

FREE NILSPACES, DOUBLE-COSET NILSPACES, AND GOWERS NORMS

PABLO CANDELA, DIEGO GONZÁLEZ-SÁNCHEZ, AND BALÁZS SZEGEDY

ABSTRACT. Compact finite-rank (CFR) nilspaces have become central in the nilspace approach to higher-order Fourier analysis, notably through their role in a general form of the inverse theorem for the Gowers norms. This paper studies these nilspaces per se, and in connection with further refinements of this inverse theorem that have been conjectured recently. Our first main result states that every CFR nilspace is obtained by taking a *free* nilspace (a nilspace based on an abelian group of the form $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$) and quotienting this by a discrete group action of a specific type, describable in terms of polynomials. We call these group actions *higher-order lattice actions* as they generalize actions of lattices in $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$. The second main result (relying on the first one) represents every CFR nilspace as a *double-coset space* $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ where G is a nilpotent Lie group of a specific kind. Our third main result extends the aforementioned results to k -step compact nilspaces (not necessarily of finite rank), by representing any such nilspace as a quotient of infinite products of free nilspaces and also as double coset spaces $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ where G is a degree- k nilpotent pro-Lie group. These results open the study of compact nilspaces to areas more classical than nilspace theory, such as the theory of topological group actions. The results also require developing the theory of topological *non-compact* nilspaces, for which we provide groundwork in this paper. Applications include new inverse theorems for Gowers norms on any finite abelian group. These theorems are purely group theoretic in that the correlating harmonics are based on double-coset spaces. This yields progress towards the Jarnésan–Tao conjecture.

1. INTRODUCTION

Since its inception in the seminal work of Gowers [17], higher-order Fourier analysis has yielded many notable results, including the celebrated proof by Green and Tao that the primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions [20]. A central topic in this area is that of inverse theorems and regularity lemmas (or structure theorems) for the Gowers norms [18]. An inverse theorem for all Gowers norms on finite cyclic groups was proved by Green, Tao and Ziegler in [23] (see also previous partial results in this direction in [21] and [22]). Analogous results on vector spaces over finite fields were proved in [4] and [46, 47].

In ergodic theory, Host and Kra introduced uniformity seminorms for measure-preserving systems [29], analogous to the Gowers norms. Host and Kra then initiated an axiomatic approach to the objects that appear as characteristic factors for these seminorms [30], notably by introducing the notion of parallelepiped structures. Inspired by this, Antolín Camarena and Szegedy introduced the concept of nilspaces [7]. These objects provide a general notion of cubic structures and have proved useful in addressing various questions related to uniformity norms and seminorms, in arithmetic combinatorics, ergodic theory, topological dynamics, and probability theory. The literature treating nilspace theory and its applications includes [8, 10, 12, 13, 24, 25, 26].

Compact Finite-Rank (CFR) nilspaces arise naturally in this context. In particular, the general inverse theorem for Gowers norms in [13] shows that these nilspaces suffice to obtain correlating harmonics for functions with non-trivial Gowers norms on an ample family of spaces admitting such norms, including all compact abelian groups and nilmanifolds. Moreover, these specific nilspaces are relevant to an interesting recent conjecture of Jarnésan and Tao concerning the inverse theorem [34, Conjecture 1.11]. Indeed, this conjecture posits that in the case of finite abelian groups, the underlying object generating the correlating harmonics in the inverse theorem can always be taken to be a (not necessarily connected) nilmanifold. Given the inverse theorem from [13], this conjecture can be approached as a problem of giving an appropriate description of CFR nilspaces in terms of nilmanifolds (we illustrate this in particular in the 2-step case, as explained below in Subsection 1.4).

This relevance of CFR nilspaces motivates their further study per se. One of our aims in this paper is to widen the set of viewpoints from which these objects can be analyzed, and especially to open this study to areas more classical than nilspace theory, such as the theory of groups and group actions. The first two main results in this paper go in this direction, as follows.

The first main result establishes that CFR nilspaces can always be represented as quotients of spaces of the form $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ by discrete group actions of a specific type, which can be described in terms of polynomials; see Theorem 1.8.

The second main result (building on the first one) represents every CFR nilspace as a double-coset space $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ where G, K are specific nilpotent Lie groups and Γ is a discrete subgroup of G (see Theorem 1.12). The relevance of double-coset spaces to nilspace theory emerged in connection with applications in dynamics, specifically when Gutman, Manners and Varjú (in unpublished work from 2014 – private communication) expressed a well-known example of Rudolph from [41] in terms of a double-coset space. More recent uses of double-coset spaces in related directions include the work of Shalom [42, Theorem 1.21] and that of Jamneshan, Shalom and Tao [32, Theorem 1.8]. These results motivated the belief (shared in the area of nilspace theory¹) that, while compact nilspaces are known not to be always coset spaces (see [30, Example 6]), they might always be expressible as *double-coset* spaces. Theorem 1.12 below confirms this belief for CFR nilspaces in general.

Furthermore, our third main result extends the above picture to all compact nilspaces (not necessarily of finite rank), proving that every such nilspace is also a double-coset space, involving a *pro-Lie* group G . This extension to general compact nilspaces is also of interest beyond nilspace theory; in particular, it is relevant to the study of Host–Kra factors, since it was established in [12, Theorem 5.11] that Host–Kra factors (for general nilpotent group actions) are always compact nilspace systems, i.e. measure-preserving systems defined on compact nilspaces [12, Definition 5.10]. The extension in question builds on the fact that every k -step compact nilspace is an inverse limit of k -step CFR nilspaces [9, Theorem 2.7.3]; combining this with the first two main results above, we obtain that an inverse limit of k -step CFR nilspaces is isomorphic to a double-coset nilspace $K \backslash G / \Gamma$, where G is a degree- k filtered pro-Lie group, K is a closed subgroup of G and Γ is a pro-discrete (hence closed) subgroup of G ; see Theorem 1.15. In particular, this implies that every inverse limit of compact nilmanifolds², when viewed in the category of compact nilspaces, is isomorphic to a double-coset space of this type (recall, for comparison, that Rudolph’s example [41] shows that an inverse limit of 2-step nilmanifolds is not, in general, the homogeneous space of some locally compact nilpotent group).

Proving the above results required further development, in this paper, of notions that seem of interest for nilspace theory, such as *free nilspaces* and *congruences* on nilspaces. These notions in turn required non-trivial groundwork in the theory of topologically *non-compact* nilspaces.

We obtain applications by upgrading the general inverse theorem for Gowers norms from [13] using the new information on CFR nilspaces obtained in this paper. In particular we prove an inverse theorem for finite abelian groups in terms of double-coset spaces, which can be viewed as a step towards [34, Conjecture 1.11]. We also obtain a new proof, in the 2-step case, of a recent result of Jamneshan and Tao [34, Theorem 1.10].

The next four brief subsections explain in more detail our main results, the related concepts, and the main applications. After that, this introduction is closed with an outline of the paper.

¹We thank in particular Yonatan Gutman, Frederick Manners and Or Shalom for exchanges on this topic.

²Meaning that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a degree- k filtered Lie group G_i , a lattice $\Gamma_i \leq G_i$, and a continuous surjective homomorphism $\varphi_{i,i+1} : G_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i$ such that $\varphi_{i,i+1}(\Gamma_{i+1}) \subset \Gamma_i$; the corresponding inverse system of nilmanifolds G_i/Γ_i is defined by the maps $\overline{\varphi_{i,i+1}} : G_{i+1}/\Gamma_{i+1} \rightarrow G_i/\Gamma_i, g\Gamma_{i+1} \mapsto \varphi_{i,i+1}(g)\Gamma_i$, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.1. CFR nilspaces as quotients of $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ by higher-order lattice actions.

To motivate our first main result, let us start by recalling the following description of characters from classical Fourier analysis. Given any compact abelian group Z , a character χ on Z can be viewed as the composition of two maps, a continuous homomorphism $\phi : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and the map $e : \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $x \mapsto \exp(2\pi ix)$:

$$\chi : Z \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{e} \mathbb{C}.$$

The main result in question here extends this picture to higher-order Fourier analysis, replacing \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} with the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ by the action of a discrete nilpotent group Γ acting on $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ by polynomial maps. The homomorphism ϕ is then replaced by a nilspace morphism³ $\varphi : Z \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s)/\Gamma$, and the map e by a Lipschitz function $F : (\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s)/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, thus obtaining what we call a *nilcharacter* on Z :

$$\chi : Z \xrightarrow{\varphi} (\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s)/\Gamma \xrightarrow{F} \mathbb{C}.$$

Example 1.1. A basic non-abelian example is provided by the Heisenberg group. This group has appeared already in many works in higher-order Fourier analysis since [21]; recall that it is the group of 3×3 upper unitriangular real matrices $\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{R} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. A special case of what we prove in this paper is that, as a nilspace, the Heisenberg group is isomorphic to a nilspace expressible in terms of structures which are among the most basic ones in nilspace theory, and which play a key role in this paper, namely the nilspaces $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$. (Given any abelian group Z and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}_k(Z)$ the k -step nilspace associated with the filtered group (Z, Z_\bullet) , where the filtration $Z_\bullet = (Z_i)_{i \geq 0}$ consists of $Z_i = Z$ for $i \leq k$ and $Z_i = \{\text{id}\}$ otherwise; see [8, §2.2.4].) Indeed, the group nilspace consisting of \mathcal{H} equipped with the Host-Kra cubes (relative to the lower-central series) is isomorphic to the product nilspace $F = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}^2) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R})$ (in this special case, this can be checked directly, an isomorphism being $\varphi : (x, y, z) \in F \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{H}$).

The Heisenberg nilmanifold is the quotient space \mathcal{H}/Γ where Γ is the *discrete* Heisenberg group $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Using this isomorphism, it is easily seen that \mathcal{H}/Γ is isomorphic (as a nilspace) to the quotient of F by the action of the group generated by the following transformations on F , which are specific examples of *nilspace translations* (see [8, §3.2.4]): $\alpha(x, y, z) = (x + 1, y, z)$ and $\beta(x, y, z) = (x, y + 1, z + x)$. Indeed, these two translations correspond (via the isomorphism φ) to the right multiplications in \mathcal{H} by the elements $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively, and these elements generate Γ .

The central objects involved in our first main result are what we call *free nilspaces*. The nilspace F above is a simple example, and the general definition is as follows.

Definition 1.2. A *free nilspace* is a direct product (in the nilspace category) of finitely many components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z})$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a free nilspace is *discrete* if it is a direct product of components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z})$, and that it is *continuous* if it is a direct product of components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R})$.

An important ingredient in this paper is an explicit description of the translation groups of free nilspaces, given in Theorem 3.15. Instead of stating this description here, we illustrate it with the following example. Similar examples have appeared in the literature (in possibly different guises); see for instance [34, Definition 1.9].

³Note that the quotient notation here takes the wider meaning of quotienting by the *action* of Γ (Γ is not necessarily a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$).

Example 1.3. Let $F = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z})$. Then the translation group $\Theta(F)$ consists of functions of the form

$$(x, y, z, t) \mapsto \left(x + a_1, y + a_2, z + a_3 + a_4x + a_5 \binom{x}{2} + a_6y, t + a_7 + a_8y \right)$$

where $a_1, a_3, a_4, a_5, a_6 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_2, a_7, a_8 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Informally speaking, the variable $x \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R})$ is *continuous* and thus it will only appear in higher-order *continuous* components. Moreover, it is *of step 1*, so in the $\mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R})$ component (say) it can appear as a polynomial of degree only at most 2, in order to satisfy the general rule given in Theorem 3.15, according to which the “step” of the variable (here the step of x is 1) times the degree of its involving polynomial should be less than the step of the coordinate in which this polynomial appears (namely here the step of $\mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R})$, which is 3). Similarly, y is *of step 2* and thus (by the same rule) it can only appear in $\mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ as a polynomial of degree at most 1; similarly for t .

As mentioned above, we want to take the quotient of any such free nilspace F by the action of a discrete subgroup of its translation group $\Theta(F)$. However, not every discrete subgroup Γ of $\Theta(F)$ yields a nilspace when quotienting F by the action of Γ . We identify the following sufficient condition for Γ to yield a nilspace by quotienting (purely algebraically, without any topological considerations for now).

Definition 1.4 (Fiber-transitive group of translations). Let F be a k -step free nilspace and let Γ be a subgroup of the translation group $\Theta(F)$. We say that Γ is a *fiber-transitive group on F* if the following holds: for all $x, y \in F$, if there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $i \in [k]$ such that $\gamma(x) = y$ and $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$, then there exists $\gamma' \in \Gamma \cap \Theta_{i+1}(F)$ such that $\gamma'(x) = y$.

This is in fact a special case of a concept introduced in this paper which provides a general setting in which quotienting a nilspace by an equivalence relation yields again a nilspace. We call such equivalence relations *nilspace congruences*, and the congruences corresponding to fiber-transitive groups are called *groupable congruences*; see Section 5. We also give examples of subgroups of translation groups that are not fiber-transitive; see Remark 5.6.

When considering quotients by fiber-transitive groups in settings where a topology is added, we face certain issues similar to standard phenomena in topological group theory. For example, a quotient group such as \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} is well-defined algebraically, but when we equip \mathbb{R} with the standard topology, quotienting by \mathbb{Q} does not yield a useful *Hausdorff* topology in the quotient, whereas quotienting by subgroups such as \mathbb{Z} does yield such a topology in the quotient, thanks to the proper discontinuity of the action of \mathbb{Z} on \mathbb{R} . We shall need an analogously convenient property for quotients of free nilspaces. To formulate this, we first need to recall the notion of the canonical projections onto characteristic factors of a nilspace. Specifically, if F is a free nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$, the projection π_j is defined as the map that just deletes the coordinates with index $i > j$, namely $\pi_j : F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}) \rightarrow F_j = \prod_{i=1}^j \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. Similarly, note that a translation $\alpha \in \Theta(F)$ can be seen as a translation in F_j just by forgetting about its action on the higher-order components. Thus the projection π_j induces a map $\eta_j : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F_j)$, which is in fact a group homomorphism. Finally, recall (using for example [8, Lemma 3.2.37]) that for every k -step free nilspace F we have $\Theta_k(F) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{a_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_k}$.

Definition 1.5 (Fiberwise discrete and cocompact actions on free nilspaces). Let F be a free nilspace and let Γ be a fiber-transitive group on F . We say that Γ is *fiber-discrete* if for every $j \in [k]$, the group $\eta_j(\Gamma) \cap \Theta_j(F_j)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\Theta_j(F_j) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j}$. We say that Γ is *fiber-cocompact* if for every $j \in [k]$, the group $\eta_j(\Gamma) \cap \Theta_j(F_j)$ is cocompact in $\Theta_j(F_j)$.

The above notions are special cases, for free nilspaces, of notions for more general non-compact topological nilspaces, introduced in Section 5 (see Definition 5.36).

Remark 1.6. Note that given a fiber-transitive group Γ , if it is *fiber-discrete* then it is a discrete subgroup of $\Theta(F)$ in the usual sense; see Lemma 5.24. However, note that Γ being fiber-cocompact does *not* imply that Γ is a cocompact subgroup of $\Theta(F)$; see Example 5.38. On the other hand Γ being fiber-cocompact does imply that the topological space F/Γ is compact; this is clear in Example 5.38, and in general it follows from Lemma 5.13 and Corollary 5.37.

We can now define a generalization, for free nilspaces, of the actions of standard lattices on \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.7 (Higher-order lattice actions). Let F be a k -step free nilspace on $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ (i.e. $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ where $\sum_i a_i = r$ and $\sum_i b_i = s$). A k -th order lattice action on F (or on $\mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$) is an action by a subgroup Γ of the translation group $\Theta(F)$ such that Γ is fiber-transitive and fiber-discrete. We say that this action is *cocompact* if Γ is also fiber-cocompact.

Let us now state our first main result, which we prove in Section 6 (see Theorem 6.2).

Theorem 1.8. *Let X be a k -step compact finite-rank nilspace. Then there exists a k -step free nilspace F , and a k -th order lattice action on F by some group $\Gamma \subset \Theta(F)$, such that $X \cong F/\Gamma$.*

1.2. CFR nilspaces as double-coset spaces.

The quotient structure describing CFR nilspaces in Theorem 1.8 is similar in several ways to a nilmanifold construction, but note that in this theorem X is not obtained as the quotient of a Lie group (i.e. we are not obtaining X as a *coset* nilspace), but rather as the quotient of the free nilspace F by the *action* of Γ . Nevertheless, from this description it is possible to deduce a characterization of X as a *double-coset* nilspace. Indeed, fix any $x_0 \in F$ and let $K = \text{Stab}(x_0) = \{\alpha \in \Theta(F) : \alpha(x_0) = x_0\}$. We can then prove that the nilspace F is isomorphic to the coset nilspace $K \backslash \Theta(F)$. We can thus view the action of Γ as an action by right multiplication on $K \backslash \Theta(F)$, and then prove that $X \cong F/\Gamma \cong K \backslash \Theta(F)/\Gamma$. To confirm all this rigorously, we must clarify, in particular, under what conditions a double-coset space $K \backslash G/\Gamma$ becomes a nilspace when equipped with the natural image (under the double quotient map) of the cube structure on the top filtered group G . We identify the following symmetric, purely algebraic property which suffices for this purpose.

Definition 1.9 (Groupable nilpair). Let (G, G_\bullet) be a filtered group of degree k and let K, Γ be subgroups of G . We say that (K, Γ) is a *groupable nilpair* in (G, G_\bullet) if any of the following equivalent properties is satisfied:

- (i) For every $x \in G$ and every $i \geq 0$ we have $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (G_i x\Gamma) = (K \cap G_i)x\Gamma$.
- (ii) For every $x \in G$ and every $i \geq 0$ we have $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i) = Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$.

We shall need useful conditions, analogous to those in Definition 1.5, to ensure that a double coset nilspace $K \backslash G/\Gamma$ (equipped with the images of the Host–Kra cubes on (G, G_\bullet)) is not only a nilspace purely algebraically, but also a CFR nilspace topologically. The following definition provides sufficient conditions of this sort, when the group G is a compactly-generated Lie group (all Lie groups considered in this paper are assumed to be compactly generated).

Definition 1.10. Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered Lie group such that for each $i \in [k]$ the subgroup G_i is closed in G . Let (K, Γ) be a groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) . For each $i \in [k]$ let $K_i = K \cap G_i$, $\Gamma_i = \Gamma \cap G_i$. We say the nilpair (K, Γ) is *closed right-discrete* if for every $i \in [k]$ the group $(KG_{i+1})/G_{i+1}$ is a closed subgroup of G/G_{i+1} and $K_i\Gamma_i G_{i+1}/(K_i G_{i+1})$ is a discrete subgroup of $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$. We say the nilpair (K, Γ) is *fiberwise cocompact* if for every $i \in [k]$, the group $K_i\Gamma_i G_{i+1}/(K_i G_{i+1})$ is a cocompact subgroup of $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$.

We prove the following result, establishing that the above properties indeed yield the required topological features on the double-coset nilspace.

Theorem 1.11. *Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered Lie group such that for each $i \in [k]$ the subgroup G_i is closed in G . Let (K, Γ) be a groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) that is closed right-discrete and cocompact. Then the double-coset nilspace $X = K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is a k -step CFR nilspace. Moreover, for each $i \in [k]$ the i -th structure group of X is $G_i / (\Gamma_i K_i G_{i+1})$.*

We can now state the second main result of this paper. This shows that every CFR nilspace can be described in the form given by Theorem 1.11.

Theorem 1.12. *Let X be a k -step compact finite-rank nilspace. Then there exists a degree- k filtered Lie group (G, G_\bullet) , and closed subgroups K, Γ of G forming a groupable nilpair (K, Γ) in (G, G_\bullet) that is closed right-discrete and cocompact, such that $X \cong K \backslash G / \Gamma$.*

1.3. Compact nilspaces as quotients of pro-free nilspaces and as double-coset spaces.

Given Theorem 1.12, it is natural to wonder whether a similar result holds for more general compact nilspaces (not necessarily of finite rank). As mentioned earlier, this question is also highly relevant (via [12, Theorem 5.11]) to the study of Host–Kra factors of measure-preserving group actions (however, pursuing this connection in detail is outside the scope of this paper).

It is known that every k -step compact nilspace is an inverse limit of k -step CFR nilspaces [9, Theorem 2.7.3]. This, together with Theorems 1.8 and 1.12, implies that every compact k -step nilspace can be represented as an inverse limit of either free nilspaces modulo k -th order lattice actions, or double-coset nilspaces. Here we want to go further and obtain single objects out of these inverse systems; in particular, we want a single double-coset space representing the original compact nilspace. To do so, we shall use the following concept.

Definition 1.13 (Graded pro-free nilspaces). Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. For every $i < d$ let F_i be a free nilspace. We call the product nilspace $F := \prod_{i < d} F_i$ a *pro-free* nilspace, and together with the sequence $(F_i)_{i < d}$ we call it a *graded pro-free nilspace*. We say F is k -step if each F_i is k -step.

One of the main points of adding such a grading is that it enables us to identify, within the potentially very large translation group of a pro-free nilspace, certain subgroups that we call *graded* translation groups, which we can ensure to be *pro-Lie* groups. We leave the technical definition of graded translation groups to Section 8 (see Definition 8.2).

Using this, we shall prove the following result.

Theorem 1.14. *Let X be a k -step compact nilspace. There exists a k -step graded pro-free nilspace F , and a fiber-transitive subgroup Γ of the corresponding graded translation group on F , such that X is isomorphic as a compact nilspace to F / Γ (with the quotient topology).*

With this in hand, an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 1.12 will enable us to turn Theorem 1.14 into the following general double-coset representation.

Theorem 1.15. *Let X be a k -step compact nilspace. There exists a k -step pro-free nilspace F , a pro-Lie subgroup G of $\Theta(F)$, and a fiber-transitive group $\Gamma \subset G$ such that, letting $K := \text{Stab}_G(x_0) = \{\alpha \in G : \alpha(x_0) = x_0\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$, we have that X is isomorphic as a compact nilspace to $K \backslash G / \Gamma$.*

1.4. Main applications.

The main applications in this paper are refinements of the principal results in higher-order Fourier analysis, namely the inverse theorem and regularity lemma for the Gowers norms. The refinements also provide additional perspectives on these results. These applications follow from combining the above-mentioned main results, namely Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.12, with the inverse theorem and regularity lemma from [13]. Although these results in [13] apply to more general compact abelian groups, for the applications here we focus on finite abelian groups, especially since this is the setting of the Jamneshan–Tao conjecture.

Let Z be a finite abelian group. Then there is a natural surjective homomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow Z$; namely, letting $Z = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/k_j\mathbb{Z}$ be the invariant factor decomposition (where k_j divides k_{j+1} for all $j < n$), we let $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow Z$ be the homomorphism that takes the quotient by $k_j\mathbb{Z}$ in the j -th component of \mathbb{Z}^n . Suppose now that X, Y are compact nilspaces and that we have a morphism $m : Z \rightarrow X$ and a fibration $\psi : Y \rightarrow X$. Then the map $g := m \circ \varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow X$ is a morphism in $\text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}^n), X)$, and it can then be lifted to Y , in the sense that there exists $g' \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}^n), Y)$ such that $\psi \circ g' = g$ (see [11, Corollary A.7]). If Y happens to have a simpler structure than X , then the morphism g' can be described in a more useful way than g . Examples of such descriptions include the Taylor expansion of a polynomial map $g' \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}^n), Y)$ when Y is a *group nilspace* corresponding to a filtered group (G, G_\bullet) (see for instance [23, Lemma B.9]). In the special case of this where Y is a *free nilspace* (and therefore G is an *abelian group* of the form $\mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ and G_\bullet is a specific type of filtration of finite degree), note that this Taylor expansion (e.g. from [23, Lemma B.9]) reduces to an expression of g' as a polynomial in a classical sense (a polynomial in n integer variables and of degree at most k). The statements of the inverse theorems will also use the following terms.

Definition 1.16. A *family of double-coset nilspaces* is an array $\mathcal{N} = ((G, K, \Gamma)_{k,i})_{k,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where for each k, i the triple $(G, K, \Gamma)_{k,i}$ consists of a degree- k filtered Lie group (G, G_\bullet) and subgroups K, Γ of G forming a closed right-discrete and cocompact groupable nilpair. Similarly, a *family of higher-order lattice actions* is an array $\mathcal{M} = ((F, \Gamma)_{k,i})_{k,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where for each k, i the pair $(F, \Gamma)_{k,i}$ consists of a free k -step nilspace F and a subgroup Γ of $\Theta(F)$ with a k -th order lattice action on F . A *metrization* of \mathcal{N} (resp. \mathcal{M}) is a sequence $D = (d_{k,i})_{k,i \in \mathbb{N}}$ where for each k, i we have that $d_{k,i}$ is a compatible metric on the double-coset space $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ corresponding to the triple $(G, K, \Gamma)_{k,i}$ (resp. on the quotient space F / Γ corresponding to the pair $(F, \Gamma)_{k,i}$).

We now state the refinements of the inverse theorem from [13] that we obtain for finite abelian groups (for the regularity lemma, similar refinements are obtained).

Theorem 1.17 (Inverse theorem with double-coset nilspaces). *There exists a family of double-coset nilspaces \mathcal{N} , such that for any metrization D of \mathcal{N} the following holds. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $M_{k,\epsilon} > 0$ such that for any finite abelian group Z and any 1-bounded function $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|f\|_{U^{k+1}(Z)} \geq \epsilon$, for some $i \leq M_{k,\epsilon}$ the triple $(G, K, \Gamma)_{k,i}$ in \mathcal{N} satisfies the following:*

(i) *there is a polynomial map $g \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}^n), G_\bullet)$ such that, letting $\pi_{K,\Gamma} : G \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ be the quotient map and $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow Z$ be the natural surjective homomorphism, there exists a morphism $m : \mathcal{D}_1(Z) \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ with $\pi_{K,\Gamma} \circ g = m \circ \varphi$,*

(ii) *there is a continuous function $F : K \backslash G / \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with Lipschitz norm $O_{k,\epsilon}(1)$,*

and letting $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be any fundamental domain of $\mathbb{Z}^n / \ker(\varphi)$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} f(x) \overline{F(Kg(x)\Gamma)} \right| \gg_{k,\epsilon} 1.$$

Remark 1.18. Our proof gives additional information on the Lie group G and subgroups K, Γ in the above result. Indeed we obtain that G can be taken to be the translation group of a free k -step nilspace F (which is proved to be a Lie group), that K is the stabilizer in G of a point in F , and that Γ is a discrete subgroup of G such that the pair (K, Γ) satisfies Definition 1.10. The polynomial map g can also be described in more detail via Taylor expansion [23, Lemma B.9].

Theorem 1.19 (Inverse theorem with higher-order lattice actions). *There exists a family of higher-order lattice actions \mathcal{M} , such that for any metrization D of \mathcal{M} the following holds. For any $\epsilon > 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $N_{k,\epsilon} > 0$ such that for any finite abelian group Z and any 1-bounded function $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\|f\|_{U^{k+1}(Z)} \geq \epsilon$, for some $i \leq N_{k,\epsilon}$ the pair $(F, \Gamma)_{i,k}$ in \mathcal{M} satisfies the following:*

- (i) there is a polynomial map $g : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow F$ such that, letting $\pi_\Gamma : F \rightarrow F/\Gamma$ be the quotient fibration and $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow Z$ be the natural surjective homomorphism, there exists a morphism $m : \mathcal{D}_1(Z) \rightarrow F/\Gamma$ with $\pi_\Gamma \circ g = m \circ \varphi$,
- (ii) there is a continuous function $\phi : F/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with Lipschitz norm $O_{k,\epsilon}(1)$, and letting $D \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$ be any fundamental domain of $\mathbb{Z}^n / \ker(\varphi)$, we have

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{x \in D} f(x) \overline{\phi(\pi_\Gamma(g(x)))} \right| \gg_{k,\epsilon} 1.$$

From these results we can also deduce the following theorem, giving a new confirmation (alternative to [34, Theorem 1.10]) of Conjecture 1.11 from [34], specifically for the U^3 -norm.

Theorem 1.20 (Inverse theorem for the U^3 -norm on finite abelian groups). *Let $\epsilon > 0$, let Z be a finite abelian group, and let $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 1-bounded function with $\|f\|_{U^3(Z)} \geq \epsilon$. Then there exists a 2-step connected filtered nilmanifold G/Γ drawn from some finite collection \mathcal{N}_ϵ (where each such nilmanifold is endowed with an arbitrary compatible metric), a continuous function $F : G/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of Lipschitz norm $O_\epsilon(1)$, and a nilspace morphism $g : \mathcal{D}_1(Z) \rightarrow G/\Gamma$, such that $|\mathbb{E}_{x \in Z} f(x) F(g(x))| \gg_\epsilon 1$.*

Note that our method of proof enables an extension of this theorem from finite abelian groups Z to more general domains such as compact abelian groups and nilmanifolds; see Remark 7.17.

1.5. Outline of the paper.

Proving Theorem 1.8 occupies most of the efforts in this paper. The proof argues by induction on the step of the CFR nilspace X . The induction requires working with a fiber product of X with a free $(k-1)$ -step nilspace F_{k-1} . Since this free nilspace is non-compact, this requires us to handle non-compact topological nilspaces. We develop some groundwork theory on these *locally-compact Hausdorff* nilspaces (or LCH nilspaces) in Section 2.

In Section 3 we focus on the main type of LCH nilspaces that we shall need, namely *free nilspaces*, and establish some of their main properties used in later sections.

Section 4 presents an important ingredient for our proof of Theorem 1.8. This ingredient, Theorem 4.1, establishes that the fiber-product nilspace in the above-mentioned induction is a split nilspace extension. This result seems of intrinsic interest as a non-trivial generalization of classical results on homological algebra in the category of locally-compact abelian groups [38]. As a consequence, the fiber-product in question is shown to be nilspace-isomorphic to a product nilspace of the form $F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$, for some inductively-given $(k-1)$ -step free nilspace F_{k-1} and the (compact abelian Lie) structure group Z_k of X . This is useful because this product nilspace can then be shown to admit a fibration from a k -step free nilspace, namely $F := F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z'_k)$ where Z'_k is an abelian Lie group having a continuous surjective homomorphism onto Z_k . Obtaining this fibration from this free nilspace is a major step towards Theorem 1.8, and is recorded (in greater generality than for CFR nilspaces) in Theorem 4.4.

Section 5 introduces the notion of groupable congruence and its main properties, in preparation for Section 6, where the first main result Theorem 1.8 is proved (see Theorem 6.1).

In Section 7, we first gather the necessary background on double-coset nilspaces (both algebraically and topologically). We then prove our second main result, Theorem 1.12, and prove the above-mentioned applications.

Finally, in Section 8 we extend our results to compact (not necessarily finite-rank) nilspaces. To help the reader navigate through this paper, we provide the following diagram.

2. LOCALLY-COMPACT HAUSDORFF NILSPACES

In this section we consider nilspaces equipped with a locally compact, Hausdorff and second-countable topology (we shall abbreviate this from now on by referring to these topologies as “LCH topologies”). In particular all such spaces are metrizable. This will extend the topological theory of nilspaces from the case of *compact* nilspaces (studied in [7, 9]), to the present not-necessarily compact setting. Note that second-countability and the Hausdorff property will be assumed throughout this paper (just as they were in [7, 9]).

Let us start by recalling that a *compact nilspace* is a nilspace X equipped with a compact topology such that for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X)$ is a closed subset of the product (compact) space $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. The non-compact generalization considered here is the following.

Definition 2.1 (LCH nilspace). We say that a nilspace X is an LCH *nilspace* if X is a locally-compact Hausdorff second-countable topological space such that the following property holds for every integer $n \geq 0$:

- (i) The cube set $C^n(X)$ is closed in the product topology on $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.
- (ii) The coordinate projection $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X)$ is an open map.

Similar notions have been studied before in the context of topological cube structures. For instance, in [31, §2.2, Proposition 7 (iii)] such objects are described and their main properties include continuity of a completion function for cubes. In the present nilspace-theoretic context, this can be phrased as follows. For a k -step nilspace X , since completion of $k + 1$ corners is unique, there is a well-defined function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow X$ that maps any given corner to the point in X that completes this corner⁴. The assumption here analogous to that of [31] is that if we endow X with some topology, then the map \mathcal{K} should be continuous. Let us note that this continuity is an immediate consequence of Definition 2.1 when X is a k -step nilspace.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Then the completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is continuous.*

Proof. The map $p^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket} : C^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ is open and bijective by assumption. Hence its inverse $(p^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket})^{-1}$ is continuous. Composing this inverse with the projection to the coordinate indexed by 1^{k+1} , we obtain precisely the map \mathcal{K} , which is therefore continuous. \square

It is natural to wonder whether condition (ii) in Definition 2.1 is necessary in this non-compact setting. Indeed, in [7] or [9, Definition 1.0.2], the definition of *compact* nilspaces only requires the cube set $C^n(X)$ to be a closed subset of $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ for each $n \geq 0$ (in addition to the requirement that the topology of X be LCH and compact). In the present more general (non-compact) setting, it turns out that closure of the cube sets is not enough to obtain a satisfactory theory. Indeed, such a theory should yield in particular that every 1-step LCH nilspace is a topological abelian group for any addition operation $+$ compatible with the group structure (“compatible” meaning that the cubes on this nilspace are precisely the standard abelian cubes relative to $+$). But there are examples where this fails if we only assume closure of the cubes. Let us detail this with the following construction inspired by an example of Eric Wofsey [48].

Example 2.3. On the abelian group $(\mathbb{Z}, +)$ let us define the topology τ generated by all the sets $\{n\}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and the sets $\{0, 3^m, 3^{m+1}, \dots\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It can be checked that this topology is LCH. Hence, in particular this is a metric space. We claim that (\mathbb{Z}, τ) satisfies that for all $n \geq 0$, the set $C^n(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}))$ is closed in $\mathbb{Z}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, but $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau, +)$ is not a topological group.

⁴We will denote this completion map by \mathcal{K}_{k+1} when there are many completion functions for different dimensions in the same argument.

In order to prove that the cube sets are closed, note that it suffices to prove that $C^2(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}))$ is closed (since every higher-dimensional cube set is an intersection of preimages of 2-dimensional cube sets by continuous coordinate-projection maps). Let $((x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n))_n$ be a sequence in $C^2(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}))$ (that is, satisfying $x_n + y_n = z_n + t_n$ for each n) and converging to some limit (x, y, z, t) . We want to prove that $x + y = z + t$. Note that in this topology there are two types of convergent sequences. If $b \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then $b_n \rightarrow b$ means that $b_n = b$ for n sufficiently large. If $b = 0$, then $b_n \rightarrow b$ means that either $b_n = 0$ for n large enough, or there exists a subsequence $(b_{n_m})_m$ such that $b_{n_m} = 3^{\iota(m)}$ for some strictly increasing function $\iota : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$. Thus, if (b_n) converges then either b_n is eventually constant or we can restrict to a subsequence such that $b_{n_m} = 3^{\iota(m)}$ for an increasing ι . Coming back to the convergent sequence (x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n) , we have the following exhaustive cases. In the first case, all four component sequences are eventually constant, and we then have the desired closure. In a second case, only one of the sequences has a strictly increasing subsequence, and then (relabeling the subsequence), we have $x_n = 3^{\iota(n)}$, and y_n, z_n, t_n are eventually constant. We would then have $3^{\iota(n)}$ equal to the constant $z + t - y$, which is impossible for n large enough. In the third case, two of the component sequences in the tuple (x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n) have a strictly increasing subsequence. Then note that the only subcase here that is not obviously impossible is when these two components are on different sides of the equation $x_n + y_n = z_n + t_n$. Suppose that these components are x_n and z_n . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that $x_n = 3^{\iota_1(n)}$. If, on this subsequence, the component z_n becomes eventually constant (zero), then we are back into the previous case, yielding a contradiction. Otherwise we can pass to a further subsequence in which $x_n = 3^{\iota_1(n)}$ and $z_n = 3^{\iota_2(n)}$. Then, as y_n and t_n are eventually constant, for n large enough they are equal to y and t respectively. But now, if we write the equation $3^{\iota_1(n)} + y = 3^{\iota_2(n)} + t$ in base 3, it follows that as ι_1 and ι_2 are strictly increasing, the equation can hold for all such large enough n only if $y = t$ and $\iota_1(n) = \iota_2(n)$. Thus, the limit of (x_n, y_n, z_n, t_n) is $(0, y, 0, y)$, which is in $C^2(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}))$. The case when three of the component sequences have infinite increasing subsequences (and the fourth one is eventually constant) is treated similarly, considering subcases, in each of which either one obtains a contradiction or a conclusion confirming the desired closure. The case when all four sequences have a strictly increasing subsequence leads to the limit $(0, 0, 0, 0)$, which is in $C^2(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}))$ as required.

Finally, note that $(\mathbb{Z}, \tau, +)$ is not a topological group, because the sequence $(3^n, 3^n)$ converges to $(0, 0)$ but $3^n + 3^n = 2 \cdot 3^n$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Our next aim is to prove that the basic constructions in nilspace theory also preserve the properties of LCH nilspaces. We start by proving that the structure groups of an LCH nilspace X are LCH topological groups when equipped with the restriction of the topology on X . This involves the following lemma, showing that the fibers of the factor projections $\pi_i : X \rightarrow X_i$ are closed.

Lemma 2.4. *Let X be a k -step nilspace endowed with an LCH topology such that for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X)$ is a closed subset of $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. Then for each $i \in [k]$ the equivalence classes of \sim_i are closed subsets of X . Moreover, the graph of \sim_i is a closed subset of $X \times X$.*

Proof. Fix any $x \in X$ and let (y_n) be any sequence of points $y_n \sim_i x$ converging to some $y \in X$. We just need to show that $y \sim_i x$. This follows by definition of \sim_i and the cube-set closure assumptions; indeed there is an $(i + 1)$ -cube c_n equal to x everywhere except at one vertex where it equals y_n , and the convergence of y_n to y implies that c_n converges to a map g that equals x everywhere except at one vertex where it equals y . By the closure of $C^{i+1}(X)$ we deduce that g is a cube, which implies that $y \sim_i x$ as required.

To see that the graph of \sim_i is a closed subset of $X \times X$, the argument is similar: suppose that (x_n, y_n) is a convergent sequence in this graph, that is we have $x_n \sim_i y_n$ for all n and there

is $(x, y) \in X \times X$ such that $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ in $X \times X$. Now $x_n \sim_i y_n$ means there is an $(i + 1)$ -cube c_n equal to x_n at all vertices except at 1^{i+1} where it equals y_n . The convergence $(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ implies that (c_n) converges in $X^{\llbracket i+1 \rrbracket}$ to a map g equal to x at every vertex except at 1^{i+1} where it equals y . By closure of $C^{i+1}(X)$ we have that $g \in C^{i+1}(X)$, so (x, y) is in the graph of \sim_i as required. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let X be a k -step nilspace endowed with an LCH topology such that for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X)$ is closed in $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ and the completion function on $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ is continuous. Then the structure group Z_k is an LCH abelian group acting continuously on X .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, each fiber F of π_{k-1} is a closed subset of X . It is straightforwardly seen that then the subspace topology on F is also locally-compact second-countable Hausdorff. Fix any such fiber F on X , i.e. an equivalence class of \sim_{k-1} . Recall from [8, Corollary 3.2.16] that we can regard F as an abelian group by fixing an arbitrary $e \in F$. The group operation can be defined as follows: for any $x, y \in F$, let $c' = c'_{x,y} \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ be the corner such that $c'(1, 0, 1^{k-1}) = x$, $c'(0, 1^k) = y$ and $c'(v) = e$ otherwise. Letting $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow X$ denote the (continuous) completion function, we define $x + y := \mathcal{K}(c_{x,y})$. For $k = 2$ we can represent

$$\text{this for instance as follows: } (x, y) \mapsto \begin{array}{c} y \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ e \quad x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ e \quad e \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ e \quad e \end{array} \mapsto \mathcal{K}(c_{x,y}).$$

Letting $\iota : F \times F \rightarrow \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$, $(x, y) \mapsto c_{x,y}$, we have that $x + y := \mathcal{K} \circ \iota(x, y)$. By [8, Lemma 3.2.7] we have $x + y \in F$. Since both ι and \mathcal{K} are continuous, the addition operation on F is also continuous. A similar argument shows that inversion is also a continuous operation (see [8, Proposition 2.4.1]).

We have thus shown that on any particular fiber F of π_{k-1} , the structure and topology of X induces a structure of LCH abelian group on F . Next, we prove that every two such fibers are homeomorphic. To see this, let F, F' be any two fibers of π_{k-1} and fix any points $e \in F, e' \in F'$, which we shall use as identity elements in the respective groups. For any $x \in F$, define $c_x \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ by $c_x(1^k, 0) = x$, $c_x(v, 0) = e$ for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ and $c_x(v, 1) = e'$ for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}$. We then define the map $\theta : F \rightarrow F'$, $\theta(x) := \mathcal{K}(c_x)$. For $k = 2$, again we can

$$\text{visualize this as follows: } x \mapsto c_x := \begin{array}{c} e' \quad e' \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ e \quad x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ e \quad e \end{array} \mapsto \mathcal{K}(c_x).$$

By the continuity assumptions for the corner-completion function, this map θ is continuous. As its inverse is defined exactly the same way, we have that θ is an isomorphism of topological groups between F and F' . Let Z_k denote the resulting topological group. Since Z_k is homeomorphic to each fiber F , its topology is LCH.

The action of Z_k on each fiber is continuous. However, we need to establish continuity of the action of Z_k globally on X , that is, we need to show that the map $X \times Z_k \rightarrow X$, $(x, z) \mapsto x + z$ is continuous. This follows from the fact that this map is a composition of the following

$$\text{form (illustrated for } k = 2; \text{ for } k > 2 \text{ it is similar): } (x, z) \mapsto c_{x,z} := \begin{array}{c} x \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ x \quad x \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ e \quad e+z \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ e \quad e \end{array} \mapsto$$

$\mathcal{K}(c_{x,z})$. Again, continuity of completion and the addition function on F implies that $\mathcal{K}(c_{x,z})$ is continuous as well. \square

We obtain the following consequence concerning the quotient topology on X_{k-1} .

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a k -step nilspace endowed with an LCH topology such that for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X) \subset X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is closed and the completion of $(k+1)$ -corners is continuous. Then the canonical quotient map $\pi_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is an open map and X_{k-1} equipped with the quotient topology is an LCH space.⁵*

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 the saturation of any open set in X by the action of Z_k is also an open set, and this implies that π_{k-1} is an open map (in other words, the relation \sim_{k-1} is an open relation, in the sense of [6, p. 52, Definition 2]). By Lemma 2.4 the graph of \sim_{k-1} is closed in $X \times X$. Hence $\pi_{k-1}(X) = X_{k-1}$ is Hausdorff, by [6, p. 79, Proposition 8]. By [6, p. 107, Proposition 10], it follows that X_{k-1} is locally compact. Finally, since the continuous surjective open image of a second-countable space is second-countable, so is X_{k-1} . \square

Corollary 2.7. *Let X be a k -step nilspace satisfying the hypothesis of Corollary 2.6. Then for every compact set $K' \subset X_{k-1}$ there exists a compact $K \subset X$ such that $\pi_{k-1}(K) = K'$.*

Proof. This follows from [6, p. 107, Proposition 10]. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a k -step nilspace endowed with an LCH topology such that for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X) \subset X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is closed and the completion function on $(k+1)$ -corners is continuous. Then $C^n(X_{k-1})$ is a closed subset of $X_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ in the product topology.*

Proof. Since X_{k-1} is a $(k-1)$ -step nilspace, we have that if $C^k(X_{k-1})$ is closed, then arguing as in the proof of [9, Lemma 2.1.1] we deduce that so is $C^n(X_{k-1})$ for every $n > k$. Thus it suffices to show that $C^n(X_{k-1})$ is closed for every $n \leq k$. But for such n , any lift of any n -cube on X_{k-1} under $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is an n -cube on X (recall [8, Remark 3.2.12]), so $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket -1}(C^n(X_{k-1})) = C^n(X)$. Since $C^n(X_{k-1})$ is closed, we deduce that $C^n(X_{k-1})$ is closed in the quotient topology on $X_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ induced by $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. But this quotient topology is homeomorphic to the $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ -power of the quotient topology on X_{k-1} induced by π_{k-1} (by [6, p. 55, Corollary], so we conclude that $C^n(X_{k-1})$ is indeed closed in the product topology on $X_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let X be an LCH k -step nilspace. Then X_{k-1} , equipped with the cube sets $(\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(X)))_{n \geq 0}$, is a $(k-1)$ -step LCH nilspace with respect to the quotient topology.*

Proof. Note that the algebraic part of this result follows from [8, Lemma 3.2.10]. Combining Corollary 2.6 and Lemma 2.8 we have that the topology on X_{k-1} is also LCH, and part (i) of Definition 2.1 follows. Hence, we just have to prove part (ii), i.e., that the projection maps $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : C^n(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X_{k-1})$ are open.

First let us assume that $n \leq k$. In this case, the sets $C^n(X)$ are closed and saturated with respect to $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. That is, $C^n(X)$ is closed and $(\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket})^{-1}(\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(X))) = C^n(X)$. By [39, Theorem 22.1] the restricted map $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}|_{C^n(X)} : C^n(X) \rightarrow C^n(X_{k-1})$ is a quotient map. Similarly, the restriction $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{1^n\}}|_{\text{Cor}^n(X)} : \text{Cor}^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X_{k-1})$ is a quotient map. Given any open set $U \subset C^n(X_{k-1})$, we claim that $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(U) \subset \text{Cor}^n(X_{k-1})$ is open. By definition of the quotient topology, $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(U)$ is open if and only if $(\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{1^n\}}|_{\text{Cor}^n(X)})^{-1}(p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(U))$ is. But this set is precisely $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}((\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}|_{C^n(X)})^{-1}(U))$ where $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X)$. As $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}|_{C^n(X)} : C^n(X) \rightarrow C^n(X_{k-1})$ is continuous, we have that $(\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}|_{C^n(X)})^{-1}(U)$ is open, and then the openness of $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ gives us the desired conclusion. In particular, if $n = k$ we get the

⁵Note this claim is just about the quotient topology on X_{k-1} , we are not claiming yet that X_{k-1} is an LCH nilspace.

result that the completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^k(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow C^k(X_{k-1})$ is continuous (as it is the inverse of the open map $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket k \rrbracket} : C^k(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^k(X_{k-1})$).

For $n > k$ note that we have a bijection $\text{Cor}^n(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow C^n(X_{k-1})$, which is also continuous (as it just consists in applying \mathcal{K} on some upper face of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$). But by definition, the inverse of this function is $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : C^n(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X_{k-1})$. Hence $p_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is open and the result follows. \square

We can now establish the equivalence between the completion function being continuous, and the projection to the sets of corners being an open map.

Lemma 2.10. *Let X be a k -step nilspace endowed with an LCH topology. Then X is an LCH nilspace if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *For every $n \geq 0$, the cube set $C^n(X)$ is a closed subset of $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.*
- (ii) *For every $n \in [k]$, the corner completion function $\mathcal{K}_{n+1} : \text{Cor}^{n+1}(X_n) \rightarrow X_n$ is continuous, where the canonical factor X_n is endowed with the quotient topology.*

Proof. For the forward implication, note that (i) follows from Definition 2.1, and (ii) follows from Lemma 2.2 applied to each factor of X , using Theorem 2.9 to pass to lower-step factors.

To prove the converse, first note that we can apply Proposition 2.5. Hence, the structure group Z_k is an LCH topological group that acts on X continuously. By Corollary 2.6, we get that for every $n \in [k]$ the projection map $\pi_n : X \rightarrow X_n$ is an open quotient map.

First, note that for $m > k$ the openness of $p^{\llbracket m \rrbracket} : C^m(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^m(X)$ is trivial as its inverse can be recovered using \mathcal{K}_{k+1} (which is continuous by hypothesis).

For $m \leq k$, note that it is enough to prove that $p^{\llbracket m \rrbracket}(C^m(X) \cap \prod_{v \in \llbracket m \rrbracket} A_v)$ is open for open sets $A_v \subset X$, $v \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$. Moreover, we can restrict our attention to sets where $A_v = X$ for all $v \neq 1^m$, since we have

$$p^{\llbracket m \rrbracket}(C^m(X) \cap \prod_{v \in \llbracket m \rrbracket} A_v) = \left(\prod_{v \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \setminus \{1^m\}} A_v \right) \cap p^{\llbracket m \rrbracket}(C^m(X) \cap [X^{\llbracket m \rrbracket \setminus \{1^m\}} \times A_{1^m}]).$$

But for those sets we know how to compute such images. Let

- $\pi_{m-1} : X \rightarrow X_{m-1}$ be the quotient map, which is also open.
- $\pi_{m-1}^{\llbracket m \rrbracket \setminus \{1^m\}} : \text{Cor}^m(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^m(X_{m-1})$ be the coordinate-wise application of π_{m-1} (which is continuous).
- $\mathcal{K}_m : \text{Cor}^m(X_{m-1}) \rightarrow X_{m-1}$ the completion function (which is continuous by hypothesis).

Then $p^{\llbracket m \rrbracket}(C^m(X) \cap [X^{\llbracket m \rrbracket \setminus \{1^m\}} \times A_{1^m}]) = (\pi_{m-1}^{\llbracket m \rrbracket \setminus \{1^m\}})^{-1}(\mathcal{K}_m^{-1}(\pi_{m-1}(A_{1^m})))$, which is open, and the result follows. \square

Remark 2.11. Note that compact nilspaces are in particular LCH nilspaces. To see this it suffices to check the continuity of the completion function. This follows by [9, Lemma 2.1.12].

Recall from [8, Definition 3.1.4] the definition of a *simplicial set* $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$, and from [8, Definition 3.3.10] the definition of the set of *restricted morphisms* $\text{hom}_f(S, X)$. For our purposes here, we will just need the case where $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ is a simplicial set, X is a nilspace and $f : \emptyset \rightarrow X$ (so f is just the trivial function). Thus, we define $\text{hom}(S, X) \subset X^S$ as the set of maps $g : S \rightarrow X$ such that for every discrete-cube morphism $\phi : \llbracket m \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket n \rrbracket$ with image lying in S , we have $g \circ \phi \in C^m(X)$ (no reference made to f , since in this case it is trivial). In particular $\text{Cor}^n(X) = \text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{1^n\}, X)$. When X is an LCH nilspace, we always consider $\text{hom}(S, X)$ to be equipped with the subspace topology induced by the product topology on X^S .

Lemma 2.12. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace and let $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ be a simplicial set. Then the projection map $p_S : C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(S, X)$ is open.*

Proof. For any simplicial set $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$, let us say that $v \in S$ is *maximal* if there is no $w \in S$ with $w \neq v$ such that $w(j) \geq v(j)$ for all $j \in [n]$. We claim that the projection map $p' : \text{hom}(S, X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(S \setminus \{v\}, X)$ is open. It suffices to check this for open sets of the form $\prod_{w \in S} U_w \cap \text{hom}(S, X)$ where $U_w \subset X$ are open for all $w \in S$. Letting $|v| := \sum_{j=1}^n v(j)$, and defining the sets $V_{w'} := X$ if $w' \neq 1^{|v|}$ and $V_{1^{|v|}} = U_v$, we claim that

$$p' \left(\prod_{w \in S} U_w \cap \text{hom}(S, X) \right) = \prod_{w \in S \setminus \{v\}} U_w \cap \text{hom}(S \setminus \{v\}, X) \cap r^{-1} \left(p^{\llbracket |v| \rrbracket} \left(\prod_{w' \in \llbracket |v| \rrbracket} V_{w'} \cap C^{|v|}(X) \right) \right)$$

where r is the map $\text{hom}(S \setminus \{v\}, X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^{|v|}(X)$ that projects to the coordinates $w \in S$ such that $w(j) \leq v(j)$ for all $j \in [n]$, relabeling these coordinates to the set $\llbracket |v| \rrbracket$. Clearly r is continuous, and as $p^{\llbracket |v| \rrbracket}$ is open by hypothesis the result follows.

Then, for any simplicial $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ we can find a sequence $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_t \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ such that $S = \llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$, and for every $\ell = 0, \dots, t$ we have that $\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$ is simplicial and $v_{\ell+1}$ is maximal in $\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{v_1, \dots, v_\ell\}$. We can then write $p^S : C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(S, X)$ as a composition of t open maps $C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{v_1\}, X) \rightarrow \text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket \setminus \{v_1, v_2\}, X) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{hom}(S, X)$ which is open in particular. \square

Lemma 2.13. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Then for every $n \geq 0$ the projection function $\pi_i^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} |_{C^n(X)} : C^n(X) \rightarrow C^n(X_i)$ is an open map. In particular $\pi_i^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} |_{C^n(X)}$ is a quotient map.*

Proof. It suffices to prove the result for $i = k - 1$, and then apply this case $i - 1$ times. For $i = k - 1$ there are two cases. Let us deal with $n \leq k$ first. Note that it is enough to prove the result for open sets of the form $\prod_{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket} U_v \cap C^n(X)$ where $U_v \subset X$ are open. We claim that in this case

$$\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \left(\prod_{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket} U_v \cap C^n(X) \right) = \prod_{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket} \pi_{k-1}(U_v) \cap C^n(X_{k-1}).$$

If this is true then the result will follow for $n \leq k$, π_{k-1} is an open map. In order to prove that, note that clearly the LHS is contained in the RHS. For the other inclusion, let $\pi_{k-1} \circ c$ be such that $(\pi_{k-1} \circ c)(v) \in \pi(U_v)$ for all $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$. For each $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ there exists $d(v) \in U_v$ such that $(\pi_{k-1} \circ c)(v) = (\pi_{k-1} \circ d)(v)$. By [8, Corollary 3.2.8] we have that in particular $d \in C^n(X)$ and the result follows in this case. For $n > k$, note that we can write the map $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ as the composition of the following maps:

$$C^n(X) \xrightarrow{p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}} \text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\leq k}, X) \xrightarrow{\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}} \text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\leq k}, X_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}'} C^n(X_{k-1})$$

Here $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}$ is defined as in Lemma 2.12, $\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\leq k} := \{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket : \sum_{j=1}^n v(j) \leq k\}$, $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}$ is the coordinate-wise application of π_{k-1} and \mathcal{K}' is the composition of several applications of the completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^k(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ in order to complete an element of $\text{hom}(\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\leq k}, X_{k-1})$. By Lemma 2.12 we have that $p^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}$ is open. By an argument very similar to the one in the previous paragraph we have that $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}_{\leq k}$ is open as well, and \mathcal{K}' is open as its inverse is continuous (because it is just a projection to some coordinates). \square

Our next step is to prove that several important constructions associated with nilspaces inherit the LCH property from the original spaces. Recall the definition of fiber product of nilspaces.

Definition 2.14. Let X, X' and Y be nilspaces and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ and $\varphi' : X' \rightarrow Y$ be fibrations. We define the *fiber product* of X and X' as the nilspace consisting of the set $X \times_Y X' := \{(x, x') \in X \times X' : \varphi(x) = \varphi'(x')\}$ endowed with the cubes $C^n(X \times_Y X') := \{(c, c') \in C^n(X) \times C^n(X') : \varphi \circ c = \varphi' \circ c'\}$.

It is proved in [10, Lemma 4.2] that such fiber products are indeed nilspaces.

Remark 2.15. Note that in this definition we have not assumed anything about the topology on X, X' or Y' . We will do so in the following lemmas. Note also that in the expression $X \times_Y X'$ there is no reference to φ or φ' . These maps will be clear from the context.


An observation which will often be useful in what follows is that some structures that we will have to handle are in fact *Cartan principal bundles*, recalled here from [40, 1.1.2 Definition].

Definition 2.16 (Cartan principal bundle). Let B be a G -principal bundle. Let the action of G over B be given by $(g, b) \in G \times B \mapsto gb \in B$. We say that the bundle is a *Cartan principal bundle* if given the map $T : B \times G \rightarrow B \times B$ defined by $(b, g) \mapsto (b, gb)$ there exists a continuous map⁶ $R : \text{im}(T) \rightarrow B \times G$ such that $R \circ T = \text{id}_{B \times G}$.

In our context, the group G will usually be abelian. Thus, if the action of G on B is given by $(g, b) \mapsto g + b$, the principal G -bundle B is Cartan if and only if the *difference map*, i.e. the map $\{(b, b') \in B \times B : \exists g \in G \text{ s.t. } g + b = b'\} \rightarrow G, (b, b') \mapsto b' - b$, is continuous.

Lemma 2.17. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. For each $i \in [k]$, let Z_i be the i -th structure group of X . Then for every $i \in [k]$, the Z_i -principal bundle X_i is a Cartan principal bundle.*

Proof. By induction on k it suffices to prove this for $i = k$. Recall that Z_k can be identified with any fixed equivalence class (or fiber) in X for the relation \sim_k , in which we have fixed a particular element $e \in F$ to be the identity for addition. Then, given $x, y \in X \times_{X_{k-1}} X$, let $c_{x,y} \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ be the corner defined by $c_{x,y}(v, 0) := y$ for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}$, $c_{x,y}(1^k, 0) := x$ and $c_{x,y}(v, 1) = e$ for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}$. The difference $x - y$ can be then expressed as $\mathcal{K}(c_{x,y})$ where $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is the unique-completion function. As an example, for $k = 2$ this

composition can be viewed as follows: $(x, y) \mapsto c_{x,y} :=$  $\mapsto \mathcal{K}(c_{x,y})$. As the map

$(x, y) \mapsto c_{x,y}$ is continuous, so is $\mathcal{K} \circ c_{x,y}$ and the result follows. \square

Lemma 2.18. *Let X, X' and Y be k -step LCH nilspaces, and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y, \varphi' : X' \rightarrow Y$ be (continuous) fibrations. Then the corresponding fiber product $X \times_Y X'$ (recall Definition 2.14) is also an LCH nilspace. Moreover, if $Z_i(X), Z_i(X')$ and $Z_i(Y)$ are the i th structure groups of X, X' and Y respectively, then the i th structure group of $X \times_Y X'$ is $G_i := \{(z, z') \in Z_i(X) \times Z_i(X') : \phi_i(z) = \phi'_i(z')\}$ where $\phi_i : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ and $\phi'_i : Z_i(X') \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ are the structure homomorphisms of φ and φ' respectively.*

Proof. By [10, Lemma 4.2] we know that this fiber product is a k -step nilspace (algebraically speaking). Hence, we just have to check that the conditions of Definition 2.1 are satisfied.

From the continuity of φ and φ' it is easy to check that the topology on $X \times_Y X'$ is also LCH. Indeed, this set is a closed subset of $X \times X'$, as it is the preimage of the diagonal of $Y \times Y$ under the map $(x, x') \mapsto (\varphi(x), \varphi'(x'))$. Similarly, for each $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(X \times_Y X')$ is closed, since it is $[C^n(X \times X')] \cap (X \times_Y X')^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, which is a closed subset of $(X \times X')^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, so in particular it is a closed subset of $(X \times_Y X')^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.

Instead of proving the second part of Definition 2.1 we use Lemma 2.10 and just check that $X \times_Y X'$ satisfies property (ii) in this lemma. By [11, Proposition A.20], for each $i \in [k]$ the i -step characteristic factor of this nilspace is $X_i \times_{Y_i} X'_i$. Also, by the proof of [11, Proposition A.20], the i -th structure group of $X \times_Y X'$ is $G_i := \{(z, z') \in Z_i(X) \times Z_i(X') : \phi_i(z) = \phi'_i(z')\}$

⁶Here the image $\text{im}(T)$ is understood to have the subspace topology.

where $\phi_i : Z_i(X) \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ and $\phi'_i : Z_i(X') \rightarrow Z_i(Y)$ are the structure homomorphisms of φ and φ' respectively (see [8, Definition 3.3.1]). To see that part (ii) of Lemma 2.10 holds for $i = k$, note that the completion function on $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(X \times_Y X')$ is continuous, as it is just the coordinate-wise application of the $(k+1)$ -completion functions on X and X' . For $i < k$, we already have a purely algebraic nilspace isomorphism $(X \times_Y X')_i \rightarrow X_i \times_{Y_i} X'_i$. If we show that this is also a homeomorphism then we will be done, as we can then repeat the preceding argument to obtain the continuity of the completion function. To obtain the homeomorphism property it suffices to show that the quotient topology on $(X \times_Y X')_i$ is equal to the subspace topology on $X_i \times_{Y_i} X'_i$ induced by the product topology on $X_i \times X'_i$. Note that it suffices to show this for $i = k-1$, as the rest of the cases follow by induction on k . Hence, let us consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_Y X' & & \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow T & \\ (X \times_Y X')_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & X_{k-1} \times_{Y_{k-1}} X'_{k-1}, \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where $T(x, x') := (\pi_{k-1}(x), \pi_{k-1}(x'))$, π is the quotient map for the relation \sim_k (see [8, Definition 3.2.3]) and $\iota(\pi(x, x')) = (\pi_{k-1}(x), \pi_{k-1}(x'))$. Recall that by [11, Proposition A.21], ι is a well-defined bijective morphism. We want to prove that it is a homeomorphism.

We already know that the relation \sim_k on $X \times_Y X'$ comes from the continuous action of the group G_k . Also, using the closure of $C^n(X \times_Y X')$ it follows that the set $\{((x_0, x'_0), (x_1, x'_1)) \in (X \times_Y X')^2 : (x_0, x'_0) \sim_k (x_1, x'_1)\}$ is closed. Hence we can apply Lemma B.1 to conclude that the topology on $(X \times_Y X')_{k-1}$ is LCH and, in particular, it is metrizable. Let us now check that ι is continuous. Indeed, if $U \subset X_{k-1} \times_{Y_{k-1}} X'_{k-1}$ is open, then since $\iota^{-1}(U) = \pi(T^{-1}(U))$, the continuity of T and the openness of π imply that $\iota^{-1}(U)$ is open as required.

To prove that ι^{-1} is continuous, it suffices to prove that if $(\pi_{k-1}(x_n), \pi_{k-1}(x'_n))$ converges to $(\pi_{k-1}(x), \pi_{k-1}(x'))$ in $X_{k-1} \times_{Y_{k-1}} X'_{k-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ then $\pi(x_n, x'_n) \rightarrow \pi(x, x')$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma B.2, it suffices to prove that there exists a sequence $(g_n \in G_k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(x_n, x'_n) + g_n \rightarrow (x, x')$, which we do in the remainder of this proof.

Note that, without loss of generality, we can assume that $\varphi(x_n) = \varphi'(x'_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (so far we only know that $\varphi_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)) = \varphi'_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x'_n))$) and similarly that $\varphi(x) = \varphi'(x')$. Indeed, if this is not the case, say if $\varphi(x) \neq \varphi'(x')$, then since $(\pi_{k-1}(x), \pi_{k-1}(x'))$ is in $X_{k-1} \times_{Y_{k-1}} X'_{k-1}$, we have $\pi_{k-1}(\varphi(x)) = \varphi_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \varphi'_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x')) = \pi_{k-1}(\varphi'(x'))$. In particular, there exists $s \in Z_k(Y)$ such that $\varphi(x) = \varphi'(x') + s$. Using the surjectivity of ϕ'_k we can find some $r \in Z_k(X')$ such that $\phi'_k(r) = s$, whence $\varphi(x) = \varphi'(x' + r)$. With similarly chosen r_n for each n , we obtain the claimed additional assumption for $(x_n, x'_n + r_n)$ and $(x, x' + r)$ (and note that $\pi(x_n, x'_n + r_n) = \pi(x_n, x'_n)$ and similarly for $(x, x' + r)$, by the invariance of π_{k-1} under the action of $Z_k(X')$).

By Lemma B.2 in X , there is a sequence $(z_n)_n$ in $Z_k(X)$ such that $x_n + z_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in X . For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by the surjectivity of ϕ'_k there is $z'_n \in Z_k(X')$ such that $(z_n, z'_n) \in G_k$. Note that $\varphi(x + z_n) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and that $\varphi(x_n + z_n) = \varphi'(x'_n + z'_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Next, by Lemma B.2 applied in X' , for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $z''_n \in Z_k(X')$ such that $x'_n + z'_n + z''_n \rightarrow x'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that $\phi'_k(z''_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Indeed $\varphi'(x'_n + z'_n + z''_n) \rightarrow \varphi'(x') = \varphi(x)$ and also $\varphi'(x'_n + z'_n + z''_n) = \varphi'(x'_n + z'_n) + \phi'_k(z''_n) = \varphi(x_n + z_n) + \phi'_k(z''_n)$. As $\varphi(x_n + z_n) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ we get that $\phi'_k(z''_n) \rightarrow 0$ (here we are using Lemma 2.17). Again by Lemma B.2 we get that there exists $z_n^* \in \ker(\phi'_k)$ such that $z''_n - z_n^* \rightarrow 0$ in $Z_k(X')$. We claim now that the sequence $(g_n := (z_n, z'_n + z_n^*))_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in G_k$ works, i.e., that $(x_n, x'_n) + g_n \rightarrow (x, x')$. Indeed $x'_n + z'_n + z_n^* = (x'_n + z'_n + z''_n) + (z_n^* - z''_n)$, where the first summand converges to x' and the second to 0. \square

We now focus on LCH nilspaces whose structure groups are Lie groups.

Definition 2.19 (Lie-fibered nilpaces). Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. We say that X is a *locally-compact and finite-rank nilspace*, or *Lie-fibered nilspace*, if all its structure groups are compactly generated (abelian) Lie groups.

For any such nilspace X , the factor projection $\pi_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is locally trivial as a bundle projection. This can be deduced from a result of Palais [40, Theorem 2.3.3] as follows.

Theorem 2.20. *Let X be a Lie-fibered k -step nilspace. Then there is a covering of X_{k-1} by open sets U with the property that for each U there is a homeomorphism $\phi_U : \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times Z_k$ which is Z_k -equivariant.*

The equivariance here is meant relatively to the natural actions of Z_k on the two spaces in question, namely its action on X as the k -th structure group, and its action on $U \times Z_k$ by addition in the second component.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 and Lemma 2.17, the nilspace X is a Cartan principal Z_k -bundle, and therefore by [40, Theorem in §4]) this bundle is locally trivial. \square

2.1. The open mapping theorem for Lie-fibered nilspaces.

A well-known version of the open mapping theorem for topological groups states that if G and H are LCH topological groups, with G being σ -compact, and $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ is a continuous surjective homomorphism, then φ is an open map [28, p. 669]. In this section we prove the following analogue.

Theorem 2.21. *Let X, Y be Lie-fibered k -step nilspaces, and let $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous fibration. Then φ is an open map.*

As with classical open mapping theorems, this is useful to establish that certain invertible continuous maps are homeomorphisms. In particular we have the following consequence.

Corollary 2.22. *Let X be a Lie-fibered k -step nilspace, and let α be a continuous translation on X . Then α is a homeomorphism. In particular α^{-1} is continuous and the set of continuous translations on X is a group under composition.*

Hence, for a Lie-fibered nilspace X , the notation $\Theta(X)$ will always refer to the group of *continuous* translations on X .

Proof. Any translation on X is a fibration. Hence, by Theorem 2.21 a continuous translation is an open map, so its inverse is continuous. \square

Proof of Theorem 2.21. We argue by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ holds by the open mapping theorem for topological groups. For $k > 1$, we shall use that the bundle map $\pi : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is locally trivial, which is given by Theorem 2.20. That is, we shall use that there exists a covering of X_{k-1} by open sets $\{U_i\}_{i \in I}$ such for each i there is a map $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U_i) \rightarrow U_i \times Z_k(X)$ that is a homeomorphism which is also Z_k -equivariant.

Fix any $x \in X$. We now show that for small enough neighborhoods of x , their images under φ are open. Let $V \subset Y_{k-1}$ be any open neighborhood of $\pi_{k-1}(\varphi(x))$ such that there is a Z_k -equivariant homeomorphism $\tau : V \times Z_k(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(V)$. Note that we can express τ as $(\pi_{k-1}(y), z') \mapsto s'(\pi_{k-1}(y)) + z'$ for some continuous map $s' : V \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(V)$. The set $\varphi^{-1}(\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(V))$ is an open neighborhood of x . Thus $\pi_{k-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(V)))$ is an open neighborhood of $\pi_{k-1}(x)$ (recall that π_{k-1} is an open map by Corollary 2.6). Let U^* be an open neighborhood of $\pi_{k-1}(x)$ such that there is an equivariant homeomorphism $U^* \times Z_k(X) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U^*)$,

$(\pi_{k-1}(x), z) \mapsto s(\pi_{k-1}(x)) + z$. Take $U := U^* \cap \pi_{k-1}(\varphi^{-1}(\pi^{-1}(V)))$ and note that the same homeomorphism works replacing U^* with U .

Identifying $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U)$ with $U \times Z_k(X)$, we can then define the base of neighborhoods of x consisting of sets of the form $A \times H \subset U \times Z_k(X)$, where $A \subset U$ and $H \subset Z_k(X)$ are open. The image of any such neighbourhood $A \times H$ under φ is

$$\varphi(A \times H) = \tau^{-1} \left(\{(\varphi_{k-1}(u), \phi_k(z) + (\varphi \circ s - s' \circ \varphi_{k-1})(a)) : (a, z) \in A \times H\} \right),$$

where $\phi_k : Z_k(X) \rightarrow Z_k(Y)$ is the k -th structure homomorphism of φ and $\varphi_{k-1} : X_{k-1} \rightarrow Y_{k-1}$ is the morphism between the $k-1$ factors of X and Y (note that ϕ_k is continuous and surjective since φ is a fibration). To see that this image is open, it suffices to prove the claim that the composition $U \times Z_k \rightarrow U \times Z'_k \rightarrow V \times Z'_k$, $(u, z) \mapsto (u, \phi_k(z)) \mapsto (\varphi_{k-1}(u), \phi_k(z) + (\varphi \circ s - s' \circ \varphi_{k-1})(u))$ is open. Since the first of these maps is clearly open, we focus on showing that the second map, namely $T : U \times Z'_k \rightarrow V \times Z'_k$, $(u, z') \mapsto (\varphi_{k-1}(u), z' + (\varphi \circ s - s' \circ \varphi_{k-1})(u))$, is open. Let $I : U \times Z'_k \rightarrow U \times Z'_k$, $(u, z') \mapsto (u, z' - (\varphi \circ s - s' \circ \varphi_{k-1})(u))$. Since I is clearly a homeomorphism, it suffices to show that $T \circ I$ is open. But $T \circ I(u, z') = (\varphi_{k-1}(u), z')$, which is clearly open. This proves our claim.

By induction $\varphi_{k-1}(A)$ is open and by the open mapping theorem for topological groups so is $\phi_k(H)$. It follows that $\varphi(A \times H)$ is open as required

We have thus proved that images under φ of the above basic open neighbourhoods are open, so the openness of φ follows by taking unions. \square

In fact, a similar local-triviality argument proves the following more general result.

Theorem 2.23. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace, and let Y be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Then any continuous fibration $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an open map.*

To prove this we first prove the following auxiliary result extending [11, Proposition A.19].

Proposition 2.24. *Let X be a k -step, LCH nilspace and Z a closed subgroup of its last structure group. Then X/Z with the cubes $C^n(X/Z) := \{\pi_Z \circ c : c \in C^n(X)\}$ is an LCH nilspace.⁷ Moreover, $(X/Z)_{k-1} \cong X_{k-1}$ and the k -th structure group of X/Z is isomorphic to Z_k/Z as topological groups where Z_k is the k -th structure group of X .*

Proof. The algebraic part of the proof follows from [11, Proposition A.19], so here we just prove the topological part. We need to prove first that the topology of X/Z is LCH and later we will use Lemma 2.10 to prove that it is indeed an LCH nilspace.

Let $\pi_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ be the usual quotient map in X . First we prove that the set $C = \{(x, x') \in X^2 : x = gx' \text{ for some } g \in Z\}$ is closed. Since X is a Cartan bundle over X_{k-1} , on the closed set $C' = \{(x, x') \in X^2 : \pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(x')\}$ we know that the difference function $C' \rightarrow Z_k$, $(x, x') \mapsto x - x'$ is well-defined and continuous; since C is the preimage under this function of the closed set Z , it is indeed closed. By Lemma B.1 the topology on X/Z is LCH.

Next, we want to see that $C^n(X/Z)$ is closed for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As the map $\pi_Z : X \rightarrow X/Z$ is open and continuous, the map $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \rightarrow (X/Z)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is a quotient map. Hence, it suffices to check that $C^n(X) + Z^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is a closed subset of $X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. For $n \leq k$ the result is trivial and the case $n > k+1$ follows from the case $n = k+1$, so let us just prove this latter case. Let $c_n + d_n \in C^{k+1}(X) + Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ be a convergent sequence. Let $r'_n := (c_n + d_n)|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket} \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$. This is clearly convergent so let us define $r_n \in C^{k+1}(X)$ its unique completion for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and note that then $r_n \in C^{k+1}(X)$ is a convergent sequence. It follows that $c_n - r_n \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$ and $(c_n - r_n)(v) \in Z$ for all $v \neq 1^{k+1}$. Thus, applying the σ_{k+1} operator (see [8, Definition 2.2.22])

⁷Here as usual X/Z is understood as the quotient of X modulo the action of X with the quotient topology and π_Z is the quotient map.

we get that $\sigma_{k+1}(c_n - r_n) = 0$ (by [8, Proposition 2.2.28 (iii)]) so in particular $(c_n - r_n)(1^{k+1}) \in Z$ as well. Thus, if we let $e_n := c_n - r_n$ we have that $c_n + d_n = r_n + (e_n + d_n)$. As both $c_n + d_n$ and r_n are convergent sequences so is $e_n + d_n \in Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$. Using that $C^{k+1}(X)$ and $Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ are closed we have that $c_n + d_n$ converges to a limit in $C^{k+1}(X) + Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$. Lemma 2.10 (i) follows.

Next, let us prove first that the completion function $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(X/Z) \rightarrow X/Z$ is continuous. If $\pi_Z \circ c_n \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X/Z)$ is convergent, by Lemma B.2 applied for each $v \in \llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$, we can assume that $c_n \in X^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}}$ is a convergent sequence as well. And moreover, note that if $\pi : X/Z \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is the map such that $\pi_{k-1} = \pi \circ \pi_Z$, by [11, Proposition A.19 (iii)] we have that π is a morphism. If we let $F \subset \llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$ be any k -dimensional face containing 0^{k+1} , $\pi_{k-1} \circ (c_n|_F) = \pi \circ \pi_Z \circ (c_n|_F)$. But $\pi_Z \circ (c_n|_F) \in C^k(X/Z)$ so in particular, $\pi_{k-1} \circ (c_n|_F) \in C^k(X_{k-1})$. Thus by [8, Remark 3.2.12] we conclude that $c_n \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$. Hence, the continuity of completion of $(k+1)$ -corners for X/Z follows from that for X .

By [11, Proposition A.19 (iii)] we have a nilspace isomorphism $(X/Z)_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ (purely algebraically). We want to prove that this map is also a homeomorphism. Let $\pi'_{k-1} : X/Z \rightarrow (X/Z)_{k-1}$ be the quotient map to the $(k-1)$ -step factor of X/Z . We want to prove that the map $\pi'_{k-1}(\pi_Z(x)) \mapsto \pi_{k-1}(x)$ is a homeomorphism (we already know that it is an algebraic nilspace isomorphism). By Corollary 2.6 we have that π'_{k-1} is open and continuous. As both π_{k-1} and π_Z are also open and continuous, it follows that the previous map is a homeomorphism. Hence, (ii) of Lemma 2.10 for n -corners, $n \leq k$, follows from the fact that X is an LCH nilspace.

The k -th structure group of X/Z is isomorphic to Z_k/Z . To prove this, recall that by [8, Corollary 3.2.16] the k -th structure group of X/Z is isomorphic (as a degree- k abelian group) to any fiber of the factor map π_{k-1} on X/Z . Moreover, on this fiber we consider the subspace topology. By [11, Proposition A.20] we know that algebraically the k -th structure group of X/Z is isomorphic to Z_k/Z . To see that the isomorphism is also topological, fix any π_{k-1} -fiber $\pi_Z(e) + Z_k/Z$ of X/Z . Note that $\pi_Z|_{e+Z_k} : e + Z_k \rightarrow \pi_Z(e) + Z_k/Z$ is a continuous map (being the restriction of a continuous map). Moreover, it is also a homomorphism of groups when we identify $e + Z_k$ with Z_k and $\pi_Z(e) + Z_k/Z$ with the k -th structure group of X/Z . By the open mapping theorem for Polish groups this map is open and thus the k -th structure group of X/Z is topologically isomorphic to Z_k modulo the kernel of $\pi_Z|_{e+Z_k}$, which is precisely Z . \square

Proof of Theorem 2.23. Let $\phi_k : Z_k \rightarrow Z'_k$ be the last structure morphism of φ (where Z_k and Z'_k are the k -th structure groups of X and Y respectively). By [11, Proposition A.19] and Proposition 2.24 we have that $X/\ker(\phi_k)$ is a k -step LCH nilspace. The canonical factor map $\pi_{\ker(\phi_k)} : X \rightarrow X/\ker(\phi_k)$ is continuous and open, and φ factors through a continuous fibration $\varphi' : X/\ker(\phi_k) \rightarrow Y$, $x + \ker(\phi_k) \mapsto \varphi(x)$, i.e., $\varphi = \varphi' \circ \pi_{\ker(\phi_k)}$. Note that since ϕ_k is a continuous surjective homomorphism, by Lemma B.4 we have that $Z_k/\ker(\phi_k) \cong Z'_k$ is a Lie group. By [40, Theorem 2.3.3], $X/\ker(\phi_k)$ is a locally-trivial abelian bundle over $(X/\ker(\phi_k))_{k-1} \cong X_{k-1}$. By induction on k we can suppose that φ'_{k-1} is open. We can then argue as in the proof of Theorem 2.21, using the local triviality, to deduce that φ' is open. Since $\pi_{\ker(\phi_k)}$ is also open, we deduce that φ is open. \square

2.2. Topological aspects of nilspace extensions.

Recall from [8, §3.3.3] the purely algebraic definition of extensions of nilspaces. In this subsection we study several ways in which topology can be added to this construction in the present non-compact setting. To this end, let us first recall the following general notion of continuous abelian bundle (see e.g. [9, Definition 2.1.6]), valid in non-compact settings.

Definition 2.25. Let B be an abelian bundle with base S , structure group Z and projection π . We say that B is *continuous* if the following conditions hold:

- (i) B and S are topological spaces.

- (ii) Z is an abelian topological group.
- (iii) The action $\alpha : Z \times B \rightarrow B$ is continuous.
- (iv) A set $U \subset S$ is open if and only if $\pi^{-1}(U)$ is open in B .

We say that B is an LCH *abelian Z -bundle* if it is a continuous abelian bundle and in addition the topologies on B, S, Z are all locally-compact, second-countable Hausdorff. We also say that B is a *Cartan continuous Z -bundle* if, in addition to B being a continuous Z -bundle, it is also a Cartan principal Z -bundle (i.e. the difference map is continuous: see Definition 2.16). Note that there are examples of continuous abelian bundles that are not Cartan. Take for example $B = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and consider the action of \mathbb{Z} on B defined as $(x \bmod 1, n) \mapsto x + n\alpha \bmod 1$ for some irrational $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. If we let $S := B/\mathbb{Z}$ with the quotient topology we have that this is a continuous abelian bundle. However, it can be checked not to be Cartan.

With this we can define the following topologically enriched version of the notion of nilspace extension, for the case of LCH nilspaces. In what follows, when we say that a nilspace is an *algebraic extension* of another nilspace, we mean that it is an extension in the purely algebraic sense of [8, §3.3.3] (before any topological aspects are added).

Definition 2.26 (Continuous extensions of LCH nilspaces). Let $k, t \geq 0$ be integers. Let X, Y be k -step LCH nilspaces such that Y is an algebraic nilspace extension of X of degree t , by some LCH abelian group Z , with associated projection $p : Y \rightarrow X$. We say that Y (or $p : Y \rightarrow X$) is a *continuous extension* of X if Y is additionally an LCH abelian Z -bundle with base X .

Remark 2.27. Equivalently Y is a continuous Z -extension of X if the action of Z on Y is continuous and p is a continuous open map. Note that, in this case, the topology on X is equal to the quotient of the topology on Y under the action of Z .

The next result extends a well-known fact in the theory of Lie groups. Recall that if $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$ is a proper short exact sequence of LCH groups where H and F are Lie, then so is G (see [38, Thm. 2.6], [29, Lem. A.3]). For nilspaces we have the following analogous result.

Proposition 2.28. *Let X, Y be LCH k -step nilspaces, and suppose that Y is a degree- k algebraic nilspace extension of X by an abelian LCH group Z . Let $p : Y \rightarrow X$ be the associated fibration. If p is continuous, X is Lie-fibered, and Z is a Lie group, then Y is also Lie-fibered. Moreover Y is then a continuous extension of X .*

Proof. Recall that p is indeed a fibration ([11, Proposition A.18]), and note that $p_{k-1} : Y_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is then a continuous fibration as well. Moreover p_{k-1} is bijective. Indeed, for any elements $\pi_{k-1}(x), \pi_{k-1}(x') \in Y_{k-1}$ such that $p_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = p_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x'))$, we have $\pi_{k-1}(p(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(p(x'))$ and thus there exists a cube $c \in C^k(X)$ such that $c(v) = p(x)$ for all $v \neq 1^k$ and $c(1^k) = p(x')$. Let $c' : \llbracket k \rrbracket \rightarrow Y$ be the map with $c'(v) = x$ for all $v \neq 1^k$ and $c'(1^k) = x'$. Since c' restricted to $\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}$ is a corner and p is a fibration with $p \circ c' \upharpoonright_{\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}} = c \upharpoonright_{\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}}$, there exists $z \in Z$ such that the map $c' + 1_{\{1^k\}}z$ is in $C^k(Y)$. But note that $Z^{\llbracket k \rrbracket} = C^k(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$, so $1_{\{1^k\}}z \in C^k(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$, and therefore $c' \in C^k(Y)$. Hence $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(x')$. By Theorem 2.23 the map p_{k-1} is open, and therefore p_{k-1} is an isomorphism of LCH nilspaces. Hence every structure group of Y_{k-1} is a Lie group.

It therefore remains only to prove that the last structure group $Z_k(Y)$ is also a Lie group. Recall from Proposition 2.5 that $Z_k(Y)$ is isomorphic to any fixed fiber F of $\pi_{k-1, Y}$ where we fix some (any) element $e \in F$ to be the identity. Similarly, in X we fix the fiber $F' := p(F)$ and fix $p(e)$ as the zero element of $Z_k(X)$. The free action of Z on F induces an inclusion of Z into $Z_k(Y)$. The homomorphism $\phi_k : Z_k(Y) \rightarrow Z_k(X)$ can then be identified with $p|_F : F \rightarrow F'$.

First we claim that the kernel of this homomorphism $p|_F$ is precisely $e + Z \subset F$. To see this, let $x \in F$ be any element such that $p(x) = p(e)$. By hypothesis on p , this means that if

$c \in F^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ is the map $c(v) = e$ for all $v \neq 1^{k+1}$ and $c(1^{k+1}) = x$, there exists $d \in Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ such that $c+d \in C^{k+1}(Y)$. Note that we are not assuming that either c or d are cubes for any nilspace, only that the sum $c+d$ is. Then, by definition of the cubes in $C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ in terms of the single Gray-code linear equation, we can find a cube $d' \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ such that $d'(v) = -d(v)$ for all $v \neq 1^{k+1}$. Hence $c+d+d' \in C^{k+1}(Y)$. Note that all values of this cube are e except perhaps the value at 1^{k+1} . By uniqueness of completion, this value must also be e . Hence $x+z=e$ for some $z \in Z$, which proves our claim.

Next, note that using the definition of addition in the fiber F we have that the action of Z is also well defined and continuous. Furthermore, as mentioned above this free action induces an inclusion of Z in $Z_k(Y)$, and $e+Z$ is closed because it is precisely $p^{-1}(\{p(e)\})$.

Thus we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z_k(Y) \rightarrow Z_k(X) \rightarrow 0$ where all groups are LCH and $Z, Z_k(X)$ are Lie. By [38, Theorem 2.6] $Z_k(Y)$ is Lie. Finally, by Theorem 2.21 the map p is open, so Y is a continuous extension of X . \square

The previous result dealt only with degree- k extensions of k -step nilspaces. We can use it to prove the following generalization.

Corollary 2.29. *Let $k, t \geq 0$ be integers. Let X, Y be LCH k -step nilspaces such that Y is a degree- t algebraic nilspace extension of X by an abelian LCH group Z , with associated projection $p : Y \rightarrow X$. If p is continuous, X is Lie-fibered, and Z is a Lie group, then Y is also Lie-fibered. In particular, Y is a continuous extension of X .*

Proof. If $t \geq k$ then we can directly apply Proposition 2.28 with $k = t$ (since X, Y are in particular t -step nilspaces).

For $t < k$, note that by [11, Proposition A.18] the nilspace Y is algebraically isomorphic to the fiber-product $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$, with projection maps $p_t : Y_t \rightarrow X_t$ and $\pi_t : X \rightarrow X_t$. Note that the algebraic isomorphism is the map $\varphi(y) = (\pi_t(y), p(x))$, so here this is also a continuous map. Note that p_t is also continuous, thus implying that p_t is continuous as well because $p_t \circ \pi_{t,Y} = \pi_{t,X} \circ p$. As p is continuous and both $\pi_{t,Y}$ and $\pi_{t,X}$ are open and continuous we conclude that p_t is continuous. By Proposition 2.28 we get that Y_t is Lie-fibered as well, and p_t is a continuous extension of X_t . By Lemma 2.18 we know that $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$ is an LCH nilspace. Hence, in order to prove that it is Lie-fibered, it suffices to prove that its structure groups are Lie groups. The structure groups of $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$ are easy to compute. Using the formula given in Lemma 2.18 we deduce that for $i \leq t$, the i -th structure group of $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$ is $Z_i(Y_t)$, which is Lie. For $i > t$ the i -th structure group of $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$ is $Z_i(X)$ (which is Lie by assumption). Hence $Y_t \times_{X_t} X$ is Lie-fibered. Then, Theorem 2.23 implies that the isomorphism φ is also a homeomorphism. Hence Y is Lie-fibered. The last sentence in the corollary follows from Theorem 2.21. \square

Later in this paper (e.g. in the proof of Theorem 4.1) it will be important to have a useful criterion, given a k -step LCH nilspace X , for the possibility to lift a continuous translation on X_{k-1} to a translation on X . In the setting of compact nilspaces, a useful such criterion was given in [7] (see also [8, Proposition 3.3.39]). We shall now prove an analogous criterion applicable to LCH nilspaces. This will involve the notion of translation bundles from [8, Definition 3.3.34].

Definition 2.30. Let X be a k -step nilspace and let $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$. We define the associated *translation bundle* $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\alpha, X, i) := \{(x_0, x_1) \in X^2 : \alpha(\pi_{k-1}(x_0)) = \pi_{k-1}(x_1)\}$. We equip this set with the subcubespace structure induced by the cubes on $X \bowtie_i X$ (see [8, §3.1.4]).

Recall from [8, §3.3.4] that \mathcal{T} equipped with the above-mentioned cubes is a k -step nilspace (in particular \mathcal{T} is thus a degree- $(k-i)$ algebraic nilspace extension of X). Moreover, its k -th structure group is the k -th structure group Z_k of X , albeit with an action on \mathcal{T} that is diagonal, namely the action $((x_0, x_1), z) \in \mathcal{T} \times Z_k \mapsto (x_0 + z, x_1 + z) \in \mathcal{T}$.

When X has the additional topological structure of being an LCH nilspace, we shall always equip \mathcal{T} with the subspace topology induced by the product topology on $X \times X$, and the factor \mathcal{T}_{k-1} will be equipped with the quotient of the topology on \mathcal{T} by the action of Z_k . It is not hard to show that \mathcal{T} thus becomes an LCH abelian Z_k -bundle over \mathcal{T}_{k-1} . This will be useful to prove the aforementioned criterion for lifting translations. Let us record this.

Lemma 2.31. *Let X be an LCH nilspace, and let $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$. The (algebraic) nilspace $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\alpha, X, i)$, equipped with the relative topology induced by the LCH topology on $X \times X$, is a continuous abelian Z_k -bundle over \mathcal{T}_{k-1} , equipping the latter with the quotient of the topology on \mathcal{T} under the action of Z_k . Moreover, this quotient topology is also LCH.*

Proof. That \mathcal{T} is algebraically a Z_k -bundle over \mathcal{T}_{k-1} follows from the fact, recalled above, that \mathcal{T} is a k -step nilspace with $(k-1)$ -step factor \mathcal{T}_{k-1} (see e.g. [8, Lemma 3.3.35]). In particular, it follows from [8, Proposition 3.3.36] that the k -th structure group of \mathcal{T} is $Z_k(X)$ (acting diagonally as recalled above). We check that \mathcal{T} is also an LCH continuous Z_k -bundle.

First note that the topology on \mathcal{T} is LCH because the product topology on $X \times X$ is LCH and \mathcal{T} is a closed subset (being the preimage, under the continuous map $(\alpha \circ \pi_{k-1, X}) \times \pi_{k-1, X}$, of the diagonal in $X_{k-1} \times X_{k-1}$, which is closed by the Hausdorff property of X_{k-1}).

Now we check that the properties defining a continuous bundle ([9, Definition 2.1.6]) hold.

Property (i) is clear: \mathcal{T} has the above-described LCH topology and \mathcal{T}_{k-1} is given the quotient topology under the Z_k -action. Property (ii) holds in that Z_k comes naturally with an LCH topology, i.e. the one given from X . To see property (iii), note that the continuity of the diagonal Z_k -action follows from the continuity of the action of Z_k on X (looking at convergent sequences in $\mathcal{T} \times Z_k$, for example). Property (iv) holds since we equip \mathcal{T}_{k-1} with the quotient topology.

Finally, the fact that the quotient topology on \mathcal{T}_{k-1} is LCH follows from Lemma B.1, provided that the set $C = \{(x, x') \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} : \exists z \in Z_k \text{ such that } x = x' + z\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$. To see this closure, suppose that a sequence $(x_n, x'_n) = ((x_{0,n}, x_{1,n}), (x'_{0,n}, x'_{1,n}))$ in C converges in $\mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}$ to some $((x_0, x_1), (x'_0, x'_1)) \in (X \times X)^2$. Then $x_{0,n} \rightarrow x_0$, $x_{1,n} \rightarrow x_1$, $x'_{0,n} \rightarrow x'_0$, $x'_{1,n} \rightarrow x'_1$ in X (using the product topology). By the definition of C , for each n there is $z_n \in Z_k$ such that $x'_{0,n} = x_{0,n} + z_n$ and $x'_{1,n} = x_{1,n} + z_n$ for all n . Then, since X is an LCH nilspace, by Lemma 2.4 the graph of \sim_{k-1} on X is closed, so there exist $z_0, z_1 \in Z_k$ such that $x'_0 = x_0 + z_0$ and $x'_1 = x_1 + z_1$. By continuity of the difference map (Lemma 2.17) we have $z_n = x'_{0,n} - x_{0,n} \rightarrow x'_0 - x_0 = z_0$, and similarly $z_n \rightarrow z_1$, so $z_0 = z_1$ and we are done. \square

We can now obtain the aforementioned criterion for lifting continuous translations.

Proposition 2.32. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace, let $i < k$, and let $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$ be a (continuous) translation. Suppose that there is a continuous nilspace morphism $m : X_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{k-1}$ such that $\pi \circ m = \text{id}_{X_{k-1}}$ (where π is the projection $\mathcal{T}_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$). Then there exists a continuous translation $\beta \in \Theta_i(X)$ such that $\pi_{k-1} \circ \beta(x) = \alpha \circ \pi_{k-1}(x)$ for all $x \in X$.*


Proof. The algebraic part of this result is given by [8, Proposition 3.3.39]. To avoid confusion, let us denote the projection $X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ by $\pi_{k-1, X}$, and the bundle projection $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{k-1}$ by $\pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}$. By Lemma 2.31 we know that \mathcal{T} is an LCH continuous Z_k -bundle over \mathcal{T}_{k-1} .

Recall that the (purely algebraic) translation β on X lifting α , given by [8, Proposition 3.3.39], is defined by $\beta(x) = m(\pi_{k-1, X}(x))(x)$, where $m(\pi_{k-1, X}(x))$ is a local translation from $\pi_{k-1, X}^{-1}\pi_{k-1, X}(x)$ to $\pi_{k-1, X}^{-1}\alpha(\pi_{k-1, X}(x))$ [8, Definition 3.2.26] which is applied to x .

We now prove the continuity of the map β . Let $(x_n)_n$ be a convergent sequence in X with limit x . Fix any sequence $((x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}))_n$ in \mathcal{T} such that $m(\pi_{k-1, X}(x_n)) = \pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}(x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)})$ for every n , and similarly fix $(x'_0, x'_1) \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $m(\pi_{k-1, X}(x)) = \pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}(x'_0, x'_1)$. The

continuity of m then implies that $\pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}(x_0^{(n)}, x_1^{(n)}) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}(x'_0, x'_1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma B.2, there is a sequence $(z_n)_n$ in $Z_k(X)$ such that $(x_0^{(n)} + z_n, x_1^{(n)} + z_n) \rightarrow (x'_0, x'_1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, for any pair of points $x_0, x_1 \in X$ and any $x \sim_{k-1} x_0$, let $c'_{(x_0, x_1), x}$ denote the $(k+1)$ -corner on X defined by $c'_{(x_0, x_1), x}(v, 0) = x_0$ for $v \neq 1^k$, $c'_{(x_0, x_1), x}(1^k, 0) = x$, and

$c'_{(x_0, x_1), x}(v, 1) = x_1$ for $v \neq 1^k$. For $k = 2$ the corner $c'_{(x_0, x_1), x}$ is 

By definition of β and of local translations, for each $x \in X$ we have $\beta(x) = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(c'_{(x_0, x, x_1, x), x})$, where (x_0, x, x_1, x) is any element of \mathcal{T} with $\pi_{k-1, \mathcal{T}}(x_0, x, x_1, x) = m(\pi_{k-1, X}(x))$ (in particular $x_0, x \sim_{k-1} x$). Now the continuity of \mathcal{K}_{k+1} implies the following convergence, confirming the continuity of β : $\beta(x_n) = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(c'_{(x_0^{(n)} + z_n, x_1^{(n)} + z_n), x_n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(c'_{(x'_0, x'_1), x}) = \beta(x)$. \square

The continuous Z_k -bundle structure of \mathcal{T} was enough for the above proof (i.e. enough to be able to apply Lemma B.2). However, in later sections there will be situations (e.g. the proof of Theorem 4.1) in which it will be useful to know that \mathcal{T} is not only such a bundle, but in fact is fully an LCH nilspace, in particular the corner-completion functions on \mathcal{T} are continuous. For such situations, we establish the following final main result of this subsection.

Proposition 2.33. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace, let $i < k$, and let $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$ be a (continuous) translation. Then the translation bundle $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}(\alpha, X, i)$, endowed with the sub-cubespace structure induced by $X \boxtimes_i X$ and the subspace topology induced by $X \times X$, is an LCH k -step nilspace that is a degree- $(k-i)$ continuous extension of X .*

Remark 2.34. On the translation bundle \mathcal{T} we will have two different actions of Z_k . If we let $(x_0, x_1) \in \mathcal{T}$ then we have on the one hand the diagonal action of Z_k , given by $((x_0, x_1), z) \mapsto (x_0 + z, x_1 + z)$. And on the other hand, we have the addition on the second component $((x_0, x_1), z) \mapsto (x_0, x_1 + z)$. Consider now the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{T} & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{T}_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{q_{k-1}} & X_{k-1} \end{array}$$

The diagonal action makes \mathcal{T} a degree- k extension over \mathcal{T}_{k-1} whereas the addition on the second component makes \mathcal{T} a degree- $(k-i)$ extension over X .

The main difficulty here is to prove the continuity of the corner completion maps. For this, we begin by identifying topological properties which, when added to a degree- k algebraic nilspace extension of a k -step LCH nilspace, imply that the extension is also an LCH nilspace.

Lemma 2.35. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Let Y be a degree- k algebraic nilspace extension of X by an abelian group Z , with corresponding fibration $q : Y \rightarrow X$. Suppose that Y, Z are equipped with LCH topologies making Y a Cartan continuous Z -bundle over X . Suppose also that the following hold:*

- (i) *For every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(Y)$ is a closed subset of $Y^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.*
- (ii) *The completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.*

Then Y is an LCH nilspace.

Note that the assumption that Z has a continuous free action on Y ensures that the topology of Z as an LCH topological group is the same as the subspace topology that Z inherits when identified with any fiber of q in Y .

Proof. Most of the conditions in Definition 2.1 are already satisfied. Indeed, the only missing one is that for each $n \leq k$ the map $p^{[n]} : C^n(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(Y)$ is open (for $n > k$ this property already follows from (ii)).

By Remark 2.27, the topology on X is precisely the quotient of the topology on Y under the action of Z . In particular, the map $q^{[n]} : Y^{[n]} \rightarrow X^{[n]}$ is also a quotient open map. Note that for $n \leq k$ the set $C^n(Y) \subset Y^{[n]}$ is saturated with respect to the action of $Z^{[n]}$ on $Y^{[n]}$. Thus, the restriction $q^{[n]}|_{C^n(Y)} : C^n(Y) \rightarrow C^n(X)$ is also open. Now we want to prove that $p_Y^{[n]} : C^n(Y) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(Y)$ is open for $n \leq k$. It suffices to prove that for every open $U \subset Y$ the set $p_Y^{[n]}(E_U)$ is open where $E_U := \left(U \times \prod_{v \in [n] \setminus \{1^n\}} Y \right) \cap C^n(Y)$. By definition of cubes in an extension we have $p_Y^{[n]}(E_U) = (q^{[n] \setminus \{1^n\}})^{-1}(p_X^{[n]}(q^{[n]}(E_U)))$, where $p_X^{[n]} : C^n(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^n(X)$ is the usual projection on X . Since X is an LCH nilspace, $p_X^{[n]}$ is open. The result follows. \square

It will be useful to extend the previous lemma, allowing Y to be a degree- t extension of X with $t \neq k$. For this, it turns out that we need an additional condition to ensure that the extension is still an LCH nilspace. We call this condition *fiber-completion continuity*. Let us describe it informally. Suppose that Y is a degree- t extension of a k -step nilspace X . Let $c' \in \text{Cor}^{t+1}(Y)$ and $c \in C^{t+1}(X)$ be such that $q \circ c'(v) = c(v)$ for all $v \neq 1^{t+1}$. Then by definition of degree- t extensions [8, Definition 3.3.13], there exists a unique completion of c' whose image under q is c (the uniqueness of this completion follows from uniqueness of completion in $\text{Cor}^{t+1}(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$). The following condition ensures that this *fiber-completion* is continuous.

Definition 2.36 (Fiber-completion continuity). Let Y be a nilspace endowed with an LCH topology and let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Suppose that Y is a degree- t algebraic nilspace extension of X by an abelian group Z . Let $\Delta_{q:Y \rightarrow X} := \{(c', c) \in \text{Cor}^{t+1}(Y) \times C^{t+1}(X) : q \circ c'(v) = c(v) \text{ for all } v \neq 1^{t+1}\}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_{(q)} : \Delta_{q:Y \rightarrow X} \rightarrow Y$ be the function that maps each $(c', c) \in \Delta_{q:Y \rightarrow X}$ to the unique element $y \in Y$ which completes the corner c' and satisfies $q(y) = c(1^{t+1})$. We say that the extension is *fiber-completion continuous* if $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}$ is continuous (endowing $\text{Cor}^{t+1}(Y)$ with the subspace topology induced by the product topology on $Y^{[t+1] \setminus \{1^{t+1}\}}$).

When there is no risk of confusion, we write Δ instead of $\Delta_{q:Y \rightarrow X}$. Note that if $t = k$ and the completion on $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(Y)$ is continuous, then the extension is automatically fiber-continuous. We are now ready to prove our main result about extensions of LCH nilspaces.

Theorem 2.37. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Let Y be a degree- t algebraic nilspace extension of X by an abelian group Z , with corresponding fibration $q : Y \rightarrow X$. Suppose that Y, Z are equipped with LCH topologies making Y a Cartan continuous Z -bundle over X . Suppose also that the following hold:*

- (i) *For every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(Y)$ is a closed subset of $Y^{[n]}$.*
- (ii) *The completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.*
- (iii) *The extension is fiber-completion continuous.*

Then Y is an LCH nilspace.

Proof. We argue by induction on k (with t fixed). For $k = 1$ the result follows by Lemma 2.35.

For $k > 1$, we prove that Y is an LCH nilspace by proving that the conditions of Lemma 2.10 are satisfied. Note that by (i) the cube sets are closed, so we just need to prove that the completion functions are continuous. For $n > k$ this follows from (ii). It then suffices to

prove that Y_{k-1} is a degree- t extension of X_{k-1} that satisfies all the assumptions in the theorem. Indeed, if we prove this, then by induction the completion functions on the factors of Y will also be continuous, as required in Lemma 2.10-(ii). By Lemma 2.35 we can assume that $t < k$.

Recall that by [11, Proposition A.19] the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ \downarrow \pi & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{q_{k-1}} & X_{k-1}, \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where q_{k-1} defines a degree- t extension of X_{k-1} . (We just write π instead of π_{k-1} to avoid overloading the notation; the space where π is defined will be clear from the context.)

Let us then prove that Y_{k-1}, X_{k-1} satisfy all the assumptions in the theorem.

Firstly, X_{k-1} with the quotient topology is an LCH nilspace, by Theorem 2.9 applied to X .

Let us now analyze some properties of objects and maps in (2). The map $\pi : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is open and continuous by Corollary 2.6. By Corollary 2.6 applied to Y , the topology on Y_{k-1} is also LCH. By Proposition 2.5 the k -th structure group $Z_k(Y)$ is an LCH topological group acting continuously on Y . The group Z is an LCH group by assumption.

We claim that the action of Z on Y_{k-1} is continuous. This action is defined by the formula $\pi(y) + z := \pi(y + z)$ (see [11, Proposition A.19]). To see that it is continuous, fix any sequences (y_n) in Y and (z_n) in Z such that $\pi(y_n) \rightarrow \pi(y)$ and $z_n \rightarrow z$. By Lemma B.2 there exists a sequence $g_n \in Z_k(Y)$ such that $y_n + g_n \rightarrow y$. The continuity of the action of Z on Y implies that $(y_n + g_n) + z_n \rightarrow y + z$. As π is continuous, we know that $\pi((y_n + g_n) + z_n) \rightarrow \pi(y + z) =: \pi(y) + z$. We leave it as an exercise for the reader (using the uniqueness of completion on $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(Y)$) to see that $(y_n + g_n) + z_n = (y_n + z_n) + g_n$. Then $\pi((y_n + g_n) + z_n) = \pi(y_n + z_n) = \pi(y_n) + z_n$, and the claimed continuity follows. This shows that Definition 2.25-(iii) holds.

Next, note that since $q : Y \rightarrow X$, $\pi : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ and $\pi : Y \rightarrow Y_{k-1}$ are open and continuous, we have that q_{k-1} is open and continuous as well, by the commutativity of the diagram (2). This shows that Definition 2.25-(iv) holds.

So far, we have proved that $q_{k-1} : Y_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is a continuous Z -bundle. We now prove that this bundle is also Cartan (i.e. the difference map is continuous). Suppose that $(\pi(y_n), \pi(y'_n)) \rightarrow (\pi(y), \pi(y'))$ for some $(\pi(y_n), \pi(y'_n))$ and $(\pi(y), \pi(y')) \in Y_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} Y_{k-1}$. By Lemma B.2 there are sequences $(g_n), (g'_n)$ in $Z_k(Y)$ such that $y_n + g_n \rightarrow y$ and $y'_n + g'_n \rightarrow y'$ in Y . Hence, without loss of generality $y_n \rightarrow y$ and $y'_n \rightarrow y'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. As $q_{k-1}(\pi(y_n)) = q_{k-1}(\pi(y'_n))$, there exists $z_n \in Z_k(X)$ such that $q(y_n) + z_n = q(y'_n)$. As X is an LCH nilspace, and q is continuous, $z_n \rightarrow q(y) - q(y') = z \in Z_k(X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We leave it as an exercise for the reader to check that with the given assumptions (i.e. that $t < k$) the k -th structure homomorphism of q , $\phi_k : Z_k(Y) \rightarrow Z_k(X)$, is an (algebraic) group isomorphism (this follows from [8, Definition 3.3.13] using the definition of cubes in an extension). To prove that ϕ_k is continuous, let $z'_n \in Z_k(Y)$ such that $z'_n \rightarrow z'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Fix any $y_0 \in Y$. Then by definition $\phi_k(z'_n) = q(y_0 + z'_n) - q(y_0)$. Since Y is Cartan, this is the composition of two continuous maps. Thus the limit is $q(y_0 + z') - q(y_0) = \phi_k(z')$ as required. Hence, we have that $y_n + \phi_k^{-1}(z_n) \rightarrow y + \phi_k^{-1}(z)$ and $y'_n \rightarrow y'$. As $(y_n + \phi_k^{-1}(z_n), y'_n) \in Y \times_X Y$, by continuity of the difference map in Y we have $(y_n + \phi_k^{-1}(z_n)) - y'_n \rightarrow (y + \phi_k^{-1}(z)) - y'$.⁸ This confirms that the difference map $Y_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} Y_{k-1}$ is continuous.

It remains to check that Y_{k-1} satisfies properties (i), (ii), (iii) in the theorem.

⁸Note that in this last equation the “+” signs denote addition of an element of $Z_k(Y)$ and the “-” signs denote difference of two points that give an element in the extension group Z .

Property (i) follows from Lemma 2.8 applied to Y .

Let us now prove (ii), i.e. that completion on $\text{Cor}^k(Y_{k-1})$ is continuous. Let $\pi \circ c'_n \rightarrow \pi \circ c'$ be a convergent sequence in $\text{Cor}^k(Y_{k-1})$ (for some $c'_n, c' \in \text{Cor}^k(Y)$). We want to prove that their completions also converge. By Lemma B.2 there exists $d_n \in Z_k(Y)^{\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}}$ such that $c'_n + d_n \rightarrow c'$ in $\text{Cor}^k(Y)$. By continuity of q , we have $q \circ (c'_n + d_n) \rightarrow q \circ c'$ in $\text{Cor}^k(X)$. Now we argue by a diagonalization, as follows. Let $c \in C^k(Y)$ be such that $p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket}(q \circ c) = q \circ c'$ where $p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket} : C^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Cor}^k(X)$. For every $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $B_{1/m}(q \circ c) \subset C^k(X)$ be the open ball of radius $1/m$ and center $q \circ c$. As $p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket}$ is an open map, the sets $p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket}(B_{1/m}(q \circ c))$ are open subsets of $\text{Cor}^k(X)$ that contain $q \circ c'$. As $q \circ (c'_n + d_n) \rightarrow q \circ c'$, there exists $N_m \geq 0$ such that if $n \geq N_m$ then $q \circ (c'_n + d_n) \in p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket}(B_{1/m}(q \circ c))$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that the sequence N_m is increasing. Now let $\tau(n) := \sup\{m : n \geq N_m\}$. Then for each n let $c_n \in C^k(Y)$ be any cube such that $p^{\llbracket k \rrbracket}(q \circ c_n) = q \circ (c'_n + d_n)$ and $q \circ c_n \in B_{1/\tau(n)}(q \circ c)$. In particular $q \circ c_n \rightarrow q \circ c$ in $C^k(X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (this is why we chose N_m to be increasing, so that $\tau(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$). This is the point where fiber-completion continuity becomes useful. Indeed, by property (iii) for $q : Y \rightarrow X$, we can complete continuously the pair $(c'_n + d_n, q \circ c_n) \in \text{Cor}^k(Y) \times C^k(X)$, that is, we can apply $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}$ to obtain a cube $c_n + f_n$ for some $f_n \in C^k(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ in such a way that $(c_n + f_n)(v) = (c'_n + d_n)(v)$ for all $v \neq 1^k$. Note that for this step to work it is crucial that $t < k$, as we are precisely applying the fiber-completion function to faces of dimension $t + 1$ inside $\llbracket k \rrbracket$. The process is as follows: first, for every $n \geq 1$ choose an element $f_n \in C^k(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ such that $(c_n + f_n)(v) = (c'_n + d_n)(v)$ for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket_{\leq t}$. But then, by uniqueness of fiber-completion $c_n + f_n$ automatically equals $c'_n + d_n$ for all $v \neq 1^k$. Then, the continuity of the fiber-completion implies that $(c_n + f_n)(1^k)$ converges to the unique completion of $(c', q \circ c)$. Finally, letting $\mathcal{K}_k : \text{Cor}^k(Y_{k-1}) \rightarrow Y_{k-1}$ be the unique completion function, note that $\mathcal{K}_k(\pi \circ c'_n) = \mathcal{K}_k(\pi \circ (c'_n + d_n)) = \mathcal{K}_k(\pi \circ (c_n + f_n)|_{\llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus \{1^k\}}) = \pi((c_n + f_n)(1^k))$, which converges by the continuity of the fiber-completion function. The limit of $(c_n + f_n)(1^k)$ is precisely $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c', q \circ c)$ (recall Definition 2.36). Hence $\mathcal{K}_k(\pi \circ c'_n) \rightarrow \pi(\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c', q \circ c))$. Since $\pi(\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c', q \circ c)) = \mathcal{K}_k(\pi \circ c')$, this confirms the desired continuity property (ii).

Finally, let us prove that (iii) holds. Let $(\pi \circ c'_n, \pi \circ c_n) \in \Delta_{q_{k-1}: Y_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}}$ be a sequence converging to some limit $(\pi \circ c', \pi \circ c) \in \Delta_{q_{k-1}: Y_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}}$. We want to prove that the completions of the corners $\pi \circ c'_n$ converge as well. By Lemma B.2 there exists $d_n \in Z_k(Y)^{\llbracket t+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{t+1}\}} = \text{Cor}^{t+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k(Y)))$ such that $c'_n + d_n \rightarrow c'$ in $\text{Cor}^{t+1}(Y)$. Then, clearly $q \circ (c'_n + d_n)$ is a lift of $\pi \circ c_n|_{\llbracket t+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{t+1}\}}$. Applying again Lemma B.2, this time to $c_n(1^{t+1})$, we get a sequence of elements $z_n \in Z_k(X)$ such that $c_n(1^{t+1}) + z_n \rightarrow c(1^{t+1})$. Now for each

n let $c_n^* : v \mapsto \begin{cases} q \circ (c'_n + d_n)(v) & \text{if } v \neq 1^{t+1} \\ c_n(1^{t+1}) + z_n & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$. By [8, Remark 3.2.12] (using that $t < k$) we

have $c_n^* \in C^{t+1}(X)$ for every n . Furthermore, these cubes converge to some limit $c^* \in C^{t+1}(X)$ such that $\pi \circ c^* = \pi \circ c$. Indeed, for $v \neq 1^{t+1}$ we have $c_n^*(v) = q \circ (c'_n + d_n)(v)$ which converges because $(c'_n + d_n)(v)$ converges and q is continuous, and $c_n^*(1^{t+1}) = c_n(1^{t+1}) + z_n$, which converges by assumption (the resulting c^* is a cube again by [8, Remark 3.2.12]). Thus, we can apply the fiber-completion continuity to the sequence $(c'_n + d_n, c_n^*)$. The limit of the completions is the completion of their limit, i.e. $(c', c^*) \in \Delta_{q: Y \rightarrow X}$. Letting $\mathcal{K}_{(q_{k-1})}$ be the completion function in $\Delta_{q_{k-1}: Y_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}}$, note that $\mathcal{K}_{(q_{k-1})}(\pi \circ c'_n, \pi \circ c_n) = \pi(\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c'_n + d_n, c_n^*))$, and the latter, by continuity of π and $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}$, converges to $\pi(\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c', c^*))$. Arguing as in the previous sentence, we have $\pi(\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c', c^*)) = \mathcal{K}_{(q_{k-1})}(\pi \circ c', \pi \circ c^*) = \mathcal{K}_{(q_{k-1})}(\pi \circ c', \pi \circ c)$, and (iii) follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.33. The proof of the algebraic part of the statement follows similarly to [8, §3.3.4]. Note that the action of Z_k on \mathcal{T} is $((x_0, x_1), z) \mapsto (x_0, x_1 + z)$. The projection $q : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is $(x_0, x_1) \mapsto x_0$. We prove that the conditions in Theorem 2.37 hold for $X, Y = \mathcal{T}$.

By assumption X is an LCH nilspace. We first claim that \mathcal{T} is a Cartan continuous Z_k -bundle over X . We are endowing \mathcal{T} with the subspace topology induced by the product topology on $X \times X$, and in the latter topology the set \mathcal{T} is easily seen to be closed. Hence (as noted previously, e.g. in the proof of Proposition 2.5) the subspace topology on \mathcal{T} is also LCH. The group Z_k comes naturally equipped with a structure of LCH topological group, being the k -th structure group of X . The continuity of the action of Z_k on \mathcal{T} follows from that of the action of Z_k on X (considering convergent sequences). Similarly the difference continuity (Cartan property) follows from that of X . The map $q : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow X$ is clearly continuous. To prove that it is open, note that if $(U \times V) \cap \mathcal{T}$ is an open set of \mathcal{T} , then $q((U \times V) \cap \mathcal{T}) = U \cap \pi^{-1}(\alpha^{-1}(\pi(V)))$ which is open as well. We have thus confirmed our claim at the start of this paragraph.

Now, to see that property (i) in Theorem 2.37 holds, note that the map $\iota : \mathcal{T}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \rightarrow X^{\llbracket n+i \rrbracket}$, $(c_0, c_1) \mapsto \langle c_0, c_1 \rangle_i$ is continuous (see [8, §3.1.4] for the definition of arrows $\langle c_0, c_1 \rangle_i$), and $C^n(\mathcal{T}) = \iota^{-1}(C^{n+i}(X))$. Thus, those sets are all closed and (i) follows.

To see that (ii) holds, note that for any corner $(c'_0, c'_1) \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(\mathcal{T})$, its completion is just $(\mathcal{K}_{k+1}(c'_0), \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(c'_1))$, where \mathcal{K}_{k+1} is the completion function on $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$.

Finally, to show that (iii) holds, we need to prove the continuity of the map $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}$ defined on $\Delta_{q:\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X}$. For every element $(c'_0 \times c'_1, c) \in \Delta_{q:\mathcal{T} \rightarrow X}$ we have $\mathcal{K}_{(q)}(c'_0 \times c'_1, c) = \mathcal{K}_{k+1}(\langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i)$, where the ‘‘corner arrow’’ $\langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i$ here is defined as in [8, §3.1.4].⁹ (Note that $c|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}} = c'_0$.) Since the map $(c'_0 \times c'_1, c) \mapsto \langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i$ is continuous, and so is \mathcal{K}_{k+1} , the result follows. \square

2.3. On translation groups of LCH nilspaces.

Given any Lie-fibered nilspace X , we want to endow the translation group $\Theta(X)$ with a useful topology compatible with the group structure. This group is included in the set $C(X, X)$ of continuous maps from X to itself, so a natural choice is to equip $\Theta(X)$ with the subspace topology induced by the well-known *compact-open* topology on $C(X, X)$. Recall (e.g. from [39, §46]) that for arbitrary topological spaces X, Y , the compact-open topology on $C(X, Y)$ is the smallest topology containing all sets of the form $\{f \in C(X, Y) : f(K) \subset U\}$, for all choices of a compact set $K \subset X$ and an open set $U \subset Y$. The many useful features of this topology include the fact that it reduces to the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets (or *topology of compact convergence*) when Y is a metric space [39, Theorem 46.8]. In what follows, when considering a set of continuous functions $C(X, Y)$, we shall always assume that it is equipped with the compact-open topology.

Our first aim now is to prove that $\Theta(X)$ equipped with this topology becomes a Polish group. We begin with the following fact.

Lemma 2.38. *Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace. Then, for each $i \in [k]$, the set $\Theta_i(X)$ is a closed subset of $C(X, X)$. In particular $\Theta_i(X)$ is a Polish space.*

Proof. By Lemma B.5 we know that $C(X, X)$ is Polish. The closure of the cube sets $C^n(X)$ is readily seen to imply that $\Theta_i(X)$ is a closed subset of $C(X, X)$ (considering convergent sequences of translations). Hence $\Theta_i(X)$ is Polish [36, (3.3) Proposition]. \square

To confirm that this topology makes $\Theta_i(X)$ a Polish group, we need to prove that the group operation and inversion are continuous relative to this topology. To this end, the assumption that X is Lie-fibered will be useful, for the following reason.

⁹Specifically $\langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i$ is a map $\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\} \rightarrow X$ defined as follows: for every vertex in $\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$, written as $(v, w) \in \llbracket (k+1-i) + i \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$, we have $\langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i(v, w) = c(v)$ if $w \neq 1^i$ and $\langle c, c'_1 \rangle_i(v, 1^i) = c'_1(v)$ for $v \neq 1^{k+1-i}$.

Proposition 2.39. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Then X is locally connected.*

Proof. We argue by induction on k . Since a 0-step nilspace is a singleton, the case $k = 0$ is trivial. For $k > 0$, as the structure group Z_k is an abelian Lie group, it is locally connected (being a manifold), and then, from Theorem 2.20 and the inductive assumption that X_{k-1} is locally-connected, it follows that every point of X has a basis of connected neighborhoods. \square

Theorem 2.40. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Then for every $i \in [k]$ the translation group $\Theta_i(X)$ is a Polish group.*

Proof. We have already proved that the topology induced on $\Theta_i(X)$ by the compact-open topology is Polish, so it suffices to prove that the group operation and inversion are both continuous. For the group operation (composition of translations), this follows directly from [39, §46, Ex. 7]. For the inversion, first note that $\Theta_i(X)$ is a subset of the set of homeomorphisms of X . This is because the inverse of a continuous translation is continuous by Corollary 2.22. Moreover, by [1, Theorem 4] the set of homeomorphisms with the compact-open topology is a topological group under composition, provided that the space is LCH and locally connected.¹⁰ Thus, the set $\Theta_i(X)$ inherits the continuity of the inversion from that of the set of homeomorphisms. \square

Remark 2.41. Without local connectedness, it can happen that in the space's homeomorphism group the inversion is not continuous relative to the compact-open topology [14].

Let us prove here an additional result about Lie-fibered nilspaces that will be useful later.

Proposition 2.42. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, let Z be an abelian Lie group and let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the set $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ equipped with pointwise addition and the compact-open topology is a Polish group.*

Proof. By Lemma B.5 we have that $C(X, Z)$ is a Polish space. We claim that $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ is a closed subset of $C(X, Z)$. To prove this, let (f_n) be a sequence in $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ converging to $f \in C(X, Z)$. We need to prove that for every $c \in C^m(X)$ we have $f \circ c \in C^m(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$. Since $f_n \circ c \in C^m(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ and $C^m(\mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ is closed, our claim follows.

Now we need to prove that the group operations are also continuous. We will just do it for addition, as the argument is almost identical for the inverse. Fix any sequence of elements $(f_n, g_n) \in \text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))^2$ converging to some $(f, g) \in \text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))^2$. We need to prove that $f_n + g_n \rightarrow f + g$. Suppose for a contradiction that this fails. Let d be a metric on Z compatible with the topology. By [39, Theorems 46.8 and 46.2], there is then some compact set $K \subset X$ and some $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_{x \in K} d((f_n + g_n)(x), (f + g)(x)) > \epsilon_0$ for infinitely many n . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that the previous lower bound holds for all n . Passing to a further subsequence, we obtain a sequence (x_n) in K such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $d((f_n + g_n)(x_n), (f + g)(x_n)) > \epsilon_0$ for all n . This yields a contradiction, as by [39, Theorem 46.10] the evaluation map is continuous and thus $f_n(x_n) + g_n(x_n) \rightarrow f(x) + g(x)$. \square

3. FREE NILSPACES

This section treats the following class of LCH nilspaces, which plays a key role in this paper.

Definition 3.1. A *free nilspace* is a direct product (in the nilspace category) of finitely many components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z})$ and $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R})$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$. We say that a free nilspace is *discrete* if it is a direct product of components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z})$, and is *continuous* if it is a direct product of components of the form $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R})$.

¹⁰Since Lie-fibered nilspaces are manifolds, one could also use [35], where a uniqueness property of the compact-open topology is also proved.

Thus, if F is a free nilspace of step k then we can write it in the form $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ for some integers $a_i, b_i \geq 0$. We shall often use the following alternative expression as well.

Definition 3.2. Let F be a k -step free nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$, where $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for each $i \in [k]$. Thus an element g of F is a sequence of pairs $((x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}))_{i \in [k]}$ where $x_i \in \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$, $y_i \in \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. By a permutation of coordinates (which will often be used tacitly), we can write any $g \in F$ as a pair $g = (x, y)$ where $x := (x_i = (x_{i,j})_{j \in [a_i]})_{i \in [k]}$ lies in the product nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$, nilspace which we call the *discrete part* of F , and $y := (y_i = (y_{i,j})_{j \in [b_i]})_{i \in [k]}$ lies in the product nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$, the *continuous part* of F . We shall often refer to x, y as the *discrete and continuous parts* of g respectively.

From a purely algebraic viewpoint, free nilspaces are a specific type of *group nilspaces*, i.e. nilspaces consisting of filtered groups where the cube structure is given by the Host–Kra cube groups associated with the filtration, see [31, Ch. 6]. More precisely, for a free k -step nilspace the underlying group is an *abelian* group of the form $\mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$, and the filtration is the product of filtrations associated with the nilspaces $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{R})$, $i, j \in [k]$. It follows that morphisms between free nilspaces are polynomial maps between the corresponding filtered groups (recall e.g. [8, Theorem 2.2.14]), and can be expressed in terms of multivariate polynomials. We shall now give precise formulations of this, in the form of certain Taylor expansions for such morphisms. We will then use these expressions to prove results on lifting morphisms from free nilspaces, which will play an important role in the next section.

3.1. Morphisms between free nilspaces as polynomials.

To formulate the Taylor expansions, we use the following notation.

Definition 3.3. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and for each $i \in [k]$ let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then, for any element $(m, n) = (((m_{i,j})_{j \in [a_i]})_{i \in [k]}, ((n_{i,\ell})_{\ell \in [b_i]})_{i \in [k]}) \in (\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}) \times (\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{b_i})$, we define

$$|(m, n)|_{k, (a_i)_i, (b_i)_i} := \sum_{i=1}^k i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{a_i} m_{i,j} + \sum_{\ell=1}^{b_i} n_{i,\ell} \right).$$

If there is no risk of confusion, we abbreviate this to $|(m, n)|$. Given variables $x_{i,j}, y_{i,\ell}$ for $i \in [k], j \in [a_i], \ell \in [b_i]$, we write $\binom{(x,y)}{(m,n)}$ for the monomial¹¹ $\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \binom{x_{i,j}}{m_{i,j}} \prod_{\ell=1}^{b_i} \binom{y_{i,\ell}}{n_{i,\ell}}$.

Remark 3.4. Thus, when (x, y) is a point in a free nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i}) \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$, the number $|(m, n)|$ yields a notion of *degree* of the monomial $\binom{(x,y)}{(m,n)}$, which takes into account the powers to which the variables are raised in the monomial, but also the degree i of the nilspace structure $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R})$ to which $x_{i,j}$ or $y_{i,\ell}$ belongs. Thus we shall refer to $|(m, n)|$ as the *filtered degree* of the monomial $\binom{(x,y)}{(m,n)}$.

We now state the Taylor expansions in two separate results. The first one addresses the discrete case (in which the image of the morphism on F is discrete), as follows.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in [k]$ let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and let F be the free nilspace $[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})] \times [\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})]$. Let A be a discrete finitely generated abelian group, and let $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be a continuous nilspace morphism. Then for each $m \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$ with $|(m, 0)|_{k, (a_i), (0)} \leq k$ there exists a coefficient $g_m \in A$, such that for every $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$*

¹¹Here $\binom{x}{n}$ denotes the standard binomial coefficient. It turns out to be technically convenient here to consider monomials as products of binomial coefficients rather than the more usual products of variables.

and $y \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ we have

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{m: |(m,0)|_{k,(a_i),(0)} \leq k} g_m \binom{(x, y)}{(m, 0)}. \quad (3)$$

Conversely, every map ϕ of the form (3) is a continuous morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$.

We are thus expressing ϕ as a linear combination of monomials $(x, y)^{(m,0)}$ which involve only the discrete variables $x_{i,j}$. The proof of Lemma 3.5 is given in Appendix A and uses mainly standard arguments from polynomial algebra (see Theorem A.6 and Remark A.7).

We now state the result addressing the continuous case.

Lemma 3.6. *Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $i \in [k]$ let $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and let F be the free nilspace $[\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})] \times [\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})]$. Then for any continuous morphism $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$, for each $(m, n) \in (\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}) \times (\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{b_i})$ with $|(m, n)| \leq k$ there is a coefficient $\lambda_{m,n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$ and $y \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ we have*

$$\phi(x, y) = \sum_{(m,n): |(m,n)| \leq k} \lambda_{m,n} \binom{(x, y)}{(m, n)}, \quad (4)$$

Conversely, every map ϕ of the form (4) is a continuous morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$.

The proof is given in Appendix A; a simple modification of it yields the following expression of continuous morphisms from F to the circle group \mathbb{T} , which is used in the next subsection.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $F = [\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})] \times [\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})]$ be a free nilspace and let $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the canonical quotient homomorphism. A map $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{T})$ is a continuous morphism if and only if there is a morphism $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$ of the form (4) such that*

$$\psi(x, y) = \pi \circ \phi(x, y). \quad (5)$$

Remark 3.8. As mentioned in Remark 3.4, the number $|(m, n)|$ gives a notion of filtered degree of a monomial $\binom{(x,y)}{(m,n)}$. Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 together tell us that a morphism ϕ from a free nilspace F to \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Z} is a multivariate polynomial, more precisely a linear combination of monomials of the form $\binom{(x,y)}{(m,n)}$. Therefore we can now define the *filtered degree* of such a polynomial to be the maximum of $|(m, n)|$ over all the monomials forming the polynomial. We can then summarize the results so far in this section as follows.

Lemma 3.9. *Let F, F' be free nilspaces. A function $P : F \rightarrow F'$ is a continuous morphism if and only if the coordinate functions $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_i(G)$ of P (where G is \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{R} and $i \in [k]$) are all multivariate polynomials of the form (3) or (4), all of filtered degree at most i .*

Proof. By composing P with projections to the different coordinates, the lemma follows from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6. \square

Remark 3.10. Despite the various existing treatments of polynomial maps between filtered groups (e.g. [23, Appendix B], [8, §2.2] and many more), we did not find a quick deduction of the Taylor expansions in this subsection from the existing literature. Nevertheless, we chose to leave the proofs for Appendix A as the arguments are basically standard.

3.2. Lifting a morphism defined on a free nilspace.

Let F be a free nilspace, let A be a compact abelian Lie group, and suppose that for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a nilspace morphism $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$. We know that $A \cong Z \times \mathbb{T}^s$ for some finite abelian group Z and some integer $s \geq 0$. Let r be the minimal cardinality of a set of

generators for Z , so that Z is isomorphic to a direct sum of r finite cyclic groups (we call r the *rank* of Z). We then consider the abelian Lie group $B = \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ and note that there is a natural continuous surjective homomorphism $\pi : B \rightarrow A$ (which simply applies a quotient homomorphism in each coordinate, either from \mathbb{Z} to a finite cyclic group, or from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{T}). We call B the *covering group* of A , and π the *covering homomorphism*. The question treated in this subsection is whether we can lift ϕ through π to a morphism $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(B)$, that is, whether there is a nilspace morphism $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(B)$ such that $\pi \circ \psi = \phi$.

Using the Taylor expansions from the previous subsection, this question can be settled quickly in the affirmative, obtaining the following main result of this subsection.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $A = Z \times \mathbb{T}^s$ be a compact¹² abelian Lie group, let $B = \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ be the covering group and $\pi : B \rightarrow A$ the covering homomorphism. Then for any free nilspace F , any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $\phi \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(A))$, there exists $\psi \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B))$ such that $\phi = \pi \circ \psi$.*

There are more general versions of this result (see for instance Remark 3.12).

Proof. First we address the discrete part, in which A is just a finite abelian group. In this case, letting r be the rank of A , the conclusion is the following:

$$\text{there exists a morphism } \psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}^r) \text{ such that } \phi = \pi \circ \psi. \quad (6)$$

Indeed, it suffices to take the expansion (3) for ϕ , and lift it to a Taylor expansion of a morphism $\psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(B = \mathbb{Z}^r)$ by replacing each coefficient $g_m \in A$ by some $g'_m \in B$ such that $\pi(g'_m) = g_m$.

Next we treat the continuous part of the problem, where the image group A is a torus \mathbb{T}^s . Letting $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be the canonical quotient homomorphism, the main claim here is that

$$\text{there exists a morphism } \psi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^s) \text{ such that } \phi = \pi^s \circ \psi. \quad (7)$$

This follows readily by applying Lemma 3.7 to each of the s coordinate maps of ϕ and taking the product of the resulting maps.

The theorem now follows. Indeed, we can first lift separately the morphisms $\pi_d \circ \phi$ and $\pi_c \circ \phi$, using (6) and (7) respectively, where π_d is the coordinate projection from A to its discrete (finite) part Z and π_c is the coordinate projection from A to its continuous part \mathbb{T}^s . Then we put together the two lifts to obtain ψ (taking the product of the two maps). \square

Remark 3.12. Theorem 3.11 can be extended to more general situations. In fact, if $\gamma : B \rightarrow A$ is a surjective homomorphism where A, B are abelian Lie groups it can be proved that for any $f \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(A))$ there exists $g \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B))$ such that $\gamma \circ g = f$. As we will not use this result, we omit its proof.

3.3. On the translation groups and structure groups of free nilspaces.

The main goal of this final subsection on free nilspaces is to obtain the following lifting result for translations, which will be used in the proof of Theorem 6.1.

Theorem 3.13. *Let $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ be a free nilspace ($a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, for each $i \in [k]$). Let $A = Z \times \mathbb{T}^s$ be a compact abelian Lie group, let $B = \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ be the covering group for A , and let $\pi : B \rightarrow A$ be the covering homomorphism. Then for every $i \in [k]$ and $\alpha \in \Theta_i(F \times \mathcal{D}_k(A))$ there exists $\beta \in \Theta_i(F \times \mathcal{D}_k(B))$ such that, letting $\phi : F \times \mathcal{D}_k(B) \rightarrow F \times \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be the morphism $(f, b) \mapsto (f, \pi(b))$, we have $\alpha \circ \phi = \phi \circ \beta$.*

To prove this we shall use the following description of the translation groups of a free nilspace, which extends [11, Theorem 5.9] and seems to be of independent interest. In particular, this description will also help to prove that these translation groups are Lie groups.

¹²The assumption of compactness can be relaxed to that of being compactly-generated, using similar arguments.

Remark 3.14. In the following result, we use the basic degree- t nilspace structure on an abelian group Z , denoted by $\mathcal{D}_t(Z)$; see [8, equation (2.9)]. However, we shall use this even for $t \leq 0$. Note that for $t = 0$ the resulting nilspace is non-ergodic, and the n -cubes on this nilspace are the constant maps $\llbracket n \rrbracket \rightarrow Z$. It follows that for any (ergodic) nilspace X , the set of morphisms $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_0(Z))$ is the set of constant maps $X \rightarrow Z$. For $t < 0$ we take $\mathcal{D}_t(Z)$ to be $\{0_Z\}$.

Theorem 3.15. *Let $(A_i)_{i=1}^k$ be a sequence of abelian groups, and let X be the product nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(A_i)$. Then for each $s \in [k]$ we have*

$$\Theta_s(X) = \prod_{i=1}^k \text{hom} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{i-s} \mathcal{D}_j(A_j), \mathcal{D}_{i-s}(A_i) \right), \quad (8)$$

where the action of an element $(0, \dots, 0, T_s, T_{s+1}, \dots, T_k)$ in this product, as a translation $\alpha \in \Theta_s(X)$, is defined by $\alpha(x) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (0, \dots, 0, T_s, T_{s+1}(x_1), \dots, T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-s}))$.

Remark 3.16. In (8) the product signs outside and inside the bracket indicate a Cartesian product and a nilspace product respectively. The factor for $i = s$ is the set $\text{hom}(\{\emptyset\}, \mathcal{D}_0(A_s))$ (taking the empty product $\prod_{j=1}^0 \mathcal{D}_j(A_j)$ to be a singleton, as usual), so this is the set of constants $T_s \in A_s$. For each $i < s$ the i -th factor can be viewed as consisting only of 0_{A_i} .

Proof. First we prove that any such function α is in $\Theta_s(X)$. We argue by induction on k . For $k = 1$, since α then just adds a constant, it is indeed a translation on $\mathcal{D}_1(A_1)$. For $k \geq 2$ and $s \in [k]$, by induction the map $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) + (0, \dots, 0, T_s, T_{s+1}(x_1), \dots, T_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1-s}))$ is in $\Theta_s(X_{k-1})$. Hence it suffices to prove that the map $\alpha' : x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto x + (0, \dots, 0, T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-s}))$ is in $\Theta_s(X)$. By [8, Lemma 3.2.32] it suffices to prove that for every $c = (c_1, \dots, c_k) \in C^n(\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(A_i))$ the s -arrow $\langle c, \alpha' \circ c \rangle_s$ is in $C^{n+s}(X)$. Now $\langle c, \alpha' \circ c \rangle_s = \langle c, c \rangle_s + g$, for $g : \llbracket n+s \rrbracket \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k A_i$, $v \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, \langle 0, T_k \circ (c_1, \dots, c_{k-s}) \rangle_s(v))$. Thus it suffices to prove that $\langle 0, T_k \circ (c_1, \dots, c_{k-s}) \rangle_s \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A_k))$. By [8, Lemma 2.2.19], this follows if $T_k \circ (c_1, \dots, c_{k-s}) \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A_k))$, which holds by our assumption on T_k .

Now we prove that every translation $\alpha \in \Theta_s(X)$ has the form claimed in the theorem. Note that by the previous paragraph, adding a constant x_i in the i -th component of X is a translation in $\Theta_i(X)$. Moreover, for $i \geq k - s + 1$ such translations are central in $\Theta_s(X)$. Hence for every $x \in X$ we have $\alpha(x) = \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_{k-s}, 0^s) + (0^{k-s}, x_{k-s+1}, \dots, x_k)$. By induction, the first summand here equals $(x_1, \dots, x_{k-s}, 0^s) + (0^{k-s}, T_s, T_{s+1}(x_1), \dots, T_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1-s}), g(x))$ for some map $g : X \rightarrow A_k$ which factors through π_{k-s} , i.e. $g(x) = g'(x_1, \dots, x_{k-s})$ for some map $g' : X_{k-s} \rightarrow A_k$. It now suffices to prove that $g' \in \text{hom}(\prod_{i=1}^{k-s} \mathcal{D}_i(A_i), \mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A_k))$. Fix any $c \in C^n(\prod_{i=1}^{k-s} \mathcal{D}_i(A_i))$. Let $c^* \in C^n(X)$ be defined by $c^*(v) = (c(v), 0^s)$ for $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, and consider the map $\langle c^*, \alpha \circ c^* \rangle_s$. On one hand, by [8, Lemma 3.2.32] this map is a cube. On the other hand, by the above expression of α , this map equals $\langle c^*, c^* \rangle_s + \langle 0, c' \rangle_s + \langle 0, c'' \rangle_s$ for some $c' = (c'_1, \dots, c'_{k-s}, 0^s) \in C^n(X)$, and with $c''(v) = (0^{k-s}, g' \circ c(v))$. Then $\langle 0, c'' \rangle_s \in C^{n+s}(X)$, since it is the combination of cubes $\langle c^*, \alpha \circ c^* \rangle_s - \langle c^*, c^* \rangle_s - \langle 0, c' \rangle_s$. Hence $\langle 0, g' \circ c \rangle_s \in C^{n+s}(\mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A_k))$, so $g' \circ c \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A_k))$ by [8, Lemma 2.2.19], as required. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.13. Given any translation $\alpha \in \Theta_s(F \times \mathcal{D}_k(A))$, by Theorem 3.15, we can lift α in the desired way just by lifting the polynomial $T_k \in \text{hom}(\prod_{j=1}^{k-s} \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j}), \mathcal{D}_{k-s}(A))$. By Theorem 3.11 there exists $T'_k \in \text{hom}(\prod_{j=1}^{k-s} \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j}), \mathcal{D}_{k-s}(B))$ such that $\pi \circ T'_k = T_k$. Using T'_k instead of T_k in the expression (8) for α , we obtain a translation β as claimed. \square

To close this section we shall prove that the translation group and structure groups of a free nilspace are always Lie groups. This can be established using an alternative description of the

translation group of free nilspaces given by the next theorem (which actually concerns more general nilspaces consisting in products of higher-degree abelian Lie groups).

Given a k -step nilspace X , recall from [8, Definition 3.3.1 (ii)] that for every $j \in [k]$ we have the following group homomorphism (e.g. by [10, Lemma 1.5] with $\psi = \pi_{k-1}$):

$$\eta_j : \Theta(X) \rightarrow \Theta(X_j), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha_j, \quad \text{where } \alpha_j \circ \pi_j = \pi_j \circ \alpha, \quad (9)$$

Theorem 3.17. *Let $(A_i)_{i=1}^k$ be a sequence of (compactly generated) abelian Lie groups, and let X be the k -step Lie-fibered nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(A_i)$. Then $\Theta(X)$ is a topological group extension of $\Theta(X_{k-1})$ by $\ker(\eta_{k-1})$.*

When X is a Lie-fibered nilspace, the map η_{k-1} is seen to be a continuous homomorphism similarly as in [9, Lemma 2.9.3] (where η_{k-1} was denoted simply by h). Let us record this.

Lemma 3.18. *Let X be a Lie-fibered k -step nilspace. Then the homomorphism $\eta_{k-1} : \Theta(X) \rightarrow \Theta(X_{k-1})$ is continuous.*

Proof. Recall that we equip $\Theta(X)$ with the compact-open topology, which in this case equals the topology of convergence in compact sets (see §2.3). Let $(\alpha_n)_n$ be a sequence in $\Theta(X)$ converging to some $\alpha \in \Theta(X)$. We want to prove that $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n) \rightarrow \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)$. Let $K \subset X_{k-1}$ be any compact set. By Corollary 2.7 there is a compact set $K' \subset X$ such that $\pi_{k-1}(K') = K$. Fix any metric d metrizing the topology on X_{k-1} . We claim that $\sup_{y \in K} d(\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(y), \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(y)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since π_{k-1} is surjective from K' onto K , this last supremum is at most $\sup_{x \in K'} d(\pi_{k-1}(\alpha_n(x)), \pi_{k-1}(\alpha(x)))$ for every n . Suppose this supremum does not converge to 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ and a sequence (x_n) in K' such that for every n we have $d(\pi_{k-1}(\alpha_n(x_n)), \pi_{k-1}(\alpha(x_n))) > \epsilon$. As K' is compact, passing to a subsequence we can assume that x_n converges to some $x' \in K'$. This yields a contradiction because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n(x_n) = \alpha(x') = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha(x_n)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.17. By Lemma 3.18 and Theorem 2.40, the map η_{k-1} is a continuous homomorphism between the Polish groups $\Theta(X)$ and $\Theta(X_{k-1})$. Moreover, the map η_{k-1} is seen to be surjective using the description of $\Theta(X)$ and $\Theta(X_{k-1})$ given in (8). Indeed, since X_{k-1} is isomorphic as an Lie-fibered nilspace to $\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \mathcal{D}_i(A_i)$, by (8) applied to $\Theta(X_{k-1})$ any $\alpha' \in \Theta(X_{k-1})$ corresponds uniquely to a tuple (T_1, \dots, T_{k-1}) , and then by (8) applied to $\Theta(X)$ the tuple $(T_1, \dots, T_{k-1}, 0)$ corresponds to a translation $\alpha \in \Theta(X)$ such that $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha) = \alpha'$. Finally, letting ι denote the inclusion homomorphism $\ker(\eta_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Theta(X)$, note that ι and η_{k-1} are open maps onto their images, by the open mapping theorem for Polish groups (see [3, Chapter 1]). We have thus confirmed that we have the short exact sequence of topological groups $0 \rightarrow \ker(\eta_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{\iota} \Theta(X) \xrightarrow{\eta_{k-1}} \Theta(X_{k-1}) \rightarrow 0$. \square

We need a couple of additional lemmas to deduce that the translation group of a free nilspace is a Lie group. The first of these will also be used several times later, and concerns the set $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ for a free nilspace F and an abelian Lie group Z . the group $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ will be always considered to be equipped with pointwise addition and the compact-open topology. We then have the following fact.

Lemma 3.19. *Let F be a free nilspace, let Z be an abelian (compactly generated) Lie group, and let k be any positive integer. Then $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ is an abelian Lie group.*

Proof. Let B be the covering group of Z as in §3.2, thus $B = \mathbb{Z}^r \times \mathbb{R}^s$ with a continuous surjective homomorphism $\pi : B \rightarrow Z$. It is readily seen from Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 that $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, so this is a Lie group. Let $\phi : \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B)) \rightarrow \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ be the homomorphism $f \mapsto \pi \circ f$. Arguing as in the

proof of Lemma 3.18, we see that ϕ is continuous. Hence $\ker(\phi)$ is a closed subgroup of $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B))$, hence a Lie group. By Theorem 3.11 the map ϕ is also surjective. It follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.17 that $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z)) \cong \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(B)) / \ker(\phi)$, whence $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ is a Lie group by [38, Theorem 2.6]. \square

Lemma 3.20. *Let F be a k -step free nilspace, and let $\eta_{k-1} : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F_{k-1})$ be the surjective continuous homomorphism from (9). Then $\ker(\eta_{k-1})$ is a Lie group.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.19 it suffices to prove that the group $\ker(\eta_{k-1})$ is topologically isomorphic to $\text{hom}(F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$. The algebraic part is given by [9, Lemma 2.9.5], which gives us an (purely algebraic so far) isomorphism $\varphi : \ker(\eta_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$. Hence, it suffices to check that φ is continuous, as then it is open (by the open mapping theorem for Polish groups) and therefore a topological-group isomorphism. To check this continuity, recall that we are using the compact-open topology on both $\ker(\eta_{k-1})$ and $\text{hom}(F_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$. Suppose that φ is not continuous. Then there is a convergent sequence (α_n) in $\ker(\eta_{k-1})$ with limit $\alpha \in \ker(\eta_{k-1})$ such that, for some compact $K \subset F_{k-1}$ and $\epsilon > 0$, for infinitely many n we have $\sup_{f \in K} d_{Z_k}(\varphi(\alpha_n)(f), \varphi(\alpha)(f)) > \epsilon$. Passing to a subsequence we can assume that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $f_n \in K$ such that $d_{Z_k}(\varphi(\alpha_n)(f_n), \varphi(\alpha)(f_n)) > \epsilon$. By Corollary 2.7 we know that there exists a compact set $K' \subset F$ such that $\pi_{k-1}(K') = K$. For each n let $x_n \in K'$ be such that $\pi(x_n) = f_n$. By compactness, passing to a subsequence we can assume that $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $d_{Z_k}(\alpha_n(x_n) - x_n, \alpha(x_n) - x_n) > \epsilon$ for all n . Now letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we know that $\alpha_n(x_n) \rightarrow \alpha(x)$, and by continuity of subtraction in an LCH nilspace we deduce $0 = d_{Z_k}(\alpha(x) - x, \alpha(x) - x) > \epsilon$, a contradiction. \square

Corollary 3.21. *Let F be a k -step free nilspace. Then $\Theta(F)$ and all structure groups of F are Lie groups.*

Proof. We argue by induction on k . For $k = 1$ the translation group is isomorphic to the abelian group underlying F , which is Lie. For $k > 1$, by induction $\Theta(X_{k-1})$ is Lie, and $\ker(h\eta_{k-1})$ is Lie by Lemma 3.20. Hence $\Theta(X)$ is Lie by Theorem 3.17 and [38, Theorem 2.6]. \square

4. LIE-FIBERED EXTENSIONS OF FREE NILSPACES ARE SPLIT EXTENSIONS

We shall now combine results from the previous sections to establish the following theorem, which is a central ingredient for the proof of our main result (Theorem 6.1).

Theorem 4.1. *Let F be a k -step free nilspace and let Y be a degree- k extension of F by an abelian Lie group Z , with corresponding fibration $q : Y \rightarrow F$. Then this extension splits, i.e., there exists a continuous morphism $s : F \rightarrow Y$ such that $q \circ s = \text{id}$. In particular Y is isomorphic as an LCH nilspace to the product-nilspace $F \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z)$.*

This theorem is a generalization for free nilspaces of a splitting-extension result which was proved for finite (discrete) nilspaces in [45, Theorem 5]. We first record the case $k = 1$ of the theorem, which is a known result and will also be used in the main proof.

Lemma 4.2. *Let F be a torsion-free abelian Lie group, let Z, G be locally-compact abelian groups, and suppose that G is a topological-group extension of F by Z , i.e. there is a proper exact sequence of topological groups $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$. Then this extension splits.*

Proof. We have that F is a direct product $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}^m$, so by [38, Theorem 3.3] the group F is projective for LCH groups, and then the result follows from [38, Theorem 3.5]. \square

A consequence of Lemma 4.2 is that, under its hypotheses, there exists a continuous homomorphism $s : F \rightarrow G$ such that, letting $p : G \rightarrow F$ be the projection homomorphism, we have $p \circ s = \text{id}$. Let us record the following definition for nilspaces, which extends this phenomenon.

Definition 4.3 (Cross-section). Let X, Y be nilspaces and let $\varphi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a fibration. A *cross-section* for φ is a nilspace morphism $s : X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi \circ s = \text{id}_X$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. We argue by induction on k . The case $k = 1$ is given by Lemma 4.2.

For $k > 1$, we assume that the result holds for step at most $k - 1$. The crux of the proof consists in specifying a continuous cross-section $s : F \rightarrow Y$. Fixing some $y \in q^{-1}(0)$, we will obtain from each element $f \in F$ a translation on Y in a consistent way, defining then $s(f)$ as the image of y under this translation.

Before detailing the above construction of s , we make the following observation that uses the inductive hypothesis: for any $t \leq k - 1$, and any free nilspace F' (of arbitrary finite step), any degree- t extension $\psi : Y' \rightarrow F'$ splits. This observation is essentially [11, Lemma 4.4]; for completeness we detail the proof here. Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y' & \xrightarrow{\psi} & F' \\ \downarrow \pi_t & & \downarrow \pi_t \\ Y'_t & \xrightarrow{\psi_t} & F'_t. \end{array} \quad (10)$$

By [11, Proposition A.19] we already know that Y' is isomorphic (algebraically) to the nilspace $Y'_t \times_{F'_t} F'$, the isomorphism being the map $y \mapsto (\pi_t(y), \psi(y))$. As this map is a continuous fibration between Lie-fibered nilspaces, it is an open map by Theorem 2.21. Hence it is a homeomorphism. By the induction hypothesis, the extension $\psi_t : Y'_t \rightarrow F'_t$ splits, so there exists a continuous cross-section $s_t : F'_t \rightarrow Y'_t$ such that $\psi_t \circ s_t = \text{id}$. Then, the map $F' \rightarrow Y'_t \times_{F'_t} F'$, $x \mapsto (s_t(\pi_t(x)), x)$ is a continuous cross-section from F' to Y' .

Now let $q : Y \rightarrow F$ be the continuous fibration given in the theorem. Note that q_{k-1} is then an isomorphism $Y_{k-1} \rightarrow F_{k-1}$ (by similar arguments as in the proof of Proposition 2.28). This implies that for every translation $\alpha \in \Theta(Y)$ the map q is α -consistent, meaning that $q(y) = q(y') \Rightarrow q(\alpha y) = q(\alpha y')$. Indeed, if $q(y) = q(y')$, then from q_{k-1} being an isomorphism it follows that y, y' are in the same fiber of $\pi_{k-1, Y}$, so $y' = y + z$ for some $z \in Z_k(Y)$, and then $q(y) = q(y')$ also implies that z is in the kernel of the k -th structure homomorphism $\phi_{k, q}$ of q (see [8, Definition 3.3.1]). Then $\alpha(y') = \alpha(y) + z$, so $q(\alpha y') = q(\alpha(y)) + \phi_{k, q}(z) = q(\alpha(y))$ as claimed. We can then define (as in [10, Lemma 1.5]) a homomorphism $\hat{q} : \Theta(Y) \rightarrow \Theta(F)$, which is a *filtered* group homomorphism, i.e. such that for each $i \in [k]$, \hat{q} sends $\alpha \in \Theta_i(Y)$ to the translation $\hat{q}(\alpha) : x \in F \mapsto q(\alpha(y))$ in $\Theta_i(F)$ (for any $y \in Y$ with $q(y) = x$).

To obtain the desired cross-section s below, we shall use the following properties of \hat{q} .

First note that \hat{q} is continuous (relative to the compact-open topology on $\Theta_i(Y)$ and $\Theta_i(F)$; recall §2.3). Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that there was a sequence $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging to α in $\Theta_i(Y)$ such that $\hat{q}(\alpha_n) \not\rightarrow \hat{q}(\alpha)$. Then there would be a compact set $K \subset F$ and some $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{x \in K} d_F(\hat{q}(\alpha_n)(x), \hat{q}(\alpha)(x)) > \epsilon$ for all n . Thus there would exist a sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in K such that $d_F(\hat{q}(\alpha_n)(x_n), \hat{q}(\alpha)(x_n)) > \epsilon$ for all n . By compactness of K we can assume that x_n converges to some $x^* \in K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Letting $y_n, y \in Y$ be such that $x_n = q(y_n)$ for all n and $x^* = q(y)$, by Lemma B.2 there would exist a sequence $z_n \in Z$ such that $y_n + z_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Renaming this sequence, we could assume that $y_n \rightarrow y$. By [39, Theorem 46.10] we would then have $\alpha_n(y_n) \rightarrow \alpha(y)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\hat{q}(\alpha_n)(x_n) = q(\alpha_n(y_n))$ and $\hat{q}(\alpha)(x^*) = q(\alpha(y))$, by continuity of q we would have $\hat{q}(\alpha_n)(x_n) \rightarrow \hat{q}(\alpha)(x^*)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting $d_F(\hat{q}(\alpha_n)(x_n), \hat{q}(\alpha)(x_n)) > \epsilon$ for n large enough.

Next we prove the key fact that \hat{q} is surjective from $\Theta_i(Y)$ onto $\Theta_i(F)$, for each $i \in [k]$. Note that for $i = k$ this is clear by virtue of q being a fibration (its k -th structure homomorphism is then surjective), so we may assume that $i < k$. Let $\eta_{k-1} : \Theta_i(F) \rightarrow \Theta_i(F_{k-1})$ be the

homomorphism from (9). Since $q_{k-1} : Y_{k-1} \rightarrow F_{k-1}$ is an LCH-nilspace isomorphism, for every $\gamma \in \Theta_i(F)$ we can treat $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma)$ as a translation on Y_{k-1} . By Corollary 2.29, Y is also a Lie-fibered k -step nilspace. Now, we want to apply Proposition 2.32 to prove the existence of a (continuous) translation in $\Theta_i(Y)$ which is a lift of $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma)$ (viewing the latter as a translation in $\Theta_i(Y_{k-1})$). To be able to apply Proposition 2.32, we need the associated translation bundle to be a *split* extension of Y_{k-1} . But since Y_{k-1} is isomorphic to F_{k-1} , this translation bundle is thus a degree- $(k-i)$ extension of F_{k-1} , so by the above observation related to (10), this extension does split. Hence we can indeed apply Proposition 2.32 and thus we obtain a continuous translation $\beta \in \Theta_i(Y)$ that lifts $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma)$ through π_{k-1} . Now a remaining issue is that a priori $\widehat{q}(\beta)$ may not equal γ . However, the images of these translations through η_{k-1} are both equal to $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma)$. Hence $\widehat{q}(\beta) - \gamma : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k(F))$ is a well-defined continuous morphism. Let ϕ_k be the k -th structure morphism of q , thus ϕ_k is a surjective continuous group homomorphism $Z_k(Y) \rightarrow Z_k(F)$. These last two groups are Lie groups (by assumption for $Z_k(Y)$, and by Corollary 3.21 for $Z_k(F)$). By Lemma 4.2 we have that $Z_k(Y)$ is a *split* topological-group extension of $Z_k(F)$, so there is a continuous homomorphism $s_k : Z_k(F) \rightarrow Z_k(Y)$ such that $\phi_k \circ s_k$ is the identity map on $Z_k(F)$. We can now define the map $\alpha := \beta + s_k \circ (\gamma - \widehat{q}(\beta)) \circ q : Y \rightarrow Y$. This is a continuous translation on Y , and a simple calculation shows that $\widehat{q}(\alpha) = \gamma$, as required.

Having proved that \widehat{q} is a continuous surjective homomorphism, we are nearly ready to define the desired cross-section, by lifting from $\Theta_i(F)$ to $\Theta_i(Y)$ using the surjectivity. But to do so consistently on the continuous part of F , we shall use that $\Theta(Y)$ is a Lie group (which enables us to lift 1-parameter subgroups), so let us now establish this Lie property.

First we claim that $\ker(\widehat{q})$ is a Lie group. For each $\alpha \in \ker(\widehat{q}) = \ker(\widehat{q}) \cap \Theta_1(Y)$ we have a well-defined map $\xi_\alpha : F \rightarrow Z_k(Y)$, $x \mapsto y - \alpha(y)$ for any $y \in q^{-1}(x)$, and by [9, Lemma 2.9.5] this map ξ_α is a nilspace morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y))$. Let $\varphi : \ker(\widehat{q}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y)))$ be the map $\alpha \mapsto \xi_\alpha$. We claim that φ is a continuous group homomorphism (where, as usual, $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y)))$ is equipped with pointwise addition and the compact-open topology). Let $\alpha, \beta \in \ker(\widehat{q})$. The value of $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta)$ at $x \in F$ can be calculated as follows. Let $y \in q^{-1}(x)$ and note that by the assumption $\alpha \in \ker(\widehat{q})$ we have $\alpha(y) \in q^{-1}(x)$. Hence $\varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) = (y - \alpha(y)) + (\alpha(y) - \beta(\alpha(y))) = y - \beta(\alpha(y)) = \varphi(\beta\alpha)$. The calculation for the inverse follows similarly. Continuity of φ follows by a similar argument as in previous proofs: let $K \subset F$ be compact and (α_n) be a convergent sequence in $\ker(\widehat{q})$ with limit α . Suppose for a contradiction that there is $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\sup_{x \in K} d(\varphi(\alpha_n)(x), \varphi(\alpha)(x)) > \epsilon$ for all n . Then passing to a subsequence we may assume that there exists $x_n \rightarrow x \in K$ such that $d(\varphi(\alpha_n)(x_n), \varphi(\alpha)(x_n)) > \epsilon$ for all n . By Lemma B.2 we can further assume that there exists $y_n \in Y$ with $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that $q(y_n) = x_n$ for all n . We thus obtain a contradiction, as then $\epsilon < d(y_n - \alpha_n(y_n), y_n, \alpha(y_n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now to deduce that $\ker(\widehat{q})$ is a Lie group, note that the continuous homomorphism $\varphi : \ker(\widehat{q}) \rightarrow \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y)))$ is easily checked to be an isomorphism, with its inverse $\varphi^{-1} : \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y))) \rightarrow \ker(\widehat{q})$ being $\varphi^{-1}(f)(x) = x + f(q(x))$. Indeed, for any $f \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y)))$ the map $x \mapsto x + f(q(x))$ is continuous (since f , q , and the Z_k -action are all continuous), so this map is indeed a *continuous* translation in $\ker(\widehat{q})$. Hence φ is a bijective continuous homomorphism, hence an isomorphism (by the open mapping theorem). By Lemma 3.19 the group $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(Z_k(Y)))$ is Lie, and our claim follows.

To see that $\Theta_i(Y)$ is a Lie group for each i , note first for $i = 1$ that by the continuity and surjectivity of \widehat{q} we have the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker(\widehat{q}) \rightarrow \Theta_1(Y) \rightarrow \Theta_1(F) \rightarrow 0$. We know that $\Theta_1(Y)$ is a Polish group (by Theorem 2.40), that its closed normal subgroup $\ker(\widehat{q})$ is a Lie group, and that $\Theta_1(F)$ is also a Lie group (by Corollary 3.21). Therefore by [29, Corollary A.2] we have that $\Theta_1(Y)$ is also locally compact, and then it is a Lie group by [29, Lemma A.3]. The Lie property of the closed subgroups $\Theta_i(Y)$, $i > 1$, now follows by Cartan's theorem.

We can now complete the proof by specifying the cross-section $F \rightarrow Y$. Recall that $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. For $i \in [k]$ and $j \in [a_i]$ we denote by $\gamma_{i,j} \in \Theta_i(F)$ the translation that adds 1 to the j -th coordinate of elements of F in the discrete part of $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. Similarly, for every $i \in [k]$ and every $s \in [b_i]$ we denote by $\delta_{i,s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Theta_i(F)$ the one-parameter subgroup defined by mapping $t \in \mathbb{R}$ to the translation in $\Theta_i(F)$ that adds t to the s -th coordinate of elements of F in the continuous part of $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. Since \widehat{q} is surjective, for every $i \in [k]$ and $j \in [a_i]$ there exists $\alpha_{i,j} \in \Theta_i(Y)$ such that $\widehat{q}(\alpha_{i,j}) = \gamma_{i,j}$. Similarly, for each $i \in [k]$ and $s \in [b_i]$, the surjectivity of \widehat{q} makes it a quotient morphism in the sense of [27, p. 169] (by the open mapping theorem), so by the one-parameter subgroup lifting lemma [27, Lemma 4.19] there exists a homomorphism $\beta_{i,s} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Theta_i(Y)$ such that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\widehat{q}(\beta_{i,s}(t)) = \delta_{i,s}(t)$. Now, writing the elements of F as tuples $((x_{i,j})_{j \in [a_i]}, (x'_{i,\ell})_{\ell \in [b_i]})_{i \in [k]}$, and fixing any $y \in q^{-1}(0)$, let

$$\begin{aligned} s : \quad F &\rightarrow Y \\ ((x_{i,j}), (x'_{i,\ell})) &\mapsto \left[\prod_{i=1}^k \left(\prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \alpha_{i,j}^{x_{i,j}} \right) \left(\prod_{\ell=1}^{b_i} \beta_{i,\ell}(x'_{i,\ell}) \right) \right](y). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This is clearly a continuous morphism, and by construction it is a cross-section for q . \square

4.1. Lie-fibered nilspaces as fibration images of free nilspaces.

Proving Theorem 4.1 is a key step in this paper on the way to the main theorems 6.1 and 7.14. In this subsection we pause to deduce some first consequences of Theorem 4.1, the first of which is the following general description of Lie-fibered nilspaces in terms of free nilspaces.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Then there exists a k -step free nilspace F and a continuous fibration $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on the step k . For $k = 1$, the nilspace X is topologically isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_1(Z)$ where Z is an abelian Lie group. By known results (see e.g. [38, Theorem 2.4]) we have $Z \cong \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{T}^n \times D$ for some discrete abelian group D . By [15, Corollary 12], if Z is compactly generated then D is finitely generated, hence of the form $\mathbb{Z}^r \times G$ for some finite abelian group G . The conclusion of the theorem then clearly holds in this case.

Let $k > 1$ and suppose by induction that X_{k-1} satisfies the desired conclusion, so there is a $(k-1)$ -step free nilspace F_{k-1} , and a fibration $\varphi_{k-1} : F_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$. We then define the fiber-product $Y := F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X = \{(f, x) \in F_{k-1} \times X : \varphi_{k-1}(f) = \pi_{k-1}(x)\}$. Algebraically this is a k -step nilspace that is a degree- k extension of F by the structure group $Z_k = Z_k(X)$ (see [11, Proposition A.17]). In particular (using for instance [11, Proposition A.21]) for each $i \in [k-1]$ the i -th nilspace factor $\pi_i(Y)$ is isomorphic to the i -th nilspace factor F_i of F_{k-1} . Recall also that $\pi_{k-1,Y}$ is just projection to the F_{k-1} component. By Lemma 2.18 we also know that Y is a Lie-fibered nilspace. It is straightforwardly seen that the component projections $p_1 : Y \rightarrow F_{k-1}$, $(f, x) \mapsto f$ and $p_2 : Y \rightarrow X$, $(f, x) \mapsto x$ are continuous fibrations. By Theorem 4.1, the nilspace Y is a split extension, so $Y \cong F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$. Let Z'_k be a covering group of Z_k as per Theorem 3.11. In particular $Z'_k = \mathbb{Z}^b \times \mathbb{R}^c$ for some integers $b, c \geq 0$. Let ϕ be the natural continuous surjective homomorphism $Z'_k \rightarrow Z_k$. We now define the free nilspace $F := F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z'_k)$. The map $\pi_\phi : F \rightarrow Y$, $(f, f_k) \mapsto (f, \phi(f_k))$ is easily checked to be a fibration, and then the composition $p_2 \circ \pi_\phi$ is a fibration $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$ as required. \square

The following commutative diagram summarizes the above proof and will also be useful later:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F & \xrightarrow{\pi_\phi} & F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k) \simeq F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \\
 & & \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \pi_{k-1} \\
 & & F_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k-1}} & X_{k-1}.
 \end{array} \tag{12}$$

We complete this subsection by recording some consequences of Theorem 4.4 that will be useful in what follows. We begin by strengthening Proposition 2.42.

Corollary 4.5. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, let Z be an abelian Lie group and let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the set $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ equipped with pointwise addition and the compact-open topology is a Lie group.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.4 there is a k -step free nilspace F and a continuous fibration $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 3.19 the group $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ is Lie. Let

$$C := \{g \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z)) : \forall x, y \in F, \text{ if } \varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \text{ then } g(x) = g(y)\}.$$

Note that this is a closed subset of $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ (as can be seen straightforwardly, considering converging sequences in C). Hence, as a closed subgroup of $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$, in particular C is a Lie group. By Proposition 2.42, $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ is a Polish group. Consider the map $\iota : \text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z)) \rightarrow C \subset \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$, $\gamma \mapsto \gamma \circ \varphi$. This map is readily seen to be continuous and injective. Moreover, given any $g \in C$, defining $\gamma^* \in \text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ by the formula $\gamma^*(s) := g(x)$ for any $x \in \varphi^{-1}(s)$, using that φ is open by Theorem 2.21 we get that γ^* is in fact continuous (using that φ is 1-sequence covering as in [37, §4]). Hence, C is topologically isomorphic to $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ and so the latter is a Lie group. \square

If the group Z is discrete then we can strengthen the previous result as follows.

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, let Z be a discrete abelian Lie group and let $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then the set $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ with addition as operation is a discrete group.*

Proof. As in the previous proof, let F be a k -step free nilspace such that there exists a fibration $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$. Arguing similarly, we have that $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$ is topologically isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$. Lemma 3.5 implies that the latter group is isomorphic to Z^n for some $n \geq 0$, so it is discrete. Hence so are all its subgroups, in particular $\text{hom}(X, \mathcal{D}_t(Z))$. \square

The last consequence of Theorem 4.4 in this subsection is that if X is a k -step, Lie-fibered nilspace then $\Theta(X)$ is a Lie group. To prove this we use the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Let F be the free nilspace provided by Theorem 4.4, with fibration $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$. Then for every $i \in [k]$ and $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X)$ there exists $\beta \in \Theta_i(F)$ such $\alpha \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \beta$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on k , with the case $k = 0$ being trivial. For $k > 0$, we use the notation in diagram (12). Thus $\varphi := p_2 \circ \pi_\phi$. Let $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X)$, and let $\alpha_{k-1} \in \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$ be such that $\pi_{k-1} \circ \alpha = \alpha_{k-1} \circ \pi_{k-1}$. By induction there exists $\beta'_{k-1} \in \Theta_i(F_{k-1})$ such that $\varphi_{k-1} \circ \beta'_{k-1} = \alpha_{k-1} \circ \varphi_{k-1}$. Note that (β'_{k-1}, α) is an element of $\Theta_i(F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X)$ acting coordinate-wise. By Theorem 3.13 we can lift this to some $\beta \in \Theta_i(F)$, and the result follows. \square

We can now prove the desired consequence of Theorem 4.4. This extends [9, Theorem 2.9.10].

Theorem 4.8. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. For each $i \in [k]$, $\Theta_i(X)$ is a Lie group.*

Proof. We know that $\Theta_i(X)$ is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(X)$ by Lemma 2.38, so by Cartan's theorem it suffices to prove that $\Theta(X)$ is a Lie group. Let $\varphi : F \rightarrow X$ be the fibration in Theorem 4.4, and let $C := \{\alpha \in \Theta(F) : \forall x, y \in X, \text{ if } \varphi(x) = \varphi(y) \text{ then } \varphi(\alpha(x)) = \varphi(\alpha(y))\}$. Note that C is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(F)$. Hence C is a Lie group (by Theorem 3.17 and Cartan's theorem). Let $\widehat{\varphi} : C \rightarrow \Theta(X)$ be the homomorphism induced by φ as in [10, Lemma 1.5]. To see that $\widehat{\varphi}$ is continuous, let $\alpha_n \rightarrow \alpha$ in $\Theta(F)$, that is, for any compact set $K \subset F$ we have $\sup_{s \in K} d_F(\alpha_n(s), \alpha(s)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We need to check that for every compact set $K' \subset X$ we have $\sup_{x \in K'} d_X(\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha_n)(x), \widehat{\varphi}(\alpha)(x)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Corollary 2.7, there exists a compact set $K \subset F$ such that $\varphi(K) = K'$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\sup_{x \in K'} d_X(\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha_n)(x), \widehat{\varphi}(\alpha)(x)) \not\rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that for some $\epsilon > 0$ we have $\sup_{x \in K'} d_X(\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha_n)(x), \widehat{\varphi}(\alpha)(x)) > \epsilon$ for all n . In particular, there exists a sequence $x_n \in K'$ such that $d_X(\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha_n)(x_n), \widehat{\varphi}(\alpha)(x_n)) > \epsilon$ for all n . For each n let $s_n \in K$ be any element such that $\varphi(s_n) = x_n$. By compactness of K we can assume that $s_n \rightarrow s \in K$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This yields a contradiction, because $d_X(\varphi(\alpha_n(s_n)), \varphi(\alpha(s_n))) = d_X(\widehat{\varphi}(\alpha_n)(x_n), \widehat{\varphi}(\alpha)(x_n)) > \epsilon$, whereas $\alpha_n(s_n) \rightarrow \alpha(s)$ and $\alpha(s_n) \rightarrow \alpha(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have a continuous homomorphism $\widehat{\varphi} : C \rightarrow \Theta(X)$, which is also surjective by Lemma 4.7. As C and $\Theta(X)$ are Polish, the map $\widehat{\varphi}$ is also open (by the open mapping theorem) and $\Theta(X)$ is topologically isomorphic to $C / \ker(\widehat{\varphi})$. But $\ker(\widehat{\varphi})$ is Lie (being a closed subgroup of a Lie group). Hence $\Theta(X)$ is Lie by [38, Theorem 2.6]. \square

5. GROUPABLE CONGRUENCES AND FIBER-TRANSITIVE FILTRATIONS

Given an equivalence relation \sim on a set X , let us denote by π_{\sim} the natural map sending $x \in X$ to the equivalence class $\{y \in X : y \sim x\}$. In universal algebra, a *congruence* (or *congruence relation*) is generally speaking an equivalence relation \sim on an algebraic object that is compatible with the algebraic structure, in the sense that the structure is preserved by the map π_{\sim} . The precise definition can be formulated for a general algebra, thus encompassing the well-known special cases of congruences on groups, rings, modules, and so on; see [5, Definition 1.19]. In this spirit, it is natural to define congruences on nilspaces as follows.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a nilspace. A *congruence* on X is an equivalence relation \sim on X such that $\pi_{\sim}(X)$ equipped with the image cube sets $\pi_{\sim}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(X))$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, is a nilspace.

Recall that a nilspace morphism $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is *cube-surjective* if $\varphi^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ maps $C^n(X)$ onto $C^n(Y)$ for every $n \geq 0$. Such morphisms are closely related to congruences.

Lemma 5.2. *Let X be a nilspace. If \sim is a congruence on X , then π_{\sim} is a cube-surjective morphism onto $\pi_{\sim}(X)$ with the image cube sets. Conversely, if Y is a nilspace and $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a cube-surjective morphism, then the relation $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow \varphi(x) = \varphi(y)$ is a congruence on X .*

Fibrations are a very useful class of cube-surjective morphisms. Therefore, nilspace congruences \sim whose quotient maps π_{\sim} are guaranteed to be fibrations will be particularly convenient. A nilspace congruence does not necessarily have this property, because there exist cube-surjective morphisms that are not fibrations (see Remark 5.10). However, there is a more specific type of congruence on nilspaces, which does have the above property and which plays a major role in this paper. To formulate the definition we need a couple of additional notions.

Definition 5.3. Let X be a set and let \sim be an equivalence relation on X . We say that a map $g : X \rightarrow X$ is \sim -vertical (or \sim -fiber-preserving over the identity map) if we have $x \sim g(x)$ for every $x \in X$. We say that g is \sim -consistent (or that \sim is g -consistent) if for every $x, y \in X$ we have $x \sim y \Rightarrow g(x) \sim g(y)$.

If g is \sim -vertical then it is \sim -consistent; the converse fails (e.g. congruences on abelian groups).

The notion of congruence that we are about to define uses an important algebraic feature of nilspaces, namely that every nilspace X is naturally equipped with the action of its translation group $\Theta(X)$. This enables us to use the following basic fact.

Lemma 5.4. *Let X be a set equipped with an action by a group G , let \sim be an equivalence relation on X , and let*

$$G^{(\sim)} := \{g \in G : g \text{ is } \sim\text{-vertical}\}. \quad (13)$$

Then $G^{(\sim)}$ is a subgroup of G .

Proof. For any $g_1, g_2 \in G^{(\sim)}$, for any $x \in X$ we have $x \sim g_2x \sim g_1g_2x$, so $x \sim g_1g_2x$, so $g_1g_2 \in G^{(\sim)}$, and $x = g_1(g_1^{-1}x) \sim g_1^{-1}x$, so $g_1^{-1} \in G^{(\sim)}$. \square

Let us say that a group H acting on X is *finer* (or that its action is finer) than an equivalence relation \sim on X if the orbit relation of H (i.e. the equivalence relation whose classes are the orbits of H) is finer than \sim (i.e. every orbit of H is a subset of some equivalence class of \sim). Note that H is finer than \sim if and only if every $g \in H$ acts as a \sim -vertical map on X . Thus, the group $G^{(\sim)}$ is the largest subgroup of G (relative to inclusion) that is finer than \sim .

We can now define the announced special type of congruence.

Definition 5.5 (Groupable congruence). *Let X be a nilspace. A *groupable congruence* on X is an equivalence relation \sim on X that satisfies the following property:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for every } i \geq 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ such that } x \sim y \text{ and } \pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y), \\ &\text{there exists } g \in \Theta(X)^{(\sim)} \cap \Theta_{i+1}(X) \text{ such that } gx = y. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Note that if X is k -step then (14) holds trivially for $i \geq k$ (as then $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$ implies that $x = y$, so the required conclusion holds with $g = \text{id}$, the identity map). Note also that the case $i = 0$ of (14) implies that $\Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ acts transitively on each equivalence class of \sim , whence \sim is equal to the orbit relation of the group $\Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ (this motivates the term ‘‘groupable’’).

Remark 5.6. An orbit relation of a subgroup of $\Theta(X)$ is not necessarily a congruence. For instance, let $X := \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $\alpha \in \Theta(X)$ be the map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, x + y)$ (this is a translation by Theorem 3.15). Then letting $G = \langle \alpha \rangle$, it turns out that the relation \sim induced by G (i.e., $x \sim y$ iff there exists $\beta \in G$ such that $\beta(x) = y$) is not a congruence. To prove this, consider the cubes $c_1, c_2 \in \mathcal{C}^3(X)$ defined as $c_1(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (1 - v_3, 0)$ and $c_2(v_1, v_2, v_3) = (v_3, v_1v_2)$. Note that the cubes $\pi_\sim \circ c_1$ and $\pi_\sim \circ c_2$ are adjacent (see [8, Definition 3.1.6]) in the sense that $\pi_\sim \circ c_1(v_1, v_2, 0) = \pi_\sim \circ c_2(v_1, v_2, 1)$. Thus, by [8, Lemma 3.1.7] we have that the function $c_3 \in (\pi_\sim(X))^{\llbracket 3 \rrbracket}$ defined as $c_3(v_1, v_2, v_3) := \pi_\sim(0, 0)$ for $(v_1, v_2, v_3) \neq (1, 1, 0)$ and $c_3(1, 1, 0) = \pi_\sim(0, 1)$ should be in $\mathcal{C}^3(\pi_\sim(X)) := \{\pi_\sim \circ c : c \in \mathcal{C}^3(X)\}$. It can be checked that c_3 does not equal $\pi_\sim \circ c$ for any $c \in \mathcal{C}^3(X)$. Moreover, it is easy to check that $\Theta(X)^{(\sim)} = G$. This shows that some condition on a subgroup G of $\Theta(X)$ (or the congruence that it generates) is needed to ensure that \sim_G is a congruence.

The next lemma is a fundamental fact about groupable congruences, and its proof is elementary.

Lemma 5.7. *Let \sim be a groupable congruence on a nilspace X . Then \sim is a congruence and π_\sim is a fibration from X to the nilspace $\pi_\sim(X)$.*

Proof. To prove that π_\sim is a congruence, we need to show that $\pi_\sim(X)$, equipped with the cube sets $\{\pi_\sim \circ c : c \in \mathcal{C}^n(X)\}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is a nilspace. The ergodicity and composition axioms are clear. To prove the completion axiom, let c' be an n -corner on $\pi_\sim(X)$, and for each $i \in [n]$ let F_i denote the lower face $\{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket : v(i) = 0\}$. Then for each i , by definition there is a cube $c_{F_i} \in \mathcal{C}^{n-1}(X)$ such that $\pi_\sim \circ c_{F_i} = c' |_{F_i}$ (where by an abuse of notation we identify F_i and

$\llbracket n-1 \rrbracket$). We now argue by induction on the height $|v|$ of $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ to show that the cubes c_{F_i} can be modified, by applying \sim -vertical maps to their vertices, in order to obtain cubes c_i that agree at every $w \leq v$ (where by “agree at w ” we mean that for any $i, j \in [n]$ such that $w \in F_i \cap F_j$, we have $c_i(w) = c_j(w)$). For $v = 0^n$, by (14), for each $i \in [2, n]$ there is $g_i \in \Theta(X)$ such that the $(n-1)$ -cube $g_i^{F_i} c_{F_i} := v \mapsto g \cdot c_{F_i}(v)$ satisfies $g_i^{F_i} c_{F_i}(0^n) = c_{F_1}(0^n)$. Thus, relabeling these cubes $g_i^{F_i} c_{F_i}$ as our new c_i for $i \in [2, n]$, and relabeling c_{F_1} as c_1 , we now have that all c_i agree at 0^n . Now let $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ with $|v| > 0$ and suppose by induction that the c_i all agree at any $w < v$, i.e. at any $w \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ with $w(j) \leq v(j)$ for all $j \in [n]$ and $w \neq v$ (i.e. for any such w , for all $i \in [n]$ such that $w \in F_i$ the value $c_i(w)$ is the same). Let $I_v \subset [n]$ be the set of all i such that $v \in F_i$ (note that $I_v = \{i \in [n] : v(i) = 0\}$). Let $i_0 = \min I_v$. Then for every $i > i_0$ in I_v , since $c_i(w) = c_{i_0}(w)$ for every $w < v$, we have $\pi_{|v|-1} \circ c_i(v) = \pi_{|v|-1} \circ c_{i_0}(v)$, so by (14) there exists a \sim -vertical $g_{i,v} \in \Theta_{|v|}(X)$ such that $g_{i,v} c_i(v) = c_{i_0}(v)$. Also, since $g_{i,v} \in \Theta_{|v|}(X)$, we can multiply every value $c_i(u)$ at any vertex $u \in F_i$ with $v \leq u$, and still thus get an $(n-1)$ -cube (because we are multiplying on an upper face of codimension $|v|$ by an element of $\Theta_{|v|}(X)$). Doing this for each $i \in I_v$ greater than i_0 , we upgrade our set of cubes c_i to agree now also at v , with value $c_{i_0}(v)$ at this vertex, and note that this upgrade preserves the agreement of the c_i at every other vertex w with $|w| \leq |v|$ previously upgraded. This completes the inductive step.

The above induction eventually “glues” adequately all the initial cubes c_{F_i} to yield an n -corner c'' on X with $\pi_{\sim} \circ c'' = c'$. Then, by completing c'' in X and projecting this completion to $\pi_{\sim}(X)$, the completion axiom follows.

To complete the proof we establish that π_{\sim} is a fibration. Let c' be an n -corner on X and let $c \in C^n(X/\sim)$ be a completion of $\pi_{\sim} \circ c'$. We know by definition of the cube structure on X/\sim that there is a cube $c_0 \in C^n(X)$ such that $\pi_{\sim} \circ c_0 = c$. We now want to move progressively the values $c_0(v)$, $v \neq 1^n$, by \sim -vertical transformations, to get a cube $\tilde{c} \in C^n(X)$ completing c' ; then $\pi_{\sim} \circ \tilde{c}$ will still be equal to c , and this will complete the proof that π_{\sim} is a fibration.

To get \tilde{c} we can argue as we did above. First, since $c_0(0^n) \sim c'(0^n)$, there is a translation g such that if we multiply every value of c_0 by g then we obtain a new cube c_1 that is pointwise \sim -equivalent to c_0 and such that $c_1(0^n) = c'(0^n)$. Relabel c_1 as c_0 . Now let $|v| > 0$ and suppose by induction that $c_0(w) = c'(w)$ for all w with $|w| < |v|$, thus in particular for all $w < v$. Then we deduce again that $\pi_{|v|-1} \circ c_0(v) = \pi_{|v|-1} \circ c'(v)$ and so by (14) there is a \sim -vertical $g \in \Theta_{|v|}(X)$ such that $g c_0(v) = c'(v)$. Applying g to $c_0(u)$ for every $u \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ with $v \leq u$, we upgrade c_0 to a new cube c_1 that now also agrees with c' at v (and still agrees at any other w with $|w| \leq |v|$ previously upgraded). The result follows. \square

Recall from [8, Definition 3.2.27] that given a nilspace X , the group of translations $\Theta(X)$ is naturally endowed with a filtration $\Theta_{\bullet}(X) = (\Theta_i(X))_{i \geq 0}$, which is of degree k if X is k -step. Let us also say that a filtered group (G, G_{\bullet}) is a *filtered subgroup* of another filtered group (H, H_{\bullet}) if $G_i \leq H_i$ for all $i \geq 0$. We shall also say that G_{\bullet} is a *subfiltration* of H_{\bullet} . When we only write “ G_{\bullet} ”, the associated filtered group (G, G_{\bullet}) is always meant to be the one with G being the first term of this filtration G_{\bullet} , i.e. $G = G_0 = G_1$. Finally, whenever we have an action of a group G on a set X , we denote the orbit relation of G on X by \sim_G .

We have seen that a groupable congruence \sim on X is equal to the orbit relation of the subgroup $G = \Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ of $\Theta(X)$, where the filtration G_{\bullet} induced by $\Theta_{\bullet}(X)$ on G (i.e. the filtration with i -th term $G_i = G \cap \Theta_i(X)$) satisfies the following property, implied by (14):

$$\text{if } x, y \in X \text{ satisfy } x \sim_G y \text{ and } \pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y) \text{ for some } i \geq 0, \text{ then } x \sim_{G_{i+1}} y. \quad (15)$$

It can be useful to treat groupable congruences from the viewpoint of subfiltrations G_{\bullet} of $\Theta_{\bullet}(X)$ satisfying property (15). This property tells us that each subgroup G_i in the filtration acts transitively on each fiber of π_{i-1} intersected with a G -orbit. Let us capture this as follows.

Definition 5.8 (Fiber-transitive filtrations and groups). Let X be a nilspace and let G_\bullet be a subfiltration of $\Theta_\bullet(X)$. We say that G_\bullet is a *fiber-transitive filtration* on X (or that (G, G_\bullet) is a *fiber-transitive filtered group* on X) if it satisfies property (15). A subgroup Γ of $\Theta(X)$ will be said to be *fiber-transitive on X* if the filtration $(\Gamma \cap \Theta_i(X))_{i \geq 0}$ is fiber-transitive on X .

Clearly, if G_\bullet is fiber-transitive on X then \sim_G is a groupable congruence on X (where, as mentioned earlier, we always take $G = G_0$). Conversely, given a groupable congruence \sim on X , the group $G := \Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ equipped with the filtration induced by $\Theta_\bullet(X)$ is fiber-transitive. However, it may be the case that Γ induces a groupable congruence \sim_Γ but Γ (with filtration $\Gamma_\bullet := (\Gamma \cap \Theta_i(X))_{i \in [k]}$) is not fiber-transitive. For example let $F := \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}_3(\mathbb{R})$ and $\Gamma := \{\alpha_r\}_{r \in \mathbb{R}}$ where $\alpha_r(x, y) = (x, y + r(x^2 + 1))$. This group is not fiber-transitive. Indeed we have $(0, 0) \sim_\Gamma (0, 1)$ (using α_1) and $\pi_2(0, 0) = \pi_2(0, 1)$, but the only translation of height 3 that could take $(0, 0)$ to $(0, 1)$, namely the translation $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + 1)$, is not in Γ . On the other hand, the relation \sim_Γ is simply given by $(x, y) \sim_\Gamma (x', y')$ if and only if $x = x'$ (to see this, fix any $(x_0, y_0) \in F$ and note that $\alpha_r(x_0, y_0) = (x_0, y_0 + r(x_0^2 + 1))$ and thus, as $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the class of (x_0, y_0) is (x_0, \mathbb{R})). But this relation is a groupable congruence. Indeed, the group $\Gamma' := \{(x, y) \mapsto (x, y + r) : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is fiber-transitive and $\sim_\Gamma = \sim_{\Gamma'}$.

Given a groupable congruence \sim , the group $\Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ is the largest subfiltration of $\Theta_\bullet(X)$ (relative to inclusion of each subgroup) that yields the given congruence. However, there may be other fiber-transitive filtrations on X that generate the same groupable congruence. In some situations, a desired property of this congruence can be established by working with some such filtration rather than some other; this will be discussed and illustrated in Remark 5.41.

Our next aim is to detail some algebraic and topological properties of groupable congruences and fiber-transitive filtrations. Before this, we record some remarks on these notions.

Remark 5.9. Important (and particularly simple) examples of nilspaces that arise as quotients by groupable congruences are *coset nilspaces*. Recall (e.g. from [8, Proposition 2.3.1]) that a k -step coset nilspace X is constructed starting with a filtered group (G, G_\bullet) of degree k and a subgroup $\Gamma \subset G$, and letting X be the set $G/\Gamma = \pi_\Gamma(G)$ of left cosets of Γ in G equipped with the cube sets $\pi_\Gamma^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(G_\bullet))$, $n \geq 0$. Then the orbit relation \sim_Γ (where elements of Γ act by right-multiplication on G) is a groupable congruence on the *group nilspace* \tilde{X} associated with (G, G_\bullet) . Indeed if $g, g' \in G$ satisfy $g' = g\gamma$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $gG_{i+1} = g'G_{i+1}$ (i.e. $\pi_i(g) = \pi_i(g')$ in the group nilspace), then clearly $\gamma \in G_{i+1}$, so the translation on \tilde{X} consisting in right-multiplication by γ is already in $\Theta_{i+1}(\tilde{X})$, and therefore (14) holds. In Section 7 we shall see a more general example of groupable congruences, involving *double-coset spaces*.

Remark 5.10. The following example shows that not all cube-surjective morphisms are fibrations, thus confirming the fact mentioned earlier, that not every congruence induces a fibration. Let $X = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and let $\mathbb{F}_2^\omega = \bigoplus_{n=1}^\infty \mathbb{F}_2$. It can be proved that there exists a map $f : \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega) \rightarrow X$ that is arbitrarily well-balanced, meaning that for every $b > 0$ there exists $N_b > 0$ such that for any $n \geq N_b$ the map $f_n : \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^n) \rightarrow X$, $v \mapsto f(v \times 0^{\mathbb{N} \setminus [n]})$ is b -balanced in the sense of [13, Definition 5.1] (this is a non-trivial fact about nilspaces, but we omit the details in this paper as we will avoid such examples).

Let \sim be the equivalence induced by f , i.e. for $x, y \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)$ we have $x \sim y \Leftrightarrow f(x) = f(y)$. Then \sim is a nilspace congruence and $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)/\sim$ is isomorphic to the nilspace X . Indeed, first note that the map $f_\sim : \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)/\sim \rightarrow X$, $\pi_\sim(x) \mapsto f(x)$ is well-defined and injective. We claim that for all $n \geq 0$ the map $f^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : C^n(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)) \rightarrow C^n(X)$ is surjective (hence in particular f_\sim is surjective, hence bijective). Let us denote by $i_n : \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)$ the usual inclusion. As X is finite, so is $C^m(X)$ for any $m \geq 0$. The Haar probability measure $\mu_{C^m(X)}$ is then the normalized counting measure. Fixing any $c \in C^m(X)$, we therefore have $\mu_{C^m(X)}(\{c\}) \gg_m 1$.

Then, by definition of balance, there is $N_c > 0$ such that for $n \geq N_c$ the pushforward measure $\nu := \mu_{C^n(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^n))} \circ (f \circ i_n)^{-1}$ is sufficiently close to $\mu_{C^n(X)}$ in the vague topology to be able to conclude that $\nu(\{c\}) > 0$, which implies the claimed surjectivity of $f^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. Hence f is a cube-surjective morphism. Now note that $f_\sim : \pi_\sim(x) \mapsto f(x)$ is a nilspace morphism, and its inverse is a morphism as well, because for any $c \in C^m(X)$, by the cube-surjectivity we know that there exists $c^* \in C^m(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega))$ such that $f \circ c^* = c$. But f factors through $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)/\sim$, $f = f_\sim \circ \pi_\sim$ and as f_\sim is a bijection we have that $f_\sim^{-1} \circ c = \pi_\sim \circ c^* \in C^m(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)/\sim)$. We have thus proved that f is a cube-surjective morphism. However f cannot be a fibration, because the image nilspace of a fibration is always of step at most as large as the step of its domain nilspace, and here $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{F}_2^\omega)$ is 1-step whereas X is 2-step.

Remark 5.11. There are several additional pleasant features of groupable congruences, though we shall not directly use them in this paper. For example, it can be shown that if \sim is a groupable congruence on a nilspace X , then every equivalence class of \sim is a coset nilspace. More precisely, since π_\sim is a fibration, it already follows from basic results (e.g. [10, Lemma 3.2]) that every fiber of π_\sim is a sub-nilspace Y of X , and it can then be shown using (14) that $G := \Theta(X)^{(\sim)}$ has $C^n(G_\bullet)$ acting transitively on every cube set $C^n(Y)$ (where G_\bullet is the filtration on G induced by $\Theta_\bullet(X)$).

5.1. Algebraic aspects of groupable congruences and fiber-transitive filtrations.

Given a k -step nilspace X , recall the homomorphisms $\eta_j : \Theta(X) \rightarrow \Theta(X_j)$, $j \in [k]$, from (9).

Lemma 5.12. *If H_\bullet is a fiber-transitive filtration on a k -step nilspace X , then for every $j \geq 0$ the filtration $\eta_j(H_\bullet) := (\eta_j(H_i))_{i \geq 0}$ is fiber-transitive on X_j . Equivalently, every groupable congruence \sim on X induces a groupable congruence $\sim_{(j)}$ on X_j defined by $\pi_j(x) \sim_{(j)} \pi_j(y) \Leftrightarrow \exists x' \sim x, \pi_j(x') = \pi_j(y)$.*

Proof. By induction it suffices to prove this for $j = k - 1$. If $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$ and $g_{k-1}\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$ we have $gx + z = y$ for some z in the k -th structure group Z_k of X . The translation g commutes with the action of Z_k (by [8, Lemma 3.2.37]). Hence, $g(x + z) = y$. Applying the hypothesis, we get that there exists $g' \in H_{i+1}$ such that $g'(x + z) = y$ and thus $g'_{k-1}\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$ where $g'_{k-1} \in \eta_{k-1}(H_{i+1})$. \square

Given a fiber-transitive filtration H_\bullet on X , we denote by π_H the quotient map for the groupable congruence \sim_H induced by $H = H_0$.

We mentioned in Remark 5.11 that the fibers of a groupable congruence are coset nilspaces. The following lemma details this for fiber-transitive filtrations, and describes the structure groups of the quotient nilspace.

Lemma 5.13. *Let X be a k -step nilspace, with structure groups Z_i , $i \in [k]$, and let H_\bullet be a fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then for each $i \in [k]$ the i -th structure group of $\pi_H(X)$ is $Z_i/\eta_i(H_i)$. Moreover, for every $n \geq 0$, for each $c \in C^n(X)$ the group $C^n(H_\bullet)$ acts transitively on $\{c' \in C^n(X) : \pi_H \circ c' = \pi_H \circ c\}$.*

Proof. We already know by Lemma 5.7 that π_H is a fibration.

For the claim about the structure groups, note that by induction on k it suffices to prove it for $i = k$. Indeed, for $i < k$, by the previous lemma $\eta_{k-1}(H_\bullet)$ is fiber-transitive on X_{k-1} , so by induction the i -th structure group of $\pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)}(X_{k-1})$ is $Z_i(X_{k-1})/\eta_i(\eta_{k-1}(H_i)) = Z_i(X)/\eta_i(H_i)$ as required. Now for $i = k$, the defining property (15) clearly implies that when we quotient by \sim_H , in each π_{k-1} -fiber (which is an orbit of Z_k) we quotient by the action of $H_k = \eta_k(H_k)$ (note that η_k is the identity). Therefore the k -th structure group of X is Z_k/H_k as required.

For the last claim in the lemma it suffices to prove that if two cubes $c, c' \in C^n(X)$ satisfy $\pi_H \circ c' = \pi_H \circ c$ then there exists $\tilde{c} \in C^n(H_\bullet)$ such that $c' = \tilde{c} \cdot c$ (i.e. for every $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, the

value $c'(v)$ is the image of $c(v)$ under the action of $\tilde{c}(v)$. This can again be proved by induction on k : the π_{k-1} -images of c, c' are pointwise equivalent under $\pi_{\eta_{jk-1}(H)}$, so by induction there is a cube $c_0 \in C^n(H_\bullet/H_k)$ such that $\pi_{k-1} \circ c' = c_0 \cdot \pi_{k-1} \circ c$. Then any lift \tilde{c}_0 of c_0 in $C^n(H_\bullet)$ satisfies $\pi_{k-1} \circ c' = \pi_{k-1} \circ (\tilde{c}_0 \cdot c)$, and then the difference $c' - \tilde{c}_0 \cdot c$ is a cube $c'' \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$ which, by the assumption of point-wise π_H -equivalence of c, c' , must in fact be in $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(H_k))$, by (15). Setting $\tilde{c} = c'' \cdot \tilde{c}_0$, the result follows. \square

Let us detail the relation between groupable congruences and fiber-transitive filtrations.

Definition 5.14. Given a fiber-transitive filtration H_\bullet on a nilspace X , we denote by H^\dagger the group $\Theta(X)^{(\sim_H)}$ of all translations that are \sim_H -vertical, and call this the *fiber-transitive closure* of H . We shall always take the filtration H^\dagger_\bullet on this group to be the filtration induced by $\Theta_\bullet(X)$ (i.e. with i -term $H^\dagger \cap \Theta_i(X)$ for $i \geq 0$). Note that $H \subset H^\dagger$ and that H^\dagger_\bullet is fiber-transitive on X .

The group H^\dagger may be larger than H . For example, let $X = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and $H = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ where $\alpha(x, y) = (x+2, y)$ and $\beta(x, y) = (x, y+2)$. The filtration $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \geq \langle \beta \rangle \geq \langle \text{id} \rangle$ is a fiber-transitive filtration. Indeed, it is easy to check that $\pi_H(X)$ is isomorphic as a nilspace to $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}_2) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. However, note that for example $\gamma(x, y) := (x, y + 2x)$ is \sim_H -vertical but it is not in H , i.e. $\gamma \in H^\dagger \setminus H$.

While H^\dagger may be larger than H , it is natural to expect that these two groups yield isomorphic nilspace quotients of X . We formalize this as follows.

Definition 5.15 (Equivalent filtrations on a nilspace). Let X be a k -step nilspace. We say that two subfiltrations H_\bullet^0, H_\bullet^1 of $\Theta_\bullet(X)$ are *equivalent* if for each $i \in \{0, 1\}$, for every $c \in C^n(X)$ and every $d \in C^n(H_\bullet^i)$, there exists $d^* = d_{c,d}^* \in C^n(H_\bullet^{1-i})$ such that $d \cdot c = d^* \cdot c$.

Note the key point here that d^* may depend on both c and d . For example, the filtration H^\dagger_\bullet is equivalent to H_\bullet (as we shall prove below). As mentioned earlier for groupable congruences, the filtration H^\dagger_\bullet is the largest subfiltration of $\Theta_\bullet(X)$ that is equivalent to H_\bullet .

Lemma 5.16. *Let X be a k -step nilspace and let H_\bullet^1, H_\bullet^2 be equivalent subfiltrations on X . Then H_\bullet^1 is fiber-transitive if and only if H_\bullet^2 is fiber-transitive.*

Proof. Without loss of generality assume that H_\bullet^2 is fiber-transitive. We have to prove that if $\alpha(x) = y$ for some $\alpha \in H^1$ and $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$ then there exists $\gamma \in H_{i+1}^1$ such that $\gamma(x) = y$. Let us see first that by induction on k we can assume that $i = k - 1$. Note that $\eta_{k-1}(H_\bullet^1)$ is equivalent to $\eta_{k-1}(H_\bullet^2)$, so by induction $\eta_{k-1}(H_\bullet^1)$ is fiber-transitive on X_{k-1} . Since $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$ and $\pi_i(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \pi_i(\pi_{k-1}(y))$, it follows that there exists $\beta_{k-1} \in \eta_{k-1}(H_{i+1}^1)$ such that $\beta_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. If $\beta_{k-1} = \eta_{k-1}(\beta)$ we have that for some $t \in Z_k(X)$, $\beta(x) = y+t = \alpha(x)+t$. Since Z_k is central in $\Theta(X)$, we have $x-t = \beta^{-1}\alpha(x)$. If now we define $y' := x - t$ note that $\pi_{k-1}(y') = \pi_{k-1}(x)$ and that $y' = \beta^{-1}\alpha(x)$ where $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in H^1$. Hence, if we knew that the result was true for $i = k - 1$ we could conclude from here that there exists $h \in H_k^1$ such that $x + h = y'$. But this would imply that $x + h = \beta^{-1}\alpha(x)$ and so $\beta(x + h) = \alpha(x) = y$. As the function $\beta(\cdot + t) \in H_{i+1}^1$, this would complete the proof.

Thus assume that $x, y \in X$ satisfy $\alpha(x) = y$ for some $\alpha \in H^1$ and $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. We need to prove that $y - x \in H_k^1$. By the equivalence of H_\bullet^1 and H_\bullet^2 , there exists $\beta \in H^2$ such that $\beta(x) = y$. As H_\bullet^2 satisfies (15) we have $z := y - x \in H_k^2$. Let $c_x \in C^k(X)$ be the constant cube with value $x \in X$ and let $d \in C^k(H_\bullet^2)$ be the cube such that $d(v) = 0$ for all $v \neq 1^k$ and $d(1^k) = z$. By the assumed equivalence, there exists $s \in C^k(H_\bullet^1)$ such that $s \circ c_x = d + c_x$. By [8, Lemma 2.2.5] we can write s as $g_{2^{k-1}}^{F_{2^{k-1}}} \cdots g_1^{F_1} g_0^{F_0}$ where $g_i \in H_{\text{codim}(F_i)}^1$ (here the order is the inverse as the one in [8, Lemma 2.2.5], but as $C^k(H_\bullet^1)$ is a group, we can just take the inverse). From this expression it is readily seen that g_0 stabilizes x . In fact, evaluating at $v = 0^k$

we see that $s(c_x(0^k)) = g_0(x) = x = (d + c_x)(0^k)$. Then we repeat evaluating at points $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ which are all 0s and just one element equal to 1. This shows that g_1, \dots, g_k also stabilizes x . We repeat this process for $v \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ with two non-zero elements, then three, etc..

In the last step of this process we have the fact that $g_{2^k-1}(x) = x + z$ (where $F_{2^k-1} = \{1^k\}$). Since H^1 is of degree k , we have that g_{2^k-1} is just addition by an element of the last structure group, so it has to be the element z . Hence $z \in H_k^1$. \square

Corollary 5.17. *Let X be a k -step nilspace, and let H_\bullet^1, H_\bullet^2 be equivalent fiber-transitive filtrations on X . Then for every $j \in [k]$, the j -th groups in $\eta_j(H_\bullet^1)$ and $\eta_j(H_\bullet^2)$ are equal.*

Proof. Note that it is enough to assume that X is k -step and prove that $H_k^0 = H_k^1$. Let $z \in H_k^0$ and any $x \in X$. Let $c \in C^k(X)$ be the constant map equal to x , and let $d \in C^k(H_k^0)$ be the map whose values equal id in every point except in $v = 1^k$, where it equals z (recall that $\Theta_k(X)$ equals the k th structure group of X). By definition of equivalence, there exists $d^* \in C^k(H_\bullet^1)$ such that $d \cdot c = d^* \cdot c$. Thus $d^*(1^k)(x) = d(1^k)(x) = x + z$ and $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(x + z)$. As H_\bullet^1 is fiber-transitive, there exists $z' \in H_k^1$ such that $x + z' = x + z$. Hence $z = z'$ and we have that $H_k^0 \subset H_k^1$. The opposite inclusion is proved similarly and the result follows. \square

Lemma 5.18. *Let X be a k -step nilspace, and let H_\bullet^1, H_\bullet^2 be equivalent filtrations on X . If any of these filtrations is fiber-transitive, then so is the other and $\pi_{H^1}(X) \cong \pi_{H^2}(X)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.16 we have that if either H_\bullet^1 or H_\bullet^2 is fiber-transitive so is the other. Thus both quotients $\pi_{H^1}(X)$ and $\pi_{H^2}(X)$ define nilspaces. We prove that the map $\pi_{H^1}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{H^2}(X)$, $\pi_{H^1}(x) \mapsto \pi_{H^2}(x)$ is a well-defined nilspace isomorphism. To see that it is well-defined, note that if $\pi_{H^1}(x) = \pi_{H^1}(y)$ then there exists $\alpha \in H^1$ such that $\alpha(x) = y$. By hypothesis, there exists $\beta \in H^2$ such that $y = \alpha(x) = \beta(x)$. Hence $\pi_{H^2}(x) = \pi_{H^2}(y)$. This map is a morphism because given a cube $\pi_{H^1} \circ c$ for some $c \in C^n(X)$ we have that its image is $\pi_{H^2} \circ c \in C^n(\pi_{H^2}(X))$. Taking inverses it follows that this map is a nilspace isomorphism. \square

Proposition 5.19. *Let X be a k -step nilspace, let H_\bullet be a fiber-transitive filtration on X , and let H^\dagger be the fiber-transitive closure of H . Then $\pi_H(X) \cong \pi_{H^\dagger}(X)$.*

Proof. By Lemmas 5.16 and 5.18 it suffices to see that the filtrations are equivalent. The only non-trivial part is to prove that if $c \in C^n(X)$ and $d \in C^n(H^\dagger)$ then there exists $d^* \in C^n(H)$ such that $d \circ c = d^* \circ c$.

We prove this by induction on k , with the case $k = 0$ being trivial. Note that $\pi_{k-1} \circ c \in C^n(X_{k-1})$ and $\eta_{k-1}(d) \in C^n(\eta_{k-1}(H^\dagger))$. It is easy to see that $\eta_{k-1}(H^\dagger) \subset \eta_{k-1}(H)^\dagger$. Hence, by induction we have that there exists $d_{k-1}^* \in C^n(\eta_{k-1}(H))$ such that $\eta_{k-1}(d) \circ (\pi_{k-1} \circ c) = d_{k-1}^* \circ \pi_{k-1} \circ c$. Therefore, if $d_{k-1}^* = \eta_{k-1} \circ d^*$ for some $d^* \in C^n(H)$ we get that $\pi_{k-1}(d \circ c) = \pi_{k-1}(d^* \circ c)$. But by definition of H^\dagger we have that for every $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, $d(c(v)) \sim_H d^*(c(v))$. Since H_\bullet is fiber-transitive, this implies that $d(c(v)) - d^*(c(v)) \in H_k$ for all $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ and so $d \circ c - d^* \circ c \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(H_k))$. Therefore $d \circ c = d^* \circ c + (d \circ c - d^* \circ c)$ which is the composition of c with the cube $d^* \circ (\cdot) + (d \circ c - d^* \circ c) \in C^n(H_\bullet)$. \square

Corollary 5.20. *Let X be a k -step nilspace and let H_\bullet be a fiber-transitive filtration on X . Let H'_\bullet be the filtration with i -th term $H \cap \Theta_i(X)$ for each $i \geq 0$. Then $\pi_H(X) \cong \pi_{H'}(X)$.*

5.2. Topological aspects of groupable congruences and fiber-transitive filtrations.

The main goal in this subsection is to find convenient topological properties that can be required of a groupable congruence (equivalently, a fiber-transitive filtration H_\bullet) on a Lie-fibered nilspace X , in order to ensure that the corresponding quotient of X is also a Lie-fibered nilspace. Recall that by Theorem 4.8, for all $i \in [k]$ the group $\Theta_i(X)$ is Lie.

Proposition 5.21. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Then for every $i \in [k]$ the homomorphism $\eta_i : \Theta(X) \rightarrow \Theta(X_i)$ from (9) is continuous.¹³*

Proof. It suffices to prove this for $i = k - 1$, because for $i < k - 1$ the homomorphism η_i is the composition of η_{k-1} on $\Theta(X)$ with the map η_i on $\Theta(X_{k-1})$, and the latter map can be assumed to be continuous by induction on k . Let $(\alpha_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a convergent sequence in $\Theta(X)$ with limit α (which is in $\Theta(X)$, since $\Theta(X)$ is closed by Lemma 2.38). Suppose for a contradiction that $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n) \not\rightarrow \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)$, so there exists a compact set $K \subset X_{k-1}$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that $\sup_{\pi_{k-1}(x) \in K} d_{X_{k-1}}(\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x)), \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x))) > \epsilon$ for infinitely many n . Passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that this inequality holds for all n . In particular, for each n there exists $\pi_{k-1}(x_n) \in K$ such that

$$d_{X_{k-1}}(\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)), \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n))) > \epsilon. \quad (16)$$

By compactness of K , and passing to a subsequence if necessary, we can assume that there exists $x \in X$ such that $\pi_{k-1}(x_n) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma B.2 there exists a sequence $z_n \in Z_k(X)$ such that $x_n + z_n \rightarrow x$ in X . Since X is LCH, the evaluation map is continuous (see e.g. [39, Theorem 46.10]), so $\alpha_n(x_n + z_n) \rightarrow \alpha(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By continuity of π_{k-1} , it follows that $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)) = \eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n + z_n)) = \pi_{k-1}(\alpha_n(x_n + z_n)) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(\alpha(x)) = \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Similarly, by continuity of α and π_{k-1} we have $\eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)) \rightarrow \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x))$. This implies that $d_{X_{k-1}}(\eta_{k-1}(\alpha_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)), \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n))) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, contradicting (16). \square

The topological condition that we will use is the following, which requires the congruence to be closed “at all levels”. The associated natural formulation of this condition for fiber-transitive filtrations can be viewed as an analogue of properties used for quotients of topological groups.

Definition 5.22 (Closed groupable congruences and fiber-transitive filtrations). Let X be a k -step LCH nilspace, and let H_\bullet be a fiber-transitive filtration on X . We say that H_\bullet is *closed* if for all $j \in [k]$ and $i \in [j]$, the subgroup $\eta_j(H_i)$ of $\Theta_i(X_j)$ is a closed subset of $\Theta_i(X_j)$. We say that a groupable congruence \sim on X is *closed* if the associated fiber-transitive filtration $(\Theta(X)^{(\sim)} \cap \Theta_i(X))_{i \geq 0}$ is closed.

Remark 5.23. Recall that for a k -step LCH nilspace X , its k -th structure group $Z_k(X)$ is homeomorphic to any fiber $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x_0))$ (for any $x_0 \in X$) equipped with the subspace topology as a subset of X . Also, it is known ([8, Lemma 3.2.37]) that $\Theta_k(X)$ is (algebraically) isomorphic as a group to $Z_k(X)$ where the isomorphism is given by $Z_k(X) \rightarrow \Theta_k(X)$, $z \mapsto g_z$ where $g_z(x) := x + z$. In particular, $\Theta_k(X)$ is naturally endowed with the subspace topology as a subset of $\Theta(X)$ (which is endowed with the compact-open topology by §2.3). We leave it as an exercise for the reader to check that the map $Z_k(X) \rightarrow \Theta_k(X)$ is a topological group isomorphism with respect to the aforementioned topologies.

Our first observation about Definition 5.22 is the following.

Lemma 5.24. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let H_\bullet be a fiber-transitive filtration on X such that for every $i \in [k]$ the group $\eta_i(H_i)$ is a discrete subgroup of $Z_i(X)$. Then for all $i, j \in [k]$ the group $\eta_j(H_i)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\Theta_i(X_j)$, whence closed. In particular H_\bullet is then a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X .*

Proof. We argue by induction on k , with the case $k = 1$ being trivial. By induction it suffices to prove that H_i is a discrete subgroup of $\Theta(X)$ for every $i \in [k]$. Note that the case $i = k$ holds

¹³Here it is convenient to assume that X is Lie-fibered, so that by Theorem 2.40 we know that $\Theta(X)$ is a topological (Polish) group. This is not clear if we just assume X to be an LCH nilspace.

by assumption (η_k is the identity map). For every $i \in [k-1]$ consider the continuous map $\eta^{(i)} : \Theta_i(X) \rightarrow \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$, $\alpha \mapsto \eta_{k-1}(\alpha)$. This homomorphism factors through $\Theta_i(X)/\ker(\eta^{(i)})$. Thus, there is a continuous homomorphism $\overline{\eta^{(i)}} : \Theta_i(X)/\ker(\eta^{(i)}) \rightarrow \Theta_i(X_{k-1})$ such that, letting $\pi : \Theta_i(X) \rightarrow \Theta_i(X)/\ker(\eta^{(i)})$ be the canonical quotient map, we have $\eta^{(i)} = \overline{\eta^{(i)}} \circ \pi$ (here, as usual $\Theta_i(X)/\ker(\eta^{(i)})$ is endowed with the quotient topology).

Let (α_n) be a sequence in H_i converging to some $\alpha \in \Theta_i(X)$. We want to prove that $\alpha_n = \alpha$ for n large enough. By continuity the sequence $(\eta^{(i)}(\alpha_n))$ is convergent in $\Theta_i(X_{k-1})$. By induction, this sequence is constant for n large enough, so by continuity of $\eta^{(i)}$ we have $\eta^{(i)}(\alpha_n) = \eta^{(i)}(\alpha)$ for $n \geq N$. In particular, for $n, m \geq N$ we have $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_m \in \ker(\eta^{(i)})$. By [9, Lemma 2.9.5] we know that $\ker(\eta^{(i)}) = \text{hom}(X_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{k-i}(Z_k(X)))$. That is, the elements $f \in \ker(\eta^{(i)})$ are precisely the maps of the form $f(x) = x + \tilde{f}(\pi_{k-1}(x))$ for some $\tilde{f} \in \text{hom}(X_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{k-i}(Z_k(X)))$. Moreover, for any $x \in X$ we have $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_m(x) = x + z$ for some unique $z \in Z_k(X)$, and since H_\bullet is fiber-transitive, by (15) we must have $z \in H_k$. Hence $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_m \in \text{hom}(X_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{k-i}(H_k))$ for $n, m \geq N$. In particular, note that $(\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_N)_n$ is a convergent sequence in $\text{hom}(X_{k-1}, \mathcal{D}_{k-i}(H_k))$, the latter being discrete by Corollary 4.6, so $\alpha_n^{-1}\alpha_N = \alpha_M^{-1}\alpha_N$ for $n \geq \max(N, M)$. Thus $\alpha_n = \alpha_N(\alpha_M^{-1}\alpha_N)^{-1}$ for n large enough. \square

The main goal in the rest of this subsection is to prove that a quotient of a Lie-fibered nilspace by a closed groupable congruence is a Lie-fibered nilspace. The proof relies on the following.

Lemma 5.25. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Let $i \in [k]$, let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in X and $(h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in H_i such that for some $x, x' \in X$ we have $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $h_n(x_n) \rightarrow x'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a sequence $(h'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in H_i and $h \in H_i$ with $h'_n \rightarrow h$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $h'_n(x_n) = h_n(x_n)$ for all n .*

Proof. We argue by induction on k , with the case $k = 0$ being trivial. Let us denote by π the map $\pi_{k-1} : X \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ and by η the map $\eta_{k-1} : H_i \rightarrow \eta_{k-1}(H_i)$. By continuity of π we have $\pi(x_n) \rightarrow \pi(x)$ and $\eta(h_n)(\pi(x_n)) = \pi(h_n x_n) \rightarrow \pi(x')$. By Lemma 5.12 and the hypothesis we have that $\eta(H_\bullet)$ is a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X_{k-1} , so by induction there exist $h'_n, h' \in H_i$ such that $\eta(h_n) \rightarrow \eta(h')$ and $\eta(h_n)(\pi(x_n)) = \eta(h'_n)(\pi(x_n))$ for all n , that is, we have $\pi(h_n(x_n)) = \pi(h'_n(x_n))$ for all n . Since H_\bullet is fiber-transitive, for each n there exists $\gamma_n \in H_k$ such that $h'_n(x_n) + \gamma_n = h_n(x_n)$. Since $\Theta(X)$ and $\Theta(X_{k-1})$ are Polish groups (by Theorem 2.40) and by assumption H_i and $\eta(H_i)$ are closed subgroups of these respectively, it follows from [3, Proposition 1.2.1] that H_i and $\eta(H_i)$ are Polish groups. By Lemma B.3 applied to $\eta : H_i \rightarrow \eta(H_i)$ we can assume that $h'_n \rightarrow h'$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then, since by assumption $h_n(x_n) \rightarrow x'$, and $h'_n(x_n) \rightarrow h'(x)$ (by [39, Theorem 46.10]), it follows that $\gamma_n = h_n(x_n) - h'_n(x_n) \rightarrow x' - h'(x)$. The element $\gamma := x' - h'(x)$ is in H_k , by closure of H_k . Hence $(h'_n + \gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in H_i satisfying the desired conclusion with $h = h' + \gamma \in H_i$. \square

Example 5.26. It may be tempting to try to strengthen Lemma 5.24 by weakening the *discreteness* assumption to just a *closure* assumption on the subgroups $\eta_i(H_i)$. However, the following example shows that even if $\eta_i(H_i)$ is closed for each $i \in [k]$, it may happen that H is not closed, even assuming that H_\bullet is fiber-transitive. Let $F := \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear map that is not continuous (it is known that such maps can be defined using a Hamel basis for \mathbb{R} as a vector space over \mathbb{Q}). For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ let α_λ be the translation $(x, y) \mapsto (x + \lambda, y + f(\lambda))$ on F , and let H denote the group $\{\alpha_\lambda : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$. The filtration $H = H_0 = H_1 \geq \{\text{id}\}$ is fiber-transitive on F . Indeed, if α_λ fixes the π_1 factor then clearly $\lambda = 0$ so there is nothing to prove in this case. Also, $\eta_1(H_1) = \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta_2(H_2) = \{\text{id}\}$, so both of these groups are closed. But clearly H is not a closed subgroup of $\Theta(F)$, by discontinuity of f .

Example 5.27. Another seemingly natural condition on H_\bullet that one could have tried to use instead of Definition 5.22 is to require just that each subgroup H_i is closed in $\Theta(X)$. However, this leaves open the possibility that at the level of some lower-step factor of X a failure of closure occurs, making the quotient of X by \sim_H non-viable topologically because some of its factors are not Hausdorff. Indeed, for example, let X be the group nilspace \mathbb{R} with the 2-step filtration $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{R} \geq \mathbb{Z} \geq \{0\}$. Let $H \subset \Theta(X)$ be the subgroup of translations $\{x \mapsto x + \sqrt{2}n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Clearly H is a discrete subgroup, hence closed. Moreover, no translation in H is in $\Theta_2(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, so $H_2 = \{\text{id}\}$ and is therefore also closed. However, the 1-step factor of X is $X_1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and $\eta_1(H)$ acts on X_1 as the group $\{\sqrt{2}n \bmod 1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, a non-closed subgroup of $\Theta_1(X_1) = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the quotient X/H (which algebraically is a perfectly valid quotient nilspace) has 1-step factor the group $\sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z} \setminus (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{R}/(\mathbb{Z} + \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z})$, which is not Hausdorff.

Proposition 5.28. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then $\pi_H(X)$ equipped with the quotient topology is an LCH space.*

Proof. Since $\pi_H(X)$ is the quotient of an LCH space by the action of a group of homeomorphisms, the map π_H is continuous and open. Hence $\pi_H(X)$ is second-countable, and it is also locally compact [39, Ex. 29.3]. To prove that $\pi_H(X)$ is Hausdorff it suffices to show that the set $C = \{(x, y) \in X^2 : x \sim_H y\}$ is closed in the product topology [6, p. 79, Prop. 8]. Since X is LCH, it is metrizable [39, Ex. 32.3 and Thm. 34.1], so closure in X is equivalent to sequential closure. Let $((x_n, h_n x_n))_n$ be a sequence in C convergent to $(x, y) \in X^2$. By Lemma 5.25 there is a convergent sequence $(h'_n)_n$ in H with limit $h \in H$ such that $h_n x_n = h'_n x_n$ for all n . Since $x_n \rightarrow x$, the continuity of the action implies $h'_n x_n \rightarrow hx$, so $y = hx$ and C is closed. \square

Next, we have to prove the two conditions for being an LCH nilspace from Lemma 2.10, namely, that the cube sets are closed and that the completion function is continuous.

To check the first of these conditions we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.29. *Let Z be a Polish abelian group and let H be a closed subgroup of Z . Then for all integers $n \geq 0$ and $k \geq 1$ the following holds: for every convergent sequence $(g_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) + H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ (in the product topology on $Z^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$), there exist convergent sequences $(c_m)_m$ in $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ and $(d_m)_m$ in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ such that $c_m + d_m = g_m$ for all m . In particular, the group $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) + H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is a closed subgroup of $Z^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.*

Proof. Note first that the result is trivial if $k \leq n$, as then $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) = Z^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. Hence, we assume that $n \geq k + 1$. We prove the result for $n = k + 1$, as the idea of the proof is clearer in this case and other cases are similar. Let $(g_m)_m$ be a convergent sequence in $C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) + H^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$. Let us define $c'_m \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ as $c'_m := g_m|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}}$ (note that c'_m is indeed in $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ since any map $\llbracket k \rrbracket \rightarrow Z$ is in $C^k(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$). As (g_m) is convergent, so is (c'_m) . For every m let $c_m^* \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ be the unique completion of c'_m . By continuity of the completion function, the sequence (c_m^*) converges to a limit in $C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ (equal to the completion of the limit of (c'_m)). Finally, let us define $f_m := g_m - c_m^* \in Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$. As a pointwise difference of two convergent sequences, the sequence (f_m) converges. We now prove that $f_m \in H^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ for every m , which will complete the proof in this case (since H is closed). First note that clearly $f_m(v) = 0$ for all $v \neq 1^{k+1}$ so it suffices to prove that $f_m(1^{k+1}) \in H$. Recall from [8, Definition 2.2.22] the Gray-code map $\sigma_{k+1} : Z^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket} \rightarrow Z$, $r \mapsto \sum_{v \in \llbracket k+1 \rrbracket} (-1)^{|v|} r(v)$, where $|v| := v(1) + \dots + v(k+1)$. We have $(-1)^{k+1} f_m(1^{k+1}) = \sigma_{k+1}(f_m) = \sigma_{k+1}(g_m - c_m^*) = \sigma_{k+1}(g_m) - \sigma_{k+1}(c_m^*)$, and this lies in H because both of $\sigma_{k+1}(g_m), \sigma_{k+1}(c_m^*)$ do (the former term does because by assumption $g_m \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) + H^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ and $\sigma_{k+1}(c) = 0$ for any $c \in C^{k+1}(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$). This completes the proof in this case $n = k + 1$.

For $n \geq k + 2$ let us sketch the argument. First, given any such converging sequence $(g_m)_m$ in $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z)) + H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ we define¹⁴ $c'_m := g_m|_{\{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket : |v| \leq k\}} \in Z^{\{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket : |v| \leq k\}}$. Note that there exists a unique cube $c_m^* \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z))$ such that $c_m^*|_{\{v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket : |v| \leq k\}} = c'_m$. We then let $f_m := g_m - c_m^*$. Applying the map σ_{k+1} on faces of dimension $k + 1$ on the function $f_m \in Z^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ it follows similarly as before that $f_m \in H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. The result follows. \square

Proposition 5.30. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then for every $n \geq 0$ the cube set $C^n(\pi_H(X))$ is a closed subset of $\pi_H(X)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $C^n(\pi_H(X))$ is closed for $n \leq k + 1$ (arguing as for [9, Lemma 2.1.1]). The map $\pi_H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \rightarrow (\pi_H(X))^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is open, so to prove the closure of $C^n(\pi_H(X))$ it suffices to prove that $(\pi_H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket})^{-1} C^n(\pi_H(X)) = H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ is closed. Note that it suffices to prove that if (g_m) is a convergent sequence in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ then there exist convergent sequences (d'_m) in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ and (c'_m) in $C^n(X)$ such that $d'_m \cdot c'_m = g_m$ for all m . We prove this by induction on k , the case $k = 0$ being trivial.

For $k > 0$, we first prove that $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ is (algebraically) an abelian bundle over $\eta_{k-1}(H)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X_{k-1})$ with structure group $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)) + H_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, where $Z_k = Z_k(X)$.

For $n \leq k$ note that the claimed structure group $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)) + H_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is simply $Z_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. But if $d \cdot c, d' \cdot c' \in H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ have the same image under $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ then they indeed differ in an element $f \in Z_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ by definition, so our claim holds.

For $n = k + 1$, suppose again that $d \cdot c, d' \cdot c' \in H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ are equal modulo $\pi_{k-1}^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. For each $v \in \llbracket k + 1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$, let $f(v) \in Z_k$ be the unique element such that $(d \cdot c)(v) + f(v) = (d' \cdot c')(v)$. By uniqueness of $(k + 1)$ -corner completion on $\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$, the map f extends uniquely to an element $f \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$. Since the action of Z_k commutes with translations, we can assume (renaming $c + f$ as c) that $d \cdot c(v) = d' \cdot c'(v)$ for every $v \neq 1^{k+1}$, and $\pi_{k-1}(d \cdot c(1^{k+1})) = \pi_{k-1}(d' \cdot c'(1^{k+1}))$. Hence there is $z \in Z_k$ such that $(d \cdot c)(1^{k+1}) = (d' \cdot c')(1^{k+1}) + z$. We claim that $z \in H_k$. Let us denote by $c_z \in Z_k^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ the map that equals z at 1^{k+1} and 0 elsewhere. Then $c + c_z = d^{-1} d' \cdot c'$, and we want to deduce that $z \in H_k$. Note that $(d^{-1} d' \cdot c')|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}} = c|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}}$, so this is in $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$. By the last sentence of Lemma 5.13, there exists $d^* \in C^{k+1}(H_\bullet)$ such that $(d^{-1} d' \cdot c')|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}} = (d^* \cdot c')|_{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}}$. Let $\gamma := (d^{-1} d' (d^*)^{-1})(1^{k+1}) \in H$, and let $d_\gamma \in H^{\llbracket k+1 \rrbracket}$ be the map with $d_\gamma(1^{k+1}) = \gamma$ and $d_\gamma(v) = \text{id}_H$ otherwise. Then $d^{-1} d' \cdot c' = d_\gamma d^* \cdot c'$. Hence $c + c_z = d_\gamma d^* \cdot c'$. But c and $d^* \cdot c'$ are elements of $C^{k+1}(X)$ that are equal when restricted to $\llbracket k + 1 \rrbracket \setminus \{1^{k+1}\}$. By uniqueness of completion we then have $c(1^{k+1}) + z = \gamma(d^* \cdot c'(1^{k+1})) = \gamma(c(1^{k+1}))$. By property (15), this implies that $z \in H_k$.

Now let $(d_m \cdot c_m)$ be a convergent sequence in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$. This implies that the sequence $(\eta_{k-1}(d_m) \cdot \pi_{k-1}(c_m))$ converges in $\eta_{k-1}(H)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X_{k-1})$. By induction, there exist $d'_m \in H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ and $c'_m \in C^n(X_{k-1})$ such that the sequence $\eta_{k-1}(d'_m)$ converges in $\eta_{k-1}(H)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, $(\pi_{k-1}(c'_m))$ converges in $C^n(X_{k-1})$, and $\eta_{k-1}(d'_m) \pi_{k-1}(c'_m) = \eta_{k-1}(d_m) \cdot \pi_{k-1}(c_m)$. By Lemma B.3 we can assume that d'_m converges in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ and by Lemma 2.13 we can assume that c'_m converges in $C^n(X)$ as well. Since $d'_m \cdot c'_m$ is an element of $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$ equal to $d_m \cdot c_m$ modulo π_{k-1} , by the previous paragraph we have $d'_m \cdot c'_m - d_m \cdot c_m \in C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)) + H_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. As both $(d'_m \cdot c'_m)$ and $(d_m \cdot c_m)$ converge, so does $(d'_m \cdot c'_m - d_m \cdot c_m)$. Moreover, by Lemma 5.29 there exist convergent sequences (f_m) in $C^n(\mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$ and (γ_m) in $H_k^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ such that $d'_m \cdot c'_m - d_m \cdot c_m = f_m + \gamma_m$. In particular $d_m \cdot c_m = d'_m \cdot c'_m - f_m - d_m = (d'_m - \gamma_m) \cdot (c'_m - f_m)$. But $(c'_m - f_m)$ is a convergent sequence in the closed set $C^n(X)$, with limit c say, and so is $(d'_m - \gamma_m)$ in $H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$, with limit d , so $d_m \cdot c_m$ converges to $d \cdot c \in H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \cdot C^n(X)$, which proves the claimed closure. \square

¹⁴Recall that given $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ we define $|v| := v(1) + \dots + v(n)$.

Before continuing, note that we have the following commutative diagram for any $n \leq k$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\pi_n} & X_n \\
 \downarrow \pi_H & & \downarrow \pi_{\eta_n(H)} \\
 \pi_H(X) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n).
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

We know from the algebraic part of the theory that this diagram commutes. Moreover π_H, π_n and $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}$ are continuous open maps. Hence so is π . As this map is also the n -step factor map of the (for now only algebraic) nilspace $\pi_H(X)$, we will denote it also by π_n (it will be clear from the context which domain space a map π_n is considered on, e.g. X or $\pi_H(X)$).

We now turn to proving the continuity of corner completion on $\pi_H(X)$. According to Lemma 2.10 we need to prove this continuity for the completion function \mathcal{K}_{n+1} for each $n \in [k]$. But we can make the following observation straightaway.

Remark 5.31. It suffices to prove continuity \mathcal{K}_{k+1} on $\pi_H(X)$. Indeed, then the same result applied with $k = n$ will yield the continuity of completion of \mathcal{K}_{n+1} on $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$, for any $n \leq k$, when considering $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$ as the quotient of X_n modulo the congruence induced by $\eta_n(H)$ (with the quotient topology). Now note that what we actually need is continuity of \mathcal{K}_{n+1} on $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$ relative to the topology on the latter space obtained as the quotient of the $\pi_H(X)$ topology under the map $\pi_n : \pi_H(X) \rightarrow \pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$. But by the above-mentioned commutativity of (17), the two quotient topologies on $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$ (coming from $\pi_H(X)$ and X_n) are equal.

To prove continuity of \mathcal{K}_{k+1} on $\pi_H(X)$ we will use the following lemma.

Lemma 5.32. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, with k -th structure group Z_k , and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then $\pi_H(X)$ is a Cartan principal Z_k/H_k -bundle over $\pi_{k-1}(\pi_H(X))$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.13, algebraically $\pi_H(X)$ is a Z_k/H_k -bundle over $\pi_{k-1}(\pi_H(X))$. By the paragraph below (17) we know that $\pi_{k-1} : \pi_H(X) \rightarrow \pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)}(X_{k-1})$ is an open continuous map. In order to prove that $\pi_H(X)$ is a principal Z_k/H_k -bundle it suffices then to prove that the addition map $A_H : \pi_H(X) \times (Z_k/H_k) \rightarrow \pi_H(X)$ defined as $(\pi_H(x), z + H_k) \mapsto \pi_H(x + z)$ is continuous. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times Z_k & \xrightarrow{A} & X \\
 \downarrow \pi_H \times \pi_{H_k} & & \downarrow \pi_H \\
 \pi_H(X) \times Z_k/H_k & \xrightarrow{A_H} & \pi_H(X),
 \end{array} \tag{18}$$

where $A : X \times Z_k \rightarrow X$ is the addition action of Z_k on X and $\pi_{H_k} : Z_k \rightarrow Z_k/H_k$ is the quotient map. As A and π_H are continuous and $\pi_H \times \pi_{H_k}$ is open it follows that A_H is continuous.

It remains to check continuity of the difference map. As $\pi_H(X)$ is equipped with an LCH topology by Proposition 5.28, let $(\pi_H(x_n), \pi_H(x'_n)) \rightarrow (\pi_H(x), \pi_H(x'))$ for some elements $x_n, x'_n, x, x' \in X$ such that $(\pi_H(x_n), \pi_H(x'_n)), (\pi_H(x), \pi_H(x'))$ are in the fiber-product $(\pi_H(X)) \times_{X_{k-1}} (\pi_H(X))$. By Lemma B.2 we can assume without loss of generality that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x'_n \rightarrow x'$ in X as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\pi_{k-1}(\pi_H(x_n)) = \pi_{k-1}(\pi_H(x'_n))$ there exists $z_n \in Z_k$ such that $\pi_H(x_n) = \pi_H(x'_n) + z_n + H_k = \pi_H(x'_n + z_n)$. Hence, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\gamma_n \in H$ such that $\gamma_n(x_n) = x'_n + z_n$. In particular, applying π_{k-1} on both sides of this equation we have $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)) = \pi_{k-1}(x'_n)$. By Lemma 5.25 there exists $\gamma'_n \in H$ such

that $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n)) = \eta_{k-1}(\gamma'_n)(\pi_{k-1}(x_n))$ and $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma'_n) \rightarrow \eta_{k-1}(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in H$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma B.3 we can assume without loss of generality that indeed $\gamma'_n \rightarrow \gamma$ in $\Theta(X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, since now $\pi_{k-1}(\gamma_n(x_n)) = \pi_{k-1}(\gamma'_n(x_n))$, there exists $g_n \in Z_k$ such that $\gamma_n(x_n) = \gamma'_n(x_n) + g_n$. By (15) we have $g_n \in H_k$. Therefore $\gamma'_n(x_n) + g_n = \gamma_n(x_n) = x'_n + z_n$. This implies that $\gamma'_n(x_n) - x'_n = z_n - g_n$. By construction, and continuity of difference on X , the left hand side of this equation converges (as $\gamma'_n \rightarrow \gamma$, $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x'_n \rightarrow x'$). Hence $z_n - g_n \rightarrow \gamma(x) - x'$. Since $\pi_H(x_n) - \pi_H(x'_n) = z_n + H_k = z_n - g_n + H_k$, we deduce that this converges to $(\gamma(x) - x') + H_k = \pi_H(x) - \pi_H(x')$. \square

We shall also need a result telling us that convergent sequences of cubes in $\pi_H^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(X))$ can be lifted to convergent sequences in $C^n(X)$. For technical reasons we establish the following lifting result that holds for more general objects than cubes. Recall from [8] the notions of discrete-cube morphism $\phi : \llbracket m \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket n \rrbracket$ and of simplicial subsets of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$. Recall also from the paragraph preceding Lemma 2.12 the definition of $\text{hom}(S, X)$ for a simplicial set S and a nilspace X . As usual, when X is LCH we equip $\text{hom}(S, X)$ with the subspace topology induced by the product topology on X^S .

Proposition 5.33. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X , and let S be a simplicial subset of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$. Then for every convergent sequence $(\pi_H \circ c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\text{hom}(S, \pi_H(X))$, there exists $c'_n \in \text{hom}(S, X)$ such that $\pi_H \circ c_n = \pi_H \circ c'_n$ and $(c'_n)_n$ converges in $\text{hom}(S, X)$.*

Proof. For $v \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$ recall that $|v| := v(1) + \dots + v(n)$. It suffices to prove the result for any $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ such that $|v| \leq k$ for any $v \in S$. Indeed, if this case holds, then given a simplicial set $S' \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$, let $S^* := \{v \in S' : |v| \leq k\}$, and note that if a sequence $(\pi_H \circ c_n)_n$ in $\text{hom}(S', \pi_H(X))$ converges then $(\pi_H \circ c_n|_{S^*})_n$ in $\text{hom}(S^*, \pi_H(X))$ converges as well. Then by assumption there is a sequence $(c'_n)_n$ in $\text{hom}(S^*, X)$ such that $\pi_H \circ c'_n = \pi_H \circ c_n|_{S^*}$ and such that $(c'_n)_n$ converges. It is then not hard to see that c'_n can be extended uniquely and continuously to an element of $\text{hom}(S', X)$ in such a way that these extensions also converge as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Abusing the notation let us consider now $c'_n \in \text{hom}(S', X)$ such that $(c'_n)_n$ converges and $\pi_H \circ c'_n|_{S^*} = \pi_H \circ c_n|_{S^*}$. By uniqueness of completion we have $\pi_H \circ c'_n = \pi_H \circ c_n$, so the sequence $(c'_n)_n$ satisfies the desired conclusion.

We have thus indeed reduced the task to proving that, given a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace X , the proposition's conclusion holds for simplicial sets $S \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ such that $|v| \leq k$ for all $v \in S$. We prove this by induction on k . The case $k = 0$ is trivial. For $k > 0$, assuming the case $k - 1$, let $c_n \in \text{hom}(S, X)$ be such that $\pi_H \circ c_n$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\pi_{k-1} \circ \pi_H \circ c_n = \pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)} \circ \pi_{k-1} \circ c_n$ is a convergent sequence in $\text{hom}(S, \pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)}(X_{k-1}))$. By induction there exists $\pi_{k-1} \circ c'_n \in \text{hom}(S, X_{k-1})$ such that $(\pi_{k-1} \circ c'_n)_n$ converges and $\pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)} \circ \pi_{k-1} \circ c'_n = \pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)} \circ \pi_{k-1} \circ c_n$. As S does not contain elements v with $|v| > k$, note that we can correct pointwise $c'_n \in \text{hom}(S, X)$ using Lemma B.2 by adding an element $d_n \in Z_k^S$ in such a way that the sequence $(c'_n + d_n)_n$ still lies in $\text{hom}(S, X)$ (this is because $\text{hom}(S, \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)) = Z_k^S$, since $|v| \leq k$ for all $v \in S$) but now converges as well. Thus, without loss of generality we can assume that c'_n itself converges in $\text{hom}(S, X)$.

The cube $\pi_H \circ c'_n$ is almost what we need. Indeed, we know that $\pi_H \circ c'_n = \pi_H \circ c_n + \overline{z_n}$ for some $\overline{z_n} \in (Z_k/H_k)^S$. This follows from the fact that $\pi_H \circ c'_n, \pi_H \circ c_n$ are equal modulo the factor map $\pi_{k-1} : \pi_H(X) \rightarrow \pi_{\eta_{k-1}(H)}(X_{k-1})$. But then note that $\overline{z_n} = \pi_H \circ c'_n - \pi_H \circ c_n$ and both sequences $(\pi_H \circ c_n)_n$ and $(\pi_H \circ c'_n)_n$ converge by hypothesis. Hence, by Lemma 5.32 we have that $\overline{z_n}$ converges as well. By Lemma B.2 there is a convergent sequence $(z_n)_n$ in Z_k^S such that $z_n + H_k^S = \overline{z_n}$ for every n . Then $c'_n - z_n$ is in $\text{hom}(S, X)$ (again using that $\text{hom}(S, \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)) = Z_k^S$), converges, and satisfies $\pi_H \circ (c'_n - z_n) = \pi_H \circ c_n$, as required. \square

Proposition 5.34. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then the completion function $\mathcal{K} : \text{Cor}^{k+1}(\pi_H(X)) \rightarrow \pi_H(X)$ is continuous.*

Proof. Let $\pi_H \circ c'_n$ be a convergent sequence in $\text{Cor}^{k+1}(\pi_H(X))$. By Proposition 5.33 we can assume that $c'_n \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$ and converges to $c' \in \text{Cor}^{k+1}(X)$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $c_n \in C^{k+1}(X)$ be the unique completion of c'_n . Then by continuity of completion on X , the cubes c_n converge to the cube $c \in C^{k+1}(X)$ which completes c' . But then $\mathcal{K}(\pi_H \circ c'_n) = \pi_H(c_n(1^{k+1}))$, which converges (by continuity of π_H) to $\pi_H(c(1^{k+1})) = \mathcal{K}(\pi_H \circ c')$. \square

Theorem 5.35. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X . Then $\pi_H(X)$ equipped with the quotient topology is a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace.*

Proof. Since \sim_H is a groupable congruence, the algebraic part (i.e. that $\pi_H(X)$ is a k -step nilspace) follows from Lemma 5.7 (as used in Lemma 5.13).

The topology on $\pi_H(X)$ is LCH by Proposition 5.28. Now we want to check that the hypotheses of Lemma 2.10 are satisfied. The cube sets on $\pi_H(X)$ are closed, by Proposition 5.30. The continuity of the completion of $(k+1)$ -corners in $\pi_H(X)$ follows from Proposition 5.34. As explained in Remark 5.31, this implies that \mathcal{K}_{n+1} is continuous on $\pi_{\eta_n(H)}(X_n)$. This shows that $\pi_H(X)$ is an LCH k -step nilspace.

Finally, we need to prove that the structure groups of $\pi_H(X)$ are Lie groups. Let us prove that $Z_k(\pi_H(X)) \cong Z_k(X)/H_k$ (as topological groups) and note that for any $i \in [k]$ the proof of $Z_i(\pi_{\eta_i(H)}(X_i)) \cong Z_i(X)/\eta_i(H_i)$ follows similarly. The map $\pi_H : X \rightarrow \pi_H(X)$ is a continuous and open fibration. Restricting to a fiber¹⁵ of π_{k-1} , we deduce that the k -th structure morphism $\phi_k : Z_k(X) \rightarrow Z_k(\pi_H(X))$ is a continuous surjective homomorphism. The kernel of this map is precisely H_k . Indeed, for any $z \in Z_k(X)$ we have $\phi_k(z) = 0$ if and only if for any fixed $e \in X$ we have that $\pi_H(e+z) = \pi_H(e)$. Then, by (15) we have that $z \in H_k$. By the Open mapping theorem for Polish groups we have that $Z_k(X)/H_k \cong Z_k(\pi_H(X))$ as topological groups. But now both $Z_k(X)$ and H_k are Lie groups (the first by hypothesis and the second because by Cartan's theorem it is a closed subgroup of a Lie group). Thus $Z_k(\pi_H(X))$ is a Lie group. \square

With the same assumptions as in Theorem 5.35, if in addition $\eta_i(H_i)$ is discrete and cocompact for all $i \in [k]$ then the quotient Lie-fibered nilspace is in fact a CFR nilspace. To detail this let us extend the notions of fiber discreteness and cocompactness, from free nilspaces (as in Definition 1.5) to all Lie-fibered nilspaces.

Definition 5.36. Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace and let Γ be a fiber-transitive group on X . We say that Γ is *fiber-discrete* if for every $j \in [k]$, the group $\eta_j(\Gamma) \cap \Theta_j(X_j)$ is a discrete subgroup of $\Theta_j(X_j)$. We say that Γ is *fiber-cocompact* if for every $j \in [k]$, the group $\eta_j(\Gamma) \cap \Theta_j(X_j)$ is cocompact in $\Theta_j(X_j)$.

Corollary 5.37. *Let X be a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, and let H_\bullet be a closed fiber-transitive and fiber-cocompact filtration on X . Then $\pi_H(X)$ with the quotient topology is a k -step CFR nilspace.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.35 we have that $\pi_H(X)$ is a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. To prove that $\pi_H(X)$ is a compact nilspace, by [9, Definition 1.0.2] it suffices to prove that the topology on $\pi_H(X)$ is compact (we already know that $C^n(\pi_H(X))$ is closed for each n , by Theorem 5.35).

We argue by induction on k , with the case $k=0$ being trivial. Assuming the case $k-1$, by [16, Theorem 3.3] we have that X is a Z_k/H_k -bundle over X_{k-1} where Z_k/H_k is a compact abelian Lie group. Thus for every $\pi_{k-1}(x) \in X_{k-1}$ there exists an open set $U \subset X_{k-1}$ such that $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U)$ is homeomorphic to $U \times Z_k/H_k$. For every $\pi_{k-1}(x)$ let $U_{\pi_{k-1}(x)}$ be such an open set.

¹⁵Recall from Proposition 2.5 the definition of the k -th structure group of a k -step LCH nilspace.

By compactness of X_{k-1} there is a finite cover $(U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_j)})_{j=1}^b$. Then, given any open covering $\cup_{i \in I} V_i$ of X , by taking intersections we can assume that each V_i is included in one of the open sets $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_j)})$.

By Lebesgue's number lemma, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if a subset $C \subset X_{k-1}$ has diameter $\text{diam}(C) < \delta$, then C is included in $U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_j)}$ for some $j \in [b]$. By compactness of X_{k-1} , there exists a finite covering of X_{k-1} by balls of the form $B(\pi_{k-1}(x'_s), \delta/2)$, $s \in [m]$, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The closed (hence compact) balls $\overline{B(\pi_{k-1}(x'_s), \delta/2)}$ clearly cover X_{k-1} , and each of these compact sets is included in some $U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_{j_s})}$ for some $j_s \in [b]$. Thus, for each $s \in [m]$, since $\overline{B(\pi_{k-1}(x'_s), \delta/2)} \times (Z_k/H_k) \subset U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_{j_s})} \times (Z_k/H_k)$ and $U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_{j_s})} \times (Z_k/H_k)$ is homeomorphic to $\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_{j_s})})$, we have that $\cup_{i \in I} [V_i \cap \pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U_{\pi_{k-1}(x_{j_s})})]$ is an open covering of the compact set $\overline{B(\pi_{k-1}(x'_s), \delta/2)} \times (Z_k/H_k)$. Thus, we can find a finite sub-cover, with corresponding finite index set $I_s \subset I$. Repeating this for every $s \in [m]$ and collecting, we deduce that $\cup_{s \in [m]} \cup_{i \in I_s} V_i$ is a finite sub-cover of $\cup_{i \in I} V_i$. \square

Example 5.38. Given a nilspace X and a closed fiber-transitive group $H \leq \Theta(X)$ that is also fiber-cocompact, in general H need not be a cocompact subgroup of $\Theta(X)$. For example, let $F = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{R})$ and consider $H = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ where $\alpha(x, y) = (x + 1, y)$ and $\beta(x, y) = (x, y + 1)$. This group H is straightforwardly checked to be fiber-cocompact in $\Theta(F)$. On the other hand we have $\Theta(F) = \{(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, y + b + cx) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Note that this group is isomorphic to the Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbb{R} & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Letting $\gamma_{a,b,c} \in \Theta(F)$ be the map $(x, y) \mapsto (x + a, y + b + cx)$, it is straightforwardly checked that the map $\varphi : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\gamma_{a,b,c} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c & b \\ 0 & 1 & a \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a group isomorphism. Thus, in order to show that $H \subset \Theta(F)$ is not co-compact, it suffices to check that $\varphi(H) \subset \mathcal{H}$ is not co-compact. A simple calculation shows that $\varphi(H) = \langle \varphi(\alpha), \varphi(\beta) \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ is a subgroup of $R := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 1 & \mathbb{R} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus, if $\varphi(H)$ is co-compact then R should also be co-compact. But R is a normal subgroup of \mathcal{H} and a direct computation shows that $\mathcal{H}/R \cong \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\varphi(H)$ cannot be co-compact.

Remark 5.39. One may naturally wonder to what extent the closure property in Definition 5.22 is *necessary* to ensure that the quotient of X by \sim_H is a Lie-fibered nilspace. It turns out that for X a *free* nilspace, a variant condition can be proved to be necessary and sufficient. More precisely, if F is a k -step free nilspace and H is a fiber-transitive group on F , it can be proved that $\pi_H(F)$ is a Lie-fibered nilspace if and only if for every $i \in [k]$ the group $\eta_i(H^\dagger)$ is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(F_i)$. We shall not need this fact in this paper, so let us just outline the argument here. First one proves that more generally if H is a fiber-transitive group on a Lie-fibered nilspace, then $H^\dagger = \ker(\widehat{\pi}_H)$ and so H^\dagger must be a closed subgroup of $\Theta(F)$. Then, the same fact applied on F_i implies that $\eta_i(H)^\dagger$ is closed in $\Theta(F_i)$. This yields the necessity of the above condition. Then, using that F is a free nilspace, the additional property that for every $i \in [k]$ we obtain the additional fact that $\eta_i(H^\dagger) = \eta_i(H)^\dagger$, and this implies the sufficiency of the above condition (indeed we then need not ask for all the terms in the filtration of H^\dagger to be closed, because the filtration is $(H^\dagger \cap \Theta_i(F))_{i \in [k]}$, and similarly for lower steps. Hence, as $\Theta_i(F)$ is always closed, it suffices to require H^\dagger to be closed, and for lower-step factors it suffices to require $\eta_i(H^\dagger)$ to be closed).

5.3. Free groupable congruences and embeddings.

Definition 5.40. Let X be a k -step nilspace. We say that a groupable congruence \sim on X is *free* if there exists a subfiltration H_\bullet of $\Theta(X)_{\bullet}^{(\sim)}$ such that H_\bullet is a closed fiber-transitive filtration on X with $\sim = \sim_H$ and such that the action of H on X is *free*. That is, for every $x, y \in X$ with

$x \sim y$ there is a unique element $g \in H$ such that $gx = y$. We also say that that the filtration H_\bullet is *free fiber-transitive* on X .

Remark 5.41. Note that for \sim to be free we indeed require just *some* fiber-transitive filtration realizing \sim to be free. Indeed, there can be more than one fiber-transitive filtration yielding the same quotient nilspace. For example, let $F = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathcal{D}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ and let $\alpha(x, y) := (x + 2, y)$, $\beta(x, y) = (x, y + 2)$ and $\gamma(x, y) := (x, y + 2x)$. It is easy to see that $H := \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle$ and $H' := \langle \alpha, \beta, \gamma \rangle$ generate the same congruence and so $\pi_H(F) \cong \pi_{H'}(F)$ (putting on both H and H' the filtration induced by $\Theta_\bullet(F)$). However H_\bullet acts freely, whereas H'_\bullet does not.

Remark 5.42. Recall from (15) that if \sim is a groupable congruence on X then, letting G_\bullet be the corresponding filtration on $(\Theta(X)^{(\sim)} \cap \Theta_i(X))_{i \geq 0}$, the action of G_i on each intersection of a \sim -fiber and a π_{i-1} -fiber is transitive. Hence, if \sim is also free (i.e. G_\bullet is free fiber-transitive), then each intersection of a \sim -fiber and a π_{i-1} -fiber is a *principal homogeneous space* of G_i .

Remark 5.43. If H_\bullet is a free fiber-transitive filtration on X , then for each $i \in [k]$ the filtration $\eta_j(H_\bullet)$ is free fiber-transitive on X_i . To confirm this note that by induction it suffices to prove the case $i = k - 1$. Furthermore, it suffices to check that if $\eta_{k-1}(g)\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(x)$ for some $x \in X$ and $g \in H$ then $\eta_{k-1}(g) = \text{id}$. But the element $y := gx$ then satisfies $x \sim_H y$ and $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. By (15) there is therefore some $g' \in H_k$ such that $y = g'x$. Since the action of H is free, we conclude that $g = g' \in H_k$, so $\eta_{k-1}(g) = \text{id}$ as required.

In the case of free nilspaces, there is a simple characterization of those fiber-transitive filtrations that are free. Recall from Theorem 3.15 that if $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ is a free nilspace then $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (T_1, T_2(x_1), \dots, T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}))$ where $x_i \in \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ and $T_i \in \text{hom}(\prod_{j=1}^{i-1} \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j}), \mathcal{D}_{i-1}(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}))$ for $i \in [k]$.

Lemma 5.44. *Let F be a k -step free nilspace, and let H_\bullet be a subfiltration of $\Theta_\bullet(F)$. Then H_\bullet is free fiber-transitive if and only if the following holds: for every $\alpha \in H$, with corresponding formula $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (T_1, T_2(x_1), \dots, T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}))$, letting $i = i_\alpha \in [k]$ be the smallest integer such that $T_i \neq 0$, the map T_i is constant (with value in $\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}$).*

Proof. Suppose that H_\bullet is free fiber-transitive on F and let $\alpha \in H$. Note that passing to the appropriate factor we can assume that $T_i = 0$ for all $i \in [k - 1]$ and $T_i \neq 0$ (the constant 0 function). Then for every $x \in X$ we have $\alpha(x) \sim_H x$ and $\pi_{k-1}(\alpha(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(x)$. By (15) combined with the assumption that H acts freely, this implies that $\alpha \in H_k$. By [8, Lemma 3.2.37], this in turn implies that $T_k = c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{a_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_k}$.

To prove the converse, first let us see that (H, H_\bullet) is a fiber-transitive filtration. We prove this by induction on k , noting that the case $k = 0$ is trivial. For $k > 0$, first assume that $x, y \in F$ are such that $\alpha(x) = y$ for some $\alpha \in H$ and $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. Hence there exists $z \in Z_k(F)$ such that $y = x + z = \alpha(x)$. By assumption on (H, H_\bullet) note that α must be of the form $\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (0, \dots, 0, T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}))$. The reason for this is that if $i = i_\alpha \in [k]$ is the first coordinate such that $T_i \neq 0$ and $i < k$, then it is impossible that $\pi_{k-1}(x) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$, as T_i would add a non-zero constant to a coordinate $i \in [k - 1]$. Then note that the hypothesis on H implies that $T_{k-1} = c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{a_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_k}$. Thus $\alpha \in H_k$.

Now let us see how we can address the case $i < k$ by induction. If $\pi_i(x) = \pi_i(y)$ and $\alpha(x) = y$ for some $\alpha \in H$ we have in particular that $\alpha_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. By induction on k , the filtration $(\eta_{k-1}(H_i))_{i \geq 0}$ is fiber-transitive on X_{k-1} , so there exists $\beta_{k-1} = \eta_{k-1}(\beta) \in \eta_{k-1}(H_{i+1})$ such that $\beta_{k-1}(\pi_{k-1}(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$. Hence $\pi_{k-1}(\beta(x)) = \pi_{k-1}(y)$ and $\beta(x) \sim_H y$ (as $\beta(x) = \beta(\alpha^{-1}(\alpha(x))) = \beta(\alpha^{-1}(y)) \sim_H y$). Thus, by the case of the previous paragraph applied to $\beta(x) \sim_H y$ we have that there exists $\gamma \in H_k$ such that $\beta(x) + \gamma = y$. As $\beta(\cdot) + \gamma \in H_{i+1}$ this concludes the proof.

In order to see that (H, H_\bullet) is free fiber-transitive suppose that $\alpha, \beta \in H$ are such that $\alpha(x) = \beta(x)$ for some $x \in X$. Assume for contradiction that these translations are not equal. Passing to some factor of X we can assume that

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (T_1, T_2(x_1), \dots, T_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}), T_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})) \\ \beta(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (T_1, T_2(x_1), \dots, T_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-2}), T'_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})) \end{cases}$$

for two distinct functions $T_k \neq T'_k$. Note that $\beta^{-1}\alpha$ must then be of the form $\beta^{-1}\alpha(x_1, \dots, x_k) = (x_1, \dots, x_k) + (0, \dots, 0, T''_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}))$ for some $T''_k \neq 0$. However $\beta^{-1}\alpha(x) = x$ with $\beta^{-1}\alpha \in H$. By hypothesis we have that $T'' = c$ for some constant $c \in \mathbb{Z}^{a_k} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_k}$. As $\beta^{-1}\alpha$ fixes x , this constant must be 0, so $T''_k = 0$, a contradiction. \square

Free fiber-transitive filtrations are especially useful on free nilspaces because they enable us to embed the corresponding quotient nilspace into a *coset nilspace*. In particular this provides a connection with nilmanifolds that is relevant to the Jamneshan-Tao conjecture (see Proposition 5.46 below). To explain this we shall use the following notion.

Definition 5.45. The *continuous closure* of a free nilspace $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i}) \times \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ is the nilspace $F^* = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{a_i}) \times \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$. The inclusion map $F \rightarrow F^*$ is a nilspace morphism.

By Theorem 3.15 and (6), (7), it follows that there is an injective filtered homomorphism $\iota : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F^*)$, consisting simply in extending each polynomial involved in the expression (8) of a translation, and defined on a power of \mathbb{Z} , to the same polynomial defined on the same power of \mathbb{R} . Note that clearly for any $\alpha \in \Theta(F)$ and any $x \in F$ we have $\phi(\alpha(x)) = \iota(\alpha)(\phi(x))$.

Proposition 5.46. *Let F be a k -step free nilspace, and let H_\bullet be a free fiber-transitive filtration on F that is finitely-generated, fiber-discrete, and such that $\pi_H(F)$ is a compact nilspace. Then there exists a toral nilspace X and a continuous injective morphism from $\pi_H(F)$ into X .*

Remark 5.47. Recall from [9, Theorem 2.9.17] that a toral nilspace is isomorphic to a compact connected filtered nilmanifold $(G/\Gamma, G_\bullet)$ equipped with the coset nilspace structure associated with G_\bullet . Thus, under the assumptions of Proposition 5.46, we can embed $\pi_H(F)$ as a CFR nilspace into a connected nilmanifold. See also Remark 6.5 concerning the 2-step case.

Proof. We want to prove that the natural inclusions $\phi : F \rightarrow F^*$ and $\iota : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F^*)$ induce a well-defined continuous injective morphism $\varphi : \pi_H(F) \rightarrow \pi_{\iota(H)}(F^*)$, $\pi_H(x) \mapsto \pi_{\iota(H)}(\phi(x))$. Let us first note that H_\bullet being free fiber-transitive implies that $(\iota(H_i))_{i \geq 0}$ is also free fiber-transitive, since the latter filtration satisfies the criterion in Lemma 5.44.

The map φ is well-defined, indeed for any $x \in F$ and $\alpha \in H$ (thus $\alpha(x) \sim_H x$) we have $\varphi(\pi_H(\alpha(x))) = \pi_{\iota(H)}(\phi(\alpha(x))) = \pi_{\iota(H)}(\iota(\alpha)(\phi(x))) = \pi_{\iota(H)}(\phi(x)) = \varphi(\pi_H(x))$. Moreover, Lemma 5.13 implies that φ is a morphism, as cubes in $\pi_H(F)$ are of the form $\pi_H \circ c$ for $c \in C^n(F)$, whence $\varphi \circ \pi_H \circ c = \pi_{\iota(H)} \circ \phi \circ c \in C^n(F^*/\sim_{\iota(H)})$. The injectivity of the map φ is proved as follows: supposing that $\pi_{\iota(H)}(\phi(x)) = \pi_{\iota(H)}(\phi(y))$, there exists $\alpha \in H$ such that $\phi(y) = \iota(\alpha)(\phi(x)) = \phi(\alpha(x))$, and since ϕ is injective we have $\alpha(x) = y$, so $\pi_H(x) = \pi_H(y)$. Hence φ is indeed a morphism embedding $\pi_H(F)$ into the nilspace $\pi_{\iota(H)}(F^*)$.

Finally we have to prove that $\pi_{\iota(H)}(F^*)$ is a toral nilspace. Since by assumption $\pi_H(F)$ is compact, its structure groups are the compact abelian groups $Z_i(F)/\eta_i(H_i)$ for $i \in [k]$ (by Lemma 5.13). By assumption $\eta_i(H_i)$ is a discrete cocompact subgroup of $Z_i(F)$. It is then easy to see that $\eta_i(\iota(H_i))$ is also a discrete cocompact subgroup of $Z_i(F^*)$, so $Z_i(F^*)/\eta_i(\iota(H_i))$ is a torus. Hence $\pi_{\iota(H)}(F^*)$ is a toral nilspace. \square

Remark 5.48. The toral nilspace X in which we embed $\pi_H(F)$ can be endowed with an arbitrary metric that generates its topology. Given any such metric d on X , we can define a metric

d' on $\pi_H(F)$ simply by declaring $d'(x, y) := d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y))$. As φ is a homeomorphism onto its image this is indeed a metric on $\pi_H(F)$. Relative to d' and d , the map φ is an isometry.

6. CFR NILSPACES AS QUOTIENTS OF FREE NILSPACES BY GROUPABLE CONGRUENCES

In this subsection we prove the following theorem, a central result of this paper, which will also be used in Section 7 to prove the double-coset representation result, Theorem 7.14.

Theorem 6.1. *Let X be a k -step CFR nilspace. Then there exists a k -step free nilspace F and a closed groupable congruence \sim on F such that $\pi_{\sim}(F)$, X are isomorphic as CFR nilspaces.*

We shall in fact prove the following version of the result, which yields additional information on parts of the structure.

Theorem 6.2. *Let X be a k -step CFR nilspace. Then there exists a k -step free nilspace F , and a fiber-transitive filtration H_{\bullet} on F which is finitely-generated, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact, such that $\pi_H(X)$ and X are isomorphic as CFR nilspaces.*

In the proof we use the following fact about finitely generated nilpotent groups.

Lemma 6.3. *Let H be a k -step nilpotent finitely-generated group. Then for every filtration $H_{\bullet} = (H_i)_{i \geq 0}$ on H there exist $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$, and a subset $I_j \subset [n]$ for each $j \in [k]$, such that for every $j \in [k]$ we have $H_j = \langle h_i \rangle_{i \in I_j}$.*

Proof. The group H is Noetherian. Thus, every subgroup is finitely generated, and we can take as our set $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$ the union of all those generators for $j \in [k]$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.2. We argue by induction on the step k . For $k = 1$ the result follows from the standard theory of compact abelian Lie groups.

Let $k > 1$ and suppose by induction that X_{k-1} satisfies the theorem's conclusion, so there is a $(k - 1)$ -step free nilspace F_{k-1} , and a finitely-generated fiber-transitive filtration H_{\bullet} on F_{k-1} , fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact, with corresponding quotient map the fibration $\varphi_{k-1} : F_{k-1} \rightarrow X_{k-1}$, such that the induced map $\overline{\varphi_{k-1}} : \pi_H(F_{k-1}) \rightarrow X_{k-1}$ is an isomorphism of compact nilspaces. Recall from (9) the definition of the maps η_j . By Corollary 5.20 the filtration $(H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1}))_{j \geq 0}$ defines the same quotient nilspace as H_{\bullet} . By Corollary 5.17 we then have $\eta_j(H_j) = \eta_j(H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1}))$ for every $j \in [k - 1]$, so in particular for every such j the group $\eta_j(H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1}))$ is discrete and cocompact in $Z_j(F_{k-1})$. Hence, we can assume that the group H is finitely-generated, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact when considered with filtration $(H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1}))_{j \geq 0}$, and that $\pi_H(F_{k-1}) \cong X_{k-1}$. By Lemma 6.3 there is a set $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$ generating H and such that for every $j \in [k]$ the subgroup $H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1})$ is generated by a subset of $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$. Hence, from now on we will assume that $H_j = H \cap \Theta_j(F_{k-1})$ for all $j \in [k]$ and that H_j is generated by some elements in $\{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$.

Let us now consider the fiber product of F_{k-1} and X , i.e., the nilspace $Y := F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X = \{(f, x) \in F_{k-1} \times X : \varphi_{k-1}(f) = \pi_{k-1}(x)\}$. This is a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, by Lemma 2.18, and the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 Y & \xrightarrow{p_2} & X \\
 \downarrow p_1 & & \downarrow \pi_{k-1} \\
 F_{k-1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k-1}} & X_{k-1},
 \end{array} \tag{19}$$

where $p_1 : Y \rightarrow F_{k-1}$, $(f, x) \mapsto f$ and $p_2 : Y \rightarrow X$, $(f, x) \mapsto x$. It is checked straightforwardly that these maps are continuous fibrations.

The proof of Lemma 2.18 yields that Y is a degree- k extension of F_{k-1} by $Z_k = Z_k(X)$. By Theorem 4.1, this extension splits. It follows that there is an isomorphism of LCH nilspaces $\iota : Y \rightarrow F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$. Let Z'_k be the covering group of Z_k involved in Theorem 3.11, with corresponding covering homomorphism $\pi : Z'_k \rightarrow Z_k$. In particular $Z'_k = \mathbb{R}^c \times \mathbb{Z}^b$ for some integers $c, b \geq 0$, and there is a lattice $\Gamma_k = \ker(\pi) \leq Z'_k$ such that $Z'_k/\Gamma_k \cong Z_k$. We can now define the free nilspace F in the theorem's conclusion:

$$F := F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z'_k). \quad (20)$$

We define also the nilspace fibration $\phi : F \rightarrow F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$, $(f, z') \mapsto (f, \pi(z'))$.

Next, we observe that every translation $h \in H^\dagger \cap \Theta_i(F_{k-1})$ (in particular every $h \in H_i$) yields a translation $\tilde{h} \in \Theta_i(Y)$ defined by $\tilde{h}(f, x) = (h(f), x)$. Indeed, we have firstly that \tilde{h} is Y -valued, because since $\pi_H(f) = \pi_{k-1}(x)$ and h is \sim_H -vertical, we also have $\pi_H(h(f)) = \pi_{k-1}(x)$, so $(h(f), x) \in Y$. Secondly $\tilde{h} \in \Theta_i(Y)$, indeed for any n -cube $c_1 \times c_2$ on Y , and any i -codimensional face $E \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$, we have $h^E \cdot c_1 \in C^n(F)$ and $\pi_H \circ (h^E \cdot c_1) = \pi_H \circ c_1 = \pi_{k-1} \circ c_2$, so $(h^E \cdot c_1) \times c_2 \in C^n(Y)$. Recalling the notation from [10, Lemma 1.5] we let $\hat{\iota} : \Theta(Y) \rightarrow \Theta(F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$ be the group isomorphism (in particular filtered homomorphism) induced by the nilspace isomorphism ι . It is then checked straightforwardly that the map $\psi : H \rightarrow \Theta(F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$, $h \mapsto \hat{\iota}(\tilde{h})$ is an injective filtered homomorphism mapping H_\bullet onto a fiber-transitive filtration on $F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$, whose corresponding quotient is isomorphic to X .

Our aim now is to lift the filtration $\psi(H_\bullet)$ through ϕ to a fiber-transitive filtration on F satisfying the theorem's conclusion. We shall do this by lifting the generators $\psi(h_i)$. By Theorem 3.13, for every $\alpha \in \Theta_j(F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$ there exists a lift α' of α through ϕ , i.e. a (possibly non-unique) $\alpha' \in \Theta_j(F)$ such that $\phi \circ \alpha' = \alpha \circ \phi$. For each $i \in [n]$, we thus choose some lift $h'_i \in \Theta(F)$ of $\psi(h_i)$ through ϕ , and we do so using the filtered-homomorphism property of ψ in such a way that, if j is the greatest index in $[k]$ such that $h_i \in H_j$, then $h'_i \in \Theta_j(F)$ (this will be used below to establish the fiber-transitivity property for the group generated by these lifts).

The lattice $\Gamma_k = \ker(\pi)$ is finitely generated, so let $z_1, \dots, z_t \in Z'_k$ form a generating set for Γ_k . Then for every $s \in [t]$ let $\gamma_s \in \Theta_k(F)$ be the map $\gamma_s(f, a') := (f, a' + z_s)$ (recall that $(f, a') \in F = F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z'_k)$).

We claim that the filtered group $(H' := \langle h'_1, \dots, h'_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \rangle, H'_\bullet := (H' \cap \Theta_i(F))_{i \geq 0})$, together with the map $\varphi := p_2 \circ \iota^{-1} \circ \phi : F \rightarrow X$, satisfy the conclusion of the theorem.

To prove this, we first note that the map $\phi : F \rightarrow F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k)$ is $\Theta(F)$ -consistent in the sense of [10, Definition 1.2], i.e. for every $\alpha \in \Theta(F)$, if $\phi((f, z)) = \phi((f', z'))$ then $\phi(\alpha(f, z)) = \phi(\alpha(f', z'))$. Indeed $\phi((f, z)) = \phi((f', z'))$ implies that $f' = f$ and that $\pi(z) = \pi(z')$ (i.e. z, z' are equal modulo Γ_k); the equality $f' = f$ implies (using the description (8) and the fact that the factor map $\pi_{k-1, F} : F \rightarrow F_{k-1}$ is simply the projection $(f, a') \mapsto f$) that $\alpha(f, z), \alpha(f', z')$ are equal modulo $\pi_{k-1, F}$ and that their k -th step components are respectively $z + t, z' + t$ for some $t \in \Gamma_k$ depending on α and $f = f'$. Hence $\phi(\alpha(f', z')), \phi(\alpha(f, z))$ have equal π_{k-1} projection and k -th component respectively $\pi(z' + t), \pi(z + t)$, also equal, so $\phi(\alpha(f, z)) = \phi(\alpha(f', z'))$ as claimed. Thus by [10, Lemma 1.5] we have a well-defined filtered homomorphism $\hat{\phi} : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z_k))$, $\alpha \mapsto ((f, \pi(z)) \mapsto \phi(\alpha(f, z)))$.

Now a simple computation shows that $\pi_{k-1, F}$ equals the map $p_1 \circ \iota^{-1} \circ \phi$. Let $\eta_{k-1} : \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F_{k-1})$ be the corresponding homomorphism as defined in (9). We then have the following fact, which we will use to obtain part of the fiber-transitive property by induction below, as well as the required topological properties thereafter:

$$\eta_{k-1}(H'_j) = H_j \text{ for every } j \in [k-1]. \quad (21)$$

To see this note that by construction $\eta_{k-1}(H'_j)$ contains all the generators of H_j , so $\eta_{k-1}(H'_j) \supset H_j$, and since clearly $\eta_{k-1}(\gamma_i) = \text{id}$ for all $i \in [t]$ (as $\gamma_i \in \Theta_k(F)$), we deduce (21).

In addition to (21), we also need the following description of H'_k (where $\Gamma_k = \ker(\pi)$ is identified with the subgroup of $\Theta(F)$ consisting of translations $f \mapsto f + z$ for $z \in \Gamma_k$):

$$H'_k := H' \cap \Theta_k(F) = \Gamma_k. \quad (22)$$

The inclusion $\Gamma_k \subset H' \cap \Theta_k(F)$ is clear since the elements γ_s generating Γ_k are all in $\Theta_k(F) \cap H'$ by construction, so we prove the opposite inclusion. Every element $\alpha \in \Theta_k(F)$ is of the form $(f, a') \mapsto (f, a' + z)$ for some $z \in Z'_k$, so we have to prove that if $\alpha = h' \in H'$ then $z \in \Gamma_k$. As an element of $\Theta(F_{k-1})$, the translation $\eta_{k-1}(h')$ acts on $Y = F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X$ via the first component, so we have on one hand that $\widehat{\iota}^{-1}(\widehat{\phi}(h'))$ maps every $(f, x) \in F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} X$ to $(\eta_{k-1}(h')f, x)$. On the other hand, since $h' : (f, a') \mapsto (f, a' + z)$, we see by developing the definitions that the map $\widehat{\iota}^{-1}(\widehat{\phi}(h'))$ must take every $(f, x) \in Y$ to $(f, x + \pi(z))$. Thus for all $(f, x) \in Y$ we have $(\eta_{k-1}(h')f, x) = (f, x + \pi(z))$. Composing with p_2 we conclude that $z \in \ker(\pi) = \Gamma_k$, yielding the required inclusion.

Now let us prove that the filtration H'_\bullet is fiber-transitive on F . First let us see that if $\pi_{k-1}(f_1, a'_1) = \pi_{k-1}(f_2, a'_2)$ and $\alpha(f_1, a'_1) = (f_2, a'_2)$ for some $\alpha \in H$ then we can find $z \in \Gamma_k = H' \cap \Theta_k(F)$ such that $(f_1, a'_1) + z = (f_2, a'_2)$. Recall that $\pi_{k-1} : F \rightarrow F_{k-1}$ is the map $(f, a') \mapsto p_1(\iota^{-1}(\phi(f, a'))) = f$. Thus $f_1 = f_2$ and there exists $z \in Z'_k$ such that $a'_1 + z = a'_2$. But also, as $\alpha = \alpha_1^{d_1} \cdots \alpha_\ell^{d_\ell}$ we have that $\iota^{-1}(\phi(f_2, a'_2)) = \iota^{-1}(\phi(\alpha(f_1, a'_1))) = (\beta_1^{d_1} \cdots \beta_\ell^{d_\ell}(f_1), x(f_1, a'_1))$ where β_u are again either some h_i or the identity and $x(f_1, a'_1) \in X$ is such that $\iota^{-1}(\phi(f_1, a'_1)) = (f_1, x(f_1, a'_1))$. As computed before, we have $\beta_1^{d_1} \cdots \beta_\ell^{d_\ell}(f_1) = f_1$. Composing with ι in the equality $\iota^{-1}(\phi(f_2, a'_2)) = (f_1, x(f_1, a'_1))$ we have that $(f_2, a'_2 \bmod \Gamma_k) = (f_1, a'_1 \bmod \Gamma_k)$. So in particular $z \in \Gamma_k$ and we have found that $(f_1, a'_1) + z = (f_2, a'_2)$ for some $z \in \Gamma_k = H' \cap \Theta_k(F)$.

Now, let $\alpha(f_1, a'_1) = (f_2, a'_2)$ and $\pi_j(f_1, a'_1) = \pi_j(f_2, a'_2)$ for $j < k - 1$. In particular, $\pi_j(f_1) = \pi_j(f_2)$ and $h(f_1) = f_2$ for some $h \in H$ (here, abusing the notation we indicate by π_j the projection to the j -th factor, either from F or from F_{k-1}). By hypothesis on H there exists an element $h^* \in H_{j+1}$ such that $h^*(f_1) = f_2$. By definition, there exists then some $\tau \in H'_{j+1}$ such that $\pi_{k-1}(\tau(f_1, a_1)) = h^*(f_1)$ (just write h^* in terms of the fixed generators h_i and lift these one by one, using the above-mentioned guarantee that if $h_i \in H_{j+1}$, then $h'_i \in H'_{j+1}$). Then, we have that $\pi_{k-1}(\tau(f_1, a_1)) = \pi_{k-1}(f_2, a'_2)$ and clearly they differ in an element of H' . By the previous paragraph we have that there exists $\gamma \in \Gamma_k$ such that $\gamma(\tau(f_1, a'_1)) = (f_2, a'_2)$, which concludes the proof.

For the topological aspects, note that the map $\pi_{H'}(f, a') \mapsto \varphi(f, a') = p_2(\iota^{-1}(\phi(f, a')))$ factors through π_H , and as φ is continuous and π_H is an open quotient map, the map $\overline{\varphi} \circ \pi_H := \varphi$ is continuous. By (21), (22), and induction on the step it follows that H' is also fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact. By Corollary 5.37 we know that $\pi_{H'}(F)$ is a compact nilspace. A simple computation yields that indeed $\overline{\varphi}$ is bijective. Hence, being the bijection between compact Hausdorff spaces it is a homeomorphism. Both $\overline{\varphi}$ and $\overline{\varphi}^{-1}$ can be checked to be morphisms which concludes the proof. \square

We close this section with the following corollary.

Corollary 6.4. *In the case $k = 2$ of Theorem 6.2, the filtration H_\bullet is free fiber-transitive.*

Proof. Using the same notation as for Theorem 6.2, by construction we can take $F = \mathcal{D}_1(Z'_1) \times \mathcal{D}_2(Z'_2)$ and take $H' = \langle h'_1, \dots, h'_n, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_t \rangle$ where h'_1, \dots, h'_n are lifts of the translations that freely generate the lattice $\eta_1(H') \subset Z'_1$. Using that H'_\bullet is of degree 2 and that the elements γ_i generate H'_2 , we deduce that every element of H' can be written as $h_1^{a_1} \cdots h_n^{a_n} \gamma_1^{b_1} \cdots \gamma_t^{b_t}$ for integers $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in [n]$ and $j \in [t]$. By Theorem 3.15 any translation on F has the form $(x, y) \in Z'_1 \times Z'_2 \mapsto (x + c, y + d + T(x))$ where $T : \mathcal{D}_1(Z'_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1(Z'_2)$ is a

morphism, $c \in Z'_1$ and $d \in Z'_2$. Moreover, the elements γ_j only add a fixed constant to Z'_2 . Now we check that the condition for free-transitivity given in Lemma 5.44 holds. Given any $\alpha = h'_1{}^{a_1} \cdots h'_n{}^{a_n} \gamma_1^{b_1} \cdots \gamma_t^{b_t} \in H'$, viewed as the map $\alpha(x, y) = (x + c, y + d + T(x))$, there are two cases. Firstly, if $c \neq 0$ then the condition in Lemma 5.44 holds with $i_\alpha = 1$. If $c = 0$ then clearly $a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$, as $\eta_1(h'_1), \dots, \eta_n(h'_n)$ freely generate the lattice $\eta_1(H')$. In this case, the condition in Lemma 5.44 holds with $i_\alpha = 2$. \square

Remark 6.5. Corollary 6.4 and Proposition 5.46 together imply that any 2-step CFR nilspace can be embedded into a coset nilspace corresponding to a 2-step connected nilmanifold. This will enable us to recover and extend a recent inverse theorem of Jamneshan and Tao [34] for the Gowers U^3 -norm on finite abelian groups; see Theorem 7.16. Note that a version of the above embedding result was proved for finite 2-step nilspaces by Host and Kra in [30, Theorem 3].

Remark 6.6. From Theorem 6.2 it can be proved that k -step Lie-fibered nilspaces are in fact (real) C^∞ manifolds. Also, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $C^n(X)$ is a C^∞ manifold. And if $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous morphism between k -step Lie-fibered nilspaces, it can also be proved that φ is automatically C^∞ . As we do not need these results for the sequel, we omit their proofs.

7. DOUBLE-COSET NILSPACES

Given a filtered group (G, G_\bullet) and two subgroups K, Γ of G , the *double-coset space* $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is the set of double cosets $Kg\Gamma = \{kg\gamma : k \in K, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$, $g \in G$. The following is a natural generalization of the notion of coset nilspace for double-coset spaces.

Definition 7.1. Let (G, G_\bullet) be a filtered group let K, Γ be subgroups of G . The associated *double-coset nilspace* is the double-coset space $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ together with the cubes of the form $Kc\Gamma : \llbracket n \rrbracket \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$, $v \mapsto Kc(v)\Gamma$ (for $c \in C^n(G_\bullet)$).

Recall from Remark 5.9 the basic example of groupable congruences constituted by coset nilspaces. Given a filtered group (G, G_\bullet) and two subgroups K, Γ of G , we can define an equivalence relation on G as follows: we say that $g_1, g_2 \in G$ are equivalent if the double cosets $Kg_1\Gamma, Kg_2\Gamma$ are equal. Naturally we may wonder when this defines a nilspace congruence (as per Definition 5.1), and when the quotient map $G \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is a fibration (from the group nilspace associated with G_\bullet to the double-coset nilspace $K \backslash G / \Gamma$).

Definition 7.2 (Nilpair). Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered group, let X be the associated group nilspace, and let K, Γ be subgroups of G . We say that (K, Γ) is a *nilpair* in (G, G_\bullet) if the equivalence relation on G defined by $g_1 \sim g_2 \iff Kg_1\Gamma = Kg_2\Gamma$ is a nilspace congruence on X and the quotient map $G \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is a nilspace fibration.

To see if (K, Γ) is a nilpair in G , a natural approach is to start by constructing the right-coset nilspace $K \backslash G$, and then study the equivalence induced on this coset space by right-multiplication by elements of Γ , noting that this yields precisely the equivalence relation on G that we are interested in. In terms of nilspace structures, multiplying on the right by elements of Γ induces a homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \Theta(K \backslash G)$. By Lemma 5.7, if this action of Γ defines a groupable congruence on $K \backslash G$ then (K, Γ) is a nilpair. Let us record this result equivalently in terms of fiber-transitive filtrations (Definition 5.8) with the following lemma, which tells us exactly when the filtration $\Gamma_\bullet := (\Gamma \cap G_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is fiber-transitive on the coset nilspace $K \backslash G$.

Lemma 7.3. Let (G, G_\bullet) be a filtered group of finite degree k . Let K, Γ be subgroups of G . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The filtration $\Gamma_\bullet := (\Gamma \cap G_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is fiber-transitive on the coset nilspace $K \backslash G$.
- (ii) For any $x \in G$ and all $i \geq 0$ we have $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i) = Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$.

Proof. Let us recall a useful fact about coset nilspaces. If (G, G_\bullet) is of degree k and K is a subgroup of G , the $(i - 1)$ -step nilspace factor of $K \backslash G$ is isomorphic to the coset nilspace associated with the filtered group $(G/G_i, (G_j/G_i)_{j \geq 0})$ and the subgroup $(KG_i)/G_i$.

Assume now that (ii) holds. Suppose that for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $x, y \in G$ we have $Kx\gamma = Ky$ and $\pi_{i-1}(Kx) = \pi_{i-1}(Ky)$. The last equality means that

$$(KG_i/G_i)(xG_i) = (KG_i/G_i)(yG_i).$$

Hence, for some $s \in K$ we have $(sG_i)(xG_i) = yG_i$. As G_i is normal, this means that $sxG_i = yG_i$, so there exists $g \in G_i$ such that $xsg = y$. Since also $Kx\gamma = Ky$, for some $s' \in K$ we have $s'x\gamma = y$. Hence $s'x\gamma = xsg$. By (ii) there exists some $t \in (\Gamma \cap G_i)$ such that $Ky = Kxh = Kxt$ and (i) follows.

The converse is similar. Assuming (i), let us just prove the inclusion $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i) \subset Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$ (the opposite inclusion is clear). Let $k, k' \in K$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $g \in G_i$ and $x \in G$ be such that $kx\gamma = k'xg$. Then $Kx\gamma = Kxg$ and $\pi_{i-1}(Kx) = \pi_{i-1}(Kxg)$, so by (i) there exists $t \in \Gamma \cap G_i$ such that $Kxt = Kxg$. Thus for some $k'' \in K$ we have $k''xt = k'xg$ and therefore $k'xg \in Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$, as required. \square

An analogous result holds if we start by taking the *left*-coset nilspace G/Γ and we let K act on G/Γ by left multiplication. Hence, it is natural to ask whether the order in which we do the two quotienting operations matters, i.e., whether if K_\bullet is a fiber-transitive filtration on G/Γ then Γ_\bullet is a fiber-transitive filtration on $K \backslash G$. This is confirmed by the next result.

Lemma 7.4. *Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered group and let $\Gamma, K \subset G$ be subgroups. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *For all $x \in G$ and all $i \geq 0$ we have $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (G_ix\Gamma) = (K \cap G_i)x\Gamma$.*
- (ii) *For all $x \in G$ and all $i \geq 0$ we have $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i) = Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$.*

Proof. We prove the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii), the converse is proved similarly. Assuming that (i) holds, it then suffices to prove for every $i \geq 0$ and $x \in G$ the inclusion $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i) \subset Kx(\Gamma \cap G_i)$ (the opposite inclusion is clear). We argue by induction on the degree k . For $k = 1$ all groups are abelian and the result is clear. For $i > k$ the result is trivial.

First we prove the required inclusion for $i = k$. Suppose that $gxs = g'xh$ is an element of $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_k)$ for some $g, g' \in K$, $x \in G$, $s \in \Gamma$ and $h \in G_k$. Then, as the elements of G_k commute with every other element of G we have that $g'^{-1}gxs = hx$. Applying (i) we get that this equals txs' for some $t \in K \cap G_k$ and $s' \in \Gamma$. Again, as the elements of G_k commute with everything, we get that $ht^{-1} = s' \in \Gamma$ and by definition $ht^{-1} \in G_k$ as well. Hence $Kx(\Gamma \cap G_k) \supset Kxht^{-1} = Kxh$ (again using that $t \in G_k$ commutes with everything).

Now we prove the inclusion of any $i < k$ by induction on k . Let $gxs = g'xh$ be an element of $(Kx\Gamma) \cap (KxG_i)$. Passing to the quotient G/G_k , note that on the filtered group $(G/G_k, (G_i/G_k)_{i \geq 0})$ we have the action of KG_k/G_k on the left and of $\Gamma G_k/G_k$ on the right. Hence, by induction on k we know that there exists $g'' \in K$ and $t \in G_i \cap (\Gamma G_k)$ such that $gxsG_k = g'xhG_k = g''xtG_k$. We can write $t = s_t g_t$ for some elements $s_t \in \Gamma$ and $g_t \in G_k$. Thus, for some $z \in G_k$ we have that $gxss_t^{-1} = g''xg_t z$. But $ss_t^{-1} \in \Gamma$ and $g_t z \in G_k$ so we can apply the case $i = k$ proved previously to conclude that for some $r \in \Gamma \cap G_k$ and $g''' \in K$ we have $gxss_t^{-1} = g''xg_t z = g'''xr$. Hence $gxs = g'''xrs_t$, where $rs_t \in \Gamma$ and $rs_t = rs_t g_t g_t^{-1} = rtg_t^{-1} \in G_i$ as $r, g_t^{-1} \in G_k$ and $t \in G_i$, so $rs_t \in \Gamma \cap G_i$ as required. \square

Thus, the left-action of K on G/Γ induces a groupable congruence if and only if the right-action of Γ on $K \backslash G$ yields a groupable congruence. This justifies the following notion.

Definition 7.5 (Groupable nilpair). Let (G, G_\bullet) be a filtered nilpotent group of degree k and let K, Γ be subgroups of G . We say that (K, Γ) is a *groupable nilpair* in (G, G_\bullet) if any of the conditions in Lemma 7.4 hold. We then denote the associated double-coset nilspace as $K \backslash G / \Gamma$.

Thus, by Lemmas 7.3 and 5.13, if (K, Γ) is a groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) then $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is indeed a double-coset nilspace as per Definition 7.1, and the quotient map $G \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is a fibration (to see this, note that we can write it as a composition $G \rightarrow G / \Gamma \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ where both maps are fibrations by Lemma 5.13). We now proceed towards the main result of this paper (Theorem 7.14 below), showing that every k -step CFR nilspace X is obtained this way, that is, that X is a double-coset nilspace $G \rightarrow K \backslash G / \Gamma$ for some degree- k filtered Lie group (G, G_\bullet) and a groupable nilpair (K, Γ) in G . This will require some topological preliminaries concerning double-coset nilspaces.

7.1. Topological aspects of double-coset nilspaces.

Suppose that (G, G_\bullet) is a degree- k filtered Lie group and that (K, Γ) is a groupable nilpair in G . For our main result in the next subsection (Theorem 7.14), we will need the double-coset space $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ to be not only a nilspace *algebraically*, but also a *Lie-fibered* nilspace, which involves important topological requirements as per Definition 2.19. The following definition provides a *sufficient* condition for this to hold, as we will prove in Theorem 7.7. The definition is arrived at by straightforwardly considering the property from Definition 5.22 in the case of $H = \Gamma$ acting on a coset nilspace $X = K \backslash G$.

Definition 7.6 (Right-closed groupable nilpair). Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered Lie group such that for every $i \in [k]$ the subgroup G_i is closed, and let (K, Γ) be a groupable nilpair in G . We say that (K, Γ) is a *right-closed* nilpair if for all $i \in [k]$, KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} is a closed subgroup of G/G_{i+1} and the filtration $(\Gamma_j := \Gamma \cap G_j)_{j \in [k]}$ is closed as a fiber-transitive filtration (acting by right multiplication) on the coset nilspace $K \backslash G$.

Theorem 7.7. *Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered Lie group such that for every $i \in [k]$ the subgroup G_i is closed, and let (K, Γ) be a groupable right-closed nilpair. Then $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace, and for each $i \in [k]$ the i -th structure group of $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is $G_i / (K_i \Gamma_i G_{i+1})$.*

Remark 7.8. The normality of each G_i in G implies that KG_i is a subgroup of G . Similarly $K_i G_{i+1}$ is a subgroup of G_i ($\forall k_1, k_2 \in K_i, g_1, g_2 \in G_{i+1}$ we have $k_1 g_1 k_2 g_2 = k_1 k_2 (k_2^{-1} g_1 k_2) g_2 \in K_i G_{i+1}$). Moreover $K_i G_{i+1}$ is a normal subgroup of G_i , indeed for any $g \in G_{i+1}, h \in G_i, k \in K_i$, we have $h k g h^{-1} = k h [h, k] g h^{-1}$, and as $[h, k] g \in G_{i+1}$ (which is normal in G_i), we have $h([h, k] g) h^{-1} \in G_{i+1}$, so $h k g h^{-1} \in K_i G_{i+1}$ as required. It is seen similarly that $K_i \Gamma_i G_{i+1}$ is a subgroup of G . Moreover $G_i / (K_i G_{i+1})$ is an abelian group (as a quotient of G_i / G_{i+1}).

To prove Theorem 7.7 we use the following basic fact.

Lemma 7.9. *Let X be a group nilspace associated with a filtered Lie group of finite degree (G, G_\bullet) . Let $\tau : G \rightarrow \Theta(X)$ be the injective homomorphism $g \mapsto \tau_g$ where $\tau_g(s) := gs$. Then for any closed subgroup H of G , we have that $\tau(H)$ is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(X)$.*

A similar version holds with $\tau_g(s) := sg^{-1}$.

Proof. Let $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in H such that $(\tau_{g_n})_n$ is Cauchy in $\Theta(X)$. Then for any compact set $C \subset G$ we have $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in C} d_G(\tau_{g_n} x, \tau_{g_m} x) = 0$ (where d_G is any compatible metric on G). The special case of this for $C = \{\text{id}_G\}$ implies that $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_G(g_n, g_m) = 0$, so $(g_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy in G . Thus (g_n) has a limit $g \in H$ and a simple argument then shows that $\tau_{g_n} \rightarrow \tau_g$, so $\tau(H)$ is complete and therefore closed. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.7. That $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is algebraically a (double-coset) nilspace follows from the assumption that (K, Γ) is a groupable nilpair.

We claim that the fiber-transitive filtration $(K_i := K \cap G_i)_{i \geq 0}$ is closed on G . Indeed, by Lemma 7.9, for each i the group KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} acting by left-multiplication on G/G_{i+1} is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(G/G_{i+1})$ (since KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} is closed in G/G_{i+1}). Moreover, using the identity $\eta_i(K_j) = (K \cap G_j)G_{i+1}/G_{i+1} = (KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1}) \cap (G_jG_{i+1}/G_{i+1})$, we have that for all $i \in [k]$, the closure of KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} implies that for all $i, j \in [k]$ the subgroup $\eta_i(K \cap G_j)$ is closed. This proves our claim.

Applying Theorem 5.35 to the group nilspace (G, G_\bullet) and the closed fiber-transitive filtration $(K_i)_{i \geq 0}$ we get that $K \backslash G$ is a k -step Lie-fibered nilspace. Applying Theorem 5.35 again but now to the action of Γ by right multiplication on $K \backslash G$ (noting that the assumptions imply that this defines a closed groupable congruence), the result follows. \square

In Definition 7.6 we specify *right*-closed to emphasize that the order of the quotienting operations matters: the first quotienting is $G \rightarrow K \backslash G$, and on the resulting Lie-fibered coset nilspace $K \backslash G$, we require the *right*-action of Γ to induce a groupable congruence which is *closed* as per Definition 5.22. The relevance of the order of quotienting is seen with simple examples.

Example 7.10. Let $G = \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R})$ and consider the subgroups $K := \mathbb{R}$ and $\Gamma := \mathbb{Q}$. It is trivial that (K, Γ) is a right-closed nilpair, since the quotienting by K yields a 1-point nilspace. However (K, Γ) is not “left-closed” (in the obvious sense) since $\Gamma = \mathbb{Q}$ is not closed in \mathbb{R} .

Example 7.11. Let $G := \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R})$, $K := \mathbb{Z}$ and $\Gamma := \sqrt{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Clearly the latter two subgroups are closed (even discrete), but $\Gamma + K$ is dense (and not closed) in G . Thus the double-coset space $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ is not even a Hausdorff space. This does not satisfy Definition 7.6 and indeed with that definition we avoid such examples.

While Theorem 7.7 shows that the property in Definition 7.6 suffices for the double-coset space to be a Lie-fibered nilspace, in our main proof in the next subsection we shall be able to guarantee a stronger condition, namely Definition 1.10, which we recall here.

Definition 7.12. Let (G, G_\bullet) be a degree- k filtered Lie group such that for each $i \in [k]$ the subgroup G_i is closed in G . Let (K, Γ) be a groupable nilpair in G . For each $i \in [k]$ let $K_i = K \cap G_i$, $\Gamma_i = \Gamma \cap G_i$. We say the nilpair (K, Γ) is *closed right-discrete* if for every $i \in [k]$ the group $(KG_{i+1})/G_{i+1}$ is a closed subgroup of G/G_{i+1} and $K_i\Gamma_iG_{i+1}/(K_iG_{i+1})$ is a discrete subgroup of $G_i/(K_iG_{i+1})$. We say the nilpair (K, Γ) is *fiberwise cocompact* if for every $i \in [k]$, the group $K_i\Gamma_iG_{i+1}/(K_iG_{i+1})$ is a cocompact subgroup of $G_i/(K_iG_{i+1})$.

Remark 7.13. By Lemma 5.24 any closed right-discrete nilpair is in particular right-closed.

7.2. The double-coset representation theorem.

We are now ready to prove one of the main results in this paper, Theorem 1.12, which we recall here for convenience.

Theorem 7.14. *Let X be a k -step CFR nilspace. Then there exists a degree- k filtered Lie group (G, G_\bullet) and two closed subgroups $K, \Gamma \subset G$ such that (K, Γ) is a closed right-discrete groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) , and X and $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ are isomorphic CFR nilspaces.*

Before proving Theorem 7.14, it is convenient to prove the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 7.15. *Let F be a free nilspace, let $G = \Theta(F)$ and fix any point $f_0 \in F$. Let $K = \text{Stab}_G(f_0) := \{g \in G : g(f_0) = f_0\}$. Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) *The right-coset nilspace $K \backslash G$ is a Lie-fibered nilspace.*
- (ii) *The map $\psi : K \backslash G \rightarrow F$, $Kg \mapsto g^{-1}(f_0)$ is an isomorphism of LCH nilspaces.*

(iii) *The map ψ is equivariant relative to the action of G on F by translations and the action of G on $K \backslash G$ defined by $(Kg, h) \mapsto Kgh^{-1}$ for any $g, h \in G$.*

In other words, property (iii) states that for any $g, h \in G$ we have $\psi(Kgh^{-1}) = h(\psi(Kg))$.

Proof. First let us prove (i). By Theorem 5.35 it suffices to show that the fiber-transitive filtration induced by K on the group nilspace associated with (G, G_\bullet) is closed. Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 7.7, the only non-trivial thing to check is that for all $i \in [k]$, the subgroup KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} of G/G_{i+1} is closed. Consider the continuous homomorphism $\eta_i : G = \Theta(F) \rightarrow \Theta(F_i)$ (Lemma 3.18). We claim that $\eta_i^{-1}(\text{Stab}_{\Theta(F_i)}(\pi_i(f_0))) = KG_{i+1}$. The latter group is clearly included in the former. To prove the other inclusion, note that for any $g \in \eta_i^{-1}(\text{Stab}_{\Theta(F_i)}(\pi_i(f_0)))$ we have $\pi_i(g(f_0)) = \pi_i(f_0)$ and thus, since $f_0, g(f_0)$ are elements of the free nilspace F (which is an abelian group nilspace in particular) we have that $f_0 - g(f_0)$ is an element of the $(i + 1)$ -th term in the filtration of $F = \prod_{j=1}^k \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j})$, that is, we have $f_0 - g(f_0) \in \prod_{j=1}^i \{0\} \times \prod_{j=i+1}^k \mathcal{D}_j(\mathbb{Z}^{a_j} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_j})$. In particular, by Theorem 3.15 the map $h : F \rightarrow F, f \mapsto f + f_0 - g(f_0)$ is in $\Theta_{i+1}(F) = G_{i+1}$. Hence $hg \in K$ (as $hg(f_0) = g(f_0) + f_0 - g(f_0) = f_0$) and thus $g = h^{-1}hg \in KG_{i+1}$. Since $\text{Stab}_{\Theta(F_i)}(\pi_i(f_0))$ is clearly closed, so is KG_{i+1} .

Next let us prove (ii). We claim that the map $\psi : K \backslash G \rightarrow F, Kg \mapsto g^{-1}(f_0)$ is a nilspace isomorphism. First note that ψ is well-defined, since $Kg = Kg'$ means that there exists $k \in K$ such that $kg = g'$, and then $g'^{-1}(f_0) = (kg)^{-1}(f_0) = g^{-1}k^{-1}(f_0) = g^{-1}(f_0)$ by definition of K . The map ψ is injective, since $g^{-1}(f_0) = g'^{-1}(f_0)$ implies $gg'^{-1} \in K$. Moreover ψ is surjective, since for every $f \in F$ the map $g_f : F \rightarrow F, x \mapsto x - f$ (using addition on the abelian group F) is a translation in G and $\psi(Kg_{f_0-f}) = g_{f_0-f}^{-1}(f_0) = f$. Next let us prove that both ψ and ψ^{-1} are nilspace morphisms. Any element of $C^n(K \backslash G)$ is of the form Kc for some $c \in C^n(G)$. Thus $\psi \circ (Kc) = c^{-1} \circ f_0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ where $f_0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \in C^n(F)$ is the constant cube equal to f_0 . As $C^n(G)$ is a group we have that $c^{-1} \in C^n(G)$ and hence $c^{-1} \circ f_0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \in C^n(F)$. To prove that ψ^{-1} is a morphism, note that given any cube in $C^n(F)$ we can write it as $c^* \circ f_0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ for some $c^* \in C^n(G)$ where c^* is just a product of maps of the form $g_f : F \rightarrow F$ defined as $x \mapsto x - f$.¹⁶ Therefore, $\psi^{-1} \circ (c^* \circ f_0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}) = K(c^*)^{-1} \in C^n(K \backslash G)$ and the result follows. This shows that $K \backslash G$ and F are isomorphic algebraically as nilspaces. Finally, note that the map ψ is continuous. Indeed, if $Kg_n \rightarrow Kg$ in $K \backslash G$ then (using that the quotient map $G \rightarrow K \backslash G$ is open) there exists $k_n \in K$ such that $k_n g_n \rightarrow g$ in G . Thus $g_n^{-1}(f_0) = (k_n g_n)^{-1}(f_0) \rightarrow g^{-1}(f_0)$, so ψ is indeed continuous. The map ψ^{-1} can be defined as $f \mapsto Kg_{f_0-f}$, which is clearly continuous as well. This completes the proof of (ii).

Property (iii) follows from the definitions: for any $h, g \in G$ we have $\psi(Kgh^{-1}) = hg^{-1}(f_0) = h(\psi(Kg))$. \square

Proof of Theorem 7.14. By Theorem 6.2 there is a free nilspace F and a fiber-transitive group $\Gamma \subset \Theta(F)$ which is fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact, such that $\pi_\Gamma(F) \cong X$. Let $G = \Theta(F)$, which is a Lie group by Corollary 3.21, and let G_\bullet be the filtration $(G_i := \Theta_i(F))_{i \geq 0}$. Let Γ_\bullet be the filtration $(\Gamma_i := \Gamma \cap G_i)_{i \geq 0}$. Fix any point $f_0 \in F$ and let $K := \text{Stab}_G(f_0) = \{g \in G : g(f_0) = f_0\}$, a closed subgroup of G . Similarly, for each $i \geq 0$ let $K_i := K \cap G_i$. By Lemma 7.15 we already know that $\psi : K \backslash G \rightarrow F, Kg \mapsto g^{-1}(f_0)$ is an equivariant

¹⁶If $f \in \prod_{i=1}^j \{0\} \times \prod_{i=j+1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}) \subset \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ then it is easy to check that $g_f \in \Theta_{j+1}(F)$.

By definition, letting $0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \in C^n(F)$ be the constant 0 function, any element of $C^n(F)$ is of the form $(\prod_{d=1}^\ell g_{f_d}^{C_d}) \circ 0^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ where for any $d \in [\ell]$, $C_d \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$ is a face of $\llbracket n \rrbracket$ and $f_d \in \prod_{i=1}^{\text{codim}(C_d)-1} \{0\} \times \prod_{i=\text{codim}(C_d)}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ and $g_{f_d}^{C_d}$ means that we apply the function g_{f_d} only of the face C_d .

nilspace isomorphism. In particular, it induces an isomorphism $\widehat{\psi} : \Theta(K \backslash G) \rightarrow G$ between the translation groups of $K \backslash G$ and F .

We now claim that (K, Γ) is a closed right-discrete groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) . Via the equivariance of ψ , the action of Γ on F by translations corresponds to the right-action of Γ on $K \backslash G$ defined by $(Kg, \gamma) \mapsto Kg\gamma^{-1}$. As Γ is a fiber-transitive group on $K \backslash G$ (by right-multiplication), by Lemma 7.3 we have that (K, Γ) is a groupable nilpair. To complete the proof of our claim, we now show that the groupable nilpair (K, Γ) is closed right-discrete. To prove this, we note that the proof of Lemma 7.15 already gives us that for every $i \in [k]$ the subgroup KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1} of G/G_{i+1} is closed. Thus it only remains to prove that for every $i \in [k]$ the subgroup $K_i\Gamma_i G_{i+1}/(K_i G_{i+1})$ of $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$ is discrete. To prove this we shall use again that ψ is equivariant. Indeed, note that the i -th structure group of the i -step nilspace factor of $K \backslash G$ is isomorphic as a topological group to $(G_i/G_{i+1}) / ((KG_{i+1}/G_{i+1}) \cap (G_i/G_{i+1}))$ by (the proof of) Theorem 5.35. This latter group in turn equals $(G_i/G_{i+1}) / (K_i G_{i+1}/G_{i+1})$. Finally, using the third isomorphism theorem for topological groups we conclude that the i -th structure group of the i -step factor of $K \backslash G$ is isomorphic to $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$. Using the equivariance of ψ (which implies straightforwardly that the maps $\psi_i : (K \backslash G)_i \rightarrow F_i$ between factors are similarly equivariant) we see that the action of $\eta_i(\widehat{\psi}^{-1}(\Gamma_i))$ on the i -step factor of $K \backslash G$ is given by addition of the group $\Gamma_i K_i G_{i+1}/(K_i G_{i+1})$ (which is a subgroup of $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$, the i -th structure group of the i -step factor of $K \backslash G$). Therefore, if $\eta_i(\Gamma_i)$, seen as a subgroup of the i -th structure group of F_i , is discrete, then so is $\Gamma_i K_i G_{i+1}/(K_i G_{i+1})$ as a subgroup of $G_i/(K_i G_{i+1})$ (simply because ψ is an isomorphism of LCH nilspaces and hence it induces isomorphisms between all the structure groups of F and $K \backslash G$). This proves our claim.

Thus (K, Γ) is a closed right-discrete groupable nilpair in (G, G_\bullet) , so by Theorem 7.7 and Remark 7.13, $K \backslash G/\Gamma$ is a Lie-fibered nilspace. Moreover, the map ψ induces a nilspace isomorphism $\psi_\Gamma : K \backslash G/\Gamma \rightarrow \pi_\Gamma(F)$ defined as $\psi_\Gamma(Kg\Gamma) := \pi_H(\psi(Kg))$. Using the equivariance of ψ , it is straightforwardly checked that ψ_Γ is an isomorphism of LCH nilspaces. As $X \cong \pi_\Gamma(F)$ we conclude that $X \cong K \backslash G/\Gamma$. \square

7.3. Inverse theorems in terms of free nilspaces and double-coset nilspaces.

We now use the main results of the paper to obtain new versions of the inverse theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.17. The result follows by combining [13, Theorem 1.6] with Theorem 7.14 and noting that by the proof of Theorem 6.2, there is a deterministic way of obtaining one such representation of each CFR nilspace as a double coset nilspace. Thus, we can collect the countably many resulting triples (G, K, Γ) to obtain the family \mathcal{N} claimed in the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.19. This proof is very similar to the previous one, except that it uses Theorem 6.2 (instead of Theorem 7.14) to represent any k -step CFR nilspace as a quotient of a free nilspace by a fiber-transitive group action that is also fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact. \square

Note that similar arguments will yield new versions of regularity theorems for Gowers norms (such as [13, Theorem 1.5]) analogous to Theorems 1.17 and 1.19. The proofs are similar to the above except we would start from the regularity result [13, Theorem 1.5] instead of the inverse theorem [13, Theorem 1.6]. We omit the details.

7.4. On a result of Janneshan and Tao.

Conjecture 1.11 in [34] was confirmed in [34, Theorem 1.10] for the U^3 -norm. Here we give an alternative confirmation.

Theorem 7.16 (Inverse theorem for $U^3(\mathbb{Z})$ norm on finite abelian groups; Theorem 1.10 in [34]). *Let $\epsilon > 0$, let Z be a finite abelian group. Let $f : Z \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a 1-bounded function with*

$\|f\|_{U^3(\mathbb{Z})} \geq \epsilon$. Then there exists a degree-2 connected nilmanifold G/Γ drawn from some finite collection \mathcal{N}_ϵ of such manifolds (where each such nilmanifold is endowed with an arbitrary compatible metric), a $O_\epsilon(1)$ -Lipschitz function $F : G/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a nilspace morphism $\phi : \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow G/\Gamma$, such that $|\mathbb{E}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f(x) \overline{F(\phi(x))}| \gg_\epsilon 1$.

Proof. First we apply Theorem 6.2 to any 2-step CFR nilspace. Recall that in the case $k = 2$ of Theorem 6.2, on the resulting free nilspace F' , the fiber-transitive filtration K_\bullet that we obtain is also free, by Corollary 6.4. Hence, by Proposition 5.46 there is an injective morphism $\iota : \pi_K(F') \hookrightarrow H/\Gamma$ for some compact nilmanifold H/Γ . Indeed, by Remark 5.48, given any metric d on H/Γ we can choose an appropriate metric on $\pi_K(F')$ in such a way that ι is an isometry. Thus, without loss of generality we apply Theorem 1.19 with a metrization D such that each 2-step CFR nilspace is endowed with such an appropriate metric.¹⁷ Hence, the Lipschitz map $F : \pi_K(F') \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be interpreted as a map $\tilde{F} : \iota(\pi_K(F')) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\iota(x) \mapsto F(x)$. Moreover, as ι is an isometry, relative to the (restriction of the) metric d on the subset $\iota(\pi_K(F')) \subset H/\Gamma$ we have that \tilde{F} is also Lipschitz with the same Lipschitz constant as F .

We can extend \tilde{F} to the whole space H/Γ in such a way that its Lipschitz constant is at most twice as large as the Lipschitz constant of F . A standard way of doing so (essentially using Kirszbraun's theorem) consists in separating the real (\Re) and imaginary (\Im) parts and defining $\tilde{F}^* : H/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\tilde{F}^*(x) := \inf_{y \in \iota(\pi_K(F'))} (\Re(\tilde{F}(y)) + \text{Lip}(\Re(\tilde{F}))d(x, y)) + i \inf_{y \in \iota(\pi_K(F'))} (\Im(\tilde{F}(y)) + \text{Lip}(\Im(\tilde{F}))d(x, y))$$

where $\text{Lip}(\cdot)$ is the Lipschitz constant of a function. This function extends \tilde{F} to H/Γ and has a Lipschitz constant $\text{Lip}(\tilde{F}^*) \leq \sqrt{2} \text{Lip}(\tilde{F}) = \sqrt{2} \text{Lip}(F)$.

Thus, if $F \circ \phi$ was the correlating function given by Theorem 1.19 we have that $F \circ \phi = \tilde{F}^* \circ \iota \circ \phi$. Now note that $\iota \circ \phi$ is a morphism and as the Lipschitz constant of \tilde{F}^* is bounded in terms of the Lipschitz constant of F the result follows. \square

Remark 7.17. The regularity and inverse theorems from [13] used to obtain Theorems 1.12 and 1.8 hold with domains more general than finite abelian groups, in particular compact abelian groups and nilmanifolds; specifically [13, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 5.2]. This enables an extension of Theorem 7.16 to such domains. We omit the details.

8. COMPACT NILSPACES AS QUOTIENTS OF PRO-FREE NILSPACES AND AS DOUBLE-COSET NILSPACES

In this final section we prove Theorems 1.14 and 1.15, arguing as outlined in Subsection 1.3. Recall the notion of pro-free graded nilspaces from Definition 1.13.

Remark 8.1. Note that pro-free nilspaces, unlike all previous topological nilspaces in this paper, may fail to be LCH (indeed an infinite product of copies of \mathbb{R} is not locally-compact). However they are still *Polish* spaces. In this section we will not generalize the concept of LCH nilspaces to more general *Polish* nilspaces, as we will not need to do so for our main results. Indeed, this topology on a pro-free nilspace will not be used in the results below until Theorem 8.13. In the latter theorem and subsequent two results we will carefully indicate how this topology is used.

Definition 8.2 (Graded translation group). Let $d \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\omega\}$. For every $i < d$ let F_i be a free nilspace and let $F = \prod_{i < d} F_i$ be the associated graded pro-free nilspace. The *graded translation group* $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i < d})$ consists of maps $\alpha : F \rightarrow F$ such that, for every projection $\pi_j : F \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^j F_i$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a translation $\alpha_j \in \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^j F_i)$ satisfying $\alpha_j \circ \pi_j = \pi_j \circ \alpha$.

¹⁷Recall that in Theorem 1.19 we can choose an arbitrary metrization. The chosen metric for each 2-step CFR nilspace $\pi_K(F')$ is the one that makes $\iota : \pi_K(F') \rightarrow H/\Gamma$ an isometry.

Note that it follows from this definition that such a map α is continuous (by the last equality and definition of the product topology on F), and also that it is a translation on F (by checking the translation property in each component F_i). Moreover, it is not hard to deduce from the definition that these maps do form a group (under composition).

Lemma 8.3. *For any graded pro-free nilspace $F = \prod_{i < \omega} F_i$, the group $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ equipped with the compact-open topology is a k -step nilpotent pro-Lie group. Moreover, this topology on $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is equivalent to the topology as an inverse limit of the graded groups of translations $\Theta(\prod_{i=1}^j F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [j]})$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. For any positive integers $j \leq \ell$ consider the projection $p_{j,\ell} : \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^j F_i$. By the proof of Theorem 4.8, the group $C_{j,\ell} := \{\alpha \in \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i) : \forall x, y \in X, \text{ if } p_{j,\ell}(x) = p_{j,\ell}(y) \text{ then } p_{j,\ell}(\alpha(x)) = p_{j,\ell}(\alpha(y))\}$ is a closed subgroup of $\Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i)$. Therefore, the group $C_\ell := \bigcap_{j=1}^{\ell} C_{j,\ell}$ is also a closed subgroup of $\Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i)$ and hence a Lie group, by Cartan's theorem. Note that $C_\ell = \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]})$. Let $\widehat{p_{j,\ell}} : \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]}) \rightarrow \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^j F_i)$ be the group homomorphism induced by $p_{j,\ell}$. Then $\widehat{p_{\ell-1,\ell}} : \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]}) \rightarrow \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell-1]})$ is a well-defined continuous surjective homomorphism.¹⁸ This defines an inverse system of k -step nilpotent Lie groups, whence $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \varprojlim \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]})$ is a pro-Lie group.

Finally, we have to show that the topology on $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ as a subset of the set of continuous functions from F to F with the compact-open topology is equal to the topology generated by the limit maps for the inverse system defined by $\widehat{p_{\ell-1,\ell}}$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. By [39, Theorem 46.8] the compact-open topology in both $C(F, F)$ and $C(\Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]}), \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]}))$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ coincides with the topology of compact convergence. Note that given any metric d_ℓ in $\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i$ for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we can define a bounded equivalent metric just by taking $\max(1, d_\ell(\cdot, \cdot))$. Furthermore, if d_ℓ is 1-bounded for all $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ on $F = \prod_{i < \omega} F_i$ we can define the metric $d_F(x, x') := \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_\ell(\pi_\ell(x), \pi_\ell(x'))}{2^\ell}$ where $\pi_\ell : F \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i$ is the projection map. Also note that by construction of the maps π_ℓ we have the following property: for any compact $D_\ell \subset \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i$ there exists a compact $D \subset F$ such that $\pi_\ell(D) = D_\ell$. Using this it follows that the topology of $\Theta(F, (F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ as an inverse limit and as a subset of $C(F, F)$ coincide. We omit the details. \square

Recall that by [9, Theorem 2.7.3] every compact k -step nilspace X is the inverse limit of k -step CFR nilspaces X_i , $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and by Theorem 1.8 each X_i is itself isomorphic to F_i/H_i for some free nilspace F_i and some fiber-transitive, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact group action H_i . We therefore have a diagram of the following kind, where X is the inverse limit of the inverse system in the top row:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \dots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\
 & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \dots & & F_2 & & F_1.
 \end{array} \tag{23}$$

We now want to combine the nilspaces F_i , to replace them by a consistent inverse system of free nilspaces. Roughly speaking, the idea of the proof is to modify inductively the above diagram by replacing the free nilspaces F_i by new free nilspaces \tilde{F}_i which form an inverse system. First we will replace F_2 with the *fiber-product* of F_1 and F_2 , denoted \tilde{F}_2 , and prove that \tilde{F}_2 is also a free nilspace which has a natural fiber-transitive group acting on it, with the corresponding

¹⁸The surjectivity follows easily from the fact that given $\alpha \in \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell-1]})$ the map $(\alpha, \text{id}) : (\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} F_i) \times F_\ell \rightarrow (\prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} F_i) \times F_\ell$ is in $\Theta(\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} F_i, (F_i)_{i \in [\ell]})$.

quotient being isomorphic to X_2 . We will then replace F_3 with the fiber-product \tilde{F}_3 of \tilde{F}_2 and F_3 , and so on. This will indeed yield an inverse system of free nilspaces \tilde{F}_i . We will then prove that the inverse limit of this system is in fact a graded pro-free nilspace, and that we can recover X as the quotient of this graded nilspace by some pro-discrete fiber-transitive group.

To carry out the above plan we will use the following results.

Proposition 8.4. *Let X, X' be k -step CFR nilspaces, and let $\varphi : X' \rightarrow X$ be a fibration. Let F, F' be free k -step nilspaces and G, G' be fiber-transitive, fiber-cocompact and fiber-discrete subgroups of $\Theta(F), \Theta(F')$ respectively, such that $X \cong F/G$ and $X' \cong F'/G'$. Let \tilde{F} be the fiber-product nilspace $\tilde{F} = \{(f, f') \in F \times F' : \varphi \circ \pi_{G'}(f') = \pi_G(f)\}$, where $\pi_G, \pi_{G'}$ are the quotient fibrations $F \rightarrow F/G, F' \rightarrow F'/G'$ respectively. Then \tilde{F} is a sub-nilspace of $F \times F'$ which is itself a free nilspace. Moreover, letting the group $G \times G'$ act the natural way on \tilde{F} (namely $(g, g')(f, f') = (gf, g'f')$), we embed $G \times G'$ as a subgroup of $\Theta(\tilde{F})$ which is fiber-transitive on \tilde{F} with $X' \cong \tilde{F}/H$, and we have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{p_2} & F' & \xrightarrow{\pi_{G'}} & X' \\ & \searrow p_1 & & & \downarrow \varphi \\ & & F & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & X, \end{array} \quad (24)$$

where p_1, p_2 are the restrictions to \tilde{F} of the 1-st and 2-nd coordinate projections on $F \times F'$.

We split the proof into several lemmas.

Lemma 8.5. *Under the same assumptions as in Proposition 8.4, the fiber-product \tilde{F} is a free sub-nilspace of $F \times F'$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on the step k . For $k = 1$, it follows from classical results on torsion-free abelian groups that a 1-step sub-nilspace of a free 1-step nilspace is again a free nilspace. Indeed, note that up to the addition of a constant, we can consider $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'}$ and π_G as surjective homomorphisms where we identify F and F' with two abelian groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b$ and $\mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'}$ respectively. Thus, the 1-step nilspace \tilde{F} is identified with the following abelian group: $Z := \{(f, f') \in (\mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b) \times (\mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'}) : \varphi \circ \pi_{G'}(f') = \pi_G(f)\}$. But now we have a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \ker(\pi_G) \rightarrow Z \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'} \rightarrow 0$ where $f \in \ker(\pi_G) \mapsto (f, 0) \in Z$ and $(f, f') \in Z \mapsto f' \in \mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'}$. By [38, Theorem 3.3] the group $\mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'}$ is projective and thus Z is isomorphic (as a topological group) to $\mathbb{Z}^{a'} \times \mathbb{R}^{b'} \times \ker(\pi_G)$ by [38, Theorem 3.5]. The closed subgroup $\ker(\pi_G) \leq \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b$ is also of the form $\mathbb{Z}^{a''} \times \mathbb{R}^{b''}$. The case $k = 1$ follows.

For $k > 1$, we begin by observing that by Lemma 2.17 (see also [11, Proposition A.20]) the $(k-1)$ -th factor \tilde{F}_{k-1} of \tilde{F} is isomorphic to the fiber-product $F_{k-1} \times_{X_{k-1}} F'_{k-1}$. Note that we have again a commutative diagram exactly as (24), but at step $k-1$ and with \tilde{F}_{k-1} . By induction, we can thus assume that \tilde{F}_{k-1} is a free nilspace. Since \tilde{F} is a degree- k extension of this free nilspace \tilde{F}_{k-1} , by Theorem 4.1 we have $\tilde{F} \cong \tilde{F}_{k-1} \times \mathcal{D}_k(Z)$ where $Z = Z_k(\tilde{F})$, the k -th structure group of \tilde{F} . Moreover $Z_k(\tilde{F}) = \{(z, z') \in Z_k(F) \times Z_k(F') : \phi_k(z) = \phi'_k(z')\}$, by Lemma 2.17 (where $Z_k(F)$ and $Z_k(F')$ are the k -th structure groups of F and F' respectively, and $\phi_k : Z_k(F) \rightarrow Z_k(X), \phi'_k : Z_k(F') \rightarrow Z_k(X)$ are the k -th structure homomorphisms of π_G and $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'}$ respectively). By the facts for torsion-free abelian groups used in the case $k = 1$ above, we have that $Z_k(\tilde{F}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b$, for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. \square

Lemma 8.6. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 8.4, the group $G \times G'$ leaves \tilde{F} globally invariant, and (viewed as a subgroup of $\Theta(\tilde{F})$) is fiber-transitive, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact on \tilde{F} . Moreover the quotient $\tilde{F}/(G \times G')$ is nilspace-isomorphic to X' .*

Proof. Let $(f, f') \in \tilde{F}$ and $(g, g') \in G \times G'$. Now $(f, f') \in \tilde{F}$ means by definition that $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'}(f') = \pi_G(f)$, and then clearly $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'}(g'f') = \pi_G(gf)$, so $G \times G'$ indeed leaves \tilde{F} globally invariant. In particular we have $p_2(gf, g'f') = g'f' = g'p_2(f, f')$, and similarly with p_1 instead of p_2 . Hence $G \times G'$ is consistent with both p_1, p_2 in the sense of [10, Definition 1.2].

We want to prove that $G \times G'$ is a fiber-transitive group on \tilde{F} and that the quotient nilspace $\tilde{F}/(G \times G')$ is a compact nilspace isomorphic (as a compact nilspace) to X' .

Let us check the fiber-transitivity property. We have by assumption that G is fiber-transitive on F and so is G' on F' . Suppose that $(f, f'), (h, h')$ in \tilde{F} satisfy $\pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(f, f') = \pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(h, h')$ for some $i \in [k]$ and there is $(g, g') \in G \times G'$ such that $(g, g')(f, f') = (h, h')$. Letting $(p_1)_i : \pi_i(\tilde{F}) \rightarrow \pi_i(F)$ be the morphism such that $(p_1)_i \circ \pi_{i, \tilde{F}} = \pi_{i, F} \circ p_1$, we deduce that $\pi_{i, F}(f) = \pi_{i, F} \circ p_1(f, f') = (p_1)_i \circ \pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(f, f') = (p_1)_i \circ \pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(h, h') = \pi_{i, F}(h)$. Applying p_1 to both sides of $(g, g')(f, f') = (h, h')$ we also deduce that $gf = h$. Similarly, we have $\pi_{i, F'}(f') = \pi_{i, F'}(h')$ and $g'f' = h'$. By the fiber-transitivity of G, G' , we deduce that there exist $g_{i+1} \in G_{i+1} := G \cap \Theta_{i+1}(F)$, $g'_{i+1} \in G'_{i+1}$, such that $g_{i+1}f = h$ and $g'_{i+1}f' = h'$, whence $(g_{i+1}, g'_{i+1}) \in G_{i+1} \times G'_{i+1}$ satisfies $(g_{i+1}, g'_{i+1})(f, f') = (h, h')$.

Next, we need to check that if G, G' are fiber-discrete on F, F' respectively then so is $G \times G'$ on \tilde{F} . Note that it suffices to check this for the last structure group, as then the result for lower factors follows by induction. Clearly $G_k \times G'_k$ is discrete (as a product of two discrete groups).

To see that $G \times G'$ is fiber-cocompact, recall that by Lemma 2.17 we have $Z_k(\tilde{F}) = \{(z, z') \in Z_k(F) \times Z_k(F') : \phi_k(z) = \phi'_k(z')\}$ where ϕ_k, ϕ'_k are the k -th structure homomorphisms of π_G and $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'}$ respectively. Then consider the map $\psi_k : Z_k(\tilde{F}) \rightarrow Z_k(F')/G'_k$ defined by $(z, z') \mapsto z' \bmod G'_k$. The map ψ_k is clearly a continuous surjective homomorphism. We claim that its kernel equals precisely $G_k \times G'_k$. The inclusion $G_k \times G'_k \subset \ker(\psi_k)$ is clear. Now let $(z, z') \in Z_k(\tilde{F})$ and suppose that $0 = \psi_k(z, z') = z' \bmod G'_k$. Hence $z' \in G'_k$. Fix any $(f, f') \in \tilde{F}$ and note that $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'} \circ p_2(f + z, f' + z') = \varphi \circ \pi_{G'}(f' + z') = \varphi(\pi_{G'}(f')) = \pi_G(f)$ (by definition of (f, f') being in \tilde{F}). On the other hand, using the commutativity of (24) we have $\varphi \circ \pi_{G'} \circ p_2(f + z, f' + z') = \pi_G(p_1(f + z, f' + z')) = \pi_G(f + z) = \pi_G(f) + (z \bmod G_k)$. Combining this with the previous formula we conclude that $z \bmod G_k = 0$ and thus $z \in G_k$, which proves our claim. Hence, since $Z_k(F')/G'_k$ is compact and isomorphic to $Z_k(\tilde{F})/(G_k \times G'_k)$, we obtain that $G_k \times G'_k$ is cocompact as desired.¹⁹

Combining the above facts we obtain that $G \times G'$ is a subgroup of $\Theta(\tilde{F})$ which is fiber-transitive, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact group on \tilde{F} . Moreover, the morphism $p_2 : \tilde{F} \rightarrow F'$ is equivariant relative to the actions of $G \times G'$ and G' . This induces a well-defined morphism $\overline{p_2} : \tilde{F}/(G \times G') \rightarrow F'/G'$. By the previous paragraphs all structure groups are isomorphic, so $\overline{p_2}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Remark 8.7. Note that under the same assumptions as in Proposition 8.4, we have the following property: if $(f, f'), (h, h') \in \tilde{F}$ satisfy $\pi_{G \times G'}(f, f') = \pi_{G \times G'}(h, h')$ and there exists $g \in G$ such that $gf = h$, then there exists $g' \in G'$ such that $(g, g')(f, f') = (h, h')$. To prove this, note that by definition of \tilde{F} we can take any $g' \in G'$ such that $g'f' = h'$ and the pair (g, g') works. Moreover, if $\pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(f, f') = \pi_{i, \tilde{F}}(h, h')$ for some $i \in [k]$, and $\pi_{G \times G'}(f, f') = \pi_{G \times G'}(h, h')$, and for some $g_{i+1} \in G_{i+1} = G \cap \Theta_{i+1}(F)$, $g_{i+1}f = h$, then using the fiber-transitive property, the same proof shows that there is $g'_{i+1} \in G'_{i+1} = G' \cap \Theta_{i+1}(F')$ such that $(g_{i+1}, g'_{i+1})(f, f') = (h, h')$.

¹⁹Note that we have not used the hypothesis that G is fiber-cocompact. This is not strictly necessary because if we are in a situation as in Proposition 8.4, the fact that X' is compact forces X to be compact. Since $X \cong F/G$, this implies directly that G is fiber-cocompact.

Lemma 8.8. *Under the assumptions of Proposition 8.4, for any integer $n \geq 0$ and any cubes $c_1 \in C^n(X')$ and $c_2 \in C^n(F)$ such that $\varphi \circ c_1 = \pi_G \circ c_2$, there exists $c_3 \in C^n(\tilde{F})$ such that $\pi_{G'} \circ p_2 \circ c_3 = c_1$ and $p_1 \circ c_3 = c_2$.*

Proof. As $\pi_{G'}$ is a fibration, take any $c' \in C^n(F')$ such that $\pi_{G'} \circ c' = c_1$. Then note that $c_3 := (c_2, c')$ satisfies the requirements of the lemma. \square

Proof of Proposition 8.4. The result follows immediately from Lemmas 8.5 and 8.6. \square

Applying Proposition 8.4 inductively for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ to the sequence of nilspaces F_i in diagram (23), we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & X_2 & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F}_1. \end{array} \quad (25)$$

Moreover, we have a sequence of discrete groups $G_i \leq \Theta(\tilde{F}_i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $X_i \cong \tilde{F}_i/G_i$ and all the groups G_i are consistent with the fibrations $\psi_{i,j} : \tilde{F}_j \rightarrow \tilde{F}_i$ for any $i \leq j$. In fact we have that $\widehat{\psi}_{i,j}(G_j) = G_i$. However, the maps $\psi_{i,j}$ may have polynomial expressions that make it difficult to see directly that the inverse limit of the $(\tilde{F}_i, \psi_{i,j})$ is a graded pro-free nilspace. Indeed, a priori the maps $\psi_{i,j}$ need not be coordinate projections, they are just fibrations between free nilspaces. To see that the inverse limit of the \tilde{F}_i form a graded pro-free nilspace, we need to rearrange the situation so that the maps in the inverse system can be regarded as projections to some of the coordinates. We do this as follows.

Lemma 8.9. *Let F_1, F_2 be free nilspaces and let $\phi : F_2 \rightarrow F_1$ be a continuous fibration. Then there is a free nilspace F_3 such that, letting $p : F_1 \times F_3 \rightarrow F_1$ denote the projection to the first coordinate, there is a nilspace isomorphism $\tau : F_1 \times F_3 \rightarrow F_2$ such that $\phi \circ \tau = p$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $k := \max(k_1, k_2)$ where for $i \in [2]$, F_i is k_i -step.

For $k = 1$ the result follows by an argument similar to the start of the proof of Lemma 8.5.

For $k > 1$, for $i = 1, 2$ let us write $F_i = \pi_{k-1}(F_i) \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_i)$ where $\pi_{k-1}(F_i)$ is $(k-1)$ -step and A_i is some abelian group.

By Lemmas 3.5 and 3.6 we can write the map $\phi : \pi_{k-1}(F_2) \times H_2 \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times H_1$ as $(x, y) \mapsto (f(x), g(x, y))$. By induction on k , there exists a free nilspace F'_3 and a nilspace isomorphism $\tau' : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(F_2)$ such that $f \circ \tau' : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(F_1)$ is just the projection to the first coordinate. Letting $(\tau', \text{id}) : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(F_2) \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2)$ denote the map $(a, b, c) \mapsto (\tau'(a, b), c)$ then (τ', id) is an isomorphism and $\phi \circ (\tau', \text{id})(s, r, y) = (s, g'(s, r, y))$ for some morphism $g' : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A_1)$. Let us define $\phi' := \phi \circ (\tau', \text{id})$.

As both F'_3 and $\pi_{k-1}(F_1)$ are at most $(k-1)$ -step, the map $g'(s, r, y)$ equals $g'(s, r, 0) + \alpha(y)$ for some homomorphism $\alpha : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$ (see [8, Definition 3.3.1]). As ϕ is a fibration, so is $\phi \circ (\tau', \text{id})$ and hence α is a surjective homomorphism. Since α is a surjective homomorphism between abelian Lie groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m$, by the case $k = 1$ of the lemma we have that A_2 is isomorphic as an abelian Lie group to $A_1 \times B$. In particular there exists a homomorphism $c : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that $\alpha \circ c = \text{id}_{A_1}$. Let us now consider the map $\tau'' : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2) \rightarrow \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2)$ defined as $(s, r, y) \mapsto (s, r, y - c \circ g'(s, r, 0))$. This is clearly a nilspace isomorphism and, letting $\phi'' := \phi' \circ \tau''$, we have $\phi''(s, r, y) = (s, \alpha(y))$.

Finally, again by the case $k = 1$ of the lemma, there exists an isomorphism $\tau''' : A_1 \times B \rightarrow A_2$ such that $\alpha \circ \tau'''(y', y'') = y'$. If we define $(\text{id}, \tau''') : \pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F'_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_1 \times B) \rightarrow$

$\pi_{k-1}(F_1) \times F_3 \times \mathcal{D}_k(A_2)$ as $(s, r, y', y'') \mapsto (s, r, \tau'''(y, y'))$ we get that $\phi'' \circ (\text{id}, \tau''')$ is just the map $(s, r, y', y'') \mapsto (s, y')$ which completes the proof (just let $\tau := (\tau', \text{id}) \circ \tau'' \circ (\text{id}, \tau''')$). \square

Corollary 8.10. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 8.9, suppose that we have a subgroup $G \leq \Theta(F_2)$ consistent with ϕ and such that $\widehat{\phi}(G) = G' \leq \Theta(F_1)$. Then, under the isomorphism $\widehat{\tau}^{-1} : \Theta(F_2) \rightarrow \Theta(F_1 \times F_3)$ the elements of G are of the form $(x, y) \in F_1 \times F_3 \mapsto (\alpha(x), \beta(x, y))$ where $\alpha \in G'$ and $(\alpha, \beta) \in \Theta(F_1 \times F_3)$. In other words, $\widehat{\tau}^{-1}(G)$ is a subgroup of $\Theta(F_1 \times F_3, (F_1, F_3))$ the graded translation group of F with grading (F_1, F_3) .*

We can now prove the following result.

Theorem 8.11. *Let X be a compact k -step nilspace, and let $(X_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any inverse system of k -step CFR nilspaces such that $X = \varprojlim X_j$. Then there is a graded pro-free nilspace $(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ such that for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a fiber-discrete, fiber-cocompact subgroup G_j of the graded translation group of $(\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j})$ such that $(X_j \cong \prod_{i=1}^j F'_i) / G_j$. Moreover the groups G_j together with the homomorphisms $\widehat{p}_{\ell, j} : \Theta(\prod_{i \leq j} F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j}) \rightarrow \Theta(\prod_{i \leq \ell} F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq \ell})$, $j, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, form an inverse system of topological groups with $\widehat{p}_{\ell, j}(G_j) = G_\ell$ for all $j \geq \ell$.*

Proof. Starting from diagram (25) we apply successively Lemma 8.9 to obtain that the sequence $\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \dots$ from (25) can be written (modulo an isomorphism) as a sequence of projections for some free nilspaces $F'_1 = \tilde{F}_1, F'_2, F'_3, \dots$ where for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\tilde{F}_j \cong \prod_{i=1}^j F'_i$. Moreover, by Corollary 8.10, for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ the fiber-transitive group acting on \tilde{F}_j is isomorphic to a subgroup of the graded translation group of $(\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j})$. Recall that, by the paragraph below (25) combined with Corollary 8.10, the fiber-transitive subgroups that we obtain are already fiber-discrete, fiber-cocompact and consistent with the maps $\widehat{p}_{\ell, j}$ for all $j \geq \ell$. \square

We now consider the graded pro-free nilspace $F' = \prod_{i < \omega} F'_i$ and inverse system of groups $(G_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ from Theorem 8.11, we let $G := \varprojlim G_i$ be the corresponding inverse limit group, and we shall now prove that X is isomorphic as a compact nilspace to F'/G . To this end, we first make the following observation.

Remark 8.12. The case $n = 0$ of Lemma 8.8 implies that in diagram (25), if $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim X_i$ and for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we fix any preimage $y_j \in \tilde{F}_j$ of $x_j \in X_j$, then there exists $(y'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim \tilde{F}_i$ such that $y'_j = y_j$ and $y'_i \mapsto x_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. The proof consists in constructing inductively the sequence y'_i . Given y'_j , note that by taking its image through the maps $\tilde{F}_j \rightarrow \tilde{F}_{j-1} \rightarrow \dots$ we can form y'_i for $i \leq j$ satisfying the requirements of the lemma. For larger i , inductively we apply Lemma 8.8 we have that there exists y'_{j+1} satisfying that $y'_{j+1} \mapsto x_{j+1}$. Repeating this process countably many times we find our element $(y'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim \tilde{F}_i$.

Theorem 8.13. *Let X be a compact k -step nilspace. Then there exists a graded pro-free nilspace $(F' = \prod_{i < \omega} F'_i, (F'_i)_{i < \omega})$ and a sequence of subgroups $G_j \leq \Theta(\prod_{i \leq j} F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j})$ satisfying the following. For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$, G_j is fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact on $(\prod_{i \leq j} F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j})$, and for every $j \geq \ell$ we have that $\widehat{p}_{\ell, j}(G_j) = G_\ell$ where $\widehat{p}_{\ell, j}$ is defined as in Theorem 8.11. Moreover, letting $G := \varprojlim G_j$ and endowing F' with the product topology, X is isomorphic as a compact nilspace to F'/G (where F'/G is endowed with the quotient topology).*

This implies Theorem 1.14.

Proof. Let $(X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of k -step CFR nilspaces such that $X = \varprojlim X_i$ (with limit maps $\zeta_i : X \rightarrow X_i$), let F' and G_i ($i \in \mathbb{N}$) be the objects resulting from applying Theorem 8.11, and

let $G := \varprojlim G_j$. For any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 F' & \xrightarrow{\pi_G} & F'/G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & X \\
 \downarrow p_j & & & & \downarrow \zeta_j \\
 \prod_{i=1}^j F'_i & \xrightarrow{\psi_j} & & & X_j.
 \end{array} \tag{26}$$

We have to prove that φ is a well-defined map and an isomorphism of compact nilspaces.

We first define a map $\tilde{\varphi} : F' \rightarrow X$ using the inverse limit expression of X , namely $\tilde{\varphi} : (f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in F' = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} F'_i \mapsto (\psi_j(p_j((f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})))_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ where p_j is just the projection to the first j coordinates. We claim that $\tilde{\varphi}$ factors through π_G , thus yielding a well-defined map $\varphi : F'/G \rightarrow X$ such that $\tilde{\varphi} = \varphi \circ \pi_G$. To prove this, suppose that $\pi_G((f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \pi_G((h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$. Then there exists $g = (g_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \in G$ where $g_j \in G_j \subset \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \in [j]})$ such that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $g_j(f_1, \dots, f_j) = (h_1, \dots, h_j)$. As ψ_j is invariant under the action of G_j the claim follows.

Let us now prove that the well-defined map φ is bijective. For injectivity, suppose that $\varphi(\pi_G((f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})) = \varphi(\pi_G((h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}))$. Then $\psi_j(f_1, \dots, f_j) = \psi_j(h_1, \dots, h_j)$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Starting with $\psi_1(f_1) = \psi_1(h_1)$, by definition of ψ_1 there is $g_1 \in G_1$ such that $g_1(f_1) = h_1$. Then, by Remark 8.7 there is $g_2 \in G_2$ such that $g_2 \mapsto g_1$ and $g_2(f_1, f_2) = (h_1, h_2)$. Continuing this process, we deduce that there exists $g = (g_j) \in \varprojlim G_j$ with $g(f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, whence $\pi_G((f_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = \pi_G((h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$, which proves injectivity. Surjectivity follows from Lemma 8.8.

By the second part of Remark 8.7 we have that $G = \varprojlim G_i$ is fiber-transitive on F' .

Lemma 8.8 implies that φ is an isomorphism of nilspaces (in the sense that φ and φ^{-1} send cubes to cubes). To see that φ is a homeomorphism, it suffices prove that it is continuous and open. To prove that φ is continuous note that by definition of inverse limit and the quotient topology on F'/G it suffices to prove that $\zeta_j \circ \varphi \circ \pi_G$ is continuous for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. However this map equals $\psi_j \circ p_j$ which is continuous. To prove that φ is open note first that the sets of the form $p_j^{-1}(U)$ for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $U \subset \prod_{i=1}^j F'_i$ form a basis of the topology of F' . Thus it is enough to prove that for any such $p_j^{-1}(U)$, $\varphi \circ \pi_G(p_j^{-1}(U))$ is open. By Lemma 8.8 (for $n = 0$) we have that $\varphi(\pi_G(p_j^{-1}(U))) = \zeta_j^{-1}(\psi_j(U))$. As ψ_j is an open map the result follows.

Finally, we need to prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the cube set $C^n(F'/G)$ is a closed subset of $(F'/G)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$. However, as $\varphi^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} : (F'/G)^{\llbracket n \rrbracket} \rightarrow X^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}$ is a homeomorphism and $\varphi^{\llbracket n \rrbracket}(C^n(F'/G)) = C^n(X)$, the closure of $C^n(F'/G)$ follows from that of $C^n(X)$. \square

This shows in particular that $F'/G \cong \varprojlim [(\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i)/G_j]$ as compact nilspaces.

Now, to go further and represent $X \cong F'/G$ as a double-coset space, we first extend the results in Lemma 7.15 to the present setting of graded pro-free nilspaces and graded translation groups.

Lemma 8.14. *Let $(F', (F'_i)_{i=1}^{\infty})$ be a graded pro-free nilspace, and let $G = \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i=1}^{\infty})$. Fix any $f_0 \in F'$ and let $K := \text{Stab}_G(f_0) = \{\alpha \in G : \alpha(f_0) = f_0\}$. The following properties hold:*

- (i) *The map $\psi : K \backslash G \rightarrow F'$ defined as $Kg \mapsto g^{-1}(f_0)$ is a homeomorphism and a nilspace isomorphism.²⁰*
- (ii) *The map ψ is equivariant with respect to the action of G on $K \backslash G$ defined as $(Kg, g') \in (K \backslash G) \times G \mapsto Kgg'^{-1}$ and on F' defined as $(f, g) \in F' \times \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}) \mapsto g(f)$.*

Proof. Many parts of this result follow by the same arguments as in Lemma 7.15. In particular, all algebraic statements (ψ being a nilspace isomorphism and its claimed equivariance) follow

²⁰Note that as we have not defined a category of Polish nilspaces (as we highlighted in Remark 8.1), here we simply show that ψ is an isomorphism as an algebraic nilspace morphism and also that it is a homeomorphism when F' and $K \backslash G$ are endowed with the product and the compact-open topology respectively, see Lemma 8.3.

by the same arguments. Hence, it only remains to check that ψ is a homeomorphism. To do so, note that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, letting $F_j := \prod_{i=1}^j F'_i$, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) & \xrightarrow{\psi'} & F' \\ \downarrow p'_j & & \downarrow p_j \\ \Theta(F_j, (F'_i)_{i=1}^j) & \xrightarrow{\psi'_j} & F_j. \end{array} \quad (27)$$

Here p'_j is just the restriction to the F_j coordinates, p_j is the usual projection to F_j , $\psi'(g) := g^{-1}(f_0)$, and $\psi'_j(p'_j(g)) := p'_j(g^{-1})(p_j(f_0))$. In order to analyze this diagram, it is useful to analyze first what happens between the different factors of the inverse limits of F' and $\Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$. In fact, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & \Theta(F_j, (F'_i)_{i=1}^j) & \xrightarrow{p'_{j-1,j}} & \Theta(F_{j-1}, (F'_i)_{i=1}^{j-1}) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & \Theta(F_1, (F'_1)) \\ \downarrow \psi' & & \downarrow \psi'_j & & \downarrow \psi'_{j-1} & & \downarrow \psi'_1 \\ F' & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & F_j & \xrightarrow{p_{j-1,j}} & F_{j-1} & \cdots \cdots \cdots \rightarrow & F_1. \end{array} \quad (28)$$

Now let $f \in F'$ and for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $g^{(j-1)} \in \Theta(F_{j-1}, (F'_i)_{i=1}^{j-1})$ be such that $\psi'_{j-1}(g) = p_j(f)$. We claim that there exists $g \in \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ such that $\psi'(g) = f$ and $p'_j(g) = g^{(j-1)}$. To see this, note that by induction it suffices to lift $g^{(j-1)}$ to an element in $\Theta(F_j, (F'_i)_{i=1}^j)$ with the desired properties. In fact, since we are considering the graded translations, it is clear that we can find such an element. That is, any element of $F_j = (F'_i)_{i=1}^j$ is of the form $(f', f^{(j-1)}) \in F'_j \times F_{j-1}$ and we know that $(g^{(j-1)})^{-1}(f_0) = f^{(j-1)}$. The translation $g^{(j)} \in \Theta(F_j, (F'_i)_{i=1}^j)$ defined as $(a, b) \in F'_j \times F_{j-1} \mapsto (a + f_0 - f', g^{(j-1)}(b))$ works.

The map ψ' factorizes as $\psi \circ \pi_K$, where $\pi_K : G \rightarrow K \backslash G$ is the quotient map to the space of right cosets (recall that $G = \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$). To prove that ψ is a homeomorphism it suffices to check that ψ' is open and continuous. Continuity follows from the fact that by definition of F' it suffices to prove that $p_j \circ \psi'$ is continuous for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since this equals $\psi'_j \circ p'_j$, which is clearly continuous, the continuity of ψ' follows. To prove openness, note that it is enough to prove it for the basis of the topology on G consisting of sets of the form $p'_j{}^{-1}(U)$ where $U \subset \Theta(F_j, (F'_i)_{i=1}^j)$ is open and $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Arguing just as in the proof of Theorem 8.13, by the previous paragraph we have that $\psi'(p'_j{}^{-1}(U)) = p_j{}^{-1}(\psi_j(U))$, and the openness follows. \square

We can now prove the following result, which implies Theorem 1.15.

Theorem 8.15. *Let X be a k -step compact nilspace. Then there exists a k -step graded pro-free nilspace $(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ such that, letting G be the degree- k filtered pro-Lie group $\Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$, and letting K be the closed pro-Lie subgroup²¹ $\text{Stab}_G(\underline{0})$, there is a fiber-transitive pro-discrete subgroup Γ of G such that the following properties hold:*

- (i) *For $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $p'_j : \Theta(F', (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) \rightarrow \Theta(\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i, (F'_i)_{i \leq j})$ be the projection to the j -th factor. Then $p'_j(\Gamma)$ acting on $\prod_{i=1}^j F'_i$ is fiber-transitive, fiber-discrete and fiber-cocompact.*
- (ii) *The double-coset nilspace $K \backslash G / \Gamma$ endowed with the quotient topology is isomorphic as a compact nilspace to X .*

Proof. This follows from combining Lemma 8.14 with Theorem 8.13. \square

²¹Here we took the stabilizer of the constant $\underline{0}$ element, but this can be replaced by any element of $F' = (F'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$.

APPENDIX A. POLYNOMIALS BETWEEN FREE NILSPACES

The goal of this section is to understand continuous morphisms $\phi : F \rightarrow F'$ where F, F' are free nilspaces and morphisms $\phi' : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ where A is an abelian Lie group (recall that for us this also means compactly generated). In particular, we will prove Lemmas 3.5, 3.6 and 3.7. As any abelian Lie group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^r \times Z \times \mathbb{R}^s \times \mathbb{T}^{s'}$, where Z is a finite abelian group, composing with projections it suffices to study morphisms $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ where A is either \mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R} or a finitely generated discrete abelian group.

Let $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be a morphism (where A is an abelian Lie group). Then we have the decomposition $\phi = \phi_k \circ \pi_k$, where π_k is the canonical projection to the k -th step nilspace factor $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ of F (thus π_k is the projection to the coordinates of degree at most k in F), and $\phi_k : \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ is a morphism.

Using the above description of F , the analysis of ϕ naturally splits into two separate cases, the discrete case and the continuous case. In the case A is discrete, note that a continuous morphism $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ cannot depend on the continuous part of $g \in F$, by the following simple observation.

Lemma A.1. *Let $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ be a free nilspace where $a_i, b_i \geq 0$ for $i \in [k]$. Let A be a discrete finitely generated abelian group. Let $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be a continuous morphism. Then $\phi(x, y) = \phi(x, 0)$ for every $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$ and $y \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$.²²*

Proof. The morphism property of ϕ plays no role, this is purely a topological result. For any $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$ let $\phi_x : \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be the map $\phi_x(y) := \phi(x, y)$. Clearly, ϕ_x is also continuous, and as $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ is connected and $\mathcal{D}_k(A)$ is discrete we have that ϕ_x must be constant. Thus $\phi_x(y) = \phi_x(0)$ for any $y \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$, as claimed. \square

For our analysis of morphisms $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ where A is a discrete, finitely generated abelian group, Lemma A.1 implies that it suffices to study the case where $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$. In order to describe such morphisms we need some additional results.

The first one is the following lemma describing morphisms between higher-degree abelian groups. Recall that by Remark 3.14 the $\mathcal{D}_0(\mathbb{Z})$ -valued morphisms are just the constant maps.

Lemma A.2. *Let Z, Z' be abelian groups and let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$\text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(Z), \mathcal{D}_k(Z')) = \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(Z), \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor k/\ell \rfloor}(Z')). \quad (29)$$

Proof. Assume first that $\ell \leq k$. To see that $\text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(Z), \mathcal{D}_k(Z')) \subset \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(Z), \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor k/\ell \rfloor}(Z'))$, fix any $f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(Z), \mathcal{D}_k(Z'))$ and note that by [8, Theorem 2.2.14] it suffices to check that for every $z_1, \dots, z_t \in Z$ the derivative $\partial_{z_1} \cdots \partial_{z_t} f$ takes values in the t -th term of the filtration defining $\mathcal{D}_k(Z')$. Note that this holds trivially if any of the z_i is 0 (as then the derivative vanishes). Note that it is also clear if $t \leq \lfloor k/\ell \rfloor$, since then we have to check that the derivative is Z' -valued, which holds trivially. Thus, the only non-trivial case is when $t \geq \lfloor k/\ell \rfloor + 1$, in which case we have to check that $\partial_{z_1} \cdots \partial_{z_t} f$ is the 0 function. Since $f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(Z), \mathcal{D}_k(Z'))$, viewing the elements z_i as elements of the ℓ -th term of the filtration defining $\mathcal{D}_\ell(Z)$ (this term being Z), we see that $\partial_{z_1} \cdots \partial_{z_t} f$ takes values in the $t\ell$ -th term of the filtration $\mathcal{D}_k(Z')$. By assumption $t\ell \geq \ell(\lfloor k/\ell \rfloor + 1) \geq k + 1$, so the derivative takes values in the $(k + 1)$ -th term of the filtration associated with $\mathcal{D}_k(Z')$, which is $\{0\}$ as required. This proves the desired inclusion. To see the opposite inclusion, let $f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(Z), \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor k/\ell \rfloor}(Z'))$ and note that, again, the only non-trivial case consists in checking that for every $z_1, \dots, z_t \in Z$ with $t\ell \geq k + 1$ we have $\partial_{z_1} \cdots \partial_{z_t} f = 0$. Note that the last inequality implies that $t \geq \lceil (k + 1)/\ell \rceil$, and it is easily

²²Here we wrote 0 for the element of $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ with all coordinates equal to zero.

shown that we always have $\lceil (k+1)/\ell \rceil = \lfloor k/\ell \rfloor + 1$, so $t \geq \lfloor k/\ell \rfloor + 1$ and therefore, since $f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{D}_{\lfloor k/\ell \rfloor}(\mathbb{Z}'))$, the derivative in question is indeed 0. This proves the case $\ell \leq k$.

To complete the proof, suppose that $\ell > k$, and fix any $f \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_\ell(\mathbb{Z}), \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}'))$. To see that f must be constant, fix any $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider $c_z \in C^{k+1}(\mathbb{Z})$ the cube such that $c_z(1^{k+1}) = z$ and $c_z(v) = 0$ for any $v \neq 1^{k+1}$. Then $f \circ c_z \in C^{k+1}(\mathbb{Z}')$. By unique corner-completion in the latter nilspace, we have that $f \circ c_z$ is the constant cube with value $f(0)$, so in particular $f \circ c_z(1^{k+1}) = f(z) = f(0)$. Since z was arbitrary, we deduce that f is indeed constant. \square

Lemma A.3. *Let n be a non-negative integer, and let $\binom{\cdot}{n}$ denote the map $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ sending x to the binomial coefficient $\binom{x}{n}$. Then for every $i \geq 1$ the map $\binom{\cdot}{n}$ is a morphism $\mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{ni}(\mathbb{Z})$.*

Proof. By Lemma A.2, it suffices to prove the case $i = 1$, namely, that $\binom{\cdot}{n}$ is a polynomial map of degree n . This is clear (note that $\partial_1 \binom{x}{n} = \binom{x-1}{n-1}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$). \square

Lemma A.4. *For $i \in \{0, 1\}$, let $(G^{(i)}, G_\bullet^{(i)})$ be a filtered abelian group and for some $q_i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\phi^{(i)} : G^{(i)} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{q_i}(\mathbb{Z})$ be a morphism. Let X be the nilspace associated with the group $G^{(0)} \times G^{(1)}$ equipped with the product filtration $G_\bullet^{(0)} \times G_\bullet^{(1)}$. Then the map $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{q_0+q_1}(\mathbb{Z})$, $(x_0, x_1) \mapsto \phi^{(0)}(x_0) \phi^{(1)}(x_1)$ is a morphism.*

Proof. By [8, Theorem 2.2.14] it suffices to show that ψ is a polynomial map between the corresponding filtered groups. Note that if $g_i \in G^{(i)}$ for $i \in \{0, 1\}$ we have that $\partial_{(g_0, g_1)} \psi(x_0, x_1) = \partial_{(0, g_1)} \psi(x_0 + g_0, x_1) + \partial_{(g_0, 0)} \psi(x_0, x_1)$. Thus it suffices to prove that the derivatives lie in the correct term in the filtration when we derivate only with respect to elements that are 0 in either the first or the second coordinate. By construction of ψ , if we take the derivative with respect to the elements $(g_0^1, 0), \dots, (g_0^t, 0), (0, g_1^1), \dots, (0, g_1^s) \in G^{(0)} \times G^{(1)}$ for some integers $t, s \geq 0$ we have that

$$\partial_{(g_0^1, 0)} \cdots \partial_{(g_0^t, 0)} \partial_{(0, g_1^1)} \cdots \partial_{(0, g_1^s)} \psi(x_0, x_1) = \partial_{(g_0^1, 0)} \cdots \partial_{(g_0^t, 0)} \phi^{(0)}(x_0) \partial_{(0, g_1^1)} \cdots \partial_{(0, g_1^s)} \phi^{(1)}(x_1).$$

Thus, if the elements $g_0^i \in G_{u_i}^{(0)}$ for all $i \in [t]$ for some integers $u_i \geq 1$ and $g_1^j \in G_{v_j}^{(1)}$ for all $j \in [s]$ for some integers $v_j \geq 0$ we have $\begin{cases} \partial_{(g_0^1, 0)} \cdots \partial_{(g_0^t, 0)} \phi^{(0)}(x_0) \in G_{u_1+\dots+u_t}^{(0)} \\ \partial_{(0, g_1^1)} \cdots \partial_{(0, g_1^s)} \phi^{(1)}(x_1) \in G_{v_1+\dots+v_s}^{(1)} \end{cases}$. Hence, if $(u_1 + \dots + u_t) + (v_1 + \dots + v_s) > q_0 + q_1$ it must happen that either $(u_1 + \dots + u_t) > q_0$ or $(v_1 + \dots + v_s) > q_1$. In either case we conclude that the corresponding derivative of ψ is 0. \square

Given a free nilspace $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$ and $m := ((m_{i,j})_{j \in a_i})_{i \in [k]} \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$, recall from Definition 3.3 the *filtered degree* $|m| := \sum_{i=1}^k i \sum_{j=1}^{a_i} m_{i,j}$. Combining Lemmas A.3 and A.4, we deduce the following.

Corollary A.5. *Let F be the free nilspace $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$, let $m \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$. Then the map $((x_{i,j})_{j \in a_i})_{i \in [k]} \mapsto \binom{x}{m} = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \binom{x_{i,j}}{m_{i,j}}$ is a morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{|m|}(\mathbb{Z})$.²³*

Theorem A.6. *Let $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i})$ be a discrete nilspace for some $k \geq 0$ and some integers $a_i \geq 0$, $i \in [k]$. Let A be an abelian group and $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ be a morphism. Then there exists elements $a_m \in A$ for $m = ((m_{i,j})_{j \in a_i})_{i \in [k]} \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$ such that*

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{|m| \leq k} a_m \binom{x}{m} = \sum_{|m| \leq k} a_m \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \binom{x_{i,j}}{m_{i,j}}. \quad (30)$$

²³Note that we write m instead of $(m, 0)$ because the free nilspace F does not have continuous components.

Remark A.7. Theorem A.6 holds even if F has continuous components, provided that A is finitely generated and discrete. The continuous components then do not appear in the Taylor expansion by Lemma A.1. Moreover, by Corollary A.5 any expression of the form (30) is a morphism from F to $\mathcal{D}_k(A)$. In particular, this yields Lemma 3.5.

Proof of Theorem A.6. First note that the set $T := \{m \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} : |m| \leq k\}$ is a finite set. Let us define $e_{i_0, j_0} \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i}$ as the element that equals 1 only at the coordinate indexed by $i_0 \in [k]$ and $j_0 \in [a_{i_0}]$ and 0 otherwise. Note that if $m \notin T$, by [8, Theorem 2.2.14] we have $\partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}} \phi(x) = 0$. Given two elements $m, m' \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$, we write $m \leq m'$ if $m_{i,j} \leq m'_{i,j}$ for all $i \in [k]$ and $j \in [a_i]$. We write $m < m'$ if $m \neq m'$ and $m \leq m'$. Let $S \subset \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i}$ be any finite subset. We say that S is *simplicial* if for every $m \in S$ and every $m' \leq m$ we have $m' \in S$.

For any function $\psi : \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \rightarrow A$, let us define the *support* of ψ as follows:

$$\text{supp}(\psi) := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} \setminus \left\{ m \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} : \partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}} \psi(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \right\}.$$

Note that if $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(A)$ is a morphism then its support is finite, since $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \{m \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} : |m| \leq k\}$. Note also that $\text{supp}(\psi)$ is always a simplicial set.

We shall now prove that if $\psi : \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \rightarrow A$ has finite support then $\psi(x) = \sum_{m \in \text{supp}(\psi)} a_m \binom{x}{m}$ for some coefficients $a_m \in A$. In particular this will imply the result for morphisms on discrete free nilspaces. The proof will argue by induction on the size of $\text{supp}(\psi)$. Clearly, if $|\text{supp}(\psi)| = 0$ then the result holds trivially.

Suppose then that $k := |\text{supp}(\psi)| > 0$, and let $m \in \text{supp}(\psi)$ be any element such that there is no other $m' \in \text{supp}(\psi)$ with $m < m'$. We claim that $\psi'(x) := \partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}} \psi(x)$ is a constant function of $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i}$. Indeed, note that for any $a \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i}$ we have $\partial_a \psi'(x) = \psi'(x+a) - \psi'(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i}$, since we can decompose this derivative as a sum of derivatives with respect to the elements $e_{i,j}$. As those are all 0, so is $\partial_a \psi'(x)$, and therefore $\psi'(x)$ is indeed constant. Let us denote this constant by λ . We then claim that $\psi^*(x) := \psi(x) - \lambda \binom{x}{m}$ is a map from $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i}$ to A such that $\text{supp}(\psi^*) \subset \text{supp}(\psi) \setminus \{m\}$.

The fact that n is not included in the support of ψ^* follows by definition and noting that $\partial_{e_{i,j}} \binom{x_{i,j}}{t} = \binom{x_{i,j}}{t-1}$ for any integer $t \geq 0$. Furthermore, using the latter formula it is easy to check that the term

$$\partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m'_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m'_{k,a_k}} \binom{x}{m} = \partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m'_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m'_{k,a_k}} \left(\lambda \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \binom{x_{i,j}}{n_{i,j}} \right) = \lambda \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^{a_i} \partial_{e_{i,j}}^{n'_{i,j}} \binom{x_{i,j}}{m_{i,j}}$$

vanishes as soon as there exists some $(i, j) \in [k] \times [a_i]$ such that $m'_{i,j} > m_{i,j}$. This confirms that $\text{supp}(\psi^*) \subset \text{supp}(\psi) \setminus \{m\}$. By induction we have $\psi^*(x) = \sum_{m' \in \text{supp}(\psi^*)} a_{m'} \binom{x}{m'}$, and then $\psi(x) = \lambda \binom{x}{m} + \sum_{m' \in \text{supp}(\psi^*)} a_{m'} \binom{x}{m'}$. The result follows. \square

Our next goal is to prove Lemmas 3.6 and 3.7. To this end we need some auxiliary results.

Lemma A.8. *Let $a, b \geq 0$ be two integers and let $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ be a continuous homomorphism. Then there exists a continuous homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the form $(x, y) \mapsto \alpha \cdot x + \beta \cdot y$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^a$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^b$ such that $\phi = \pi \circ \psi$ where $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the quotient map.*

Proof. Splitting the problem between the different coordinates it is enough to prove the result for $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ (a homomorphism from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{T} is trivially of the desired form). As \mathbb{R} is the

universal covering of \mathbb{T} , we have that ϕ factorizes through \mathbb{R} . That is, there exists a continuous homomorphism $\psi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\pi \circ \psi = \phi$, which completes the proof. \square

Lemma A.9. *Let $n \geq 0$ be an integer and let $\binom{\cdot}{n} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the map $y \mapsto \frac{y(y-1)\cdots(y-n+1)}{n!}$. Then $\binom{\cdot}{n} \in \text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{D}_n(\mathbb{R}))$.*

Proof. We leave this to the reader. \square

Corollary A.10. *Let $F = \prod_{i=1}^k \mathcal{D}_i(\mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{R}^{b_i})$ and let $(m, n) \in \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{b_i}$. Then the map $(x, y) \in F \mapsto (x, y)^{(m, n)}$ is a morphism $F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{|(m, n)|}(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Note that the proof of Lemma A.4 works the same if we consider that the morphisms involved are \mathbb{R} -valued instead of \mathbb{Z} -valued. The result then follows by combining this with Lemmas A.2 and A.9. \square

We shall now prove Lemma 3.7, and then deduce Lemma 3.6. We shall use the following notation. In the sequel, given an abelian group A and a map $\phi : \mathbb{Z}^a \times \mathbb{R}^b \rightarrow A$, we may want to take derivatives with respect to variables other than the canonical ones; for example, letting $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A$, $x \mapsto \phi(x)$, then we may take its derivative with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}$ as usual, $\partial_a \phi(x) = \phi(x+a) - \phi(x)$, but then we may want to take the derivative with respect to a , i.e., “ ∂_b ” $\partial_a \phi(x) = \phi(x+a+b) - \phi(x+a)$. To avoid confusion, we adopt the following notation from [21]: given a function ϕ that depends on the variables x_1, \dots, x_n , then $(h \cdot \nabla_{x_i})\phi(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \phi(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - \phi(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. When there is no risk of confusion, we may still write ∂_h instead.

Proof of Lemma 3.7. Let us denote $D := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}^{a_i} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{R}^{b_i}$ and $L := \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{a_i} \times \prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{b_i}$. Similarly as before, given a function $\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ we define its support as follows:

$$\text{supp}(\psi) := L \setminus \left\{ (m, n) \in L : \text{for all } (t_{i,\ell})_{(i,\ell) \in [k] \times [b_i]} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } (x, y) \in D, \right. \\ \left. \partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}} \partial_{t_{1,1} w_{1,1}}^{n_{1,1}} \cdots \partial_{t_{k,b_k} w_{k,b_k}}^{n_{k,b_k}} \phi(x) = 0 \right\}$$

where $e_{i,j} \in D$ is everywhere 0 except for the coordinate (i, j) in the discrete part of D (where it is 1) and similarly with $w_{i,\ell}$ for the continuous part. Note that if $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a morphism then $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset \{(m, n) \in L : |(m, n)| \leq k\}$.

We want to prove that if ψ has finite support then $\psi(x, y) = \pi \left(\sum_{(m, n) \in \text{supp}(\psi)} \lambda_{m, n} \binom{(x, y)}{(m, n)} \right)$ for some coefficients $\lambda_{m, n} \in \mathbb{R}$ (provided that ψ is continuous).

We prove this by induction on the size of $\text{supp}(\psi)$. Note that if the support of ψ is 0 then this automatically means that the function equals 0, so there is nothing to prove. For the general case, let $(m, n) \in \text{supp}(\psi)$ be an element such that if $(m', n') \in L$ satisfies $(m, n) < (m', n')$ then $(m', n') \notin \text{supp}(\psi)$. Assume without loss of generality that $n_{i_0, \ell_0} > 0$ for some $(i_0, \ell_0) \in [k] \times [b_i]$. We leave as an exercise to the reader to check the easier case when for some $(i_0, j_0) \in [k] \times [a_i]$ we have $m_{i_0, j_0} > 0$. Note that if none of these exists (neither in the continuous part nor in the discrete part), then $\partial_{(u, v)} \psi(x, y) = 0$ for all $(u, v) \in D$. Thus ψ in this case is constant and the result follows.

Now, let us consider $(hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x, y)})\psi(x, y)$. Note that this is a function (in the variables (x, y)) from D to \mathbb{T} with support strictly smaller than that of ψ . Indeed, note that

$$\text{supp}((hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x, y)})\psi(x, y)) \subset \{(m', n') \in L : (m', n') + w_{i_0, \ell_0} \in \text{supp}(\psi)\} =: T.$$

Note that T is independent of h and that $|T| < |\text{supp}(\psi)|$. Thus by induction we can write

$$(hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x, y)})\psi(x, y) = \pi \left(\sum_{(m', n') \in T} \lambda_{m', n'}(h) \binom{(x, y)}{(m', n')} \right) \quad (31)$$

where now the coefficients $\lambda_{m,n}(h) \in \mathbb{R}$ may depend on h .

The element $(m^*, n^*) := (m, n) - w_{i_0, \ell_0}$ is in T by definition. Next, we take the derivative of $(hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)})\psi(x, y)$ as many times as necessary in order to cancel all terms but λ_{m^*, n^*} . That is,

$$\partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}^*} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}^*} \partial_{w_{1,1}}^{n_{1,1}^*} \cdots \partial_{w_{k,b_k}}^{n_{k,b_k}^*} (hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)})\psi(x, y) = \pi(\lambda_{m^*, n^*}(h)), \quad (32)$$

where $\partial_{e_{i,j}}$ is the operator $(e_{i,j} \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)})$ (and similarly with the $w_{i,\ell}$). Note that the previous equation is true for all $(x, y) \in D$ and all $h \in \mathbb{R}$. Evaluating at $(x, y) = (0, 0)$ it follows that $\pi \circ \lambda_{m^*, n^*} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a continuous function.

Moreover, we can prove that this function is indeed affine. That is, it is a morphism $\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{T})$. In order to do so, first apply the operator $(z_1 \cdot \nabla_h)$ to both sides of (32). Note that in the left hand side we end up with an expression that consists of sums and differences of terms of the form

$$\psi((x, y) + hw_{i_0, \ell_0} + \xi z_1 w_{i_0, \ell_0} + \iota_{1,1} e_{1,1} + \cdots + \iota_{k,a_k} e_{k,a_k} + \tau_{1,1} w_{1,1} + \cdots + \tau_{k,b_k} w_{k,b_k}).$$

Where $\iota_{i,j}, \tau_{i,\ell}, \xi \in \{0, 1\}$ for all $i \in [k], j \in [a_i]$ and $\ell \in [b_i]$. Hence we have the following equality: $(z_1 \cdot \nabla_h) \partial_{e_{1,1}}^{m_{1,1}^*} \cdots \partial_{e_{k,a_k}}^{m_{k,a_k}^*} \partial_{w_{1,1}}^{n_{1,1}^*} \cdots \partial_{w_{k,b_k}}^{n_{k,b_k}^*} (hw_{i_0, j_0} \cdot \nabla_{(x,y)})\psi(x, y) = (z_1 \cdot \nabla_h) \pi(\lambda_{m^*, n^*}(h))$, for all $(x, y) \in D, h, z_1 \in \mathbb{R}$. But making the change of variables $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y) - hw_{i_0, \ell_0}$ we have that the left hand side of the previous equation does not depend on h . Therefore applying $(z_2 \cdot \nabla_h)$ we get 0 on the left. Hence $0 = (z_2 \cdot \nabla_h)(z_1 \cdot \nabla_h) \pi(\lambda_{m^*, n^*}(h))$. By Lemma A.8 we have indeed $\pi(\lambda_{m^*, n^*}(h)) = \pi(\alpha h + \beta)$ for some constants $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. We can use this information to eliminate the term indexed by (m, n) in the support of ψ . Indeed, we want to check that

$$\psi(x, y) - \pi \left(\alpha \begin{pmatrix} (x, y) \\ (m, n) \end{pmatrix} - \beta \begin{pmatrix} (x, y) \\ (m^*, n^*) \end{pmatrix} \right) \quad (33)$$

is function on D such that its support is strictly smaller than the support of ψ .

In order to prove this, it is enough to check that taking the correct number of derivatives according to (m, n) we have 0. Note that the function $\zeta : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}, y \mapsto \pi \left(\begin{pmatrix} y \\ d \end{pmatrix} \right)$ satisfies that $\partial_t \zeta(y) = \pi \left(t \begin{pmatrix} y \\ d-1 \end{pmatrix} \right) + \mathbf{p}(y, t)$ where $\mathbf{p}(y, t)$ is a polynomial in y of degree at most $d-2$ and thus it vanishes if we take $d-1$ derivatives on y . Using this fact and (31) it follows that (33) does not contain (m, n) in its support and so we can conclude the result by induction.

To complete the proof we still need to prove that any function of the form (5) is a morphism from F to $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{T})$. By Corollary A.10, any map of the form (4) is a morphism from F to $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$. As the quotient map $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is a homomorphism, in particular it is in $\text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{D}_1(\mathbb{T}))$ and by Lemma A.2 in particular it is in $\text{hom}(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}), \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{T}))$. The result follows. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We already know that any function of the form (4) is a morphism from F to $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$. To prove that those are all possibilities, let $\phi : F \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R})$ be a morphism. Then, if $\pi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is the usual quotient map, we have that $\pi \circ \phi \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{T}))$. By Lemma 3.7 there exists $\phi' \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}))$ such that $\pi \circ \phi = \pi \circ \phi'$. Hence, $\phi - \phi' \in \text{hom}(F, \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Z}))$ and we can apply Lemma 3.5 to conclude that $\phi - \phi'$ has the form (3). The result follows. \square

APPENDIX B. SOME RESULTS IN TOPOLOGY

Lemma B.1. *Let X be an LCH space and let G be a topological group acting continuously on X and such that $\{(x, x') \in X \times X : \exists g \in G \text{ such that } x = gx'\}$ is a closed subset of $X \times X$. Then X/G with the quotient topology is also an LCH space.*

Proof. The fact that G acts continuously on X implies that the quotient map $\pi : X \rightarrow X/G$ is open. Hence it is X/G is second-countable and the fact that it is LCH follows from [6, §8.3, Proposition 8 and §10.4 Proposition 10]. \square

Lemma B.2. *Let X be an LCH space and let G be a group acting continuously on X and such that $\{(x, x') \in X \times X : \exists g \in G \text{ such that } x = gx'\}$ is a closed subset of $X \times X$. Then $\pi(x_n) \rightarrow \pi(x)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in X/G if and only if there exists a sequence $g_n \in G$ such that $g_n x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in X .*

Proof. By Lemma B.1 we already know that both X and X/G are metric spaces and the quotient map $X \rightarrow X/G$ is open. The result follows by [43, Proposition 2.4]. \square

A similar result holds for Polish groups.

Lemma B.3. *Let H, G be Polish groups and let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ be a surjective continuous homomorphism. Then for every sequence $(\varphi(g_n))_{n \geq 0} \subset H$ such that $\varphi(g_n) \rightarrow \varphi(g)$ for some $g \in G$ there exists a sequence $r_n \in \ker(\varphi)$ such that $g_n r_n \rightarrow g$ in G .*

Proof. By the open mapping theorem for Polish groups [29, Theorem A.1] we have that φ is open. The result follows by [43, Proposition 2.4]. \square

Lemma B.4. *Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow H$ be a continuous surjective homomorphism where G is Polish and H is Lie. Then $G/\ker(\varphi) \cong H$ and in particular, it is Lie.*

Proof. By the open mapping theorem for Polish groups φ is open. Thus, the map $G/\ker(\varphi) \rightarrow H, g\ker(\varphi) \mapsto \varphi(g)$ is a homeomorphism. As H is Lie so is $G/\ker(\varphi)$. \square

Recall that LCH spaces are by definition locally compact, Hausdorff and second-countable. Hence, in particular they are compactly generated. By [39, Lemma 46.4] we know then that $f \in C(X, Y)$ if and only if for each compact set $K \subset X$, $f|_K$ is continuous.

Proposition B.5. *Let X, Y be LCH spaces. Then the space $C(X, Y)$ is Polish.*

Proof. As X is LCH, by [39, §46 Ex. 10. (b)] there exists a sequence of nested compact subsets $K_n \subset X$ such that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^\circ$ where A° is the interior of the set A . Then consider the map $\iota : C(X, Y) \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, Y)$ that sends $f \mapsto \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} f|_{K_n}$. Then, if $\phi_{n,n+1} : C(K_{n+1}, Y) \rightarrow C(K_n, Y)$ is the map $g \mapsto g|_{K_n}$ it is easy to see that this map is continuous. Furthermore, we have $\iota(C(X, Y)) = \{(f_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, Y) : \phi_{n,n+1}(f_{n+1}) = f_n\}$. As the maps $\phi_{n,n+1}$ are continuous we get that $\iota(C(X, Y))$ is a closed subset of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, Y)$. Moreover, the map ι is injective so there exists a well-defined map $\iota^{-1} : \iota(C(X, Y)) \rightarrow C(X, Y)$. Using that $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n^\circ$ it follows that this map is indeed continuous. Hence $C(X, Y)$ is homeomorphic to a closed subset of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, Y)$. By [36, Theorem 4.19] each $C(K_n, Y)$ is Polish. Hence so is $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C(K_n, Y)$ and also $\iota(C(X, Y))$, being a closed subset of a Polish space. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Arens, *Topologies for Homeomorphism Groups*, Amer. J. Math. **68** (1946), 593–610.
- [2] A. Arhangel'skii, M. Tkachenko, *Topological Groups and Related Structures*, Atlantis Studies in Mathematics, 1. Atlantis Press, Paris; World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2008.
- [3] H. Becker and A. S. Kechris, *The Descriptive Theory of Polish Groups Actions*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 232. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996.
- [4] V. Bergelson, T. Tao and T. Ziegler, *An inverse theorem for the uniformity seminorms associated with the action of \mathbb{F}_p^∞* , Geom. Funct. Anal. **19** (2010), no. 6, 1539–1596.
- [5] C. Bergman, *Universal algebra. Fundamentals and selected topics*. Pure and Applied Mathematics (Boca Raton), 301. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2012.
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *Elements of mathematics. General topology. Part 1*. Hermann, Paris; Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass.-London-Don Mills, Ont. 1966.

- [7] O. Antolín Camarena, B. Szegedy, *Nilspaces, nilmanifolds and their morphisms*, preprint. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.3825>
- [8] P. Candela, *Notes on nilspaces: algebraic aspects*, Discrete Anal., 2017, Paper No. 15, 59 pp.
- [9] P. Candela, *Notes on compact nilspaces*, Discrete Anal., 2017, Paper No. 16, 57pp.
- [10] P. Candela, D. González-Sánchez, B. Szegedy *On nilspace systems and their morphisms*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **40** (2020), no. 11, 3015–3029.
- [11] P. Candela, D. González-Sánchez, B. Szegedy, *On higher-order Fourier analysis in characteristic p* , Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, First View, 1–70.
- [12] P. Candela, B. Szegedy, *Nilspace factors for general uniformity seminorms, cubic exchangeability and limits*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **287** (2023), no. 1425, v+101 pp.
- [13] P. Candela, B. Szegedy, *Regularity and inverse theorems for uniformity norms on compact abelian groups and nilmanifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **789** (2022), 1–42.
- [14] J. J. Dijkstra, *On Homeomorphism Groups and the Compact-Open Topology*, Amer. Math. Monthly, **112** (10), 910–912.
- [15] H. Fujita, D. Shakhmatov, *A characterization of compactly generated metric groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 3, 953–961.
- [16] A. M. Gleason, *Spaces with a compact Lie group of transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1950), 35–43.
- [17] W. T. Gowers, *A new proof of Szemerédi’s theorem*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **11** (2001), no. 3, 465–588.
- [18] W. T. Gowers, *Generalizations of Fourier analysis, and how to apply them*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (2017), no. 1, 1–44.
- [19] W. T. Gowers, L. Milićević, *An inverse theorem for Freiman multi-homomorphisms*, preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2002.11667>
- [20] B. Green, T. Tao, *The primes contain arbitrarily long arithmetic progressions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **167** (2008), 481–547.
- [21] B. Green, T. Tao, *An inverse theorem for the Gowers U^3 -norm*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (1) **51** (2008), 73–153.
- [22] B. Green, T. Tao, T. Ziegler, *An inverse theorem for the Gowers U^4 -norm*, Glasgow Math. J. **53** (2011), 1–50.
- [23] B. Green, T. Tao, T. Ziegler, *An inverse theorem for the Gowers $U^{s+1}[N]$ -norm*, Ann. of Math. (2) **176** (2012), no. 2, 1231–1372.
- [24] Y. Gutman, F. Manners, P. P. Varjú, *The structure theory of nilspaces I*, J. Anal. Math. **140** (2020), no. 1, 299–369.
- [25] Y. Gutman, F. Manners, P. P. Varjú, *The structure theory of nilspaces II: Representation as nilmanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **371** (2019), no. 7, 4951–4992.
- [26] Y. Gutman, F. Manners, P. P. Varjú, *The structure theory of nilspaces III: Inverse limit representations and topological dynamics*, Adv. Math. **365** (2020), 107059, 53 pp.
- [27] K. H. Hofmann, S. A. Morris, *The Lie theory of connected pro-Lie groups. A structure theory for pro-Lie algebras, pro-Lie groups, and connected locally compact groups*. EMS Tracts Math., 2. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2007.
- [28] K. H. Hofmann, S. A. Morris, *The structure of compact groups. A primer for the student – a handbook for the expert*. Third edition. De Gruyter Stud. Math., 25. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2013.
- [29] B. Host, B. Kra, *Nonconventional ergodic averages and nilmanifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **161** (2005), no. 1, 397–488.
- [30] B. Host, B. Kra *Parallelepiped, nilpotent groups, and Gowers norms*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **136** (2008), 405–437.
- [31] B. Host, B. Kra, *Nilpotent structures in ergodic theory*, Math. Surveys Monogr., 236. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2018.
- [32] A. Jamneshan, O. Shalom, T. Tao, *The structure of totally disconnected Host–Kra–Ziegler factors, and the inverse theorem for the U^k Gowers uniformity norms on finite abelian groups of bounded torsion*, preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04860>
- [33] A. Jamneshan, O. Shalom, T. Tao, *A Host–Kra \mathbb{F}_2^ω -system of order 5 that is not Abramov of order 5, and non-measurability of the inverse theorem for the $U^6(\mathbb{F}_2^n)$ norm*, preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.04853>
- [34] A. Jamneshan, T. Tao, *The inverse theorem for the U^3 Gowers uniformity norm on arbitrary finite abelian groups: Fourier-analytic and ergodic approaches*, Discrete Anal., 2023:11, 48 pp.
- [35] R. R. Kallman, *Uniqueness results for homeomorphism groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **295**, (1), 389–396.
- [36] A. S. Kechris, *Classical descriptive set theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., 156. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [37] S. Lin and P. Yan *Sequence-covering maps of metric spaces*, Topology Appl., **109** (3) (2001) 301–314.

- [38] M. Moskowitz, *Homological algebra in locally compact abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 361–404.
- [39] J. R. Munkres, *Topology, Second Edition*, Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River, NJ, 2000.
- [40] R. S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) **73** (1961), 295–323.
- [41] D. J. Rudolph, *Eigenfunctions of $T \times S$ and the Conze-Lesigne algebra*, Ergodic theory and its connections with harmonic analysis, 369–432. London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., 205, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [42] O. Shalom, *Multiple ergodic averages in abelian groups and Khintchine type recurrence*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **375** (2022), 2729–2761
- [43] F. Siwiec, *Sequence-covering and countably bi-quotient mappings*, General Topology and its Applications. **1** (2), 143–154.
- [44] B. Szegedy, *On higher-order Fourier analysis*, preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1203.2260>
- [45] B. Szegedy, *Structure of finite nilspaces and inverse theorems for the Gowers norms in bounded exponent groups*, preprint. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1011.1057>
- [46] T. Tao, T. Ziegler, *The inverse conjecture for the Gowers norm over finite fields via the correspondence principle*, Anal. PDE **3** (2010), 1–20.
- [47] T. Tao, T. Ziegler, *The inverse conjecture for the Gowers norm over finite fields in low characteristic*, Ann. Comb. **16** (2012), 121–188.
- [48] Eric Wofsey, answer to <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/4563814>.

UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE MADRID AND ICMAT, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA DE CANTOBLANCO, MADRID 28049, SPAIN

Email address: pablo.candela@uam.es

MTA ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY, H-1053

Email address: diegogs@renyi.hu

MTA ALFRÉD RÉNYI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, REÁLTANODA UTCA 13-15, BUDAPEST, HUNGARY, H-1053

Email address: szegedyb@gmail.com