Innovative Polarimetry for High-energy Cosmic γ and e^+/e^- Induced by Vector Photo-productionn

Dart-yin A. Soh^a Zhaoyi Qu^b

^aInstitute of Physics, Academia Sinica, Taiwan ^bShandong Institute of Advanced Technology, Jinan, P. R. China

E-mail: dartyinsoh@icloud.com

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we explore the possibility of measuring the complete polarizations of cosmic photons γ and the polarizations of cosmic electrons e^- and positrons e^+ . Our innovative Vector Meson Photo-production induced polarimetry enables people to measure the circular polarization component of a $GeV \gamma$ and to improve its linear polarization measurement, and thus enables people to measure the polarization of $GeV e^+/e^-$ for the first time. We calculate the production process of $\pi^+\pi^-$ by a generally polarized photon near nucleon's field in a generalized *VPD-SDMEs Factorization* with the fitted experimental data, so that it's partially model-independent. We also propose the observables and approach to measure their polarizations based on our calculations. Our new polarimetry of high-energy cosmic γ , e^+ , e^- will open a new window to reveal the mysteries and solve the puzzles of BSM new physics in particle physics and cosmology.

¹Corresponding author.

Contents

I	Intr	oductio	duction	
2	V-Photoproduction Induced Polarimetry of γ and e^+/e^-			3
	2.1	Polariz	zation Formulism	3
	2.2	Polariz	zation of e^+/e^- via Bremsstrahlung & Discussion of e^+e^- Conversion	4
3	Differential Cross Section of the Polarized Process			5
	3.1 VPD-SDMEs Factorization			5
	3.2	3.2 Parameterization Model of the VN Production		7
	3.3 Spin Density Matrix Elements		Density Matrix Elements	8
		3.3.1	SDMEs in Regge Theory	8
		3.3.2	Linear Spin Density Matrix Elements in Fitting	9
		3.3.3	Circular Spin Density Matrix Elements in Fitting	10
		3.3.4	Discussion on the 2 Schemes	11
4	Measurement Phenology			12
5	Con	clusion		13

1 Introduction

Motivations Polarization measurements of cosmic photons had achieved and will continue to achieve a lot of important discoveries and progress in astrophysics and cosmology over the past few decades, including the E and B modes of CMB anisotropy to establish the standard model of cosmology and to test the inflation models [9]. Polarization provides more complete and cutting-edge probe of new physics beyond spectroscopy, photometry and imaging/mapping measurements, in the current multi-messenger and multi-variable analysis era. The astrophysical sources like pulsars, AGNs, GRBs can produce high-energy photons with (circular) polarizations, and accelerate polarized e^+/e^- , and the measurements can help us to solve the remained problems in astrophysics. New physics of beyond Standard Model of Particle Physics (BSM) processes like dark matter annihilation and axion-like particle Primakoff process can produce circular polarized photons and polarized e^+/e^- , the detection can explore new physics of BSM and cosmology. [2–4] For the *spin polarization* of the cosmic electrons $e^+/positrons e^-$, there's no detectable process sensitive to the spin-polarization states of the high-energy e^+/e^- . Astrophysical sources and new physics productions of the polarized high-energy $\gamma/e^+/e^-$.

Experimental New Physics Hints Recently the Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS-02) experiment on international Space Station (ISS) had measured spectra of high-energy electrons e^- and

positions e^+ from space, and had detected plenty O(100)MeV to O(10)GeV photons from space using $\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ conversion process in the materials of the detector. The polarization measurement of the photons sources is progressing in spite of difficulty caused by the small open angle between the e^+e^- products converted by the photons. On the other hand, it's suggested from the DR2 data that the related low-energy component (< 20GeV) and the related high-energy component (> 20GeV) have quite different energy distributions. The e^+/e^- from these 2 components have likely different polarizations rather than are both unpolarized. However, the polarization states from O(10)GeV e^+/e^- from space have never been measured. It's important to perform this measurement to detect potential new physics of dark matter or astrophysical processes. On a particle detector in space like AMS, we can use the polarization of the bremsstrahlung photons γ emitted by e^+/e^- source to detect the its polarization state. However, to distinguish a polarized e^+/e^- from the unpolarized case, the circular polarization of its bremsstrahlung γ has to be measured.

Polarimetry Review The optical polarimetry, such as the photoelectric effect, Thomson scattering, Compton scattering, can only cover the energy range from microwave up to a few MeV. e^+e^- pair production polarimetry in the electro-magnetic (EM) field of a nucleus can measure the linear polarization of a photon in the medium- and high-energy region (~ 1MeV to 1GeV) but suffers from the low efficiency at $\mathcal{O}(100)MeV$ due to the small open angle between the e^+e^- pair and their *multi-scatterings* for high-energy photons. Recently people propose a few improvements of resolution using TPC and other techniques like AdEPT and MSD in e^+e^- conversions. However, at high-energy region $0.5GeV \sim \mathcal{O}(10)GeV$ the polarimetry is lacked, and furthermore, there is still no full polarimetry including circular polarization in the medium- and high-energy region. It's well-known that only the linear (plane) polarization components of the photons can be measured by e^+e^- conversion. The circular polarization component of the photons is not accessible by $e^+e^$ conversion [1]. On the other hand, we still lack the polarimetry proposed for high-energy cosmic e^{+}/e^{-} . There are 3 techniques to measure the polarization of electron beams based on scattering the electrons from: unpolarized nuclei (Mott scattering), polarized atomic electrons (Møller scattering), and polarized photons (Compton scattering). Mott polarimetry is effective only at low electron energy (, $\mathcal{O}(10)MeV$) and for transverse polarization, while Møller and Compton polarimetry are effective for high-energy longitudinally polarized electrons and precise from QED calculations. However, they require the polarized electron targets or the polarized lasers which are impossible for the high-energy cosmic e^+/e^- detection. In this paper we will propose our innovative polarimetry for the circular polarization of cosmic γ and polarization of cosmic e^+/e^- that will open a new window for the astroparticle study! We will discuss the physics of productions of circularly polarized photons and polarized e^+ , e^- sources in our paper [5].

It's overlooked that a high-energy photon can produce a pair of pions $\pi^+\pi^-$ via the strong interaction when colliding with a nucleus and its polarization can thus be probed. This process is dominated by the vector-meson ρ^0 -photoproduction; and similarly photons can also produce $\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ dominated by ω -photoproduction and K^+K^- dominated by Φ -photoproduction. This idea is referred to as *V*-*Photoproduction induced Photon Polarimetry*. We will focus on the $\pi^+\pi^-$ production here, however, considering the complexity in the $\pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ analysis and the small cross section in the K^+K^- production. Due to the heavy mass of the vector-meson ($m_{\rho} = 770 MeV$), the open angle between $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair is expected much larger than that in the e^+e^- conversion, and result in much better angular resolution to measure the γ polarization. Moreover, the circular polarization component of a photon can transmit to the 3-spin state of a massive vector-meson, hence we can measure the circular polarization of a photon using the angular distribution of the pion pair $\pi^+\pi^-$ conversion. We will calculate event rate of the process for our polarimetry in this article.

The photoproduction of a meson pair in the nucleonic field can be calculated either in perturbation models like chiral perturbation theory and the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model, or in Regge Theory non-perturbatively. There's no first-principle calculation like Lattice QCD in this process. The cross sections peak at low momentum transfer |t| region, where the strong interaction enters the strong coupling limit and perturbation method tends to break down. Therefore, there are large uncertainties in the phenomenological strong-interaction models of the photoproduction and its decay. To reduce the theoretical uncertainty, and to calculate the differential cross section with the polarised photon, in this article we adopt the factorisation method to separate the production and decay in the calculations of the cross sections, in which the intermediate vector meson ρ^0 state dominate production of the $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair, as illustrated with the diagrams in Fig.1. And then we calculate the production cross section and the spin density matrix elements (SDMEs) by parameterization with the available experimental data fittings, for this process. We then implement the calculations on Geant4 simulation generator to enable us to do the measurement of the photon polarization and the e^+/e^- polarization on AMS detector.



Figure 1. Diagrams of the process of polarized photon conversion to $\pi^+\pi^-$. (a) The whole process $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- N$ with the photon polarization vector P_{α}^{γ} ; (b) The V photoproduction dominates the process. The diagram illustrates the VPD-SDMEs Fractorization, as the spin density matrices of photon ($\rho(\gamma)$) and of ρ^0 ($\rho(V)$) transferred between production and decay amplitudes.

2 V-Photoproduction Induced Polarimetry of γ and e^+/e^-

2.1 Polarization Formulism

It was well understood that the *spin* state is a property of an individual particle, while the *polarization* state is a statistical property of particles in a production source, *i.e.* of a beam. In the case of an individual particle, the polarization is defined as a time average over many observations of its spin. Thus, it is possible for an individual particle to be unpolarized [8]. For an individual particle (in a typical 2-level systam like $\gamma/e^+/e^-$) with specific spin state in an arbitrary bases ζ_1, ζ_2 (either left/right L/R or plain wave x/y for γ), *i.e.*

$$|\zeta\rangle = \alpha |\zeta_1\rangle + \beta |\zeta_2\rangle, \tag{2.1}$$

we can define the density operator for its polarization state

$$\varrho = |\zeta\rangle\langle\zeta| = \frac{1}{2}(P_0\mathbf{1} + P_i\sigma_i) = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} P_0 + P_3 & P_1 - iP_2 \\ P_1 + iP_2 & P_0 - P_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.2)

where σ_i , i = 1, 2, 3 are the Pauli matrices. This is the case for *pure polarization* with $|\vec{P}| = 1$, and $P_0 = 2(|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2)$, $P_3 = 2(|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2)$, $P_2 = 2\Im(\alpha^*\beta)$, $P_1 = 2\Re(\alpha^*\beta)$

The generalization is *polarization* with $|\vec{P}| < 1$ for statistical mixed state as mixture of pure states, since any statistical mixture can be obtained by incoherent quantum superposition of two appropriately chosen pure states $\rho = w_1\rho_1 + w_2\rho_2$, $\vec{P} = w_1\vec{P}_1 + w_2\vec{P}_2$ with $|\vec{P}_1| = |\vec{P}_2| = 1$. The polarization vector \vec{P} with normalization $Tr[\rho] = P^0 = 1$ is called *Stokes vector*. The unpolarized particle has a unity density state $\rho = 1, \vec{P} = 0$.

The polarized cross section of a process with polarized particles is calculated by tracing the helicity amplitude square with this polarization density matrix. The polarized cross section with the polarization density ρ of an initial state particle and helicity amplitude \mathcal{M}^i ($\mathcal{M}^{ij} = \mathcal{M}^i \mathcal{M}^{*j}$) is then

$$d\sigma = Tr[\rho M] \equiv \mathcal{M}\rho \mathcal{M}^{\dagger} = \frac{1}{2} [P_0(M^{11} + M^{22}) + P_3(M^{11} - M^{22})] + P_1(M^{12} + M^{21}) + iP_2(M^{21} + M^{12})$$
(2.3)

Following this formalism, the polarization density of the final state particle(*e.g.*, *V* meson) is then transformed from the polarization of the initial state (*e.g.*, γ), and can be calculated as

$$\varrho(f) = \mathscr{T}_{norm}\varrho(i)\mathscr{T}^{\dagger}_{norm} \Rightarrow \varrho(V) = \mathscr{T}_{norm}\varrho(\gamma)\mathscr{T}^{\dagger}_{norm}$$
(2.4)

where $\mathscr{T}(\mathscr{T}_{norm})$ is the (normalized) production amplitude with final state helicity indices. After $\varrho(\gamma)$ is decomposed into the Stokes vector of photon \vec{P}^{γ} , the spin density matrix elements are defined as:

$$\rho_{ij}^{\alpha} = \mathscr{T}_{i}(\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{1}, \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{\alpha})\mathscr{T}_{j}^{\dagger}, \qquad \varrho(V)_{ij} = \rho_{ij}^{0} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{3} P_{\alpha}^{\gamma} \cdot \rho_{ij}^{\alpha}$$
(2.5)

2.2 Polarization of e^+/e^- via Bremsstrahlung & Discussion of e^+e^- Conversion

The high-energy cosmic electrons/positrons emit polarized bremsstrahlung photons in the EM field near nuclei in the detectors. e^+/e^- has \pm helicities, its polarization vector \vec{P}^e is thus defined in this spin basis and its physical meaning is as: P_1^e is the *longitudinal* polarization component along e^+/e^- momentum while P_2^e and P_3^e are the *transverse* polarization components in and perpendicular to the interaction plane. It's indicated that the *spin-polarization* states P_i^e of e^+/e^- can transform via the bremsstrahlung interaction matrix T to the polarization \vec{P}^{γ} of the bremsstrahlung photon when it's in the L/R basis [11–13]:

$$\begin{pmatrix} P_{0}^{\gamma} \\ P_{1}^{\gamma} \\ P_{2}^{\gamma} \\ P_{3}^{\gamma} \end{pmatrix} = T_{S} dE_{\gamma} dt \begin{pmatrix} T_{I} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ T_{D} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -T_{L} & -T_{T} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_{0}^{e} \\ P_{1}^{e} \\ P_{2}^{e} \\ P_{3}^{e} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2.6)

where T_I, T_D, T_L, T_T are the matrix elements and T_S is the factor, which are determined by the interaction dynamics and depend on various kinematic variables. They were calculated earlier in the Born approximation, and later were updated when the effects of anomalous magnetic moment and 2 form-factors of the proton target, and also the electron target field are considered [14–16]. However, the form of the interaction matrix T is determined by the spin symmetry in bremsstrahlung process: both unpolarized and polarized e^+/e^- can generate *linear* polarization component P_1^{γ} of bremsstrahlung γ via T_D from P_0^e ; while both longitudinal and transverse components P_1^e and P_2^e can generate *circular* polarization component P_3^{γ} of γ via T_L and T_T . The perpendicular transverse component P_3^e is silent and the $\pi/4$ linear component P_2^{γ} of bremsstrahlung is absent. The degrees and dependence of the circular and linear polarizations of bremsstrahlung were also confirmed by measurements [17, 18].

Therefore, in a high-energy detector detecting the GeV cosmic electrons/positrons, their spinpolarization states which reveal new physics in their production processes, can be measured and only can be measured by the polarization states of their bremsstrahlung photon emissions effectively. However, linear polarization component of the bremsstrahlung γ which e^+e^- conversion is accessible, is incapable even to differentiate whether the cosmic electrons/positrons are polarized. The circular polarization component measurement of the bremsstrahlung in our proposed *V*-photoproduction induced photon polarimetry is thus necessary in the cosmic e^+/e^- polarimetry! They form a whole innovative polarimetry of GeV cosmic $\gamma/e^+/e^-$.

Discussion of e^+e^- **Conversion: only Linear Polarization Accessible.** The photon e^+e^- conversion in the field near a nucleus is the inverse process of the bremsstrahlung process, so the interaction matrix for this process is just the inverse of the bremsstrahlung matrix T. The case that the spins of e^+e^- product are summed over corresponds to the bremsstrahlung of unpolarized e^+/e^- . It's obvious from this observation that only linear component P_1^{γ} of the photon polarization accesses the angular distributions of unpolarized e^+e^- product, and the circular component P_3^{γ} could be accessed when the polarization of the created e^+ or e^- is measured, which was analysed in the triplet production [19], but it's not applicable in the astrophysical detectors. We also recalculated the helicity amplitude with the photon polarization vector P_i^{γ} and thus obtained the polarized differential cross section in term of P_i^{γ} : $d\sigma(P_i^{\gamma})$. We verified that $d\sigma(P_i^{\gamma})$ is independent of the circular component P_3^{γ} . Therefore, our polarimetry is the only method to measure the circular polarization of high-energy cosmic photons.

3 Differential Cross Section of the Polarized Process

3.1 VPD-SDMEs Factorization

The $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair conversion of a photon near a nucleus is complicated since it involves the $\pi^+\pi^-$ photoproduction by the photon's interactions in the nucleus' EM field (the *t* and *u* channels of $\pi^+\pi^-$ production via a virtual photon) [20] and in the nucleus' nucleonic field, showed in (a) of Fig.1. However, the latter dominate via the photoproduction of an intermediate vector meson *V* in the *s* channels of $\pi^+\pi^-$, due to the symmetry nature of the vector mesons $V(\rho^0, \omega, \Phi)$ as hadronic photon. This is the essential physics of *V* Photoproduction Dominance (VPD) in the photon $\pi^+\pi^-$ conversion, which is empirically tested [21]. Please note that this should to be differentiated from the Vector Meson Dominance (VMD) model which can calculate the *V* photoproduction via the *VN* \rightarrow *VN* strong process, using the $\gamma - V$ correspondence. We also ignore the tiny interference between ρ^0 and ω .

The polarization $\rho(\gamma)$ (equivalently P^{γ}) of the photon γ transfers to the the polarization $\rho(V)$ of the vector meson, which can be off-shell and has 3 polarization modes (with index i = -1, 0, 1) due to its mass. The *massive* property of the "heavy photon" $V(\rho^0)$ is essential to make the circular polarization component of γ accessible in its decay product $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair.

To simplify the calculation of our complicated process $\gamma N \to \pi^+ \pi^- N$, in the observation of VPD, the whole process can be decomposed into the $V(\rho^0)$ photoproduction and its subsequent $\pi^+\pi^-$ decay $\gamma(p_{\gamma})N(p_N) \to [\rho^0(p_V) \to \pi^+(p_{\pi^+})\pi^-(p_{\pi^-})]N(p'_N)$. Hence, applying the generated *Factorization Theorem* (FT) [22] accounting the intermediate resonance V's spin *i*, *j*, and *Breit-Wigner*(BW) propagator Approximation with its mass m_V and constant decay width Γ_V , we can partially *factorize* the squared amplitude of the process into the production and decay amplitudes \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{M} :

$$\mathscr{M}_{\gamma N \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-} N} \cdot \varrho(\gamma) \cdot \mathscr{M}^{\dagger}_{\gamma N \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-} N} = \sum_{ij} \mathscr{T}_{\gamma N \to V_{i} N} \cdot \varrho(\gamma) \cdot \mathscr{T}^{\dagger}_{\gamma N \to V_{j} N} \times \frac{\mathscr{M}_{V_{i} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}} \mathscr{M}^{\dagger}_{V_{j} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}}{|p_{V}^{2} - m_{V}^{2} - \mathrm{i} m_{V} \Gamma_{V}|^{2}}$$
(3.1)

The factorization is not straightforward due to this the spin correlations, nevertheless, it can still achieve by means of the polarization density transfers! Regarding the definition of $\rho(V)$ in Eq.(2.4), the helicity production amplitudes with spin correlations can be factorized into the unpolarized production cross section as the normalization factor, and the V spin density matrix: $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma N \to V_i N} \cdot \rho(\gamma) \cdot$ $\mathcal{T}_{\gamma N \to V_j N}^{\dagger} \propto d\sigma_{\gamma N \to V N}^{unpol} \rho(V)_{ij}!$ The physics of our factorization is illustrated in the Diagram (b) of Fig.1. Then it's expected that only the unpolarized 2-to-2 cross section $d\sigma_{\gamma N \to V N}^{unpol}$ present in the factorized 2-to-3 squared amplitude integrating 3-body phase space $d\Phi_3(p_V, p_{\pi^+}, p_{\pi^-})$ with phase space factor K:

$$d\sigma^{2\to3} = K\mathscr{M}_{2\to3} \cdot \varrho(\gamma) \cdot \mathscr{M}_{2\to3}^{\dagger} d\Phi_{3}(p_{V}, p_{\pi^{+}}, p_{\pi^{-}})$$

= $d\sigma^{unpol}_{\gamma N \to V N} \frac{\Gamma_{V}(\mu) d\mu^{2}}{(\mu^{2} - m_{V}^{2})^{2} + m_{V}^{2} \Gamma^{2}(\mu)} \frac{\sum_{ij} \varrho(V)_{ij} \mathscr{M}_{V_{i} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}} \mathscr{M}_{V_{j} \to \pi^{+} \pi^{-}}^{\dagger} d\Phi_{2}(p_{V}; p_{\pi^{+}}, p_{\pi^{+-}})$ (3.2)

The calculations show the spin decorrelations of between the V production amplitude and its decay amplitude, when the spin *i*, *j* in the production dynamics are encoded in $\rho(V)$. The convolution of $\rho(V)$ and the decay amplitude had been analysed using the symmetry properties of the helicity amplitudes by Schilling *et.al.*[23]. Let θ , ϕ denote the polar angle and azimuth angle of one pion in the V's rest frame after the p_V defined in production c.m. frame, so ϕ actually the angle between the decay plane and the production plane in this c.m. frame. They calculated the decay angular distribution function of $\pi^+\pi^-$ pair $W(\cos\theta, \phi)$ with respect to $\varrho(V) = \mathcal{T} \cdot \varrho(\gamma) \cdot \mathcal{T}^{\dagger}$ (decomposing to SDMEs), by Wigner rotation function:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_{decay}}\frac{d\Gamma_{decay}}{d\theta d\phi} = \frac{\mathscr{M}_{decay} \cdot \varrho(V) \cdot \mathscr{M}_{decay}^{\dagger}}{32\pi^2 \Gamma_{decay}} \frac{|\vec{p}_{\pi}^*|}{\mu^2} \equiv W(\theta,\phi) = W^0(\theta,\phi) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^3 P_{\alpha}^{\gamma} W^{\alpha}(\theta,\phi)$$
(3.3)

where the polarized function $W^{\alpha}(\theta, \phi)$ are calculated with polarization vector component L/R basis P_{α}^{γ} :

$$W^{0}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} \left[\frac{1}{2} (\rho_{00}^{0} (3\cos^{2}\theta - 1) + \sin^{2}\theta) - \sqrt{2} \Re \rho_{10}^{0} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi - \rho_{1-1}^{0} \sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi \right],$$

$$W^{1}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} (\rho_{00}^{1} \cos^{2}\theta + \rho_{11}^{1} \sin^{2}\theta - \sqrt{2}\rho_{10}^{1} \sin 2\theta \cos \phi - \rho_{1-1}^{1} \sin^{2}\theta \cos 2\phi),$$

$$W^{2,3}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{3}{4\pi} (\sqrt{2} \Im \rho_{10}^{2,3} \sin 2\theta \sin \phi + \Im \rho_{1-1}^{2,3} \sin^{2}\theta \sin 2\phi)$$
(3.4)

 ρ_{ij}^{α} are the SDMEs of *V* photoproduction. The symmetry property reduces the 36 SDMEs to only 11 independent ones ρ_{00}^{0} , ρ_{1-1}^{0} , $\Re\rho_{10}^{0}$, $\rho_{11}^{1}\rho_{1-1}^{1}$, $\Re\rho_{10}^{1}$, $\Im\rho_{10}^{2}$, $\Im\rho_{1-1}^{3}$, $\Im\rho_{1-1}^{3}$, entering Eq.(3.4). An unpolarized photon produces angular distribution $W^{unpol}(\theta, \phi) = W^{0}(\theta, \phi)$, while (L/R) circularly polarized photon $(P^{\gamma} \equiv P_{3}^{\gamma})$ produces $W^{\pm circ}(\theta, \phi) = W^{0}(\theta, \phi) \pm P^{\gamma}W^{3}(\theta, \phi)$.

Thus, finally the 5-dimensional differential cross section of process $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^- N$ is factorized as:

$$\frac{d\sigma[\varrho(\gamma)]}{d\mu d\Omega_V d\Omega_\pi} = (16\pi^2 m_V \mu) \left[\frac{\Gamma(\mu)}{(\mu^2 - m_V^2)^2 + m_V^2 \Gamma^2(\mu)} \right] \left[\frac{dt}{d\Omega_V(t)} \cdot \frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt} \Big|_{mea} \cdot P^{\gamma}_{\alpha}(\gamma) \right] [W^{\alpha}(\Omega_{\pi}, \rho^{\alpha}_{ij}[V])]$$
(3.5)

The first term *i.e.* the phase space integration factor is simply $Pf = 16\pi^2 m_V \mu$, the second term denoted as \widehat{BWp} is Breit-Wigner propagator for V, and the last 2 terms are V production and decay cross section respectively, where the Jacobian factor in Eq.(3.5) $J^{2\to2} \equiv dt/d\Omega_V(t) = E_V^{cm}(\mu)P_V^{cm}(\mu)/\pi$ depends on the generated μ . The $\gamma N \to VN$ strong interaction production is still complicated: we use the experimental measured unpolarized production cross section $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt}$ to calculate $d\sigma_{\gamma N \to VN}^{unpol}$, fitted with momentum transfer t dependence rather than calculate in various models. We emphasize the $\pi^+\pi^-$ angular and SDMEs dependence in W^{α} , and the SDMEs are critical to achieve our polarization measurement. Fortunately there are completed and ongoing experiments measuring the SDMEs for ρ^0, ω and Φ mesons. We use state-of-the-art results to fit them or calculate them in Regge Theory.

The approach to calculate the differential cross sections of $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- N$ mentioned above in this section can be called as *VPD-SDMEs Factorization*. It's straightforward extend our calculations of VPD-SDMEs Factorization in processes $\gamma N \rightarrow [\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-]N$ and $\gamma N \rightarrow [\Phi \rightarrow K^+ K^-]N$. For the former θ, ϕ are defined using the normal of the $\pi^0 \pi^+ \pi^-$ plane in V's rest frame and the final-state phase space integration in Eq.(3.2) is just simply replaced $d\Phi_2(p_V; p_{\pi^+}, p_{\pi^{+-}}) \Rightarrow$ $d\Phi_3(p_V; p_{\pi^0}, p_{\pi^+}, p_{\pi^{+-}})$, while for the latter the kinematics and forms of calculations remain the same.

3.2 Parameterization Model of the VN Production

In 1970s SLAC experiment performed a series of measurement of the photoproduction of $\rho^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$, $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Phi \rightarrow K^+K^-$ at the photon energy E_{γ} of 2.8*GeV*, 4.7*GeV* and 9.3*GeV* [7]. These energy points can be well extrapolated to the energy range from O(100MeV) to O(10GeV) for the cosmic photons or bremsstrahlung photons. We present a 2-dim $E_{\gamma} - t$ fitting for measured cross sections $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt}$ and also the 11 SDMEs.

SLAC experiment use the proton target collisions. It was found that the differential cross section $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dtdM_{\pi^+\pi^-}}$ of momentum transfer *t* & dipion mass $M_{\pi^+\pi^-}$ is well presented by the exponential decreasing form $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dtdM_{\pi^+\pi^-}}\Big|_{t=0} e^{-\kappa|t|}$, which is well-known a feature of simple 2-to-2 high-energy scatterings[26]. There are 4 methods to extract the limit value $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$ and the slope κ for the *t* differential cross section from the experimental data. We use the fitting results of Parameterization method, in which they fitted the Dalitz plot to a matrix element consisting of phase space, and it's the only method independent of (m_V, Γ_V) and Drell background. It was pointed out [7] that $\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$ decrease and κ increase along E_{γ} simply, so linear fitting with Orthogonal-Distance-

Regression (ODR) to take the measurement uncertainties into account is adapted:

$$d\sigma^{UN}/dt\Big|_{t=0} (E_{\gamma}) = 149.594807(\pm 12.6865689) - 6.1009918(\pm 1.85449934) \cdot E_{\gamma} \quad (\mu b/GeV)$$
(3.6)

$$\kappa(E_{\gamma}) = 6.52319561977(\pm 0.398815734127) + 0.0883205413808(\pm 0.0560001228847) \cdot E_{\gamma} \quad (GeV^{-1})$$
(3.7)

Then the $\gamma N \rightarrow VN$ production cross section is

$$\frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt}[E_{\gamma}] = \left. \frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (E_{\gamma}) \cdot \exp[-\kappa(E_{\gamma})|t|]$$
(3.8)

To extend our calculation to a general photon energy E_{γ} , we need to consider the case that only part of the Breit-Wigner propagator of intermediate V can be covered at low E_{γ} , and calculate a cross section correction factor $\widetilde{c\sigma}(E_{\gamma})$ due to the reduction of available 5D phase space, by the μ integrations of the BW propagator bounded by $\mu_{lim}(E_{\gamma}) = m_N(\sqrt{(2E_{\gamma} + m_N)/m_N} - 1)$:

$$\widetilde{c\sigma}(E_{\gamma}) = \frac{\tan^{-1} \left[\frac{2m_{N}(E_{\gamma} + m_{N}) - 2m_{N} \sqrt{m_{N}(2E_{\gamma} + m_{N})} - m_{V}^{2}}{m_{N}\Gamma_{V}} \right] + \tan^{-1} \left[4 - 4\frac{\Gamma_{V}}{m_{V}} \right]}{\frac{\pi}{2} + \tan^{-1} \left[4 - 4\frac{\Gamma_{V}}{m_{V}} \right]}$$
(3.9)

This correction is smooth: when E_{γ} large enough it restores to 1 and when E_{γ} is smaller then the lower threshold it restores to 0, it's thus physical. For the heavier nuclei target with atom number A, people recently studied the transparency ratio $R = \frac{\sigma_{\gamma A \to VX}}{A \sigma_{\gamma p \to VX}}$ [27, 28]. It was found that there was negligible (t, E_{γ}) dependence in the transparency ratio R. From their data we perform a fitting with optimized fitting function

$$R(A) = a + bA + c/(A + A_0), \quad a = 0.597422, \quad b = -0.000166, \quad c = 6.8875285, \quad A_0 = 24.9307.$$

(3.10)

The cross section is finally generalized for collisions with general targets and general E_{γ}

$$\sigma^{UN}(A) = \left. \frac{d\sigma^{UN}}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (E_{\gamma}) / \kappa(E_{\gamma}) \cdot \widetilde{c\sigma}(E_{\gamma}) \cdot A \cdot R(A).$$
(3.11)

3.3 Spin Density Matrix Elements

We can either calculate the Spin Density Matrix Elements (SDMEs) using phenomenological model, *i.e.* Regge Theory, or fit the experimental data with appropriate templates. Generally SDMEs depends on both photon energy E_{γ} (equivalently c.m. energy \sqrt{s}) and the vector-meson's polar angle θ_{cm}^V in the centre-of-mass frame of γN (equivalently the momentum transfer *t*).

3.3.1 SDMEs in Regge Theory

At high energies, the vector meson photoproduction process (like ρ^0) is dominated by Pomeron (\mathbb{P}) exchange and natural (f_2, a_2) or unnatural (π, η) Regge exchanges subprocesses in Regge theory, as showed in Fig. 2, although there's large theoretical uncertainty. Fortunately, the J-PARC collaboration performed a fitting to the model parameters using SLAC data and they published the numerical codes for the *linear SDMEs* with their fitted parameters [29]. We extend their numerical



Figure 2. The V photoproduction process in Regge theory

calculations to calculate the *circular SDMEs* in Regge theory using the same parameters for our event generator in GEANT4 simulations. The linear SDMEs $(\rho_{i,j}^0, \rho_{i,j}^1, \rho_{i,j}^2)$ take the expressions of Eq.(B1q) to (B1i) in [29]. And the 2 circular SDMEs $(\rho_{1,0}^3, \rho_{1,-1}^3)$ take the expression as:

$$\begin{split} \mathfrak{I}\rho_{1,0}^{3} &= \frac{\mathfrak{I}}{2N} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{1,0}^{*} - \mathscr{M}_{-1,1} \mathscr{M}_{-1,0}^{*}] = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \frac{1}{2N} \mathfrak{I}[(\mathscr{M}_{1,1} + \mathscr{M}_{1,-1}) \mathscr{M}_{1,0}^{*}] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{1,-1}^{3} &= \frac{\mathfrak{I}}{2N} \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{1,-1}^{*} - \mathscr{M}_{-1,1} \mathscr{M}_{-1,-1}^{*}] = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \frac{1}{N} \mathfrak{I}[\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{1,-1}^{*}] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{*} - \mathscr{M}_{-1,1} \mathscr{M}_{-1,-1}^{*}] = \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} \frac{1}{N} \mathfrak{I}[\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{1,-1}^{*}] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{*} - \mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'}] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{*})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{M}_{1,1} \mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{M}_{\lambda,\lambda'})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'})] \\ \mathfrak{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'}^{3} &= \sum_{\lambda,\lambda'} [\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'} (\mathscr{I}\rho_{\lambda,\lambda'})]$$

where the helicity amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{V}}$ depending on \sqrt{s} and *t*, sums over the contributions of Pomeron, *\lambda,\lambda'* natural and unnatural exchanges, and *N* is the normalized amplitude square when further summing over all helicities.

$$\mathcal{M}_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{V}}(s,t) = \sum_{E=\pi,\eta,\mathbb{P},f_{2},a_{2}} T^{E}_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{V}}(t) \cdot R^{E}(s,t) \cdot B^{E}_{\lambda_{N},\lambda_{N'}}(t)$$
(3.13)

where $T_{\lambda_{\gamma},\lambda_{V}}^{E}(t)$ and $B_{\lambda_{N},\lambda_{N'}}^{E}(t)$ are the photon vertex and nucleon vertex describing the helicity transfer from the photon (γ) to the vector meson (V) and between the nucleon target and recoil, respectively. $R^{E}(s,t)$ is the Regge propagator of the exchanges. The general *t*-function form of various SDMEs of a single π or natural exchange can be simplified as:

$$\rho_{i,j}^{\alpha}(t) \sim \beta_0 + \frac{\beta_1 t + \beta_2 \sqrt{-tt} + \beta_3 t^2}{1 + \kappa_1 t + \kappa_2 t^2}$$
(3.14)

This form will also be inspiring for our fitting scheme of the SDMEs.

3.3.2 Linear Spin Density Matrix Elements in Fitting

For various Spin Density Matrix Elements ρ_{ij}^{α} , we perform the 2-dimensional (t, E_{γ}) fitting, since θ_{cm}^{V} depends on *t* in the inverse function of $t(\theta_{cm}^{V})$. It was found that distributions of SDMEs in the E_{γ} direction are much smoother than in the *t* direction [7] and there is little correlation between $t - E_{\gamma}$ dependences [30], we thus apply a simple strategy that we fit the 3 photon energy measurements of $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}(t)\Big|_{E_{\gamma}}$ along *t* to get the parameters of the fitting functions $\vec{\beta}_{ij}^{\alpha}\Big|_{E_{\gamma}}$. And then fit various $\vec{\beta}_{ij}^{\alpha}\Big|_{E_{\gamma}}$ along E_{γ} . With the fitted $\vec{\beta}_{ij}^{\alpha}(E_{\gamma})$ we can build up the 2-dim functions $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}(t, E_{\gamma})$. All these fittings are performed with ODR method to take the uncertainties into account. There are 7 |*t*| ranges of measurements in the first 9 unpolarized and linear polarized SDMEs

 $\{\rho_{00}^{0}, \rho_{1-1}^{0}, \Re \rho_{10}^{0}, \rho_{10}^{1}, \rho_{1-1}^{1}, \Re \rho_{10}^{1}, \Im \rho_{10}^{2}, \Im \rho_{1-1}^{2}\} \equiv \dot{\rho}^{k}, k = 1, \cdots, 9.$ We use the centre points of the ranges to represent the |t| data: 0.035, 0.065, 0.10, 0.15, 0.215, 0.325, 0.6(*GeV*²).

To guarantee the SDMEs in physical regions $|\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}(t)| < 1$ even when |t| and E_{γ} are large, rather than the polynomial trial templates, we use an optimized fitting template inspired by Eq.(3.14):

$$\begin{split} \dot{\rho}^{k}(t)\Big|_{E_{\gamma}} &= (\beta_{0}^{k}\Big|_{E_{\gamma}} \sqrt{|t|}|t| + \beta_{1}^{k}\Big|_{E_{\gamma}} \cdot |t| + \beta_{2}^{k}\Big|_{E_{\gamma}} \cdot t^{2})/(1 + 1.6 \cdot t^{2}), \\ \beta_{l}^{k}(E_{\gamma}) &= (\mathring{\beta}_{l}^{k} \sqrt{E_{\gamma}} + \bar{\beta}_{l}^{k} \cdot E_{\gamma} + \hat{\beta}_{l}^{k} \cdot E_{\gamma}^{2})/[1 + 1.6(E_{\gamma}/E_{0} - E_{0}/E_{\gamma})^{2}], \quad l = 0, 1, 2; k = 1, \cdots, 9 \end{split}$$

$$(3.15)$$

3.3.3 Circular Spin Density Matrix Elements in Fitting

The 2 circular SDMEs $\{\Im \rho_{10}^3, \Im \rho_{1-1}^3\} \equiv \dot{\rho}^k, k = 10, 1-1$ in the fitting scheme are more complicated. The SLAC experiment used a linearly polarized photon beam so we need other experiments to extract them. Fortunately, HERMES experiment at DESY has performed the measurements of SDMEs for the virtual photons from electrons $e^- \rightarrow \gamma^* e^-$ collide protons or euterons producing ρ^0 mesons: $\gamma^* + N \rightarrow \rho^0 (\rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^-) + N'$ [31]. They actually tried to measure the scaled SDMEs ratio r_{ii}^{α} and we focus on the circular polarization $\alpha = 3$.

$$r^{\alpha}_{\lambda_{V}\lambda'_{V}}(Q^{2},t) = \rho^{\alpha}_{\lambda_{V}\lambda'_{V}}(Q^{2},t)/[1 + \epsilon(Q^{2})R(Q^{2})], \quad \alpha = 1, 2, 3$$
(3.16)

With the non-zero photon virtuality Q^2 and *t* dependence. *R* is the longitudinal-to-transverse cross section ratio and ϵ represents the ratio of fluxes of longitudinal and transverse virtual photons. The $R(Q^2) = c_0 \left[Q^2/m_V^2\right]^{c_1}$ expression is suggested by VMD models and fitted with the HERMES data obtaining $c_0 = 0.56$ and $c_1 = 0.47$. The Q^2 -binned ϵ was measured earlier by HERMES [32] and we fit their data to get: $\epsilon(Q^2) = a + bQ^2 + c/(Q^2 - d)$.

However, HERMES experiment only measured the 1D *t*-binned $\hat{r}_{ij}^{\alpha}(t) \equiv \langle r_{ij}^{\alpha}(Q^2,t) \rangle_{Q^2}$ by averaging over Q^2 and Q^2 -binned $\check{r}_{ij}^{\alpha}(Q^2) \equiv \langle r_{ij}^{\alpha}(Q^2,t) \rangle_t$ by averaging over *t* [31]. To obtain ρ_{ij}^3 for real photons we need to solve for the 2D functions $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2,t)$ from these measurements and extrapolate to the value $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2 = 0,t)$! Unfortunately, the data of $\hat{r}_{ij}^{\alpha}(t)$ and $\check{r}_{ij}^{\alpha}(Q^2)$ are not enough to solve the 2D functions, we have to assume that the *t* and Q^2 dependences in $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2,t)$ are **separable**: $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2,t) = \tilde{\rho}_{ij}^3(t) \cdot \check{\rho}_{ij}^3(Q^2)$ and quadratic in Q^2 , which was also implied by the SDMEs measurement of ω meson [33]. In this approximation, inserting Eq.(3.16) and averaging the obtained $R(Q^2)\epsilon(Q^2)$, we get the solution:

$$\rho_{ij}^{3}(Q^{2}=0,t) = \hat{r}_{ij}^{3}(t) \left[1 - C_{ij}\delta\tilde{\rho}_{1}^{ij} \left\langle \frac{Q^{2}}{1 + \epsilon R(Q^{2})} \right\rangle_{Q^{2}} - C_{ij}\delta\tilde{\rho}_{2}^{ij} \left\langle \frac{Q^{4}}{1 + \epsilon R(Q^{2})} \right\rangle_{Q^{2}} \right] \left| \left\langle \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon R(Q^{2})} \right\rangle_{Q^{2}} \equiv \hat{r}_{ij}^{3}(t) \cdot \Delta_{ij}^{3}(t) \right|$$

 C_{ij} and $\delta \tilde{\rho}_{1,2}^{ij}$ are the quadratic coefficients determined by $\check{r}_{ij}^3(Q^2)$. The parts except $\hat{r}_{ij}^3(t)$ are just constants after Q^2 average and we denote them together as Δ_{ij}^3 . We can further calculate a consistency correction for our approximation and fittings, and then apply this correction on the scaling constants $\Delta_{10}^3, \Delta_{1-1}^3$ by further average $\langle \hat{r}_{ij}^3(t) \rangle_t$ and fitting with $\check{r}_{ij}^\alpha(Q^2)$ data. Thus we fit $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2 = 0, t)$ to the $\hat{r}_{ij}^3(t)$ data with the above equation.

We apply an optimized fitting on circular SDMEs inspired by Eg.(3.14):

$$\dot{\rho}^{k}(t) = \beta_{0}^{k} + \sqrt{|t'|} |t'| / (1 + \beta_{1}^{k} \cdot |t'| + \beta_{2}^{k} \cdot t'^{2}), \ k = 10, 1 - 1, \quad t' = t - t_{0}, \quad t_{0} = 2E_{\gamma}^{cm} (E_{V}^{cm} - P_{V}^{cm}) - \mu^{2}$$
(3.18)

to the $\hat{r}_{ij}^3(t)$ data and finally obtain the circular SDMEs $\rho_{ij}^3(Q^2 = 0, t)$ which are independent of the photon energy E_{γ} , since the HERMES experiment have no energy variation. The detail and results of the calculations are presented in Appendix (1).

3.3.4 Discussion on the 2 Schemes

Recently the GlueX experiment also presents their preliminary results [30] of the linear polarizations of 9*GeV* photons, and their SDMEs also show a $O(t^2)$ behavior. We compare our SDMEs in both Regge Scheme and Fitting Scheme at 9*GeV* with their data for ρ_{00}^0 , ρ_{1-1}^0 , ρ_{00}^1 and ρ_{10}^2 in Fig. (3). There are more *t* points in GlueX SDMEs data but they are just preliminary and have not yet published. Besides, they only have a single photon energy point, so we sticked our fitting on the SLAC data.



Figure 3. The comparison of SDMEs $\rho_{00}^0, \rho_{1-1}^0, \rho_{00}^1$ and ρ_{10}^2 .

On the other hand, the calculations of the circular SDMEs from Regge Scheme showed a wrong sign with the experimental data [32]! So we consider the linear Regge Scheme + circular Fitting Scheme or the pure Fitting Scheme be the recommended SDMEs calculations in the GEANT4 simulations.

4 Measurement Phenology

To measure the 3 polarization components of photons, the angular observables θ_{π} , ϕ_{π} need to be fitted to determine P_i^{γ} from Eq.(3.4). Observing Eq.(3.4) we can find it doesn't matter which pion to define θ_{π} , ϕ_{π} : when the angles θ_{π} , ϕ_{π} are changed between the definition with respect to π^+ and π^- ,

$$\theta_{\pi} \to \pi - \theta_{\pi}, \quad \text{and} \phi_{\pi} \to \pi + \phi_{\pi}$$
(4.1)

thus, the terms of $\sin 2\theta_{\pi}$ are unchanged. Therefore, the expressions in Eq.(3.4) remain the same! From this observation, the *charge identification* in the detectors is not necessary for the photon polarization measurement in our V-Photoproduction induced polarimetry! We can actually propose this polarimetry on the space-borne detectors without magnetic field like Fermi-LAT.



Figure 4. The averages of the 11 SDMEs depending on the photon energy $E_{\gamma}(GeV)$.

To measure the 4 components of the photon polarization vector \vec{P}^{γ} , we need to adopt the θ_{π}, ϕ_{π} 2-dimensional fitting or the moment method on the event distributions to evaluate P_i^{γ} using Eq.(3.4). However, the SDMEs $\rho_{ij}^{\alpha}(t, E_{\gamma})$ depend on squared momentum transfer *t* or also photon energy E_{γ} . They can be averaged with the $d\sigma/dt$ weight: $\langle \rho_{ij}^{\alpha} \rangle$ as functions of E_{γ} showed in Fig.(4). To simplify the P_i^{γ} measurement and increase the statistics remarkably, we can fit the events with the 4 integrated θ_{π} and ϕ_{π} 1D functions $W_{\theta,\phi}^{\alpha}$ using these averaged $\langle \rho_{ij}^{\alpha} \rangle$ and integrating Eq.(3.4) by ϕ_{π} and θ_{π} . *E.g.*, when $E_{\gamma} = 3.2 GeV$ in Fitting Scheme of SDMEs,

$$4W_{\phi}^{0}(\phi_{\pi}) = 3.11342 + 0.0391892 \cos 2\phi_{\pi}, \quad 4W_{\theta}^{0}(\theta_{\pi}) = 3.11342 - 3.2261 \cos 2\theta_{\pi}, \\ 4W_{\phi}^{1}(\phi_{\pi}) = 0.046692 - 3.11348 \cos 2\phi_{\pi}, \quad 4W_{\theta}^{1}(\theta_{\pi}) = 0.046692 + 0.165025 \cos 2\theta_{\pi}, \\ 4W_{\phi}^{2}(\phi_{\pi}) = -3.10974 \sin 2\phi_{\pi}, \quad 4W_{\theta}^{2}(\theta_{\pi}) = -0.0517224 \sin 2\theta_{\pi}, \\ 4W_{\phi}^{3}(\phi_{\pi}) = -0.325964 \sin 2\phi_{\pi}, \quad 4W_{\theta}^{3}(\theta_{\pi}) = -0.733559 \sin 2\theta_{\pi}. \end{cases}$$
(4.2)

We observe that the functions $W_{\theta,\phi}^2$ and $W_{\theta,\phi}^3$ are simply degenerate, P_2^{γ} and P_3^{γ} cannot be separated by fitting $W_{\phi}(\phi_{\pi})$ or $W_{\theta}(\theta_{\pi})$ alone. We propose a *combined-fitting scheme* that we fit P_0^{γ} , P_1^{γ} and $P_2^{\gamma}\langle \rho_{10}^2 \rangle$ using $W_{\theta}(\theta_{\pi})$ first, and then insert the fitted results to $W_{\phi}(\phi_{\pi})$ and fit for P_2^{γ} and P_3^{γ} . In this way the 4 polarization components can be obtained with a 1D fitting to reduce the uncertainty.

We implemented our calculations of V-Photoproduction induced polarimetry in the Monte Carlo (MC) event generators, *e.g.*, in the detector simulation GEANT4 [34]. We showed the open angle between $\pi^+\pi^-$ is really much larger than that between e^+e^- of high-energy photons from the MC, and thus improve the efficiency of the measurement significantly. We compared the distributions of θ_{π} and ϕ_{π} of different energy photons in unpolarized, linearly-polarized and circularly-polarized modes from the MC, and showed the validity of our polarimetry!

5 Conclusion

We look forward to more high-quality experimental results of both linear and circular SDMEs at more t and E_{γ} points in the community in the near future. Our model, calculations and fittings will be the accomplished machinery for these new data and will provide a complete polarimetry of high accuracy for high-energy photon! Our new polarimetry induced Vector Meson Photo-production can measure the circular polarization component of high-energy cosmic γ and the polarization of high-energy cosmic e^+/e^- for the first time. We calculate the production process of $\pi^+\pi^-$ by a generally polarized photon near nucleon's field in a generalized *VPD-SDMEs Factorization* with the fitted experimental data, so that it's partially model-independent. We also propose the observables and approach to measure their polarizations based on our calculations. We look forward to applying our polarimetry in the running, and proposing astroparticle experiments and discovering BSM new physics in high-energy particle processes and revealing the remained mysteries in astrophysical processes in the universe.

References

- [1] J. W. Motz, H. A. Olsen and H. W. Koch, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 581 (1969)
- [2] Céline Bœhm,a, Céline Degrande, Olivier Mattelaer and Aaron C. Vincent, JCAP 05, (2017)043
- [3] M. Haghighat , S. Mahmoudi, R. Mohammadi, S. Tizchang and S.-S. Xue, *Phys. Lett. D* 101, 123016 (2020)
- [4] Wei-Chih Huang and Kin-Wang Ng, Phys. Lett. B 783, 29 (2018)
- [5] Dart-yin A. Soh, Phys. Rev. D, to be prepared
- [6] J. W. Motz, H. A. Olsen and H. W. Koch, Rev. Mod. Phys. 41, 581 (1969)
- [7] Ballam, et al., Phys., Rev. D 7, 3150 (1973)
- [8] Sascha Trippe, Journal of The Korean Astronomical Society 00, 1 (2013)
- [9] Cosmin Ilie, Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific 131, 111001 (2019)
- [10] M. Eingorn, L. Fernando, B. Vlahovic, C. Ilie, B. Wojtsekhowski, G. M. Urciuoli, F. De Persio, F. Meddi and V. Nelyubing, *JATIS* 4(1), 011006 (2018)
- [11] William H. McMaster, Rev. Mod. Phys. 33, 8 (1961) and reference therein

- [12] Bakmaev, Bystritskiy, Kuraev, and Tomasi-Gustafsson, JETP Lett. 87, 227 (2008)
- [13] Likhachev, Maximon, Gavrikov, Martins, Cruz and Shostak, NIMPR A 495, (2002) 139
- [14] S. Sarkar, il Nuovo Cimento 34, 141 (1964)
- [15] Bakmaev, Bystritskiy, Kuraev, and Tomasi-Gustafsson, JETP Lett. 87, 227 (2008)
- [16] Likhachev, Maximon, Gavrikov, Martins, Cruz and Shostak, NIMPR A 495, (2002) 139
- [17] Tashenov, Bäck, Barday, Cederwall, Enders, Khaplanov, Poltoratska, Schässburger and Surzhykov, *Rev. Lett.* 107, 173201 (2011)
- [18] Tashenov, Bäck, Barday, Cederwall, Enders, Khaplanov, Poltoratska, Schässburger, Surzhykov, Yerokhin and Jakubassa-Amundsen, *Phys. Rev. A* 87, 022707 (2013)
- [19] G. I. Gakh, M. I. Konchatnij, I. S. Levandovsky, and N. P. Merenkov, JETP 117, 48 (2013)
- [20] Y. Kim, Phys. Rev. 126, 345(1962)
- [21] T.H. Bauer, R.D. Spital, D.R. Yennie, F.M. Pipkin, Rev. Mod. Phys. 50, (1978) 261
- [22] D.A. Dicus, E. Sudarshan and X. Tata, Phys. Lett. B 154, 79 (1985)
- [23] K. Schilling, P. Seyboth and G.Wolf, Nucl. Phys. B 15, 397 (1970)
- [24] D. Bernard, Nuclear Inst. and Methods in Physics Research, A 899, 85 (2018)
- [25] D. Bernard, Astroparticle Physics 88, 30 (2017)
- [26] e.g., J. Orear, Phys. Lett. 13, 190 (1964)
- [27] F. Rick, R. Rapp, Y. Oh and T.-S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. C 82, 015202(2010)
- [28] F. Rick, R. Rapp, T.-S. H. Lee and Y. Oh, Phys. Lett. B 677, 116 (2009)
- [29] V. Mathieu, et al., Phys. Rev. D 97, 094003 (2018)
- [30] A. Austregesilo and the GlueX Collaboration, AIP Conference Proceedings 126, 345(1962)
- [31] The HERMES Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 2249, 030005 (2020)
- [32] The HERMES Collaboration, Eur. Phys. J. C 18, 303 (2000)
- [33] J. Barth, et al., Eur. Phys. J. A 18, 117 (2003)
- [34] Dart-yin A. Soh and Z. Qu, 5D Event Generator for Pion Pair Conversions of Polarized GeV-Photons in the New V-Photoproduction Induced Polarimetry, preparing to submit to Nucl.Instrum.Meth.A