

RIGIDITY OF k -EXTREMAL SUBMANIFOLDS IN A SPHERE

HANG CHEN AND YARU WANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the rigidity of $k(\geq 1)$ -extremal submanifolds in a sphere and prove various pinching theorems under different curvature conditions, including sectional and Ricci curvatures in pointwise and integral sense.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of rigidity phenomenon for submanifolds under certain curvature pinching conditions is one of important topics in geometry of submanifolds, and the history can date back to 1968, when Simons [33] studied the minimal submanifolds in a sphere. By using Simons' equation, Simons and Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi proved the rigidity theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([8, 33]). *Let M be an n -dimensional closed minimal submanifold in a unit sphere \mathbb{S}^{n+p} of dimension $(n+p)$. If the squared norm S of the second fundamental form of M satisfies*

$$(1.1) \quad S \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p},$$

then either $S \equiv 0$ and M is totally geodesic, or $S \equiv \frac{n}{2-1/p}$. In the latter case, M is either one of the Clifford tori with $p = 1$, or the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = p = 2$.

The Clifford tori are

$$(1.2) \quad C_{m,n-m} := \mathbb{S}^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{n}}\right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1.$$

The standard embedding of $C_{m,n-m} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ is minimal.

After the work of Simons and Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi, a lot of rigidity results were proven by different geometers, for instance, [2, 30] for scalar curvature pinching, [12, 18, 41, 42] for sectional curvature pinching, [10, 20, 31] for Ricci curvatures pinching, [36] for global rigidity theorem involving the $L_{n/2}$ -norm of S . Recently, Wei and the first author proved the following rigidity results under the integral Ricci curvature.

Theorem 1.2. [5, Theorem 1.4] *Let M be an $n(\geq 4)$ -dimensional closed minimal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . Given a real number λ satisfying $(n-2) < (n-1)\lambda \leq (n-1)$. Then there exists an explicit constant $\epsilon(n)$ depending only on n such that if*

$$(1.3) \quad \|\text{Ric}_-^\lambda\|_{n/2} < \epsilon(n),$$

then M is totally geodesic.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C20, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Rigidity of submanifolds, k -extremal submanifolds, sectional curvatures, Ricci curvatures.

Chen was supported by Shaanxi Fundamental Science Research Project for Mathematics and Physics (Grant No. 22JSQ005).

The notation Ric_-^λ in above theorem is defined by $\text{Ric}_-^\lambda(x) = \max\{0, (n-1)\lambda - \text{Ric}_{\min}(x)\}$, where $\text{Ric}_{\min}(x)$ denotes the smallest eigenvalue of the Ricci tensor at $x \in M$. Intuitively, the L_q -norm $\|\text{Ric}_-^\lambda\|_q = \left(\int_M (\text{Ric}_-^\lambda)^q\right)^{1/q}$ measures the amount of Ricci curvature lying below the given bound $(n-1)\lambda$. More generally, we can replace λ by a function Φ on M and define

$$\text{Ric}_-^\Phi(x) = \max\{0, (n-1)\Phi - \text{Ric}_{\min}(x)\}.$$

Similarly, for sectional curvature, we can define

$$K_-^\Phi(x) = \max\{0, \Phi(x) - K_{\min}(x)\},$$

where $K_{\min}(x)$ denotes the smallest of sectional curvature at $x \in M$. Zhu proved an analogue of Theorem 1.2 under the integral sectional curvature in her master's thesis [43]. Very recently, Pan-Xu-Zhao [29] proved a rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere under the integral scalar curvature. Most of the results have been generalized from minimal submanifolds in a sphere to submanifolds in a space form with parallel mean curvature, see [5, 12, 29, 36, 37, 43].

On the other hand, since minimal submanifolds are the critical points of the area functional, this motivates one can study the rigidity for submanifolds which are the critical points of some other functional. Given $k \geq 1$, for an n -dimensional closed submanifold M in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , we define the functional

$$(1.4) \quad F_k = \int_M \rho^{2k},$$

where $\rho^2 = S - nH^2$ and H is the norm of the mean curvature of M . It is well known that ρ^2 is non-negative, and ρ^2 vanishes only at the umbilical points of M . We call M is a k -extremal submanifold if M is a critical point of F_k .

When $k = n/2$, F_k is just the Willmore functional, which is invariant under the conformal transformations of \mathbb{S}^n , and the critical points are called Willmore submanifolds. This case has been intensively studied, see [15, 22–24] and the references therein. Li proved an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for Willmore submanifolds.

Theorem 1.3 ([22, 23]). *Let M be an n -dimensional closed Willmore submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . If*

$$(1.5) \quad \rho^2 \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p},$$

then either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$ and M is totally umbilical, or $\rho^2 \equiv \frac{n}{2-1/p}$. In the latter case, M is either one of the Willmore tori with $p = 1$, or the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = p = 2$.

The Willmore tori are

$$(1.6) \quad W_{m, n-m} := \mathbb{S}^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m}{n}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1.$$

An interesting fact is that a Willmore torus coincides with a Clifford torus if and only if n is even and $m = n/2$.

When $k = 1$, F_k is firstly studied by Guo-Li [14], and the critical points are called extremal submanifolds. When $n = 2$, F_1 becomes the Willmore functional. In [14] the authors proved that, for a closed extremal submanifold M^n in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , if $\rho^2 \leq \frac{n}{2-1/p}$, then either M is totally umbilical, the Clifford torus $C_{m,m}$ with $n = 2m$, or the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = p = 2$. Later, Wu [35] extended this rigidity result to k -extremal submanifolds for $1 < k < n/2$.

When considering the global condition on $\|\rho^2\|_{n/2}$, Yang obtained rigidity theorems for Willmore submanifolds and extremal submanifolds in his doctoral's thesis [39] (also see [38]), which were extended to the case $1 < k < n/2$ by Guo-Wu [13].

In terms of sectional and Ricci curvatures, Shu [32] and Yang [39] respectively obtained pinching results for Willmore and extremal submanifolds under pointwise conditions; Han improved Shu's sectional curvature pinching bound in his master's thesis [16]. Very recently Yang-Fu-Zhang [40] obtained pinching theorem for both Willmore and extremal submanifolds under integral Ricci curvature condition.

In this paper, we study the rigidity of k -extremal submanifolds for general $k \geq 1$, and prove various pinching theorems under different curvature conditions. Firstly, in terms of sectional curvatures, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.4. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$. If*

$$(1.7) \quad \sec^M \geq C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k),$$

where

$$(1.8) \quad C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) = \frac{p \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)}{2(p+1)} + \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho + \left(1 - \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1\right) \left(1 - \frac{p \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)}{2(p+1)}\right)\right) H^2,$$

then M is either the totally umbilical sphere, or the Clifford torus $C_{m,m}$ in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $n = 2m$, or the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = p = 2$.

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$. If*

$$(1.9) \quad \sec^M \geq C'_1(n, H, \rho, k),$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad C'_1(n, H, \rho, k) = \frac{n}{2(n+1)} + \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho + \left(1 - \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1\right) \frac{n+2}{2(n+1)}\right) H^2,$$

then M is either the totally umbilical sphere, or the Veronese submanifold.

Corollary 1.6. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$. If*

$$\sec^M > C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) \text{ or } \sec^M > C'_1(n, H, \rho, k),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

For integral sectional curvatures, we have

Theorem 1.7. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 3, k \geq 1$. Given a function Φ on M such that $\Phi > C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k)$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon_1(n, p, H, k, \Phi)$ such that if*

$$\|K_-^\Phi\|_{n/2} < \epsilon_1(n, p, H, k, \rho, \Phi),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

Theorem 1.8. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 3, k \geq 1$. Given a function Φ on M such that $\Phi > C'_1(n, H, \rho, k)$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon'_1(n, H, k, \Phi)$ such that if*

$$\|K_-^\Phi\|_{n/2} < \epsilon'_1(n, H, k, \rho, \Phi),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

Next, in terms of Ricci curvature, we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1.9. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 4, k \geq 1$. If*

$$(1.11) \quad \text{Ric}^M \geq C_2(n, H, \rho, k),$$

where

$$(1.12) \quad C_2(n, H, \rho, k) = (n-2) + \frac{(n-2)^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}H\rho + n\left(1 - \frac{1}{2k}\right)H^2,$$

then M is either the totally umbilical sphere, or the Clifford torus $C_{m,m}$ in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $n = 2m$, or the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P_{4/3}^2$ in \mathbb{S}^7 .

Corollary 1.10. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 4, k \geq 1$. If*

$$\text{Ric}^M > C_2(n, H, \rho, k),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

Theorem 1.11. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 4, k \geq 1$. Given a function Φ on M such that $(n-1)\Phi > C_2(n, H, \rho, k)$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon_2(n, H, k, \Phi)$ such that if*

$$\|\text{Ric}_-^\Phi\|_{n/2} < \epsilon_2(n, H, k, \rho, \Phi),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

At last, we show rigidity theorems in terms of the scalar curvature.

Theorem 1.12. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$. If the scalar curvature of M satisfies*

$$(1.13) \quad \rho^2 \leq C_3(n, p, H, k),$$

where

$$(1.14) \quad C_3(n, p, H, k) = \left(n + n\left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1\right)H^2\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\text{sgn}(p-1)\right),$$

then either $\rho^2 \equiv 0$ and M is totally umbilical, or $\rho^2 \equiv C_3(n, p, H, k)$. In the latter case, M is either one of the k -extremal tori $T_{m,k}$ with $p = 1$ (see Definition 3.6), or the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = p = 2$.

The following is a version of integral curvatures.

Theorem 1.13. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, k \geq 1$. Given a function Φ on M such that $\Phi < C_3(n, p, H, k)$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon_3(n, p, H, k, \Phi)$ such that if*

$$\|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{n/2} < \epsilon_3(n, p, H, k, \Phi),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

When $k < n/2$, we can choose an upper bound independent of H .

Theorem 1.14. *Let M be an n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , $n \geq 2, 1 \leq k < n/2$. Given a function Φ on M such that $\Phi < (1 - \frac{1}{3}\text{sgn}(p-1))n$. Then there exists a constant $\epsilon'_3(n, p, k, \Phi)$ such that if*

$$\|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{n/2} < \epsilon'_3(n, p, k, \Phi),$$

then M is totally umbilical.

We give some comments on our results.

- (1) Corollary 1.10 can be derived from Theorem 1.11; Corollary 1.6 can be derived from Theorems 1.7 and 1.8 when $n \geq 3$.
- (2) For the totally umbilical sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(r)$, we have $1/r^2 = 1 + H^2$, and the sectional curvature is $1 + H^2$ and the Ricci curvature is $(n-1)(1 + H^2)$. If $1 \leq k \leq n/2$, then (1.7) and (1.11) are always satisfied. But if $k > n/2$, then the radius r of the sphere is restricted.
- (3) Shu's Ricci pinching theorem in [32] for Willmore submanifolds requires $n \geq 5$, while ours requires $n \geq 4$. Even for $k = n/2$ and $k = 1$, our Ricci curvature pinching bounds C_2 is better than the ones in [16, 32, 39].
- (4) Our sectional curvature pinching bounds C_1 is better than the one in [39] for $k = 1$, and coincides with the one in [16] for $k = n/2$. However, the conclusions of the sectional curvature pinching theorems in [16, 32] for $k = n/2$ say that one of the candidates of M is the Willmore torus $W_{1,n-1}$; this is impossible when $n \geq 3$ since $K_{\min} = 0$ but $C_1 > 0$. The correct candidate is $C_{m,m}$.
- (5) Theorem 1.12 recovers Theorem 1.3 when $k = n/2$; it also improves Theorem 1.3 in [14] when $k = 1$. Compared with Theorem 5.1 in [35], our bound C_3 is a bit better, and we determine the tori when $p = 1$.
- (6) If we take $\Phi \equiv 0$, then Theorem 1.13 recovers Theorem 2.2 in [39] for $k = n/2$, while Theorem 1.14 recovers Theorem A in [38] for $k = 1$ and Theorem 4 in [13] for $1 < k < n/2$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce notations, recall the basic formulas in submanifolds of geometry, and list some lemmas. In Section 3, we show some examples of k -extremal submanifolds in a sphere, including minimal Einstein submanifolds and isoparametric hypersurfaces. In the last three sections, we prove our main results under sectional, Ricci and scalar curvatures conditions respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations and fundamental formulas for submanifolds. Firstly, we introduce some notations and recall the fundamental formulas for submanifolds. Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . We choose a local orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ are tangent to M and $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ are normal to M when restricted to M . Let $\{\omega_A\}$ be the dual coframe. We make the following convention on the range of indices except special declaration:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, \dots \leq n+p; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots \leq n; \quad n+1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots \leq n+p.$$

Denote

$$h = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_\alpha$$

the second fundamental form of M in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , and set

$$A_\alpha = (h_{ij}^\alpha), \quad H^\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_k h_{kk}^\alpha, \quad \mathbf{H} = \sum_\alpha H^\alpha e_\alpha, \quad H = |\mathbf{H}| = \sqrt{\sum_\alpha (H^\alpha)^2}, \quad S = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2.$$

Now Gauss, Codazzi and Ricci equations are respectively given by

$$(2.1) \quad R_{ijkl} = (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}) + \sum_{\alpha} (h_{ik}^{\alpha}h_{jl}^{\alpha} - h_{il}^{\alpha}h_{jk}^{\alpha}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad h_{ijk}^{\alpha} = h_{ikj}^{\alpha},$$

$$(2.3) \quad R_{\alpha\beta ij}^{\perp} = \sum_k h_{ik}^{\alpha}h_{kj}^{\beta} - \sum_k h_{ik}^{\beta}h_{kj}^{\alpha},$$

where R_{ijkl} and h_{ijk}^{α} are the components of Riemannian curvature of M and covariant derivative of h_{ij}^{α} under the orthonormal frame respectively. The Ricci identity shows that

$$(2.4) \quad h_{ijkl}^{\alpha} - h_{jilk}^{\alpha} = \sum_m R_{mikl}h_{mj}^{\alpha} + \sum_m R_{mjkl}h_{im}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha kl}^{\perp}h_{ij}^{\beta}.$$

From (2.1), we can get the Ricci curvature and the scalar curvature respectively as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad R_{ij} = (n-1)\delta_{ij} + n \sum_{\alpha} H^{\alpha}h_{ij}^{\alpha} - \sum_{\alpha,k} h_{ik}^{\alpha}h_{kj}^{\alpha},$$

$$(2.6) \quad R = n(n-1) + n^2H^2 - S.$$

Since $S \geq nH^2$, we have $R \leq n(n-1)(1+H^2)$. When $H = 0$, $\text{Ric} \leq n-1$.

When studying the rigidity of a non-minimal submanifolds, we usually use the following traceless second fundamental form

$$(2.7) \quad \tilde{h} = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega_i \otimes \omega_j \otimes e_{\alpha},$$

where $\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha} = h_{ij}^{\alpha} - H^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}$. We set

$$(2.8) \quad \tilde{A}_{\alpha} = (\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha}), \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{\alpha}h_{ij}^{\beta} = \text{tr}(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}), \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} \tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha}\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\beta} = \text{tr}(\tilde{A}_{\alpha}\tilde{A}_{\beta}).$$

Then it can be easily checked that

$$(2.9) \quad \rho^2 = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^{\alpha})^2 = S - nH^2,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + nH^{\alpha}H^{\beta},$$

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + nH^4,$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha} \text{tr}(A_{\alpha}A_{\beta}^2) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha} \text{tr}(\tilde{A}_{\alpha}\tilde{A}_{\beta}^2) + 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} H^{\alpha}H^{\beta}\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + H^2\rho^2 + nH^4.$$

2.2. Some Lemmas. We recall some results which will be used to prove the main theorems. The following three algebraic lemmas can be proven by using the method of Lagrange multipliers.

Lemma 2.1 (cf. [21, 28]). *Let a_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = a$. Then*

$$(2.13) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^3 \right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} a^{3/2}.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if at least $(n-1)$ of the a_i 's are equal.

Lemma 2.2 (cf. [6, Lemma 3.4]). *Let a_i and b_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = a$. Then*

$$(2.14) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i^2 \right| \leq \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^4 - \frac{(\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2)^2}{n}} \sqrt{a}.$$

Lemma 2.3 (cf. [6, Lemma 3.3]). *Let b_i for $i = 1, \dots, n$ be real numbers satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 = B$. Then*

$$(2.15) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^4 - \frac{B^2}{n} \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{n(n-1)} B^2.$$

Ge-Tang [11] and Lu [25] proved the following DDVV inequality independently and differently.

Lemma 2.4 ([11, 25]). *Let B_1, \dots, B_p be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices ($p \geq 2$). Then*

$$(2.16) \quad \sum_{r,s=1}^p N([B_r, B_s]) \leq \left(\sum_{r=1}^p N(B_r) \right)^2,$$

where the equality holds if and only if under some rotation all B'_r s are zero except two matrices, which can be written as

$$\tilde{B}_1 = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t, \quad \tilde{B}_2 = P \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t,$$

for some $P \in O(n)$.

There are other estimates for $\sum_{r,s} N([B_r, B_s])$.

Lemma 2.5 (cf. [18, Proposition 1]). *Let B_1, \dots, B_p be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Then*

$$(2.17) \quad \sum_{r,s=1}^p N([B_r, B_s]) \leq n \sum_{r,s=1}^p (\operatorname{tr}(B_r B_s))^2.$$

Lemma 2.6 (cf. [33, Theorem 1] and [2, Proposition 1]). *Let B_1, \dots, B_p be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Then*

$$(2.18) \quad \sum_{r,s=1}^p N([B_r, B_s]) + \sum_{r,s=1}^p (\operatorname{tr}(B_r B_s))^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)\right) \left(\sum_{r=1}^p N(B_r)\right)^2,$$

where the equality holds if and only if either $p = 1$, or $p \geq 2$ and under some rotation all B'_r s are zero except two matrices, which can be written as

$$\tilde{B}_1 = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t, \quad \tilde{B}_2 = P \begin{pmatrix} \mu & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} P^t,$$

for some $P \in O(n)$.

By using a Sobolev inequality in [17], we have

Proposition 2.7 (cf. [5, 36]). *Let M be an $n(\geq 3)$ -dimensional closed submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . Then for all $t > 0$ and $f \in C^1(M)$, $f \geq 0$, we have*

$$(2.19) \quad \int_M |\nabla f|^2 \geq \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|f^2\|_{n/n-2} - \mathfrak{B}(n, t) \int_M (1 + H^2) f^2,$$

where

$$(2.20) \quad \mathfrak{A}(n, t) = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4(n-1)^2(1+t)} \frac{1}{C^2(n)}, \quad \mathfrak{B}(n, t) = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4(n-1)^2 t}, \quad C(n) = 2^n \frac{(n+1)^{1+1/n}}{(n-1)\omega_n^{1/n}},$$

and ω_n is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n .

The following is a Kato-type inequality.

Lemma 2.8 (cf. [36, 38]). *Let M be an n -dimensional submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . Then for any $\epsilon \neq 0$, we have*

$$(2.21) \quad |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \geq \frac{n+2}{n} |\nabla \rho_\epsilon|^2,$$

where $|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 = \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} (\tilde{h}_{ijk}^\alpha)^2$, $\rho_\epsilon = (\rho^2 + n p \epsilon^2)^{1/2} > 0$.

Since $|\nabla \rho_\epsilon^k|^2 = k^2 \rho_\epsilon^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho_\epsilon|^2$, it follows from (2.19) and (2.21) that

$$(2.22) \quad k^2 \int_M \rho_\epsilon^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \geq \frac{n+2}{n} \int_M |\nabla \rho_\epsilon^k|^2 \geq \frac{n+2}{n} \left\{ \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|\rho_\epsilon^{2k}\|_{n/n-2} - \mathfrak{B}(n, t) \int_M (1 + H^2) \rho_\epsilon^{2k} \right\}.$$

3. EXAMPLES OF k -EXTREMAL SUBMANIFOLDS

Wu (cf. [35, Theorem 1.1]) calculated the Euler-Lagrangian equation of F_k and showed that M^n is an k -extremal submanifold of \mathbb{S}^{n+p} if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho^{2k-2} \left[\sum_\beta \text{tr}(A_\alpha A_\beta^2) - \sum_\beta H^\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{n}{2k} \rho^2 H^\alpha \right] \\ & + (n-1) H^\alpha \Delta(\rho^{2k-2}) + 2(n-1) \sum_i (\rho^{2k-2})_i H_i^\alpha \\ & + (n-1) \rho^{2k-2} \Delta^\perp H^\alpha - \square^\alpha(\rho^{2k-2}) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

holds for $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$. Here $\square^\alpha : C^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$(3.2) \quad \square^\alpha f = (nH^\alpha \delta_{ij} - h_{ij}^\alpha) f_{ij}.$$

When M is closed, \square^α is a self-adjoint operator (cf. [7]), i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \int_M g \square^\alpha f = \int_M f \square^\alpha g.$$

Special cases of (3.1) were obtained by Li [22] for $k = n/2$ and by Guo-Li [14] for $k = 1$, respectively.

3.1. Einstein submanifolds. Guo-Li-Wang ([15, Theorem 4.2]) showed that any minimal Einstein submanifold in the unit sphere must be a Willmore submanifold. Similarly, we can prove

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be an n -dimensional minimal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} . If either $n \geq 3$ and M is Einstein, or $n = 2$ and M has constant Gauss curvature, then M is k -extremal for $k \geq 1$.*

Proof. From (2.5) one can check that

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\beta} \operatorname{tr}(A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}^2) - \sum_{\beta} H^{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij}^{\alpha} R_{ij} - n(n-1)H^{\alpha} - (n-1) \sum_{\beta} H^{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Either $n \geq 3$ and M is Einstein, or $n = 2$ and M has constant Gauss curvature, we always have $R_{ij} = \frac{R}{n} \delta_{ij}$ with constant R . Since M is minimal, $\rho^2 = S - nH^2 = S = n(n-1) - R$ is also constant from (2.6). By using (3.4), one can easily verify that (3.1) holds. \square

Remark 3.2. *When considering Willmore surface ($n = 2, k = 1$), we can remove the assumption of “constant Gauss curvature”, that is, any minimal surface in \mathbb{S}^{2+p} is a Willmore surface (cf. [15, Remark 4.2]).*

Example 3.3 (Projective spaces). *Let $\mathbb{F}P^m$ be the projective space $\mathbb{F}P^m$ of real dimension $n = m \cdot d_{\mathbb{F}}$. Here \mathbb{F} denotes the field \mathbb{R} of real numbers, the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers or the field \mathbb{Q} of quaternions, and $d_{\mathbb{R}} = 1, d_{\mathbb{C}} = 2$ and $d_{\mathbb{Q}} = 4$.*

It is well known that there exists a minimal embedding ϕ_1 , called the first standard embedding, of $\mathbb{F}P^m$ into \mathbb{S}^N with $N = \frac{m(m+1)}{2}d + m - 1$ (cf. [4, Chapter 4.6]).

In these cases, $\mathbb{R}P^n$ is just the Veronese submanifold with constant sectional curvature $\frac{n}{2(n+1)}$; $\mathbb{C}P^m$ are Einstein with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{2m}{m+1}$ and with constant Ricci curvatures m ; $\mathbb{Q}P^m$ is also Einstein with constant Ricci curvatures $\frac{2m(m+2)}{m+1}$.

By Proposition 3.1, we know that $\phi_1 : \mathbb{F}P^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^N$ is k -extremal.

3.2. Isoparametric hypersurfaces. Next we consider the isoparametric hypersurfaces in a sphere. In this case, all the principal curvatures λ_i are constant, so are ρ^2 and H . We immediately derive

Lemma 3.4. *Let M be an isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} . If M is k -extremal ($k \geq 1$), then*

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_i \lambda_i^3 + \frac{n^2}{2k} H^3 - \left(\frac{n}{2k} + 1 \right) HS = 0,$$

where $S = \sum_i \lambda_i^2, H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i \lambda_i$.

The classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces in a sphere is an important problem in geometry. It has a long history, and recently was solved completely after a series of work, see a survey article [9] and the references therein. We briefly summarize some key properties of the isoparametric theory in a sphere.

Lemma 3.5 ([1, 3, 26, 27, 34]). *Let M be an n -dimension closed isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} . Let $\lambda_1 > \dots > \lambda_g$ be the distinct principal curvatures with multiplicities m_1, \dots, m_g . Then we have*

- (1) $g = 1, 2, 3, 4$ or 6 .
- (2) If $g = 1$, then M is totally umbilical.

- (3) If $g = 2$, then $M = \mathbb{S}^m(a) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}(\sqrt{1-a^2})$.
(4) If $g = 3$, then $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = 2^l$ ($l = 0, 1, 2, 3$).
(5) If $g = 4$, then $m_1 = m_3, m_2 = m_4$. Moreover, $(m_1, m_2) = (2, 2)$ or $(4, 5)$, or $m_1 + m_2 + 1$ is a multiple of $2^{\xi(m_1-1)}$, where $\xi(l)$ denotes the numbers of integers s such that $1 \leq s \leq l$ and $s \equiv 0, 1, 2, 4 \pmod{8}$.
(6) If $g = 6$, then $m_1 = \dots = m_6 = 1$ or 2 .
(7) There exists an angle $\theta \in (0, \pi/g)$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \lambda_\alpha = \cot\left(\theta + \frac{\alpha-1}{g}\pi\right), \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, g.$$

Clearly, the totally hypersurfaces ($g = 1$) are always k -extremal. Now we discuss $g = 2, 3, 4$ and 6 , respectively.

Definition 3.6. Given $k \geq 1$, we denote an n -dimensional torus $T_{m,k}$ as follows.

- (1) When either $k > n/4$ and there exists m ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) such that $n-2k < m < 2k$, or $k < n/4$ and there exists m ($1 \leq m \leq n-1$) such that $2k < m < n-2k$, define

$$T_{m,k} = \mathbb{S}^m\left(\sqrt{\frac{m-2k}{n-4k}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}\left(\sqrt{\frac{n-m-2k}{n-4k}}\right);$$

- (2) When $k = n/4$, and $n = 2m$ is even, define

$$T_{m,k} = \mathbb{S}^m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \times \mathbb{S}^m\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right).$$

We call $T_{m,k}$ the k -extremal torus.

Theorem 3.7. Let M^n be a closed isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $g = 2$. Given $k \geq 1$. Then M is k -extremal if and only if M is one of the k -extremal tori $T_{m,k}$.

Proof. Since $M = \mathbb{S}^m(a) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}(\sqrt{1-a^2})$, by a choice of unit normal, the principal curvatures are given by $\lambda = -\frac{\sqrt{1-a^2}}{a}$ with multiplicity m and $\mu = \frac{a}{\sqrt{1-a^2}}$ with multiplicity $n-m$. (3.5) becomes

$$(3.7) \quad \left(m\lambda^3 + (n-m)\mu^3\right) + \frac{1}{2kn} \left(m\lambda + (n-m)\mu\right)^3 - \frac{n+2k}{2kn} \left(m\lambda + (n-m)\mu\right) \left(m\lambda^2 + (n-m)\mu^2\right) = 0.$$

By using $\lambda\mu = -1$, we obtain

$$(3.8) \quad (m-2k)\lambda^6 + (3m-n-2k)\lambda^4 + (3m+2k-2n)\lambda^2 + (m+2k-n) = 0,$$

equivalently,

$$(3.9) \quad \left((m-2k)\lambda^2 - (n-m-2k)\right)(\lambda^2 + 1)^2 = 0.$$

If $k = n/4$, then $(m-n/2)(\lambda^2 + 1) = 0$, and we must have $m = n/2 = 2k$. So $M = C_{m,m} = \mathbb{S}^m(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}) \times \mathbb{S}^m(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ with $k = m/2 = n/4$.

If $k = m/2$, then we must have $n = m + 2k = 2m$, i.e., $k = n/4$. Therefore, if $k \neq n/4$, then $k \neq m/2$. We solve out $\lambda^2 = \frac{1-a^2}{a^2} = \frac{n-m-2k}{m-2k}$ and $a^2 = \frac{m-2k}{n-4k}$. Since $0 < a^2 < 1$, if $k < n/4$, then $m > 2k$ and $n-m > 2k$, i.e., $2k < m < n-2k$; if $k > n/4$, then $m < 2k$ and $n-m < 2k$, i.e., $n-2k < m < 2k$. \square

Remark 3.8. For the existence of $T_{m,k}$, we have the following observation.

- (1) When $k > (n-1)/2$, $T_{m,k}$ is defined for each $m = 1, \dots, n-1$. In particular, $T_{m,n/2} = W_{m,n-m}$.

- (2) When $k \leq (n-1)/2$, m cannot run over $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$, even doesn't exist for some pair (n, k) .
- (3) When $n = 2m$ is even, $T_{m,k} = C_{m,m}$ is always defined for any $k \geq 1$, and it is the only minimal k -extremal torus.

A direct computation shows that for each existing $T_{m,k}$, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \rho^2 = n + n\left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1\right)H^2.$$

Theorem 3.9. *Let M^n be an isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $g = 3$. Given $k \geq 1$.*

- (1) *If $n = 3$ or $k \neq n/6$ ($n = 6, 12, 24$), then M is k -extremal if and only if*

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{3}, \quad \lambda_2 = 0, \quad \lambda_3 = -\sqrt{3}.$$

- (2) *If $k = n/6$ ($n = 6, 12, 24$), then M is always k -extremal.*

Proof. Let $m := m_1 = m_2 = m_3$,

$$(3.11) \quad \lambda_1 = \cot \theta, \quad \lambda_2 = \cot\left(\theta + \frac{\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{\lambda_1 - \sqrt{3}}{1 + \sqrt{3}\lambda_1}, \quad \lambda_3 = \cot\left(\theta + \frac{2\pi}{3}\right) = \frac{\lambda_1 + \sqrt{3}}{1 - \sqrt{3}\lambda_1}.$$

Putting (3.11) into (3.5) and noticing $n = 3m, \lambda_1 > 1/\sqrt{3}$, we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad (m - 2k)(\lambda_1^2 - 3)(\lambda_1^2 + 1)^3 = 0.$$

When $k \neq m/2$, we have $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{3}, \lambda_2 = 0, \lambda_3 = -\sqrt{3}$. This case is minimal.

When $k = m/2$, there are no extra restrictions on λ_1 . Since $k \geq 1$, this case occurs only when $n = 6k = 6, n = 6k = 12$ or $n = 6k = 24$. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let M^n be an isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $g = 6$. Given $k \geq 1$.*

- (1) *If $n = 6$, or $n = 12$ and $k > 1$, then M is k -extremal if and only if*

$$\lambda_1 = 2 + \sqrt{3}, \quad \lambda_2 = 1, \quad \lambda_3 = 2 - \sqrt{3}, \quad \lambda_4 = -(2 - \sqrt{3}), \quad \lambda_5 = -1, \quad \lambda_6 = -(2 + \sqrt{3}).$$

- (2) *If $n = 12$ and $k = 1$, then M is always k -extremal.*

Proof. Let $m := m_1 = m_2 = m_3 = m_4 = m_5 = m_6 \in 1, 2$,

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \cot \theta, & \lambda_2 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda_1 - 1}{\sqrt{3} + \lambda_1}, & \lambda_3 &= \frac{\lambda_1 - \sqrt{3}}{1 + \sqrt{3}\lambda_1}, \\ \lambda_4 &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, & \lambda_5 &= \frac{\lambda_1 + \sqrt{3}}{1 - \sqrt{3}\lambda_1}, & \lambda_6 &= \frac{\sqrt{3}\lambda_1 + 1}{\sqrt{3} - \lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting (3.13) into (3.5) and noticing $n = 6m, \lambda_1 > \sqrt{3}$, we obtain

$$(3.14) \quad (m - 2k)(\lambda_1^2 - 1)(1 - 4\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2)(1 + 4\lambda_1 + \lambda_1^2)(1 + \lambda_1^2)^6 = 0.$$

When $k \neq m/2$, we have

$$\lambda_1 = 2 + \sqrt{3}, \quad \lambda_2 = 1, \quad \lambda_3 = 2 - \sqrt{3}, \quad \lambda_4 = -(2 - \sqrt{3}), \quad \lambda_5 = -1, \quad \lambda_6 = -(2 + \sqrt{3}).$$

This case is minimal.

When $k = m/2$, there are no extra restrictions on λ_1 . Since $k \geq 1$, this case occurs only when $n = 12k = 12$. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let M^n be an isoparametric hypersurface in \mathbb{S}^{n+1} with $g = 4$. Given $k \geq 1$. Then M is a k -extremal hypersurface if and only if the equation*

$$(2k - m_1)m_1(m_1 + 2m_2)x^2 + 4m_1m_2(m_2 - m_1)x - 16(2k - m_2)m_2(2m_1 + m_2) = 0$$

has a positive solution $x = A^2$ ($A > 0$), and the principal curvatures are given by

$$(3.15) \quad \lambda_1 = \lambda, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda + 1}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda_4 = -\frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda - 1},$$

where $\lambda > 1$ satisfies $\lambda - 1/\lambda = A > 0$. Moreover,

(1) When $m_1 = m_2 = n/4$, if $k = n/8$, then M is always k -extremal; if $k \neq n/8$, then

$$(3.16) \quad \lambda_1 = \sqrt{2} + 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{2} - 1, \quad \lambda_3 = 1 - \sqrt{2}, \quad \lambda_4 = -(1 + \sqrt{2}).$$

(2) When $m_1 < m_2$, if $m_1 \leq k \leq m_2$, then M is not k -extremal; if $k < m_1$ or $k > m_2$, then (3.16) has exactly a positive solution.

Proof. We have $m_1 = m_3, m_2 = m_4, n = 2(m_1 + m_2)$,

$$(3.17) \quad \lambda_1 = \cot \theta, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\lambda_1 - 1}{\lambda_1 + 1}, \quad \lambda_3 = -\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \quad \lambda_4 = -\frac{\lambda_1 + 1}{\lambda_1 - 1} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_2}.$$

Set $A = \lambda_1 - \frac{1}{\lambda_1}, B = \lambda_2 - \frac{1}{\lambda_2}$, then

$$(3.18) \quad nH = m_1A + m_2B, \quad S = m_1A^2 + m_2B^2 + n, \quad \sum_i \lambda_i^3 = m_1A^3 + m_2B^3 + 3nH.$$

Putting (3.18) into (3.5) and noticing $AB = -4$, we obtain

$$(3.19) \quad (2k - m_1)m_1(m_1 + 2m_2)A^4 + 4m_1m_2(m_2 - m_1)A^2 - 16(2k - m_2)m_2(2m_1 + m_2) = 0.$$

Case 1: $m_1 = m_2$. In this case, $m_1 = m_2 = m = n/4$ and (3.19) becomes

$$(3.20) \quad (2k - m)(A^2 - 4)(A^2 + 4) = 0.$$

When $k \neq m/2$, we have $\lambda_1 - 1/\lambda_1 = 2$, and then

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{2} + 1, \quad \lambda_2 = \sqrt{2} - 1, \quad \lambda_3 = 1 - \sqrt{2}, \quad \lambda_4 = -(1 + \sqrt{2}).$$

This case is minimal.

When $k = m/2 = n/8$, there are no extra restrictions on A (so on λ_1).

Case 2: $m_1 < m_2$. In this case, if we denote $b = m_2/m_1$, then (3.19) becomes

$$(3.21) \quad \left(\frac{2k}{m_1} - 1\right)(1 + 2b)A^4 + 4b(b - 1)A^2 - 16\left(\frac{2k}{m_1} - b\right)b(2 + b) = 0.$$

We consider the quadratic polynomial

$$(3.22) \quad P_{b,k}(x) = \left(\frac{2k}{m_1} - 1\right)(1 + 2b)x^2 + 4b(b - 1)x - 16\left(\frac{2k}{m_1} - b\right)b(2 + b).$$

When $1 \leq 2k/m_1 \leq b$, $P_{b,k}$ has no positive root since $b > 1$. When $2k/m_1 < 1$ or $2k/m_1 > b$, $P_{b,k}$ has exactly one positive root. \square

4. SECTIONAL CURVATURE PINCHING THEOREMS

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.4 and 1.7. Firstly we show the following integral formula, which extends Proposition 3.2 of [32] for $k = n/2$ to general $k \geq 1$.

Proposition 4.1. *For any n -dimensional closed k -extremal submanifold in \mathbb{S}^{n+p} , there holds the following integral equality*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.1) \quad & \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\
& + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp \\
& - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_\alpha \tilde{A}_\beta^2) - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha \beta} H^\alpha H^\beta \\
& + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We have by (3.3)

$$(4.2) \quad \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha} \square^\alpha (nH^\alpha) - \int_M \sum_{\alpha} (nH^\alpha) \square^\alpha \rho^{2k-2} = 0.$$

By the definition of \square^α , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & \sum_{\alpha} \square^\alpha (nH^\alpha) = n^2 \sum_{\alpha, i} H^\alpha H_{ii}^\alpha - \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha \\
& = n^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta H^2 - |\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 \right) - \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ijkk}^\alpha \\
& \quad + \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta \\
& = n^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta H^2 - |\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta S + |\nabla h|^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta \\
& = |\nabla h|^2 - n^2 |\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \rho^2 + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \Delta H^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the Ricci identity (2.4) in the second equality.

Multiplying (4.3) by ρ^{2k-2} and taking integration over M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha} \square^\alpha (nH^\alpha) = \int_M \rho^{2k-2} (|\nabla h|^2 - n^2 |\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \Delta H^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \Delta \rho^2 \\
& \quad + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp.
\end{aligned}$$

From The Euler-Lagrangian equation (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & - \int_M \sum_{\alpha} n H^{\alpha} \square^{\alpha} \rho^{2k-2} = -n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}(A_{\alpha} A_{\beta}^2) - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^{\alpha} H^{\beta} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{n}{2k} \rho^2 H^2 \right] \\
& - n \int_M (n-1) H^2 \Delta(\rho^{2k-2}) - 2n(n-1) \int_M \sum_{i, \alpha} (\rho^{2k-2})_i H_i^{\alpha} H^{\alpha} \\
& - n(n-1) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{i, \alpha} H^{\alpha} H_i^{\alpha} \\
& = -n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left[\sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{\beta}^2) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^{\alpha} H^{\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} + (1 - \frac{n}{2k}) \rho^2 H^2 \right] \\
& - n(n-1) \int_M H^2 \Delta \rho^{2k-2} - n(n-1) \int_M \sum_i (\rho^{2k-2})_i (H^2)_i \\
& - n(n-1) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta H^2 - |\nabla^{\perp} \mathbf{H}|^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (2.11) and (2.12) and Stokes' formula.

Noting that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 &= \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k} (h_{ij}^{\alpha} - H_k^{\alpha} \delta_{ij})^2 = |\nabla h|^2 - n |\nabla^{\perp} \mathbf{H}|^2, \\
-\frac{1}{2} \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \Delta \rho^2 &= (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2, \quad - \int_M H^2 \Delta \rho^{2k-2} = \int_M \sum_i (\rho^{2k-2})_i (H^2)_i,
\end{aligned}$$

we can complete the proof by putting (4.4) and (4.5) into (4.2). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By the Ricci equation (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad & \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{ki}^{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha jk}^{\perp} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{ki}^{\beta} (h_{jl}^{\beta} h_{lk}^{\alpha} - h_{kl}^{\beta} h_{lj}^{\alpha}) \\
& = \operatorname{tr}(A_{\alpha} A_{\beta})^2 - \operatorname{tr}(A_{\alpha}^2 A_{\beta}^2) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}]) \\
& = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_{\alpha}, \tilde{A}_{\beta}]).
\end{aligned}$$

By using of (2.1), (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12), a direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.7) \quad & \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^{\alpha} (h_{kl}^{\alpha} R_{lij k} + h_{li}^{\alpha} R_{lkj k}) \\
& = n(1 + H^2) \rho^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 + n \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^{\alpha} \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_{\alpha} \tilde{A}_{\beta}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_{\alpha}, \tilde{A}_{\beta}]).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we use the trick of Yau [42]. Inserting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad 0 &= \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\
&+ (a+1) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta, i, j, k} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ki}^\beta R_{\beta \alpha j k}^\perp \\
&- n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_\alpha \tilde{A}_\beta^2) - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} H^\alpha H^\beta \\
&+ \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 - a \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) \\
&= \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + a \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 \\
&+ (a+1) \int_M \sum_{\alpha, i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) - \frac{1-a}{2} \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \\
&- (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_\alpha \tilde{A}_\beta^2) - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} H^\alpha H^\beta \\
&+ \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M H^2 \rho^{2k} - an \int_M H^2 \rho^{2k} - an \int_M \rho^{2k},
\end{aligned}$$

where $a \in [0, 1]$ is a constant which will be chosen later.

For a fixed α , $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$, we can take a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $h_{ij}^\alpha = \lambda_i^\alpha \delta_{ij}$. Then $\tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha = \mu_i^\alpha \delta_{ij}$ with $\mu_i^\alpha = \lambda_i^\alpha - H^\alpha$ and $\sum_i \mu_i^\alpha = 0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j, k, l} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) &= \sum_{i, k} ((\lambda_i^\alpha)^2 - (\lambda_i^\alpha \lambda_k^\alpha)) R_{ikik} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k} (\lambda_i^\alpha - \lambda_k^\alpha)^2 R_{ikik} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k} (\mu_i^\alpha - \mu_k^\alpha)^2 R_{ikik} \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i, k} (\mu_i^\alpha - \mu_k^\alpha)^2 K_{\min} \\
&= \left(\sum_{i, k} \mu_i^\alpha\right)^2 K_{\min} - \left(\sum_i \mu_i^\alpha\right) \left(\sum_k \mu_k^\alpha\right) K_{\min} \\
&= nN(\tilde{A}_\alpha) K_{\min}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{i, j, k, l, \alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha (h_{kl}^\alpha R_{lij k} + h_{li}^\alpha R_{lkj k}) \geq nK_{\min} \sum_{\alpha} N(\tilde{A}_\alpha) = n\rho^2 K_{\min}.$$

Moreover, equality in (4.9) holds if and only if $R_{ijij} = K_{\min}$ for any $i \neq j$.

By using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$(4.10) \quad \left| \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_\beta \tilde{A}_\alpha^2) \right| = \left| \sum_i \tilde{h}_{ii}^\beta (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 \right| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \left(\sum_i (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 \right) \sqrt{\sum_i (\tilde{h}_{ii}^\beta)^2}$$

$$(4.11) \quad \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} N(\tilde{A}_\alpha) \sqrt{N(\tilde{A}_\beta)},$$

hence, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$(4.12) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \left| H^\beta \operatorname{tr}(\tilde{A}_\beta \tilde{A}_\alpha^2) \right| \leq \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} N(\tilde{A}_\alpha) \sqrt{N(\tilde{A}_\beta)} |H^\beta| \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho^3.$$

We choose a suitable e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p} such that the $(p \times p)$ matrix $(\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta})$ is diagonalized, i.e., $\tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$. A direct calculation shows that

$$(4.13) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta} H^\alpha H^\beta \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{\alpha} (H^\alpha)^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha} \leq \sum_{\alpha} (H^\alpha)^2 \sum_{\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\beta\beta} = H^2 \rho^2.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality gives

$$(4.14) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \sum_{\alpha} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\alpha}^2 \geq \frac{1}{p} \left(\sum_{\alpha} \sigma_{\alpha\alpha} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{p} \rho^4.$$

The DDVV inequality (2.16) implies

$$(4.15) \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \leq \operatorname{sgn}(p-1) \rho^4.$$

By using (4.9), (4.12), (4.13), (4.14) and (4.15), it follows from (4.8) that

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \frac{a}{p} \int_M \rho^{2k+2} \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} K_{\min} - \frac{1-a}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1) \int_M \rho^{2k+2} \\ &\quad - (a+1) \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \int_M \rho^{2k+1} H - (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n \right) \int_M H^2 \rho^{2k} - an \int_M \rho^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Now taking $a = \frac{p}{p+2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)$, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(K_{\min} - C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \geq 1$, from the pinching condition (1.7) we obtain

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(K_{\min} - C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) \right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $|\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 \equiv 0$ on M and all the inequalities in (4.12), (4.13), (4.15) become equalities.

From $|\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 = \sum_{\alpha,i,j,k} (h_{ijk}^\alpha - H_k^\alpha \delta_{ij})^2 = 0$ we have $h_{ijk}^\alpha = H_k^\alpha \delta_{ij}$, which implies $h_{ijk}^\alpha = 0, H_k^\alpha = 0$ for all i, j, k, α , i.e., both the second fundamental form and the mean curvature of M are parallel. Moreover, both H and $\rho^2 = S - nH^2$ are constant.

If $\rho^2 = 0$, then M is totally umbilical. Next, we discuss the case of $\rho^2 > 0$.

Case (i): $p \geq 2$. Equalities (4.15) and (4.13) imply $p = 2$ (cf. Lemma 2.4) and $H^2 \rho^2 = 0$. Therefore, M is minimal and $\sec^M \geq \frac{p}{2(p+1)}$. A rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds due to Gu-Xu (cf. [12, Theorem 1]) says that M is the Veronese surface in \mathbb{S}^4 with $n = 2$.

Case (ii): $p = 1$. The parameter $a = 0$ and equalities in (4.13), (4.14), and (4.15) hold automatically. We conclude that $M = \mathbb{S}^m(a) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-m}(\sqrt{1-a^2})$ by Lawson's classification [19]. From (4.18) we have

$$(4.19) \quad 0 = K_{\min} = \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho + \left(2 - \frac{n}{2k}\right) H^2.$$

When $n = 2$, since $k \geq 1$, we conclude $H = 0$, and M is the Clifford torus $C_{1,1}$ (cf. Theorem 3.7).

When $n \geq 3$, if $H = 0$, then $M = C_{m,n-m}$. We must have $n = 2m$ and $M = C_{m,m}$ by Theorem 3.7.

If $H \neq 0$, (4.12) can be proven by Lemma 2.1, and the equality case implies $m = 1$ without loss of generality. From (4.19) we have $\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \rho = \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 2\right) H > 0$, then $k < n/4$. But $m = 1$ doesn't satisfy $2k < m < n - 2k$. Hence, this case cannot occur by Theorem 3.7. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. We modified (4.16) by using (cf. Lemma 2.5)

$$(4.20) \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \leq n \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2$$

instead of (4.14) and (4.15). Then we have

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla\rho|^2 \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} K_{\min} + \left(\frac{a}{n} - \frac{1-a}{2}\right) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \\ &\quad - (a+1) \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \int_M \rho^{2k+1} H - (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M H^2 \rho^{2k} - an \int_M \rho^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $a = \frac{n}{n+2}$, we obtain

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla\tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla\rho|^2 \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(K_{\min} - C'_1(n, H, \rho, k)\right) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is similar with the proof of Theorem 1.4, just by using Itoh's classification [18] for minimal submanifolds when $p \geq 2$ and excluding the case of $p = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.7. We start from (4.17).

$$(4.23) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ &\quad + (a+1)n \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(K_{\min} - C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) \right) \end{aligned}$$

with $a = \frac{p}{p+2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)$.

By using (2.22) and letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$(4.24) \quad \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \geq \frac{2kn-n+2}{nk^2} \left\{ \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \mathfrak{B}(n, t) \int_M (1+H^2)\rho^{2k} \right\}.$$

Since $\delta = \Phi - C_1 > 0$, we have $K_-^\Phi - \delta \geq C_1 - K_{\min}$ by the definition of K_-^Φ . Hence, by using the Hölder inequality we have

$$(4.25) \quad \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(K_{\min} - C_1(n, p, H, \rho, k) \right) \geq \int_M \delta \rho^{2k} - \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} \|K_-^\Phi\|_{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Since M is compact, there exist constants $\delta_0 > 0$ and $H_0 \geq 0$ such that $\int_M \delta \rho^{2k} = \delta_0 \int_M \rho^{2k}$ and $\int_M (1+H^2)\rho^{2k} = (1+H_0^2) \int_M \rho^{2k}$. Putting (4.24) and (4.25) into (4.23) and choosing t_1 such that $\frac{2kn-n+2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{B}(n, t_1) (1+H_0^2) = (a+1)n\delta_0$, we obtain

$$0 \geq \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} \left(\frac{2kn-n+2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t_1) - (a+1)n \|K_-^\Phi\|_{\frac{n}{2}} \right).$$

Hence, M is totally umbilical provided $\|K_-^\Phi\|_{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon_1$ by setting $\epsilon_1 = \frac{1}{(a+1)n} \frac{2kn-n+2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t_1)$. \square

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1.7, so we omit it.

5. RICCI CURVATURE PINCHING THEOREMS

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.9 and 1.11.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. Putting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.1), and using (4.13), we have

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\ &\quad + n \int_M \rho^{2k} - \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \\ &\quad + n \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} H^\alpha H^\beta + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\ &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n\right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\ &\quad + \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left(n\rho^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Next we use Ejiri's trick [10] to estimate the last term of (5.1).

By the Gauss equation (2.1), we have

$$(5.2) \quad R_{ii} = (n-1) + (n-2) \sum_{\alpha} H^\alpha \tilde{h}_{ii}^\alpha + (n-1)H^2 - \sum_{\alpha, j} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha)^2,$$

For a fixed α , $n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$, we can take a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $\tilde{h}_{ij}^\alpha = \mu_i^\alpha \delta_{ij}$. Then (5.2) gives

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_j \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta)^2 \leq (n-1)(1+H^2) + (n-2) \sum_\gamma H^\gamma \tilde{h}_{ii}^\gamma - (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 - \text{Ric}_{\min} \quad \text{for each } i,$$

and then

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_\beta N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) &= \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) = \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{i,j} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta)^2 (\mu_i^\alpha - \mu_j^\alpha)^2 \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta)^2 \left((\mu_i^\alpha)^2 + (\mu_j^\alpha)^2 \right) = 4 \sum_{i,j} \sum_{\beta \neq \alpha} (\tilde{h}_{ij}^\beta)^2 (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 \\ &\leq 4 \sum_i \left((n-1)(1+H^2) + (n-2) \sum_\gamma H^\gamma \tilde{h}_{ii}^\gamma - (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 - \text{Ric}_{\min} \right) (\mu_i^\alpha)^2 \\ &= 4 \left((n-1)(1+H^2) - \text{Ric}_{\min} \right) N(\tilde{A}_\alpha) + 4(n-2) \sum_\gamma H^\gamma \text{tr}(\tilde{A}_\gamma \tilde{A}_\alpha^2) - 4N(\tilde{A}_\alpha^2). \end{aligned}$$

Making summation over α , we have

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha,\beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \leq 4 \left((n-1)(1+H^2) - \text{Ric}_{\min} \right) \rho^2 + \frac{4(n-2)^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho^3 - \frac{4}{n} \sum_\alpha (N(\tilde{A}_\alpha))^2,$$

where we used (4.12) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. We denote

$$\tilde{C}_2 = (n-2) + \frac{(n-2)^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho + (n-1)H^2,$$

then (2.6) gives

$$(5.6) \quad \text{Ric}_{\min} \leq \frac{R}{n} \leq (n-1)(1+H^2) - \frac{\rho^2}{n} \leq \frac{n-\rho^2}{n} + \tilde{C}_2.$$

Noting (4.14), we obtain

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &n\rho^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \\ &\geq n\rho^2 - 4 \left((n-1)(1+H^2) + \frac{(n-2)^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho - \text{Ric}_{\min} \right) \rho^2 + \frac{4-n}{n} \sum_\alpha (N(\tilde{A}_\alpha))^2 \\ &\geq -4 \left((n-2) + (n-1)H^2 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H \rho - \text{Ric}_{\min} \right) \rho^2 + \frac{n-4}{n} \rho^2 (n-\rho^2) \\ &\geq -4(\tilde{C}_2 - \text{Ric}_{\min}) \rho^2 + (n-4)(\text{Ric}_{\min} - \tilde{C}_2) \rho^2 \\ &= n\rho^2 (\text{Ric}_{\min} - \tilde{C}_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, putting (5.7) into (5.1) we obtain

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + \int_M \rho^{2k} n \left(\text{Ric}_{\min} - \tilde{C}_2 + \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1 \right) H^2 \right) \\ &= \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + n \int_M \rho^{2k} (\text{Ric}_{\min} - C_2). \end{aligned}$$

Since $k \geq 1$, if $\text{Ric}_{\min} \geq C_2$, then

$$(5.9) \quad 0 \geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 + n \int_M \rho^{2k} (\text{Ric}_{\min} - C_2) \geq 0.$$

As pointed in the proof of Theorem 1.4, we have $|\nabla h|^2 = |\nabla^\perp \mathbf{H}|^2 = 0$, and both H and ρ^2 are constant.

If $\rho^2 = 0$, then M is totally umbilical. Next, we discuss the case of $\rho^2 > 0$. From (5.9) we have

$$(5.10) \quad \text{Ric}_{\min} = C_2.$$

When $n \geq 5$, all the inequalities in (5.6) become equalities, which implies $H = 0$. Then M is $C_{m,m}$ with $n = 2m$ by a rigidity theorem of Ejiri for minimal submanifolds [10].

When $n = 4$, since $k \geq 1$ we have

$$(5.11) \quad \text{Ric}^M \geq C_2 \geq (n-2) + (n - \frac{n}{2k})H^2 \geq (n-2)(1+H^2).$$

By a rigidity result of Xu-Gu [37, Theorem 3.3] for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature, M is either $\mathbb{S}^2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^2)}}) \times \mathbb{S}^2(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+H^2)}})$ in $\mathbb{S}^5(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}})$, or the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2(\frac{4}{3}(1+H^2))$ with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $\frac{4}{3}(1+H^2)$ in $\mathbb{S}^7(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+H^2}})$. These two candidates are Einstein with $\text{Ric}^M = (n-2)(1+H^2)$. Hence, we must have $H = 0$ by noticing (5.11). \square

Proof of Theorem 1.11. Since $\delta = (n-1)\Phi - C_2 > 0$, we have $\text{Ric}_-^\Phi - \delta \geq C_2 - \text{Ric}_{\min}$ by the definition of Ric_-^Φ . From (5.8) (cf. (4.24) and (4.25)) we have

$$(5.12) \quad 0 \geq \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} - n \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} \|\text{Ric}_-^\Phi\|_{\frac{n}{2}}$$

by choosing δ_0, H_0, t_2 such that

$$\int_M \delta \rho^{2k} = \delta_0 \int_M \rho^{2k}, \int_M (1+H^2) \rho^{2k} = (1+H_0^2) \int_M \rho^{2k}, \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{B}(n, t_2) (1+H_0^2) = n\delta_0.$$

Hence, M is totally umbilical provided $\|\text{Ric}_-^\Phi\|_{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon_2$ by setting $\epsilon_2 = \frac{1}{n} \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t_2)$. \square

6. SCALAR CURVATURE PINCHING THEOREMS

In this section, we prove rigidity theorems under scalar curvature pinching conditions.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Putting (4.6) and (4.7) into (4.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\
&\quad + n \int_M (1+H^2) \rho^{2k} - \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta}^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} N([\tilde{A}_\alpha, \tilde{A}_\beta]) \right) \\
&\quad - n \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \tilde{\sigma}_{\alpha\beta} H^\alpha H^\beta + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n \right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\
(6.1) \quad &\geq \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \tilde{h}|^2 + (2k-2) \int_M \rho^{2k-2} |\nabla \rho|^2 \\
&\quad + n \int_M (1+H^2) \rho^{2k} - \int_M \rho^{2k-2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1) \right) \rho^4 \\
&\quad - n \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 + \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n \right) \int_M \rho^{2k} H^2 \\
&\geq \int_M \rho^{2k} \left(n + n \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1 \right) H^2 - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1) \right) \rho^2 \right) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$\rho^2 \leq \frac{n + n \left(\frac{n}{2k} - 1 \right) H^2}{1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)} = C_3(n, p, H, k).$$

Here we used (2.18) and (4.13).

Hence, by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 1.4, M has parallel second fundamental form and parallel mean curvature; both ρ^2 and H^2 are constant. We obtain either $\rho^2 = 0$ or $\rho^2 = C_3$. When $\rho^2 = C_3$, if $p = 1$, then $M = T_{m,k}$; if $p \geq 2$, then $H^2 = 0$ (cf. the equality case in (4.13) and Lemma 2.6) and $S \leq \frac{2}{3}n$, so M is the Veronese surface in S^4 by [2, Theorem 3]. \square

Proof of Theorems 1.13 and Theorems 1.14. Denote $\tau(p) = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1)$. Since $\delta = C_3 - \Phi > 0$, we have $C_3 - \rho^2 \geq \delta - (\rho^2 - \Phi)_+$. From (6.1) (cf. (4.24) and (4.25)) we have

$$(6.2) \quad 0 \geq \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \tau(p) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} \|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{\frac{n}{2}}$$

by choosing δ_0, H_0, t_3 such that

$$\int_M \delta \rho^{2k} = \delta_0 \int_M \rho^{2k}, \quad \int_M (1+H^2) \rho^{2k} = (1+H_0^2) \int_M \rho^{2k}, \quad \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{B}(n, t_3) (1+H_0^2) = \tau(p) \delta_0.$$

Hence, M is totally umbilical provided $\|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon_3$ by setting $\epsilon_3 = \frac{1}{\tau(p)} \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t_3)$.

This proves Theorem 1.13.

When $1 \leq k < n/2$, since $\frac{n^2}{2k} - n > 0$, we can control $\mathfrak{B}(n, t) \int_M H^2 \rho^{2k}$ by $\int_M \left(\frac{n^2}{2k} - n \right) H^2 \rho^{2k}$. From (6.1) we have

$$0 \geq \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} - \tau(p) \|\rho^{2k}\|_{\frac{n}{n-2}} \|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{\frac{n}{2}}$$

by setting $\delta' = (1 - \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{sgn}(p-1))n - \Phi$ and choosing δ'_0, t'_3 such that

$$\int_M \delta' \rho^{2k} = \delta'_0 \int_M \rho^{2k}, \quad \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{B}(n, t'_3) = \tau(p) \min \left\{ \frac{n^2}{2k} - n, \delta'_0 \right\},$$

Hence, M is totally umbilical provided $\|(\rho^2 - \Phi)_+\|_{\frac{n}{2}} < \epsilon'_3$ by setting $\epsilon'_3 = \frac{1}{\tau(p)} \frac{2kn - n + 2}{nk^2} \mathfrak{A}(n, t'_3)$.

This proves Theorem 1.14. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] U. Abresch, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four or six distinct principal curvatures. Necessary conditions on the multiplicities*, Math. Ann. **264** (1983), no. 3, 283–302. MR714104
- [2] Li An-Min and Li Jimin, *An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **58** (1992), no. 6, 582–594. MR1161925
- [3] Élie Cartan, *Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. **17** (1938), no. 1, 177–191. MR1553310
- [4] Bang-Yen Chen, *Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type*, Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 1, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, 1984. MR749575
- [5] Hang Chen and Guofang Wei, *Rigidity of minimal submanifolds in space forms*, J. Geom. Anal. **31** (2021), no. 5, 4923–4933. MR4244891
- [6] Qing-Ming Cheng, *Submanifolds with constant scalar curvature*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A **132** (2002), no. 5, 1163–1183. MR1938718
- [7] Shiu Yuen Cheng and Shing Tung Yau, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature*, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), no. 3, 195–204. MR431043
- [8] S. S. Chern, M. do Carmo, and S. Kobayashi, *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), 1970, pp. 59–75. MR0273546
- [9] Quo-Shin Chi, *The isoparametric story, a heritage of Élie Cartan*, Proceedings of the International Consortium of Chinese Mathematicians 2018, 2020, pp. 197–260. MR4251144
- [10] Norio Ejiri, *Compact minimal submanifolds of a sphere with positive Ricci curvature*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **31** (1979), no. 2, 251–256. MR527542
- [11] Jianquan Ge and Zizhou Tang, *A proof of the DDVV conjecture and its equality case*, Pacific J. Math. **237** (2008), no. 1, 87–95. MR2415209
- [12] Juan-Ru Gu and Hong-Wei Xu, *On Yau rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in spheres*, Math. Res. Lett. **19** (2012), no. 3, 511–523. MR2998136
- [13] Xi Guo and Lan Wu, *The gap theorems for some extremal submanifolds in a unit sphere*, Commun. Math. **23** (2015), no. 1, 85–93. MR3394079
- [14] Zhen Guo and Haizhong Li, *A variational problem for submanifolds in a sphere*, Monatsh. Math. **152** (2007), no. 4, 295–302. MR2358299
- [15] Zhen Guo, Haizhong Li, and Changping Wang, *The second variational formula for Willmore submanifolds in S^n* , 2001, pp. 205–225. Dedicated to Shiing-Shen Chern on his 90th birthday. MR1860369
- [16] Fangfang Han, *The eigenvalue and rigidity problem of submanifolds*, Master, 2013.
- [17] David Hoffman and Joel Spruck, *Sobolev and isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian submanifolds*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **27** (1974), 715–727. MR365424
- [18] Takehiro Itoh, *On Veronese manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **27** (1975), no. 3, 497–506. MR383309
- [19] H. Blaine Lawson Jr., *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 187–197. MR238229
- [20] Hai Zhong Li, *Curvature pinching for odd-dimensional minimal submanifolds in a sphere*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **53(67)** (1993), 122–132. MR1319765
- [21] Haizhong Li, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms*, Math. Ann. **305** (1996), no. 4, 665–672. MR1399710
- [22] ———, *Willmore hypersurfaces in a sphere*, Asian J. Math. **5** (2001), no. 2, 365–377. MR1868938
- [23] ———, *Willmore submanifolds in a sphere*, Math. Res. Lett. **9** (2002), no. 5-6, 771–790. MR1906077
- [24] ———, *Willmore surfaces in S^n* , Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **21** (2002), no. 2, 203–213. MR1894947
- [25] Zhiqin Lu, *Normal scalar curvature conjecture and its applications*, J. Funct. Anal. **261** (2011), no. 5, 1284–1308. MR2807100
- [26] Hans Friedrich Münzner, *Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären*, Math. Ann. **251** (1980), no. 1, 57–71. MR583825
- [27] ———, *Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären. II. Über die Zerlegung der Sphäre in Ballbündel*, Math. Ann. **256** (1981), no. 2, 215–232. MR620709
- [28] Masafumi Okumura, *Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 207–213. MR353216
- [29] Pengfei Pan, Hong-Wei Xu, and Entao Zhao, *Global rigidity theorems for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) **43** (2023), no. 1, 169–183. MR4498377
- [30] Yi Bing Shen, *On intrinsic rigidity for minimal submanifolds in a sphere*, Sci. China Ser. A **32** (1989), no. 7, 769–781. MR1057998

- [31] ———, *Curvature pinching for three-dimensional minimal submanifolds in a sphere*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **115** (1992), no. 3, 791–795. MR1093604
- [32] Shichang Shu, *Curvature and rigidity of Willmore submanifolds*, Tsukuba J. Math. **31** (2007), no. 1, 175–196. MR2337125
- [33] James Simons, *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 62–105. MR233295
- [34] Stephan Stolz, *Multiplicities of Dupin hypersurfaces*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), no. 2, 253–279. MR1720184
- [35] Lan Wu, *A class of variational problems for submanifolds in a space form*, Houston J. Math. **35** (2009), no. 2, 435–450. MR2519540
- [36] Hong-Wei Xu, *$L_{n/2}$ -pinching theorems for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a sphere*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **46** (1994), no. 3, 503–515. MR1276835
- [37] Hong-Wei Xu and Juan-Ru Gu, *Geometric, topological and differentiable rigidity of submanifolds in space forms*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **23** (2013), no. 5, 1684–1703. MR3102915
- [38] Hongwei Xu and Dengyun Yang, *The gap phenomenon for extremal submanifolds in a sphere*, Differential Geom. Appl. **29** (2011), no. 1, 26–34. MR2784286
- [39] Dengyun Yang, *A study on the geometric rigidity and eigenvalue problems of Willmore submanifolds*, Doctoral, 2010.
- [40] Dengyun Yang, Haiping Fu, and Jinguo Zhang, *Rigidity of willmore submanifolds and extremal submanifolds in the unit sphere*, Personal communication (2022).
- [41] Shing Tung Yau, *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature I*, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 346–366. MR370443
- [42] ———, *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature II*, Amer. J. Math. **97** (1975), 76–100. MR370443
- [43] Yueying Zhu, *A global rigidity theorem for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in space forms*, Master, 2019.

(Hang Chen) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHWESTERN POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, XI' AN 710129, P. R. CHINA, EMAIL: CHENHANG86@NWPU.EDU.CN

(Yaru Wang) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, NORTHWESTERN POLYTECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, XI' AN 710129, P. R. CHINA, EMAIL: WYR142857@MAIL.NWPU.EDU.CN