1$ for $p_T > 500$ MeV, in Fig. 45(b). For the high multiplicity tail, these ratios are in agreement within error bars with the KNO distribution at 13 TeV. Single-diffractive and double-diffractive processes make an important contribution only for the low-multiplicity region, $z \lesssim 0.3$. The typologies of diffractive and non-diffractive events are different, and their KNO behaviour may also be different. The negative spread, $\lesssim -8\%$, for the low multiplicity may be the result of the contribution from diffractive processes. The KNO scaling tends to be valid in the energy region from $\sqrt{s} = 7$ to $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV within $\approx \begin{smallmatrix} +8 \\ -15 \end{smallmatrix} \%$ for $z \lesssim 2$ and within error bars for $z \gtrsim 2$ for events with the charged-particle transverse momentum $p_T > 100$ MeV (Fig. 44(b)), and within $\begin{smallmatrix} +5 \\ -13 \end{smallmatrix} \%$ for $z \lesssim 3$ and within error bars for $z \gtrsim 3$ for events with the charged-particle transverse momentum $p_T > 500$ MeV (Fig. 45(b)). The tendency of the KNO scaling to hold for the highest collision energies is observed.

The MC QGSM predictions are made for the KNO non-diffractive charged-particle multiplicity distributions for pp collisions including at the highest LHC CM energy $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV for $|\eta| < 2.4$ in Fig. 12 in Ref. [78]. These distributions have the same qualitative behaviour as those presented in Fig. 44(a). The MC QGSM described the KNO distributions as the contribution of the cylinder diagram and diagrams with multi-Pomeron scattering. The pronounced peak in the low z arises solely due to a single Pomeron exchange, and the maxima of the distributions for multi-Pomeron processes are moved in the direction of




Figure 41: Top panel: The average transverse momentum, $\langle p_T \rangle$, as a function of the scaled multiplicity z defined by Eq. (5) for events with (a) $n_{ch} \geq 2, p_T > 100 \text{ MeV}$ and (b) $n_{ch} \geq 1, p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$ for $|\eta| < 2.5$ measurement at the centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 7, 8 and 13 TeV by ATLAS [6–9]. (a) The grey curve and the band of the uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. The error bars and boxes represent the statistical and systematic contributions, respectively. Bottom panel: The ratios of the average transverse momentum distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

high z thus pushing up the tail [78].

The energy independence of the moments of the probability distributions defined as $P(n_{ch}, \sqrt{s})$

$$C_q(\sqrt{s}) = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{n_{max}} n_{ch}^q(\sqrt{s}) P(n_{ch}, \sqrt{s})}{\left(\sum_{n=1}^{n_{max}} n_{ch}(\sqrt{s}) P(n_{ch}, \sqrt{s}) \right)^q} \quad (9)$$

in the energy asymptotic was the precise finding of the KNO scaling [69]. The analysis results for the validity of KNO scaling is shown quantitatively in Fig. 46 by the $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ of the multiplicity distributions measured by ATLAS and complemented with the CMS measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and 7 TeV [30] and the results of the lower-energy experiments by NA22 [46], UA1 [48], and UA5 [53, 59]. The $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ calculations based on the ATLAS results for the kinematic region $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{ch} \geq 2$ and $p_T > 100 \text{ MeV}$ are shown in Fig. 46(a). The ATLAS and CMS results agree within the error range. The values of $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ for all experiments linearly increase with $\log \sqrt{s}$ as illustrated by the fits in Fig. 46(a). Since, as mentioned above, the KNO scaling requires that $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ be independent of energy, one can state that the KNO scaling is violated at least for the full region of scaled multiplicity. Figure 46(b) shows for the first time the values of $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ calculated using multiplicity distributions measured by ATLAS for the kinematic region $|\eta| < 2.5, n_{ch} \geq 1$ and $p_T > 500 \text{ MeV}$. Similarly as in Fig. 46(a) the values of $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ linearly increase with $\log \sqrt{s}$.

The C_q values at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36 \text{ TeV}$ in Fig. 46(b) are much smaller than those for other energies. This is because the region of primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions at 2.36 TeV is smaller (up to




Figure 42: Top panel: A comparison of CMS results [30] for the average transverse momentum, $\langle p_T \rangle$, as a function of the charge-particle multiplicity, n_{ch} , for inclusive pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.4$ with the prediction of the PYTHIA D6T tune, the PYTHIA 8 and PHOJET models at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and 7 TeV. For clarity, the results for different energies are shifted by the values shown in the plots. Fits to the high-multiplicity part ($n_{ch} > 15$) with a linear form in $\sqrt{n_{ch}}$ are superimposed. Bottom panel: The ratios of the higher-energy data to the fit at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV indicate the approximate energy independence of $\langle p_T \rangle$ at fixed n_{ch} . Taken from Ref. [30].




Figure 43: The (a) average charged-particle transverse momentum, $\langle p_T \rangle$, and (b) normalised on $\langle p_T \rangle_{incl}$, $\langle p_T \rangle / \langle p_T \rangle_{incl}$, distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z or $N_{ch} / \langle N_{ch} \rangle$ for pp , $p-Pb$, $Xe-Xe$ and $Pb-Pb$ collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for pp , $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 8.16 TeV for $p-Pb$, $\sqrt{s} = 5.44$ TeV for $Xe-Xe$ and $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ and 5.02 TeV for $Pp-Pb$ for events in the kinematic range $N_{ch} > 0$, $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV. Statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown as bars and semi-transparent bands, respectively. The ratio of PYTHIA 8 [137] and EPOS LHC [127] model predictions to data for pp collisions at various energies are shown for (c) $\langle p_T \rangle$ distributions. The semi-transparent bands indicate the relative systematic uncertainties of the data. Taken from Ref. [25].

$z \approx 3.5$) than that for higher CM energies (up to $z \approx 9$) [72]. Therefore, the C_q values at $\sqrt{s} = 2.36$ TeV were noted in the fits.

The $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ for $p_T > 500$ MeV have higher bias and slope of the fits than those for minimum p_T threshold, the bias increasing from 1.1 at $q = 2$ up to 2.1 at $q = 5$, and the slope increasing from 1.4 at $q = 2$ up to




Figure 44: Top panel: KNO-scaled primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ measured at the centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 7, 8 and 13 TeV by ATLAS [5–9] in (a) the complete multiplicity region and (b) the zoom multiplicity region with $z \leq 3$ at the $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV. The grey curve and the band of uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic contributions. Bottom panel: The ratios of the KNO-scaled primary charged-particle distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

2.6 at $q = 5$. This is the result of stronger interactions with a higher p_{T} threshold. Figure 46(c) shows moments C_q for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_{\text{T}} > 100$ MeV and for $z > 0.5$ without the fraction of single and double diffraction events, which was accepted by the ATLAS minimum-bias trigger [5–9]. In this case, the values of $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ are systematically higher than those for full distributions with $z > 0$ and show a similar linear increase with $\log \sqrt{s}$ as is illustrated in Fig. 46(c). For multiplicity distributions for $z > 1.0$ the values of $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ at the highest energies $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV are in agreement within error uncertainties, as can be seen in Fig. 46(c). Therefore, the energy independence of the moments of various orders can be considered as a confirmation of the KNO scaling.

6.2 KNO scaling at the LHC experiments

The KNO scaling violations were studied for different pseudorapidity ranges in LHC experiments by the CMS [30] and ALICE [15, 21] at the CM energies from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV. The multiplicity distributions obtained by the CMS detector are shown in the KNO form [30] for the pseudorapidity interval of $|\eta| < 2.4$ in Fig. 47(a), which is close to the similar ATLAS results with $|\eta| < 2.5$, and for a more central pseudorapidity interval $|\eta| < 0.5$ in Fig. 47(b). The variation of the ratio for the central region of 0.9 to 7 TeV with $|\eta| < 0.5$ is about $\pm 15\%$ and agree with 1 within error bars; therefore, the KNO scaling holds. The variation of the ratio for the full region with $|\eta| < 2.4$ is twice wider $\approx \pm 30\%$ and does not agree with 1 in error bars, therefore the KNO scaling is violated, similar to the ATLAS data in Fig. 44(a). Scaling is a characteristic property of the multiplicity distribution in cascade processes of a single jet with self-similar branching and a fixed coupling constant [68, 184–190].




Figure 45: Top panel: KNO-scaled primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z for events with $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1$, $p_{\text{T}} > 500$ MeV and $|\eta| < 2.5$ measurement at the centre-of-mass energies 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8 and 13 TeV by ATLAS [5–9] in (a) the complete multiplicity region and (b) the zoom multiplicity region with $z \leq 3$ at the $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8$ and 13 TeV. The grey curve and band of the uncertainties are the result of the interpolation of the charged-particle multiplicity distribution at 13 TeV. The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic contributions. Bottom panel: The ratios of the KNO-scaled primary charged-particle distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [72].

A similar conclusion about the shape evolution of the multiplicity distributions like from Fig. 47(b) can be extracted from Fig. 47(c), where are compared the ALICE measurements plotted in terms of KNO variables at the two energies and UA5 $p\bar{p}$ data at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ and 0.9 TeV, for NSD collisions and pseudorapidity interval $|\eta| < 0.5$. While the KNO scaling gives a reasonable description of the data from $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ and 2.36 TeV, the ratio between the $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV data shows a slight departure from unity above $z = 4$, but it is in agreement with unit within error bars.

The KNO test on the ALICE results in the range of 0.9 to 8 TeV [21] is presented in Fig. 48. The KNO-scaled distributions and their ratios were obtained for each of the available combinations of corrections with the same procedure used for multiplicity distribution measurements. Bin-to-bin correlations were ignored when comparing KNO distributions and C_q -moments at various CM energies. Consequently, the relative errors obtained from the ratios are somewhat overestimated.

In Fig. 48(right) [21] the ratios of the KNO distributions between the two highest energies and $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV exceed the maximum value multiplicity at $z \approx 0.2$ and the value of ≈ 2 for the multiplicity at $z \approx 4.8$, $z \approx 6.0$ and $z \approx 6.4$ for the pseudorapidity intervals $|\eta| < 1.5$, $|\eta| < 1.0$ and $|\eta| < 0.5$, respectively. There is an intersection point for all distributions at $z \approx 2$. The shapes at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ and 8 TeV are similar and agree within error bars. Therefore, the ALICE results show a tendency for the KNO scaling to be independent of energy for the highest energies. This confirms that KNO scaling violations increase with the size of the increasing pseudorapidity interval. The shape of the KNO scaling violations reflects the fact that the high-multiplicity tail of the distribution increases with energy and with the size of the pseudorapidity




Figure 46: The normalised order- q moments $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ of the primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions measured by the ATLAS experiment for events collected at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for (a) the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$. The results of the CMS [30] and lower-energy experiments NA22 [46], UA1 [48], and UA5 [53, 59] are included. (b) The ATLAS results for $|\eta| < 2.5$, $n_{ch} \geq 1$, $p_T > 500$ MeV. (c) The ATLAS results for $|\eta| < 2.5$, $n_{ch} \geq 2$, $p_T > 100$ MeV with additional scaled multiplicity thresholds: $z > 0.5$ and $z > 1.0$. The C_2 and C_3 results are shown in the bottom panel, and C_4 and C_5 results are shown in the top panels. The vertical bars are the statistical uncertainties, and the squares are the systematic uncertainties. The coloured symbols are the data. Fits of the log \sqrt{s} dependence of the $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ of the multiplicity distribution (assuming linear dependence) are shown. In (a) for $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV, data from experiments other than ATLAS were drawn shifted to lower \sqrt{s} for clarity. The lines show the results of the fits for $C_q(\sqrt{s})$ with statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature. Taken from Ref. [183].




Figure 47: The CMS KNO-scaled primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions [30] as a function of the scaled multiplicity z at the centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV in two pseudorapidity intervals: (a) $|\eta| < 2.4$ and (b) $|\eta| < 0.5$. Taken from Ref. [30]. (c) Top panel: Comparison of multiplicity distributions in KNO variables measured by UA5 [53, 59] in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2$ and 0.9 TeV and by ALICE [15] at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV, for NSD events in $|\eta| < 0.5$. Bottom panel: The ratio between the ALICE measurements at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV is shown. The error bars represent the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. Taken from Ref. [15].




Figure 48: Left side panel: The ALICE KNO scaled primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z at the centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7$ and 8 TeV in pseudorapidity intervals: (top) $|\eta| < 0.5$, (middle) $|\eta| < 1.0$ and (bottom) $|\eta| < 1.5$ [21]. The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic contributions. Right side panel: The ratios of the KNO-scaled primary charged-particle distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV are shown. Bands represent the uncertainties for the ratios as the results of statistical and systematic uncertainties added in quadrature for both distributions. Taken from Ref. [21].

interval faster than that for the low-multiplicity tail ($n_{ch} \leq 20$). A test of the KNO scaling between $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV confirms that KNO scaling violations increase with increasing \sqrt{s} and, at a given CM energy, with increasing width of pseudorapidity intervals. This is similar to the ATLAS result in Fig. 44(a).

The KNO test on the ALICE results for pp collisions at the different CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for $0.15 < p_T < 10$ GeV and for the pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 0.8$ is presented in Fig. 49(a). Figure 49(b) shows the corresponding ratios of the KNO scaled multiplicity distributions at various CM energies relative to $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. The KNO scaling apparently holds within $\approx 30\%$ for CM energies from 2.36 to 8 TeV in relative to $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV. Figure 49(c) compares measured results for the respective KNO




Figure 49: (a) The ALICE KNO scaled primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity z for pp collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for events in the kinematic range $N_{\text{ch}} > 0$, $|\eta| < 0.8$ and $0.15 < p_{\text{T}} < 10$ GeV/c. Statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown as bars and semi-transparent bands, respectively. (b) The ratios of the KNO-scaled primary charged-particle distributions to the interpolated distribution at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV are shown. Statistical and systematic uncertainties are shown as bars and semi-transparent bands, respectively. (c) The ratio of PYTHIA 8 [137] and EPOS LHC [127] model predictions to data for pp collisions at various energies for the KNO scaling of primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions. The semi-transparent bands indicate the relative systematic uncertainties of the data. Taken from Ref. [25].

scaled multiplicity distributions with predictions from PYTHIA 8 [137] (solid lines) and EPOS LHC [127] (dashed lines). Like the multiplicity distributions in Fig. 35(b), the overall shapes of the KNO-scaled distribution shown in Fig. 49(c) are better described by EPOS LHC, while PYTHIA 8 falls sharply off above $N_{\text{ch}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}} \rangle \approx 4$ and these models within 25% agree with the experimental distributions with larger deviations at highest multiplicities.

The study of the KNO scaling was done by ALICE using UE events [26, 73]. Figure 50 shows the ALICE results for the overall transverse [26], the trans-max and trans-min [73] UE regions for charged-particle multiplicity distributions in KNO variables for pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76, 5.02, 7$ and 13 TeV for events in the kinematic range $5 \leq p_{\text{T}}^{\text{trig}} \leq 40$ GeV/c, $p_{\text{T}} > 0.5$ GeV/c, and $|\eta| < 0.8$. The traditional UE analysis focuses on the study of particles in three topological regions depending on their azimuthal angle relative to the leading particle, $|\Delta\phi| = |\phi - \phi^{\text{trig}}|$, which is the one with the highest transverse momentum, $p_{\text{T}}^{\text{trig}}$, in the event. The transverse region, with $|\Delta\phi| > 2\pi/3$ rad, is dominated by the UE dynamics, but it also includes contributions from initial- and final-state radiation (ISR-FSR) [191, 192]. The trans-max and trans-min regions of UE refer to the sub-transverse regions with the largest and smallest charged-particle multiplicity, which have enhanced sensitivity to ISR-FSR and UE, respectively [191, 193]. The relative transverse activity classifier, $R_{\text{T}} = N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{T}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{T}} \rangle$, is the ratio of the primary charged-particle multiplicity in the transverse region, N_{ch}^{T} , obtained event-by-event to the average value, $\langle N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{T}} \rangle$ [194, 195].

Figure 50(a) shows the R_{T} distributions for overall transverse region. In the bottom panel, the KNO multiplicity distributions are normalised to those at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV are reported. For low R_{T} , smaller than 4, distributions are found to be approximately, within $\pm 20\%$, collision energy independent, which indicates a KNO scaling [196]. For R_{T} values higher than 4, a large deviation of the ratios from unity is seen. A similar effect is observed in PYTHIA 8 [192, 196]. From an analysis aimed at measuring the MPI, it was observed that for $N_{\text{ch}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}} \rangle > 3 - 4$, the number of MPI as a function of $N_{\text{ch}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}} \rangle$ deviates from the




Figure 50: Top: The ALICE KNO primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions as a function of the scaled multiplicity for pp collisions at the different centre-of-mass energies $\sqrt{s} = 2.36, 5.02, 7$ and 13 TeV for events in the kinematic range $5 \leq p_T^{\text{trig}} \leq 40$ GeV/c, $p_T > 0.5$ GeV/c, and $|\eta| < 0.8$ in (a) the overall transverse region, (b) the trans-max region (the sub-transverse region with the largest multiplicity), and (c) the trans-min region (the sub-transverse region with the smallest multiplicity). Bottom: The KNO multiplicity distributions are normalised to those at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. The ratio is calculated using a linear interpolation between adjacent points. The boxes and the error bars represent the systematic and statistical uncertainties, respectively. The sample at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV is smaller than that used at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV. Taken from Refs. [26, 73].

linear trend suggesting the presence of high-multiplicity jets [197, 198]. The presence of high-multiplicity jets may also explain the breaking of KNO scaling properties observed at high R_T in Fig. 50(a). To increase the sensitivity of R_T to MPI, it has been recently proposed to build the so-called R_T^{min} that is based on the charged multiplicity in the less active side of the transverse region [191].

More detailed information was presented in Figs. 50(b) and (c) for the trans-max and trans-min regions, for UE events study, respectively. Figure 50(b) is similar to distributions in Fig. 50(a) and shows that in the trans-max region, also within $\pm 20\%$, the KNO scaling is observed in a wider range, up to 4, of scaled multiplicity $R_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-max}} = N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-max}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-max}} \rangle$, relative to the results reported in [192], while for higher values $R_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-max}} > 4$ the scaling is broken. It is worth noticing that for trans-max, both contributions are considered: UE and ISR-FSR. In Fig. 50(c) for the trans-min region, where the effect of ISR-FSR is suppressed, the KNO scaling also holds up to 4 for $R_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-min}} = N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-min}} / \langle N_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-min}} \rangle$, and then for $R_{\text{ch}}^{\text{t-min}} > 4$ the KNO scaling is still broken but for higher values reach is achieved, especially for scaled multiplicity values greater than 6, a larger violation is observed. Events with high-multiplicity jets can contribute to the large violation of the scaling properties.

Multiplicity distributions may be characterised by their normalised C_q -moments where q is a positive integer studied for the values 2, 3, 4 and 5. The results obtained by different experiments for the C_q -moment dependence on \sqrt{s} are shown in Fig. 51. The CMS results [30], which are presented in Fig. 51(a), show that the KNO scaling holds for the central pseudorapidity region with $|\eta| < 0.5$ and for the energy region from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 7 TeV. The CMS results are complemented by measurements at lower energies in experiments NA22 [46] and UA5 [53, 59]. For $|\eta| < 0.5$ the values of C_q -moments demonstrate in Fig. 51(a) independence of energy and the shape of the KNO function is similar for $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV, as can be seen in Fig. 47(b). Another energy dependence the CMS results [30] provide for the inclusive region with $|\eta| < 2.4$ in Fig. 46(a), where C_q -moments demonstrate the linear increase with energy. The ALICE




Figure 51: The normalised moments C_q of the primary charged-particle multiplicity distributions measurement by the CMS for events with CM energies at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36$ and 7 TeV for pseudorapidity regions (a) $|\eta| < 0.5$. The C_2 and C_3 results are shown in the bottom panels, and C_4 and C_5 results are shown in the top panel. The results of lower-energy experiments NA22 [46] and UA5 [53, 59] are included. Fits of the log \sqrt{s} dependence of the normalised moments C_q of the multiplicity distribution for $|\eta| < 0.5$ (assuming no dependence) are shown. The uncertainties represent the sum in quadrature of the statistical and systematic contributions. Taken from Ref. [30]. (b) The ALICE CM energy dependence of the moments C_q ($q = 2$ to 4, left-hand scale, and $q = 5$, right-hand scale) of the multiplicity distributions for NSD events in three different pseudorapidity intervals: (top) $|\eta| < 1.5$, (middle) $|\eta| < 1.0$ and (bottom) $|\eta| < 0.5$ [21]. The ALICE data (black) are compared to UA5 [59] (red) for $|\eta| < 0.5$ and $|\eta| < 1.0$ at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV and CMS [30] (blue) at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV for $|\eta| < 0.5$. The error bars represent the combined statistical and systematic uncertainties. The data at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV are slightly displaced horizontally for visibility. Taken from Ref. [21].

results for C_q -moments are published in Ref. [21] for three pseudorapidity intervals $|\eta| < 0.5$, $|\eta| < 1.0$, $|\eta| < 1.5$ for the energy from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV and shown in Fig. 51(b). In this case, there are KNO scaling violations for more inclusive pseudorapidity regions $|\eta| < 1.0$ (middle panel) and $|\eta| < 1.5$ (top panel) because C_q -moments linearly increase with $\log \sqrt{s}$: C_2 remains constant over the energy range, C_3 shows a small increase with increasing energy for the two largest η intervals, C_4 and C_5 show an increase with increasing energy, which becomes stronger for larger η intervals. Figure 51(b) shows for the central interval $|\eta| < 0.5$ (bottom panel) that the KNO scaling holds for the energy region from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV because the C_q -moments energy distributions can be described by constant in the error bars. These ALICE data [21] are consistent with the CMS [30] and the UA5 $p\bar{p}$ measurements at 0.9 TeV [53, 59] results. The energy dependence of the reduced moments C_q shown in Fig. 51(b) indicates a slight increase, which is not significant given the size of systematic uncertainties. Systematic uncertainties are assumed to be uncorrelated between energies.

The results of KNO scaling research according to the data from the ALICE, ATLAS and CMS experiments

have been analysed. The shape evolution of the multiplicity distributions with a collision energy at ATLAS is studied in terms of KNO scaling variables at \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV with the inclusive pseudorapidity region $|\eta| < 2.5$. The KNO scaling and C_q -moments were studied by the CMS at \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 7 TeV in central pseudorapidity $|\eta| < 0.5$ region and more inclusive $|\eta| < 2.4$ regions [30], and ALICE at \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV in three pseudorapidity regions $|\eta| < 0.5$, $|\eta| < 0.8$, $|\eta| < 1.0$ and $|\eta| < 1.5$ [15, 21, 25, 73]. The charged-particle multiplicity distributions on the KNO scale for all experiments have the similar shape and decrease with increasing collision energy. For the ALICE and CMS experiments, the KNO scaling is violated for energies from 0.9 to 13 TeV if taking into account more inclusive pseudorapidity regions. The KNO scaling holds for the central pseudorapidity region with $|\eta| < 0.5$ and for the energy region from $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV on the ALICE and CMS results. The ATLAS data demonstrate a tendency for the KNO scaling to be independent of energy for the highest energies, and the KNO scaling holds for a scaled multiplicity greater than 1.

7 Conclusions

ATLAS studied MB events in pp interactions at the CM energies $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36, 7, 8$ and 13 TeV for the absolute pseudorapidity region less than 2.5 in five separate PS regions $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 2$, $p_T > 100$ MeV and $n_{\text{ch}} \geq 1, 6, 20, 50$, $p_T > 500$ MeV recorded in 2010 – 2015. The data were taken in the special configuration of the LHC with low beam currents and reduced beam focusing, producing a low mean number of interactions per bunch-crossing in the range 0.003 – 0.007.

The charged-particle multiplicity depends on pseudorapidity, charged-particle multiplicity, and transverse momentum, as well as the dependence of the mean transverse momentum on multiplicity, were presented for the study of the soft-QCD phenomena. The measured distributions are presented as inclusive-inelastic distributions within a given PS region with minimal model-dependent corrections to facilitate the comparison with models. Variables are tuned in event generators using these MB measurements because there is variability in modelling since non-perturbative QCD is used.

The results are compared to the predictions of more than ten MC models tuned to a wide range of measurements. Then variables in the MC event generators were tuned using the MB measurements of the LHC and Tevatron experiments because there was variability in modelling since non-perturbative QCD was used.

This review reported that the multiplicity distribution is not described perfectly by any of the models; there are large discrepancies, especially at large multiplicities. Having observed similar discrepancies at all measured energies, we conclude that for every collision energy, model parameters usually need to be re-tuned in every MC generator. Reasonable agreement between the tunes used in the MC models and the data was presented. The models EPOS LHC, PHOJET, QGSJET-II, PYTHIA 6 and PYTHIA 8 show big troubles in describing the whole spectrum in the data, but the best agreement is achieved with EPOS. A new ATLAS PYTHIA 8 A3 tune was presented for result predictions at Run 3 of the LHC.

The comparisons of the charged-particle multiplicity and the average transverse momentum distributions on the basis of the scaled multiplicity using the results of the LHC experiments were presented. The charged-particle multiplicity distributions on the KNO scale have a similar shape and decrease with increasing energy. The KNO scaling was studied using the results of the LHC experiments. A test of the KNO scaling between 0.9 and 13 TeV confirms that the KNO scaling violation increases with decreasing

collision energy. The KNO distributions tend to be independent of energy for the highest energies. The mean transverse momentum on the KNO scale has the same shape and increases with increasing energy.

Acknowledgements

The author thanks the ATLAS collaboration for the excellent experimental results that were used in this review. Special thanks go to Edward K. Sarkisyan-Grinbaum and Stanislav Tokar for their very productive discussions. The author is grateful to Pavel Tsiareshka for technical support.

References

- [1] R. L. Workman et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, [PTEP **2022** \(2022\) 083C01](#).
- [2] J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus, *Phenomenology of Soft QCD: The Role of Minimum-Bias Measurements*, [Adv. Ser. Direct. High Energy Phys. **29** \(2018\) 267](#), arXiv: [1812.07280 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [3] L. Evans and e. Bryant Philip, *LHC Machine*, [JINST **3** \(2008\) S08001](#).
- [4] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS Experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, [JINST **3** \(2008\) S08003](#).
- [5] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicities in pp interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [Phys. Lett. B **688** \(2010\) 21](#), arXiv: [1003.3124 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [6] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicities in pp interactions measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [New J. Phys. **13** \(2011\) 053033](#), arXiv: [1012.5104 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [7] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged-particle distributions in pp interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV measured with the ATLAS detector*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **76** \(2016\) 403](#), arXiv: [1603.02439 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [8] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged-particle distributions in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp interactions measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [Phys. Lett. B **758** \(2016\) 67](#), arXiv: [1602.01633 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [9] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged-particle distributions at low transverse momentum in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV pp interactions measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [Eur. Phys. J. C **76** \(2016\) 502](#), arXiv: [1606.01133 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [10] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of charged-particle spectra in $Pb + Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, [JHEP **09** \(2015\) 050](#), arXiv: [1504.04337 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [11] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of charged particle spectra in pp collisions and nuclear modification factor R_{pPb} at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, ATLAS-CONF-2016-108, 2016, URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2220376>.
- [12] ATLAS collaboration, *Charged hadron production in $p + Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV measured at high transverse momentum by the ATLAS experiment*, ATLAS-CONF-2014-029, 2014, URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1704978>.

- [13] ALICE collaboration, *The ALICE experiment at the LHC*, *Phys. Part. Nucl.* **39** (2008) 1074.
- [14] ALICE collaboration, *First proton-proton collisions at the LHC as observed with the ALICE detector: Measurement of the charged particle pseudorapidity density at $s^{*(1/2)} = 900$ -GeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **65** (2010) 111, arXiv: [0911.5430 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [15] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicity measurement in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV with ALICE at LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68** (2010) 89, arXiv: [1004.3034 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [16] ALICE collaboration, *Transverse momentum spectra of charged particles in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV with ALICE at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **693** (2010) 53, arXiv: [1007.0719 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [17] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicity measurement in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with ALICE at LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **68** (2010) 345, arXiv: [1004.3514 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [18] ALICE collaboration, *Multiplicity dependence of the average transverse momentum in pp , $p - Pb$, and $Pb - Pb$ collisions at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **727** (2013) 371, arXiv: [1307.1094 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [19] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicity measurement with Reconstructed Tracks in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV with ALICE at the LHC*, ALICE-PUBLIC-2013-001, 2013, URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1562873>.
- [20] ALICE collaboration, *Energy Dependence of the Transverse Momentum Distributions of Charged Particles in pp Collisions Measured by ALICE*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013) 2662, arXiv: [1307.1093 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [21] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicities in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ to 8 TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 33, arXiv: [1509.07541 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [22] ALICE collaboration, *Pseudorapidity and transverse-momentum distributions of charged particles in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **753** (2016) 319, arXiv: [1509.08734 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [23] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicity distributions over a wide pseudorapidity range in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 7,$ and 8 TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **77** (2017) 852, arXiv: [1708.01435 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [24] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle production as a function of multiplicity and transverse sphericity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 5.02$ and 13 TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 857, arXiv: [1905.07208 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [25] ALICE collaboration, *Multiplicity dependence of charged-particle production in pp , $p - Pb$, $Xe - Xe$ and $Pb - Pb$ collisions at the LHC*, *Phys. Lett. B* **845** (2023) 138110, arXiv: [2211.15326 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [26] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle production as a function of the relative transverse activity classifier in pp , $p - Pb$, and $Pb - Pb$ collisions at the LHC*, (2023), arXiv: [2310.07490 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [27] CMS collaboration, *The CMS Experiment at the CERN LHC*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08004.
- [28] CMS collaboration, *Transverse Momentum and Pseudorapidity Distributions of Charged Hadrons in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 2.36 TeV*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 041, arXiv: [1002.0621 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [29] CMS collaboration, *Transverse-momentum and pseudorapidity distributions of charged hadrons in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 022002, arXiv: [1005.3299 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [30] CMS collaboration, *Charged Particle Multiplicities in pp Interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.36,$ and 7 TeV*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 079, arXiv: [1011.5531 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [31] CMS collaboration, *Pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with at least one central charged particles*, CMS-PAS-QCD-10-024, 2011, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1341853>.
- [32] CMS collaboration, *Charged particle transverse momentum spectra in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV*, *JHEP* **08** (2011) 086, arXiv: [1104.3547 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [33] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of energy flow at large pseudorapidities in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 148, [Erratum: *JHEP* 02, 055 (2012)], arXiv: [1110.0211 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [34] CMS collaboration, *Pseudorapidity distribution of charged hadrons in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **751** (2015) 143, arXiv: [1507.05915 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [35] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV by the CMS experiment*, CMS-PAS-FSQ-15-008, 2016, URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/2145373>.
- [36] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of charged particle spectra in minimum-bias events from proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78** (2018) 697, arXiv: [1806.11245 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [37] TOTEM collaboration, *The TOTEM experiment at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08007.
- [38] CMS, TOTEM collaborations, *Measurement of pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV by the CMS and TOTEM experiments*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 3053, arXiv: [1405.0722 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [39] LHCb collaboration, *The LHCb Detector at the LHC*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08005.
- [40] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of charged particle multiplicities in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in the forward region*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 1947, arXiv: [1112.4592 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [41] LHCb collaboration, *Measurement of charged particle multiplicities and densities in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV in the forward region*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014) 2888, arXiv: [1402.4430 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [42] LHCf collaboration, *The LHCf detector at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *JINST* **3** (2008) S08006.
- [43] TOTEM collaboration, *Measurement of the forward charged particle pseudorapidity density in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV using a displaced interaction point*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 126, arXiv: [1411.4963 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [44] ACHM collaboration, *Charged Particle Multiplicity Distributions in pp Collisions at ISR Energies*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **129** (1977) 365.

- [45] A. Breakstone et al., *Charged Multiplicity Distribution in pp Interactions at ISR Energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **30** (1984) 528.
- [46] EHS/NA22 collaboration, *Phase Space Dependence of the Multiplicity Distribution in π^+p and pp Collisions at 250-GeV/c*, *Z. Phys. C* **37** (1988) 215.
- [47] UA1 collaboration, *Transverse Momentum Spectra for Charged Particles at the CERN Proton anti-Proton Collider*, *Phys. Lett. B* **118** (1982) 167.
- [48] UA1 collaboration, *A Study of the General Characteristics of $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.2\text{-TeV}$ to 0.9-TeV* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **335** (1990) 261.
- [49] UA4 collaboration, *Pseudorapidity Distribution of Charged Particles in Diffraction Dissociation Events at the CERN SPS Collider*, *Phys. Lett. B* **166** (1986) 459.
- [50] UA5 collaboration, *Charged Particle Multiplicities at the CERN SPS Collider*, *Phys. Lett. B* **107** (1981) 315.
- [51] UA5 collaboration, *Comparison of $p\bar{p}$ and pp Interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 53\text{-GeV}$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **112** (1982) 183.
- [52] UA5 collaboration, *Scaling Violation Favoring High Multiplicity Events at 540-GeV CMS Energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **138** (1984) 304.
- [53] UA5 collaboration, *An Investigation of Multiplicity Distributions in Different Pseudorapidity Intervals in anti-p p Reactions at a CMS Energy of 540-GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **160** (1985) 193.
- [54] UA5 collaboration, *Scaling Violations in Multiplicity Distributions at 200-GeV and 900-GeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **167** (1986) 476.
- [55] UA5 collaboration, *Scaling of Pseudorapidity Distributions at c.m. Energies Up to 0.9-TeV*, *Z. Phys. C* **33** (1986) 1.
- [56] UA5 collaboration, *Diffraction Dissociation at the CERN Pulsed Collider at CM Energies of 900-GeV and 200-GeV*, *Z. Phys. C* **33** (1986) 175.
- [57] UA5 collaboration, *UA5: A general study of proton-antiproton physics at $\sqrt{s} = 546\text{-GeV}$* , *Phys. Rept.* **154** (1987) 247.
- [58] UA5 collaboration, *Charged Particle Correlations in $\bar{P}P$ Collisions at c. m. Energies of 200-GeV, 546-GeV and 900-GeV*, *Z. Phys. C* **37** (1988) 191.
- [59] UA5 collaboration, *Charged Particle Multiplicity Distributions at 200-GeV and 900-GeV Center-Of-Mass Energy*, *Z. Phys. C* **43** (1989) 357, ed. by R. Kotthaus and J. H. Kuhn.
- [60] CDF collaboration, *The CDF Detector: An Overview*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **271** (1988) 387.
- [61] CDF collaboration, *The CDF-II detector: Technical design report, FERMILAB-DESIGN-1996-01, FERMILAB-PUB-96-390-E*, (1996),
URL: <https://lss.fnal.gov/archive/design/fermilab-design-1996-01.pdf>.
- [62] CDF collaboration, *Transverse Momentum Distributions of Charged Particles Produced in $\bar{p}p$ Interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 630\text{ GeV}$ and 1800 GeV* , *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 1819.

- [63] CDF collaboration, *Pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles produced in $\bar{p}p$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 630$ GeV and 1800 GeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **41** (1990) 119, ed. by J. Tran Thanh Van.
- [64] CDF collaboration, *Soft and Hard Interactions in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800$ -GeV and 630-GeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2002) 072005.
- [65] CDF collaboration, *Measurement of Particle Production and Inclusive Differential Cross Sections in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ -TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009) 112005, [Erratum: *Phys.Rev.D* 82, 119903 (2010)], arXiv: [0904.1098](https://arxiv.org/abs/0904.1098) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [66] N. Moggi, *Study of Soft QCD at the Tevatron*, *Nuovo Cim. C* **32** (2009) 113, ed. by M. Greco.
- [67] E735 collaboration, *Multiplicity dependence of transverse momentum spectra of centrally produced hadrons in anti- p collisions at 0.3-TeV, 0.54-TeV, 0.9-TeV, and 1.8-TeV center-of-mass energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **336** (1994) 599.
- [68] A. M. Polyakov, *A Similarity hypothesis in the strong interactions. 1. Multiple hadron production in e^+e^- annihilation*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **32** (1971) 296, URL: http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_032_02_0296.pdf.
- [69] Z. Koba, H. B. Nielsen and P. Olesen, *Scaling of multiplicity distributions in high-energy hadron collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **40** (1972) 317.
- [70] Z. Koba, *Multi-body phenomena in strong interactions – description of hadronic multi-body final states, in Proceedings of CERN–JINR School of Physics, pg. 171, Ebeltoft, Denmark, 17-13 Jun 1973*, *CERN Yellow Reports*: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/864411/files/p171.pdf?version=1> (1973).
- [71] R. P. Feynman, *Very high-energy collisions of hadrons*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **23** (1969) 1415, ed. by L. M. Brown.
- [72] Y. Kulchitsky and P. Tsiareshka, *Study of KNO scaling in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} from 0.9 to 13 TeV using results of the ATLAS at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 462, arXiv: [2202.06697](https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.06697) [[hep-ex](#)].
- [73] F. Fan, *Particle production as a function of underlying-event activity and very forward energy with ALICE*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **276** (2023) 01009, arXiv: [2208.11348](https://arxiv.org/abs/2208.11348) [[nucl-ex](#)].
- [74] A. B. Kaidalov, *The Quark-Gluon Structure of the Pomeron and the Rise of Inclusive Spectra at High-Energies*, *Phys. Lett. B* **116** (1982) 459.
- [75] A. B. Kaidalov and K. A. Ter-Martirosian, *Pomeron as Quark-Gluon Strings and Multiple Hadron Production at SPS Collider Energies*, *Phys. Lett. B* **117** (1982) 247.
- [76] V. N. Gribov, *A REGGEON DIAGRAM TECHNIQUE*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **26** (1968) 414, URL: https://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_026_02_0414.pdf.
- [77] L. V. Gribov, E. M. Levin and M. G. Ryskin, *Semihard Processes in QCD*, *Phys. Rept.* **100** (1983) 1.

- [78] J. Bleibel, L. V. Bravina, A. B. Kaidalov and E. E. Zabrodin, *How many of the scaling trends in pp collisions will be violated at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 14$ TeV? – Predictions from Monte Carlo quark-gluon string model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **93** (2016) 114012, arXiv: [1011.2703 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [79] L. V. Bravina and E. E. Zabrodin, *Scaling trends in proton–proton collisions from SPS to LHC in quark-gluon string model*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **668** (2016) 012045, ed. by D. Alvarez-Castillo, D. Blaschke, V. Kekelidze, V. Matveev and A. Sorin.
- [80] W. Kittel and E. A. De Wolf, *Soft multihadron dynamics*, *Book. Publisher: Singapore, Hackensack, NJ: World Scientific*, 652 pages, 2005, ISBN: 978-981-256-295-1.
- [81] I. M. Dremin and J. W. Gary, *Hadron multiplicities*, *Phys. Rept.* **349** (2001) 301, arXiv: [hep-ph/0004215](#).
- [82] J. F. Grosse-Oetringhaus and K. Reygers, *Charged-Particle Multiplicity in proton–proton Collisions*, *J. Phys. G* **37** (2010) 083001, arXiv: [0912.0023 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [83] S. Hegyi, *KNO scaling 30 years later*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **92** (2001) 122, ed. by A. Giovannini and R. Ugoccioni, arXiv: [hep-ph/0011301](#).
- [84] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of underlying event characteristics using charged particles in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 900$ GeV and 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 112001, arXiv: [1012.0791 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [85] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurements of underlying-event properties using neutral and charged particles in pp collisions at 900 GeV and 7 TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1636, arXiv: [1103.1816 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [86] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of charged-particle distributions sensitive to the underlying event in $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV proton–proton collisions with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *JHEP* **03** (2017) 157, arXiv: [1701.05390 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [87] ATLAS collaboration, *Two-particle Bose–Einstein correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV measured with the ATLAS detector*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 466, arXiv: [1502.07947 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [88] ATLAS collaboration, *Two-particle Bose–Einstein correlations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV measured with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 608, arXiv: [2202.02218 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [89] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the Inelastic proton–proton Cross-Section at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector*, *Nature Commun.* **2** (2011) 463, arXiv: [1104.0326 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [90] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of the Inelastic proton–proton Cross Section at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS Detector at the LHC*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117** (2016) 182002, arXiv: [1606.02625 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [91] M. Tasevsky, ‘Soft QCD measurements at LHC’, *Proceedings. 28th International Symposium on Lepton Photon Interactions at High Energies, Guangzhou (Guangdong), China, August 7-12, 2017*, 241-259, ATL-PHYS-PROC-2018-009, arXiv: [1802.02818 \[hep-ex\]](#).

- [92] Y. Kulchitsky, *Soft QCD at ATLAS and CMS, Proceedings. 26th Cracow Epiphany Conference on LHC Physics: Standard Model and Beyond (Epiphany), Krakow, Poland, January 7-10, 2020*, *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **51** (2020) 1411, ed. by J. Bohm, M. Chrzęszcz, S. Sapeta and A. Siódmok.
- [93] E. Sarkisyan-Grinbaum, *Soft QCD in ATLAS: Bridging non-perturbative and perturbative aspects of multiparticle production*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **234** (2013) 47, ed. by S. Narison.
- [94] CMS collaboration, *Measurement of diffraction dissociation cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 012003, arXiv: [1503.08689](https://arxiv.org/abs/1503.08689) [hep-ex].
- [95] P. A. Zyla et al., *Review of Particle Physics, Chapter 20. High Energy Soft QCD and Diffraction*, pgs. 385–408, *PTEP* **2020** (2020) 083C01.
- [96] M. G. Ryskin, A. D. Martin and V. A. Khoze, *High-energy strong interactions: from ‘hard’ to ‘soft’*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **71** (2011) 1617, arXiv: [1102.2844](https://arxiv.org/abs/1102.2844) [hep-ph].
- [97] L. Frankfurt and M. Strikman, ‘*Diffraction phenomena in high energy processes*’, *100 Years of Subatomic Physics*, ed. by E. M. Henley and S. D. Ellis, 2013 363, arXiv: [1304.4308](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.4308) [hep-ph].
- [98] K. Werner, F.-M. Liu and T. Pierog, *Parton ladder splitting and the rapidity dependence of transverse momentum spectra in deuteron-gold collisions at RHIC*, *Phys. Rev. C* **74** (2006) 044902, arXiv: [hep-ph/0506232](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0506232).
- [99] X.-N. Wang, *pQCD based approach to parton production and equilibration in high-energy nuclear collisions*, *Phys. Rept.* **280** (1997) 287, arXiv: [hep-ph/9605214](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/9605214).
- [100] S. Ostapchenko and M. Bleicher, *Taming the Energy Rise of the Total proton–proton Cross-Section*, *Universe* **5** (2019) 106.
- [101] S. Ostapchenko, *Monte Carlo treatment of hadronic interactions in enhanced Pomeron scheme: I. QGSJET-II model*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 014018, arXiv: [1010.1869](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.1869) [hep-ph].
- [102] F. M. Liu, H. J. Drescher, S. Ostapchenko, T. Pierog and K. Werner, *Consistent treatment of soft and hard processes in hadronic interactions*, *J. Phys. G* **28** (2002) 2597, arXiv: [hep-ph/0109104](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0109104).
- [103] E. Gotsman, E. Levin and U. Maor, *A Model for Strong Interactions at High Energy Based on CGC/Saturation Approach and the BFKL Pomeron*, *Acta Phys. Polon. Supp.* **8** (2015) 777, ed. by C. Royon and P. Verdier.
- [104] V. S. Fadin, E. A. Kuraev and L. N. Lipatov, *On the Pomanchuk Singularity in Asymptotically Free Theories*, *Phys. Lett. B* **60** (1975) 50.
- [105] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, *MultiRegge Processes in the Yang-Mills Theory*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **44** (1976) 443, URL: http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_044_03_0443.pdf.
- [106] E. A. Kuraev, L. N. Lipatov and V. S. Fadin, *The Pomanchuk Singularity in Nonabelian Gauge Theories*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **45** (1977) 199, URL: http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_045_02_0199.pdf.

- [107] I. I. Balitsky and L. N. Lipatov, *The Pomeron Singularity in Quantum Chromodynamics*, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **28** (1978) 822.
- [108] B. L. Ioffe, V. S. Fadin and L. N. Lipatov, *Quantum chromodynamics: Perturbative and nonperturbative aspects*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2010, ISBN: 978-1-107-42475-3, 978-0-521-63148-8, 978-0-511-71744-4.
- [109] Y. V. Kovchegov and E. Levin, *Quantum chromodynamics at high energy*, vol. 33, Cambridge University Press, 2012, ISBN: 978-0-521-11257-4, 978-1-139-55768-9.
- [110] F. Rimondi, *Soft and hard interactions in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800\text{-GeV}$ and 630-GeV* , Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **92** (2001) 114, ed. by A. Giovannini and R. Ugoccioni.
- [111] F. Rimondi, *Soft and Hard Interactions in $p\bar{p}$ Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800\text{ GeV}$ and 630 GeV* , In: Proceedings of the “30th International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics”, Tihany, Lake Balaton, Hungary, 9-15 October 2000, FERMILAB-CONF-01-042-E, (2001) 119.
- [112] F. Rimondi, *Soft and hard interactions in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800\text{-GeV}$ and 630-GeV* , Phys. Atom. Nucl. **67** (2004) 130.
- [113] ATLAS collaboration, *Measurement of event-shape observables in $Z \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-$ events in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7\text{ TeV}$ with the ATLAS detector at the LHC*, Eur. Phys. J. C **76** (2016) 375, arXiv: 1602.08980 [hep-ex].
- [114] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS Trigger System in 2010*, Eur. Phys. J. C **72** (2012) 1849, arXiv: 1110.1530 [hep-ex].
- [115] ATLAS collaboration, *Performance of the ATLAS Trigger System in 2015*, Eur. Phys. J. C **77** (2017) 317, arXiv: 1611.09661 [hep-ex].
- [116] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report*, CERN-LHCC-2010-013, ATLAS-TDR-19, 2010, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1291633>.
- [117] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Insertable B-Layer Technical Design Report Addendum*, CERN-LHCC-2012-009, ATLAS-TDR-19-ADD-1, 2012, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1451888>.
- [118] ATLAS collaboration, *A Study of Minimum Bias Events*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2009-033, ATL-COM-PHYS-2009-104, 2009, URL: <http://cds.cern.ch/record/1166791>.
- [119] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS collaboration Software and Firmware*, ATL-SOFT-PUB-2021-001, 2021, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2767187>.
- [120] ATLAS collaboration, *The Pythia 8 A3 tune description of ATLAS minimum bias and inelastic measurements incorporating the Donnachie–Landshoff diffractive model*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2016-017, 2016, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/2206965>.
- [121] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *A Brief Introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, Comput. Phys. Commun. **178** (2008) 852, arXiv: 0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [122] R. D. Ball et al., *Parton distributions with LHC data*, Nucl. Phys. B **867** (2013) 244, arXiv: 1207.1303 [hep-ph].
- [123] P. Skands, S. Carrazza and J. Rojo, *Tuning PYTHIA 8.1: the Monash 2013 Tune*, Eur. Phys. J. C **74** (2014) 3024, arXiv: 1404.5630 [hep-ph].

- [124] ATLAS collaboration, *Further ATLAS tunes of PYTHIA6 and Pythia8*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-014, 2011, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1400677>.
- [125] A. D. Martin, W. J. Stirling, R. S. Thorne and G. Watt, *Parton distributions for the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **63** (2009) 189, arXiv: [0901.0002](https://arxiv.org/abs/0901.0002) [hep-ph].
- [126] K. Werner, I. Karpenko, T. Pierog, M. Bleicher and K. Mikhailov, *Evidence for hydrodynamic evolution in proton–proton scattering at 900 GeV*, *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 044915, arXiv: [1010.0400](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.0400) [nucl-th].
- [127] T. Pierog, I. Karpenko, J. M. Katzy, E. Yatsenko and K. Werner, *EPOS LHC: Test of collective hadronization with data measured at the CERN Large Hadron Collider*, *Phys. Rev. C* **92** (2015) 034906, arXiv: [1306.0121](https://arxiv.org/abs/1306.0121) [hep-ph].
- [128] T. Sjöstrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *PYTHIA 6.4 Physics and Manual*, *JHEP* **05** (2006) 026, arXiv: [hep-ph/0603175](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0603175).
- [129] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS tunes of PYTHIA 6 and Pythia 8 for MC11*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2011-009, 2011, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1363300>.
- [130] J. Pumplin et al., *New generation of parton distributions with uncertainties from global QCD analysis*, *JHEP* **07** (2002) 012, arXiv: [hep-ph/0201195](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0201195).
- [131] A. Sherstnev and R. S. Thorne, *Parton Distributions for LO Generators*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **55** (2008) 553, arXiv: [0711.2473](https://arxiv.org/abs/0711.2473) [hep-ph].
- [132] ATLAS collaboration, *ATLAS Monte Carlo tunes for MC09*, ATL-PHYS-PUB-2010-002, 2010, URL: <https://cds.cern.ch/record/1247375>.
- [133] M. G. Albrow et al., *Tevatron-for-LHC Report of the QCD Working Group*, FERMILAB-CONF-06-359, 2006, arXiv: [hep-ph/0610012](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0610012).
- [134] R. Engel, *Photoproduction within the two component dual parton model. 1. Amplitudes and cross-sections*, *Z. Phys. C* **66** (1995) 203.
- [135] P. Z. Skands, ‘The Perugia Tunes, FERMILAB-CONF-09-113-T’, *1st International Workshop on Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC*, 2009 284, arXiv: [0905.3418](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.3418) [hep-ph].
- [136] T. Sjöstrand et al., *High-energy physics event generation with PYTHIA 6.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **135** (2001) 238, arXiv: [hep-ph/0010017](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0010017).
- [137] T. Sjöstrand et al., *An introduction to PYTHIA 8.2*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **191** (2015) 159, arXiv: [1410.3012](https://arxiv.org/abs/1410.3012) [hep-ph].
- [138] C. Bierlich et al., *A comprehensive guide to the physics and usage of PYTHIA 8.3*, (2022), arXiv: [2203.11601](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.11601) [hep-ph].
- [139] R. Corke and T. Sjöstrand, *Interleaved parton showers and tuning prospects*, *JHEP* **03** (2011) 032, arXiv: [1011.1759](https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1759) [hep-ph].
- [140] T. Sjöstrand, *New showers with transverse-momentum-ordering*, LU-TP-04-05, 2004, arXiv: [hep-ph/0401061](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0401061).
- [141] J. Campbell, J. Huston and F. Krauss, *The Black Book of Quantum Chromodynamics: A Primer for the LHC Era*, Oxford University Press, 2017, ISBN: 978-0-19-965274-7.

- [142] A. Buckley et al., *General-purpose event generators for LHC physics*, *Phys. Rept.* **504** (2011) 145, arXiv: [1101.2599 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [143] S. Kundu, B. Mohanty and D. Mallick, *Effect of color reconnection on forward-backward multiplicity and mean transverse momentum correlation*, (2019), arXiv: [1912.05176 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [144] A. Capella, U. Sukhatme, C.-I. Tan and J. Tran Thanh Van, *Jets in Small $p(T)$ Hadronic Collisions, Universality of Quark Fragmentation, and Rising Rapidity Plateaus*, *Phys. Lett. B* **81** (1979) 68.
- [145] A. Capella, U. Sukhatme, C.-I. Tan and J. Tran Thanh Van, *Dual parton model*, *Phys. Rept.* **236** (1994) 225.
- [146] ATLAS collaboration, *The ATLAS simulation infrastructure*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **70** (2010) 823, arXiv: [1005.4568 \[physics.ins-det\]](#).
- [147] S. Agostinelli et al., *Geant4 – a simulation toolkit*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **506** (2003) 250.
- [148] G. A. Schuler and T. Sjöstrand, *Hadronic diffractive cross-sections and the rise of the total cross-section*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 2257.
- [149] A. Donnachie and P. V. Landshoff, *Total cross-sections*, *Phys. Lett. B* **296** (1992) 227, arXiv: [hep-ph/9209205](#).
- [150] M. Bähr et al., *Herwig++ physics and manual*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **58** (2008) 639, arXiv: [0803.0883 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [151] W. Lukas, *ATLAS inner tracking detectors: Run 1 performance and developments for Run 2*, *Nucl. Part. Phys. Proc.* **273-275** (2016) 1134, ed. by M Aguilar-Benítez, J Fuster, S Martí-García and A Santamaría.
- [152] E. Gotsman, A. Kormilitzin, E. Levin and U. Maor, *QCD motivated approach to soft interactions at high energies: nucleus-nucleus and hadron-nucleus collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. A* **842** (2010) 82, arXiv: [0912.4689 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [153] A. K. Likhoded, A. V. Luchinsky and A. A. Novoselov, *Light hadron production in inclusive pp -scattering at LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 114006, arXiv: [1005.1827 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [154] A. B. Kaidalov and M. G. Poghosyan, *Predictions of Quark-Gluon String Model for pp at LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **67** (2010) 397, arXiv: [0910.2050 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [155] E. Levin and A. H. Rezaeian, *Gluon saturation and inclusive hadron production at LHC*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 014022, arXiv: [1005.0631 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [156] UA1 collaboration, *Charged Particle Multiplicity Distributions in Proton Anti-proton Collisions at 540-GeV Center-of-mass Energy*, *Phys. Lett. B* **123** (1983) 108.
- [157] F. Rimondi, ‘Multiplicity distributions in $\bar{p}p$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 1800$ -GeV, FERMILAB-CONF-93-359-E CDF’, *23rd International Symposium on Ultra-High Energy Multiparticle Phenomena, Aspen, Colorado, September 12–17, 1993*, URL: <https://inspirehep.net/files/cdeda6c125deb314070389ed3ce6020f>.
- [158] H1 collaboration, *Charged particle multiplicities in deep inelastic scattering at HERA*, *Z. Phys. C* **72** (1996) 573, arXiv: [hep-ex/9608011](#).
- [159] P. Bartalini et al., *Multi-parton interactions and underlying events from Tevatron to LHC*, In proceedings: “38th International Symposium on Multiparticle Dynamics” (ISMD 2008), Hamburg, Germany, September 15–20 (2008) 406.

- [160] A. Moraes, C. Buttar and I. Dawson, *Prediction for minimum bias and the underlying event at LHC energies*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **50** (2007) 435.
- [161] A. Buckley, H. Hoeth, H. Lacker, H. Schulz and J. E. von Seggern, *Systematic event generator tuning for the LHC*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **65** (2010) 331, arXiv: [0907.2973 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [162] P. Z. Skands, *Tuning Monte Carlo Generators: The Perugia Tunes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074018, arXiv: [1005.3457 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [163] R. Engel and J. Ranft, *Hadronic photon-photon interactions at high-energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (1996) 4244, arXiv: [hep-ph/9509373](#).
- [164] A. Capella, E. G. Ferreira, C. A. Salgado and A. B. Kaidalov, *A Unitary model for structure functions and diffractive production at small x* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **593** (2001) 336, arXiv: [hep-ph/0005049](#).
- [165] D. d'Enterria, R. Engel, T. Pierog, S. Ostapchenko and K. Werner, *Constraints from the first LHC data on hadronic event generators for ultra-high energy cosmic-ray physics*, *Astropart. Phys.* **35** (2011) 98, arXiv: [1101.5596 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [166] STAR collaboration, *Systematic Measurements of Identified Particle Spectra in pp , $d + Au$ and $Au + Au$ Collisions from STAR*, *Phys. Rev. C* **79** (2009) 034909, arXiv: [0808.2041 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [167] PHOBOS collaboration, *Pseudorapidity distributions of charged particles in $d + Au$ and $p + p$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ -GeV*, *J. Phys. G* **30** (2004) S1133, ed. by H. G. Ritter and X.-N. Wang, arXiv: [nucl-ex/0403033](#).
- [168] M. Ambrosio et al., *Total and elastic cross-sections and global event characteristics in $p\bar{p}$ and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 53$ GeV*, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **85** (1982) 602, ed. by V. D. Barger, D. Cline and F. Halzen.
- [169] PHOBOS collaboration, *Phobos results on charged particle multiplicity and pseudorapidity distributions in $Au + Au$, $Cu + Cu$, $d + Au$, and $p + p$ collisions at ultra-relativistic energies*, *Phys. Rev. C* **83** (2011) 024913, arXiv: [1011.1940 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [170] ALICE collaboration, *Charged-particle multiplicity density at mid-rapidity in central $Pb - Pb$ collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** (2010) 252301, arXiv: [1011.3916 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [171] R. Sassot, P. Zurita and M. Stratmann, *Inclusive Hadron Production in the CERN-LHC Era*, *Phys. Rev. D* **82** (2010) 074011, arXiv: [1008.0540 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [172] ALICE collaboration, *Neutral pion and η meson production in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *Phys. Lett. B* **717** (2012) 162, arXiv: [1205.5724 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [173] I. Antcheva et al., *ROOT: A C++ framework for petabyte data storage, statistical analysis and visualization*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **180** (2009) 2499, arXiv: [1508.07749 \[physics.data-an\]](#).
- [174] T. Alexopoulos et al., *The role of double parton collisions in soft hadron interactions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **435** (1998) 453.

- [175] A. Giovannini and R. Ugoccioni, *Soft and semihard components structure in multiparticle production in high-energy collisions*, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **71** (1999) 201, ed. by G. Capon, V. A. Khoze, G. Pancheri and A. Sansoni, arXiv: [hep-ph/9710361](#).
- [176] T. Sjöstrand, ‘Colour reconnection and its effects on precise measurements at the LHC’, *LU-TP-13-37, MCNET-13-16*, 2013, arXiv: [1310.8073 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [177] A. Buckley et al., *LHAPDF6: parton density access in the LHC precision era*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **75** (2015) 132, arXiv: [1412.7420 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [178] L. McLerran and M. Praszalowicz, *Saturation and Scaling of Multiplicity, Mean p_T and p_T Distributions from $200 \text{ GeV} \leq \sqrt{s} \leq 7 \text{ TeV}$* , *Acta Phys. Polon. B* **41** (2010) 1917, arXiv: [1006.4293 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [179] NA22 collaboration, *Rapidity Dependence of Negative and All Charged Multiplicities in Nondiffractive π^+p and pp Collisions at $250\text{-GeV}/c$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **177** (1986) 239, ed. by J. Tran Thanh Van.
- [180] T. T. Chou and C. N. Yang, *Remarks on Multiplicity Fluctuations and KNO Scaling in $\bar{p}p$ Collider Experiments*, *Phys. Lett. B* **116** (1982) 301.
- [181] C. H. Kam, Y. K. Lim and K. K. Phua, *ON APPROXIMATE KNO SCALING AT ANTI-P P COLLIDER*, *Z. Phys. C* **26** (1984) 381.
- [182] TASSO collaboration, *Jet Production and Fragmentation in e^+e^- Annihilation at 12-GeV to 43-GeV* , *Z. Phys. C* **22** (1984) 307.
- [183] Y. A. Kulchitsky and P. Tsiarehka, *Moments of multiplicity distributions for KNO scaling study using the ATLAS results*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 111, arXiv: [2304.12047 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [184] A. M. Polyakov, *Similarity hypothesis in strong interactions. 2. Cascade formation of hadrons and their energy distribution in e^+e^- annihilation*, *Sov. Phys. JETP* **33** (1971) 850, URL: http://www.jetp.ras.ru/cgi-bin/dn/e_033_05_0850.pdf.
- [185] S. J. Orfanidis and V. Rittenberg, *On the Connection Between Branching Processes and Scale Invariant Field Theory for e^+e^- Annihilation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **10** (1974) 2892.
- [186] G. Cohen-Tannoudji and W. Ochs, *Jet Model With Exclusive Parton Hadron Duality*, *Z. Phys. C* **39** (1988) 513.
- [187] Y. L. Dokshitzer, *Improved QCD treatment of the KNO phenomenon*, *Phys. Lett. B* **305** (1993) 295.
- [188] S. Carius and G. Ingelman, *The Log normal distribution for cascade multiplicities in hadron collisions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **252** (1990) 647.
- [189] R. Szwed and G. Wrochna, *Scaling Predictions for Multiplicity Distributions at LEP*, *Z. Phys. C* **47** (1990) 449.
- [190] M. Gazdzicki, R. Szwed, G. Wrochna and A. K. Wroblewski, *Scaling of multiplicity distributions and collision dynamics in e^+e^- and pp interactions*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6** (1991) 981.

- [191] G. Bencedi, A. Ortiz and A. Paz, *Disentangling the hard gluon bremsstrahlung effects from the relative transverse activity classifier in pp collisions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 016017, arXiv: [2105.04838 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [192] A. Ortiz, *Energy dependence of underlying-event observables from RHIC to LHC energies*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 076019, arXiv: [2108.08360 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [193] T. A. Aaltonen et al., *Study of the energy dependence of the underlying event in proton-antiproton collisions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **92** (2015) 092009, arXiv: [1508.05340 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [194] ALICE collaboration, *Underlying Event properties in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV*, *JHEP* **04** (2020) 192, arXiv: [1910.14400 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [195] T. Martin, P. Skands and S. Farrington, *Probing Collective Effects in Hadronisation with the Extremes of the Underlying Event*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **76** (2016) 299, arXiv: [1603.05298 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [196] A. Ortiz and L. Valencia Palomo, *Universality of the underlying event in pp collisions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **96** (2017) 114019, arXiv: [1710.04741 \[hep-ex\]](#).
- [197] B. Abelev et al., *Multiplicity dependence of two-particle azimuthal correlations in pp collisions at the LHC*, *JHEP* **09** (2013) 049, arXiv: [1307.1249 \[nucl-ex\]](#).
- [198] A. Ortiz and E. A. Zepeda, *Extraction of the multiplicity dependence of multiparton interactions from LHC pp data using machine learning techniques*, *J. Phys. G* **48** (2021) 085014, arXiv: [2101.10274 \[hep-ph\]](#).