

# Recent progress on Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven medium system for multi-moving-objects/media

Zhong Lin Wang<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Jiajia Shao<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Beijing Institute of Nanoenergy and Nanosystems, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 101400, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup> Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia 30332-0245, United States

<sup>3</sup> School of Nanoscience and Technology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, P. R. China

\*Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed: zlwang@gatech.edu

## Abstract

Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system (MEs-f-MDMS) have been developed for describing the electromagnetism of multi-slow-moving-media that may move with acceleration following complex trajectories. The approach starts from the integral forms of the four physics laws, and is different from the classical approach of using Lorentz transformation for correlating the electromagnetic phenomena observed in two inertia reference frames that have a relative motion. The governing equations inside the moving object/medium are the MEs-f-MDMS, and those in vacuum are the classical Maxwell's equations; the full solutions of both meet at the medium surface/interface and satisfy the boundary conditions. This paper reviews the background, physical principle, and mathematical derivations for formulating the MEs-f-MDMS. Strategies are also presented for mathematically solving the MEs-f-MDMS. The unique advances made by the MEs-f-MDMS have been systematically summarized and their potential applications in engineering are elaborated. We found that the Lorentz transformation is perfect for treating the electromagnetic phenomena of moving point charges in vacuum; but for moving objects, the covariance of the Maxwell's equations may not hold, and one may have to use MEs-f-MDMS if the moving velocity is low. Finally, recent advances for treating the boundary conditions at nano-scale without assuming an abrupt boundary are also reviewed.

## 1. Introduction

Studying the electrodynamics of a moving medium has a long-lasting interest and importance. For a general medium that moves with a uniform speed along a straight line, it is sufficient to use the standard “differential” Maxwell equations (MEs) and the approximate Minkowski constitutive equations for describing its electromagnetic behavior [1-3]. Using the Lorentz transformation, the electromagnetic fields observed in a moving frame ( $S'$ ) can be derived from a non-moving observer’s reference frame ( $S$ ) by preserving the covariance of the MEs [3,4]. This is the standard and well received special relativity in classical electrodynamics, which can be elaborated in Fig. 1a. The special relativity is about the same electromagnetic phenomenon observed by two independent observers located in two inertial reference frames that has a relative movement at a constant speed, and the entire space is either vacuum or filled with medium without moving objects or boundaries [4,5]. An observer named Alice is in a moving inertial frame  $S'$  that moves at a velocity  $v_0$  relative to the non-moving frame  $S$  (Lab frame). If there is a point charge  $+q$  that is at rest in the  $S$  frame, what Bob in the rest inertial frame  $S$  observes is only a Coulomb field. While for Alice, the point charge is moving at a relative velocity of  $-v_0$ , so that she will detect not only an electric field but also a magnetic field as caused by the moving charge  $+q$  [5,6]. The magnetic field and electric field observed by Alice ( $\mathbf{B}', \mathbf{E}'$ ), and Bob ( $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{E}$ ) are correlated by the Lorentz transformation under the assumption of the covariance of the governing equations.

To calculate the electromagnetic fields of a moving medium, one must have the constitutive relations of materials that are treated as supplemental conditions to solve the MEs in matter. Minkowski’s views are grounded on an assumption that the properties of the medium and the corresponding constitution equations in the rest inertial frame remain the same. There are two requirements: moving with uniform speed along a straight line or movement occurring in inertial reference frame, and the corresponding constitution equations being pre-determined in advance [3,4]. However, if the medium moves along a complex trajectory with acceleration, and the velocity could be a function of time and position for shape-deformable materials or liquid, it would be mathematically impossible to describe the electromagnetic fields of the moving medium in this case [7]. Such a case occurs a lot in engineering applications.




Figure 1. Two approaches for dealing about the electrodynamics of moving medium. (a) Special relativity theory is about the experience of two independent observers, Bob and Alice, who are located in different reference frames (Lab frame, Moving frame) that are relatively moving at a constant velocity and along a straight line. Bob and Alice observe the same electromagnetic phenomenon occurring in vacuum space, but with different measurement results. Such an approach is most effective for describing the electrodynamics in the universe. (b) Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system (MEs-f-MDMS) is about one observer who is observing two electromagnetic phenomena, which are associated with two moving objects/media located in the two reference frames that may relatively move at  $v \ll c$ . In general, the media/objects have sizes and boundaries, and they may move with acceleration along complex trajectories as driven by an external force. Such theory is most effective for engineering applications, but it can go beyond [6]. We need to point out that special relativity may not be easily adopted for describing the case shown in (b) due to the change in speed of light across medium boundary.

In general, there are two fundamental approaches for developing the electrodynamics of a moving medium (Fig. 2). The first method is through Einstein's relativity and Minkowski constitutive equations, forming the basis of field theory [3,8]. The relativity approach works extremely well for describing the electromagnetic behavior in vacuum, especially for universe. The second approach is based on Galilean transformation,  $x' = x - v_0 t$ ,  $t' = t$ , in which the space and time remains independent [9,10]. So, there exists an absolute space and all inertial frames share a universal time, which are essentially different from special relativity, but it works well for engineering applications. Correspondingly, Galilean electromagnetism has been developed for describing the electromagnetic phenomenon of charged medium moving at nonrelativistic speeds, which has been developed for over 60 years. According to the authors: Galilean

electromagnetism is not an alternative to special relativity but is precisely its low-velocity limit in classical electromagnetism [10]. Galilean electromagnetics mainly includes two quasi-static limits of MEs: the magneto-quasi-static (MQS) limit which neglects the displacement current, and the electro-quasi-static (EQS) limit which ignores the magnetic induction [9,10]. The former is a space-like limit with  $E \ll cB$ , while the latter is a time-like limit with  $E \gg cB$ . The second approach is based on the Newton's absolute space-time point of view, we recently developed the Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system (MEs-f-MDMS) (Fig. 2) [11-13], which is to describe the electromagnetic behavior of the media that move along complex trajectory with arbitrary velocities by neglecting relativistic effects (Fig. 3). Our goal is toward engineering applications as elaborated in Fig. 1b.




Figure 2. Two fundamental different approaches for developing the electrodynamics of a moving media system: special relativity through the Lorentz transformation for electromagnetic phenomena of point charges in vacuum space; the MEs-f-MDMS directly derived from the integral forms of the four physics laws in Galilean space and time, for the case of moving media with specific sizes and shapes and even acceleration. This is probably the most effective approach for engineering applications.




Figure 3. Schematic diagram showing the observation of several electromagnetic events that may move following complex trajectories in the Lab frame by an observed. The governing equations for each region are stated. The goal of MEs-MDMS is to describe the electromagnetic behavior in this system that occurs a lot in engineering. [5,11]

The motivation for developing the MEs-MDMS was first to quantify the output power and electromagnetic radiation as produced by the triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG), which was invented in 2012 for converting mechanical energy into electric signal via contact-electrification effect [14-16]. The relative movement of the dielectric media and electrodes make the generated electric fields change under an external mechanical excitation. The driving force for the TENG is the displacement current [17-21]. Recently, the operation frequency of TENGs can be reached as high as MHz, leading to the production of electromagnetic radiation [22,23]. Some experiments have found that the converted electric power/signal can be transmitted wirelessly for a distance of 5 m under sea water, illustrating the possibility of wireless communication through the electromagnetic wave of TENGs, which makes us to rethink about the physical nature and influential factors behind these electromagnetic phenomena [24].

Although the MEs-f-MDMS was inspired by the experiments from TENGs, its impact and applications are expected to go way beyond TENGs, especially with considering the additional information that can be derived from the near field electrodynamics for virtual reality, control, sensing and feedback [11,13,25]. As for far-field, MEs-f-MDMS could be used for constructing electromagnetic images using the phase information from the reflected wave of a moving object.

In this review, we will present the background, motivations, physical approaches, and mathematical skills for developing the theoretical frame of the MEs-f-MDMS. Coupled with mechanical force-electric-magnetic fields, the derived MEs-f-MDMS elucidate the dynamics of electromagnetic field for a more general case, in which the moving medium displays a time-dependent volume, shape, and boundary as well as an arbitrary slow-moving velocity field  $\mathbf{v}(r, t)$  in a non-inertial frame. c. We first introduce an expansion to the displacement vector by considering the relative motion of the dielectric objects, which is termed as the mechano-induced polarization  $\mathbf{P}_S$  and its physical meanings. Second, we will derive the MEs-f-MDMS starting from the integral forms of the four physics laws and the corresponding boundary conditions. Third, we will examine the Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction for including the Feynman's "anti-flux-rule"

examples [26], and the most updated version of the MEs-f-MDMS is presented, which is systematic, fully logic and consistent with classical Maxwell's equations (MEs). Its physical meaning and associated application strategies are clearly elaborated. Fourth, based on recent work on another field, we generalize the nanoscale electromagnetic boundary conditions and interface response functions if the classical MEs are applied to calculate the electrodynamics of nano-scale object, such as plasmonics of nanoparticles. The nano-scale boundary conditions are derived by using the integral Maxwell's equations through constructing the dielectric transition layer across the interface between the two materials. Finally, key conclusions and potential impacts for the MEs-f-MDMS are summarized, and a perspective is presented.

## 2. Moving medium is a lot more than an aggregation of point charges!

If a point charge moves at an arbitrary speed in vacuum without the presence of any boundaries, its electric field and magnetic fields can be calculated using the Liénard-Wiechert potentials [27,28]. The electric field of the moving charge contains two parts: the generalized coulomb field that does not dependent on the acceleration (also known as the velocity field), and the radiation field that is proportional to the acceleration. The free charge distribution and the instantaneous current produced by a group of moving point charges are represented by:

$$\rho_f = \sum_i q_i \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (1a)$$

$$\mathbf{J}_f = \sum_i q_i \mathbf{v}_i(t) \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i(t)) \quad (1b)$$

where  $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i(t)$  are the instantaneous position and moving velocity of the point charge  $q_i$ . The distribution of field in space can be calculated by substituting Eqs. (1a-b) into the Liénard-Wiechert potentials.

A point charge is just a point without volume and boundary. Lorentz transformation is ideal for treating the electrodynamics of moving point charges in vacuum. However, a medium is not just an aggregation of point charges, but composed of atoms with special symmetry, geometrical, shape and size. Owing to its unique crystal structure and chemistry, a medium typically has the characteristics of dielectric, electrical, magnetic and elastic properties. Therefore, it has different electrical, optical, thermal and mechanical properties. For a moving medium that has electrostatic charges on surfaces, the approach of Liénard-Wiechert potentials cannot be utilized to calculate its electromagnetic fields. This is one of the reasons why we have to expand the MEs to study the electromagnetic behavior of the motion media/object that could be time and even space dependent.

To represent the characteristics of media/materials in electromagnetic theory, the electromagnetic excitation is described by electric ( $\mathbf{P}$ ) and magnetic ( $\mathbf{M}$ ) polarizations, respectively, which was first developed over a century ago. Deepening our understanding of the electrodynamics of moving media is an important research program, which is generally through the macroscopic MEs and Minkowski material equations [1-3]. In general, inhomogeneities of the velocity of a moving medium, if it is shape deformable or in liquid state, generates an inhomogeneity of the refractive index. If a medium is in a static state, the propagation of electromagnetic wave passing through it is governed by the three parameters including permittivity ( $\epsilon$ ), permeability ( $\mu$ ), and conductivity ( $\sigma$ ). But each of these parameters depends heavily on the frequency of the electromagnetic wave we are considering. Electromagnetic waves with different frequencies travel at the same speed in vacuum, but they interact with media differently due to dielectric dispersion. So, variations of the permittivity, permeability and/or refractive index lead to the scattering of electromagnetic radiation of the medium.

### 3. Some considerations about the special relativity for medium case

#### 3.1 What is the Lorentz transformation for a moving object system?

The special relativity was proposed based on two hypotheses: I. The laws of physics take the same form in every inertial frame; II. The speed of light in vacuum is the same in every inertial frame. Special relativity is the theory of how different observers, moving at constant velocity with respect to one another, report their experience of the same physical event. General relativity addresses the same issue for observers whose relative motion is completely arbitrary. Therefore, *Lorentz transformation is exact if all of the electromagnetic phenomena are in vacuum.*

A key quantity in the Lorentz transformation is the speed of light  $c$ , because space and time are unified:

$$x' = \gamma_0(x - v_0 t), \quad y' = y, \quad z' = z \quad (1a)$$

$$t' = \gamma_0(t - x v_0 / c_0^2) \quad (1b)$$

$$\gamma_0 = 1/(1 - v_0^2/c_0^2)^{1/2} \quad (1c)$$

Or:

$$x = \gamma_0(x' + v_0 t'), \quad y = y', \quad z = z' \quad (2a)$$

$$t = \gamma_0(t' + x' v_0 / c_0^2) \quad (2b)$$

If all of the moving point charges are in vacuum, the  $c_0$  should be the speed of light in vacuum, and the

situation should be easy because a point charge has no volume and boundary, and they can be represented by a set of points with charge density and related current [27,29]. The MEs are covariant because of the use of Lorentz transformation.

However, the situation is complex if there is medium. If the entire space is filled with uniform medium so that the speed of light would be  $c_m = c_0/n$ , where  $n$  is the refraction index, the corresponding Lorentz transformation inside the medium would be [30]:

$$x'_m = \gamma_m(x - v_0 t), \quad y'_m = y, \quad z'_m = z; \quad (3a)$$

$$t'_m = \gamma_m(t - x v_0 / c_m^2) \quad (3b)$$

$$\gamma_m = 1/(1 - v_0^2 / c_m^2)^{1/2}. \quad (3c)$$

Or:

$$x = \gamma_m(x'_m + v_0 t'_m), \quad y = y'_m, \quad z = z'_m; \quad (4a)$$

$$t = \gamma_m(t'_m + x'_m v_0 / c_m^2) \quad (4b)$$

Eqs. (3-4) hold if the medium is isotropic, and the dielectric constant and magnetic permittivity are constants, so that the speed of light in the medium is independent of the observation frame.

Now let's consider another case, in which the space in  $x' > 0$  zone in the moving frame  $S'$  is filled with a uniform and linear dielectric medium, and it is moving at a constant velocity  $v_0$ . The zone at  $x' < 0$  is vacuum. How the Lorentz transformation would be constructed to ensure the space and time continuous at the medium boundary? In practical engineering applications, part of the space is filled up with dielectric media/objects and part is vacuum, what would be the correct expression of Lorentz transformation? How do we express the unification of space and time in such a case? This question has to be investigated.

If we consider the dilation of time and contraction of length in relativity, an expanded Lorentz transformation for the space and time  $(\mathbf{r}'_m, t'_m)$  inside the moving medium in  $x' > 0$  zone could be suggested as:

$$x = \gamma_0(x'_m + v_0 t'_m), \quad y = y'_m, \quad z = z'_m; \quad (5a)$$

$$t = \gamma_0(t'_m + x'_m v_0 / c_m^2) \quad (5b)$$

Or:

$$x'_m = \gamma_m^2(x - v_0 t) / \gamma_0, \quad y'_m = y, \quad z'_m = z; \quad (6a)$$

$$t'_m = \gamma_m^2(t - x v_0 / c_m^2) / \gamma_0 \quad (6b)$$

Here we also assume that the  $S'$  is moving along the  $+x$  axis at speed of  $v_0$ . Eq. (5) not only satisfies the



continuation of the space and time at  $x'_m = 0$  boundary, but also approaches the associated standard Lorentz transformations by replacing  $c_m \rightarrow c_0$  and  $c_0 \rightarrow c_m$  for the cases of the entire space being vacuum and filled with a medium, respectively. However, the symmetry preserved in between Eq. (1) and Eq. (2) is not in Eq. (5) and Eq. (6), not simply by replacing  $v_0 \rightarrow -v_0$ ! because of the presence of the medium boundary. Therefore, in the moving object case, the covariance of the MEs may not be preserved for correlating the electromagnetic phenomenon observed in the  $S'$  frame (the frame in which the medium is stationary) with that observed in the  $S$  frame (the observer's frame), because of the change of space symmetry by the object boundaries and dielectrics (see Fig. 1b) [31]. The validity of Eqs. (5-6) remain to be further studied, and they are just proposals.

### 3.2 Are the Maxwell's equations covariant for a moving media/objects system?

The discussions presented above may indicate that the covariance of the MEs may not hold if there is complex media distribution in space (see Fig. 1b) [31]. As indicated in Ref. [31], it would be correct to state that Maxwell's equations perfectly fit to be Lorentz-covariant if the point charge related electromagnetic phenomena and observations are made in vacuum, otherwise the covariance may not hold.

Furthermore, we now consider the constitutive relation for a realistic medium. If one ignores the dependence of dielectric permittivity on the momentum transfer term  $\mathbf{q}$ , for a simple linear medium, in the frequency space, we have

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \varepsilon(\omega)\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, \omega) \quad (7a)$$

In time space, and using the inverse Fourier transformation, Eq. (7a) becomes [32]

$$\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varepsilon(t - t')\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t') dt' \quad (7b)$$

This means that if we consider the anisotropic property of a dielectric media and its frequency dependence, the constitutive relationship between the displacement vector  $\mathbf{D}$  and electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  cannot be simply treated as  $\mathbf{D}(\mathbf{r}, t) = \varepsilon\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  unless  $\varepsilon$  is a constant. Therefore, for a general case, the covariance of the MEs holds exactly in vacuum but may not hold exactly in dielectric medium unless the medium's property is independent of the excitation frequency  $\omega$ , which means that there is no dispersion dependence [3,4,33]. Such cases may not be true for practical materials. For an inhomogeneous material, such as ferroelectric or piezoelectric crystals, the dielectric  $\varepsilon(\omega)$  is described using a tensor, depending on the orientation of the medium. Therefore, *the covariance of the MEs holds exactly for the electromagnetic phenomena occurring in vacuum.*

## 4. Medium polarization

### 4.1 Polarization induced by electric field

It is well-known that a dielectric material can be polarized if it is placed in an external electric field. The generalized medium polarization  $\mathbf{P}$  is an average description of the macroscopic structure, and for a linear medium, which is expressed as

$$\mathbf{P} = \varepsilon_0 \chi \mathbf{E} \quad (8)$$

where  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\chi$  represent the permittivity of vacuum and the electric susceptibility of the medium, respectively. Here  $\mathbf{E}$  is the total local electric field. It is found that the potential of a polarized dielectric is the same as that created by a volume charge density  $\rho_b = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}$  plus a surface charge density  $\sigma_b = -\mathbf{P} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}$  [27,29].

### 4.2 Mechano-induced polarization

In classical electromagnetism, medium boundary and shape are time-independent, but the whole medium/object can move with a uniform speed along a straight line. In engineering applications, media can move with acceleration along complex trajectories and their shapes may vary with time. The surfaces of the media may have electrostatic charges, so that their relative movement may introduce an additional polarization term. Therefore, we need to find an effective approach to describe such a case.

Taking the triboelectric nanogenerator (TENG) as an example, it needs at least one moving medium to generate electrostatic charges as caused by contact-electrification and excited by an external mechanical force. As a result, the media will be polarized due to the electric field generated by the electrostatic charges. And this polarization is essentially different from the  $\mathbf{P}$  owing to an external electric field. In fact, variations in moving medium object and medium shape lead to not only a local time-dependent charge density but also a local “virtual” electric current density. To account for these phenomena, an additional term  $\mathbf{P}_s$  termed as the mechano-driven polarization is introduced [11]:

$$\mathbf{D} = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} + \mathbf{P}_s = \varepsilon_0 (1 + \chi) \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P}_s \quad (9)$$

where the first term  $\varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E}$  is due to the field created by the free charges, which is the field for exciting the media. The vector  $\mathbf{P}$  is the medium polarization, and it is responsible for the screening effect of the medium to the external electric field. And the added term  $\mathbf{P}_s$  is mainly due to the existence of the surface electrostatic charges and the time variation in boundary shapes. The charges that directly contribute to the term  $\mathbf{P}_s$  are

neither free charges, not polarization induced charges, instead they are intrinsic surface bound electrostatic charges as introduced by external mechanical triggering to the media. This term is necessary for developing the theory of TENG [6,21]. The corresponding space charge density is

$$\rho_s = -\nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_s \quad (10a)$$

the surface electrostatic charge density is  $\sigma_s = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{P}_s$  ; and the displacement current density contributed by the bond electrostatic charges owing to medium movement is

$$\mathbf{J}_s = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{P}_s \quad (10b)$$

For easy notation, we define  $\mathbf{D}'$  to represent the field induced displacement vector term

$$\mathbf{D}' = \varepsilon_0 \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{P} \quad (11a)$$

Please note the prime does not stand for a moving reference frame as in classical electrodynamics! The total displacement vector is

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s \quad (11b)$$

The calculation of  $\mathbf{P}_s$  is given previously [6,21].

## 5. Deriving the Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven system

Two methods have been developed to deal with the electrodynamics of moving media: the relativistic electrodynamics and Galilean Electromagnetism [1-3,9,10]. Using the Lorentz transformation, the electromagnetic behavior of a moving media is described using the classical MEs. But the preconditions are that the velocity of the moving media is uniform in inertia frame, and constitutive relationships of the moving media are known. The Minkowski's approach is taken as the formal method for a moving medium. The second method is using the Galilean Electromagnetism. Note that Galilean electromagnetism is not an alternative to special relativity but is precisely its low-velocity limit in classical electromagnetism [10]. Under quasi-static approximation, this method is utilized for magnetic-dominated and electric-dominated systems. Similar to Minkowski electrodynamics, this approach applies to the case that the object moves with uniform speed along a straight line.

However, in practice, the medium always moves with acceleration, or more generally, several media move at complex velocities along various trajectories in non-inertial frame; and some research are about the scattering, reflection and transmission of electromagnetic waves from slow-moving media [34-36]. To develop an effective approach for dealing with the electromagnetism of moving objects, we start from the

integral forms of the four physics laws: Gauss's law for electricity, Gauss's law for magnetism, Faraday's Electromagnetic induction law (Lenz law), and Ampere-Maxwell law. Since the moving velocity of the object is  $v \ll c_0$ , and the physical dimension we consider is much smaller than the distance traveled by light within the duration of the event, Galilean transformation is an excellent approximation [37]. In such a case, we can ignore the relativistic effect so that the final formulation can be more friendly and effective for treating engineering problems [6,11].

$$\oiint_S \mathbf{D}' \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \iiint_V \rho_f d\mathbf{r} \quad (12a)$$

$$\oiint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0 \quad (12b)$$

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d}{dt} \iint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (12c)$$

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \iint_S \mathbf{J}_f \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \frac{d}{dt} \iint_S \mathbf{D}' \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (12d)$$

where  $\rho_f$  is the density of free charges in space, and  $\mathbf{J}_f$  is the current density. The surface integrals for  $\mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{D}'$  are for a surface  $s$  that is defined by a closed loop  $C$ , and they are the magnetic flux and displacement field flux, respectively. The integral forms are given based on the physics phenomena that have been observed experimentally: the total electric flux through a closed surface is the total charges contained inside (Eq. (12a)); the total magnetic flux through a closed surface is zero (Eq. (12b)); the decreasing rate of the magnetic flux through an open surface is the circulation of the electric field around its looped edge; the total electric current through an open surface plus the changing rate of the electric flux through the surface is the circulation of the magnetic field around its looped edge.

There are two more laws to be observed. The charge conservation law [11]:

$$\oiint_S \mathbf{J}_f \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \frac{d}{dt} \iiint_V \rho_f d\mathbf{r} = 0 \quad (13)$$

which means that the total current flowing into a closed surface equals to the changing rate of the total charges inside. The other law is the Coulomb-Lorentz force, animates a particle with charge  $q$  and moving velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  in the presence of an electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  and a magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  is:

$$\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (14)$$

The Maxwell's equations, the continuity equation of charge, and the equation of Coulomb-Lorentz force (Eqs. (12-14)) sets the foundation of the classical electrodynamics.

*The conditions for the classical Maxwell's equations to hold exactly is that the boundaries and distribution configurations of the dielectrics in space are fixed or time-independent [28,29].* But such a

condition is rarely mentioned in text books! which might lead to a misunderstanding that the MEs can be utilized to describe any and all of the electromagnetic phenomena. For the electrodynamics of a moving media, the primary method is to solve Minkowski's equations that were derived based on the principle of relativity. However, this method requires that the constitutive relationships of the moving media are redefined, and these equations holds only for the case that the media is moving at a constant velocity along a straight line [1-3]. In a more general case, a medium/object moves along a complex trajectory or there are several different media move at complex velocities along various trajectories. Solving the above problems are extremely difficult using the Lorentz transformation, which describes the electromagnetic fields from the co-moving frame to the Lab frame via a specific coordinate transformation by correlating space and time. Our goal here is to express all of the observed fields in Lab frame  $S$  with a systematic consideration of the movement of the objects and their interactions without going through the coordination transformation, so that the fields in the Lab frame  $S$  can be directly calculated:  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{D}'(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,  $\rho_f(\mathbf{r}, t)$ ,  $\mathbf{J}_f(\mathbf{r}, t)$ . Using the flux theorem in field theory [6,11]:

$$\oint_S \mathbf{D}' \cdot d\mathbf{s} = \iiint_V \rho_f d\mathbf{r} \quad (15a)$$

$$\oint_S \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = 0 \quad (15b)$$

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = - \iint_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \oint_C (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{L} \quad (15c)$$

$$\oint_C \mathbf{H} \cdot d\mathbf{L} = \iint_S (\mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v}) \cdot d\mathbf{s} + \iint_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}' \cdot d\mathbf{s} - \oint_C (\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}') \cdot d\mathbf{L} \quad (15d)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the velocity field of the moving medium that is time and space dependent. Using the Stokes's theorem and divergence theorem, the governing equations for the space inside the moving medium are given in differential form:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}' = \rho_f \quad (16a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (16b)$$

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] = - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (16c)$$

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}'] = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}' \quad (16d)$$

The results are consistent with those reported previously [34,35]. It is important to note that the terms of  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{D}'$  are the sources of generated electromagnetic waves owing to media movement even if their change rate is zero. This is new and its application will be explored in the near future. Note that if the velocity decreases to zero, the Eqs. (16a-d) become the classical Maxwell's equations [38]. For the space outside the

moving medium, the terms containing  $\mathbf{v}$  drop out and the Eqs. (16a-d) resume the standard format of the MEs. Both sets of mathematical solutions inside and outside of the medium satisfied the boundary conditions, which can be derived from Eqs. (15a) and (15d) [6,11]:

$$[\mathbf{D}'_2 - \mathbf{D}'_1] \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sigma_f \quad (17a)$$

$$[\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1] \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (17b)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times [\mathbf{E}_2 - \mathbf{E}_1 - \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1)] = 0 \quad (17c)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times [\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1 + \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{D}'_2 - \mathbf{D}'_1)] = \mathbf{K}_s + \sigma_f \mathbf{v}_s \quad (17d)$$

where  $\sigma_f$  represents the surface free charge density,  $\mathbf{K}_s$  is the surface current density,  $\mathbf{v}_s$  is the moving velocity of the media in parallel to the boundary surface, and  $\mathbf{n}$  represents the surface normal direction.

The charge conservation law is given by:

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_f = 0 \quad (18)$$

here  $\rho_f \mathbf{v}$  represents the local current generated by the free charges owing to medium movement.

Besides, the deformation of medium geometry and medium movement produce a time-dependent charge density and an effective electric current density, which is represented by the mechano-driven polarization  $\mathbf{P}_s$ .

We now can replace  $\mathbf{D}'$  by  $\mathbf{D} = \mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s$  in Eqs. (16a-d) [6,11]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}' = \rho_f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_s \quad (19a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (19b)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} - \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (19c)$$

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{H} + \mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s)] = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s] \quad (19d)$$

Using these equations, the coupling among mechanical, electrical and magnetic performances and behaviors of the system can be systematically described. The term  $\mathbf{v} \times (\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s)$  is the local induced magnetic field because of medium movement in the local electric field.

## 6. Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven system including Feynman's "anti-flux" examples

The mathematical expression of the Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction is the flux-rule: the reducing rate of the magnetic flux is the electromotive force (*emf*):

$$\xi_{\text{EMF}} = -\frac{d\Phi_B}{dt} = -\frac{d}{dt} \iint_{\mathbf{s}(t)} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (20)$$

Eq. (20) is the flux-rule and it can be used to describe most of the electromagnetic phenomena, especially

for power generation and electric motor. However, there are a few cases that appear to be “anti-flux-rule”, as presented by Feynman [26]. Fig. 4 shows a case, in which the circuit contains a rotating metal disc, with a sliding needle at its edge. Once the disc rotates, the total magnetic flux goes through the circuit does not change, so that there should be no *emf* according to Eq. (20), but the *emf* does exist experimentally. This paradox was not clearly explained by Feynman. In his text book, he said “It must be applied to circuits in which the material of the circuit remains the same. When the material of the circuit is changing, we must return to the basic laws. The correct physics is always given by the two basic laws  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathbf{F} = q(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})$ .” [26]. Therefore, a more detailed explanation is missing.




Figure 4. An example of the “anti-flux rule” as presented by first by Feynman. A rectangular thin wire circuit that is at stationary in a uniform magnetic field; one end sliding on the edge of a rotating conductive disc. (a) The point charge starting moving from  $P_1$  at  $t = 0$ , and (b) the pint charge reaches point  $P_2$  at  $t = t$ .

The “anti-flux-rule” case is likely due to that the path of the unit charge moving in the disc (as indicated by a blue dashed line) deviates from the original rectangular “circuit” (as indicated by black dashed line in Fig. 4b), along which the integral for calculating the magnetic flux is done. As the charge enters the disc at point  $P_1$  at its edge at  $t = 0$ , it moves along the radial direction to point  $P_2$  as the disc rotates to  $t = t$ ; its moving path is indicated by a blue dashed line. Therefore, the area as defined by the two dashed lines in Fig. 4b is the effective area of change of magnetic flux. This change in flux is due to the deviation of the unit charge transport path from that of the geometrical path as the disc rotates. This is caused by the existence of the large metal disc in the circuit, and the rotation of which produces the observed *emf*. To include this argument officially in the equation, we firstly focus on the expansion of the Faraday’s law.

If there is no change in the circuit, using the flux theorem in field theory, Eq. (20) can be mathematically derived as [5,12]:

$$\xi_{\text{EMF}} = -\frac{d}{dt} \iint_{s(t)} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} = -\iint_{s(t)} \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} - \nabla \times [\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}] \right\} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (21)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}$  is the velocity at which the boundary surface moves. Eq. (20) and Eq. (20) are supposed to be mathematically identical owing to the definition of the looped circuit. However, Eq. (20) applies to the case that there is no change of the closed circuit, for instance, there is no relative sliding between the wire and the disc. While in Eq. (20),  $\mathbf{v}$  means the moving velocity of the circuit, and it allows a flexible or changeable contact between the wire and the disc. Most importantly, if the circuit is not a closed loop, Eq. (16--20) should be used, but Eq. (20) cannot be utilized.

There are two integral forms of Faraday's law: one is given in Eq. (15c), and the other form is written as:

$$\oint_C \mathbf{E}' \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\frac{d}{dt} \iint_C \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (22)$$

where  $\mathbf{E}'$  represents the electric field in the rest frame of each segment  $d\mathbf{L}$  of the path of integration. We now need to express  $\mathbf{E}'$  in the terms of the field  $\mathbf{E}$  and  $\mathbf{B}$  in Lab frame. Using Eq. (21) into Eq. (21), it gives:

$$\oint_C (\mathbf{E}' - \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B}) \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\iint_{s(t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (23)$$

When the medium moves with an acceleration, the electromagnetic behavior of the moving medium becomes very complex. We take a unit charge  $q$  as an example. If the medium that carries the unit charge experiences an acceleration motion, the force acting on the unit charge include the inertia force  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(m\mathbf{v})$  besides the electromagnetic force, where  $m$  is the mass of the point charge. In this case, we have [5]:

$$q\mathbf{E}' - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(m\mathbf{v}) = q\mathbf{E} + q\mathbf{v}_t \times \mathbf{B} \quad (24a)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}_t$  is the total moving velocity of the unit charge in the  $S$  frame.  $\mathbf{v}_t$  can be split into two components for a general case (see Fig. 5): moving velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  of the origin of the reference frame  $S'$ , which is only time-dependent so that it can be viewed as a rigid translation, and  $\mathbf{v}_r$  is the relative moving velocity of the point charge with respect to the reference frame  $S'$ , which is space and time dependent

$$\mathbf{v}_t = \mathbf{v}(t) + \mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{r}, t) \quad (24b)$$

The space dependence of  $\mathbf{v}_r$  represents the shape deformation and/or rotation of the medium, and time dependence represents the local acceleration. Substituting Eqs. (24a-b) into Eq. (23), we have

$$\oint_C \left[ \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}(m\mathbf{v}) \right] \cdot d\mathbf{L} = -\iint_S \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \cdot d\mathbf{s} \quad (25)$$

There are two cases [5]:



1). If a circuit is a thin wire so that the moving velocity  $\mathbf{v}_r$  is parallel to the integral path  $d\mathbf{L}$ , the term  $[\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}] \cdot d\mathbf{L}$  vanishes, Eq. (25) leads to the standard MEs.

2). If the medium is a large piece so that the moving velocity  $\mathbf{v}_r$  inside the medium is not parallel to the integral path  $d\mathbf{L}$ , the  $\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}$  term remains. This is the case we are focused on in following discussions. Using the Stokes theorem, Eq. (25) becomes

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (m\mathbf{v})] = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (26a)$$

Since the movement of the origin of the reference frame  $S'$  that is affixed to the moving medium can be treated as a rigid translation so that it is only time dependent,  $\mathbf{v}(t)$ , which means  $\nabla \times (\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (m\mathbf{v}(t))) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [m\nabla \times \mathbf{v}(t)] = 0$ , so that the inertia force term drops out naturally in the differential equation, we have [5]:

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (26b)$$

In Eq. (25), when the integral path  $C$  is intercepted by a bulk size medium, inside which the practical moving velocity of the point charge may not be parallel to the integral path within the conductive medium, the term of  $\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}$  appears in the equation. It should be noticed that the relative velocity  $\mathbf{v}_r$  of the charge inside a medium may not be small in comparison to moving velocity  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  of the reference frame.

Now let's look at the case presented in Fig. 4. If the magnetic field is time-independent,  $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} = 0$ , from Eq.(26b), we have  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\nabla \times (\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B})$ , which means that the movement of the medium in a magnetic field would generate an electric field, in agreement with experimental observations. However, the situation is different if one use the classical MEs, one would have  $\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0$ , which means  $\mathbf{E} = 0$ , apparently disagree to experimental observations. Such discrepancy is due to the fact that medium motion was not considered in classical MEs! This is another reason that we need to expand the MEs.

Eq. (26b) is the expanded format of the Faraday's law of electromagnetic induction, and it includes the cases of Feynman's "anti-flux-rule" examples. Similarly, the expansion of the Ampere-Maxwell's law for a macroscopic media system that moves with acceleration could be straight forward. If one considers the symmetry between electricity and magnetism as well as the equivalence of the two fields, and use Eq. (19d) as an example, the Ampere-Maxwell's law could be expanded as:

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{D}) = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D} \quad (27)$$

Therefore, the electrodynamics inside the media can be described by [5,13]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}' = \rho_f \quad (28a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (28b)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (28c)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{D}') = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}' \quad (28d)$$

The above equations are the MEs-f-MDMS for a media system that moves with an arbitrary but low velocity even with acceleration. If the motion induced mechano-polarization is considered, the above equations are written by [5,13]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}' = \rho_f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_s \quad (29a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (29b)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B} \quad (29c)$$

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{v}_r \times (\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s)] = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s] \quad (29d)$$

where  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is only time-dependent, but  $\mathbf{v}_r = \mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is more general. Note that Eq. (29a-d) are regarded as the general MEs for shape-deformable, mechano-driven, slow-moving media at an arbitrary velocity field. This full MEs-f-MDMS describes the coupling among three fields: mechano–electricity–magnetism. The law of charge conservation is

$$\nabla \cdot [\mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \rho_f = 0 \quad (29e)$$

The physical meaning of each term in Eqs. (29e) can be elaborated as follows (See Fig. 5).  $\mathbf{v}$  is the moving velocity of the origin of the moving reference frame  $S'$  in the rest frame  $S$ ;  $\mathbf{v}_r$  is the relative movement velocity of the medium in the  $S'$  frame;  $\mathbf{P}_s$  is the polarization introduced due to the relative movement of the objects in the  $S'$  frame if there are more than one object present.

It should be noticed that the MEs-f-MDMS is utilized for the space inside of a moving medium; while outside the medium in vacuum space, the governing equation is the classical MEs (Fig. 5). The solutions of the two sets of equations meet at the media boundaries as governed by boundary conditions [5,13]:

$$[\mathbf{D}'_2 - \mathbf{D}'_1 + \mathbf{P}_{s2} - \mathbf{P}_{s1}] \cdot \mathbf{n} = \sigma_f \quad (30a)$$

$$[\mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{B}_1] \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad (30b)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times [\mathbf{E}_2 - \mathbf{E}_1 + \mathbf{v}_{r2} \times \mathbf{B}_2 - \mathbf{v}_{r1} \times \mathbf{B}_1] = 0 \quad (30c)$$

$$\mathbf{n} \times [\mathbf{H}_2 - \mathbf{H}_1 - \mathbf{v}_{r2} \times (\mathbf{D}'_2 + \mathbf{P}_{s2}) + \mathbf{v}_{r1} \times (\mathbf{D}'_1 + \mathbf{P}_{s1})] = \mathbf{K}_s + \sigma_f \mathbf{v}_s \quad (30d)$$

In addition, there's no need to worry about the speed of light in the medium exceeding that of the speed of

light in vacuum  $c_0$ .




Figure 5. We use a flying disc to illustrate the applications of the MEs-f-MDMS for engineering purposes. The electromagnetic behavior inside the medium (the moving disc) is the MEs-f-MDMS, while that in vacuum is the classical MEs; the solutions of the two sets of equations meet the boundary conditions at the medium interfaces/surface.  $\mathbf{v}(t)$  is the moving velocity of the origin of the  $S'$  reference frame;  $\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{r}, t)$  is the relative movement velocity of the object in the moving reference frame;  $\mathbf{P}_s$  is the polarization introduced due to the relative movement of the objects in the moving reference frame if there are more objects to be considered.

## 7. Conservation of energy

The conservation of energy in the mechano-electric-magnetic coupling system is studied. Starting from Eqs. (29a-d), the energy conservation process in this mechano-electric-magnetic coupling system is given by [5,13]:

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E} + \{\mathbf{H} \cdot [\nabla \times (\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B})] + \mathbf{E} \cdot [\nabla \times (\mathbf{v}_r \times (\mathbf{D}' + \mathbf{P}_s))]\} \quad (31)$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is the poynting vector, representing the energy per unit time, per unit area transported by the fields

$$\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \quad (32)$$

and  $u$  is the energy volume density of electromagnetic field, which can be given by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}}{\partial t} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \quad (33)$$

Eq. (31) indicates that the decrease of the internal electromagnetic field energy within a volume plus the rate

of electromagnetic wave energy radiated out of the volume surface is the rate of energy done by the field on the external free current and the free charges, plus the media spatial motion induced change in electromagnetic energy density. Importantly, the contribution made by media movement can be regarded as “source” for producing electromagnetic energy.

Furthermore, if the medium movement only depends on time  $\mathbf{v}_r(t)$ , e.g., solid translation, the above equations are simplified as

$$-\frac{D}{Dt}u - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_f \quad (34a)$$

$$\text{with } \frac{D}{Dt}u = \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{D\mathbf{D}}{Dt} + \mathbf{H} \cdot \frac{D\mathbf{B}}{Dt} \quad (34b)$$

$$\text{and } \frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla) \quad (34c)$$

$\mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{J}_f$  is a source term that transfers energy from (to) the electromagnetic field to (from) the charged medium that interacts with the field. The mechanical energy of the charged medium increases (decreases) accordingly.

## 8. Mathematical solutions of the expanded Maxwell's equations

Inside the moving object, the general solution of the equations has two components: homogeneous solution that satisfies [6,11]:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}'_h = 0, \quad (35a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h = 0, \quad (35b)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_h + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}_h) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_h, \quad (35c)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{H}_h - \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{D}'_h) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}'_h. \quad (35d)$$

and a special solution that satisfies

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}'_s = \rho_f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_s, \quad (36a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_s = 0, \quad (36b)$$

$$\nabla \times (\mathbf{E}_s + \mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{B}_s) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_s, \quad (36c)$$

$$\nabla \times [\mathbf{H}_s - \mathbf{v}_r \times (\mathbf{D}'_s + \mathbf{P}_s)] = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v} - \nabla \times (\mathbf{v}_r \times \mathbf{P}_s) + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} [\mathbf{D}'_s + \mathbf{P}_s]. \quad (36d)$$

It is apparent that both the homogenous solution and special solution are affected by the motion of the medium.

Outside of the object in vacuum, the special solution of the MEs is determined by:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}'_h = 0, \quad (37a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_h = 0, \quad (37b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_h = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_h, \quad (37c)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_h = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}'_h. \quad (37d)$$

The special solution is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}'_s = \rho_f, \quad (38a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}_s = 0, \quad (38b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}_s = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{B}_s, \quad (38c)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}_s = \mathbf{J}_f + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mathbf{D}'_s. \quad (38d)$$

The total solution is a sum of the homogeneous solution and the special solution, and it needs to meet the boundary conditions as defined by Eqs. (30a-d).

If the instantaneous shape of the medium is defined by  $s(\mathbf{r}, t) = 0$ , and the moving trajectory of the center of the moving reference frame is defined as  $\mathbf{r}_0(t)$  (see Fig. 5), the governing equations are Eqs. (35a-d) and (36a-d) if  $\mathbf{r}$  is within the volume of the surface  $s(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0(t), t) = 0$ ; otherwise the governing equations are Eqs. (37a-d) and (38a-d). The solutions of the two sets of equations satisfies the boundary conditions given in Eqs. (30a-d) at the surface defined by  $s(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_0(t), t) = 0$ . This is the general principle for finding the numerical solutions for the entire system.

## 8.1 Perturbation theory for a general moving velocity

Although the MEs-f-MDMS provide a complete description about the electromagnetics of the system, their solutions are most important. Analytical solutions are only possible for very simple cases. For most of engineering applications, numerical calculations are essential. Since the theory was derived for low speed case  $v \ll c$ , we can expand the full solution in the order of  $v_r$ . With considering the dominant contribution made from stationary medium case, e.g.,  $\mathbf{v}_r = 0$  (the zeroth order), we can use the perturbation approach as developed in quantum mechanics for solving the MEs-f-MDMS. In the time/frequency space, the solution of the MEs-f-MDMS can be derived order by order using the perturbation theory in the order of  $v_r$ . The higher order solution is received using the iteration method. More details have been covered previously [5,12].

## 8.2 Vector potential for an object that moves as a solid translation

We now present the solution of the MEs-f-MDMS if the motion of the object is a solid translation, which means  $\mathbf{v}_t(t)$ , space-independent, the object is a solid object and its movement is a translation without rotation. If the medium is a simple linear medium, Eqs. (29a-d) can be further derived as [6]

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}' = \rho' \quad (39a)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0 \quad (39b)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{D}{Dt} \mathbf{B} \quad (39c)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{J}' + \frac{D}{Dt} \mathbf{D}' \quad (39d)$$

where:

$$\rho' = \rho_f - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_s \quad (39e)$$

$$\mathbf{J}' = \mathbf{J}_f + \rho_f \mathbf{v}_t + \frac{D}{Dt} \mathbf{P}_s \quad (39f)$$

We now define the vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\varphi$  as follows:

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \quad (40a)$$

and a new scalar electric potential  $\varphi_v$  for electrostatics, we define

$$\mathbf{E} = -\nabla\varphi - \frac{D}{Dt} \mathbf{A} \quad (40b)$$

Substitute Eqs. (40a,b) into Eqs. (39a-d) and make use of the constitutive relations, we have,

$$\nabla^2 \mathbf{A} - \varepsilon\mu \frac{D^2}{Dt^2} \mathbf{A} = -\mu \mathbf{J}' \quad (41a)$$

$$\nabla^2 \varphi - \varepsilon\mu \frac{D^2}{Dt^2} \varphi = -\frac{\rho'}{\varepsilon} \quad (41b)$$

$$\text{where } \frac{D^2}{Dt^2} = \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla) \right] \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - (\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla) \right] = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - 2(\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla)(\mathbf{v}_r \cdot \nabla)$$

and the Lorentz gauge must be satisfied:

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} + \varepsilon\mu \frac{D}{Dt} \varphi = 0 \quad (41c)$$

These are nonhomogeneous wave equations for vector potential  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\varphi$ , which are non-linear differential equations. Eqs. (41a-b) have a similar format as the Navier-Stokes equations for fluid except with the 3 space variables plus time. The total solutions may have to be solved numerically, and the total solutions must satisfy the boundary conditions as defined in Eqs. (30a-d).

We express the format of the MEs-f-MDMS into tensor format. We now use the classical expressions of following quantities for electrodynamics, the anti-symmetric strength tensor of electromagnetic field [5],

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \xi^\alpha A^\beta - \xi^\beta A^\alpha \quad (42a)$$

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \xi_{\alpha}A_{\beta} - \xi_{\beta}A_{\alpha} \quad (42b)$$

where  $\alpha, \beta = (1,2,3,4)$ , and the newly defined operators are

$$\xi^{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{D}{Dt}, -\nabla\right) \quad (43a)$$

$$\xi_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{1}{c} \frac{D}{Dt}, \nabla\right) \quad (43b)$$

$$A^{\alpha} = (c\phi, \mathbf{A}) \quad (43c)$$

$$A_{\alpha} = (c\phi, -\mathbf{A}) \quad (43d)$$

One can prove

$$F^{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_x/c & -E_y/c & -E_z/c \\ E_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ E_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ E_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (44a)$$

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_x/c & E_y/c & E_z/c \\ -E_x/c & 0 & -B_z & B_y \\ -E_y/c & B_z & 0 & -B_x \\ -E_z/c & -B_y & B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (44b)$$

where  $c = c_m = 1/(\mu\epsilon)^{1/2}$ . Eqs. (39a-e) can be restated as:

$$\xi_{\alpha}F^{\alpha\beta} = \mu J^{\beta} \quad (45)$$

where  $J^{\beta} = (c\rho', \mathbf{J}')$ . This is the Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven system. Note Eq. (45) is the same as that for the classical MEs except the operator  $\partial_{\alpha}$  is replace by  $\xi_{\alpha}$ . The density of the Lagrangian for the electromagnetic field is given by

$$\Lambda = F^{\alpha\beta}F_{\alpha\beta} + \mu J^{\alpha}A_{\alpha} \quad (46)$$

## 9. Nanoscale electromagnetic phenomena and boundary conditions

Here we review another progress made in the field for expanding the boundary condition for nano-scale medium, such as nanopartilces, which may not directly related to what we have presented above. The macroscopic electromagnetic boundary conditions (EMBCs) have been proposed for over a century, which have a wide range of applications in physics. The EMBCs are built based on the abrupt interface assumption by neglecting the intrinsic electronic length scales associated with interfaces; more specifically, the integral

contribution of traditional electromagnetic field along the side wall of the integrating box is neglected [39]. This treatment results in considerable discrepancies when it is utilized to describe electromagnetic phenomena in systems with nanoscale feature sizes, such as surface photoexcitation, nano-plasmonics, and so on. To narrow the gap between the classical predications and experimental observations, the Feibelman  $d$  parameters, that are interfacial response functions (IRFs), are developed by Feibelman to elucidate nanoscale electromagnetic phenomena [39-41]. Now two forms of nanoscale electromagnetic boundary conditions have been proposed according to the Feibelman  $d$  parameters.

Assuming an interface that is formed by two isotropic bulk materials with different permittivity and permeability, there simultaneously exists a transition layer in which the permittivity and permeability change continuously from one material to the other one. Through MEs and the contributions of the transition layer as the first-order perturbation of the classical EMBCs, the nanoscale EMBCs are deduced [40]:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} \rrbracket = -d_{\perp} \nabla_{\parallel} \llbracket E_{\perp} \rrbracket - i\omega b_{\parallel} \llbracket \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \rrbracket \times \hat{n} \quad (47a)$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{H}_{\parallel} \rrbracket = -b_{\perp} \nabla_{\parallel} \llbracket H_{\perp} \rrbracket + i\omega d_{\parallel} \llbracket \mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \rrbracket \times \hat{n} \quad (47b)$$

$$\llbracket D_{\perp} \rrbracket = d_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{D}_{\parallel} \rrbracket \quad (47c)$$

$$\llbracket B_{\perp} \rrbracket = b_{\parallel} \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{B}_{\parallel} \rrbracket \quad (47d)$$

where  $\llbracket W_i \rrbracket = W_i(z_1) - W_i(z_2)$  represents the discontinuity of the tangential ( $i = \parallel$ ) / normal ( $i = \perp$ ) component of the field  $\vec{W}$  across the interface.  $\nabla_{\parallel} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \hat{n}_x + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \hat{n}_y$ .  $\hat{n}$  is the unit vector perpendicular to the interface from one medium to the other one. From above equations, it is founded that the discontinuity of the electromagnetic field tangential component  $\mathbf{E}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{H}_{\parallel})$  across the interface is coupled not only with the normal component  $E_{\perp}(H_{\perp})$ , but also with the inductive field component  $\mathbf{B}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{D}_{\parallel})$ . The discontinuity of the inductive field  $D_{\perp}(B_{\perp})$  is proportional to the in-plane divergence of the corresponding tangential component  $\mathbf{D}_{\parallel}(\mathbf{B}_{\parallel})$ . We now focus on the physical meanings of interfacial response functions.  $d_{\perp}$  represents the centroid of interface-induced polarization charge, and  $d_{\parallel}$  is the centroid of the normal derivative of tangential current, which are expressed as

$$d_{\perp} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \rho_{ind} dz}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_{ind} dz} \quad (48a)$$

$$d_{\parallel} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \frac{dj_{py}}{dz} dz}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dj_{py}}{dz} dz} \quad (48b)$$

Note that  $\rho_{ind}$  is the surface-induced polarization charge density, and  $J_{py}$  represents the tangential polarization



current on the surface.  $b_{\perp}$  is looked as the centroid of equivalent magnetization charge density, and  $b_{\parallel}$  represents the centroid of equivalent magnetization current density; they are rewritten as:

$$b_{\perp} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z \rho_m dz}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho_m dz} \quad (49a)$$

and

$$b_{\parallel} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} z j_m dz}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} j_m dz} \quad (49b)$$

respectively.

Moreover, based on the definition of electric and magnetic dipole moments, the interface induced dipole moments are introduced; and thus the nanoscale EMBCs are rewritten as [40]:

$$\llbracket \mathbf{E}_{\parallel} \rrbracket = -\left( \frac{-1}{\varepsilon_0} \nabla \times \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\perp} + \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{m}_{\parallel}}{\partial t} \right) \times \hat{n} \quad (50a)$$

$$\llbracket \mathbf{H}_{\parallel} \rrbracket = (\nabla \times \mathbf{m}_{\perp} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\parallel}}{\partial t}) \times \hat{n} \quad (50b)$$

$$\llbracket D_{\perp} \rrbracket = -\nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\parallel} \quad (50c)$$

$$\llbracket B_{\perp} \rrbracket = -\mu_0 \nabla_{\parallel} \cdot \mathbf{m}_{\parallel} \quad (50d)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\perp} = \varepsilon_0 d_{\perp} \llbracket E_{\perp} \rrbracket \hat{n}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\parallel} = -d_{\parallel} \llbracket D_{\parallel} \rrbracket$  for electric dipole, and  $\mathbf{m}_{\perp} = b_{\perp} \llbracket H_{\perp} \rrbracket \hat{n}$ ,  $\mathbf{m}_{\parallel} = -b_{\parallel} \llbracket B_{\parallel} \rrbracket$  for magnetic dipole, respectively. So, the interface with a transition layer can be regarded as the abrupt interface with interface-induced electric and magnetic dipole moments. A general conclusion is given that the nanoscale EMBCs are different with the results of assuming polarization and magnetization on the abrupt interface in the basic physical model; they are obtained from the transition interface model with the inhomogeneous electromagnetic field-induced polarization and magnetization. As stated before, we have derived the macroscopic boundary conditions of the MEs-f-MDMS. Undoubtedly, this EMBCs can be extended to obtain the nanoscale boundary conditions through special interface mode in which the intrinsic electronic length scales must be considered. One important aspect to note is that the above macroscopic and nanoscale EMBCs are proposed for the classical MEs, which are initially built for medium having a fixed boundary, volume, and particularly in a stationary state. However, these assumptions are much less mentioned in the general literatures.

## 10. Summary and Outlook

This paper systematically reviews the recent progress made in developing the Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system (MEs-f-MDMS), which are utilized to describe the electromagnetism of

multi-moving-media. The basic theoretical scheme, formulation and solutions of the equations are fully elaborated in reference to the classical MEs. The main insights are summarized as follows [5,13]:

- a). Based on the integral forms of the four physics laws, and in the Galilean space-time, the MEs-f-MDMS are derived to describe the electrodynamics of slow-moving media that may move with acceleration.
- b). The MEs-f-MDMS are typically used to reveal the dynamics of an electromagnetic field for a general case, in which the medium has a time-dependent volume, shape, and boundary and may move in an arbitrary velocity field  $\mathbf{v}_r(\mathbf{r}, t)$  in a non-inertial system.
- c). By neglecting the relativity effect, the expanded MEs-f-MDMS are applicable to reveal the electrodynamics of a mechanical force-electricity-magnetism system.
- d). The total energy of electricity and magnetism is not conserved, since an external mechanical energy is input; however, the total energy of the closed mechano-driven media system is conserved.
- e). The charged moving media are regarded as the sources for generating electromagnetic radiation (a motion-generated electromagnetic field). The created electromagnetic wave within the moving media can be described by the expanded MEs-f-MDMS, and its propagation in space satisfies the standard MEs and special relativity; they meet at the medium interface as governed by the boundary conditions.
- f). Different from the methods of relativity electrodynamics that the electromagnetic fields in the Lab frame and the co-moving frame are correlated by the Lorentz transformation, the expanded MEs-f-MDMS are for the case that the observe is in the Lab frame, while the media are moving at complex velocities along varies trajectories. In other words, all fields are expressed in the variables in the Lab frame, which is more useful for describing engineering problems.
- g). Because the speed of light inside media  $c_m$  is generally lower than  $c_0$ , there is no need to worry about exceeding the speed of light in vacuum  $c_0$  even the medium is moving. Once the electromagnetic wave is generated from the mechano-driven media system, its traveling outside the medium is governed by the classical MEs, regardless of whether the media are moving or not.
- h). The expanded MEs-f-MDMS could describe the electrodynamics of fluid/liquid media, because it has been proved that these equations can describe the electromagnetism of the mechano-driven system in the non-inertial frame with acceleration and even time-dependent volume, shape, and boundary.
- i). If the medium moves at a constant velocity so that  $\mathbf{v} = \text{constant}$  and  $\mathbf{v}_r = 0$ , Eqs. (29a-d) resume the format of the classical MEs, so there is no logic inconsistency with the existing theory.

In comparison to the classical Maxwell equations, the MEs-f-MDMS has made following expansions:

- 1). Accelerated motion in a non-inertia reference frame vs that with a uniform motion along a straight-line in inertia reference frame;
- 2). Electromagnetism that includes the Feynman “anti-flux rule” examples vs that exclude such cases;
- 3). Electrodynamics for multi-moving-media vs that for one moving medium; and
- 4). The entire field (both near field and far-field) electrodynamics vs the far-field plus partial near-field electrodynamics.

Much of the traditional research is focusing on the far-distance transmission and reflection of electromagnetic waves, for instance wireless communication & propagation, antenna, radar, and so on, demonstrating through the special solutions of the MEs. The effects from the motion status of the electric current source and the mechanical action for generating the current to the distribution of electromagnetic fields in the vicinity have been ignored. Such near-field effect can be important for new technological applications in short-range wireless sensing. MEs-f-MDMS provide an accurate and practical method to systematically investigate both the far-field electromagnetic behavior and the near-field electromagnetic behavior for engineering applications.

It is important to point out that *the covariance of the MEs holds exactly for the electromagnetic phenomena in vacuum!* If there are moving objects/media in space at any speed, Lorentz transformation may not be applicable for treating the electromagnetic behavior because the covariance of the MEs may not hold in this case; therefore, and MEs-f-MDMS is probably the most effective approach if the moving velocity is low. We have also discussed the revision of the Lorentz transformation from vacuum case to the case there is medium, which is subjected to further studies.

MEs-f-MDMS is a unification of the theory for electromagnetic generator/motor and the theory of electromagnetic waves (Fig. 6). The theory of electromagnetic generator is to use the rotation of a rotor to cut through a magnetic field, so that the mechanical energy is converted into electric power. What is most important is the electric current and voltage carried by the conduction coil, disregard the electromagnetic wave radiated to the space nearby. The MEs are about the electromagnetic waves radiated if an oscillating current is supplied. Once the observation point is close to the electromagnetic generator, near to which the rotation of the rotor is quite dominant, the MEs can predict the electromagnetic behavior arising from the current conducted in the metal wire, but it may not precisely predict the effect of the rotating rotor to the field

distributed nearby. This is why we need the MEs-f-MDMS.




Figure 6. The MEs-f-MDMS are a unification of the theory for electromagnetic generator/motor and the theory of electromagnetic waves, so that the field in the entire space can be calculated. MEs-f-MDMS are likely to make a key difference in the regions near the moving objects, which may not be fully covered by the classical MEs. This is the contribution of the MEs-f-MDMS to the fundamentals of electrodynamics [13].

Due to MEs have tremendously impact to modern science and technology, many scientists are still now focusing on its new development [42,43]. The mechano-driven polarization  $\mathbf{P}_s$ , which is also called as Wang term, was first introduced in MEs in order to quantify the output performances of TENGs. The MEs-f-MDMS is to describe the electromagnetism of the media systems in the non-inertial frame with time-dependent volume, shape, and boundary by neglecting relativistic effect. MEs-f-MDMS is not an alternative to MEs but is precisely for developing the engineering electromagnetism toward today's technology needs. Emerging potential fields directly or indirectly impacted by MEs-f-MDMS could include wireless communication and propagation, antenna, Radar, Radar Cross-Section (RCS) analysis and design, Electro Magnetic

Compatibility (EMC) and Electro-Magnetic Interference (EMI) analysis and design, and so on (Fig. 7), which could inspire new discoveries possibly with unprecedented technological advances.




Figure 7. Emerging areas that may be impacted by f MEs-f-MDMS, with potential technological importance [13].

### Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## References

- 1 Minkowski H. The Principle of Relativity. Calcutta: University Press, 1920
- 2 Minkowski H. Das Relativitätsprinzip. *Annalen der Physik*, 1915, 352: 927
- 3 Pauli W. Theory of Relativity, Pergamon, London, 1958
- 4 Landau L D, Lifshitz E M, Electrodynamics of Continuous Media, Pergamon Press, New York, 1984
- 5 Wang Z L. The expanded Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system that moves with acceleration, *Intern J Modern Physics B*, 2022, 2350159, <https://doi.org/10.1142/S021797922350159X>
- 6 Wang Z L. On the expanded Maxwell's equations for moving charged media system-general theory, mathematical solutions and applications in TENG. *Mater Today*, 2022, 52: 348–363
- 7 Wang Z L, Shao J J. Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven varying-speed motion media system under slow motion and nonrelativistic approximations (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Tech*, 2022, 52: 1198–1211
- 8 Born M. Einstein's Theory of Relativity, Dover Publications, New York, 1962
- 9 Le Bellac M, Lévy-Leblond J M. Galilean electromagnetism. *Nuovo Cim B*, 1973, 14: 217
- 10 Rousseaux G. Forty years of Galilean Electromagnetism (1973–2013). *Eur Phys J Plus*, 2013, 128: 81
- 11 Wang Z L. Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven, shape-deformable, charged media system, slowly moving at an arbitrary velocity field  $v(r,t)$ , *J Phys Commun*, 2022, 6: 085013
- 12 Wang Z L, Shao J J. From Faraday's law to the expanded Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system that moves with acceleration (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Tech*, 2023, 53: 430
- 13 Wang Z L, Shao J J. Theory of Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven media system for a non-inertia medium movement system (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Tech*, 2023, 53, doi: 10.1360/SST-2023-0062
- 14 Fan F R, Tian Z Q, Wang L Z. Flexible triboelectric generator. *Nano Energy*, 2012, 1: 328
- 15 Lin S, Chen X, Wang Z L. Contact electrification at the liquid-solid interface. *Chemical Reviews* 2022, 122, 5209
- 16 Wang, Z L. et al. On the origin of contact-electrification. *Materials Today* 2019, 30, 34
- 17 Shao J J, Willatzen M, Wang Z L. Theoretical modeling of triboelectric nanogenerators (TENGs). *J Appl Phys*, 2020, 128: 111101
- 18 Shao J J, Liu D, Willatzen, M, Wang Z L. Three-dimensional modeling of alternating current triboelectric nanogenerator in the linear sliding mode. *Appl. Phys. Rev.* 2020, 7,

011405

- 19 Shao J J. et al. Designing rules and optimization of triboelectric nanogenerator arrays. *Adv. Energy Mater.* 2021, 11, 2100065
- 20 Wang Z L. From contact electrification to triboelectric nanogenerators. *Rep Prog Phys*, 2021, 84: 096502
- 21 Wang Z L. On the first principle theory of nanogenerators from Maxwell's equations. *Nano Energy*, 2020, 68: 104272
- 22 Cao X, Zhang M, Huang J, et al. Inductor-free wireless energy delivery via maxwell's displacement current from an electrodeless triboelectric nanogenerator. *Adv Mater*, 2018, 30, 6
- 23 Chen C, Wen Z, Shi J, et al. Micro triboelectric ultrasonic device for acoustic energy transfer and signal communication. *Nat Commun*, 2020, 11, 4143
- 24 Zhao H, Xu M, Shu M et al. Underwater wireless communication via TENG-generated Maxwell's displacement current. *Nat Commun* 2022, 13, 3325
- 25 Wang Z L, Shao J J. Maxwell's equations for a mechano-driven varying-speed-motion media system for engineering electrodynamics and their solutions (in Chinese). *Sci Sin Tech*, 2022, 52: 1416
- 26 Feynman R P. The Feynman Lectures on Physics, Vol. II: Mainly Electromagnetism and Matter. New Millennium ed. edition, 2011
- 27 David J G. Introduction to Electrodynamics. 3rd, Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1999
- 28 Zangwill A. Modern Electrodynamics. Cambridge: Cambridge Press, 2012
- 29 Jackson J D. Classical Electrodynamics. 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, 1999
- 30 Buenker R J, Wuppertal B U, The Lorentz transformation for photons in dispersive media and in gravitational fields, *Khim. Fyz.* 2005, 24, 19
- 31 Redžić D V. Are Maxwell's equations Lorentz-covariant? *Eur. J. Phys.* 2017, 38, 015602
- 32 Wang Z L, Valence electron excitation and plasmon oscillations in thin films, surfaces, interfaces and small particles, *Micron* 1996, 27, 265
- 33 <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GYA31AKZfbs>
- 34 Kaufman, A. N. Maxwell equations in nonuniformly moving media, *Annals of Physics*, 1962, 15, 264
- 35 Lax L, Nelson D F. Maxwell equations in material form, *Physical Rev. B*, 1974, 13, 1777
- 36 Rozov A. Maxwell equations of slow-moving media. *Z Nat A*, 2015, 12: 1019–1024
- 37 Levy-Leblond J M. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare, Section A: Physique Theorique III*, 1965
- 38 Cui X. From Faraday's Law of Induction to Maxwell's Equations of Motion (in Chinese). In: Proceedings of the Ninth Annual Conference of the Institute of Circuits and Signaling Systems, Electromagnetic Field Teaching and Textbook Research Association in Higher Education Institutions, Hohhot, 2014

- 39 Yang Y, Zhu D, Yan W, Agarwal A, Zheng M J, Joannopoulos J D, Lalanne P, Christensen T, Berggren K K, Soljacic, M. A general theoretical and experimental framework for nanoscale electromagnetism, *Nature*, 2019, 576, 248
- 40 Lai Y C, Wang Z N, Unique interface reflection phenomena tailored by nanoscale electromagnetic boundary conditions, *Opt. Express*, 2022, 30, 33112
- 41 Feibelman, P J, Surface electromagnetic fields, *Prog. Surf. Sci.* 1982, 12, 287
- 42 Maxwell J C. A dynamical theory of the electromagnetic field. *Philos Trans Roy Soc London*, 1865, 155: 459–512
- 43 Reid J S, Wang C H T, Thompson J M T. James Clerk Maxwell 150 years on. *Phil Trans R Soc A*, 2008, 366: 1651–1659