arXiv:2305.17234v2 [nlin.PS] 1 Jun 2023

Unidirectional flow of flat-top solitons

M. O. D. Alotaibi¹, L. Al Sakkaf², and U. Al Khawaja^{2,3}

¹Department of Physics, Kuwait University, P.O. Box 5969 Safat, 13060 Kuwait

²Department of Physics, United Arab Emirates University, P.O. Box 15551, Al-Ain, United Arab Emirates

³Department of Physics, School of Science, The University of Jordan, Amman, 11942, Jordan

We numerically demonstrate the unidirectional flow of flat-top solitons when interacting with two reflectionless potential wells with slightly different depths. The system is described by a nonlinear Schrödinger equation with dual nonlinearity. The results show that for shallow potential wells, the velocity window for unidirectional flow is larger than for deeper potential wells. A wider flat-top solitons also have a narrow velocity window for unidirectional flow than those for thinner flat-top solitons.

I. INTRODUCTION

Solitons are a class of nonlinear waves that demonstrate remarkable stability properties as a result of the balance between non-linear and dispersive effects within the medium [1]. They are found in a wide range of physics, including hydrodynamic tsunamis [2], fiber optic communications [3], solid-state physics [4], and the dynamics of biological molecules [5]. In addition, solitons in optics can exhibit unidirectional flow when interacting with a specific type of potential [6-8]. The significance of the unidirectional flow appealed to both fundamental scientists and applied scientists interested in developing new technologies related to soliton [9–18]. A novel type of soliton is the flat-top soliton (FTS), characterized by a flat-top profile with a finite width and a sharp edge [19– 29]. It is a solution to the nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NLSE) with dual nonlinearity. The flat top shape is due to the fact that the competition between the cubic and quintic nonlinear components in the NLSE imposes an upper limit on the wave field density. Therefore, an increase in the total norm of the field causes the width to expand while the amplitude remains constant. They have been observed in various physical systems, such as Bose-Einstein condensates [30], optical fibers [31], and microresonators [32], and have potential applications in optical communication, all-optical switching, and frequency comb generation.

In this article, we study the interaction of FTS with a particular class of potentials called Pöschl-Teller potential [33, 34]. A remarkable property of Pöschl-Teller potentials is that they are "reflectionless," which means that the scattering of FTS characterized by the absence of radiation. By considering a double-well reflectionless potential of this type with slightly varying depths, we demonstrate numerically that a unidirectional flow of FTS can be achieved. To the best of our knowledge, the unidirectional flow of FTS has not been previously investigated. In order to confirm our findings, we performed the numerical calculations using two different methods, the split-step method, and the power series expansion method [35]. Both methods show similar results.

We followed the calculations in Ref. [36], where a single parameter, γ , controls the FTS width. Depending on this value, we obtain a bright soliton or FTSs with different widths. Next, we select parameters for the potential that result in a relatively large velocity window for the unidirectional flow of a bright soliton. Then, we fix the parameters of the potential and vary γ to obtain FTSs and investigate their unidirectional flow.

The paper is structured as follows. In Sec. II, we present our model and define the problem. In Sec. III, we perform numerical simulations to demonstrate that the unidirectional flow of FTS can be accomplished when it interacts with a reflectionless asymmetric double-well potential. In addition, we investigate the behavior of the velocity window for unidirectional flow as the FTS width and potential depth is altered. Finally, Sec. IV concludes with a summary of our findings.

II. SETUP AND THEORETICAL MODEL

The following dimensionless NLSE with cubic and quintic nonlinearity terms describes the dynamics of FTS,

$$i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\psi(x,t) + g_1\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\psi(x,t) + g_2|\psi(x,t)|^2\psi(x,t) + g_3|\psi(x,t)|^4\psi(x,t) + V(x)\psi(x,t) = 0,$$
(1)

where $\psi(x,t)$ is a complex field, g_1 represents the strength of dispersion, g_2 , and g_3 characterize the strengths of the two nonlinearity terms, and V(x) is an external potential. The solution to Eq. (1) has the following form,

$$\psi(x,t) = \sqrt{\frac{2u_0}{g_2\sqrt{1+\gamma}}} \\ \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1-\sqrt{1+\gamma}}{2\sqrt{1+\gamma}} + \cosh^2\left[\sqrt{\frac{u_0}{g_1}}(x-x_0-v_0t)\right]}} e^{i\phi(x,t)}, \quad (2)$$

where u_0 , x_0 and v_0 are arbitrary parameters that represent the amplitude, peak position, and soliton velocity, respectively. The phase is $\phi(x,t) = u_0t + v_0 \left[2(x-x_0)-v_0t\right]/(4g_1)$. The parameter $\gamma = g_3/g_{30}$,

where $g_{30} = 3g_2^2/16u_0$, determines the solution profile. Depending on the value of γ , we may obtain a bright soliton, kink soliton, thin-top soliton, or FTS [36].

In order to obtain FTS, γ should be in the range of $-1 < \gamma < 0$. For $\gamma = 0$, the solution reduces to the bright soliton. As γ approaches -1, the width of the FTS increases such that at $\gamma = -1$, a kink soliton is obtained, which may be regarded as a FTS with infinite width. It is thus convenient to adjust the width of the FTS using γ according to $\gamma = \gamma_i = 10^{-i} - 1$ such that for i = 0, we obtain a bright soliton, $\gamma_0 = 0$. In the numerical simulations, the machine precision sets a maximum limit on the width of the FTS. For i = 16, we acquire the widest FTS that can be simulated [36]. The potential, V(x), in Eq. (1) is an asymmetric double-well potential,

$$V(x) = V_{1} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \left[\alpha_{1} \left(x - \beta \right) \right] + V_{2} \operatorname{sech}^{2} \left[\alpha_{2} \left(x + \beta \right) \right], \quad (3)$$

where $V_{1,2}$, $\alpha_{1,2}$, and β are the potential depths, inverse widths, and locations of the double-well, respectively.



FIG. 1. Flat-top soliton profiles for different values of γ_i in Eq. (2). Here, $\gamma_i = 10^{-i} - 1$. Other parameters are $u_0 = 0.5$, $g_1 = 0.5$, $g_2 = 1$, $x_0 = -25$, and $v_0 = 0$.

In Fig. 1, We plot the FTS profile for various γ values. In the rest of the paper, we use a FTS with a width corresponding to γ_6 unless stated otherwise. The potential parameters, as well as the FTS used in the numerical calculations, are depicted in Fig. 2.

Following the conventional rule of obtaining the results from two different approaches, we use two numerical methods, namely the split-step method and the power series expansion method [35], to confirm the unidirectional flow of the FTS. Both methods give similar results.



FIG. 2. Flat-top soliton profile with a width corresponding to γ_6 and a double-well potential. The asymmetric doublewell potential parameters in Eq. (3) are $V_1 = -4$, $V_2 = -4.3$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, and $\beta = 6$. We use these configurations in the paper to study the unidirectional propagation of the FTS. The arrow shows the situation for a FTS approaching from the left side.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

To study the unidirectional flow of the FTS, the potential is fixed at the center, the FTS is launched from both sides, and the scattered region is thus observed. We set the FTS in motion with the initial center-of-mass velocity, v_0 , toward the potential area, then calculate the reflection (R), transmission (T), or trapping (L) coefficients which are known as transport coefficients. When we send the FTS from left to right, the transport coefficients are defined as,

$$R = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\infty}^{-\delta} |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx, \qquad (4)$$
$$L = \frac{1}{N} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx,$$
$$T = \frac{1}{N} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} |\psi(x,t)|^2 dx,$$

where δ is the position of measurement of reflectance or transmission, set at a value slightly greater than the position of the potential boundary and $N = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi|^2 dx$ is the normalization of the FTS. The R and T exchange roles if we send the FTS from right to left. The three coefficients must satisfy the conservation law R+T+L=1.

In Fig. 3, we plot an FTS with a width corresponding to γ_6 in Fig. 1. We first send the FTS from the left at x = -25 with a velocity of $v_0 = 0.15$. As a result, the FTS interacts with the asymmetric potential described in Fig. 2, then reflects. But when we send the FTS from the right with x = 25 and $v_0 = -0.15$, we find that the FTS transmitted over the potential. The next step is to determine whether this phenomenon occurs throughout a wide range of velocities. We do so by calculating the transport coefficients defined in Eq. (4).

In Fig. 4, we plot the transport coefficients for the FTS



FIG. 3. Density plot of a unidirectional flow of a FTS interacting with an asymmetric double-well potential. In (a), the FTS set in motion from $x_0 = -25$ with velocity $v_0 = 0.15$, and in (b), the FTS set in motion from $x_0 = 25$ with velocity $v_0 = -0.15$. We use a FTS with a width corresponding to γ_6 and potential parameters $V_1 = -4$, $V_2 = -4.3$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 1$, and $\beta = 6$.

in Fig. 3. We see that there is a velocity window, $0.14 < v_0 < 0.15$, where the unidirectional flow exists. Depending on the FTS width, we may have different velocity windows for the unidirectional flow. Therefore, it is instructive to calculate the transport coefficients for a wide range of FTS widths.

Figure 5 shows the velocity window for a unidirectional



FIG. 4. Transport coefficients for the FTS with a width corresponding to γ_6 as shown in Fig. 3. (a) for a soliton starting from the right side and moving towards the potential well and (b) for a soliton starting from the left side of the potential well. The velocity window range for the unidirectional flow is between v = 0.14 and v = 0.15.



FIG. 5. Velocity window for a unidirectional flow of FTS with different γ_i (width). Here, $\gamma_i = 10^{-i} - 1$ such that $\gamma_0 = 0$ corresponds to a bright soliton. We use potential parameters similar to the ones in Fig. 3.

flow of the FTS with varying widths but with potential parameters similar to the ones in Fig. 2. We find the velocity window for unidirectional flow equal to 0.019 starting from bright soliton, γ_0 . The velocity window shrinks as we raise the width until we reach a FTS with a width of γ_9 . We found that FTS with a width of γ_{10} and higher breaks into small parts. As a result, the velocity window is not calculated for these values.

A noteworthy observation is that the unidirectional flow

ceases to exist for specific FTSs, namely γ_1 , γ_4 , and γ_8 as shown in Fig. 5. According to the results, there is a decrease in the velocity window as the width increases from γ_0 to γ_1 . Eventually, the velocity window disappears completely at γ_1 , and then there is an increase in proximity to γ_2 , as illustrated in Fig. 6. Similar observations occur around γ_4 and γ_8 . The existence of a velocity window for unidirectional flow is a well-established phenomenon, typically confined to a specific parameter space. Deviation from this region results in the cessation of unidirectional flow. The noteworthy observation is that the unidirectional flow characteristic resurfaces upon transitioning to a distinct parameter domain. A comprehensive examination could potentially elucidate the underlying physics of this phenomenon, which remains a topic for future investigation.



FIG. 6. Velocity window for a unidirectional flow of FTS for widths between $\gamma_0 = 0$ and $\gamma_2 = 0.99$ in Fig. 5. The velocity window for the unidirectional flow at $\gamma_1 = 0.9$ disappears and reappears when moving in either direction away from γ_1 .



FIG. 7. Velocity window for a unidirectional flow of FTS with width corresponds to γ_3 . The potential parameters are similar to those given in Fig. 3, but with $V_{1,2} = -1, -1.3$ in $V_a, V_{1,2} = -2, -2.3$ in $V_b, V_{1,2} = -3, -3.3$ in V_c and $V_{1,2} = -4, -4.3$ in V_d

In Figure 7, we look at how increasing the potential depth affects the velocity window. We fix the FTS width here by selecting a FTS with a width corresponding to γ_3 and changing the asymmetric double-well potential depth. It is known that only a double-well potential with a slightly different depth can generate the unidirectional flow of a soliton [6]. Therefore, we calculate the velocity window for $V_{1,2} = -1, -1.3$ in V_a , $V_{1,2} = -2, -2.3$ in V_b , $V_{1,2} = -3, -3.3$ in V_c and, lastly, $V_{1,2} = -4, -4.3$ in V_d which is the case in Fig. 2. We found that the velocity window for shallow potential wells is larger than for deeper potential wells. The red line in Fig. 7 guides the eye and indicates the lowering of the velocity window as we increase the depth.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Our numerical analysis of the dynamics of the onedimensional NLSE with competing cubic-quintic nonlinearity terms and two asymmetric reflectionless type potential wells demonstrates that the FTS can propagate in one direction for a specific velocity range and with specific parameters. To validate our findings, we employed two computational techniques, namely the splitstep method and the power series expansion method. Our analysis indicates that both methodologies yield comparable outcomes. In addition, through the establishment of fixed potential parameters and utilization of bright soliton as a reference point, an examination was conducted on the velocity range pertaining to the unidirectional flow of FTSs possessing varying widths. We show that the FTSs have smaller ones for a relatively large unidirectional flow velocity window for the bright soliton. Moreover, by increasing the FTS widths, the velocity window decreases. Furthermore, for specific FTS widths, the unidirectional flow ceases to exist, resulting in symmetrical dynamics regardless of whether the FTS is introduced from the right or left of the potential. Also, upon fixing the width of the FTS and manipulating the depths of the potential, it was observed that the range of unidirectional flow velocities is greater for shallow potential wells in comparison to deeper ones.

Subsequent research endeavors could expand upon our investigation by conducting an analytical analysis of the unidirectional propagation of FTSs, with the aim of further elucidating the underlying physics responsible for the cessation of unidirectional flow in specific FTS widths.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

M. O. D. Alotaibi is grateful to the Physics Department at the United Arab Emirates University for their hospitality during his visit.

- T. Dauxois and M. Peyrard, "Physics of Solitons," (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006).
- [2] A. Constantin and D. Henry, Z. Naturforsch. A 64, 65–68 (2009).
- [3] G. P. Agrawal, Nonlinear fiber optics, in Nonlinear Science at the Dawn of the 21st Century (Springer, 2000) pp. 195–211
- [4] A. R. Bishop and T. Schneider (Eds.), Solitons and Condensed Matter Physics (Springer, Berlin, 1978).
- [5] H. Kuwayama and S. Ishida, Sci. Rep. 3, 2272 (2013).
- [6] M. Asad-uz-zaman and U. Al Khawaja 2013 EPL 101 50008
- [7] A. Javed, T. Uthayakumar, M. O. D. Alotaibi, S. M. Al-Marzoug, H. Bahlouli, and U. Al Khawaja, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat. 103, 105968 (2021).
- [8] M. O. D. Alotaibi, S. M. Al-Marzoug, H. Bahlouli, and U. Al Khawaja, Phys. Rev. E 100, 042213 (2019).
- [9] M. O. D. Alotaibi, Phys. Rev. E 106, 064202 (2022)
- [10] L. Feng, Ye-Long Xu, W. S. Fegadolli, Ming-Hui Lu, J. E. B. Oliveira, V. R. Almeida, Yan-Feng Chen, and A. Scherer, Nature Mat. 12 (2013).
- [11] U. Al Khawaja, S. M. Al-Marzoug, H. Bahlouli, and Y. S. Kivshar, Phys. Rev. A 88, 023830 (2013).
- [12] M. Terraneo, M. Peyrard, and G. Casati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 094302 (2002).
- [13] M. Scalora, J. P. Dowling, C. M. Bowden, and M. J. Bloemer, J. Appl. Phys. 76, 2023 (1994).
- [14] M. D. Tocci, M. J. Bloemer, M. Scalora, J. P. Dowling, and C. M. Bowden, Appl. Phys. Lett. 66, 2324 (1995).
- [15] K. Gallo, G. Assanto, K. Parameswaran, and M. Fejer, Appl. Phys. Lett. 79, 314 (2001).
- [16] Z. Lin, H. Ramezani, T. Eichelkraut, T. Kottos, H. Cao, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 213901 (2011).
- [17] H. Ramezani, T. Kottos, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Phys. Rev. A 82, 043803 (2010).

- [18] N. Bender, S. Factor, J. D. Bodyfelt, H. Ramezani, D. N. Christodoulides, F. M. Ellis, and T. Kottos, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 234101 (2013).
- [19] L. Zeng, J. Zeng, Y. V. Kartashov, and B. A. Malomed, Opt. Lett44, 1206-1209 (2019)
- [20] B A Umarov et al. 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 890 012071
- [21] P. Rosenau and A. Oron, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat91, 105442 (2020)
- [22] S. Yao, C. Bao, P. Wang, and C. Yang, Phys. Rev. A 101, 023833 (2020)
- [23] S. V. Steffy, Phys. Plasmas 25, 062302 (2018)
- [24] S. Sundar, A. Das, V. Saxena, P. Kaw, and A. Sen, Phys. Plasmas 18, 112112 (2011)
- [25] T.J. Alexander and Y.S. Kivshar, Appl. Phys. B 82, 203-206 (2006)
- [26] B A Umarov and N A B Aklan 2017 J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 819 012023
- [27] L. Li and F. Yu, Nonlinear Dyn110, 3721-3735 (2022)
- [28] Y. Chen, Z. Yan, and D. Mihalache, Chaos 29, 083108 (2019)
- [29] L. Zeng and J. Zeng, J. Opt. Soc. Am. B 36, 2278-2284 (2019)
- [30] B. B. Baizakov, A. Bouketir, A. Messikh, A. Benseghir and B. A. Umarov, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 25, 2427-2440 (2011)
- [31] X. Zhang, Z. Jia, Y. Huang, J. Wu et al., J. Lightwave Technol41, 1820-1833 (2023)
- [32] V. E. Lobanov, N. M. Kondratiev, A. E. Shitikov and I. A. Bilenko, 2020 International Conference Laser Optics (ICLO), 2020
- [33] G. Poschl and E. Teller, Z. Phys. 83, 143 (1933).
- [34] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, Quantum Mechanics (Nauka, Moscow, 1989).
- [35] L. Al Sakkaf and U. Al Khawaja, Alex. Eng. J. 61, 11803 (2022).
- [36] L. Al Sakkaf and U. Al Khawaja, Phys. Rev. E 107, 014202 (2023).