

DYNAMICS OF INNER FUNCTIONS REVISITED

JON AARONSON AND MAHENDRA NADKARNI

ABSTRACT. We study the circle restrictions of inner functions of the unit disc showing that the local invertibility of a restriction is independent of its singularity set and proving a local characterization of analytic conditional expectations.

We establish central limit properties for some stochastic processes driven by probability preserving restrictions via spectral analysis of their perturbed transfer operators.

§1 INTRODUCTION

An *inner function* of the *unit disc* $\mathcal{D} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ is an analytic endomorphism $\phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ so that for $m := \text{Leb}$ -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \cong [0, 1)$,

$$\phi(r\chi(x)) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1^-} \phi(\chi(x)) \in \partial\mathcal{D}$$

where $\chi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{D}$, $\chi(x) := e^{2\pi ix}$.

The measurable map $\tau = \tau(\phi) : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T} \pmod{m}$ defined by $\chi(\tau x) = \phi(\chi(x))$ is called the *restriction* of ϕ to \mathbb{T} and is a nonsingular transformation of (\mathbb{T}, m) by \varnothing (p.4).

The singularities of inner functions were studied in [Sei34], although the term **inner function** appears first in [Beu48] where it was shown that the closed subspaces of

$$H^2 := \overline{\text{span}\{\chi^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}} \subset L^2$$

under the operator $f \mapsto \chi f$ are of form $\phi \circ \chi H^2$ where ϕ is inner.

Aleksandrov proved (in [Ale86]) that conditional expectation with respect to a sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ is *analytic* (i.e. commutes with

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30J05,37C30,60F05,28A50.

Key words and phrases. nonsingular transformation, inner function, restriction, Clark measure, multiplicity, angular derivative, forward nonsingular, locally invertible, transfer operator, quasi-compact, characteristic function operator, perturbation, central limit theorem .

©2022-23.

the orthogonal projection $L^2 \rightarrow H_0^2 := \{f \in H^2 \mid \hat{f}(0) = 0\}$ if and only if $\mathcal{C} = \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ where $\tau = \tau(\phi)$ with ϕ inner, $\phi(0) = 0$.

The ergodic theory of restrictions is discussed in [AW73, LS78, Aar78, Neu78, Aar81, DM91, Cra91, Cra92]. See also [Aar97, Ch.6].

This paper deals with the structure and properties of inner functions, the spectral theory of their transfer operators and the central limit theory of stochastic processes driven by them.

It follows from results of Aleksandrov-Heins (Proposition 2.6, p.8) that an inner function restriction is locally invertible iff it is forward nonsingular (p. 3). We begin §2 by showing that this holds for an arbitrary nonsingular transformation of a standard, nonatomic probability space (Proposition 2.2, p.3). We then consider the structure of restrictions of inner functions, showing i.a. in Proposition 2.7 (p.9) that a restriction can be locally invertible while each point of the circle is a singularity of the inner function.

In §5 we prove a local version of Aleksandrov's theorem on analytic conditional expectations (Theorem 5.2 on p. 25).

In §§ 3 & 4, we restrict attention to non-Möbius, inner functions $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ preserving an absolutely continuous probability; showing in §3 that their transfer operators have spectral gaps on suitable Banach spaces of real analytic functions, i.e. are Doeblin-Fortet operators (as on p.13). This smooth action of the transfer operator (in contrast to that of the restriction) is seen in \mathfrak{Z} (on p.4). In §4 we consider central limit properties of stochastic processes $(\psi \circ \tau^n : n \geq 1)$ ($\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$) driven by such restrictions $\tau = \tau(\phi)$. In particular, if $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ has a singularity on $\partial\mathfrak{D}$ and ψ is real analytic and not constant, then $(\psi \circ \tau^n : n \geq 1)$ satisfies the conditional central limit theorem (con-CLT on p.23).

These results rely on smooth perturbations of quasicompact transfer operators (p. 13) considered in §§ 3 & 4.

Central limit properties of inner function restrictions can also be found in [NSiG22] and [IU23].

§2 STRUCTURE

A *nonsingular transformation* (NST) (X, m, T) is a measurable transformation T of the non-atomic, polish probability space (X, m) satisfying $m \circ T^{-1} \sim m$.

The NST (X, m, T) is *probability preserving* (a PPT) if $m \circ T^{-1} = m$.

The *transfer operator* (aka “adjoint” or “predual”) of the NST (X, m, T) is $\widehat{T} : L^1(m) \leftarrow$ defined by

$$\int_A \widehat{T}f dm = \int_{T^{-1}A} f dm \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X).$$

The following is a standard application of the disintegration theorem ([DM78, Ch. III]):

Proposition 2.1 (Preimage measures)

Let (X, m, T) be a NST of the non-atomic, polish probability space, then $\exists X_0 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, $m(X_0) = 1$ and $\nu = \nu^{(T)} : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(X)$ so that

$$\widehat{T}1_A(x) = \nu_x(A) \text{ for } x \in X_0, \quad A \in \mathcal{B}(X) \text{ \& } \nu_x(X) = \frac{dm \circ T^{-1}}{dm}(x).$$

Moreover, $\nu_x(X \setminus T^{-1}\{x\}) = 0$ & $\nu_x \perp m$ for m -a.e. $x \in X$.

The function $x \mapsto \nu_x$ is known as the *transition kernel* of \widehat{T} and the ν_x are known as *preimage measures*.

Local invertibility and forward nonsingularity.

Let (X, m, T) be a NST and let $Y \in \mathcal{B}(X)$. We'll say that (X, m, T) is:

- *α -locally invertible* on Y (with $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}(Y)$ an at most countable partition mod m of Y) if $T : a \rightarrow Ta$ is invertible, non singular $\forall a \in \alpha$;
- *locally invertible* on Y if it is α -locally invertible for some at most countable partition $\alpha \subset \mathcal{B}(Y)$;
- *forward nonsingular* on Y if $\exists Y_0 \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, $m(Y \setminus Y_0) = 0$ so that $A \in \mathcal{B}(Y_0)$, $m(A) = 0 \implies m(TA) = 0$.

Proposition 2.2 (Local invertibility of NSTs)

For a NST (X, m, T) and $Y \in \mathcal{B}(X)$, TFAE:

- (o) $\exists Y_0 \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$, $m(Y \setminus Y_0) = 0$ so that $T^{-1}\{x\}$ is at most countable $\forall x \in Y_0$;
- (i) ν_x is purely atomic for m -a.e. $x \in Y$;
- (ii) T is locally invertible on $T^{-1}Y$;
- (iii) T is forward nonsingular on $T^{-1}Y$.

Proof The equivalence of (o), (i) & (ii) is shown in [Rok61] (see also [Nad81]). The implication (ii) \implies (iii) is standard.

We'll show that (iii) \implies (i).

We claim first that the function $y \mapsto \nu_{Ty}(\{y\})$ is measurable.

To see this let d be a polish metric on X and let α_n be a sequence of partitions of X so that

$$\alpha_{n+1} > \alpha_n; m(\partial a) = 0 \quad \forall a \in \alpha_n, n \geq 1; \sup_{a \in \alpha_n} \text{diam } a \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Thus the function $y \mapsto \nu_{Ty}(\{y\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{Ty}(\alpha_n(y))$ (where $y \in \alpha_n(y) \in \alpha_n$) is measurable.

It follows that $W := \{y \in Y : \nu_{Ty}(\{y\}) = 0\} \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$.

Now suppose that (i) fails, then $m(W) > 0$.

Next, TW is analytic, whence universally measurable and since $T^{-1}TW \supseteq W$, we have $m(T^{-1}TW) \geq m(W) > 0$ whence (by nonsingularity of T), $m(TW) > 0$.

For $V \in \mathcal{B}(W)$,

$$m(V) = \int_X \nu_x(V) dm(x) = \int_{TW} \nu_x(V) dm(x).$$

By the analytic section theorem ([Lus30], see also [Jan41], [vN49] [Sri98, Thm 5.5.2], [Coh80, §8.5]); and Lusin's theorem,

$\exists A \subset TW$ compact, $m(A) > 0$, and $\pi : A \rightarrow B := \pi A \subset W$ continuous so that $T \circ \pi = \text{Id}$.

It follows that

$$B \cap T^{-1}\{x\} = \begin{cases} \emptyset & x \notin A; \\ \{\pi(x)\} & x \in A \end{cases}$$

whence

$$\nu_x(B) = 1_A(x) \nu_x(\{\pi(x)\}) = 0 \quad \because \pi(x) \in W \text{ \& } x = T(\pi(x)).$$

Thus

$$m(B) = \int_A \nu_x(\{\pi(x)\}) dm(x) = 0$$

whereas $TB = T\pi A = A$, $m(TB) = m(A) > 0$ and (iii) fails. \square

Structure of inner function restrictions.

Nonsingularity of restrictions.

The following shows that an inner function restriction is a nonsingular transformation of (\mathbb{T}, m) .

Proposition 2.3 [Nor68]

For $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow \text{inner}$,

$$\square \quad \pi_z \circ \tau^{-1} = \pi_{\phi(z)}, \text{ or, equivalently: } \widehat{\tau} p_z = p_{\phi(z)}.$$

where $\pi_z [p_z]$ is the Poisson measure [density] at z defined by

$$\rightarrow \quad d\pi_z(x) := p_z(x) dx, \quad p_z(x) := \text{Re} \frac{\chi(x)+z}{\chi(x)-z}.$$

Remark: \varnothing is aka Boole's formula as a version for rational inner functions of the the upper half plane appears in [Boo57, p. 787] (see also [Gla77, §8]). For a converse to \varnothing see [Let77].

Invariant probabilities for inner function restrictions.

Denjoy-Wolff Theorem [Den26, Wol26]

Suppose that $\phi: \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ is analytic, not constant and not Möbius, then there is a (unique) point $\mathfrak{d} = \mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$ such that

$$\frac{1 - |\phi(z)|^2}{|1 - \overline{\mathfrak{d}}\phi(z)|^2} \geq \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - \overline{\mathfrak{d}}z|^2} \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{D},$$

and

$$\phi^n(z) \rightarrow \mathfrak{d} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{D}.$$

The point $\mathfrak{d}(\phi)$ is called the *Denjoy-Wolff* point of ϕ .

Corollary (see e.g. [Aar78, Neu78, DM91])

The restriction τ of an inner function ϕ has an absolutely continuous, invariant probability iff $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$ and in this case $(\mathbb{T}, \pi_{\mathfrak{d}(\phi)}, T)$ is either conjugate to a circle rotation or is an exact PPT.

Clark measures.

The preimage measures $\nu^{(\tau)}: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T})$ of an inner function restriction $\tau = \tau(\phi)$ correspond to its *Clark measures* (defined by (i) below). See [Sak07].:

Proposition 2.4

For $\phi: \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ inner,

- (i) $\int_{\mathbb{T}} p_z d\nu_x^{(\tau)} = p_{\phi(z)}(x)$;
- (ii) $x \mapsto \nu_x^{(\tau)} (\mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{T}))$ is weak * continuous;
- (iii) $\nu_t^{(\tau)} \perp m \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Statement (i) follows from \varnothing on p. 4. The proofs of (ii) & (iii) can be found in [Sak07, §2].

Note that $\nu_t^{(\tau)} \perp m$ for m -a.e $t \in \mathbb{T}$ follows from \clubsuit on p. 3.

Blaschke products.

Suppose that $Z \subset \mathfrak{D}$ is countable and that $\mathfrak{m}: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is so that $\sum_{a \in Z} \mathfrak{m}(a)(1 - |a|) < \infty$.

The *Blaschke product* with zero set Z and *multiplicity function* \mathbf{m} is $B = B_{Z, \mathbf{m}} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$B(z) := \prod_{\alpha \in Z} b_\alpha(z)^{\mathbf{m}(\alpha)} \text{ with } b_\alpha(z) := c_\alpha \frac{z-\alpha}{1-\bar{\alpha}z}$$

$$\text{where } c_\alpha = \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \ (\alpha \neq 0) \ \& \ c_0 = 1.$$

The product converges locally uniformly on \mathfrak{D} because

$$|1 - b_\alpha(z)^{\mathbf{m}(\alpha)}| \leq \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \cdot \mathbf{m}(\alpha)(1 - |\alpha|).$$

It can be shown that B is inner, that $\{a \in \mathfrak{D} : B(a) = 0\} = Z$ and that for $a \in Z$, $\frac{B(z)}{b_a(z)^{\mathbf{m}(a)}} =: H(z)$ is bounded, analytic with $H(a) \neq 0$.

Singular inner functions.

An inner function $S : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ is *singular* (i.e. without zeros) iff

$$\log S(z) = - \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\chi(t)+z}{\chi(t)-z} d\sigma(t)$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{T})$, $\sigma \perp m$.

In this situation, we'll denote $S = S_\sigma$ (&/or $\sigma = \sigma_S$).

Factorization Theorem [Smi29] (see also [Rud74, theorem 17.15])

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be inner function, let $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) := \{z \in \mathfrak{D} : \phi(z) = 0\}$ and, for $a \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)$, let

$$\mathbf{m}(a) := \max \{k \geq 0 : \frac{\phi}{b_a^k} \text{ is bounded on } \mathfrak{D}\},$$

then $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)} \mathbf{m}(\alpha)(1 - |\alpha|) < \infty$ and $\phi = \lambda B_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi), \mathbf{m}} \cdot S$ where $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and S is a singular inner function.

Regular points and Singularities.

A *regularity point* of the inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ is a point $z \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$ so that $\exists U \subset \mathbb{C}$ open with $z \in U$, and an analytic function $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $F|_{U \cap \mathfrak{D}} \equiv \phi|_{U \cap \mathfrak{D}}$.

A non-regular point in $\partial\mathfrak{D}$ is called a *singularity*.

The *regularity set* of ϕ is $\mathfrak{r}_\phi := \{\text{regularity points of } \phi\}$ and the *singularity set* of ϕ is $\mathfrak{s}_\phi := \partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \mathfrak{r}_\phi$.

Derivative of a restriction at a regular point.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ with restriction $\tau = \tau(\phi)$, then τ is differentiable at each $\theta \in \chi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}_\phi$ with

$$\mathfrak{r} \quad \tau'(\theta) = \partial\phi(\chi(\theta)) = |\phi'(\chi(\theta))| \text{ with } \partial\phi(z) := \frac{z\phi'(z)}{\phi(z)} = z(\log \phi)'(z).$$

If $\phi = \lambda B_{\mathcal{Z}(\phi), \mathbf{m}} S_\sigma$, then

$$\mathfrak{s}_\phi = \mathcal{Z}(\phi)' \cup \text{spt } \sigma$$

and, as in [Mar89]

$$\begin{aligned} \square \quad \tau'(\theta) &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)} \mathbf{m}(\alpha) p_\alpha(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{\sin^2(\pi(\theta-t))} \\ &\geq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)} \frac{1-|\alpha|}{1+|\alpha|} + \frac{\sigma(\mathbb{T})}{2} =: \eta \geq 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \mathbb{T} \text{ such that } \chi(\theta) \in \mathfrak{r}_\phi \end{aligned}$$

where $p_\alpha(\theta) := \operatorname{Re} \frac{\alpha + \chi(t)}{\alpha - \chi(t)}$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \emptyset} := 0$. Since either $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) \neq \emptyset$ or $\sigma \neq 0$ (or both), we have $\eta > 0$.

Interval maps. In case $m(\mathfrak{s}_\phi) = 0$, we call $\tau : \mathbb{T} \leftarrow$ an *interval map* as there is an at most countable collection of disjoint open intervals $I_n \subset \mathbb{T}$, $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} I_n \stackrel{m}{=} [0, 1)$ so that $T : I_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is analytic, strictly increasing.

Radial limit set.

The *radial limit set* of ϕ is

$$\Lambda_\phi := \{\beta \in \partial\mathfrak{D} : \phi(r\beta) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 1^-]{} \phi(\beta) \in \partial\mathfrak{D}\}.$$

For example, if $\phi(z) := \exp[-\frac{1+z}{1-z}]$, then

$$\phi(r\xi) \xrightarrow[r \rightarrow 1^-]{} \begin{cases} e^{-i \cot(\frac{\theta}{2})} & \xi = \chi(\theta) \neq 1; \\ 0 & \xi = 1 \end{cases}$$

and $\Lambda_\phi = \partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \{1\}$.

Angular derivatives.

The inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ has an *angular derivative* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ at $\xi \in \Lambda_\phi$ if

$$\exists \beta \in \mathbb{C} \text{ such that } \frac{\phi(z) - \phi(\xi)}{z - \xi} \xrightarrow[z \rightarrow \xi]{\angle} \beta;$$

that is

$$\frac{\phi(z) - \phi(\xi)}{z - \xi} \xrightarrow[z \rightarrow \xi, |\xi - z| \leq K(1 - |z|)]{} \beta \quad \forall K > 0.$$

Denote the angular derivative at ξ by $\beta =: \phi'_\angle(\xi)$.

Proposition 2.5 [Sak07, §3] *Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be inner with restriction $\tau = \tau(\phi)$. TFAE for $\xi = \chi(x) \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$*

- ϕ has an angular derivative at ξ ;
- $\phi'(z) \xrightarrow[z \rightarrow \xi]{\angle} \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ & in this case $\beta = \phi'_\angle(\xi)$;
- $\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\nu_w(t)}{|\xi - \chi(t)|^2} < \infty$ for some (hence all) $w \in \mathbb{T}$, $w \neq x$.

Proposition 2.6 (Local invertibility of restrictions) ¹

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be inner and let $E \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, $m(E) > 0$, then $\tau = \tau(\phi)$ is locally invertible on E iff ϕ has an angular derivative at $\chi(x)$ for m -a.e. $x \in E$.

Proof \Rightarrow Local invertibility entails forward nonsingularity whence a.s existence of angular derivatives follows from [Hei77].

To see \Leftarrow , suppose that ϕ has an angular derivative at $\chi(x)$ for m -a.e. $x \in E$. By [Cra91, Lemma 1.5], τ is *almost uniformly differentiable* on E in the sense that

- $\exists E_k \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, $E_k \uparrow E \pmod{m}$ such that:
 $\forall k, \varepsilon > 0 \exists \delta = \delta(k, \varepsilon) > 0$ so that

$$\textcircled{\oplus} \quad |\tau(x) - \tau(y) - (x - y)g(x)| \leq \varepsilon|x - y| \quad \forall x, y \in E_k, |x - y| < \delta.$$

where $g(x) = |\phi'(\chi(x))|$ with ϕ' the angular derivative of ϕ at $\chi(x)$.

To see that τ is forward nonsingular on E , we note that by possibly shrinking the E_k (as in $\textcircled{\oplus}$), we may assume in addition that $\exists M_k > 0$ ($k \geq 1$) so that $g \leq M_k$ on E_k .

Suppose that $k \geq 1$ & $S \in \mathcal{B}(E_k)$, $m(S) = 0$ and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. There are intervals $\{I_n : n \geq 1\}$ so that

$$S \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} I_n, |I_n| \leq \delta(k, 1) \text{ \& \ } \sum_{n \geq 1} |I_n| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M_k + 1}.$$

By $\textcircled{\oplus}$,

$$|\tau(x) - \tau(y)| \leq (g(x) + 1)|x - y| \leq (M_k + 1)|x - y|$$

and $m(\tau(E_k \cap I_n)) \leq (M_k + 1)m(I_n)$.

It follows that $\tau(S) \subset \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \tau(E_k \cap I_n)$ whence

$$m(\tau(S)) \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} m(\tau(E_k \cap I_n)) \leq (M_k + 1) \sum_{n \geq 1} m(I_n) < \varepsilon$$

and $m(\tau(S)) = 0$.

For $S \in \mathcal{B}(E)$, $m(S) = 0$,

$$m(\tau(S)) \xleftarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} m(\tau(E_k \cap S)) = 0$$

and τ is forward nonsingular on E whence locally invertible on E by Proposition 2.2.. \square

An example of a probability preserving restriction which is "a.e. continuum to one" (a.e. Clark measure is nonatomic) was constructed in [Don65] (see also [Sak07, Ex. 9.7]).

¹[Ale87], [Hei77] See also [Sak07, Theorem 9.6].

In particular, for inner functions ϕ , $\tau(\phi)$ is locally invertible on \mathbb{T} iff ϕ has an angular derivative at a.e. point on $\partial\mathfrak{D}$.

The next result shows that this property is independent of the singularity set.

Proposition 2.7

Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{T}$ be a closed set, then

\exists an inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ with locally invertible restriction $\tau = \tau(\phi)$ so that $\phi(0) = 0$ & $\mathfrak{s}_\phi = \chi(E)$.

Proof for infinite E ²

If $E = \mathbb{T}$, let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{T}$ be countable so that $\Gamma' = \mathbb{T}$.

Otherwise, let $F \supseteq E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be closed so that $F' = E$.

If $\mathbb{T} \setminus F = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (a_n, b_n)$, then $\Gamma' = E$ where $\Gamma := \{a_n, b_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We'll construct ϕ via a Clark measure.

First fix $\varepsilon : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ so that $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varepsilon(\gamma) < \infty$ and then fix $\pi \in \mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ so that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{\pi_\gamma}{\varepsilon(\gamma)^2} < \infty.$$

We claim that

$$\mathfrak{Q}1 \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\pi(t)}{|t-x|^2} < \infty \text{ for } m\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Proof of $\mathfrak{Q}1$

Since

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} m(B(\gamma, \varepsilon(\gamma))) = 2 \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \varepsilon(\gamma) < \infty$$

with $B(x, \varepsilon) := (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$, we have by the Borel-Cantelli lemma that

$\exists K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, $K \cap \Gamma = \emptyset$, $m(K) = 1$ so that $\forall x \in K$, $\exists \Gamma_0(x) \in \Gamma$ finite, so that

$$\mathfrak{W} \quad |x - \gamma| \geq \varepsilon(\gamma) \quad \forall \gamma \notin \Gamma_0(x).$$

Let $x \in K$, then

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\pi(t)}{|t-x|^2} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \frac{\pi_\gamma}{|x-\gamma|^2} = \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(x)} + \sum_{\gamma \notin \Gamma_0(x)} \right) \frac{\pi_\gamma}{|x-\gamma|^2}.$$

Since $K \subset \mathbb{T} \setminus \Gamma$, we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_0(x)} \frac{\pi_\gamma}{|x-\gamma|^2} < \infty \quad \forall x \in K$$

² If $|E| < \infty$, $\phi(z) = z \exp[-\sum_{t \in E} \frac{\chi(t)+z}{\chi(t)-z}]$, is an interval map with $\mathfrak{s}_\phi = E$.

and

$$\sum_{\gamma \notin \Gamma_0(x)} \frac{\pi_\gamma}{|x-\gamma|^2} \leq \sum_{\gamma \notin \Gamma_0(x)} \frac{\pi_\gamma}{\varepsilon(\gamma)^2} < \infty. \quad \square \quad \mathfrak{¶}1$$

Next, we define $F : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$F(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{\chi(t)+z}{\chi(t)-z} d\mu(t).$$

Note that

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{\chi(t)+z}{\chi(t)-z}\right) = \frac{1-|z|^2}{|\chi(t)-z|^2} > 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{D}$$

so $F : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, since $\pi(\mathbb{T}) = 1$, $F(0) = 1$.

$\mathfrak{¶}2$ To construct the inner function, define $\phi := \frac{F-1}{F+1} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.
 Since, $F(0) = 1$, $\phi(0) = 0$ and since $\operatorname{Re} F > 0$ on \mathfrak{D} , $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$.
 Since $\pi \perp m$, we have that for m -a.e. $x \in \mathbb{T}$,

$$\exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} F(r\chi(x)) =: F(\chi(t)) \in i\mathbb{R}$$

whence for such $x \in \mathbb{T}$

$$\phi(r\chi(x)) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{F(\chi(x))-1}{F(\chi(x))+1} \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$$

and $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ is inner.

Moreover, $\nu_0 = \pi$ whence by $\mathfrak{¶}1$ and Proposition 2.5, ϕ has an angular derivative at $\chi(t)$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{T}$. By Proposition 2.6, $\tau(\phi)$ is locally invertible.

To finish, we note that $\mathfrak{s}_\phi = \operatorname{spt} \pi' = \Gamma' = E$. \square

The Baire category situation is different:

Proposition 2.8

Suppose that the inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ has an angular derivative at a residual set of points, then \mathfrak{s}_ϕ is nowhere dense.

Proof

Fix $w \in \mathbb{T}$ and define $F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, \infty]$ by

$$F(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\nu_w(t)}{|\chi(x)-\chi(t)|^2}$$

and, for $r \in (0, 1)$, define $F_r : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$F_r(x) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} \frac{d\nu_w(t)}{|r\chi(x)-\chi(t)|^2},$$

then for each $0 < r < 1$, $F_r : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is continuous and $F_r(x) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1^-} F(x) \in (0, \infty] \forall x \in \mathbb{T}$ by dominated convergence in case $F(x) < \infty$ and by Fatou's lemma in case $F(x) = \infty$

By Baire's simple limit theorem,

$$C_\infty := \{x \in \mathbb{T} : F : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow (0, \infty] \text{ is continuous at } x\}$$

is residual in \mathbb{T} .

By assumption, $\Lambda := [F < \infty]$ is residual, whence so is $C := C_\infty \cap \Lambda$. Thus $\forall x \in C$, $\exists 0 < a_x < b_x$ and an open interval $J_x \ni x$ so that

$$a_x < F < b_x \text{ on } J_x.$$

By Seidel's theorem [Sei34] (see also [Zyg02, Thm. 7.48]),

$$\mathfrak{s}_\phi \cap \chi(J_x) = \emptyset,$$

whence \mathfrak{r}_ϕ is open and dense and \mathfrak{s}_ϕ is nowhere dense. \square

Proposition 2.9

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be inner with $\#\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$, $\#\mathfrak{s}_\phi < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$, then $(\mathbb{T}, m, \tau(\phi))$ is a piecewise analytic, increasing interval map so that

$$(U) \quad |\tau'(x)| \geq \eta > 0 \quad \forall x \in \chi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}_\phi \quad \& \\ \exists d \geq 1 \text{ s.t. } |\tau^{d^j}(x)| \geq \beta > 1 \quad \forall x \in \bigcap_{j=0}^{d-1} \tau^{-j}\chi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}_\phi$$

and

$$(A) \quad \sup_{x \in \chi^{-1}\mathfrak{r}_\phi} \Delta\tau(x) < \infty \text{ with } \Delta\tau(x) := \frac{|\tau''(x)|}{\tau'(x)^2}.$$

Interval maps satisfying U & A are known as **Adler maps** in honour of [Adl73].

Proof

Since $\#\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ & \mathfrak{s}_ϕ are both finite, by \square on p. 7, $\tau(\theta) = \mathfrak{T}(\theta) \pmod{1}$ with

$$\mathfrak{T}(\theta) = \mathfrak{b}(\theta) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \sigma(\{t\}) \cot(\pi(\theta - t))$$

where either $\mathfrak{b} \equiv 0$ (when $\mathcal{Z}(\phi) = \emptyset$) or $\mathfrak{b}(\theta) = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{Z}(\phi)} \mathfrak{m}(a)p_a(\theta)$.

It follows that τ is differentiable on $\chi(\theta) \in \mathfrak{r}_\phi$ with $\tau'(\theta) \geq \eta > 0$ and that τ is a piecewise analytic, increasing interval map. See also [Mar89].

Proof of A with $\mathfrak{s}_\phi \neq \emptyset$

By \clubsuit

$$\tau'(\theta) = \mathfrak{b}'(\theta) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \frac{\sigma(\{t\})}{\sin^2(\pi(\theta-t))} =: \mathfrak{b}'(\theta) + s'(\theta)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau''(\theta)| &\leq |\mathfrak{b}''(\theta)| + |s''(\theta)| \\ &\leq |\mathfrak{b}''(\theta)| + \pi \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \sigma(\{t\}) \left| \frac{\cos(\pi(\theta-t))}{\sin^3(\pi(\theta-t))} \right| \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\tau(\theta) &\leq \|\Delta\mathfrak{b}\|_\infty + \pi \frac{\sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \sigma(\{t\}) \left| \frac{\cos(\pi(\theta-t))}{\sin^3(\pi(\theta-t))} \right|}{s'(\theta)^2} \leq \|\Delta\mathfrak{b}\|_\infty + \pi \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \frac{\frac{\sigma(\{t\}) |\cos(\pi(\theta-t))|}{|\sin^3(\pi(\theta-t))|}}{\left(\frac{\sigma(\{t\})}{\sin^2(\pi(\theta-t))} \right)^2} \\ &= \|\Delta\mathfrak{b}\|_\infty + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \frac{|\sin(2\pi(\theta-t))|}{\sigma(\{t\})} \leq \|\Delta\mathfrak{b}\|_\infty + \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{t \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi} \frac{1}{\sigma(\{t\})}. \quad \square \text{ A} \end{aligned}$$

Proof of U There is a Möbius transformation $\Psi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ so that $\mathfrak{d}(g) = 0$ where $g = \Psi^{-1} \circ \phi \circ \Psi$. If the restrictions of g & Ψ are U & ψ respectively, then $\tau^n = \psi \circ U^n \circ \psi^{-1} \quad \forall n \geq 1$.

Since $g(0) = 0$ we have

$$U'(\theta) = \partial g(\chi(\theta)) = 1 + \partial h(\chi(\theta)) \geq 1 + \delta_h =: \rho > 1.$$

For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{n'} &= \psi' \circ U^n \circ \psi^{-1} \cdot U^{n'} \circ \psi^{-1} \cdot \psi^{-1'} \\ &\geq \rho^n \min_{\mathbb{T}} \psi' \cdot \min_{\mathbb{T}} \psi^{-1'} \\ &\geq B > 1 \text{ for large enough } n \geq 1. \quad \square \text{ U} \end{aligned}$$

§3 QUASICOMPACTNESS OF TRANSFER OPERATORS

Let (X, m, T) be a NST with transfer operator $\widehat{T} : L^1(m) \leftrightarrow$. We look for subspaces $L \subset L^1(m)$ on which $\widehat{T} : L \leftrightarrow$ acts quasicompactly (as on 13).

Doebelin-Fortet operators on an adapted pair.

Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C} \subset L^1(m)$ be Banach spaces so that

$(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ is an *adapted pair* in the sense that

- $\|\cdot\|_{L^1(m)} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{L}}$, $(\overline{\mathcal{L}})_{L^1(m)} = L^1(m)$, and \mathcal{L} -closed, \mathcal{L} -bounded sets are \mathcal{C} -compact.

We say that an operator $P \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}) \cap \text{hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ is *Doebelin-Fortet* (D-F) on $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$ if

$$\text{DF(i)} \quad \|P^n f\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq H \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad f \in L^1(m) \quad \&$$

$$\text{DF(ii)} \quad \exists \kappa \geq 1 \text{ such that } \|P^\kappa f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \theta \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} + R \|f\|_{\mathcal{C}} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{L}.$$

where $R, H \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$.

3.1 Lemma: (rigidity of DF operators) [ITM50]

Suppose that P is a DF operator on the adapted pair $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{L})$.

If $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $Pf = f$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}$.

Proof

Suppose $f \in \mathcal{C}$, $Pf = f$ and choose $k_n \in \mathcal{L}$, $\|k_n - f\|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$. By [ITM50, Lemma 4.1], for each $n \geq 1$, $\exists \bar{k}_n \in \mathcal{L}$ so that $P\bar{k}_n = \bar{k}_n$ and $\|\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P^j k_n - \bar{k}_n\|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$. whence using DF(i),

$$\|f - \bar{k}_n\|_{\mathcal{C}} \xleftarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} P^j (f - k_n) \right\|_{\mathcal{C}} \leq H \|f - k_n\|_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{L}$ it now suffices that $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\bar{k}_n\|_{\mathcal{L}} < \infty$. This follows from DF(ii):

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\bar{k}_n\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \frac{R}{1-\theta} \sup_{n \geq 1} \|k_n\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq \frac{R}{1-\theta} (\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}} + \sup_{n \geq 1} \|f - k_n\|_{\mathcal{C}}) < \infty. \quad \square$$

Example 3.2

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be non-Möbius inner satisfying $\#(\mathfrak{s}_\phi \cup \mathfrak{Z}_\phi) < \infty$ and $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$. By Proposition 2.9, $(\mathbb{T}, \pi_{\mathfrak{d}(\phi)}, \tau)$ is an Adler map (as on p. 11). Adler maps are Gibbs-Markov whence (see [AD01])

- $\widehat{\tau}$ is D-F on the adapted pair $(L^1(m), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}))$ where

$$\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}) = \{\text{Lipschitz functions on } \mathbb{T}\}$$

with norm

$$\|f\|_{\text{Lip}} := \|f\|_1 + \sup_{x \neq y} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|y - x|}.$$

Quasicompactness.

It is shown in [ITM50] that a D-F operator $P \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ has spectral radius $\rho(P) \leq 1$ and that, if $\rho(P) = 1$, then P is *quasicompact* in the sense that $\exists A = A(P) \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ of form

$$A = \sum_{k=1}^N \lambda_k E_k$$

with $N \geq 1$, $E_1, \dots, E_N \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ finite dimensional projections, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N \in S^1 := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ so that $\rho(P - A) < 1$.

In particular, for $\theta \in (\rho(P - A), 1)$, $\exists M > 0$ so that

$$(\star) \quad \|P^n f - A^n f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq M\theta^n \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad \forall n \geq 1, f \in \mathcal{L}.$$

Conversely any $P \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})$ satisfying \star is a D-F operator.

If $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow \mathbb{T}$ is as in Example 3.2, then $\tau(\phi)$ is exact,

$Af = \pi_{\mathfrak{D}(\phi)}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} f d\pi_{\mathfrak{D}(\phi)}$ and (\star) takes the form

$$(\&) \quad \|\widehat{\tau}^n f - \pi_{\mathfrak{D}(\phi)}(f)\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq N\theta^n \|f\|_{\text{Lip}} \quad \forall f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}).$$

The rest of this section is devoted to finding a version of $\&$ for general probability preserving inner functions. We'll consider the action of $\widehat{\tau}$ on various spaces of real analytic functions on \mathbb{T} .

Harmonization. The *harmonization* of $f \in L^p(m)$ ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is $\widetilde{f} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$\widetilde{f}(z) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} p_z f dm$$

where p_z is as in  on p. 4.

It is harmonic in \mathfrak{D} and satisfies

$$\sup_{r \in (0,1)} \|\widetilde{f}(r\chi)\|_p = \|f\|_p.$$

It is classical that

$$h^p(\mathfrak{D}) := \{F : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ harmonic, } \sup_{r \in (0,1)} \|F(r\chi)\|_p < \infty\} = \{\widetilde{f} : f \in L^p(m)\}.$$

and that

$$H^p(\mathfrak{D}) \cong \{f \in L^p(\mathbb{T}) : \widehat{f}(n) = 0 \quad \forall n < 0\} = \{f \in h^p : \widetilde{f} \text{ analytic on } \mathfrak{D}\}.$$

Let

$$\Lambda_{\widetilde{f}} := [\exists \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \widetilde{f}(r\chi) =: \widetilde{f}(\chi) \in \mathbb{C}],$$

then by Fatou's theorem, $m(\Lambda_{\widetilde{f}}) = 1$ & $\widetilde{f}(\chi) = f$ a.e..

3.3 Basic Proposition

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be inner with restriction $\tau = \tau(\phi)$, then

- (i) $F \in H^p(\mathfrak{D}) \implies \widehat{\tau}F \in H^p(\mathfrak{D})$.
- (ii) if $\phi(0) = 0$, then for $d \geq 1$, $\widehat{\tau}(\chi^d)$ is a polynomial of degree at most d .
- (iii) if $\phi^{(k)}(0) = 0 \ \forall \ 0 \leq k < \kappa$, then for $d \geq 1$, $\widehat{\tau}(\chi^d)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\lfloor \frac{d}{\kappa} \rfloor$.

Proof of (i) and (ii)

See [Ale87] (also [Sak07, Theorem 3.1]).

Proof of (iii)

We have $\text{spt } \widehat{\phi} \subseteq [\kappa, \infty)$ whence for $k \geq 1$, $\text{spt } (\widehat{\phi})^k \subseteq [k\kappa, \infty)$.

Thus, for $n \geq 1$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}} \widehat{\tau}(\chi^n) \chi^k dm = \int_{\mathbb{T}} \chi^n \phi(\chi)^k dm = 0 \ \forall \ n < k\kappa$$

and $\widehat{\tau}(\chi^n)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\lfloor \frac{n}{\kappa} \rfloor$. \square

For $b > 1$, let

$$k_b := \{u \in L^2(m) : \|u\|_{k_b}^2 := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} |\widehat{u}(n)|^2 < \infty\} \ \& \ K_b := k_b \cap H^2,$$

then k_b is a Hilbert space when equipped with the inner product

$$\langle u, v \rangle_b := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} \widehat{u}(n) \overline{\widehat{v}(n)}.$$

Note that

- $K_{1+} := \bigcup_{b>1} K_b$ is the collection of functions with harmonizations analytic on \mathfrak{D} .

Proposition 3.4 *For each $b > 1$, $(L^2(\mathbb{T}), k_b)$ is an adapted pair.*

Proof We show that $B(R) := \{f \in k_b : \|f\|_{k_b} \leq R\}$ is strongly compact in $L^2(m)$. To see this let $f_j \in B(R)$ ($j \geq 1$), then for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $j \geq 1$,

$$|\widehat{f}_j(n)| \leq \frac{\|f_j\|_{k_b}}{b^{\frac{|n|}{2}}} \leq \frac{R}{b^{\frac{|n|}{2}}}$$

and $\exists j_\ell \rightarrow \infty$, $a : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$\widehat{f}_{j_\ell}(n) \xrightarrow{\ell \rightarrow \infty} a(n).$$

It follows that $|a(n)| \leq \frac{R}{b^{\frac{|n|}{2}}}$ whence $A := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a(n) \chi^n \in k_b$, $f_{j_\ell} \xrightarrow[\ell \rightarrow \infty]{L^2(m)} A$

and $\|A\|_{k_b} \leq R$. \square

3.5 Spectral Gap Proposition

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be inner, non-Möbius with $\phi(0) = 0$ & $\tau = \tau(\phi)$.

(i) Let $b > 1$, then for $\forall |\phi'(0)| < \rho < 1$, $\exists M > 0$ so that

$$(\mathfrak{A}) \quad \|\widehat{\tau}^N u - \mathbb{E}(u)\|_{k_b} \leq M \rho^N \|u\|_{k_b} \quad \forall u \in k_b, \quad N \geq 0$$

where $\mathbb{E}(u) := \int_{\mathbb{T}} u dm = \widehat{u}(0)$.

(ii) Suppose that $\phi(z) = z^\kappa \Phi(z)$ with $\kappa \geq 1$ and $\Phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ inner and let $b > 1$, then

$$(\mathfrak{B}) \quad \|\widehat{\tau}^N u - \mathbb{E}(u)\|_{k_b} \leq \frac{b}{\sqrt{b-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{b^{\frac{\kappa N}{2}}} \|u\|_{k_b} \quad \forall u \in k_b, \quad N \geq 0$$

Proof of (i)

We first show that

$$(\mathfrak{C}) \quad \forall 0 < r < 1, \quad \exists C > 0 \text{ s.t. } |\phi^{[N]}(z)| \leq M \rho^N \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{D}, \quad |z| \leq r$$

where $\phi^{[N]} = \underbrace{\phi \circ \phi \circ \dots \circ \phi}_{N \text{ times}}$.

Proof of \mathfrak{C} By assumption $\phi(z) = zg(z)$ where $g : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ is inner. Thus $\phi'(0) = g(0)$ and $\exists \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{r}_\rho$ so that

$$|g(z)| \leq \rho \quad \& \quad |\phi(z)| \leq \rho |z| \quad \forall |z| \leq \mathfrak{r}.$$

Next, $\exists N_\rho$ so that $|\phi^{[N_\rho]}(z)| \leq \mathfrak{r} \quad \forall |z| \leq r$ whence for $|z| \leq r$

$$|\phi^{[n]}(z)| \leq \rho^{n-N_\rho} \mathfrak{r} \quad \forall n > N_\rho$$

and \mathfrak{C} follows. \square

Proof of \mathfrak{A} for $u \in K_b \cap H_0^2$

Fix $R \in (0, 1)$, $\frac{1}{R^2} < b$.

For $u \in K_b \cap H_0^2$, $\exists v \in K_{bR^2} \cap H_0^2$ so that

$$\widehat{u}(n) = R^n \widehat{v}(n) = \widehat{v * p_R}(n)$$

where $p_z := \operatorname{Re} \frac{\chi+z}{\chi-z}$ is the Poisson kernel ($z \in \mathfrak{D}$) with

$$\widehat{p}_z(n) = \begin{cases} \bar{z}^n & n \geq 0; \\ z^{|n|} & n \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now

$$u = v * p_R = \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) p_{R\chi(t)} dm$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} u_N &:= \widehat{\tau}^N u = \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) \widehat{\tau}^N(p_{R\chi(t)}) dm \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) p_{\phi^{[N]}(R\chi(t))} dm \text{ by } \heartsuit \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{u}_N(\ell) &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) p_{\phi^{[N]}(R\chi(t))} \chi^{-\ell} dm dm \\ &= 1_{\mathbb{N}}(\ell) \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) \widehat{p}_{\phi^{[N]}(R\chi(t))}(\ell) dm \\ &= 1_{\mathbb{N}}(\ell) \int_{\mathbb{T}} v(t) (\overline{\phi^{[N]}(R\chi(t))})^\ell dm. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\widehat{u}_N(\ell)|^2 &\leq 1_{\mathbb{N}}(\ell) \int_{\mathbb{T}} |v|^2 dm \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\phi^{[N]}(R\chi(t))|^{2\ell} dt \\ &\leq \|u\|_{k_b}^2 (M\rho^N)^{2\ell}. \end{aligned}$$

where $M > 0$ is as in \heartsuit .

Let N_0 be so that $\mathfrak{b}_n := M^2\rho^{2n} < \frac{1}{2}$ for $n \geq N_0$.

For $N \geq N_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\tau}^N u\|_b^2 &= \sum_{\ell \geq 1} b^\ell |\widehat{u}_N(\ell)|^2 \\ &\leq \|u\|_b^2 \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \mathfrak{b}_N^\ell \\ &= \frac{\mathfrak{b}_N}{1-\mathfrak{b}_N} \|u\|_b^2 \\ &\leq 2M^2 b \rho^{2N} \|u\|_b^2. \quad \heartsuit \spadesuit \end{aligned}$$

To continue let $w \in k_b$, then

$$w = F + \overline{G} + \mathbb{E}(w) \text{ for some } F, G \in K_b$$

and

$$\widehat{\tau}^N w = \widehat{\tau}^N F + \overline{\widehat{\tau}^N G} + \mathbb{E}(w).$$

By \spadesuit for F & G ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\tau}^N w - \mathbb{E}(w)\|_b^2 &= \|\widehat{\tau}^N F\|_b^2 + \|\widehat{\tau}^N G\|_b^2 \\ &\leq M\rho^{2N} (\|F\|_b^2 + \|G\|_b^2) \\ &\leq M\rho^{2N} \|w\|_{k_b}^2. \quad \heartsuit \spadesuit \end{aligned}$$

Proof of (ii)

By the Basic Proposition (ii), $\widehat{\tau} : H_0^2 \leftarrow$ & $\widehat{\tau}(\chi^d)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $\lfloor \frac{d}{\kappa} \rfloor$.

Write $\widehat{\tau}^N(\chi^k) = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} a^{(N)}(k, \ell) \chi^\ell$, then

$$a^{(N)}(k, \ell) = \langle \widehat{\tau}^N(\chi^k), \chi^\ell \rangle = \langle \chi^k, \chi^\ell \circ T^N \rangle = \langle \chi^k, \phi^N(\chi)^\ell \rangle = \overline{(\phi^N)^\ell}(k).$$

3

Thus

$$(\spadesuit) \quad a^{(N)}(k, \ell) = 0 \text{ unless } k \geq \kappa^N \ell \text{ \& } \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N \ell} |a^{(N)}(k, \ell)|^2 = 1.$$

Proof of \heartsuit for $u \in K_b \cap H_0^2$

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\tau}^N u &= \sum_{k \geq 1} u_k \widehat{\tau}^N(\chi^k) \text{ where } u_k = \widehat{u}(k), \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 1, k \geq \kappa^N \ell} u_k a^{(N)}(k, \ell) \chi^\ell \\ &= \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq \kappa^N \ell} u_k a^{(N)}(k, \ell) \right) \chi^\ell \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using Cauchy-Schwartz and (\spadesuit) ,

$$\begin{aligned} |(\widehat{\tau}^N u)_\ell|^2 &= \left| \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N \ell} u_k a^{(N)}(k, \ell) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N \ell} |u_k|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\tau}^N u\|_{k_b}^2 &= \sum_{\ell \geq 1} b^\ell |(\widehat{\tau}^N u)_\ell|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{\ell \geq 1} b^\ell \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N \ell} |u_k|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N} |u_k|^2 \sum_{0 \leq \ell \leq \frac{k}{\kappa^N}} b^\ell \\ &= \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N} |u_k|^2 \frac{b^{\frac{k}{\kappa^N} + 1} - 1}{b - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{b}{b - 1} \sum_{k \geq \kappa^N} |u_k|^2 b^{\frac{k}{\kappa^N}} \\ &\leq \frac{b^2}{b - 1} \frac{1}{b^{\kappa^N}} \|u\|_{k_b}^2. \quad \square \quad \heartsuit \end{aligned}$$

To continue let $w \in k_b$, then

$$w = F + \overline{G} + \mathbb{E}(w) \text{ for some } F, G \in K_b$$

³Note that here $\phi^N := \underbrace{\phi \circ \dots \circ \phi}_{N \text{ times}}$ whereas $(\phi^N)^\ell := \underbrace{\phi^N \dots \phi^N}_{\ell \text{ times}}$.

and

$$\widehat{\tau}^N w = \widehat{\tau}^N F + \overline{\widehat{\tau}^N G} + \mathbb{E}(w).$$

By ¶1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\tau}^N w - \mathbb{E}(w)\|_b^2 &= \|T^N F\|_b^2 + \|\widehat{\tau}^N G\|_b^2 \\ &\leq \frac{b^2}{b-1} \frac{1}{b^{\kappa N}} (\|F\|_b^2 + \|G\|_b^2) \\ &\leq \frac{b^2}{b-1} \frac{1}{b^{\kappa N}} \|w\|_{k_b}^2. \quad \square \quad \text{\textcircled{X}} \end{aligned}$$

Minimal essential spectral radius.

For (\mathbb{T}, m, T) a probability preserving transformation, let $\mathfrak{C}(\widehat{T})$ be the class of Banach spaces over \mathbb{T} ; so that $\forall \mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{C}$:

$(L^1(\mathbb{T}, m), \mathcal{L})$ is an adapted pair and \widehat{T} is a DF operator on $(L^1(\mathbb{T}, m), \mathcal{L})$ with

$$\mathfrak{I} \quad \|\widehat{\tau}^n f - \mathbb{E}(f)\|_{\mathcal{L}} \leq M\theta^n \|f\|_{\mathcal{L}} \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{L}.$$

.

The *minimal essential spectral radius* of \widehat{T} over $\mathfrak{c} \subset \mathfrak{C}(T)$ is defined (analogously to [BCJ23]) as

$$\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{c}}(\widehat{T}) := \inf \{ \theta > 0 : \widehat{T} \ni \mathfrak{I} \text{ with } \theta \text{ on } \mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{c} \}.$$

Proposition 3.6

For $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ non-Möbius, inner with $\phi(0) = 0$, let

$$\mathfrak{h} := \{ \mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{C}(\widehat{\tau}) : p_z \in \mathcal{L} \quad \forall z \in \mathfrak{D} \},$$

then $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\widehat{\tau}) = |\phi'(0)|$.

Proof By ¶, $\mathfrak{r}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\widehat{\tau}) \leq |\phi'(0)|$. For the other inequality, note that by Koenigs' theorem, ([Koe84], see also [Sha93, §6.1]), $\exists z \in \mathfrak{D}$ s.t. $|\phi^n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} |\phi'(0)|$ & by ¶, if $\widehat{\tau}$ satisfies ¶ (p.14) with constant θ on $\mathcal{L} \in \mathfrak{h}$, then

$$M\theta^n \geq \|\widehat{\tau}^n(p_z) - 1\|_{\mathcal{L}} \geq \|\widehat{\tau}^n(p_z) - 1\|_1 \geq \varepsilon |\phi^n(z)| = |\phi'(0)|^{n+o(n)}. \quad \square$$

§4 ANALYTIC PERTURBATIONS AND PERIODICITY

Let (X, m, T) be a nonsingular transformation and let $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be measurable.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ so that $e^{z\psi} \in L^1(m)$, define the *perturbed* (aka twisted) *transfer operator* $\Pi_{z,\psi} : L^1(m) \leftarrow$ by

$$\Pi_{z,\psi} f := \widehat{T}(e^{z\psi} f).$$

The operators $P_t = P_{t,\psi} := \widehat{T}(e^{it\psi} f)$ are called *characteristic function operators* as $\mathbb{E}(P_t \mathbb{1}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it\psi})$.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be as in Example 3.2 (p.13): non-Möbius inner with $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$, \mathfrak{s}_ϕ finite and with $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$. By Proposition 2.9 (p. 11) $(\mathbb{T}, \pi_{\mathfrak{d}(\phi)}, \tau)$ is a probability preserving Adler map whence Gibbs-Markov as in [AD01].

Thus as before, $\widehat{\tau}$ is a DF operator on the adapted pair $(L^1(\mu), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}))$ and if $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz, then

¶1 [AD01, Propn. 2.1] P_t is a DF operator on the adapted pair $(L^1(\mu), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})) \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$;

¶2 [AD01, Propn. 2.4] $P : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{hom}(\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}))$ is continuous; and

¶3 [AD01, Thm. 4.1]

There are constants $\varepsilon > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$; and continuous functions $\lambda : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$, $N : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}))$ such that

$$\underline{\text{III}} \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_t^n h - \lambda(t)^n N(t) h\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})} &\leq K \theta^n \|h\|_{\text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\forall |t| < \varepsilon, n \geq 1, h \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}) \end{aligned}$$

where $\forall |t| < \varepsilon$, $N(t)$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace.

The main result of this section is

Theorem 4.1 Analyticity of Perturbation

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be non-Möbius inner with $\phi(0) = 0$.

If $\psi \in k_B$ ($B > 1$) then $\forall 1 < b < B$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $\Pi_z := \Pi_{z,\psi} \in \text{hom}(k_b, k_b)$.

Moreover $z \in \mathbb{C} \mapsto \Pi_z \in \text{hom}(k_b, k_b)$ is holomorphic with

$$\frac{d^n \Pi_z}{d z^n}(f) = \Pi_z(\psi^n f) =: \Pi_z^{(n)}(f).$$

Corollary 4.2

Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.1:

(i) *there are constants $\mathcal{E} > 0$, $K > 0$ and $\theta \in (0, 1)$; and analytic functions $\lambda : B(0, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{C}}(0, 1)$, $N : B(0, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(k_b, k_b)$ so that*

$$\spadesuit \quad \|P_t^n h - \lambda(t)^n N(t)h\|_{k_b} \leq K \lambda(t)^n \|h\|_{k_b} \quad \forall |t| < \mathcal{E}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad h \in k_b;$$

where $\forall |t| < \mathcal{E}$, $N(t)$ is a projection onto a one-dimensional subspace.

(ii) P_t is a DF operator on the adapted pair $(L^2(m), k_b) \quad \forall |t| < \mathcal{E}$.

Proof of (i)

By $t \mapsto P_{t,\psi}$ is analytic ($\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{hom}(k_b, k_b)$) and Nagaev's Theorem ([PP90, HH01], [AD01, Lemma 4.2]) applies to establish \spadesuit . As mentioned above, (ii) follows from this. \square

Next, we prove Theorem 4.1. To this end, we'll need the following multiplication and exponential lemmas.

4.3 Multiplication Lemma *Let $B > b > 1$, then*

$$(\spadesuit) \quad \|fg\|_{k_b} \leq \sqrt{\frac{B+b}{B-b}} \|f\|_{k_B} \|g\|_{k_b} \quad \forall f \in k_B, \quad g \in k_b.$$

Proof We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{k_b}^2 &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} |\widehat{fg}(n)|^2 \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{f}(k) \widehat{g}(n-k) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{\frac{k}{2}} |\widehat{f}(k)| \frac{|\widehat{g}(n-k)|}{B^{\frac{k}{2}}} \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} B^{|k|} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|\widehat{g}(n-\ell)|^2}{B^{|\ell|}} \\ &= \|f\|_{k_B}^2 \sum_{n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} b^{|n|} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{|\widehat{g}(n-\ell)|^2}{B^{|k|}} = \|f\|_{k_B}^2 \sum_{n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{|\ell|} b^{|n|-|\ell|} |\widehat{g}(n-\ell)|^2 \\ &\leq \|f\|_{k_B}^2 \sum_{n, \ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{|\ell|} b^{|n-\ell|} |\widehat{g}(n-\ell)|^2 = \|f\|_{k_B}^2 \|g\|_{k_b}^2 \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{b}{B}\right)^{|\ell|} \\ &= \frac{B+b}{B-b} \|f\|_{k_B}^2 \|g\|_{k_b}^2 \quad \square \quad (\spadesuit) \end{aligned}$$

4.4 Exponentiation Lemma

Let $B > 1$ & $\psi \in k_B$, then for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $b \in (1, B)$,

$$(\heartsuit) \quad \|e^{z\psi}\|_{k_b} \leq \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{B+b}{B-b}} |z| \|\psi\|_{k_B}\right].$$

Proof By the Multiplication Lemma,

$$\|\psi^n\|_{k_b}^2 \leq \frac{B+b}{B-b} \|\psi\|_{k_B}^2 \|\psi^{n-1}\|_{k_b}^2 \leq \dots \leq \left(\frac{B+b}{B-b}\right)^n \|\psi\|_{k_B}^{2n}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{z\psi}\|_{k_b} &\leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|z|^n}{n!} \|\psi^n\|_{k_b} \leq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{|z|^n}{n!} \left(\frac{B+b}{B-b}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \|\psi\|_{k_B}^n \\ &= \exp\left[\sqrt{\frac{B+b}{B-b}}|z|\|\psi\|_{k_B}\right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1

It suffices to show that $\forall \omega \in \mathbb{C}, \exists \varepsilon = \varepsilon_\omega > 0$ so that

$$(\clubsuit) \quad \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{(z-\omega)^n}{n!} \Pi_\omega^{(n)} \xrightarrow[N \rightarrow \infty]{\text{hom}(k_b, k_b)} \Pi_z \quad \forall z \in B(\omega, \varepsilon).$$

To this end, fix $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1 < b < b_1 < b_2 < \dots < B$.

Let $f \in k_b$, then for $k \geq n$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_\omega^{(k)}(f)\|_{k_b} &= \|\widehat{\tau}(\Phi^k e^{\omega\Phi} f)\|_{k_b} \leq M\rho \|\Phi^k e^{\omega\Phi} f\|_{k_b} \text{ by } (\heartsuit) \\ &\leq M\rho \sqrt{\frac{b_1+b}{b_1-b}} \|f\|_{k_b} \|\Phi^k e^{\omega\Phi}\|_{b_1} \text{ by } (\spadesuit). \end{aligned}$$

To continue, by repeated application of (\spadesuit) and then (\heartsuit)

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi^k e^{\omega\Phi}\|_{b_1} &\leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{b_2+b_1}{b_2-b_1}}\right)^{k+1} \|\Phi\|_{b_2}^k \|e^{\omega\Phi}\|_{b_2} \\ &\leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{b_2+b_1}{b_2-b_1}}\right)^{k+1} \|\Phi\|_{b_2}^k \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}(|\omega| \sqrt{\frac{B+b_2}{B-b_2}} \|\Phi\|_{k_B}^2)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|\Pi_\omega^{(k)}\|_{\text{hom}(k_b, k_b)} \ll \left(\sqrt{\frac{b_2+b_1}{b_2-b_1}}\right)^k$$

and (\clubsuit) holds with $\varepsilon_\omega = \sqrt{\frac{b_2-b_1}{b_2+b_1}}$. \square

Periodicity.

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be non-Möbius inner with $\phi(0) = 0$ and let $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be measurable.

We'll call $t \in \mathbb{R}$ a τ -period of ψ if $\exists t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1$ & $g : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ measurable, so that $e^{it\psi} = \lambda g \circ \tau / g$.

We denote the collection of τ -periods of ψ by $\mathcal{Q}(\psi)$ and call ψ : τ -aperiodic if $\mathcal{Q}(\psi) = \{0\}$ and τ -periodic otherwise.

It is standard to show that for $t \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda| = 1$ and $f \in L^1(m)$

$$\spadesuit \quad e^{it\psi} f = \lambda f \circ \tau \iff P_t(f) := \widehat{\tau}(e^{it\psi} f) = \lambda f.$$

Proposition 4.5

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be non-Möbius inner with $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$ & $\mathfrak{s}_\phi \neq \emptyset$.

(i) If $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-constant, real analytic, then $\mathcal{Q}(\psi)$ is discrete.

(ii) If $\mathcal{Z}(\phi)$ & \mathfrak{s}_ϕ finite, and $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is non-constant, Lipschitz continuous, then $\mathcal{Q}(\psi) = \{0\}$.

Proof of (i)

Let $\mathcal{E} > 0$ be as in Corollary 4.2(i). Fix $1 < b < B$ with $\psi \in k_B$. If $\mathcal{Q}(\psi)$ is not discrete, then $\exists t \in (0, \mathcal{E}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(\psi)$ and $f \in L^1(m)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, $|\lambda| = 1$ so that $P_t(f) = \lambda f$. For $t \in (0, \mathcal{E}) \cap \mathcal{Q}(\psi)$, P_t is a DF operator on $(L^2(m), k_b)$. Write $Q := \lambda P_t$, then $Q : k_b \leftarrow$ is a DF operator, $Qf = f$ and by Proposition 3.1, $f \in k_b$.

By \clubsuit we have

$$(\clubsuit) \quad f(\tau x) = \bar{\lambda} f(x) e^{it\psi(x)} \quad \text{for } m\text{-a.e. } x \in \mathbb{T},$$

Using harmonization, we see that \clubsuit holds $\forall x \in \Lambda_\phi$.

Now suppose that v is a point of discontinuity of τ , then $\chi(v) \in \mathfrak{s}_\phi$.

By Seidel's theorem ([Sei34], also [Zyg02, theorem 7.48]), $\forall w \in \mathbb{T}$, $\exists z_n = z_n(w) \in \Lambda_\phi$ so that $z_n \rightarrow v$ and so that $\tau(z_n) = w$. Thus

$$f(w) = f(\tau(z_n)) = \bar{\lambda} f(z_n) \Phi(z_n) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \bar{\lambda} f(v) \Phi(v)$$

whence f is constant, $e^{it\psi} \equiv \lambda$, whence ψ (being continuous) is constant. \square (i)

Proof of (ii) Suppose otherwise, that for some $t \neq 0 \exists f \in L^1(m)$ so that \clubsuit holds. Since P_t is a DF operator on $(L^1(m), \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T}))$ we obtain as in the proof of (i), that $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{T})$ and that ψ is constant. \square

Central and local limit theorems.

Let (X, m, T) be a probability preserving transformation. A *stationary stochastic process driven by* (X, m, T) is a quadruple (X, T, m, Ψ) where $\Psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable.

We'll say that the stationary stochastic process (X, T, m, Ψ) satisfies the

- *central limit theorem* if $\exists \sigma > 0$ so that

$$\text{CLT} \quad m\left(\left[\frac{\Psi_n - n\mathbb{E}(\Psi)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t\right]\right) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds;$$

- *conditional central limit theorem* if $\exists \sigma > 0$ so that

$$\text{con-CLT} \quad \widehat{T}^n 1_{\left[\frac{\Psi_n - n\mathbb{E}(\Psi)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \leq t\right]} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-\frac{s^2}{2}} ds;$$

and

- *conditional local limit theorem* if $\exists \sigma > 0$ so that for $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ an interval, and $k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\frac{k_n}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \rightarrow \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\text{con-LLT} \quad \sigma\sqrt{n}\widehat{T}^n(1_{[\Psi_n \in n\mathbb{E}(\Psi) + k_n + I]}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|I|}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{\kappa^2}{2}}.$$

where $|I|$ is the length of I .

4.6 Limit theorems

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftarrow$ be non-Möbius inner with $\mathfrak{d}(\phi) \in \mathfrak{D}$ & $\mathfrak{s}_\phi \neq \emptyset$.

- (i) If $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is real analytic, not constant, then then $(\mathbb{T}, \pi_{\mathfrak{d}(\phi)}, \tau, \psi)$ satisfies the **con-CLT**.
- (ii) If $\#(\mathcal{Z}(\phi) \cup \mathfrak{s}_\phi) < \infty$ and $\psi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous, not constant, then then $(\mathbb{T}, \pi_{\mathfrak{d}(\phi)}, \tau, \psi)$ satisfies the **con-LLT**.
- In both cases, $\sigma^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(\frac{\psi_n^2}{n})$.

Idea of proof

In both cases, the transfer operator satisfies \mathfrak{A} on p. 14 so by [RE83, Thm. 2] the limit for σ^2 exists. By Proposition 4.5 $\sigma > 0$. The Taylor expansions of $\lambda : B(0, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ in (i) and (ii) (\mathfrak{H} , p.20 and \mathfrak{H} , p.20 respectively) are both established by [RE83, Lemmas 2 & 3]. The limit theorems are established as in [RE83, §3-4] with adjustments for the conditional case as in [AD01].

§5 A LOCAL VERSION OF ALEKSANDROV'S THEOREM

Fix $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, $m(A) > 0$ & $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A)$ be a sub- σ -algebra.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ write

$$H_0^p(A, \mathcal{C}) := \{f \in H_0^p : f|_A \text{ is } \mathcal{C}\text{-measurable}\}.$$

By [Rud74, Theorem 17.18] if $f, g \in H_0^2(m)$ and $f|_A \equiv g|_A$, then $f \equiv g$.

Let $P : H_0^2 \rightarrow H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$ be the orthogonal projection in the sense that

$$\heartsuit \quad (\text{Id} - P)H_0^2 \subset H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})^\perp;$$

& call (A, \mathcal{C}) an *analytic pair* if

$$\mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = (Pf)|_A \quad \text{for } f \in H_0^2$$

where $m_A(B) := m(A \cap B)$ & $\mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f)$ is conditional expectation on the measure space (A, m_A) with respect to the sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A)$.

Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$, $m(A) > 0$. It is easy to see that (A, \mathcal{C}) is an analytic pair if either $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{m_A}{=} \mathcal{B}(A)$ (in which case $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) = H_0^2$); or $\mathcal{C} \stackrel{m_A}{=} \{\emptyset, A\}$ (in which case $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) = \{0\}$).

Next, we give an example which turns out to be general.

Example 5.1 *If $A \in \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ & $\mathcal{C} = \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) \cap A$ where $\tau = \tau(\phi)$ with $\phi: \mathcal{D} \leftarrow$, $\phi(0) = 0$ inner, then (A, \mathcal{C}) is a analytic pair.*

Proof

We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^2(A, \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}) &:= \{f \in H_0^2 : f|_A \text{ is } \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}\text{-measurable}\} \\ &= \{f \in H_0^2 : \exists g \in H_0^2, f|_A = g \circ \tau|_A\} \\ &= H_0^2 \circ \tau \quad \text{by [Rud74, Theorem 17.18].} \end{aligned}$$

To continue, note that because $m \circ \tau^{-1} = m$,

$$E^{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})}(f) = \widehat{\tau}(f) \circ \tau.$$

By the Basic Proposition 3.3, $\widehat{\tau}: H_0^2 \leftarrow$ whence

$$P := E_m^{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})} = \widehat{\tau}(f) \circ \tau: H_0^2 \rightarrow H_0^2 \circ \tau$$

is orthogonal projection.

Let $A = \tau^{-1}B$, then

$$\mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = \mathbb{E}_m^{\tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}}(1_B \circ \tau f)|_A = (1_B \widehat{\tau} f) \circ \tau|_A = (Pf)|_A. \quad \square$$

Theorem 5.2 *If (A, \mathcal{C}) is a analytic pair, then either $\mathcal{C} = \{\emptyset, A\}$, or $\exists \phi: \mathcal{D} \leftarrow$ inner with $\phi(0) = 0$ so that $A \in \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C} = A \cap \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}$ where $\tau = \tau(\phi)$.*

The cases with $C = \mathbb{T}$ are established in [Ale86] ⁴.

Proof We claim first that

$$\blacksquare 1 \quad P(gf) = gP(f) \quad \forall f \in H_0^\infty, g \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}).$$

Proof

$$P(gf)|_A = \mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(fg) = g|_A \mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = (gP(f))|_A,$$

and by [Rud74, theorem 17.18], $P(gf) = gP(f)$ a.s. on \mathbb{T} . $\square \blacksquare 1$

$\blacksquare 2 \quad \forall f \in L^2(A, \mathcal{C}, m_A) \exists g, h \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$ & $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$f = (g + \overline{h} + \gamma)|_A.$$

⁴See also [Sak07, Theorem 5.6]

Proof $\exists G, H \in H_0^2$ & $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$ so that

$$f = G + \overline{H} + \gamma.$$

Next, $g = PG$, $h = PH \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$ and a.s. on A :

$$(g + \overline{h} + \gamma) = (PG + \overline{PH} + \gamma) = E_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = f. \quad \square \text{¶2}$$

¶3 Let $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$ and let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \subseteq \mathcal{B}(A)$ so that both (A, \mathcal{C}) and (A, \mathcal{C}') are analytic pairs, then

$$\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{C}' \iff H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \subset H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}').$$

Proof \Rightarrow follows from the definition and \Leftarrow follows from ¶2. \square

¶4 $L := \overline{\text{span}}\{fg : f \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}), g \in H_0^\infty(A, \mathcal{C})\} \subsetneq H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$.

Proof

Let

$$d := \min \{k \geq 0 : \chi^{-k}g \in H^2 \forall g \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})\},$$

then $d \in \mathbb{N}$.

By ¶2, \exists non constant $G \in H_0^\infty(A, \mathcal{C})$ with $\chi^{-1}G \in H^2$ with the consequence that $\chi^{-d-1}g \in H^2 \forall g \in L$ whence $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \setminus L \neq \emptyset$. \square

¶5 Any $\phi \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \cap L^\perp$, $\|\phi\|_2 = 1$ is inner with $\phi(0) = 0$.

Proof

For $n \geq 1$, $P(\phi\chi^n) \stackrel{\text{¶1}}{=} \phi P(\chi^n) \in L$ whence $\phi \perp \phi P(\chi^n)$ ($\because \phi \in L^\perp$).

Thus for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{(|\phi|^2)}(n) &= \langle \phi, \phi\chi^n \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{¶1}}{=} \langle \phi, P(\phi\chi^n) \rangle \\ &\stackrel{\text{¶1}}{=} \langle \phi, \phi P(\chi^n) \rangle \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

whence also $\overline{(|\phi|^2)}(-n) = \overline{(|\phi|^2)}(n) = 0$ and

$$|\phi|^2 = \overline{(|\phi|^2)}(0) = \|\phi\|_2^2 = 1. \quad \square \text{¶5}$$

Fix $\phi \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \cap L^\perp$ (inner with $\phi(0) = 0$).

¶6 $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) = H_0^2 \circ \tau = H_0^2(\mathbb{T}, \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B})$ where $\tau = \tau(\phi)$.

Proof Since $\phi \in H_0^\infty(A, \mathcal{C})$, we have

(i) $A \cap \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ and (ii) $\phi^n \in H_0^\infty(A, \mathcal{C}) \forall n \geq 1$.

It follows that any $F \in H_0^2 \circ \tau$ is in $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$ having the form $F \circ \chi = \sum_{n \geq 1} a_n \phi^n$ with $(a_k : k \geq 1) \in \ell^2$.

Thus $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \supseteq H_0^2 \circ \tau$.

To show equality we'll prove that

$$M := H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) \cap (H_0^2 \circ \tau)^\perp = \{0\}.$$

To this end, we show first that

$$\spadesuit \quad \overline{\phi}M \subset M.$$

Proof Let $g \in M$, then $g \perp \phi^j \forall j \geq 1$ and

$$\clubsuit \quad \langle \overline{\phi}g, \phi^j \rangle = 0 \forall j \geq 0.$$

For $k \geq 1$,

$$\langle \overline{\phi}g, \chi^{-k} \rangle = \langle g\chi^k, \phi \rangle \stackrel{\heartsuit}{=} \langle P(g\chi^k), \phi \rangle = \langle gP(\chi^k), \phi \rangle = 0.$$

Thus $\overline{\phi}g \in H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C})$.

Each $H \in H_0^2(A, \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B})$ is of form $H = h \circ \tau$ with $h \in H_0^2$. By \clubsuit ,

$$\overline{\phi}g \perp \sum_{k \geq 0} \hat{h}(k)\phi^k = h \circ \tau = H$$

and $\overline{\phi}g \in M$. $\square \spadesuit$

To see that $M = \{0\}$ suppose otherwise that $g \in M$, $g \neq 0$, then by \spadesuit (repeatedly) $\overline{\phi}^j g \in M \forall j \geq 1$ which is impossible unless $g \equiv 0$. $\square \heartsuit$

\heartsuit $A \in \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$,

Proof By \heartsuit $H_0^2(A, \mathcal{C}) = H_0^2 \circ \tau$ and $Pf = \widehat{\tau}(f) \circ \tau$ and

$$\mathbb{E}_{m_A}^{\mathcal{C}}(f) = P(1_A f)|_A$$

$\forall f \in H_0^2$ and hence $\forall f \in L^2$.

In particular $(\widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau)|_A = 1$ and we claim that $\widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau = 1_A$.

To see this note that

$$\widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau = 1_A + J$$

where $J := \widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau \cdot 1_{A^c} \geq 0$.

Now,

$$m(A) = \mathbb{E}_m(\widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau) = m(A) + \mathbb{E}_m(J)$$

whence $\mathbb{E}_m(J) = 0$, $J = 0$ a.s., $\widehat{\tau}(1_A) \circ \tau = 1_A$ and $A \in \tau^{-1}\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{T})$. $\square \heartsuit$

§6 QUESTIONS ARISING

¶1 In Proposition 2.7 (p.9) with some $m(E) > 0$, can the inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be chosen so that $\phi' \in N(\mathfrak{D})$?

If not, then the restriction of an inner function $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ with $\phi' \in N(\mathfrak{D})$ (the Nevanlinna class) is an interval map ($m(\chi^{-1}\mathfrak{s}_\phi) = 0$).

Let $\phi : \mathfrak{D} \leftrightarrow$ be inner, non-Möbius with $\phi(0) = 0$ and let $\psi \in k_B$ (some $B > 1$) be non constant.

¶2 Is the measure preserving transformation $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, m \times m_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau_\psi)$ (with $\tau_\psi(x, y) = (\tau(x), y + \psi(x))$) ergodic?

¶3 Is there $b \in (1, B)$ so that the characteristic function operators $P_{t,\psi}$ are DF operators on $(L^1(m), k_b)$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$?

If so, then the conditional local limit theorem (con-LLT on p. 23) would hold and strong ergodic properties of $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}, m \times m_{\mathbb{R}}, \tau_\psi)$ would follow as in [AD01, §7].

REFERENCES

- [Aar78] Jon Aaronson. Ergodic theory for inner functions of the upper half plane. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Sect. B (N.S.)*, 14(3):233–253, 1978.
- [Aar81] Jon Aaronson. A remark on the exactness of inner functions. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 23(3):469–474, 1981.
- [Aar97] Jon Aaronson. *An introduction to infinite ergodic theory*, volume 50 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [AD01] Jon Aaronson and Manfred Denker. Local limit theorems for partial sums of stationary sequences generated by Gibbs-Markov maps. *Stoch. Dyn.*, 1(2):193–237, 2001.
- [Adl73] Roy L. Adler. F -expansions revisited. In *Recent advances in topological dynamics (Proc. Conf. Topological Dynamics, Yale Univ., New Haven, Conn., 1972; in honor of Gustav Arnold Hedlund)*, Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 318, pages 1–5. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [Ale86] A. B. Aleksandrov. Measurable partitions of the circle induced by inner functions. *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. Leningrad. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (LOMI)*, 149(Issled. Linein. Teor. Funktsii. XV):103–106, 188, 1986.
- [Ale87] A. B. Aleksandrov. Multiplicity of boundary values of inner functions. *Izv. Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Ser. Mat.*, 22(5):490–503, 515, 1987.
- [AW73] Roy L. Adler and Benjamin Weiss. The ergodic infinite measure preserving transformation of Boole. *Israel J. Math.*, 16:263–278, 1973.
- [BCJ23] Oliver Butterley, Giovanni Canestrari, and Sakshi Jain. Discontinuities cause essential spectrum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 398(2):627–653, 2023.
- [Beu48] Arne Beurling. On two problems concerning linear transformations in Hilbert space. *Acta Math.*, 81:239–255, 1948.

- [Boo57] George Boole. On the comparison of transcendents, with certain applications to the theory of definite integrals. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London*, 147:745–803, 1857.
- [Coh80] Donald L. Cohn. *Measure theory*. Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980.
- [Cra91] M. Craizer. Entropy of inner functions. *Israel J. Math.*, 74(2-3):129–168, 1991.
- [Cra92] Marcos Craizer. The Bernoulli property of inner functions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 12(2):209–215, 1992.
- [Den26] A. Denjoy. Sur l'itération des fonctions analytiques. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, 182:255–257, 1926.
- [DM78] Claude Dellacherie and Paul-André Meyer. *Probabilities and potential*, volume 29 of *North-Holland Mathematics Studies*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-New York, 1978.
- [DM91] Claus I. Doering and Ricardo Mañé. *The dynamics of inner functions*, volume 3. Rio de Janeiro: Sociedade Brasileira de Matemática, 1991.
- [Don65] William F. Donoghue, Jr. On the perturbation of spectra. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 18:559–579, 1965.
- [Gla77] J. W. L. Glaisher. Note on certain theorems in definite integration. *Messenger* (2) VII. 63-74 (1877)., 1877.
- [Hei77] Maurice Heins. On the finite angular derivatives of an analytic function mapping the open unit disk into itself. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 15(2):239–254, 1977.
- [HH01] Hubert Hennion and Loïc Hervé. *Limit theorems for Markov chains and stochastic properties of dynamical systems by quasi-compactness*, volume 1766 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [ITM50] C. T. Ionescu Tulcea and G. Marinescu. Théorie ergodique pour des classes d'opérations non complètement continues. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 52:140–147, 1950.
- [IU23] Oleg Ivrii and Mariusz Urbański. Inner functions, composition operators, symbolic dynamics and thermodynamic formalism. *arXiv*, 2308.16063, 2023.
- [Jan41] W. Jankoff. Sur l'uniformisation des ensembles (A). *C. R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS, n. Ser.*, 30:597–598, 1941.
- [Koe84] G. Koenigs. Investigations of the integrals of certain functional equations. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (3)*, 1:1–41, 1884.
- [Let77] Gérard Letac. Which functions preserve Cauchy laws? *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 67(2):277–286, 1977.
- [LS78] Tien Yien Li and Fritz Schweiger. The generalized Boole's transformation is ergodic. *Manuscripta Math.*, 25(2):161–167, 1978.
- [Lus30] N. Lusin. Sur le problème de M. Jacques Hadamard d'uniformisation des ensembles. *Mathematica, Cluj*, 4:54–66, 1930.
- [Mar89] N. F. G. Martin. On ergodic properties of restrictions of inner functions. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(1):137–151, 1989.
- [Nad81] M. G. Nadkarni. Some measure-theoretic remarks on inner functions and related results. *Sankhyā Ser. A*, 43(3):251–259, 1981.
- [Neu78] J. H. Neuwirth. Ergodicity of some mappings of the circle and the line. *Israel J. Math.*, 31(3-4):359–367, 1978.

- [Nor68] Eric A. Nordgren. Composition operators. *Canadian J. Math.*, 20:442–449, 1968.
- [NSiG22] Artur Nicolau and Odí Soler i Gibert. A central limit theorem for inner functions. *Adv. Math.*, 401:Paper No. 108318, 39, 2022.
- [PP90] William Parry and Mark Pollicott. Zeta functions and the periodic orbit structure of hyperbolic dynamics. *Astérisque*, (187-188):268, 1990.
- [RE83] J. Rousseau-Egele. Un théorème de la limite locale pour une classe de transformations dilatantes et monotones par morceaux. *Ann. Probab.*, 11(3):772–788, 1983.
- [Rok61] V. A. Rokhlin. Exact endomorphisms of a Lebesgue space. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 25:499–530, 1961. English translation in: *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2)* 39 (1964), 1-36.
- [Rud74] Walter Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Düsseldorf-Johannesburg, second edition, 1974. McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics.
- [Sak07] Eero Saksman. An elementary introduction to Clark measures. In *Topics in complex analysis and operator theory*, pages 85–136. Univ. Málaga, Málaga, 2007.
- [Sei34] Wladimir Seidel. On the distribution of values of bounded analytic functions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 36(1):201–226, 1934.
- [Sha93] Joel H. Shapiro. *Composition operators and classical function theory*. Universitext: Tracts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1993.
- [Smi29] V. Smirnof. Sur les valeurs limites des fonctions, regulieres a l’interieur d’un cercle. *Journ. Soc Phys-Math Leningrad* 2 (1929), 22-37, 1929. English translation in: *Topics in interpolation theory*, Birkhauser (1997), 481-494.
- [Sri98] S. M. Srivastava. *A course on Borel sets*, volume 180 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [vN49] John von Neumann. On rings of operators. Reduction theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 50:401–485, 1949.
- [Wol26] J. Wolff. Sur l’itération des fonctions bornées. *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, 182:200–201, 1926.
- [Zyg02] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2002. With a foreword by Robert A. Fefferman.

(Aaronson) SCHOOL OF MATH. SCIENCES, TEL AVIV UNIVERSITY, 69978 TEL AVIV, ISRAEL.

Email address: aaro@tau.ac.il

(Nadkarni) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MUMBAI, KALINA, MUMBAI 400098, INDIA

Email address: mgnadkarni@gmail.com