

MIDI-DRAW: SKETCHING TO CONTROL MELODY GENERATION

Tashi Namgyal Raul Santos-Rodriguez Peter Flach
Department of Computer Science, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK
tashi.namgyal@bristol.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

We describe a proof-of-principle implementation of a system for drawing melodies that abstracts away from a note-level input representation via melodic contours. The aim is to allow users to express their musical intentions without requiring prior knowledge of how notes fit together melodiously. Current approaches to controllable melody generation often require users to choose parameters that are static across a whole sequence, via buttons or sliders. In contrast, our method allows users to quickly specify how parameters should change over time by drawing a contour.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recent deep learning approaches offer more variety, musicality and longer range structure than many previous approaches to music generation [1]. However, the high expressivity and black-box nature of these models makes it hard to predict what outputs a model will produce given certain inputs. Some understanding of the model is necessary for musicians to be able to iteratively tweak the model to produce outputs that better match their intentions, which is an important part of musical composition [2]. This is referred to as *controllability* or *directability*.

Many musical features have been targeted for control including low-level musical features such as key, tempo or mode [3], mid-level perceptual features such as tonal tension [4] or information-theoretic surprise [5], or high-level features such as artist style and lyrics [6]. This is often achieved through conditional models, which change the probability of output sequences given some user-chosen feature values [3], or by manipulation of a latent space via attribute vector arithmetic [7] or attribute regularization and disentanglement [8]. Control over these features enables users to feel more self-efficacy and ownership over the generated music [9].

Controls for generative models are most often presented as switches or 1D sliders [9]. 2D sliders are also common, often displayed over an image such as a surface plot [10]. Other control methods include tracking body movements in space, known as sound tracing [11] and conditioning on




Figure 1. Musical phrases can be generated with the same overall trend while allowing for variation in tightness of fit.

text using contrastive language-image pre-training (CLIP) on videos with associated music [12]. Several approaches exist that use drawing such as Oramics [13], Painting Music [14], Hyperscore [15] and JamSketch [16]. Our proposal, MIDI-Draw, adopts this approach because drawing is a quick, easy and natural movement that does not need specialist equipment. A quick sketch is useful as it represents a semi-formed idea, which fixes some attributes while allowing freedom for others to change more easily. This allows for an intermediate representation that is intuitive to humans but that machine learning approaches are also sensitive to.

We demonstrate the potential of the approach on melodic contours, which capture how pitch goes up and down over time, while disregarding quantized note and scale information. Geometric approaches appear to be the most promising approach for symbolic melody indexing [17] and similarity [18]. Such methods include rectilinear functions [19], cubic splines [20], Fourier components [16], or cosine components [21]. Many of these approaches relate pitches directly to the curve height. We adopt cosine components here as they can extract a slow-moving average trend, which allows for more freedom and variety in generation. For example, notes may lie directly on the curve, or scattered around the curve while still fitting the trend overall (Fig. 1).

2. METHODS

To train MIDI-Draw (Fig. 2, left), we use a synthetic dataset generated by specifying a pitch-transition matrix with uniform pitch priors. 5000 sequences of 16 notes are sampled from this matrix. These pitch sequences are converted into cosine component amplitudes using the orthonormal Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT-II). The aver-




Figure 2. Left: Training consists of extracting cosine components from melodies and recreating melodies conditioned on those components. Right: Inference consists of generating melodies by sampling from a prior distribution conditioned on cosine components extracted from a drawn curve.

age pitch of each melody (first component) and the higher frequency components are set to zero such that only the second to fourth cosine components are stored. This results in a low frequency (slow changing) pitch trend when passed back through the inverse (DCT-III) transform.

In order to generate music from a drawn shape rather than by continuing some musical context, we use a conditional variational autoencoder (CVAE). A VAE has two training objectives; to reconstruct the input and to maintain the latent space distribution close to a chosen prior distribution. The latter allows for sampling directly in the latent space during inference, without needing to encode some previous context. The CVAE is trained to recreate melodies conditioned on the cosine components by concatenating them to the start of the input and latent vectors. Bi-directional long-short term memory (Bi-LSTM) models are used for the encoder and decoder, which allow for long sequences and reuse of past context due to their hidden cell state. For the decoder, an autoregressive conductor model is used as in [7].

During inference (Fig. 2, right), a drawn curve is passed through the DCT-II transform as above, which is repeatedly concatenated to a batch of latent vectors generated by randomly sampling from the chosen prior, a multivariate Gaussian distribution $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$. This is then passed through the decoder to generate a batch of melody candidates. The DCT transform is again performed on the resulting melody candidates and mean-squared error is used to pick the melody candidate with components closest to the drawn curve components.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A web app¹ (Fig. 3) provides a canvas interface for users to draw contours and a piano roll interface to visu-

¹ Web app available at www.tashinamgyal.com/midi-draw




Figure 3. Web app¹.

alize, play back and download generated melodies. Preliminary user tests showed that non-musicians often initially drew curves that oscillated very rapidly, even though most melodies do not do this, suggesting additional constraints or guidance would be useful. A graph was added to show how changing the number of cosine components used would change how closely the generated melody fits their sketch, but further work is needed to train a model with an adjustable number of conditional components. Users also suggested that a constant rhythm made generated melodies sound robotic. Variation in rhythm could be added by conditioning on rhythm onsets [22], rhythm circles [23] or by tracing deviation from a regular beat. Lastly, we plan to work on evaluating the quality of musical sequences and how well sequences match user intentions.

4. CONCLUSION

We described a proof-of-principle implementation of music generation from drawing. This frees novice musicians to comparatively, rather than absolutely, express musical intentions, while a generative model does the hard part of selecting notes that loosely yet melodiously follow the intended trend. We demonstrate the potential of the approach with a web app that allows users to draw pitch contours and generates matching melodies. This opens the way to user-centred music generation that tracks changes in musical intention over time more naturally than current alternatives.

5. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Tashi Namgyal is supported by the UKRI Centre for Doctoral Training in Interactive Artificial Intelligence (EP/S022937/1).

6. REFERENCES

- [1] J.-P. Briot, G. Hadjeres, and F.-D. Pachet, *Deep Learning Techniques for Music Generation*. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2020.
- [2] J. Garcia, T. Tsandilas, C. Agon, and W. E. Mackay, “Structured Observation with Polyphony: a Multi-faceted Tool for Studying Music Composition,” in *Proc. Conf. Designing Interactive Systems*, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 2014.
- [3] N. Meade, N. Barreyre, S. C. Lowe, and S. Oore, “Exploring Conditioning for Generative Music Systems with Human-Interpretable Controls,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Computational Creativity*, Charlotte, NC, USA, 2019.
- [4] R. Guo, I. Simpson, T. Magnusson, C. Kiefer, and D. Herremans, “A Variational Autoencoder for Music Generation Controlled by Tonal Tension,” in *Proc. Conf. AI Music Creativity*, Stockholm, Sweden, 2020.
- [5] Y.-W. Chen, H.-S. Lee, Y.-H. Chen, and H.-M. Wang, “SurpriseNet: Melody Harmonization Conditioning on User-controlled Surprise Contours,” in *Proc. Int. Soc. Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, Online, 2021.
- [6] P. Dhariwal, H. Jun, C. Payne, J. W. Kim, A. Radford, and I. Sutskever, “Jukebox: A Generative Model for Music,” 2020, *arXiv:2005.00341*.
- [7] A. Roberts, J. Engel, C. Raffel, C. Hawthorne, and D. Eck, “A Hierarchical Latent Vector Model for Learning Long-Term Structure in Music,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Machine Learning*, Stockholm, Sweden, 2018.
- [8] A. Pati, S. Gururani, and A. Lerch, “dMelodies: A Music Dataset for Disentanglement Learning,” in *Proc. Int. Soc. Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, Montréal, Canada, 2020.
- [9] R. Louie, A. Coenen, C. Z. Huang, M. Terry, and C. J. Cai, “Novice-AI Music Co-Creation via AI-Steering Tools for Deep Generative Models,” in *Proc. Conf. Human Factors in Computing Systems*, New York, NY, USA, 2020.
- [10] N. Bryan-Kinns, B. Banar, C. Ford, C. N. Reed, Y. Zhang, S. Colton, and J. Armitage, “Exploring XAI for the Arts: Explaining Latent Space in Generative Music,” in *Workshop on Explainable AI Approaches for Debugging and Diagnosis (XAI4Debugging@NeurIPS2021)*, Online, 2021.
- [11] R. I. Godøy and A. R. Jensenius, “Body Movement in Music Information Retrieval,” in *Proc. Int. Soc. Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, Kobe, Japan, 2009.
- [12] H.-H. Wu, P. Seetharaman, K. Kumar, and J. P. Bello, “Wav2CLIP: Learning Robust Audio Representations From CLIP,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing*, Singapore, Singapore, 2022.
- [13] T. Richards, “Oramics: Precedents, Technology and Influence,” Ph.D. dissertation, Goldsmiths, Univ. London, London, UK, 2018.
- [14] A. Starkey, K. Steenhauer, and J. Caven, “Painting Music: Using Artificial Intelligence to Create Music from Live Painted Drawings,” *Drawing: Research, Theory, Practice*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 209–224, Dec. 2020.
- [15] M. M. Farbood, E. Pasztor, and K. Jennings, “Hypercote: a Graphical Sketchpad for Novice Composers,” *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 50–54, Jan. 2004.
- [16] T. Kitahara, S. Giraldo, and R. Ramírez, “JamSketch: Improvisation Support System with GA-Based Melody Creation from User’s Drawing,” in *Music Technology with Swing*, M. Aramaki, M. E. P. Davies, R. Kronland-Martinet, and S. Ystad, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2018, pp. 509–521.
- [17] D. Parsons, *The Directory Of Classical Themes*. London, UK: Piatkus, 2008.
- [18] V. Velardo, M. Vallati, and S. Jan, “Symbolic Melodic Similarity: State of the Art and Future Challenges,” *Computer Music Journal*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 70–83, Jun. 2016.
- [19] G. Aloupis, T. Fevens, S. Langerman, T. Matsui, A. Mesa, Y. Nuñez, D. Rappaport, and G. Toussaint, “Algorithms for Computing Geometric Measures of Melodic Similarity,” *Computer Music Journal*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 67–76, 2006.
- [20] J. Urbano, “MIREX 2013 Symbolic Melodic Similarity: A Geometric Model supported with Hybrid Sequence Alignment,” Tech. Rep., Music Information Retrieval Evaluation eXchange, 2013.
- [21] B. Cornelissen, W. Zuidema, and J. A. Burgoyne, “Cosine Contours: A Multipurpose Representation for Melodies,” in *Proc. Int. Soc. Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, Online, 2021.
- [22] K. Chen, C.-I. Wang, T. Berg-Kirkpatrick, and S. Dubnov, “Music SketchNet: Controllable Music Generation via Factorized Representations of Pitch and Rhythm,” in *Proc. Int. Soc. Music Information Retrieval Conf.*, Montréal, Canada, 2020.
- [23] E. Hein and S. Srinivasan, “The Groove Pizza,” in *New Directions in Music and Human-Computer Interaction*, S. Holland, T. Mudd, K. Wilkie-McKenna, A. McPherson, and M. M. Wanderley, Eds. Cham, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing, 2019, pp. 71–94.