

Recyclable Semi-supervised Method Based on Multi-model Ensemble for Video Scene Parsing

Biao Wu Shaoli Liu Diankai Zhang Chengjian Zheng Si Gao Xiaofeng Zhang
Ning Wang

State Key Laboratory of Mobile Network and Mobile Multimedia Technology, ZTE, China

{wu.biao, liu.shaoli, zhang.diankai, zheng.chengjian, gao.si, zhang.xiaofeng18, wangning}@zte.com.cn

Abstract

Pixel-level Scene Understanding is one of the fundamental problems in computer vision, which aims at recognizing object classes, masks and semantics of each pixel in the given image. Since the real-world is actually video-based rather than a static state, learning to perform video semantic segmentation is more reasonable and practical for realistic applications. In this paper, we adopt Mask2Former as architecture and ViT-Adapter as backbone. Then, we propose a recyclable semi-supervised training method based on multi-model ensemble. Our method achieves the mIoU scores of 62.97% and 65.83% on Development test and final test respectively. Finally, we obtain the 2nd place in the Video Scene Parsing in the Wild Challenge at CVPR 2023.

1. Introduction

Semantic segmentation is a dense pixel prediction task that assigns a semantic label to each pixel in an image or video, such as person, car, building, etc. Thanks to the rapid development of deep learning and the availability of various semantic segmentation datasets, significant progress has been made in image semantic segmentation. However, the real world is dynamic and constantly changing, and it is more practical to learn how to perform video semantic segmentation for realistic applications rather than relying solely on static images. Video semantic segmentation is even more challenging. It requires considering the relationship between the temporal and spatial dimensions, as well as tackling issues such as object motion, occlusion, and background variations in videos.

Many studies have focused on exploiting the inter-frame redundancy of videos, such as using optical flow information, spatio-temporal attention networks, etc., which can better consider the temporal and spatial relationships in videos and improve the performance of video semantic seg-

mentation. In addition to model structure advancements, the quality and scale of datasets have also received increasing attention. The Video Scene Parsing in the Wild (VSPW) dataset [1] is the first attempt to tackle the challenging video scene parsing task by considering diverse scenarios, which covers a wide range of real-world scenarios (e.g., art galleries, lecture rooms, beach, and street views) and categories from both things (e.g., person, car, desk) and stuff (e.g., road, wall, sky). Furthermore, joint learning and weakly supervised learning have become hot topics in recent years. Joint learning can combine image and video data to improve the performance of video semantic segmentation, while weakly supervised learning can train models with fewer labeled data, reducing annotation costs.

In this paper, we propose the recyclable semi-supervised method [2] [3] based on multi-model ensemble. We finally obtain the scores of 62.97% and 65.83% mIoU on test_part1 and testing set of VSPW, respectively. And our solution is ranked second place on PVUW2023 Video semantic segmentation challenge at CVPR2023.

2. Method

In this section, we first describe the overview pipeline of our network. And then, we introduce our Recyclable Semi-supervised method based on multi-model ensemble. Finally, we describe the ensemble strategy.

2.1. Overview

We use the masked attention mask transformer (Mask2Former) [4] as the image segmentation architecture, and chose ViT-Adapter [5] as the backbone.

2.2. Backbone Structure

Masked image modeling (MIM) has demonstrated impressive results in self-supervised representation learning by recovering corrupted image patches. BEiT_{v2} [6] is a method based on MIM, so we leverage BEiT_{v2} in ViT-Adapter, in which we set embed_dim=1024, depth= 24,




Figure 1. The overview pipeline of our method.

mlp_ratio = 4, num_heads= 16.

2.3. Recyclable Semi-supervised method based on multi-model ensemble

As illustrated in Figure 1, in this competition how to using the unlabeled test data is crucial, so we using semi-supervised approach. Specifically, in the first step we use the labeled training data to train two models with different parameter configuration, and then we use different image ratios for multi-scale testing, and apply horizontal flipping for each scale. Moreover, we ensemble the two different models based on the class probability for boosting the performance, we directly using this result as the pseudo label of VSPW test data. In the third step, we use the VSPW training and the pseudo-labeled testing data to retrain the model. These steps can be repeated to obtain better testing results.

2.4. Pseudo-labeling strategy

Inspired by semi-supervised learning, we adopt an pseudo-labeling strategy to make full use of the VSPW testing dataset.

In particular, we first build two network to provide a target probability for each of N classes for every pixel in each image. The two networks have the same network structure but with different parameter configurations (training setting, crop size, etc) to ensure the effective of model aggregation. And then we adopt an ensemble strategy based on probability. In detail, for a given pixel, we select the top class prediction of the two network as the pseudo label. In order to make pseudo label have higher confidence, we threshold the label. Those pixels whose maximum prediction probability exceed the threshold will be set as true label, otherwise the

Method	Backbone	mIoU	VC8	VC16
Mask2Former	Swin-L	0.5709	0.8876	0.8596
Mask2Former	BEiT-L	0.5854	0.8964	0.8611
Mask2Former	ViT-Adapter-L	0.6140	0.9007	0.8638

Table 1. Experiments of different backbones on PVUW2023 challenge test part 1.

Method	Crop Size	mIoU	VC8	VC16
ViT-Adapter-L	224	0.5795	0.9044	0.8695
ViT-Adapter-L	320	0.5890	0.9051	0.8715
ViT-Adapter-L	640	0.6140	0.9007	0.8638
ViT-Adapter-L	768	0.6169	0.9056	0.8723

Table 2. Experiments of different crop size with ViT-Adapter-L on PVUW2023 challenge test part 1.

pixels will be marked as “ignore” class. In our experiments, the threshold is set to 0.4.

2.5. Loss

We jointly use the Dice Loss [7] and CrossEntropy Loss to improve the global and local segmentation performance.

3. Experiments

In this part, we will describe the implementation details of our proposed method and report the results on the PVUW2023 challenge final test set.

Method	crop size	multiscale	flip	Semi-supervised training	mIoU	VC8	VC16
ViT-Adapter-L	640	✓	✓		0.6207	0.9343	0.9112
★ ViT-Adapter-L	640	✓	✓	✓	0.6238	0.9330	0.9096
ViT-Adapter-L	768	✓	✓		0.6236	0.9331	0.9097
★ ViT-Adapter-L	768	✓	✓	✓	0.6276	0.9432	0.9214
Ensemble(★)					0.6297	0.9316	0.9051

Table 3. Experiments of Inference Augmentation on PVUW2023 challenge test part 1.

Team	mIoU	Weight IoU	VC8	VC16
minbao	0.6595	0.7514	0.9108	0.8783
SiegeLion	0.6583	0.7512	0.9432	0.9214
csj	0.6484	0.7395	0.9198	0.8903
naicha	0.6475	0.7388	0.9168	0.8868
chenguanlin	0.6372	0.7351	0.8984	0.8619
SUtech	0.5889	0.7097	0.9336	0.9041

Table 4. Comparisons with other teams on the PVUW2023 challenge final test set.

3.1. Datasets

The VSPW Dataset annotates 124 categories of real-world scenarios, which contains 3,536 videos, with 251,633 frames totally. Among these videos, there are 2806 videos in the training set, 343 videos in the validation set, and 387 videos in the testing set. In order to enrich our training samples, both the training and validation set are used for training. The model based on Transformers has a large number of parameters, and increasing the number of training samples is beneficial for performance improvement. We introduce additional data to train our model, such as the ADE20k [8] and COCO [9] datasets. The COCO dataset is used in the pre-training phase. The COCO and ADE20k dataset labels are mapped to the VSPW dataset through label remapping, and categories that do not exist in the VSPW dataset are marked as 255.

3.2. Training Configuration

All models in our experiments are implemented in the Pytorch [10] framework. For data augmentation, we perform random resizing within ratio range [0.5, 2.0], random cropping, random horizontal flipping, and color jitter on images. During the training phase, the backbone of our model is pretrained on the ImageNet22K [11] dataset. An AdamW optimizer is applied with the initial learning rate of 1e-5, beta = (0.9, 0.999), and the weight decay of 0.05. A linear warmup is used. To prevent overfitting, the training iterations is set to 80k.

3.3. Ablation Studies

Recently, many studies have shown that models based on transformer exhibit strong feature extraction capabilities in tasks such as dense detection and segmentation. Therefore, we explore the application of models based on transformer in video semantic segmentation tasks. The experimental results of different backbones and methods are shown in Table 1. From the table, it can be seen that selecting ViT-Adapter-L as the backbone has significantly better performance than Swin-L [12] and BEiT-L [13]. In the subsequent experiments, we selected ViT-Adapter-L as the backbone network. The input resolution of the model is also a key factor affecting model performance, so we explore the crop size of the network input. From the table, we can find that the larger the input resolution of the model, the better the performance tendency of the model. Due to computational resource constraints, we choose the input resolution of the model of 640*640 and 768*768.

3.4. Semi-supervised training

Semi-supervised learning aims to improve the performance on unlabeled dataset. Inspired by this, we use the pseudo-labeling strategy to further expand the training samples. We first perform multi-scale and horizontal flipping operations on two models with crop sizes of 640 and 768, and then ensemble the results of these two models as the results of the teacher network. We use the results of the above teacher network as pseudo labels. We combine pseudo label data with the original training dataset to form a new dataset. We continue to refine the model on the new dataset for multiple times. For pseudo label, we use hard thresholds to filter the pixel values of pseudo labels for the results of the teacher network. If the probability value corresponding to the pixel value is higher than 0.4, it is considered to be a reliable pixel value, which is involved in the calculation of the loss function, and other pixel values are ignored.

3.5. Inference Augmentation

Inference augmentation is an effective method for improving the performance of models. We achieve better segmentation performance by using multi-scale and horizontal flipping for each scale where the selected scales are [512./896., 640./896., 768./896., 896./896., 1024./896.,

1152./896., 1280./896., 1408./896.]. From Tables 2 and 3, it can be seen that The multi-scale and horizontal flipping results increase the mIoU indicator by 0.6 percentage points compared to the single scale results. In order to further improve model performance, we save the soft classification results (a matrix with shape $1 * h * w$) of multi-scale and horizontal flipping, and then ensemble the soft classification results of two models with cropping sizes of 640 and 768. From Table 3, Semi-supervised training and model ensemble improved the mIoU by approximately 0.4 percentage points and 0.2 percentage points respectively. Finally, we obtain the 2nd place in the final test set, as shown in Table 4.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a Recyclable Semi-supervised Method Based on Multi-model Ensemble for Video Scene Parsing. We Propose a ensemble method to get more accurate probability by fusing the results of different models, and employ recyclable semi-supervised method to make full use of unlabeled and labeled data for enriching the training datasets.

With the proposed method, Our solution not only performs well in mIoU, but also outperforms others significantly in video frame continuity and consistency on the PVUW2023 challenge.

Acknowledgements

Thanks for colleagues from other departments for providing the cloud server for training.

References

- [1] Jiayu Miao, Yunchao Wei, Yu Wu, Chen Liang, Guangrui Li, and Yi Yang. Vspw: A large-scale dataset for video scene parsing in the wild. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 4133–4143, 2021. 1
- [2] Xiaokang Chen, Yuhui Yuan, Gang Zeng, and Jingdong Wang. Semi-supervised semantic segmentation with cross pseudo supervision. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 2613–2622, 2021. 1
- [3] Wei-Chih Hung, Yi-Hsuan Tsai, Yan-Ting Liou, Yen-Yu Lin, and Ming-Hsuan Yang. Adversarial learning for semi-supervised semantic segmentation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.07934*, 2018. 1
- [4] Bowen Cheng, Ishan Misra, Alexander G Schwing, Alexander Kirillov, and Rohit Girdhar. Masked-attention mask transformer for universal image segmentation. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pages 1290–1299, 2022. 1
- [5] Zhe Chen, Yuchen Duan, Wenhai Wang, Junjun He, Tong Lu, Jifeng Dai, and Yu Qiao. Vision transformer adapter for dense predictions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.08534*, 2022. 1
- [6] Zhiliang Peng, Li Dong, Hangbo Bao, Qixiang Ye, and Furu Wei. Beit v2: Masked image modeling with vector-quantized visual tokenizers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06366*, 2022. 1
- [7] Xiaoya Li, Xiaofei Sun, Yuxian Meng, Junjun Liang, Fei Wu, and Jiwei Li. Dice loss for data-imbalanced nlp tasks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1911.02855*, 2019. 2
- [8] Bolei Zhou, Hang Zhao, Xavier Puig, Sanja Fidler, Adela Barriuso, and Antonio Torralba. Scene parsing through ade20k dataset. In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 633–641, 2017. 3
- [9] Tsung-Yi Lin, Michael Maire, Serge Belongie, James Hays, Pietro Perona, Deva Ramanan, Piotr Dollár, and C Lawrence Zitnick. Microsoft coco: Common objects in context. In *Computer Vision—ECCV 2014: 13th European Conference, Zurich, Switzerland, September 6–12, 2014, Proceedings, Part V 13*, pages 740–755. Springer, 2014. 3
- [10] Adam Paszke, Sam Gross, Soumith Chintala, Gregory Chanan, Edward Yang, Zachary DeVito, Zeming Lin, Alban Desmaison, Luca Antiga, and Adam Lerer. Automatic differentiation in pytorch. 2017. 3
- [11] Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, and Li Fei-Fei. Imagenet: A large-scale hierarchical image database. In *2009 IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 248–255. Ieee, 2009. 3
- [12] Ze Liu, Yutong Lin, Yue Cao, Han Hu, Yixuan Wei, Zheng Zhang, Stephen Lin, and Baining Guo. Swin transformer: Hierarchical vision transformer using shifted windows. In *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF international conference on computer vision*, pages 10012–10022, 2021. 3
- [13] Hangbo Bao, Li Dong, Songhao Piao, and Furu Wei. Beit: Bert pre-training of image transformers. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.08254*, 2021. 3