Effect of the dynamic pressure on the shock structure and sub-shocks formation in a mixture of polyatomic gases

Tommaso Ruggeri*1 and Shigeru Taniguchi^{†2}

¹Department of Mathematics & Alma Mater Research Center on Applied Mathematics, University of Bologna, Via Saragozza, 8, Bologna, 40123, Italy
²Department of Creative Engineering, National Institute of Technology, Kitakyushu College, 5-20-1 Shii, Kitakyushu, 802-0985, Japan

Abstract

We study the shock structure and the sub-shocks formation in a binary mixture of rarefied polyatomic gases, considering the dissipation only due to the dynamic pressure. We classify the regions depending on the concentration and the Mach number for which there may exist the sub-shock in the profile of shock structure in one or both constituents or not for prescribed values of the mass ratio of the constituents and the ratios of the specific heats. We compare the regions with the ones of the corresponding mixture of Eulerian gases and we perform the numerical calculations of the shock structure for typical cases previously classified and confirm whether sub-shocks emerge.

keywords: Shock structure, Mixture of gases, Rational extended thermodynamics, Polyatomic gases, Dynamic pressure, Sub-shock formation, Bulk viscosity

MSC Classification: 35L67, 76L05, 76N30, 35L02

1 Introduction

The qualitative and quantitative analysis of the shock structure is important for many applications and a challenging subject for physics to develop a valid theory to explain the rapid and steep change of the physical quantities near the shock front [1,2]. In order to understand the structure of strong shock waves involving

^{*}tommaso.ruggeri@unibo.it

[†]taniguchi.shigeru@kct.ac.jp

a highly-nonequilibrium state beyond the validity range of the *local equilibrium* assumption, we need to establish an appropriate theory. Non-equilibrium thermodynamics based on the local equilibrium assumption, including the Navier-Stokes-Fourier (NSF) theory, is not able to explain the shock structure with large Mach numbers.

The kinetic approaches based on the Boltzmann equation, such as DSMC (Direct Simulation Monte Carlo), provide successful results on the shock structure in a rarefied monatomic gas [3]. However, due to internal modes, modeling the collision terms becomes difficult for polyatomic gases. Particularly difficult for a mixture of rarefied polyatomic gases object of the present study. On these subjects, see the recent works [4, 5] and the review paper [6].

Rational Extended Thermodynamics (RET) [7–9] is a phenomenological theory that is valid beyond the validity range of the local equilibrium assumption. In addition to the usual equilibrium quantities, the RET theory adopts dissipative fluxes, such as the viscous stress and the heat flux, as independent variables. The closure of the system is achieved by using universal principles: *objectivity, entropy principle,* and *thermodynamic stability*.

In the case of rarefied polyatomic gas with large bulk viscosity, as the Mach number increases, the shock structure changes from the nearly symmetric profile (Type A) to an asymmetric profile (Type B) and further to the profile with thick and thin layers (Type C) [1]. RET can explain these changes of the shock wave structure in a unified way [10, 11] in contrast to previous approaches such as the Bethe-Teller theory [12] and the prediction by Navier-Stokes-Fourier theory [13]. In particular, the shock structure in a rarefied polyatomic gas with large bulk viscosity (large relaxation time for the dynamic pressure) can be predicted by RET with only 6 independent fields (RET₆) [14, 15]; the mass density ρ , the velocity v, the temperature T, and the dynamic pressure Π . Within the limited resolution of RET₆, the thin layer is described as a sub-shock [11, 16] while RET₁₄ with 14 independent variables can explain the fine structure with very steep but continuous change. After the successful explanation of the shock structure by RET, numerical analysis based on the kinetic theory was done. The agreement between the theoretical predictions by RET and kinetic theory strongly supports the usefulness of the analysis based on RET [17, 18].

The next step is to analyze the shock structure in a mixture of polyatomic gases. In a recent paper [19], by using the framework of RET, the field equations of a mixture of polyatomic gases are derived in the context of the multi-temperature model where each constituent has 6 fields.

For a generic quasi-linear hyperbolic system of partial differential equations it has been shown that the profile of the shock structure may not be continuous only when the shock velocity meets a characteristic velocity [20]. However, this necessary condition becomes also sufficient when the shock speed is greater than the maximum characteristic velocity evaluated in the unperturbed field, as was proved in a theorem by Boillat and Ruggeri [21]. The present status of the subshock formation is summarized in a recent survey [22] and in the book [9].

A sub-shock emerges only after the maximum characteristic velocity in the context of RET theory for a single fluid of rarefied gases according to the numerical calculations [23, 24]. On the other hand, sub-shock may appear before the maximum characteristic velocity, and multiple sub-shock may also arise in the context of mixture theories [25–27] and toy models [24, 28].

In the case of the binary Eulerian mixture of rarefied polyatomic gases, the

authors have given a complete classification of the regions in the plane of concentration and Mach number where both scenarios of sub-shocks before and after the maximum characteristic velocity arises [29, 30]. For a dissipative mixture of gases, we started the equivalent analysis of Eulerian mixtures in a recent paper [31] but examined only some particular values of the structural parameters and have not given a complete classification of the possible regions, that is, the aim of the present paper.

The present paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we summarize the basic equations for the present analysis. In Section 3, the shock structure problem is presented, and in Section 4 are classified the possible regions depending on the concentration and Mach number in which there is the possibility of subshock formation. In Section 5, the numerical calculations of the shock structure are given and are confirmed whether the sub-shocks arise or not. Section 6 shows the Conclusions.

2 Basic equations for a binary mixture of **RET**₆ gases

We consider a binary mixture of rarefied polytropic gases described by the following thermal and caloric equations of state:

$$p_{lpha} = rac{k_B}{m_{lpha}}
ho_{lpha} T_{lpha}, \qquad arepsilon_{lpha} = rac{k_B}{m_{lpha}(\gamma_{lpha}-1)} T_{lpha}$$

where the quantities with suffix α (= 1,2) represent the corresponding quantities for the constituent α and p_{α} , k_{B} , m_{α} , T_{α} , ε_{α} , and γ_{α} are, respectively, the pressure, the Boltzmann constant, the mass of a molecule, the temperature, the specific internal energy, and the ratio of the specific heats. In the present analysis, we consider polytropic gases in which the specific heat is independent of the temperature, and therefore also γ_{α} is constant.

In the present study, we analyze plane shock waves propagating along with *x*-direction and hereafter, we focus on the one-dimensional problem. Furthermore, it is assumed that the mixture is inert and no chemical reactions occur.

The system of a binary mixture of RET₆ gases without shear viscosity and heat

conductivity was given in the paper $[19]^{1}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho_{1}v_{1}}{\partial x} &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{1}v_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \rho_{1}v_{1}^{2} + p_{1} + \Pi_{1} \right\} &= \hat{m}_{1}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{1}v_{1}^{2} + 2\rho_{1}\varepsilon_{1} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho_{1}v_{1}^{2} + 2\rho_{1}\varepsilon_{1} + 2p_{1} + 2\Pi_{1} \right]v_{1} \right\} &= 2(\hat{e}_{1} + \hat{m}_{1}v), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \rho_{1}v_{1}^{2} + 3(p_{1} + \Pi_{1}) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho_{1}v_{1}^{2} + 5(p_{1} + \Pi_{1}) \right]v_{1} \right\} &= -\frac{3\Pi_{1}}{\tau_{1}} + 2(\hat{\omega}_{1} + \hat{m}_{1}v), \\ \frac{\partial \rho_{2}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho_{2}v_{2}}{\partial x} &= 0, \end{aligned}$$
(1)
$$\frac{\partial \rho_{2}v_{2}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \rho_{2}v_{2}^{2} + p_{2} + \Pi_{2} \right\} &= -\hat{m}_{1}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_{2}v_{2}^{2} + 2\rho_{2}\varepsilon_{2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho_{2}v_{2}^{2} + 2\rho_{2}\varepsilon_{2} + 2p_{2} + 2\Pi_{2} \right]v_{2} \right\} &= -2(\hat{e}_{1} + \hat{m}_{1}v), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \rho_{2}v_{2}^{2} + 3(p_{2} + \Pi_{2}) \right\} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho_{2}v_{2}^{2} + 5(p_{2} + \Pi_{2}) \right]v_{2} \right\} &= -\frac{3\Pi_{2}}{\tau_{2}} - 2(\hat{\omega}_{1} + \hat{m}_{1}v), \end{aligned}$$

where v is the velocity of center of mass and $\tau_{\alpha} > 0$ is the relaxation time for the dynamic pressure Π_{α} of the constituent α . The production terms, \hat{m}_{α} , \hat{e}_{α} , and $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha}$ represent the interchange of the momentum, the energy, the momentum flux, respectively evaluated for v = 0.

The expressions of \hat{m}_1 , \hat{e}_1 , and $\hat{\omega}_1$ are obtained in such that the entropy production is positive and quadratic as usual in non-equilibrium thermodynamics [19]

$$\hat{m}_1 = \psi_{11}\hat{\Lambda}_1, \quad \hat{e}_1 = 2(\theta_{11}\hat{\eta}_1 + \kappa_{11}\hat{\zeta}_1), \quad \hat{\omega}_1 = 2(\kappa_{11}\hat{\eta}_1 + \phi_{11}\hat{\zeta}_1), \qquad (2)$$

with

$$\begin{split} \hat{\Lambda}_{1} &= -\frac{u_{1}}{T_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{\Pi_{1}}{p_{1}} \right) + \frac{u_{2}}{T_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\Pi_{2}}{p_{2}} \right), \\ \hat{\eta}_{1} &= \frac{1}{2T_{1}} \left(1 - \frac{\Pi_{1}}{p_{1}} \right) - \frac{1}{2T_{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\Pi_{2}}{p_{2}} \right), \\ \hat{\zeta}_{1} &= -\frac{1}{(5 - 3\gamma_{1})T_{1}} \frac{\Pi_{1}}{p_{1}} + \frac{1}{(5 - 3\gamma_{2})T_{2}} \frac{\Pi_{2}}{p_{2}}, \end{split}$$
(3)

where $u_{\alpha} = v_{\alpha} - v$ is the diffusion velocity. The coefficient $\psi_{11} > 0$ and the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \theta_{11} & \kappa_{11} \\ \kappa_{11} & \phi_{11} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{is positive definite.}$$
(4)

In general, the phenomenological coefficients ψ_{11} , θ_{11} , κ_{11} , and ω_{11} depend on the mass densities and temperatures and the functional forms of the coefficients may be determined by kinetic theoretical consideration and/or experimental data.

¹In [32] the production terms \hat{e}_1 and $\hat{\omega}_1$ differ from the present one by a factor 2. The present choice is better to compare with previous results in the case of Eulerian gases.

2.1 Field equations for global quantities

By taking sum of (1), we have the following equivalent system

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial x} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho v^2 + p + \Pi - \sigma \right) = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \rho \varepsilon \right) &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \rho \varepsilon + p + \Pi - \sigma \right) v + q \right\} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \rho v^2 + 3(p + \Pi) \right\} &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho v^2 + 5(p + \Pi) - 2\sigma \right] v + 2Q \right\} = -3 \left(\frac{\Pi_1}{\tau_1} + \frac{\Pi_2}{\tau_2} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial \rho_1 v_1}{\partial x} = 0, \end{aligned}$$
(5)
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho_1 v_1}{\partial t} &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \rho_1 v_1^2 + p_1 + \Pi_1 \right\} = \hat{m}_1, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\rho_1 v_1^2 + 2\rho_1 \varepsilon_1 \right) &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho v_1^2 + 2\rho_1 \varepsilon_1 + 2p_1 + 2\Pi_1 \right] v_1 \right\} = 2(\hat{\varepsilon}_1 + \hat{m}_1 v), \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left\{ \rho_1 (v_1)^2 + 3(p_1 + \Pi_1) \right\} &+ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left[\rho_1 v_1^2 + 5(p_1 + \Pi_1) \right] v_1 \right\} = -\frac{3\Pi_1}{\tau_1} + 2(\hat{\omega}_1 + \hat{m}_1 v), \end{aligned}$$

where the global quantities: mass density ρ , pressure p, specific internal energy ε , shear stress σ , dynamic pressure Π , heat flux q, and a new extra variable Q are given by

$$\begin{split} \rho &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \rho_{\alpha}, \qquad p = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} p_{\alpha}, \\ \rho \varepsilon &= \rho \varepsilon_{\text{int}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{2}, \quad \left(\varepsilon_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \rho_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha}\right) \\ \sigma &= -\frac{2}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{2} = -\frac{2}{3} \frac{\rho_{1} \rho}{\rho_{2}} u_{1}^{2}, \\ \Pi &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\Pi_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{3} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{2}\right) = \Pi_{1} + \Pi_{2} - \frac{\sigma}{2}, \\ q &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{2} + \rho_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{\alpha} + p_{\alpha} + \Pi_{\alpha}\right) u_{\alpha}, \\ Q &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \left\{\frac{1}{2} \rho_{\alpha} u_{\alpha}^{2} + \frac{5}{2} \left(p_{\alpha} + \Pi_{\alpha}\right)\right\} u_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$

,

Here ε_{int} represents the intrinsic part of the global-specific internal energy. We note that the global shear stress and global heat flux appear in the system (5) due to the diffusion velocity, even if we neglect these dissipative quantities in the field equations for each constituent.

In order to discuss the behavior of non-equilibrium average temperature \mathscr{T} , we use the definition proposed in [33–35]. This average temperature is introduced such that the intrinsic internal energy ε_{int} of the multi-temperature mixture have the same expression of a single-temperature:

$$\rho \varepsilon_{\text{int}} = \rho_1 \varepsilon_1(\rho_1, \mathscr{T}) + \rho_2 \varepsilon_2(\rho_2, \mathscr{T}) = \rho_1 \varepsilon_1(\rho_1, T_1) + \rho_2 \varepsilon_2(\rho_2, T_2).$$

2.2 Equilibrium subsytem

The production terms \hat{m}_{α} , \hat{e}_{α} , and $\hat{\omega}_{\alpha}$ vanish in equilibrium and from (2) and (3), we obtain $v_1 = v_2 = v$, $T_1 = T_2 = T$, and $\Pi_1 = \Pi_2 = 0$, where *T* is the average temperature in equilibrium. In a generic equilibrium state, the total (equilibrium) pressure and the total internal intrinsic energy are the same forms as in the case of a single-component gas

$$p^{(\mathrm{eq})} = \frac{k_B}{m} \rho T, \qquad \varepsilon_{\mathrm{int}}^{(\mathrm{eq})} = \frac{k_B}{m(\gamma - 1)} T$$

provided that we introduce following [36] an average mass $m \equiv m(c)$ and an average ratio of the specific heats $\gamma \equiv \gamma(c)$

$$\frac{1}{m} = \frac{c}{m_1} + \frac{1-c}{m_2}, \quad \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} = \frac{m}{m_1} \frac{c}{\gamma_1 - 1} + \frac{m}{m_2} \frac{1-c}{\gamma_2 - 1},$$
(6)

where $c = \rho_1 / \rho \in]0, 1[$ denoting the concentration.

The associate equilibrium subsystem of (5) according to the definition given in [37] is given by

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial x} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\rho v^2 + p^{(eq)} \right) = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \rho \varepsilon_{int}^{(eq)} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 + \rho \varepsilon_{int}^{(eq)} + p^{(eq)} \right) v \right\} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \rho_1 v}{\partial x} = 0.$$
(7)

3 Shock Structure

The shock structure is a traveling wave solution with constant velocity *s* in which all components of the field depend on a single variable $\varphi = x - st$ connecting two constant equilibrium state \mathbf{u}_0 and \mathbf{u}_I at $\varphi = \pm \infty$ respectively.

We can choose without loss of generality the unperturbed velocity $v_0 = 0$. Taking into account the conservation laws, we have the following boundary conditions

$$\mathbf{u}_{0} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{0} \\ v_{0} \\ T_{0} \\ \Pi_{0} \\ (\rho_{1})_{0} \\ (v_{1})_{0} \\ (T_{1})_{0} \\ (\Pi_{1})_{0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{u}_{I} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{I} \\ v_{I} \\ T_{I} \\ \Pi_{I} \\ (\rho_{1})_{I} \\ (v_{1})_{I} \\ (T_{1})_{I} \\ (\Pi_{1})_{I} \end{bmatrix}$$

In the unperturbed equilibrium state \mathbf{u}_0 , we have:

$$(\rho_1)_0 = c_0 \rho_0, \quad (T_1)_0 = T_0, \quad v_0 = (v_1)_0 = 0, \quad \Pi_0 = (\Pi_1)_0 = 0,$$
 (8)

and the perturbed equilibrium state \mathbf{u}_{I} is the solution of the Rankine-Hugoniot equations of the equilibrium sub-system (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_{\rm I} &= \frac{(\gamma_0 + 1)M_0^2}{2 + (\gamma_0 - 1)M_0^2}\rho_0, \quad c_{\rm I} = c_0, \quad (\rho_1)_{\rm I} = c_0\rho_{\rm I} \\
T_{\rm I} &= T_{\rm I\,I} = \frac{\{2 + (\gamma_0 - 1)M_0^2\}\{1 + \gamma_0(2M_0^2 - 1)\}}{(\gamma_0 + 1)^2M_0^2}T_0, \quad (9) \\
\nu_{\rm I} &= (\nu_1)_{\rm I} = \frac{2(M_0^2 - 1)}{(\gamma_0 + 1)M_0}a_0, \quad \Pi_{\rm I} = (\Pi_1)_{\rm I} = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where γ_0 is the function γ given in (6) evaluated in c_0 : $\gamma_0 = \gamma(c_0)$. The unperturbed Mach number M_0 is defined by

$$M_0 = \frac{s - v_0}{a_0},$$

with a_0 being the sound velocity in the unperturbed state

$$a_0 = \sqrt{\gamma_0 \frac{k_B}{m_0} T_0},$$

and $m_0 = m(c_0)$ being the equilibrium average mass of the mixture.

4 Regions classified by the possibility of subshock formation

Let us analyze whether the continuous shock-structure solution exists or not. Without any loss of generality, hereafter, we assume $m_1 \le m_2$. Following the paper [31], we will analyze the shock wave propagating in the positive direction with respect to the fluid flow introducing the characteristic velocities in an equilibrium state for constituents 1 and 2

$$\lambda_1 = v + \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} \frac{k_{\rm B}}{m_1} T}, \quad \lambda_2 = v + \sqrt{\frac{5}{3} \frac{k_{\rm B}}{m_2} T},$$
 (10)

and the characteristic velocities of the equilibrium subsystem

$$\bar{\lambda} = \nu + \sqrt{\gamma \frac{k_{\rm B}}{m} T},\tag{11}$$

with *m* and γ given in (6).

Let's introduce the dimensionless characteristic velocities at the unperturbed and perturbed states

$$M_{10} \equiv \frac{\lambda_{10}}{a_0}, \quad M_{20} \equiv \frac{\lambda_{20}}{a_0}, \quad M_{1I} \equiv \frac{\lambda_{1I}}{a_0}, \quad M_{2I} \equiv \frac{\lambda_{2I}}{a_0},$$

where, taking into account (10), (11) and (8), (9) the explicit expressions of these quantities are given by

$$M_{10} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{3\gamma_0 \{c_0 + (1 - c_0)\mu\}}}, \quad M_{20} = \sqrt{\frac{5\mu}{3\gamma_0 \{c_0 + (1 - c_0)\mu\}}},$$

$$M_{11} = \frac{2(M_0^2 - 1)}{(\gamma_0 + 1)M_0} + \sqrt{\frac{5\{2 + (\gamma_0 - 1)M_0^2\}\{1 + \gamma_0(2M_0^2 - 1)\}}{3\gamma_0 (\gamma_0 + 1)^2 M_0^2}} \frac{m_0}{m_1}, \quad (12)$$

$$M_{21} = \frac{2(M_0^2 - 1)}{(\gamma_0 + 1)M_0} + \sqrt{\frac{5\{2 + (\gamma_0 - 1)M_0^2\}\{1 + \gamma_0(2M_0^2 - 1)\}}{3\gamma_0 (\gamma_0 + 1)^2 M_0^2}} \frac{m_0}{m_2},$$

with μ being the ratio of the masses of the constituents defined by

$$\mu = \frac{m_1}{m_2}, \quad 0 < \mu \le 1$$

The analogous expressions for a mixture of Eulerian gases are given in [31] and indicated with an apex (E) in the Figures 1-7.

In the previous paper [31], we have identified sufficient and necessary conditions for the sub-shock formation:

According to the theorem given in [21], the necessary and sufficient condition for the sub-shock formation for the shock structure of the constituent 1 (light species) is given by

$$s > \lambda_{10} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad M_0 > M_{10}$$

While, the necessary condition but in general not sufficient for the sub-shock formation for the shock structure of constituent 2 (heavy species) is given by

Case A) If $\mu \leq 3\gamma_1/5$, and when $0 < c_0 \leq c_0^*$, for $s > \lambda_{20} \Leftrightarrow$ for $M_0 > M_{20}$, when $c_0^* < c_0 < 1$, for $s > s^*$, solution of $\lambda_{2I}(s^*) = s^*$, \Leftrightarrow for $M_0 > M_{20}^*$, solution of $M_{2I}(M_{20}^*) = M_{20}^*$, (13) where $c_0^*(\mu)$ is the solution of $\lambda_{20} = \bar{\lambda}_0 \Leftrightarrow M_{20}(c_0^*, \mu) = 1$.

Case B) If $\mu > 3\gamma_1/5$, for $s > \lambda_{20} \Leftrightarrow$ for $M_0 > M_{20}$, for any $c_0 \in]0, 1[$.

4.1 Regions in Case A)

Case A) has four possible types of regions depending on μ :

• A_1) in which M_{20}^* intersects M_{10} and has an asymptote like in the example reported in Fig.1. Taking into account (12) this situation is possible if

$$0<\mu\leq\frac{3}{10}(\gamma_1-1)$$

• A₂) in which M_{20}^* intersects M_{10} and doesn't have an asymptote like in the example reported in Fig.2. Taking into account (12) this situation is possible if

$$\frac{3}{10}(\gamma_1 - 1) < \mu < \frac{15 - 33\gamma_1}{15\gamma_1 - 65}$$

• A₃) in which M_{20}^* does not intersect M_{10} like in the example reported in Fig.3. Taking into account (12) this situation is possible if

$$\frac{15-33\gamma_1}{15\gamma_1-65} \le \mu < \frac{3}{5}\gamma_1$$

• A₄) in which M_{20}^* does not intersect M_{10} and the curves of M_{20} and $M_{10}^{(E)}$ coincide with each other and $c_0^* = 1$ like in the example reported in Fig.4. Taking into account (12) this situation is possible if

$$\mu=\frac{3}{5}\gamma_1$$



Figure 1: Regions in the plane (c_0, M_0) of possible sub-shocks in Case A₁ (right); $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, and $\mu = 0.1$. The vertical dotted line represents the asymptote of M_{20}^* . The magnification of the regions around $(c_0, M_0) = (0, 1)$ is also shown (left).



Figure 2: Regions in the plane (c_0, M_0) of possible sub-shocks in Case A₂; $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, and $\mu = 0.4$. The circles represent the parameters adopted for numerical calculations shown in Sec. 5.



Figure 3: Regions classified by the possibility of the sub-shock formation in the $M_0 - c_0$ plane in Case A₃; $\gamma_1 = 7/6$, $\gamma_2 = 7/5$, and $\mu = 0.65$.



Figure 4: The regions classified by the possibility of the sub-shock formation in the M_0 – c_0 plane in Case A₄; $\gamma_1 = 7/6$, $\gamma_2 = 7/5$, and $\mu = 0.7$. In this case, $c_0^* = 1$.

4.2 Regions in Case B)

Case B) has three possible topologies of regions depending on μ :

• B₁) in which M_{20} is always greater than one in the whole range of $0 < c_0 < 1$, and therefore M_{20}^* does not appear like in the example reported in Fig.5. Taking into account (12), this situation is possible if

$$\frac{3}{5}\gamma_1 < \mu < \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}$$

• B₂) as in case B₁) with $M_{10}^{(E)} = M_{20}^{(E)}$ like in the exapmle reported in Fig. 6. Taking into account (12) and the results in [30], this situation is possible if

$$\mu = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}$$



• B₃) as in case B₁) but $M_{10}^{(E)} < M_{20}^{(E)}$ like in the exapmle reported in Fig. 7. Taking into account (12) and the results in [30], this situation is possible if

 $\mu > \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}$

Figure 5: The regions classified by the possibility of the sub-shock formation in the M_0 – c_0 plane in Case B₁; $\gamma_1 = 7/6$, $\gamma_2 = 7/5$, and $\mu = 0.75$.



Figure 6: Regions classified by the possibility of the sub-shock formation in the $M_0 - c_0$ plane in Case B₂; $\gamma_1 = 7/6$, $\gamma_2 = 7/5$, and $\mu = 5/6$.

In Figures 1-7, we enumerate the different regions, and the conclusions whether we can have or no sub-shock according to (13) are summarized in Table 1. The word "Maybe" indicates that the condition is necessary but not sufficient for the existence of a sub-shock. In fact, the singularity is maybe apparent due to an indeterminate form 0/0. For more details, see [30, 31].



Figure 7: The regions classified by the possibility of the sub-shock formation in the $M_0 - c_0$ plane in Case B₃; $\gamma_1 = 7/6$, $\gamma_2 = 7/5$, and $\mu = 0.9$.

Table 1: Summary of the possibility of the sub-shock formation for the classified re-
gions in the plane (c_0, M_0) . The symbols S_1 and S_2 represent the sub-shock for the
shock structure of the constituent 1 and 2, respectively. The corresponding conclusions
for a binary mixture of Eulerian gases are also shown in the columns $S_1^{(E)}$ and $S_2^{(E)}$.

Region	S_1	S_2	$S_1^{(E)}$	$S_2^{(E)}$
1	No	No	No	No
2	No	No	No	Maybe
3	No	No	No	Yes
4	No	No	Maybe	No
5	No	No	Maybe	Yes
6	No	No	Yes	No
7	No	No	Yes	Maybe
8	No	No	Yes	Yes
9	No	Maybe	No	No
10	No	Maybe	No	Maybe
11	No	Maybe	Maybe	Yes
12	No	Maybe	Yes	No
13	No	Maybe	Yes	Maybe
14	No	Maybe	Yes	Yes
15	Yes	No	Yes	No
16	Yes	Maybe	Maybe	Yes
17	Yes	Maybe	Yes	No
18	Yes	Maybe	Yes	Maybe
19	Yes	Maybe	Yes	Yes

5 Numerical simulation of shock structure

In the present section, we solve the system of field equations numerically and clarify the possibility of the sub-shock formation.

For convenience, we introduce the following dimensionless variables to scale the state variables and the independent variable φ with the values evaluated in the unperturbed state:

$$\begin{split} \hat{\rho} &= \frac{\rho}{\rho_0}, \quad \hat{v} = \frac{v}{a_0}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{T}} = \frac{\mathcal{T}}{T_0}, \quad \hat{\Pi} = \frac{\Pi}{\rho_0 \frac{k_B}{m_0} T_0}, \\ \hat{\phi} &= \frac{\varphi}{t_c a_0}, \quad \hat{x} = \frac{x}{t_c a_0}, \quad \hat{t} = \frac{t}{t_c}, \quad \hat{\tau} = \frac{\tau}{t_c}, \\ \hat{\psi} &= \frac{t_c}{\rho_0 T_0} \psi_{11}, \quad \hat{\theta} = \frac{t_c}{\rho_0 \frac{k_B}{m_0} T_0^2} \theta_{11}, \quad \hat{\kappa} = \frac{t_c}{\rho_0 \frac{k_B}{m_0} T_0^2} \kappa_{11}, \quad \hat{\phi} = \frac{t_c}{\rho_0 \frac{k_B}{m_0} T_0^2} \phi_{11}, \end{split}$$

where t_c is an arbitrary characteristic time for numerical computations.

The stability conditions, namely, $\psi_{11} > 0$ and that the matrix of (4) is positive definite, can be written in terms of the dimensionless phenomenological coefficients as follows: $\hat{\psi} > 0$, $\hat{\theta} > 0$, $\hat{\theta} \hat{\phi} - \hat{\kappa}^2 > 0$.

As done previously in the literature [24, 28, 30, 38–40], we perform numerical calculations on the Riemann problem consisting of two equilibrium states \mathbf{u}_0 and \mathbf{u}_I satisfying (8) and (9) and obtain the profiles of the physical quantities after a long time. Following an idea of Liu [41], a conjecture about the large-time behavior of the Riemann problem and the Riemann problem with structure [42, 43] for a system of balance laws was proposed by Ruggeri and Coworkers [38, 40, 44]. According to this conjecture, if the Riemann initial data correspond to a shock family \mathscr{S} of the equilibrium sub-system, for a large time, the solution of the Riemann problem of the full system converges to the corresponding shock structure.

We have developed numerical code adopting the Uniformly accurate Central Scheme of order 2 (UCS2) [45] to solve the Riemann problem numerically. As we do not know to refer in this paper to a particular real mixture of gases also because it seems difficult to find experimental data for shock profile in a mixture of polyatomic gases with large bulk viscosity, we only analyze the qualitative behavior of shock and sub-shocks, and we chose for our numerical experiments the following parameters: $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\theta} = \hat{\kappa} = 0.2$, $\hat{\phi} = 0.3$, $\hat{\tau}_1 = 1$, and $\hat{\tau}_2 = 2$.

We show the shock structure for several Mach numbers in the case of $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, and $c_0 = 0.1$ in Figure 8. These parameters correspond to circles Nos. I – III in Figure 2. It is confirmed that the shock-structure solution predicted by the RET₆ theory is continuous for $M_0 = 1.2$ while a sub-shock for the shock structure of the constituent 2 emerges for $M_0 = 1.3$. If we increase the Mach number more, we observe another sub-shock for the shock structure of the constituent 1 as shown in Figure 8 for $M_0 = 1.9$.

For comparison, we also depicted the theoretical predictions by the Eulerian theory with the same parameters. As expected, the shock structure predicted by the RET₆ theory is broader than the ones predicted by the Eulerian theory. Moreover, although the necessary condition for the sub-shock formation for constituent 2 is satisfied in both theories for $M_0 = 1.2$, the sub-shock is observed only in the Eulerian theory. These results indicate the dissipative effect of the dynamic pressure on the shock structure and the sub-shock formation.



Figure 8: Shock structure of the dimensionless global mass density, mixture velocity, and average temperature (Solid curves) with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, $c_0 = 0.1$ for several Mach numbers; $M_0 = 1.2$ indicated by circle No. I in Region 10 in Figure 2 (top row), $M_0 = 1.3$ indicated by circle No. II in Region 10 (middle row), and $M_0 = 1.9$ indicated by circle No. III in Region 18 (bottom row). The corresponding predictions by the theory of a mixture of Eulerian gases are also shown (dashed curves).

Next, let us analyze the shock structure with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, and $c_0 = 0.15$ for $M_0 = 1.06$ and for $M_0 = 1.2$ shown in Figures 9. The parameters correspond to circles Nos. IV and V in Figure 2. The result for $M_0 = 1.06$ is interesting because the necessary condition $M_0 > M_{20}$ is satisfied only in the RET₆ theory, in spite of the fact that the RET₆ theory incorporates the dissipative effect. Figure 9 shows that both theories predict continuous solutions without sub-shock formation for $M_0 = 1.06$. If we increase the Mach number, the sub-shock formation is observed in a mixture of the Eulerian gases immediately after $M_{20}^{*(E)}$ while the RET₆ theory predicts a continuous shock-structure solution for $M_0 = 1.2$.

Similar situation is observed in the the shock structure with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, and $c_0 = 0.3$ for $M_0 = 1.2$ and for $M_0 = 1.3$ shown in Figure 10. In these cases, parameters are indicated by circles No. VI and VII in Figure 2. Although the necessary condition $M_0 > M_{20}^*$ is satisfied in the RET₆ theory, the theoretical predictions by the RET₆ theory are continuous for both $M_0 = 1.2$ and $M_0 = 1.3$. The sub-shock is observed only in the Eulerian theory for $M_0 = 1.3$.

Another example is the shock structure with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, and $c_0 = 0.45$ for $M_0 = 1.21$ and for $M_0 = 1.3$ shown in Figure 11. These parameters correspond to circles No. VIII and IX in Figure 2. Again, the RET₆ theory predicts continuous solutions for both both $M_0 = 1.2$ and $M_0 = 1.3$ while the Eulerian theory predicts a sub-shock $M_0 = 1.3$ in agreement with the theorem.

The last example is the shock structure with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, and $c_0 = 0.7$ for $M_0 = 1.5$ and for $M_0 = 1.7$ shown in Figure 12. These parameters are indicated by circles No. X and XI in Figure 2. According to the theorem, a sub-shock for the shock structure of the constituent 1 arises in both theories. No sub-shock for the shock structure of the constituent 2 is observed in the prediction



Figure 9: Shock structure predicted by the RET₆ theory (Solid curves) and the Eulerian theory (dashed curves) with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, $c_0 = 0.15$ for $M_0 = 1.06$ indicated by circle No. IV in Region 9 (top row) and for $M_0 = 1.2$ indicated by circle No. V in Region 10 (bottom row).



Figure 10: Shock structure predicted by the RET₆ theory (Solid curves) and the Eulerian theory (dashed curves) with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, $c_0 = 0.3$ for $M_0 = 1.2$ indicated by circle No. VI in Region 9 in Figure 2 (top row), and for $M_0 = 1.3$ indicated by circle No. VII in Region 10 (bottom row).



Figure 11: Shock structure predicted by the RET₆ theory (Solid curves) and the Eulerian theory (dashed curves) with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, $c_0 = 0.45$ for $M_0 = 1.21$ indicated by circle No. VIII in Region 9 in Figure 2 (top row), and for $M_0 = 1.3$ indicated by circle No. IX in Region 12 (bottom row).



by both theories for $M_0 = 1.5$, and multiple sub-shocks are predicted only in the Eulerian theory for $M_0 = 1.7$.

Figure 12: Shock structure predicted by the RET₆ theory (Solid curves) and the Eulerian theory (dashed curves) with $\gamma_1 = 7/5$, $\gamma_2 = 9/7$, $\mu = 0.4$, $c_0 = 0.75$, and $M_0 = 1.5$ indicated by circle No. X in Region 17 in Figure 2 (top row), and for $M_0 = 1.7$ indicated by circle No. XI in Region 18 (bottom row).

6 Conclusions

In this paper, we have analyzed the shock structure in a binary mixture of rarefied polyatomic gases based on rational extended thermodynamics. We have used the system of the field equations derived in the context of the multi-temperature model in which the dynamic pressure's contribution is relevant. We have classified the possible parameters by considering the necessary conditions to observe the sub-shock formation and have tested the sub-shock formation numerically. As we expect, the shock profile is more regularized with respect to the mixture of Eulerian gas, and in the case that exists sub-shocks, the amplitude of the sub-shock is reduced compared to those of non-dissipative gases. We have also observed from the numerical simulation that for very small Mach numbers in the regions in which the existence is only necessary, the singularity is only apparent, while increasing the Mach number always we have sub-shocks in this kind of region.

The present results are in agreement with the fact that the present theory satisfies the so-called K-condition [29] that, together with the convexity of entropy, take the system in the assumptions of general theorems for which if the initial data are sufficiently small, there exist smooth global solutions [9,31,46–48].

It remains open to compare with experimental data for mixtures in which constituents have large bulk viscosity. However, at the moment, the authors were not able to find experimental data on the shock-wave profiles for this kind of mixture in the literature. Instead, there are several single gases with this characteristic in which, as we say in precedence, the RET_6 theory is very successful.

Acknowledgments

This paper is dedicated to Gerald Warnecke on the occasion of his 65th birthday. The work has been partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19K04204 (S.T.). The paper has also been carried out in the framework of the activities of the Italian National Group of Mathematical Physics of the Italian National Institute of High Mathematics GNFM/INdAM (T.R.).

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Funding

Partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP19K04204 (S.T.)

Conflict of Interest

On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest

Ethical Approval in the manuscript

Not Applicable

References

- W. G. Vincenti and C. H. Kruger, Jr, *Introduction to Physical Gas Dynamics*. John Wiley and Sons, New York, London, Sydney, 1965.
- [2] Y. B. Zel'dovich and Y. P. Raizer, *Physics of Shock Waves and High-Temperature Hydrodynamic Phenomena*. Dover Publications, Mineola, New York, 2002.
- [3] G. A. Bird, Molecular Gas Dynamics and the Direct Simulation of Gas Flows. Oxford Univ Press, Oxford, 1994.
- [4] V. Djordjić, M. Pavić-Čolić, and M. Torrilhon, Consistent, explicit, and accessible Boltzmann collision operator for polyatomic gases, *Physical Review E* 104 (2021) 025309.
- [5] I. M. Gamba and M. Pavić-Čolić, On the Cauchy problem for Boltzmann equation modeling a polyatomic gas, J. Math. Phys. 64 (2023) 013303.
- [6] M. Pirner, A review on BGK models for gas mixtures of mono and polyatomic molecules, *Fluids* **6** (2021) 393.
- [7] I. Müller and T. Ruggeri, *Rational Extended Thermodynamics*. Springer, New York, 1998.
- [8] T. Ruggeri and M. Sugiyama, Rational Extended Thermodynamics beyond the Monatomic Gas. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [9] T. Ruggeri and M. Sugiyama, *Classical and Relativistic Rational Extended Thermodynamics of Gases*. Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [10] S. Taniguchi, T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, and M. Sugiyama, *Thermodynamic theory of the shock wave structure in a rarefied polyatomic gas: Beyond the bethe-teller theory*, *Phys. Rev. E* 89 (Jan, 2014) 013025.

- [11] S. Taniguchi, T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, and M. Sugiyama, Effect of the dynamic pressure on the shock wave structure in a rarefied polyatomic gas, Physics of Fluids 26 (2014) 016103.
- [12] H. A. Bethe and E. Teller, *Deviations from Thermal Equilibrium in Shock Waves*. reprinted by Engineering Research Institute. University of Michigan, Michigan, 1941.
- [13] D. Gilbarg and D. Paolucci, The structure of shock waves in the continuum theory of fluids., J. Rat. Mech. Anal. 2 (1953) 617.
- [14] T. Arima, S. Taniguchi, T. Ruggeri, and M. Sugiyama, Extended thermodynamics of real gases with dynamic pressure: An extension of Meixner's theory, Physics Letters A 376 (2012) 2799–2803.
- [15] T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama, and S. Taniguchi, Non-linear extended thermodynamics of real gases with 6 fields, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 72 (2015) 6–15.
- [16] S. Taniguchi, T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, and M. Sugiyama, Overshoot of the non-equilibrium temperature in the shock wave structure of a rarefied polyatomic gas subject to the dynamic pressure, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 79 (2016) 66–75.
- [17] S. Kosuge, K. Aoki, and T. Goto, Shock wave structure in polyatomic gases: Numerical analysis using a model Boltzmann equation, AIP Conference Proceedings 1786 (2016) 180004.
- [18] S. Kosuge and K. Aoki, Shock-wave structure for a polyatomic gas with large bulk viscosity, Phys. Rev. Fluids 3 (Feb, 2018) 023401.
- [19] T. Arima, T. Ruggeri, M. Sugiyama, and S. Taniguchi, Galilean invariance and entropy principle for a system of balance laws of mixture type, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 28 (2017) 66–75.
- [20] T. Ruggeri, Breakdown of shock-wave-structure solutions, Phys. Rev. E 47 (Jun, 1993) 4135–4140.
- [21] G. Boillat and T. Ruggeri, On the shock structure problem for hyperbolic system of balance laws and convex entropy, Continuum Mechanics and Thermodynamics 10 (Oct, 1998) 285–292.
- [22] T. Ruggeri and S. Taniguchi, Shock waves in hyperbolic systems of nonequilibrium thermodynamics, in Applied Wave Mathematics II,
 A. Berezovski and T. Soomere, eds., Mathematics of Planet Earth, ch. 8, pp. 167–186. Springer, Cham, 2019.
- [23] W. Weiss, Continuous shock structure in extended thermodynamics, Phys. Rev. E 52 (Dec, 1995) R5760–R5763.
- [24] S. Taniguchi and T. Ruggeri, On the sub-shock formation in extended thermodynamics, International Journal of Non-Linear Mechanics 99 (2018) 69–78.
- [25] F. Conforto, A. Mentrelli, and T. Ruggeri, Shock structure and multiple sub-shocks in binary mixtures of Eulerian fluids, Ricerche di Matematica 66 (Jun, 2017) 221–231.
- [26] M. Bisi, G. Martalò, and G. Spiga, Shock wave structure of multi-temperature Euler equations from kinetic theory for a binary mixture, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 132 (Aug, 2014) 95–105.

- [27] V. Artale, F. Conforto, G. Martalò, and A. Ricciardello, Shock structure and multiple sub-shocks in grad 10-moment binary mixtures of monoatomic gases, Ricerche di Matematica 68 (Dec, 2019) 485–502.
- [28] S. Taniguchi and T. Ruggeri, A 2 × 2 simple model in which the sub-shock exists when the shock velocity is slower than the maximum characteristic velocity, *Ricerche di Matematica* 68 (Jun, 2019) 119–129.
- [29] T. Ruggeri and S. Taniguchi, Sub-shock formation in shock structure of a binary mixture of polyatomic gases, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. 32 (2021) 167–179.
- [30] T. Ruggeri and S. Taniguchi, A complete classification of sub-shocks in the shock structure of a binary mixture of Eulerian gases with different degrees of freedom, *Physics of Fluids* 34 (2022) 066116.
- [31] T. Ruggeri and S. Taniguchi, Shock structure and sub-shocks formation in a mixture of polyatomic gases with large bulk viscosity, Ricerche di Matematica, in press (2023).
- [32] D. Madjarević, T. Ruggeri, and S. Simić, Shock structure and temperature overshoot in macroscopic multi-temperature model of mixtures, *Physics of Fluids* 26 (2014) 106102.
- [33] T. Ruggeri and S. Simić, Mixture of gases with multi-temperature: Identification of a macroscopic average temperature, in Memorie dell'Accademia delle Scienze, Lettere ed Arti di Napoli, Proceedings Mathematical Physics Models and Engineering Sciences, pp. 455–465. 2008. http://www.societanazionalescienzeletterearti. it/pdf/Memorie.
- [34] H. Gouin and T. Ruggeri, Identification of an average temperature and a dynamical pressure in a multitemperature mixture of fluids, *Phys. Rev. E* 78 (Jul, 2008) 016303.
- [35] T. Ruggeri and S. Simić, Average temperature and Maxwellian iteration in multitemperature mixtures of fluids, Phys. Rev. E 80 (Aug, 2009) 026317.
- [36] T. Ruggeri and S. Simić, On the hyperbolic system of a mixture of Eulerian fluids: a comparison between single- and multi-temperature models, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences 30 (2007) 827–849.
- [37] G. Boillat and T. Ruggeri, Hyperbolic principal subsystems: Entropy convexity and subcharacteristic conditions, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis 137 (Jun, 1997) 305–320.
- [38] F. Brini and T. Ruggeri, On the Riemann problem in extended thermodynamics, in Proceedings of the 10th International Conference on Hyperbolic Problems (HYP2004), pp. 319–326. Yokohama Publisher Inc., Yokohama, 2006.
- [39] F. Brini and T. Ruggeri, The Riemann problem for a binary non-reacting mixture of Euler fluids, in Proceedings XII Int. Conference on Waves and Stability in Continuous Media, R. Monaco, S. Pennisi, S. Rionero, and T. Ruggeri, eds., pp. 102–108. World Scientific, Singapore, 2004.
- [40] A. Mentrelli and T. Ruggeri, Asymptotic behavior of Riemann and Riemann with structure problems for a 2×2 hyperbolic dissipative system, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II 78 (2006) 201–225.

- [41] T.-P. Liu, Nonlinear hyperbolic-dissipative partial differential equations, pp. 103–136. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996.
- [42] T.-P. Liu, Linear and nonlinear large-time behavior of solutions of general systems of hyperbolic conservation laws, Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics 30 (1977) 767–796.
- [43] T.-P. Liu, Large-time behavior of solutions of initial and initial-boundary value problems of a general system of hyperbolic conservation laws, *Communications in Mathematical Physics* 55 (Jun, 1977) 163–177.
- [44] F. Brini and T. Ruggeri, On the Riemann problem with structure in extended thermodynamics, Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II 78 (2006) 31–43.
- [45] S. F. Liotta, V. Romano, and G. Russo, Central schemes for balance laws of relaxation type, SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis 38 (2001) 1337–1356.
- [46] T. Ruggeri and D. Serre, Stability of constant equilibrium state for dissipative balance laws system with a convex entropy, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 62 (2004) 163–179.
- [47] W.-A. Yong, Entropy and global existence for hyperbolic balance laws, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 172 (2004) 247–266.
- [48] S. Bianchini, B. Hanouzet, and R. Natalini, Asymptotic behavior of smooth solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems with a convex entropy, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (2007) 1559–1622.