# Geometric spectral theory for Kähler functions

Mathieu Molitor

e-mail: pergame.mathieu@gmail.com

#### Abstract

We consider Kähler toric manifolds N that are torifications of statistical manifolds  $\mathcal{E}$  in the sense of [Mol21], and prove a geometric analogue of the spectral decomposition theorem in which Hermitian matrices are replaced by Kähler functions on N. The notion of "spectrum" of a Kähler function is defined, and examples are presented. This paper is motivated by the geometrization program of Quantum Mechanics that we pursued in previous works [Mol12, Mol13, Mol14, Mol15, Mol21, Mol23].

## **1** Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  be the complex projective space of complex dimension n and holomorphic sectional curvature c > 0, and let  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  be the set of probability functions p defined on a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\}$ . (Thus a function  $p : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  if and only if  $p(x) \ge 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ and  $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$ .) Let  $K : \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  be the function defined by

$$K([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_{n+1}|^2},$$
(1.1)

where  $[z] = [z_1, ..., z_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  (homogeneous coordinates) and  $x_k \in \Omega$ . Recall that a realvalued function f on a Kähler manifold is said to be *Kähler* if its Hamiltonian vector field  $X_f$ is a Killing vector field. One of the main objectives of this paper is to generalize the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** A smooth function  $f : \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{R}$  is Kähler if and only if there exist a random variable  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  and a holomorphic isometry  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  such that

$$f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) \tag{1.2}$$

for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n(c)$ , where the right-hand side of (1.2) is the expectation of X with respect to the probability function  $K(\phi(p))$ .

This result is just the spectral decomposition theorem for Hermitian matrices in a geometric and probabilistic guise. Indeed, let Herm<sub>n</sub> be the space of  $n \times n$  Hermitian matrices. Given  $H \in$ Herm<sub>n+1</sub>, let  $f_H : \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by  $f_H([z]) = \frac{\langle z, Hz \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle}$ , where  $\langle z, w \rangle = \overline{z_1}w_1 + \dots + \overline{z_{n+1}}w_{n+1}$  is the usual Hermitian product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . It is well-known that the correspondence  $H \mapsto f_H$  defines a bijection between Herm<sub>n+1</sub> and the space of Kähler functions on  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  (see, e.g., [CMP90]). Therefore, one can carry over the usual spectral decomposition theorem for Hermitian matrices to the space of Kähler functions on  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ , which yields Propositon 1.1.

Our generalization of Proposition 1.1, to be discussed below, is based on the concept of "torification" of a statistical manifold, that we introduced in [Mol21]. This refers to a geometric construction that associates to certain statistical manifolds, a Kähler manifold equipped with a torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$ , where *n* is the complex dimension of *N* and  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$  is the *n*-dimensional real torus. For example, if c = 1, then  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  is the torification of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times} := \{p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \mid p(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in \Omega\}$  (for the corresponding torus action, see Section 3.5 and Proposition 3.13).

In general, a torification N of a statistical manifold  $\mathcal{E}$  comes equipped with a family of maps  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to \mathcal{E}$ , we call them *compatible projections*, that are defined on the set  $N^{\circ}$  of points  $p \in N$  where the torus action is free. Under suitable conditions, we prove (see Section 6.1) that each  $\kappa$  extends uniquely to a continuous map  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ , where  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$  is an appropriate superset of  $\mathcal{E}$  formed by probability functions. The map (1.1) is an example of such extension.

The statistical manifolds  $\mathcal{E}$  of our generalization are *exponential families* (see Definition 3.9). They form an important class of statistical manifolds which are found among the most common probability distributions: Bernoulli, beta, binomial, chi-square, Dirichlet, exponential, gamma, geometric, multinomial, normal, Poisson, to name but just a few. The data that are needed to define an exponential family are a base measure on  $\Omega$  and a finite set of random variables on  $\Omega$ . Using these random variables, we contruct, for each exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$ , a finite dimensional vector space of random variables on  $\Omega$ , that we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  (see Section 6). This space plays an important role in our generalization. For example, if  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is the space of all random variables on  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$  is the set of all binomial distributions  $p(k) = {n \choose k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k}, q \in (0,1)$ , defined over  $\Omega = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is the set of functions  $f : \{0, ..., n\} \to \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $f(k) = \alpha k + \beta$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real numbers.

Now we are ready to state our generalization (Theorem 6.21). Let N be a toric Kähler manifold with real-analytic Kähler metric g. Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  be the identity component of the group of holomorphic isometries of N. Suppose that N is a torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ . Let  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  be the extension of some compatible projection. Then a function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$  is Kähler if and only if there are a random variable  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  such that  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  for all  $p \in N$ .

Let us look at an example. Let  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$  be the set of binomial distributions defined over  $\Omega = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . Then  $N = \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  is a torification of  $\mathcal{B}(n)$ . The superset  $\overline{\mathcal{B}(n)}$  is the disjoint union  $\mathcal{B}(n) \cup \{\delta_0, \delta_n\}$ , where  $\delta_i : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\delta_i(j) = 1$  if i = j, 0 otherwise. For simplicity, let us identify  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  with the unite sphere  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  endowed with n times the round metric induced from  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Then the map  $K : S^2 \to \overline{\mathcal{B}(n)}$  defined by  $K(x, y, z)(k) = 2^{-n} \binom{n}{k} (1+z)^k (1-z)^{n-k}$ ,  $(x, y, z) \in S^2$ ,  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ , is the extension of some compatible projection (by convention  $0^0 = 1$ ). It is easy to see that a function  $f : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  is Kähler if and only if it is of the form  $f(p) = \langle u, p \rangle + c$ , where  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ , and where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Our result says that a function f on  $S^2$  is Kähler if and only if there are a random variable  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  of the form  $X(k) = \alpha k + \beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and  $U = (U_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le 3} \in SO(3)$  such that

$$f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\alpha k + \beta) (1 + z')^k (1 - z')^{n-k}$$

for all  $(x, y, z) \in S^2$ , where  $\binom{n}{k} = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!k!}$  and  $z' := U_{31}x + U_{32}y + U_{33}z$ .

The proof of our generalization uses group theoretical and symplectic arguments. The first step is to prove that if N is a toric Kähler manifold with momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , then a smooth function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$  is Kähler if and only if there are  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (= Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ ), a holomorphic isometry  $\phi$  of N and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(p) = \mathbf{J}^{\xi}(\phi(p)) + r$  for all  $p \in N$ , where  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi} : N \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi}(p) = \langle \mathbf{J}(p), \xi \rangle$  (here  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean metric). This result follows from the infinitesimal version of the classical conjugation Theorem of Cartan for maximal tori, and the fact that the identity component of the group of holomorphic isometries of N is a compact connected Lie group whose natural action on N is Hamiltonian (see Section 5 and Proposition 5.3). The second step uses the fact that N is a torification of  $\mathcal{E}$ . We show (Lemma 6.11 and Proposition 6.15) that the momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$  associated to the torus action can be written as  $\mathbf{J}(p) = (\mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_1), ..., \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_n))$ , where  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are random variables on  $\Omega$  and  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  is the extension of some compatible projection. Combining these two steps yields the generalization of Proposition 1.1.

The second objective of this paper, which is motivated by our previous works on the quantum formalism [Mol12, Mol13, Mol14, Mol15, Mol21, Mol23], is to show that the image of X in the decomposition  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  is independent of K,  $\phi$  and X, allowing us to define what we call the *spectrum* of a Kähler function f as the set

#### $\operatorname{spec}(f) := \operatorname{image} \operatorname{of} X.$

This result is more delicate than the generalization of Proposition 1.1. The proof is a generalization of the following phenomenom. Let G = U(n) be the unitary group and let  $T \subset U(n)$  be the subgroup of diagonal matrices. Then T is a maximal torus in U(n); let W be the Weyl group of U(n) associated to T. It is well-known that W is isomorphic to the group  $\mathbb{S}_n$  of permutations of n objects, and that its natural action on T is by permutations of the diagonal entries. Now, if Ais a skew-Hermitian matrix, regarded as an element of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{u}(n)$  of U(n), then there are  $U \in U(n)$  and a diagonal matrix  $D \in T$  such that  $A = \operatorname{Ad}_U D = UDU^*$ , where  $U^*$  is the conjugate of U (this follows from the infinitesimal conjugation Theorem of Cartan, see Section 3.6). The decompositon  $A = UDU^*$  is not unique, but if  $A = UDU^* = U'D'(U')^*$ , then D and D' differ by a permutation of the diagonal entries; this follows from the fact that the intersection of an adjoint orbit of a connected compact Lie group with the Lie algebra of a maximal torus is a Weyl orbit. Because of this, one could *define* the spectrum of A as the set of diagonal entries of D; this would be consistant with the standard definition.

Our proof that  $\operatorname{spec}(f)$  is well-defined is similar, and uses the Weyl group W of  $G = \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$ . First we show that the space of random variables  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}$  is naturally isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is regarded as the Lie algebra of the torus. From this, we obtain that W acts on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , and that if a Kähler function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfies  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{K'(\phi'(p))}(X')$  for all  $p \in N$ , where  $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , then there is  $w \in W$  such that  $X = w \cdot X'$  (see Section 6.3). Now, the crucial argument, proven in [Mol23], is that the action of W on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is by permutations of  $\Omega$  (see Section 6.3). This implies that the images of  $X = w \cdot X'$  and X' coincide, from which it follows that  $\operatorname{spec}(f)$  is well-defined.

Let us look at two examples. If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$  and  $N = \mathbb{P}_n(1)$ , then the spectrum of  $f = f_H$  is precisely the spectrum in the classical sense of the Hermitian matrix H (see Proposition 6.24).

Remarkably, this spectrum coincides with the set of critical values of f (see Proposition 7.7), and therefore our definition of spec(f) agrees with the standard definition of the spectrum of a Kähler function on  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$  as given in Geometric Quantum Mechanics (see, e.g., [AS99]).

If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$  and  $N = S^2$ , then the spectrum of  $f(p) = \langle u, p \rangle + c$  ( $u \in \mathbb{R}^3, c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) is the set  $\{\lambda_k \mid k = 0, 1, ..., n\}$ , where  $\lambda_k = \frac{2}{n} ||u|| (-\frac{n}{2} + k) + c$  (see Proposition 6.25). In particular, if  $||u|| = j := \frac{n}{2}$  and c = 0, then

$$\operatorname{spec}(f) = \{-j, -j+1, ..., j-1, j\}.$$
 (1.3)

We recognize the spectrum of the usual spin operator  $S_u$  (angular momentum) of Quantum Mechanics in the direction u. This is not a coincidence, and the precise connection between our definition of  $\operatorname{spec}(f)$  and the unitary representations of  $\mathfrak{su}(2)$  (used by physicists to describe the spin of a particle) is discussed in detail in [Mol13] (see also below).

The last result of this paper is a formula that relates the Riemannian gradient  $\operatorname{grad}(f)$  of a Kähler function  $f: N \to \mathbb{R}$  with the variance of a certain random variable. More precisely, if  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$ , where N is the torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega$ , then

$$\mathbb{V}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \|\text{grad}(f)\|^2 \tag{1.4}$$

for all  $p \in N$ , where the left side of (1.4) is the variance of X with respect to  $K(\phi(p))$  (see Theorem 7.1 for a precise statement). We give two applications: (1) The set of critical values of f is a subset of spec(f) (Proposition 7.4), and (2) a point  $p \in N$  is a fixed point for the torus action if and only if K(p) is a Dirac function, i.e., its support is a singleton (Proposition 7.9).

We conclude this introduction with a few remarks. If N is a toric Kähler manifold with real-analytic Kähler metric, and if N is a torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_{m+1}\}$ , then there is automatically a Kähler immersion  $j : N \to \mathbb{P}_m(1)$ (this is a consequence of a lifting procedure described in Section 3.4). If j is full in the sense of Calabi (see [Cal53]), then one can use the rigidity theorem to extend holomorphic isometries on N to holomorphic isometries on  $\mathbb{P}_m(1)$ , making j an equivariant map. Then one can use the decompositon  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  to show that every Kähler function f on N extends uniquely to a Kähler function  $\hat{f}$  on  $\mathbb{P}_m(1)$ , and that the map  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$  is a Poisson homomorphism. Since every Kähler function on  $\mathbb{P}_m(1)$  is of the form  $f_H$  for some Hermitian matrix H, we see that there is a canonical way to quantize Kähler functions on N. It would be interesting to compare our definition of spec(f) to the spectra of the corresponding quantum operators. The author wishes to explore further this topic in a future publication.

The paper starts with a brief review of the rudiments of symplectic geometry used throughout the paper. In Section 3, we give a fairly detailed discussion on the concept of torification, with which not all readers may be familiar. The material is taken from [Mol21], except for Section 3.6, which is based on [Mol23]. In Section 4, we consider a toric Kähler manifold and define a cocycle that measures the non-equivariance of a momentum map **J** with respect to the action of a certain Weyl group. In Section 5, we investigate the relationships between the space of Kähler functions on a Kähler toric manifold N and the momentum map associated to the torus action. In Section 6, we specialize to the case in which N is a torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega$  and prove our main result (Theorem 6.21). In Section 7, we prove Formula (1.4) and give two applications. The paper ends with an appendix in which we discuss the Lie group structure of the group of holomorphic isometries of a connected Kähler manifold.

**Notation.** Let  $f: M \to N$  be a smooth map between the manifolds M and N. The derivative of f at p is denoted by  $f_{*p}: T_pM \to T_{f(p)}N$ . When  $N = \mathbb{R}$  and  $u \in T_pM$ , we sometimes write  $f_{*p}u = df_p(u)$  and regard the correspondence  $p \mapsto df_p$  as a 1-form on M, that we denote by df. We usually use  $\Phi$  to denote a left Lie group action of a Lie group G on a manifold M. If  $g \in G$ , then  $\Phi_g$  is the map  $M \to M$ ,  $p \mapsto \Phi(g, p)$ . Momentum maps are usually denoted by  $\mathbf{J}: M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

# 2 Symplectic preliminaries

In this section we recall the rudiments of symplectic geometry used throughout the paper.

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\operatorname{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Given  $g \in G$ , we denote by  $\operatorname{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  and  $\operatorname{Ad}_g^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \to \mathfrak{g}^*$  the adjoint and coadjoint representations of G, respectively; they are related as follows:  $\langle \operatorname{Ad}_g^* \alpha, \xi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \xi \rangle$ , where  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and  $\langle , \rangle$  is the natural pairing between  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Let  $\Phi: G \times M \to M$  be a Lie group action of G on a manifold M. Given  $g \in G$ , let  $\Phi_g$  denote the diffeomorphism of M defined by  $\Phi_g(p) = \Phi(g, p)$ . The fundamental vector field associated to  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  is the vector field on M, denoted by  $\xi_M$ , defined by  $(\xi_M)(p) := \frac{d}{dt}|_0 \Phi(c(t), p)$ , where  $p \in M$  and c(t) is a smooth curve in G satisfying c(0) = e (neutral element) and  $\dot{c}(0) = \xi$ .

Suppose that  $\omega$  is a symplectic form on M. The action  $\Phi : G \times M \to M$  is called *symplectic* if  $(\Phi_g)^* \omega = \omega$  for all  $g \in G$ . The action  $\Phi$  is called *Hamiltonian* if it is symplectic and if there exists a smooth map  $\mathbf{J} : M \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ , called *momentum map*, such that:

- (i)  $\mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g = \mathrm{Ad}_q^* \circ \mathbf{J}$  for all  $g \in G$ , and
- (ii)  $\omega(\xi_M, .) = d\mathbf{J}^{\xi}(.)$  (equality of 1-forms) for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi} : M \to \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined by  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi}(p) := \langle \mathbf{J}(p), \xi \rangle$ .

When  $G = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  is a torus, it is convenient to identify the Lie algebra of the torus  $\mathbb{T}^n$  with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the derivative at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  of the quotient map  $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ , and to identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and its dual  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  via the Euclidean metric. Upon these identifications, a momentum map for a Hamiltonian torus action  $\mathbb{T}^n \times M \to M$  is a map  $\mathbf{J} : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . Moreover, since the coadjoint action of a commutative group is trivial, the equivariance condition reduces to  $\mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g = \mathbf{J}$  for all  $g \in \mathbb{T}^n$ .

A symplectic toric manifold is a quadruplet  $(M, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ , where  $(M, \omega)$  is a connected compact symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n, \Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times M \to M$  is an effective Hamiltonian torus action and  $\mathbf{J} : M \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is an equivariant momentum map. A symplectic toric manifold  $(M, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$  is called a *Kähler toric manifold* if M is a Kähler manifold whose Kähler form coincides with  $\omega$ , and if the torus acts by holomorphic and isometric transformations on M. By abuse of language, we will often say that the torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times M \to M$  is a Kähler toric manifold.

For later reference, we collect some elementary facts from symplectic geometry. Let  $\Phi$ :  $G \times M \to M$  be a symplectic action of a Lie group G on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . Let  $M^{\circ}$ be the set of points where the action is free, that is,  $M^{\circ} = \{p \in M \mid \Phi(a, p) = p \Rightarrow a = e\}$ .

- (F1) If G is compact and the first de Rham cohomology group  $H^1_{dR}(M,\mathbb{R})$  is trivial, then the action is Hamiltonian (see [OR04], Propositions 4.5.17 and 4.5.19).
- (F2) If G = T is a *m*-dimensional real torus and  $\Phi$  is effective and Hamiltonian, then dim  $M \ge 2m$  (see, e.g., [CdS01, Theorem 27.3]).
- (F3) If M is a symplectic toric manifold, then it is simply connected (see [Del88]). In particular,  $H^1_{dR}(M, \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}.$
- (F4) If G = T is a *m*-dimensional real torus, M is connected and  $\Phi$  effective, then  $M^{\circ}$  is open, connected and dense in M (this follows from [GGK02, Corollary B.48]).

### **3** Torification of dually flat manifolds

In this section, we discuss the concept of torification, which is used throughout this paper. This concept is a combination of two ingredients: (1) *Dombrowski's construction*, which implies that the tangent bundle of a dually flat manifold is naturally a Kähler manifold [Dom62], and (2) *parallel lattices*, which are used to implement torus actions. Further properties are discussed as well, such as a lifting property. Examples from Information Geometry are presented. The material is mostly taken from [Mol21].

**3.1 Dombrowski's construction.** Let M be a connected manifold of dimension n, endowed with a Riemannian metric h and affine connection  $\nabla$  ( $\nabla$  is not necessarily the Levi-Civita connection). The *dual connection* of  $\nabla$ , denoted by  $\nabla^*$ , is the only connection satisfying  $X(h(Y,Z)) = h(\nabla_X Y, Z) + h(Y, \nabla_X^* Z)$  for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M. When both  $\nabla$  and  $\nabla^*$  are flat (i.e., the curvature tensor and torsion are zero), we say that the triple  $(M, h, \nabla)$  is a *dually flat manifold*.

Let  $\pi: TM \to M$  denote the canonical projection. Given a local coordinate system  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$ on  $U \subseteq M$ , we can define a coordinate system  $(q, r) = (q_1, ..., q_n, r_1, ..., r_n)$  on  $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq TM$ by letting  $(q, r)(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}|_p) = (x_1(p), ..., x_n(p), a_1, ..., a_n)$ , where  $p \in M$  and  $a_1, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Write  $(z_1, ..., z_n) = (q_1 + ir_1, ..., q_n + ir_n)$ , where  $i = \sqrt{-1}$ . When  $\nabla$  is flat, Dombrowski [Dom62] showed that the family of complex coordinate systems  $(z_1, ..., z_n)$  on TM (obtained from affine coordinates on M) form a holomorphic atlas on TM. Thus, when  $\nabla$  is flat, TM is naturally a complex manifold. If in addition  $\nabla^*$  is flat, then TM has a natural Kähler metric g whose local expression in the coordinates (q, r) is given by  $g(q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} h(x) & 0\\ 0 & h(x) \end{bmatrix}$ , where h(x) is the matrix representation of h in the affine coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ . It follows that the tangent bundle of a dually flat manifold is naturally a Kähler manifold. In this paper, we will refer to this Kähler structure as the Kähler structure associated to Dombrowski's construction.

**3.2 Parallel lattices.** Let  $(M, h, \nabla)$  be a dually flat manifold of dimension n. A subset  $L \subset TM$  is said to be a *parallel lattice* with respect to  $\nabla$  if there are n vector fields  $X_1, ..., X_n$  on M that are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$  and such that: (i)  $\{X_1(p), ..., X_n(p)\}$  is a basis for  $T_pM$  for every  $p \in M$ , and (ii)  $L = \{k_1X_1(p) + ... + k_nX_n(p) \mid k_1, ..., k_n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in M\}$ . In this case, we say that the frame  $X = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  is a *generator* for L. We will denote the set of generators for L by gen(L).

Given a parallel lattice  $L \subset TM$  with respect to  $\nabla$ , and  $X \in \text{gen}(L)$ , we will denote by  $\Gamma(L)$ the set of transformations of TM of the form  $u \mapsto u + k_1X_1 + \ldots + k_nX_n$ , where  $u \in TM$  and  $k_1, \ldots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The group  $\Gamma(L)$  is independent of the choice of  $X \in \text{gen}(L)$  and is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Moreover, the natural action of  $\Gamma(L)$  on TM is free and proper. Thus the quotient  $M_L = TM/\Gamma(L)$  is a smooth manifold and the quotient map  $q_L : TM \to M_L$  is a covering map whose Deck transformation group is  $\Gamma(L)$ . Since  $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L)$ , there exists a surjective submersion  $\pi_L : M_L \to M$  such that  $\pi = \pi_L \circ q_L$ .

Let  $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the *n*-dimensional torus. Given  $t = (t_1, ..., t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we will denote by  $[t] = [t_1, ..., t_n]$  the corresponding equivalence class in  $\mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Given  $X \in \text{gen}(L)$ , we will denote by  $\Phi_X : \mathbb{T}^n \times M_L \to M_L$  the effective torus action defined by

$$\Phi_X([t], q_L(u)) = q_L(u + t_1X_1 + \dots + t_nX_n).$$
(3.1)

The manifold  $M_L = TM/\Gamma(L)$  is naturally a Kähler manifold (this follows from the fact that every  $\gamma \in \Gamma(L)$  is a holomorphic and isometric map with respect the Kähler structure associated to Dombrowski's construction). Moreover, for each  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ , the map  $(\Phi_X)_a : M_L \to M_L$ ,  $p \mapsto \Phi_X(a, p)$  is a holomorphic isometry.

**3.3 Torification.** Let  $(M, h, \nabla)$  be a connected dually flat manifold of dimension n and N a connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension n, equipped with an effective holomorphic and isometric torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$ . Let  $N^{\circ}$  denote the set of points  $p \in N$  where  $\Phi$  is free.

**Definition 3.1 (Torification).** We shall say that N is a *torification* of M if there exist a parallel lattice  $L \subset TM$  with respect to  $\nabla$ ,  $X \in \text{gen}(L)$  and a holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphism  $F: M_L \to N^\circ$  satisfying  $F \circ (\Phi_X)_a = \Phi_a \circ F$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ .

By abuse of language, we will often say that the torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a torification of M. We shall say that a Kähler manifold N is *regular* if it is connected, simply connected, complete and if the Kähler metric is real analytic. A torification  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is said to be *regular* if N is regular. In this paper, we are mostly interested in regular torifications.

**Remark 3.2.** A torification N is not necessarily a Kähler toric manifold. For example, N may not be compact (see Proposition 3.13).

Two torifications  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  and  $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^n \times N' \to N'$  of the same connected dually flat manifold  $(M, h, \nabla)$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a Kähler isomorphism  $f : N \to N'$ and a Lie group isomorphism  $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$  such that  $f \circ \Phi_a = \Phi'_{\rho(a)} \circ f$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.3 (Equivalence of regular torifications).** Regular torifications of a connected dually flat manifold  $(M, h, \nabla)$  are equivalent.

A connected dually flat manifold  $(M, h, \nabla)$  is said to be *toric* if it has a regular torification N. In this case, we will often refer to N as "the regular torification of M", and keep in mind that it is only defined up to an equivariant Kähler isomorphism and reparametrization of the torus.

For later reference, we give the following technical definition.

**Definition 3.4.** Suppose  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a torification of  $(M, h, \nabla)$ .

- (1) A toric parametrization is a triple (L, X, F), where  $L \subset TM$  is parallel lattice with respect to  $\nabla, X \in \text{gen}(L)$  and  $F: M_L \to N^\circ$  is a holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphism satisfying  $F \circ (\Phi_X)_a = \Phi_a \circ F$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ .
- (2) Let  $\tau : TM \to N^{\circ}$  and  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to M$  be smooth maps. We say that the pair  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is a *toric factorization* if there exists a toric parametrization (L, X, F) such that  $\tau = F \circ q_L$  and  $\kappa = \pi_L \circ F^{-1}$ . In this case, we say that  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is *induced by the toric parametrization* (L, X, F). Note that  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$ .
- (3) We say that  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to M$  is the compatible projection induced by the toric parametrization (L, X, F) if there exists a map  $\tau : TM \to N^{\circ}$  such that  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is the toric factorization induced by (L, X, F). When it is not necessary to mention (L, X, F) explicitly, we just say that  $\kappa$  is a compatible projection. Analogously, one defines a compatible covering map  $\tau : TM \to N^{\circ}$ .
- By abuse of language, we will often say that the formula  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$  is a toric factorization.

If  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a regular torification of M, and if  $\kappa, \kappa' : N^\circ \to M$  are compatible projections, then there is a holomorphic isometry  $\varphi : N \to N$  and a Lie group isomorphism  $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^n$  such that  $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $\kappa' = \kappa \circ \varphi$ .

**3.4 Lifting procedure.** Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  and  $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^d \times N' \to N'$  be torifications of the dually flat manifolds  $(M, h, \nabla)$  and  $(M', h', \nabla')$ , respectively.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $f: M \to M'$  and  $m: N \to N'$  be smooth maps. We say that m is a *lift* of f if there are compatible covering maps  $\tau: TM \to N^{\circ}$  and  $\tau': TM' \to (N')^{\circ}$  such that  $m \circ \tau = \tau' \circ f_*$ . In this case, we say that m is a *lift* of f with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$ .

If m is a lift of f with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$ , and if  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$  and  $\pi' = \kappa' \circ \tau'$  are toric factorizations, then  $\kappa' \circ m = f \circ \kappa$ . Therefore the following diagram commutes:



If  $m : N \to N'$  is a lift of f, then m is a Kähler immersion if and only if  $f : (M, \nabla) \to (M', \nabla')$  is an affine immersion satisfying  $f^*h' = h$ . In this case, there exists a unique Lie group homomorphism  $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \to \mathbb{T}^d$  with finite kernel such that  $m \circ \Phi_a = \Phi'_{\rho(a)} \circ m$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ .

**Theorem 3.6** (Existence of lifts). Suppose  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  and  $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^d \times N' \to N'$  are regular torifications of  $(M, h, \nabla)$  and  $(M', h', \nabla')$ , respectively. Let  $\tau : TM \to N^\circ$  and  $\tau' : TM' \to (N')^\circ$  be compatible covering maps. Then every isometric affine immersion  $f : M \to M'$  has a unique lift  $m : N \to N'$  with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$ .

Let  $\operatorname{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$  be the group of isometries of (M, h) that are also affine with respect to  $\nabla$ , and let  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  be the group of holomorphic isometries of N. It follows from Theorem 3.6 that every  $\psi \in \operatorname{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$  has a lift with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau' = \tau$ , that we will denote by  $\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(\psi)$ . The following result is a consequence of [Mol21, Proposition 9.9].

**Proposition 3.7.** The map  $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \to \text{Aut}(N, g), \psi \mapsto \text{lift}_{\tau}(\psi)$  is a group homomorphism.

**3.5 Examples from Information Geometry.** The concept of torification was motivated, in the first place, by the connection between Kähler geometry, Information Geometry and Quantum Mechanics. In this section, we illustrate this connection with a few examples. The reader interested in Information Geometry may consult [AJLS17, AN00, MR93].

**Definition 3.8.** A statistical manifold is a pair (S, j), where S is a manifold and where j is an injective map from S to the space of all probability density functions p defined on a fixed measure space  $(\Omega, dx)$ :

$$j: S \hookrightarrow \Big\{ p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R} \ \Big| \ p \text{ is measurable, } p \ge 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} p(x) dx = 1 \Big\}.$$

If  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$  is a coordinate system on a statistical manifold S, then we shall indistinctly write  $p(x;\xi)$  or  $p_{\xi}(x)$  for the probability density function determined by  $\xi$ .

Given a "reasonable" statistical manifold S, it is possible to define a metric  $h_F$  and a family of connections  $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$  on S ( $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ) in the following way: for a chart  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n)$  of S, define

$$(h_F)_{\xi} (\partial_i, \partial_j) := \mathbb{E}_{p_{\xi}} (\partial_i \ln(p_{\xi}) \cdot \partial_j \ln(p_{\xi})), \Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}(\xi) := \mathbb{E}_{p_{\xi}} [(\partial_i \partial_j \ln(p_{\xi}) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ln(p_{\xi}) \cdot \partial_j \ln(p_{\xi})) \partial_k \ln(p_{\xi})],$$

where  $\mathbb{E}_{p_{\xi}}$  denotes the mean, or expectation, with respect to the probability  $p_{\xi}dx$ , and where  $\partial_i$  is a shorthand for  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$ . In the formulas above, it is assumed that the function  $p_{\xi}(x)$  is smooth with respect to  $\xi$  and that the expectations are finite. When the first formula above defines a smooth metric  $h_F$  on S, it is then called *Fisher metric*. In this case, the  $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}$ 's define a connection  $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$ on S via the formula  $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = (h_F)_{\xi}(\nabla_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)}\partial_j,\partial_k)$ , which is called the  $\alpha$ -connection. Among the  $\alpha$ -connections, the 1-connection is particularly important and is usually referred

Among the  $\alpha$ -connections, the 1-connection is particularly important and is usually referred to as the *exponential connection*, also denoted by  $\nabla^{(e)}$ . In this paper, we will only consider statistical manifolds S for which the Fisher metric  $h_F$  and exponential connection  $\nabla^{(e)}$  are well defined.

We now recall the definition of an exponential family.

**Definition 3.9.** An exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  on a measure space  $(\Omega, dx)$  is a set of probability density functions  $p(x;\theta)$  of the form  $p(x;\theta) = \exp \{C(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \theta_i F_i(x) - \psi(\theta)\}$ , where  $C, F_1..., F_n$  are measurable functions on  $\Omega, \theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_n)$  is a vector varying in an open subset  $\Theta$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and where  $\psi$  is a function defined on  $\Theta$ .

In the above definition, it is assumed that the family of functions  $\{1, F_1, ..., F_n\}$  is linearly independent, so that the map  $p(x, \theta) \mapsto \theta$  becomes a bijection, hence defining a global chart for  $\mathcal{E}$ . The parameters  $\theta_1, ..., \theta_n$  are called the *natural* or *canonical parameters* of the exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$ . **Example 3.10 (Binomial distribution).** Let  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  be the set of Binomial distributions  $p(k) = \binom{n}{k}q^k(1-q)^{n-k}$ ,  $q \in (0,1)$ , defined over  $\Omega = \{0, ..., n\}$ . It is a 1-dimensional exponential family, because  $p(k) = \exp\{C(k) + \theta F(k) - \psi(\theta)\}$ , where  $\theta = \ln\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)$ ,  $C(k) = \ln\binom{n}{k}$ , F(k) = k,  $k \in \Omega$ , and  $\psi(\theta) = n \ln(1 + \exp(\theta))$ .

**Example 3.11** (Categorical distribution). Let  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_n\}$  be a finite set and let  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\times}$  be the set of maps  $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying p(x) > 0 for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\times}$  is an exponential family of dimension n-1. Indeed, elements in  $\mathcal{P}_n^{\times}$  can be parametrized as follows:  $p(x;\theta) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i F_i(x) - \psi(\theta)\}$ , where  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $\theta = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ ,  $F_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$  (Kronecker delta) and  $\psi(\theta) = \ln(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\theta_i})$ .

**Example 3.12** (Poisson distribution). A Poisson distribution is a distribution over  $\Omega = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, ...\}$  of the form  $p(k; \lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lambda > 0$ . Let  $\mathscr{P}$  denote the set of all Poisson distributions  $p(.; \lambda)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ . The set  $\mathscr{P}$  is an exponential family, because  $p(k, \lambda) = \exp(C(k) + F(k)\theta - \psi(\theta))$ , where  $C(k) = -\ln(k!)$ , F(k) = k,  $\theta = \ln(\lambda)$ , and  $\psi(\theta) = \lambda = e^{\theta}$ .

Under mild assumtions, it can be proved that an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  endowed with the Fisher metric  $h_F$  and exponential connection  $\nabla^{(e)}$  is a dually flat manifold (see [AN00]). This is the case, for example, if  $\Omega$  is a finite set endowed with the counting measure (see [Shi07], Chapter 6). In the sequel, we will always regard an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  as a dually flat manifold.

Since an exponential family is dually flat, it is natural to ask whether it is toric. Below we describe three examples. Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  be the complex projective space of complex dimension n, endowed with the Fubini-Study metric normalized in such a way that the holomorphic sectional curvature is c > 0. Let  $\Phi_n$  be the action of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  on  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  defined by

$$\Phi_n([t], [z]) = [e^{2i\pi t_1} z_1, \dots, e^{2i\pi t_n} z_n, z_{n+1}]. \quad \text{(homogeneous coordinates)}$$
(3.3)

**Proposition 3.13.** In each case below, the torus action is a regular torification of the indicated exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} (a) & \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}, & \Phi_n : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{P}_n(1) \to \mathbb{P}_n(1). \\ (b) & \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n), & \Phi_1 : \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \to \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}). \\ (c) & \mathcal{E} = \mathscr{P}, & \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \, ([t], z) \mapsto e^{2i\pi t} z. \end{array}$ 

For a proof and more examples, see [Mol21].

In what follows, we will identify the tangent bundle of  $\mathcal{E} \in \{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}, \mathcal{B}(n), \mathscr{P}\}$  with  $\mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{E})}$  via the map  $T\mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{C}^{\dim(\mathcal{E})}, \sum \dot{\theta}_k \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_k}|_{p(.,\theta)} \mapsto (z_1, ..., z_{\dim(\mathcal{E})})$ , where the  $\theta_k$ 's are natural parameters and  $z_k = \theta_k + i\dot{\theta}_k$ .

**Proposition 3.14** (Complement of Proposition 3.13). In each case below, the pair  $(\tau, \kappa)$  is a toric factorization of the indicated exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$ .

(a) 
$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$$
,  $\mathbb{C}^n = T\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{P}_n(1)^{\circ} \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ ,  
 $\tau(z) = \left[e^{z_1/2}, ..., e^{z_n/2}, 1\right],$   $\kappa([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_1|^2 + ... + |z_{n+1}|^2}, \ k = 1, 2..., n+1.$ 

(b) 
$$\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n), \quad \mathbb{C} = T\mathcal{B}(n) \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})^\circ \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{B}(n),$$
  
 $\tau(z) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{z/2}, 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \kappa([z_1, z_2])(k) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{|z_1^k z_2^{n-k}|^2}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^n}, \ k = 0, 1, 2..., n.$   
(c)  $\mathcal{E} = \mathscr{P}, \quad \mathbb{C} = T\mathscr{P} \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{C}^* \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathscr{P},$ 

$$au(z) = 2e^{z/2}, \qquad \kappa(z)(k) = e^{-|z|^2/4} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{|z|^2}{4}\right)^k, \ k = 0, 1, 2...$$

**3.6 Weyl groups.** In this section, we discuss Weyl groups in the context of torification. We begin by recalling some basic definitions and properties. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A subgroup T of G is called a *torus* if it is compact, connected and Abelian. A torus  $T \subset G$  is said to be *maximal* if  $T \subseteq T'$ , with T' a torus, implies T = T'. Let  $G^0$  denote the identity component of G, and let T be a maximal torus in  $G^0$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ . The Weyl group of  $G^0$  with respect to T, denoted by W(T), is the quotient group N(T)/T, where  $N(T) = \{g \in G^0 \mid gTg^{-1} = T\}$  is the normalizer of T in  $G^0$  (since T is normal in N(T), the quotient N(T)/T is naturally a group). If T and T' are maximal tori in  $G^0$ , then the groups W(T) and W(T') are isomorphic. Thus the Weyl group is independent of the choice of a maximal torus. The Weyl group is a finite group that acts on T and  $\mathfrak{t}$  as follows: if  $n \in N(T)$  is a representative of  $w \in W(T)$ , then

$$w \cdot a = nan^{-1}$$
 and  $w \cdot \xi = \mathrm{Ad}_n \xi$ ,

where  $a \in T$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Moreover, the following properties hold (see, e.g., [DK00], Theorem 3.7.1(iv) and [Bot79], p.74):

(P1) 
$$\mathfrak{g} = \bigcup_{q \in G^0} \mathrm{Ad}_q(\mathfrak{t}).$$

(P2) Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\xi = \operatorname{Ad}_g \eta = \operatorname{Ad}_{g'} \eta'$ , where  $g, g' \in G^0$  and  $\eta, \eta' \in \mathfrak{t}$ , then there is  $w \in W$  such that  $\eta = w \cdot \eta'$ .

Property (P1) is sometimes referred to as the *infinitesimal conjugation Theorem*, since it is an infinitesimal version of the classical conjugation Theorem of Cartan for maximal tori.

For our purposes, it is convenient to consider a sightly more general definition of the Weyl group, which due to Segal [Seg68]. A closed subgroup  $S \subseteq G$  is called a *Cartan subgroup* if it contains an element whose powers are dense in S, and if S is of finite index in its normalizer  $N(S) = \{g \in G \mid gSg^{-1} = S\}$ . The finite group W(S) = N(S)/S is, by definition, the *Weyl group* of S (in the sense of Segal). When  $G = G^0$ , that is, when G is connected, Cartan subgroups are precisely the maximal tori of G, and thus, Segal's definition generalizes the classical one to the nonconnected case. Note, however, that Segal's definition *depends* on the choice of a Cartan subgroup.

We now discuss Weyl groups in the context of torification. Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  be a Kähler toric manifold, with Kähler metric g. Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  be the group of holomorphic isometries of Nendowed with the compact-open topology; it is a compact Lie group whose natural action on N is smooth (see Proposition A.15). We assume that N, equipped with  $\Phi$ , is a regular torification of a dually flat manifold  $(M, h, \nabla)$ . Let  $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$  be the group of affine diffeomorphisms of  $(M, \nabla)$  that are also isometries with respect to h. Let  $\tau : TM \to N^{\circ}$  be a compatible covering map (see Definition 3.4). Given  $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ , we will denote by  $\text{lift}_{\tau}(\psi)$  the lift of  $\psi$  with respect to  $\psi$  (see Section 3.4). Let  $S \subset \text{Aut}(N, g)$  be the image of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  under the map  $a \mapsto \Phi_a$ .

The following properties are proven in [Mol23].

(P3) S is a maximal torus and a Cartan subgroup of Aut(N, g).

Let W(S) = N(S)/S be the Weyl group of Aut(N, g) associated to S (in the sense of Segal). We will denote by  $[\psi]$  the equivalence class of  $\psi \in N(S)$  in W(S).

(P4)  $\operatorname{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \to W(S), \quad \psi \mapsto [\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(\psi)]$  is a group isomorphism.

Let Proj denote the set of compatible projections  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to M$  (see Definition 3.4).

(P5) The map  $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \times \text{Proj} \to \text{Proj}, (\psi, \kappa) \mapsto \psi \circ \kappa$ , is a free and transitive group action.

We now specialize to the case in which  $M = \mathcal{E}$  is an exponential family defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ , with elements of the form  $p(x; \theta) = e^{C(x) + \langle \theta, F(x) \rangle - \psi(\theta)}$ , where  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $C : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, F = (F_1, ..., F_n) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n, \langle u, v \rangle = u_1 v_1 + ... + u_n v_n$  is the Euclidean pairing in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ . It is assumed that the functions  $1, F_1, ..., F_n$  are linearly independent. Let  $h_F$  and  $\nabla^{(e)}$  be, respectively, the Fisher metric and exponential connection on  $\mathcal{E}$ . We continue to assume that  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a Kähler toric manifold and a regular torification of  $(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ . Let  $\mathbb{S}_m$  be the group of permutations of  $\{1, ..., m\}$ . Given  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$ , let  $T_\sigma$  denote the diffeomorphism of  $\mathcal{E}$  defined by  $T_{\sigma}(p)(x_k) = p(x_{\sigma(k)})$ , where  $p \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ . We shall say that a diffeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $\mathcal{E}$  is a *permutation* if there is  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $\varphi = T_\sigma$ . Let  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  be the group of permutations of  $\mathcal{E}$ . When F is injective, it is easy to see that the map  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{S}_m$ ,  $T_\sigma \to \sigma^{-1}$  defines a group isomorphism from  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{S}_m$ . In this case, we will often regard  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{S}_m$ .

(P6) If  $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective, then  $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) = \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}).$ 

In the case in which F is injective, (P4) and (P6) imply that the Weyl group of Aut(N, g) associated to S is isomorphic to the group of permutations of  $\mathcal{E}$ . For instance, the group of permutations of  $\mathcal{P}_m^{\times}$  (see Example 3.11) is obviously isomorphic to the group  $\mathbb{S}_m$ . The complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(c)$  of complex dimension m-1 and holomorphic sectional curvature c = 1 is a regular torification of  $\mathcal{P}_m^{\times}$ . The group of holomorphic isometries of  $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(c)$  is the projective unitary group  $\mathrm{PU}(m)$ , which is connected. Therefore the Weyl group of  $\mathrm{PU}(m)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{S}_m$  (see also Proposition 4.4(ii)).

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be the vector space of real-valued functions X on  $\Omega$  spanned by  $F_1, ..., F_n$  and the constant function 1.

(P7) If  $F: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective, then the formula  $(\sigma \cdot X)(x_k) = X(x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)})$  defines a linear action of Perm $(\mathcal{E})$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ .

Finally, it follows from (P5) and (P6) that:

(P8) Suppose  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  injective. If  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  are compatible projections, then there is  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \subset \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $\kappa(p)(x_k) = \kappa'(p)(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $p \in N^\circ$  and all  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .

### 4 Non-equivariance one-cocycle

The purpose of this section is to define a cocycle that measures the non-equivariance of the momentum map  $\mathbf{J}$  of a Kähler toric manifold with respect to the natural action of a certain Weyl group (see Definition 4.3).

Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  be a Kähler toric manifold, with Kähler metric g and momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  be the group of holomorphic isometries of N endowed with the compact-open topology; it is a compact Lie group whose natural action on N is smooth (see Proposition A.15). Below, we will use the same notation  $\Phi$  to denote either the map  $\mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$ or the corresponding group homomorphism  $\mathbb{T}^n \to \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{aut}(N,g)$  be the Lie algebra of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$ . It follows immediately from (F1) and (F3) that if G is a closed subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$ , then its natural action on N is Hamiltonian (see also Proposition 5.2). In particular, the natural action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  on N has an equivariant momentum map that we will denote by  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}: N \to \mathfrak{aut}(N,g)^*$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** The map  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \to \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  defines a Lie group isomorphism between  $\mathbb{T}^n$  and a maximal torus T in  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$ .

Proof. Let  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$ . By Lemma A.9, T is a closed Lie subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  and the map  $\mathbb{T}^n \to T$ ,  $a \mapsto \Phi_a$ , is a Lie group isomorphism. Thus T is a torus of dimension n. To see that it is maximal, let T' be a torus in  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  such that  $T \subseteq T'$ . By the discussion above, the natural action of T' on N is Hamiltonian. By (F2), this forces  $\dim(T') \leq \dim(N)/2 = n =$  $\dim(T)$ . It follows from this and the inclusion  $T \subseteq T'$  that T = T'.

Since  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$  is maximal in  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$ , it is also maximal in the identity component  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$ . Let W = W(T) be the Weyl group of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  associated to T (thus W is the quotient group N(T)/T, where N(T) is the normalizer of T in  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$ ). We will denote by  $[\phi]$  the equivalence class of  $\phi \in N(T) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  in W.

Let  $\Phi_* : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$  be the derivative of  $\Phi$  at the identity element. By Lemma 4.1,  $\Phi_*$  is a bijection between  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and the Lie algebra t of T. Since W acts linearly on t, there is a unique linear action of W on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\Phi_*(w \cdot \xi) = w \cdot (\Phi_*\xi)$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $\Phi^* : \mathfrak{aut}(N,g)^* \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be the map defined by

$$\langle \Phi^*(\alpha), \xi \rangle = \alpha(\Phi_*\xi)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{aut}(N,g)^*$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean pairing in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The maps **J** and  $\Phi^* \circ \overline{\mathbf{J}}$  are both momentum maps for the same torus action. Since N is connected, there is  $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\mathbf{J} = \Phi^* \circ \overline{\mathbf{J}} + C. \tag{4.1}$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $w = [\phi] \in W$ , we have:

$$\mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \phi^{-1} - \mathbf{J}^{w \cdot \xi} = \langle C, \xi - w \cdot \xi \rangle.$$

*Proof.* It follows from (4.1) and the equivariance of  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$  that

$$\mathbf{J}^{w \cdot \xi} = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{w \cdot \Phi_* \xi} + \langle C, w \cdot \xi \rangle \\
= \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\phi} \Phi_* \xi} + \langle C, w \cdot \xi \rangle \\
= \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\Phi_* \xi} \circ \phi^{-1} + \langle C, w \cdot \xi \rangle \\
= (\mathbf{J}^{\xi} - \langle C, \xi \rangle) \circ \phi^{-1} + \langle C, w \cdot \xi \rangle \\
= \mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \phi^{-1} - \langle C, \xi - w \cdot \xi \rangle.$$

The result follows.

**Definition 4.3.** The non-equivariance one-cocycle (or simply one-cocycle) of **J** is the map  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$ :  $W \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  defined by

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi) = \mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \phi^{-1} - \mathbf{J}^{w \cdot \xi}, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $w = [\phi] \in W$ .

It follows from Lemma 4.2 that the one-cocycle  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$  is well-defined and can be computed easily from the momentum map  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$ . We call  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$  a "cocycle" because

$$\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(ww')(\xi) = \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(w' \cdot \xi) + \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w')(\xi)$$
(4.3)

for all  $w, w' \in W$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Note that  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}-C}$  is identically zero. Thus it is always possible to add a constant to  $\mathbf{J}$  so that the corresponding one-cocycle is zero.

The rest of this section is devoted to the explicit calculation of  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}}$  when  $N = \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  is the complex projective space of complex dimension n and holomorphic sectional curvature c > 0, equipped with the torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  defined by

$$\Phi([t], [z]) = [e^{2i\pi t_1} z_1, \dots, e^{2i\pi t_n} z_n, z_{n+1}],$$

where  $[t] = [t_1, ..., t_n] \in \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $[z] = [z_1, ..., z_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  (homogeneous coordinates). The action  $\Phi$  is effective, isometric and Hamiltonian, with equivariant momentum map  $\mathbf{J}_c$ :  $\mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\mathbf{J}_{c}([z]) = -\frac{4\pi}{c} \left( \frac{|z_{1}|^{2}}{\langle z, z \rangle}, ..., \frac{|z_{n}|^{2}}{\langle z, z \rangle} \right),$$

where  $\langle z, w \rangle = \overline{z}_1 w_1 + \ldots + \overline{z}_{n+1} w_{n+1}$  is the standard Hermitian product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Below, we will regard  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  as a Kähler toric manifold for the torus action  $\Phi$  and momentum map  $\mathbf{J}_c$ .

Let PU(n + 1) be the projective unitary group that we identify with the quotient group  $SU(n+1)/\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1} = \{\varepsilon \mathbb{I}_{n+1} \mid \varepsilon \in \mathbb{C}, \varepsilon^{n+1} = 1\}$  and  $I_{n+1}$  is the identity matrix. The Lie algebra of PU(n+1) is naturally identified with  $\mathfrak{su}(n+1) := \operatorname{Lie}(SU(n+1))$  via the derivative at the neutral element of the quotient map  $SU(n) \to PU(n)$ ,  $A \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A$  (here  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A$  denotes the equivalence class of  $A \in SU(n+1)$  in PU(n+1)).

Let Aut( $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ ) denote the group of holomorphic isometries of  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ . Given a complex matrix A of size  $(n+1) \times (n+1)$ , we will denote by  $\phi_A$  the map  $\mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  defined by  $\phi_A([z]) = [Az]$ . It is well-known that the map

$$\begin{cases} PU(n+1) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n(c)), \\ \mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A \mapsto \phi_A, \end{cases}$$

is a Lie group isomorphism. The natural action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n(c))$  on  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  is Hamiltonian. An equivariant momentum map  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}_c: \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathfrak{su}(n+1)^*$  is given by

$$\left\langle \overline{\mathbf{J}}_{c}([z]), \xi \right\rangle = -\frac{2i}{c} \frac{\left\langle \xi(z), z \right\rangle}{\left\langle z, z \right\rangle},$$

where  $[z] \in \mathbb{P}_n(c), \xi \in \mathfrak{su}(n+1)$ , and where we use the same notation  $\langle , \rangle$  to denote the usual Hermitian product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (linear in the second entry) and the natural pairing between  $\mathfrak{su}(n+1)$  and its dual.

Let  $T \subset PU(n+1)$  be the image of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  under the map  $\Phi$  (it is a maximal torus in PU(n+1)by Lemma 4.1). Let N(T) be the normalizer of T in PU(n+1) and let W = W(T) = N(T)/T be the corresponding Weyl group. Given  $A \in SU(n+1)$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A \in N(T)$ , we will denote by  $T(\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A)$  the equivalence class of  $\mathbb{Z}_n A$  in W.

Let  $S_{n+1}$  be the group of permutations of  $\{1, ..., n+1\}$ . Given  $\sigma \in S_{n+1}$ , we will denote by  $E_{\sigma} \in SU(n+1)$  the matrix defined by

$$(E_{\sigma})_{ab} = \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{a\,n+1}} \delta_{a\sigma(b)} = \begin{cases} \delta_{a\sigma(b)} & \text{if } a < n+1, \\ \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \delta_{a\sigma(b)} & \text{if } a = n+1, \end{cases}$$
(4.4)

where  $\operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \in \{-1, 1\}$  is the sign of  $\sigma$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  denotes the Kronecker Delta. For instance, when n = 2, there are  $\operatorname{Card} \mathbb{S}_{n+1} = 6$  matrices of the form  $E_{\sigma}$ :

$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 0\\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1\end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 0\\ -1 \end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\1 \end{bmatrix}$	$egin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0\\1\\0\end{bmatrix},$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\0\\0\end{bmatrix}.$		

Below we will adopt the following convention: if  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $\xi_{n+1} := 0$ . Thus, if  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ , it makes sense to write  $\xi_{\sigma(k)}$  for all  $k \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ .

**Proposition 4.4.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  be the complex projective space, regarded as a Kähler toric manifold for the torus action  $\Phi$  and momentum map  $\mathbf{J}_c$  (see above). Let W be the Weyl group of  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n(c)) = PU(n+1)$  associated to the maximal torus  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . The following hold.

- (i)  $\mathbf{J}_c = \Phi^* \circ \overline{\mathbf{J}}_c + C_c$ , where  $C_c := -\frac{4\pi}{c} \frac{1}{n+1}(1, ..., 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .
- (ii)  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1} \to W$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto T(\mathbb{Z}_n E_{\sigma})$  is a group isomorphism.

(iii) 
$$\sigma \cdot \xi = (\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} - \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}, ..., \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)} - \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)})$$
 for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1} = W$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(iv) 
$$\sigma_{\mathbf{J}_c}(\sigma)(\xi) = -\frac{4\pi}{c}\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}$$
 for all  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1} = W$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We will show the proposition with a series of lemmas.

**Lemma 4.5.** Let  $S : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \mapsto \xi_1 + ... + \xi_n$ . Given  $[t] = [t_1, ..., t_n] \in \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $\xi = (\xi_1, ..., \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ , we have:

$$\Phi([t]) = \mathbb{Z}_{n+1} \operatorname{diag} \left[ e^{2i\pi \left( t_1 - \frac{S(t)}{n+1} \right)}, \dots, e^{2i\pi \left( t_n - \frac{S(t)}{n+1} \right)}, e^{-2i\pi \frac{S(t)}{n+1}} \right] \in PU(n+1),$$
  
$$\Phi_* \xi = 2i\pi \operatorname{diag} \left[ \xi_1 - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}, \dots, \xi_n - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}, -\frac{S(\xi)}{n+1} \right] \in \mathfrak{su}(n+1),$$

where the notation diag $(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{n+1})$  denotes the matrix of size n+1 whose (i, j)-entry is  $\lambda_i \delta_{ij}$  $(\delta_{ij} = \text{Kronecker Delta}).$ 

*Proof.* By a direct calculation.

By inspection of Lemma 4.5, we see that

$$T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n) = \{\mathbb{Z}_n \operatorname{diag}[\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{n+1}] \mid \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } |\lambda_j| = 1 \ \forall j, \lambda_1 ... \lambda_{n+1} = 1\}.$$

Note that T is the image under the projection  $SU(n+1) \rightarrow PU(n+1)$  of the group  $S \subseteq SU(n+1)$  of diagonal matrices. It is well-known that S is a maximal torus in SU(n+1).

Lemma 4.6. The following hold.

- (i) A complex matrix  $A = (A_{ij})$  of size n + 1 is in the normalizer N(S) of S if and only if there are complex numbers  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_{n+1}$  and a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  such that:
  - (a)  $|\lambda_1| = ... = |\lambda_{n+1}| = 1$  and  $\lambda_1 ... \lambda_{n+1} = \text{sign}(\sigma)$ .
  - (b)  $A_{ab} = \lambda_b \delta_{a\sigma(b)}$  for all  $a, b \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ .
- (ii) Given  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ , there is  $A \in S$  such that  $E_{\sigma}E_{\tau} = AE_{\sigma \circ \tau}$ .
- (iii)  $N(S) = \bigsqcup_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1}} SE_{\sigma}$  (disjoint union of cosets).
- (iv) The map  $\mathbb{S}_{n+1} \to W(S)$ ,  $\sigma \mapsto SE_{\sigma}$  is a group isomorphism, where W(S) is the Weyl group of SU(n+1) associated to S.

*Proof.* By a direct calculation.

The next lemma is proven in Chapter IV of [BtD85], Theorem 2.9.

**Lemma 4.7.** Let  $f: G \to H$  be a surjective homomorphism of compact connected Lie groups, and let  $T \subset G$  be a maximal torus. Then f(T) is a maximal torus in H. Furthermore, if  $\ker(f) \subset T$ , then f induces an isomorphism of the Weyl groups.

Proof of Proposition 4.4. (i) Follows from a direct computation using Lemma 4.5. (ii) Follows from Lemmas 4.6 and 4.7. Let us show (iii). Let  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_{n+1}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . By definition,

$$\Phi_*(\sigma \cdot \xi) = \operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}E_\sigma} \Phi_* \xi.$$
(4.5)

Under the natural identification  $\operatorname{Lie}(PU(n+1)) = \mathfrak{su}(n+1)$ , it is easy to see that  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\mathbb{Z}_{n+1}A}\eta = \operatorname{Ad}_A\eta$  for all  $\eta \in \mathfrak{su}(n+1)$  and all  $A \in SU(n+1)$ . Thus (4.5) can be rewritten as

$$\Phi_*(\sigma \cdot \xi) = \operatorname{Ad}_{E_\sigma} \Phi_* \xi. \tag{4.6}$$

Let  $a, b \in \{1, ..., n + 1\}$  be arbitrary. In view of Lemma 4.5, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\operatorname{Ad}_{E_{\sigma}} \Phi_{*} \xi\right)_{ab} &= \left(E_{\sigma}(\Phi_{*}\xi)(E_{\sigma})^{T}\right)_{ab} \\ &= \sum_{k,l} \left(E_{\sigma}\right)_{ak}(\Phi_{*}\xi)_{kl}(E_{\sigma})_{bl} \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_{k,l} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{a(n+1)}} \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \delta_{kl} \left(\xi_{k} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right) \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{b(n+1)}} \delta_{b\sigma(l)} \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_{k} \underbrace{\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{a(n+1)}} \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \left(\xi_{k} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right) \operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{b(n+1)}} \delta_{b\sigma(k)}}_{=0 \text{ if } a \neq b} \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_{k} \delta_{ab} \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \left(\xi_{k} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right) \left(\operatorname{sign}(\sigma)^{\delta_{a(n+1)}}\right)^{2} \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_{k} \delta_{ab} \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \left(\xi_{k} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right) \delta_{a\sigma(k)} \\ &= 2i\pi \sum_{k} \delta_{ab} \left(\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(a)} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{E_{\sigma}}\Phi_{*}\xi = 2i\pi\operatorname{diag}\left[\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}, \dots, \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}\right].$$
(4.7)

Let  $\xi' = (\xi'_1, ..., \xi'_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be defined by  $\xi'_k = \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(k)} - \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}$ , where  $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$ . We claim that  $\operatorname{Ad}_{E_{\sigma}} \Phi_* \xi = \Phi_* \xi'$ . Indeed,

$$S(\xi') = \xi'_1 + \dots + \xi'_n$$
  
=  $(\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)} + \dots + \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) - n\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}$   
=  $S(\xi) - \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)} - n\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}$   
=  $S(\xi) - (n+1)\xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)}$ 

and so  $-\frac{S(\xi')}{n+1} = \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n+1)} - \frac{S(\xi)}{n+1}$ . Thus we can rewrite (4.7) as

$$\operatorname{Ad}_{E_{\sigma}}\Phi_{*}\xi = 2i\pi\operatorname{diag}\left[\xi_{1}' - \frac{S(\xi')}{n+1}, ..., \xi_{n}' - \frac{S(\xi')}{n+1}, -\frac{S(\xi')}{n+1}\right] = \Phi_{*}\xi', \tag{4.8}$$

which shows the claim. It follows from the claim and (4.6) that  $\sigma \cdot \xi = \xi'$ . This completes the proof of (iii). (iv) Follows from a direct computation using (i), (iii) and Lemma 4.2.

#### 5 Kähler functions on toric manifolds

In this section, we investigate the relationships between the space of Kähler functions on a Kähler toric manifold and the momentum map associated to the torus action. We start by recalling the following definition.

**Definition 5.1.** Let N be a Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g and Kähler form  $\omega$ . A smooth function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be *Kähler* if it satisfies  $\mathscr{L}_{X_f}g = 0$ , where  $X_f$  is the Hamiltonian vector field associated to f (i.e.  $\omega(X_f, .) = df(.)$ ) and where  $\mathscr{L}_{X_f}$  is the Lie derivative in the direction of  $X_f$ .

Following [CMP90], we will denote by  $\mathscr{K}(N)$  the space of Kähler functions on N endowed with the Poisson bracket  $\{f, h\} := \omega(X_f, X_h)$ . When N has a finite number of connected components,  $\mathscr{K}(N)$  is finite dimensional<sup>1</sup>.

Let N be a Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g. We will denote by  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  the group of holomorphic isometries of N endowed with the compact-open topology. When N is connected,  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  is a Lie group whose natural action on N is smooth (see Proposition A.15). In this case, we shall denote by  $\operatorname{\mathfrak{aut}}(N,g)$  the Lie algebra of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  and by  $[,]_{\operatorname{\mathfrak{aut}}}$  the corresponding Lie bracket. If in addition N is compact, then  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  is also compact, since it is a closed subgroup of the group of isometries of N, which is compact (see Theorem A.11).

Let  $\mathfrak{X}_{hol}(N,g)$  be the space of complete Killing vector fields X on N whose flows consist of biholomorphisms, endowed with minus the usual Lie bracket for vector fields, that we denote by  $[,]_{-}$ . When N is connected, the map

$$(\mathfrak{aut}(N,g),[,]_{\mathfrak{aut}}) \mapsto (\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{hol}}(N,g),[,]_{-}), \quad \xi \mapsto \xi_N, \tag{5.1}$$

is well-defined and is a Lie algebra isomorphism, where  $\xi_N$  denotes the fundamental vector field associated to  $\xi$  (see Propositions A.8 and A.15).

**Lemma 5.2.** Let N be a connected, compact Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g. Suppose that the first de Rham cohomology group  $H^1_{dR}(N, \mathbb{R})$  is trivial (for example, if N is simply connected). Then the natural action of Aut(N, g) on N is Hamiltonian. Furthermore, if  $\overline{\mathbf{J}} : N \to \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)^*$ is an equivariant momentum map, then the map

$$\mathfrak{aut}(N,g) \oplus \mathbb{R} \quad \to \quad \mathscr{K}(N),$$

$$(\xi,r) \qquad \mapsto \quad \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} + r,$$
(5.2)

is a Lie algebra isomorphism, where  $\mathfrak{aut}(N,g) \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is endowed with the Lie bracket  $[(\xi, r), (\eta, s)] = ([\xi, \eta]_{\mathfrak{aut}}, 0).$ 

*Proof.* The fact that the natural action of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  on N is Hamiltonian follows from (F1). Let  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}: N \to \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)^*$  be an equivariant momentum map and let  $\phi : \mathfrak{aut}(N, g) \oplus \mathbb{R} \to C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$  be defined by  $\phi(\xi, r) = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} + r$ , where  $C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$  is the space of smooth functions on N. Clearly,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This is a consequence of the following result: if (M, h) is a connected Riemannian manifold, then its space of Killing vector fields  $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{Kill}}(M) := \{X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) \mid \mathscr{L}_X h = 0\}$  is finite dimensional (see for example [Jos02]).

 $\phi$  is linear and its image satisfies  $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) \subseteq \mathscr{K}(N)$ . Let  $\{f, h\} = \omega(X_f, X_h)$  denote the Poisson bracket on  $C^{\infty}(N, \mathbb{R})$  associated to the Kähler form  $\omega$ . To prove the lemma, it suffices to show that:

- (a)  $\operatorname{Im}(\phi) = \mathscr{K}(N).$
- (b)  $\phi$  is injective.
- (c)  $\phi([(\xi, r), (\xi', r')]) = \{\phi(\xi, r), \phi(\xi', r')\}$  for all  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$  and all  $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$ .

(a) It suffices to show that  $\mathscr{K}(N) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ . So let  $f \in \mathscr{K}(N)$  be arbitrary and let  $X_f$  be the corresponding Hamiltonian vector field. Note that  $X_f$  is complete, since N is compact. Because  $X_f$  is Hamiltonian and Killing, its flow preserves g and  $\omega$ . Since  $g, \omega$  and the complex structure J are compatible,  $X_f$  also preserves J, which means that the flow of  $X_f$  consists of holomorphic transformations. Thus  $X_f \in \mathfrak{X}_{\operatorname{hol}}(N, g)$ . By (5.1),  $X_f$  is the fundamental vector field associated to some  $\xi \in \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$ , that is,  $X_f = \xi_N$ . It follows that for every  $u \in TN$ ,

$$df(u) = \omega(X_f, u) = \omega(\xi_N, u) = d\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\varsigma}(u),$$

and so  $df = d\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi}$  on N. Since N is connected, there is  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} + r = \phi(\xi, r)$ . This shows that  $\mathscr{K}(N) \subseteq \operatorname{Im}(\phi)$ .

(b) Suppose that  $\phi(\xi, r) = 0$ . Thus  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} \equiv -r$  on N and hence  $\omega(\xi_N, u) = d\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi}(u) = d(-r)(u) = 0$ for all  $u \in TN$ . It follows that  $\xi_N = 0$  on N. Since the natural action of Aut(N, g) on N is effective, this implies  $\xi = 0$  (see Lemma A.1), which in turn implies that  $r \equiv -\overline{\mathbf{J}}^0 = 0$ . Thus  $\xi = 0$  and r = 0.

(c) Since  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$  is Aut(N, g)-equivariant, it is also infinitesimally equivariant, which means that  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{[\xi,\eta]_{\mathfrak{aut}}} = \{\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi}, \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\eta}\}$  for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$ . Thus

$$\begin{split} \phi([(\xi,r),(\xi',r')]) &= \phi([\xi,\xi']_{\mathfrak{aut}},0) = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{[\xi,\xi']_{\mathfrak{aut}}} = \{\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi},\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi'}\} \\ &= \{\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi}+r,\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi'}+r'\} = \{\phi(\xi,r),\phi(\xi',r')\}. \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

We now focus our attention to the case in which N is a Kähler toric manifold, with torus action  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  and momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$  is a maximal torus in  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$ . Let W = W(T) be the Weyl group of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  associated to T, and let  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}} : W \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  be the one-cocycle associated to  $\mathbf{J}$ .

In view of (4.3), one can easily check that the formula

$$w \cdot (\xi, r) = (w \cdot \xi, r + \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi)) \quad (w \in W, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, r \in \mathbb{R})$$
(5.3)

defines an action of W on  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . (Recall that the Lie algebra t of T is identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via  $\Phi_*$ ).

**Proposition 5.3** (Diagonalization of Kähler functions). Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  be a Kähler toric manifold, with Kähler metric g and momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$ . Let W = W(T) be the Weyl group of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  associated to the maximal torus  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . Given a smooth function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$ , the following hold.

- (a) f is Kähler if and only if there exist  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = \mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \varphi + r$ .
- (b) If  $f = \mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \varphi + r = \mathbf{J}^{\xi'} \circ \varphi' + r'$  on N, where  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \varphi, \varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  and  $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$ , then there is  $w \in W$  such that  $(\xi, r) = w \cdot (\xi', r')$ , where the action of W on  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  is given by (5.3).

*Proof.* By Lemma 5.2, the natural action of Aut(N, g) on N is Hamiltonian; let  $\overline{\mathbf{J}} : N \to \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)^*$  be an equivariant momentum map. Since  $\mathbf{J}$  and  $\Phi^* \circ \overline{\mathbf{J}}$  are both momentum maps for the same torus action, there is  $C \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mathbf{J} = \Phi^* \circ \overline{\mathbf{J}} + C$ , or equivalently, such that  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi} = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\Phi_*\xi} + \langle C, \xi \rangle$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Now let  $f: N \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function of the form  $f = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} + r$ , where  $\xi \in \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . By (P1) and the fact that  $\Phi_* : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathfrak{t} \subset \mathfrak{aut}(N, g)$  is a bijection (Lemma 4.1), there are  $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  such that  $\xi = \operatorname{Ad}_{\phi} \Phi_* \xi'$ , and thus

$$\begin{split} f &= \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\xi} + r = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\phi}\Phi_{*}\xi'} + r = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\Phi_{*}\xi'} \circ \phi^{-1} + r \\ &= (\mathbf{J}^{\xi'} - \langle C, \xi' \rangle) \circ \phi^{-1} + r \\ &= \mathbf{J}^{\xi'} \circ \phi^{-1} - \langle C, \xi' \rangle + r, \end{split}$$

where, in the first line, we have used the fact that  $\overline{\mathbf{J}}$  is equivariant. Set  $\varphi' = \phi^{-1}$  and  $r' = -\langle C, \xi' \rangle + r$ . Then  $f = \mathbf{J}^{\xi'} \circ \varphi' + r'$ , where  $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  and  $r' \in \mathbb{R}$ . In view of Lemma 5.2, this shows (a). To see (b), suppose that  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \varphi + r = \mathbf{J}^{\xi'} \circ \varphi' + r'$ , where  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n, \varphi, \varphi' \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  and  $r, r' \in \mathbb{R}$ . An argument analogous to the one above shows that  $\mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \varphi + r = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\operatorname{Ad}_{\varphi^{-1}}\Phi_*\xi} + \langle C, \xi \rangle + r$  and hence

$$\overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{\varphi^{-1}}\Phi_*\xi} + \langle C, \xi \rangle + r = \overline{\mathbf{J}}^{\mathrm{Ad}_{(\varphi')^{-1}}\Phi_*\xi'} + \langle C, \xi' \rangle + r'.$$

It follows from this and Lemma 5.2 that  $\operatorname{Ad}_{\varphi^{-1}}\Phi_*\xi = \operatorname{Ad}_{(\varphi')^{-1}}\Phi_*\xi'$  and  $\langle C, \xi \rangle + r = \langle C, \xi' \rangle + r'$ . The first equation shows that  $\xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong \mathfrak{t}$  are in the same  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$ -orbit. By (P2), this implies that there is  $w \in W$  such that  $\xi = w \cdot \xi'$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi,r) &= (w \cdot \xi', \langle C, \xi' - \xi \rangle + r') = (w \cdot \xi', \langle C, \xi' - w \cdot \xi' \rangle + r') \\ &= (w \cdot \xi', \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi') + r') = w \cdot (\xi', r'). \end{aligned}$$

The proposition follows.

We end this section with a simple but important example. Let  $\operatorname{Herm}_n$  denote the space of Hermitian matrices of size n and let  $\mathscr{K}(\mathbb{P}_n(c))$  be the space of Kähler functions on the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ . Given  $H \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}$ , we will denote by  $f_H : \mathbb{P}_n(c) \to \mathbb{R}$  the function defined by

$$f_H([z]) = \frac{\langle z, Hz \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle},$$

where  $[z] = [z_1, ..., z_{n+1}] \in \mathbb{P}_n(c)$  (homogeneous coordinates) and  $\langle z, w \rangle = \overline{z}_1 w_1 + ... + \overline{z}_{n+1} w_{n+1}$ is the usual Hermitian product on  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . It is well-known that the map  $\operatorname{Herm}_{n+1} \to \mathscr{K}(\mathbb{P}_n(c))$ is a bijection (see, e.g., [CMP90]).

г		
L		
L		

Given a complex matrix U, we will denote by  $U^*$  its conjugate. When  $U \in SU(n+1)$ , we will denote by  $\phi_U$  the holomorphic isometry of  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  defined by  $\phi_U([z]) = [Uz]$  (recall that every holomorphic isometry of  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$  is of this form).

**Proposition 5.4.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ ,  $\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{J}_c$  be as in Proposition 4.4. Then for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $U \in SU(n+1)$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have  $\mathbf{J}_c^{\xi} \circ \phi_U + r = f_H$ , where H is the Hermitian matrix defined by  $H = U^* DU$ , and

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} r - \frac{4\pi\xi_1}{c} & 0\\ & & \\ & r - \frac{4\pi\xi_n}{c} \\ 0 & & r \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* By a direct calculation.

#### 6 Spectral theory on toric exponential families

In this section, we specialize to the case in which a toric Kähler manifold  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is the regular torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set, and prove a strengthened version of Proposition 5.3. The proof requires some preliminary results that we present in separate sections. Some results are technical, while others are of independent interest. We begin by specifying our setting.

#### Setting 6.1.

(a) Let  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$  be a finite set endowed with the counting measure. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an exponential family of dimension *n* defined over  $\Omega$ , with elements of the form  $p(x;\theta) = p_{\theta}(x) = \exp\left[C(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \theta_k F_k(x) - \psi(\theta)\right]$ , where

$$x \in \Omega, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad C, F_1, ..., F_n : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}.$$

We assume that the functions  $F_1, ..., F_n, 1$  are linearly independent.

(b) Let  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  be a Kähler toric manifold, with Kähler metric g and momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (thus N is compact, connected,  $\Phi$  is effective, holomorphic and isometric, and  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} N = 2n$ ). We assume that N, equipped with  $\Phi$ , is a regular torification of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

As a matter of notation, we shall use  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  to denote the vector space of real-valued functions f on  $\Omega$  spanned by  $F_1, ..., F_n, 1$  (thus dim $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} = n + 1$ ). The map  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined by  $F(x) = (F_1(x), ..., F_n(x))$ , is interpreted in the statistical literature as an unbiased estimator for the model  $\mathcal{E}$ . We shall call it the *estimator map*. The expectation of a random variable  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , with respect to a probability function  $p : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , will be denoted by  $\mathbb{E}_p(X)$ .

**Remark 6.2.** The estimator map F is injective if and only if  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  separates the points of  $\Omega$ , that is, if for every pair of distinct points x and y in  $\Omega$ , there is  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that  $X(x) \neq X(y)$ .

**6.1 Extending compatible projections.** Let  $N^{\circ}$  be the set of points  $p \in N$  where the torus action is free; it is a connected open dense subset of N by (F4). The purpose of this section is to show that every compatible projection  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to \mathcal{E}$  (see Definition 3.4) extends continuously to a map  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ , where  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$  is an appropriate superset of  $\mathcal{E}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}_m$  be the set of all probability functions on  $\Omega$ . Thus a function  $p: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_m$ if and only if  $p(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  and  $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$ . We give  $\mathcal{P}_m$  the topology for which the map  $\mathcal{P}_m \to \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $p \mapsto (p(x_1), ..., p(x_m))$  is a homeomorphism onto its image in the subspace topology. Thus a sequence  $(p_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of points  $p_j \in \mathcal{P}_m$  converges to some  $p \in \mathcal{P}_m$  if and only if  $p_j(x) \to p(x)$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is naturally a subset of  $\mathcal{P}_m$ , we may consider its closure  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_m$ . To determine  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ , it is often convenient to use the following property of closed maps, whose proof is left to the reader.

**Lemma 6.3.** Let  $f : X \to Y$  be a continuous map between topological spaces. Suppose that f is closed (in the sense that the image of every closed set is closed). Then  $f(\overline{A}) = \overline{f(A)}$  for every set  $A \subseteq X$  (here the closure of a set B is denoted by  $\overline{B}$ ).

Note that a continuous map  $f:X\to Y$  from a compact space X to a Hausdorff space Y is automatically closed.

**Example 6.4.** The set  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  of Binomial distributions defined over  $\Omega = \{0, ..., n\}$  is the image of the continuous map  $f : (0, 1) \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  defined by  $f(q)(k) = \binom{n}{k}q^k(1-q)^{n-k}$ , where  $q \in (0, 1)$  and  $k \in \{0, ..., n\}$ . The map f extends continuously to a closed map  $f : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ , where  $f(0) = \delta_0$  and  $f(1) = \delta_n$  are defined by

$$\delta_i(j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases} \quad (i \in \{0, n\}, \ j \in \Omega)$$

By Lemma 6.3, we have  $\overline{\mathcal{B}(n)} = \overline{f((0,1))} = f(\overline{(0,1)}) = f([0,1])$ , and so

$$\overline{\mathcal{B}(n)} = \mathcal{B}(n) \cup \{\delta_0, \delta_n\}.$$

**Example 6.5.** Let  $\Delta_n = \{(y_1, ..., y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid y_k \ge 0 \forall k, y_1 + ... + y_n \le 1\}$ , and let  $f : \Delta_n \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  be the continuous closed surjective map defined by

$$f(y)(x_k) = \begin{cases} y_k & \text{if } k < n+1, \\ 1 - (y_1 + \dots + y_n) & \text{if } k = n+1, \end{cases}$$

where  $y = (y_1, ..., y_n) \in \Delta_n$  and  $x_k \in \Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\}$ . A straightforward verification shows that f maps the topological interior of  $\Delta_n$  onto  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times} = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1} \mid p(x) > 0 \; \forall x \in \Omega\}$ , that is,  $f(\Delta_n^{\circ}) = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . Because  $\Delta_n$  is a closed convex set whose topological interior is nonempty, we have  $\overline{\Delta_n^{\circ}} = \Delta_n$  (see [Roc70], Theorem 6.3). It follows from this and Lemma 6.3 that  $\overline{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}} = \overline{f(\Delta_n^{\circ})} = f(\Delta_n) = f(\Delta_n)$ , and so

$$\overline{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}.$$

Throughout the rest of this section, we let  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau : T\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$  be a toric factorization. Let  $j: \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{P}_m^{\times}$  be the inclusion map. Recall that the complex projective space  $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1)$ , equipped with the torus action  $\Phi_{m-1}$ , is a regular torification of  $\mathcal{P}_m^{\times}$  and that the map  $\tau_{\text{can}} : T\mathcal{P}_m^{\times} = \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \to \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1)^{\circ}$  defined by  $\tau_{\text{can}}(z) = [e^{z_1/2}, ..., e^{z_{m-1}/2}, 1]$  is a compatible covering map (see Propositions 3.13 and 3.14). Let  $\kappa_{\text{can}} : \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1)^{\circ} \to \mathcal{P}_m^{\times}$  be the map defined by  $\kappa_{\text{can}}([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_1|^2 + ... + |z_m|^2}$ . Then  $(\kappa_{\text{can}}, \tau_{\text{can}})$  is a toric factorization. Let  $\text{lift}(j) : N \to \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1)$  be the lift of j with respect to  $\tau$  and  $\tau_{\text{can}}$  (see Section 3.4). Let  $K_{\text{can}} : \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1) \to \mathcal{P}_m$  be the continuous map defined by

$$K_{\rm can}([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{|z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_m|^2},\tag{6.1}$$

where  $[z] = [z_1, ..., z_m] \in \mathbb{P}_{m-1}(1)$  and  $x_k \in \Omega$ . The following commutative diagram summarizes the situation:

where  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  are inclusion maps. The next lemma follows immediately from (6.2) and the fact that  $N^{\circ}$  is dense in N.

**Lemma 6.6.**  $(K_{\operatorname{can}} \circ \operatorname{lift}(j))(N) \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{E}}.$ 

Thus, the composition  $K_{\text{can}} \circ \text{lift}(j)$  defines a continuous map  $N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  that we will denote by K. By construction, the following diagram commutes,

where horizontal maps are inclusion maps. Furthermore, since N is compact and  $N^{\circ}$  is dense in N, Lemma 6.6 implies that

$$K(N) = \overline{K(N^{\circ})} = \overline{\kappa(N^{\circ})} = \overline{\mathcal{E}}.$$

Thus  $K(N) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ , that is, K is surjective.

**Definition 6.7.** Let  $\mathcal{E}, N, \kappa$  and K be as above. We shall say that the surjective map  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  is the *natural extension* of the compatible projection  $\kappa$ .

Clearly, K is the unique continuous map  $N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  satisfying  $\operatorname{ev}_x \circ K = \operatorname{ev}_x \circ \kappa$  on  $N^\circ$  for all  $x \in \Omega$ , where  $\operatorname{ev}_x : \mathcal{P}_m \to \mathbb{R}$  is the evaluation map, that is,  $\operatorname{ev}_x(p) = p(x)$ . It is also worth noting that  $\operatorname{ev}_x \circ K : N \to \mathbb{R}$  is smooth for all  $x \in \Omega$ .

**Example 6.8.** If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{m+1}^{\times}$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{P}_{m+1}$  and the natural extension of  $\kappa_{\text{can}}$  is  $K_{\text{can}}$ .

**Example 6.9.** If  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ , then  $\overline{\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{B}(n) \cup \{\delta_0, \delta_n\}$ . A regular torification of  $\mathcal{B}(n)$  is  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$ , endowed with the torus action  $\Phi_1$ . The map  $\kappa : \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})^\circ \to \mathcal{B}(n)$ , defined by  $\kappa([z_1, z_2])(k) = \binom{n}{(|z_1|^2+|z_2|^2)^n}$ , is a compatible projection. Let  $K : \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \to \overline{\mathcal{B}(n)}$  be the natural extension of  $\kappa$ . On the set  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \setminus \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})^\circ = \{[1, 0], [0, 1]\}$  where the torus action is not free, K is given by

$$K([1,0]) = \delta_n, \quad K([0,1]) = \delta_0.$$

We end this section with the following result, which follows immediately from (P8) and the fact that  $N^{\circ}$  is dense in N.

**Lemma 6.10.** Suppose that the estimator map  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective. If K and K' are the natural extensions of some compatible projections, then there is a permutation  $\sigma \in \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $K(p)(x_k) = K'(p)(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $p \in N$  and all  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .

**6.2 Momentum map.** In this section, we focus our attention on the momentum map  $\mathbf{J}: N \to \mathbb{R}^n$  associated to the torus action  $\Phi: \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$ . Our purpose is to show Proposition 6.15 below, which expresses the fact that the coordinate functions of  $\mathbf{J}$  are expectations (in the probabilistic sense) of appropriate random variables on  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ . For the convenience of the reader, we begin with a discussion of the notion of momentum map in the context of torification. The material is mostly taken from [Mol21].

Let  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to \mathcal{E}$  be a compatible projection. We assume that  $\kappa$  is induced by the toric parametrization  $(\mathcal{L}, Z, F)$  (see Definition 3.4). Write  $Z = (Z_1, ..., Z_n)$ , where each  $Z_i$  is a vector field on  $\mathcal{E}$ , and define the  $n \times n$  invertible matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  via the formulas

$$Z_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j}, \quad i \in \{1, ..., n\},$$

where the  $\theta_j$ 's are the natural parameters of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see Setting 6.1). Below we will regard A as a linear bijection from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In [Mol21], it is proven that there is  $C = (C_1, ..., C_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the following holds on  $N^{\circ}$ :

$$\mathbf{J} = -A \circ \eta \circ \kappa + C,\tag{6.4}$$

where  $\eta = (\eta_1, ..., \eta_n) : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is the map whose coordinate functions are defined by

$$\eta_i(p) = \mathbb{E}_p(F_i) = \sum_{x \in \Omega} F_i(x)p(x).$$

In the statistical literature, the functions  $\eta_i$ 's are called *expectation parameters* (see, e.g., [AN00]).

Clearly,  $\eta$  extends to a continuous map  $\mathcal{P}_m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ , also denoted by  $\eta$ , by letting  $\eta(p) = (\mathbb{E}_p(F_1), ..., \mathbb{E}_p(F_n))$ . Let  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  be the natural extension of  $\kappa$ . In view of (6.4), the

continuous functions **J** and  $-A \circ \eta \circ K + C$  coincide on  $N^{\circ}$ , which is dense in N, and thus they coincide on N. Thus, for any  $p \in N$ ,

$$\mathbf{J}(p) = -(A \circ \eta \circ K)(p) + C = \left(\mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_1), ..., \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_n)\right),$$

where  $X_j$  is the random variable on  $\Omega$  defined by

$$X_j := -\sum_{k=1}^n a_{jk} F_k + C_j.$$

Note that  $X_j \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  for every  $j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , and that the  $X_j$ 's are linearly independent. We thus have proved the existence part of the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.11.** There are random variables  $X_1, ..., X_n \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that

$$\mathbf{J}(p) = \left(\mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_1), \dots, \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X_n)\right)$$
(6.5)

for all  $p \in N$ . Furthermore, the  $X_j$ 's in (6.5) are unique.

The uniqueness assertion of Lemma 6.11 follows immediately from Lemma 6.12 (see below) and the well-known fact that the map  $\eta$  is a diffeomorphism from  $\mathcal{E}$  onto the topological interior  $\Delta^{\circ}$  of the convex hull  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of the image of the estimator map  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  (see, for example, [WJ08], Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 3.3).

**Lemma 6.12.** For  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ , let  $\alpha_p : \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the linear form defined by  $\alpha_p(X) = \mathbb{E}_p(X)$ . Then the family  $\{\alpha_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{E}}$  separates the points of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , that is, if  $\alpha_p(X) = 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , then X = 0.

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be arbitrary. Since  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is spanned by  $F_1, ..., F_n, 1$ , there are real numbers  $a_0, ..., a_n$  such that  $X = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i F_i$ , and thus

$$\alpha_p(X) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \mathbb{E}_p(F_i) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \eta_i(p) = a_0 + \langle a, \eta(p) \rangle$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ , where  $a := (a_1, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean pairing in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Now assume that  $\alpha_p(X) = 0$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\langle a, u \rangle = -a_0 \tag{6.6}$$

for all u in  $\eta(\mathcal{E}) = \Delta^{\circ}$ . Let  $u_0 \in \Delta^{\circ}$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be arbitrary. By continuity,  $u_0 + tv$  is in  $\Delta^{\circ}$  for all t in a neighborhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Using (6.6) with  $u_0 + tv$  in place of u, and taking the derivative with respect to t at t = 0, we obtain  $\langle a, v \rangle = 0$  for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus a = 0. If a = 0, then (6.6) implies that  $a_0 = 0$ . The lemma follows.

**Example 6.13.** Recall that  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$ , equipped with the torus action (3.3), is a regular torification of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . A momentum map is given by  $\mathbf{J}([z_1,...,z_{n+1}]) = -\frac{4\pi}{\langle z,z \rangle}(|z_1|^2,...,|z_n|^2)$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  is

the Hermitian product in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  (linear in the second entry). Let  $K = K_{\text{can}} : \mathbb{P}_n(1) \to \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ , be defined by  $K([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{\langle z, z \rangle}$  (it is the natural extension of some compatible projection). Then

$$\mathbf{J}([z]) = -4\pi \big( K([z])(x_1), \dots, K([z])(x_n) \big) \\ = -4\pi \big( \mathbb{E}_{K([z])}(\delta_{x_1}), \dots, \mathbb{E}_{K([z])}(\delta_{x_n}) \big),$$

where  $\delta_{x_j} : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\delta_{x_j}(x_i) = 1$  if j = i, 0 otherwise. Therefore the  $X_j$ 's are given by  $X_j = -4\pi \delta_{x_j}$ .

**Example 6.14.** Recall that  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$ , equipped with the torus action (3.3), is a regular torification of  $\mathcal{B}(n)$ . A momentum map is given by  $\mathbf{J}([z_1, z_2]) = -4\pi n \frac{|z_1|^2}{\langle z, z \rangle}$ . Let  $K : \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \to \overline{\mathcal{B}}_n$ , be the natural extension of the compatible projection  $\kappa([z])(k) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{|z_1^k z_2^{n-k}|^2}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^n}$ , where  $[z] = [z_1, z_2] \in \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})^\circ$  and  $k \in \Omega = \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . Clearly,  $K([z])(k) = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$ , where  $p = \frac{|z_1|^2}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)}$ . Thus K([z]) is a binomial distribution with parameter p. In particular, the mean of the identity function Id on  $\Omega$  with respect to K([z]) is given by

$$\mathbb{E}_{K([z])}(\mathrm{Id}) = np = \frac{n|z_1|^2}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)} = \frac{n|z_1|^2}{\langle z, z \rangle} = -\frac{1}{4\pi} \mathbf{J}([z]).$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{J}([z]) = \mathbb{E}_{K([z])}(X)$ , where  $X = -4\pi \mathrm{Id}$ .

For our purposes, it is convenient to reformulate Lemma 6.12 in the form:

**Proposition 6.15.** Given a compatible projection  $\kappa : N^{\circ} \to \mathcal{E}$ , with natural extension K, there is a unique linear bijection  $Z : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that

$$\mathbf{J}^{\xi}(p) + r = \mathbb{E}_{K(p)} \left( Z(\xi, r) \right) \tag{6.7}$$

for all  $p \in N$ ,  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ . If  $X_1, ..., X_n$  are the random variables described in Lemma 6.11, then  $Z(\xi, r) = \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j X_j + r$ .

**Definition 6.16.** We shall call the linear bijection  $Z : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  of Proposition 6.15 the *kernel of* **J** *relative to K*.

**Example 6.17.** Suppose  $N = \mathbb{P}_n(1)$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . In this case, the kernel of  $\mathbf{J}([z]) = -\frac{4\pi}{\langle z,z \rangle}(|z_1|^2, ..., |z_n|^2)$  relative to  $K([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{\langle z,z \rangle}$  is given by

$$Z(\xi, r)(x_k) = \begin{cases} -4\pi\xi_k + r & \text{if } k < n+1, \\ r & \text{if } k = n+1, \end{cases}$$

where  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and  $x_k \in \{x_1, ..., x_{n+1}\}$ .

**Example 6.18.** Suppose  $N = \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ . In this case, the kernel of  $\mathbf{J}([z_1, z_2]) = -4\pi n \frac{|z_1|^2}{\langle z, z \rangle}$  relative to  $K([z_1, z_2])(k) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{|z_1^k z_2^{n-k}|^2}{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2)^n}$  is given by

$$Z(\xi, r)(k) = -4\pi\xi k + r,$$

where  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ .

Consider the group action of  $\mathbb{S}_m$  on the space of real-valued functions h on  $\Omega$  given by  $(\sigma \cdot h)(x_k) = h(x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)})$ . It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{E}_{\sigma \cdot p}(X) = \mathbb{E}_p(\sigma^{-1} \cdot X)$$

for all probability functions p on  $\Omega$  and all random variables  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . Using this and Lemma 6.12, a straightforward computation shows the following result.

**Lemma 6.19.** Suppose that the estimator map F is injective. Let Z and Z' be the kernels of **J** relative to K and K', respectively, and let  $\sigma \in \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_m$  be a permutation such that  $K'(p) = \sigma \cdot K(p)$  for all  $p \in N$  (see Lemma 6.10). Then  $Z(\xi, r) = \sigma^{-1} \cdot Z'(\xi, r)$  for all  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ .

Therefore, if F is injective, then the images of  $Z(\xi, r)$  and  $Z'(\xi, r)$  coincide for all  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . This fact will be important in the definition of the spectrum of a Kähler function (Definition 6.23).

6.3 Weyl group of Aut $(N, g)^0$  and equivariance of Z. We now discuss symmetry properties. Throughout this section, we assume that the estimator map  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective, or equivalently that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  separates the points of  $\Omega$  (see Remark 6.2). Thus, by (P6), the group  $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$  of affine isometries of  $\mathcal{E}$  coincides with the group  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  of permutations of  $\mathcal{E}$  (see Section 3.6). Recall that every element of  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  is of the form  $T_{\sigma}$  for some  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$ , where  $T_{\sigma} : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$  is defined by  $T_{\sigma}(p)(x_k) = p(x_{\sigma(k)})$ . Since F is injective, the map  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \to \mathbb{S}_m$ ,  $T_{\sigma} \to \sigma^{-1}$  defines a group isomorphism from  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  to a subgroup of  $\mathbb{S}_m$ . Because of this, we will often regard  $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{S}_m$ .

Recall that  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$  is a maximal torus and a Cartan subgroup of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  (see Section 3.6). Let  $W^S$  be the Weyl group (in the sense of Segal) of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  associated to T. Let  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$  be a toric factorization. By (P4) and (P6), the map

$$\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \to W^S, \quad \sigma \mapsto [\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma^{-1}})], \tag{6.8}$$

is a group isomorphism, where  $[\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma^{-1}})]$  denotes the equivalence class of  $\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma^{-1}}) \in N(T) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  in  $W^S$ . Let W be the Weyl group (in the classical sense) of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  associated to T. Then W is naturally a subgroup of  $W^S$ . Moreover, if  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E})^0$  denotes the subgroup of  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  consisting of elements  $\psi \in \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$  satisfying  $\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(\psi) \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$ , then (6.8) restricts to a group isomorphism  $\operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E})^0 \to W$ , making the following diagram commutative:

where vertical arrows are inclusion maps.

Let  $Z : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be the kernel of **J** relative to  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$ . Recall that W acts linearly on  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  via the formula  $w \cdot (\xi, r) = (w \cdot \xi, r + \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi))$ , where  $\sigma_{\mathbf{J}} : W \to (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  is the one-cocycle of **J**. Since Z is a linear bijection, there is a unique linear action of W on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  such that

$$Z(w \cdot (\xi, r)) = w \cdot Z(\xi, r) \tag{6.10}$$

for all  $w \in W$  and  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ .

**Lemma 6.20.** The action of the Weyl group  $W \subseteq \mathbb{S}_m$  on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is given by:

$$(\sigma \cdot f)(x_k) = f(x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)}),$$

where  $\sigma \in W$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $x_k \in \Omega$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w = [\phi] \in W$  be arbitrary, where  $\phi \in N(T) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$ . Suppose that  $p \in N$  and  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  are arbitrary. Using (4.2) and the fact that Z is a kernel, we compute:

$$\mathbb{E}_{K(p)} \left( Z(w \cdot (\xi, r)) \right) = \mathbb{E}_{K(p)} \left( Z(w \cdot \xi, r + \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi)) \right) \\
= \mathbf{J}^{w \cdot \xi}(p) + r + \sigma_{\mathbf{J}}(w)(\xi)) \\
= \mathbf{J}^{\xi}(\phi^{-1}(p)) + r \\
= \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi^{-1})(p)}(Z(\xi, r)).$$
(6.11)

By the discussion above, there is a permutation  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $\phi = \operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma^{-1}})$  and  $T_{\sigma^{-1}} \in \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E})^0$ . By Proposition 3.7, the map  $\operatorname{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) \to \operatorname{Aut}(N, g), \psi \mapsto \operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(\psi)$ , is a group homomorphism, and thus

$$\phi^{-1} = \left( \text{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma^{-1}}) \right)^{-1} = \text{lift}_{\tau} \left( (T_{\sigma^{-1}})^{-1} \right) = \text{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma}).$$

It follows from this and the fact that K is the natural extension of a compatible projection that

$$K(\phi^{-1}(p)) = K(\operatorname{lift}_{\tau}(T_{\sigma})(p)) = (T_{\sigma} \circ K)(p).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{K(\phi^{-1})(p)}(Z(\xi, r)) = \mathbb{E}_{(T_{\sigma} \circ K)(p)}(Z(\xi, r)) 
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} Z(\xi, r)(x_{k})(T_{\sigma} \circ K)(p)(x_{k}) 
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} Z(\xi, r)(x_{k})K(p)(x_{\sigma(k)}) 
= \sum_{k=1}^{m} Z(\xi, r)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)})K(p)(x_{k}) 
= \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(Z^{\sigma}(\xi, r)),$$
(6.12)

where  $Z^{\sigma}(\xi, r)$  is defined by  $Z^{\sigma}(\xi, r)(x_k) = Z(\xi, r)(x_{\sigma^{-1}(k)})$ . It follows from (6.11) and (6.12) that

$$\mathbb{E}_{K(p)}\left(Z(w\cdot(\xi,r))\right) = \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(Z^{\sigma}(\xi,r)).$$
(6.13)

By (P7), we have  $Z^{\sigma} \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , which implies, in view of (6.13) and Lemma 6.12, that  $Z(w \cdot (\xi, r)) = Z^{\sigma}(\xi, r)$ . This completes the proof.

**6.4 Main result.** In this section, we state and prove the main result of this paper, and define the spectrum of a Kähler function.

**Theorem 6.21.** Suppose that  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a Kähler toric manifold and a regular torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ , as in Setting 6.1. Let  $K : N \to \overline{\mathcal{E}}$  be the natural extension of some compatible projection. Given a smooth function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$ , the following hold.

- (a) f is Kähler if and only if there is a random variable  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and a holomorphic isometry  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  such that  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  for all  $p \in N$ .
- (b) Suppose that the estimator map  $F : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is injective. If  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi'(p))}(X')$  for all  $p \in N$ , where  $\phi, \phi' \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  and  $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , then there is a permutation  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $X(x_k) = X'(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ .

*Proof.* (a) This follows from Proposition 5.3 and Lemma 6.15. (b) Let Z be the kernel of **J** relative to K. Write  $X = Z(\xi, r)$  and  $X' = Z(\xi', r')$ , where  $(\xi, r)$  and  $(\xi', r')$  are in  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi'(p))}(X') \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(Z(\xi, r)) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi'(p))}(Z(\xi', r'))$$
$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{J}^{\xi}(\phi(p)) + r = \mathbf{J}^{\xi'}(\phi'(p)) + r'.$$

It follows from this and Proposition 5.3(b) that there is  $w \in W$  such that  $(\xi, r) = w \cdot (\xi', r')$ , where W is the Weyl group of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$  associated to the maximal torus  $T = \Phi(\mathbb{T}^n)$ . Since Z is W-equivariant (see (6.10)), we get

$$X = Z(\xi, r) = Z(w \cdot (\xi', r')) = w \cdot Z(X', r') = w \cdot X'.$$

Thus  $X = w \cdot X'$ . Since the estimator map is injective, W acts on  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  by permutations (see Lemma 6.20). This means that there is  $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$  such that  $(w \cdot X')(x_k) = X'(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ . Therefore  $X(x_k) = X'(x_{\sigma(k)})$  for all  $k \in \{1, ..., m\}$ , which completes the proof.

**Corollary 6.22.** Suppose that the estimator map F is injective. Let f be a Kähler function on N such that  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \mathbb{E}_{K'(\phi'(p))}(X')$  for all  $p \in N$ , where  $\phi, \phi' \in \operatorname{Aut}(N, g)^0$ ,  $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , and where K and K' are the natural extensions of some compatible projections. Then the images of X and X' coincide.

*Proof.* This follows from Lemmas 6.10 and 6.19, and Theorem 6.21(b).

**Definition 6.23** (Spectrum of a Kähler function). Suppose that the estimator map F is injective. Let  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  be a Kähler function on N, where  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}, \phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  and K is the natural extension of some compatible projection. We shall call the image of X the spectrum of f, and denote it by  $\operatorname{spec}(f)$ . Thus

$$\operatorname{spec}(f) := \{X(x_1), ..., X(x_m)\}.$$

We now focus our attention on two concrete examples. Let  $\operatorname{Herm}_n$  denote the space of Hermitian matrices of size n, and let  $\mathscr{K}(\mathbb{P}_n(1))$  be the space of Kähler functions on  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$ . Recall that the map  $\operatorname{Herm}_{n+1} \to \mathscr{K}(\mathbb{P}_n(1)), H \mapsto f_H$ , is a linear bijection, where  $f_H([z]) := \frac{\langle z, Hz \rangle}{\langle z, z \rangle}$ . Below, we use  $\operatorname{spec}(H)$  to denote the spectrum (in the classical sense) of  $H \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}$ .

**Proposition 6.24.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$  be the complex projective space of holomorphic sectional curvature c = 1, regarded as a regular torification of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . Then, for every  $H \in \operatorname{Herm}_{n+1}$ , we have  $\operatorname{spec}(f_H) = \operatorname{spec}(H)$ .

Proof. Let f be any Kähler function on  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$ . Let  $\mathbf{J} : \mathbb{P}_n(1) \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be the momentum map defined by  $\mathbf{J}([z]) = -\frac{4\pi}{\langle z,z \rangle}(|z_1|^2, ..., |z_n|^2)$ . By Proposition 5.3, there are  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n(1))^0$  and  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f([z]) = \mathbf{J}^{\xi}(\phi([z])) + r$  for all  $[z] \in \mathbb{P}_n(1)$ . Let Z be the kernel of  $\mathbf{J}$ relative to  $K([z])(x_k) = \frac{|z_k|^2}{\langle z,z \rangle}$ . By definition of a kernel, we have  $f([z]) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi([z]))}(Z(\xi, r))$ , and thus the spectrum of f is the image of  $Z(\xi, r)$ , which is given, in view of Example 6.17, by:

spec(f) = image of 
$$Z(\xi, r) = \{-4\pi\xi_1 + r, ..., -4\pi\xi_n + r, r\}.$$
 (6.14)

On the other hand,  $\phi$  must be of the form  $\phi([z]) = [Uz]$  for some  $U \in SU(n+1)$ , and thus Proposition 5.4 implies that  $f = f_H$ , where  $H = U^*DU$  and  $D = \text{diag}(-4\pi\xi_1 + r, ..., -4\pi\xi_n + r, r)$ (obvious notation). Clearly, the spectrum of H coincides with (6.14). The result follows.

We now focus our attention on the case  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ . Let us identify the unite sphere  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  via the map  $\psi : S^2 \to \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  defined by

$$\psi(x, y, z) = \left[\cos(\beta/2)e^{i\alpha}, \sin(\beta/2)\right],\tag{6.15}$$

where  $S^2$  is parametrized by spherical coordinates:

$$\begin{cases} x = \cos(\alpha)\sin(\beta), \\ y = \sin(\alpha)\sin(\beta), \\ z = \cos(\beta), \end{cases} \quad \alpha \in [0, 2\pi], \ \beta \in [0, \pi].$$

Below we will regard  $S^2$  as a regular torification of  $\mathcal{B}(n)$ . Under the identification  $S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$ , the natural extension K of Example 6.9 and the momentum map **J** of Example 6.14 read

$$\begin{cases} K(x,y,z)(k) &= 2^{-n} \binom{n}{k} (1+z)^k (1-z)^{n-k}, \\ \mathbf{J}(x,y,z) &= -2\pi n (1+z), \end{cases}$$
(6.16)

where  $(x, y, z) \in S^2$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., n\}$ . (By convention  $0^0 = 1$ , and so  $K(0, 0, 1) = \delta_n$  and  $K(0, 0, -1) = \delta_0$ , where  $\delta_j : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\delta_j(i) = 1$  if i = j, 0 otherwise.) The Kähler metric on  $S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$  is ng, where g is the Riemannian metric on  $S^2$  induced from the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The isometry group of  $(S^2, ng)$  is the orthonormal group O(3). Since  $S^2$  is compact, the identity component of its group of holomorphic isometries coincides with the identity component of the group of isometries of  $S^2$  (see Proposition A.16). Thus  $\operatorname{Aut}(S^2, ng)^0 = O(3)^0 = SO(3)$ . Regarding Kähler functions, we have the following result.

**Proposition 6.25.** The space of Kähler functions on  $\mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \cong S^2$  is spanned by the coordinate functions x, y, z and the constant function 1. If  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$  are real numbers, then the spectrum of  $f(x, y, z) = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + \delta$  is given by:

spec
$$(f) = \left\{ \frac{2}{n} \| (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \| \left( -\frac{n}{2} + k \right) + \delta \ \Big| \ k = 0, 1, ..., n \right\},$$
 (6.17)

where  $\|(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\|$  is the Euclidean norm of  $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . In particular, if  $\|(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\| = \frac{n}{2} =: j$  and  $\delta = 0$ , then spec $(f) = \{-j, -j + 1, ..., j - 1, j\}$ .

Proof. Let  $f: S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  be any Kähler function. By Proposition 5.3, there are  $U = (U_{ij}) \in SO(3)$ and  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $f = \mathbf{J}^{\xi} \circ \phi_U + r$ , where  $\phi_U$  is the holomorphic isometry on  $S^2$  defined by  $\phi_U(p) = Up$ . In view of (6.16), we have

$$f(x, y, z) = -2\pi n\xi (1 + U_{31}x + U_{32}y + U_{33}z) + r = \alpha x + \beta y + \gamma z + \delta, \qquad (6.18)$$

where

$$\begin{cases}
\alpha = -2\pi n\xi U_{31}, \\
\beta = -2\pi n\xi U_{32}, \\
\gamma = -2\pi n\xi U_{33}, \\
\delta = -2\pi n\xi + r.
\end{cases}$$
(6.19)

Note that

$$\|(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)\|^2 = 4\pi^2 n^2 \xi^2 (U_{31}^2 + U_{32}^2 + U_{33}^2) = 4\pi^2 n^2 \xi^2$$

and hence  $\|(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\| = 2\pi n |\xi|$ . It follows from (6.18) that every Kähler function on  $S^2$  is a linear combination of 1, x, y, z. By choosing appropriately U and  $(\xi, r)$ , one sees that the functions 1, x, y, z are all Kähler functions on  $S^2$ . Therefore the space of Kähler functions on  $S^2$  is precisely the vector space spanned by 1, x, y, z.

Let Z be the kernel of **J** relative to K. Thus  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi_U(p))}(Z(\xi, r))$  for all  $p \in S^2$  and the spectrum of f is the image of  $Z(\xi, r)$ . By Example 6.18, we have  $Z(\xi, r)(k) = -4\pi\xi k + r$  for all k = 0, 1, ..., n, and since  $\delta = -2\pi n\xi + r$  (see (6.19)), we get

$$Z(\xi, r)(k) = 4\pi\xi(n/2 - k) + \delta.$$
(6.20)

Note that  $Z(\xi, r)(k) = Z(-\xi, r)(n-k)$  for all k = 0, 1, ..., n, which implies that spec(f) does not depend on the sign of  $\xi$ . It follows that

spec(f) = image of 
$$Z(|\xi|, r) = \{4\pi |\xi|(n/2 - k) + \delta \mid k = 0, 1, ..., n\}.$$
 (6.21)

The rest of the proof follows from this and the formula  $\|(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\| = 2\pi n |\xi|$ .

# 7 Cramér-Rao equality

In this section, we prove an analogue of a result from information geometry known as the *Cramér-Rao equality* (see Theorem 7.1 and Lemma 7.3), and discuss two applications.

We assume throughout that  $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \to N$  is a Kähler toric manifold and a regular torification of an exponential family  $\mathcal{E}$  defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_1, ..., x_m\}$ , as in Setting 6.1. Unless we specify otherwise, we do not require the estimator map F to be injective. Let  $\mathbf{J}: N \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a momentum map associated to the torus action.

**7.1 Cramér-Rao equality.** Given a probability function  $p : \Omega \to [0, 1]$  and a random variable  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ , we will denote by  $\mathbb{V}_p(X)$  the variance of X with respect to p. Thus

$$\mathbb{V}_p(X) = \mathbb{E}_p((X - \mathbb{E}_p(X))^2).$$

Given a Riemannian manifold (M, h), we shall use  $\operatorname{grad}^h(f)$  to denote the Riemannian gradient of a function  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  (thus  $h(\operatorname{grad}^h(f)(p), u) = df(u)$  for all  $u \in TM$ ). If  $u \in TM$ , then  $\|u\| := \sqrt{h(u, u)}$ .

**Theorem 7.1 (Cramér-Rao equality, toric version).** Let the hypotheses be as in Setting 6.1. Let  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  be a Kähler function on N, where K is the natural extension of some compatible projection,  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . The following identity holds for all  $p \in N$ :

$$\mathbb{V}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \|\operatorname{grad}^{g}(f)(p)\|^{2}.$$
(7.1)

The proof is broken up into a few lemmas.

**Lemma 7.2.** Suppose that  $(M, h, \nabla)$  is a dually flat manifold. Let g be the Kähler metric on TM associated to Dombrowski's construction (see Section 3.1), and let  $\pi : TM \to M$  denote the canonical projection. Given a smooth function  $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^g(f \circ \pi)(u)\| = \|\operatorname{grad}^h(f)(\pi(u))\|$$

for all  $u \in TM$ .

Proof. Let  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  be a coordinate system on  $U \subseteq M$ , and let  $(q, r) = (q_1, ..., q_n, r_1, ..., r_n)$  be the corresponding coordinates on  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  (see Section 3.1). With standard notation, we have  $\operatorname{grad}^h(f) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$  and hence

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{h}(f)\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} h^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}$$
(7.2)

on U. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $(x_1, ..., x_n)$  are affine coordinates with respect to  $\nabla$ . In this case, the local expression of g in the coordinates (q, r) is given by  $g(q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} h(x) & 0 \\ 0 & h(x) \end{bmatrix}$ , where h(x) is the matrix representation of h in the affine coordinates  $x = (x_1, ..., x_n)$ . From this it follows that  $g^{ij} = g^{i+n\,j+n} = h^{ij} \circ \pi$  if  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$  and  $g^{ij} = 0$  otherwise. Applying (7.2) with g and  $f \circ \pi$  in place of h and f, respectively, we find

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{g}(f \circ \pi)\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (h^{ij} \circ \pi) \frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial q_{i}} \frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial q_{j}} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} (h^{ij} \circ \pi) \frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial r_{i}} \frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial r_{j}}.$$
 (7.3)

Clearly  $\frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial q_i} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \circ \pi$  and  $\frac{\partial (f \circ \pi)}{\partial r_i} = 0$ , and so (7.3) can be rewritten as

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^g(f \circ \pi)\|^2 = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n h^{ij} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}\right) \circ \pi = \|\operatorname{grad}^h(f) \circ \pi\|^2,$$

from which the result follows.

Lemma 7.3 (Cramér-Rao equality, classical version). Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an exponential family defined over a finite set  $\Omega = \{x_0, ..., x_m\}$ , as in Setting 6.1(a). Let  $f : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function of the form  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_p(X)$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  and  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then

$$\mathbb{V}_p(X) = \|\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(f)(p)\|^2$$

for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Proof. Let  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_p(X)$ , where  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , there are real numbers  $a_0, ..., a_n$ such that  $X = a_0 + a_1 F_1 + ... + a_n F_n$ , and thus  $f(p) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \eta_i$ , where  $\eta_i : \mathcal{E} \to \mathbb{R}$  is defined by  $\eta_i(p) = \mathbb{E}_p(F_i)$ . Taking the gradient with respect to  $h_F$ , we get  $\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(f) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(\eta_i)$ , and thus

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(f)\|^2 = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_i a_j h_F(\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(\eta_i), \operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(\eta_j)).$$

In the context of information geometry, it is proven that (see, e.g., [AN00]):

- (1)  $\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(\eta_i) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$  for all  $i \in \{1, ..., n\}$ .
- (2)  $(h_F)_{ij}(p) = \mathbb{E}_p((F_i \mathbb{E}_p(F_i)(F_j \mathbb{E}_p(F_j))))$  for all  $p \in \mathcal{E}$  and  $i, j \in \{1, ..., n\}$ , where  $(h_F)_{ij} = h_F(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j})$ .

Thus

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{h_{F}}(f)\|^{2} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i}a_{j}(h_{F})_{ij} = \mathbb{E}_{p}\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} a_{i}a_{j}(F_{i} - \mathbb{E}_{p}(F_{i})(F_{j} - \mathbb{E}_{p}(F_{j}))\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{E}_{p}\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}(F_{i} - \mathbb{E}_{p}(F_{i}))\right)^{2}\right] = \mathbb{V}_{p}(X - a_{0}).$$

It follows that  $\|\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(f)\|^2 = \mathbb{V}_p(X - a_0)$ . Since  $\mathbb{V}_p(X - a_0) = \mathbb{V}_p(X)$ , the lemma follows.  $\square$ 

Proof of Theorem 7.1. Let  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  be as in Theorem 7.1. First we observe that it is enough to prove the result when  $\phi$  is the identity map. Indeed, the fact that  $\phi$  is an isometry implies that

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{g}(f)(p)\|^{2} = \|\operatorname{grad}^{g}(\widetilde{f})(\phi(p))\|^{2}$$

for all  $p \in N$ , where  $\tilde{f}: N \to \mathbb{R}$  is the function defined by  $\tilde{f}(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(p)}(X)$ . Thus (7.1) holds for all  $p \in N$  if and only if  $\mathbb{V}_{K(q)}(X) = \| \operatorname{grad}^{g}(\tilde{f})(q) \|^{2}$  holds for all  $q = \phi(p) \in N$ . Because of this, it suffices to assume that  $f = \tilde{f}$ .

Let  $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau : T\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{E}$  be a toric factorization such that K is the natural extension of  $\kappa$ , and let  $\overline{f} : \overline{\mathcal{E}} \to \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined by  $\overline{f}(p) = \mathbb{E}_p(X)$ . Note that  $f = \overline{f} \circ K$  on N and  $f \circ \tau = \overline{f} \circ \pi$  on  $T\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\widetilde{g}$  be the Kähler metric on  $T\mathcal{E}$  associated to Dombrowski's construction. By Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3, we have

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^{\widetilde{g}}(\overline{f} \circ \pi)(u)\|^2 = \|\operatorname{grad}^{h_F}(\overline{f})(\pi(u))\|^2 = \mathbb{V}_{\pi(u)}(X) = \mathbb{V}_{K(\tau(u))}(X)$$
(7.4)

for all  $u \in T\mathcal{E}$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $\tau$  is locally a Riemannian isometry implies that

$$\operatorname{grad}^g(f)(\tau(u)) = \tau_* \operatorname{grad}^{\widetilde{g}}(f \circ \tau)(u) = \tau_* \operatorname{grad}^{\widetilde{g}}(\overline{f} \circ \pi)(u),$$

and so,

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^g(f)(\tau(u))\|^2 = \|\operatorname{grad}^{\widetilde{g}}(\overline{f} \circ \pi)(u)\|^2.$$

It follows from this and (7.4) that

$$\|\operatorname{grad}^g(f)(\tau(u))\|^2 = \mathbb{V}_{K(\tau(u))}(X)$$

for all  $u \in T\mathcal{E}$ . Since  $\tau : T\mathcal{E} \to N^{\circ}$  is surjective,  $\|\operatorname{grad}^{g}(f)(p)\|^{2} = \mathbb{V}_{K(p)}(X)$  for all  $p \in N^{\circ}$ . The theorem follows from this and the fact that  $N^{\circ}$  is dense in N.

**7.2** Application I: spectrum and critical values. Given a real-valued function f on N, we shall denote by  $\operatorname{Crit}(f)$  the set of critical values of f. Thus a real number  $\lambda$  is in  $\operatorname{Crit}(f)$  if and only if there is  $p \in N$  such that  $f(p) = \lambda$  and  $f_{*p} = 0$ .

**Proposition 7.4.** Let the hypotheses be as in Setting 6.1. Suppose that the estimator map F is injective. If f is a Kähler function on N, then

$$\operatorname{Crit}(f) \subseteq \operatorname{spec}(f).$$
 (7.5)

Before we carry out the proof, we make a few technical remarks and establish some notation. Given a probability function  $p: \Omega \to [0, 1]$ , we will denote by  $\operatorname{supp}(p)$  the set  $\{x \in \Omega \mid p(x) \neq 0\}$ . We shall call  $\operatorname{supp}(p)$  the *support* of p. The following result is immediate.

**Lemma 7.5.** Let  $p: \Omega \to [0,1]$  be a probability function and  $X: \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  a random variable. Then  $\mathbb{V}_p(X) = 0$  if and only if X is constant on  $\operatorname{supp}(p)$ . In this case,  $X(x) = \mathbb{E}_p(X)$  for all  $x \in \operatorname{supp}(p)$ .

Proof of Proposition 7.4. Let  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  be a Kähler function on N, where K is the natural extension of some compatible projection,  $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \operatorname{Crit}(f)$  be arbitrary. By definition, there is  $p \in N$  such that  $f(p) = \lambda$  and  $f_{*p} = 0$ . The latter condition implies that  $\operatorname{grad}^g(f)(p) = 0$ . By the Cramér-Rao equality,  $\mathbb{V}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = 0$ . By Lemma 7.7,  $X(x) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = f(p) = \lambda$  for all  $x \in \operatorname{supp}(K(\phi(p)))$ . Thus  $\lambda$  is in image of X, which means that  $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}(f)$ .

In general, the inclusion (7.5) is strict, as the following example shows.

**Example 7.6.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$  and  $N = S^2 \cong \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$ . Let f be a Kähler function on  $S^2$ . By Proposition 6.25, there are  $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $r \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(p) = \langle u, p \rangle + r$  for all  $p \in S^2$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  is the Euclidean product on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The spectrum of f is the set  $\{\lambda_k \mid k = 0, 1, ..., n\}$ , where

$$\lambda_k = \frac{2}{n} \|u\| \left(-\frac{n}{2} + k\right) + r$$

(see (6.17)). Note that  $\lambda_0 = -\|u\| + r$  and  $\lambda_n = \|u\| + r$ . For simplicity, suppose that  $u \neq 0$ . Then  $f_{*_p} = 0$  if and only if  $p = \pm \frac{u}{\|u\|}$ . The corresponding critical values are given by  $f(\frac{u}{\|u\|}) = \lambda_n$  and  $f(-\frac{u}{\|u\|}) = \lambda_0$ . Thus  $\operatorname{Crit}(f) = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_n\}$ . We end this section with the an important special case.

**Proposition 7.7.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$  be the complex projection space of holomorphic sectional curvature c = 1, regarded as a torification of  $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . Then for every Kähler function f on  $\mathbb{P}_n(1)$ , we have  $\operatorname{Crit}(f) = \operatorname{spec}(f)$ .

Proof. In view of Proposition 7.4, we need only show that every  $\lambda$  in spec(f) is a critical value of f. So let  $\lambda \in \text{spec}(f)$  be arbitrary. Suppose that  $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n(1)$ , where K is the natural extension of some compatible projection,  $\phi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_n(1))$  and X is a random variable on  $\Omega$ . By definition of spec(f), there is  $x \in \Omega$  such that  $\lambda = X(x)$ . Let  $\delta_x : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$  be the probability function defined by  $\delta_x(y) = 1$  if x = y, 0 otherwise. Then  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}_{\delta_x}(X)$ . Since  $K : \mathbb{P}_n(1) \to \overline{\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$  is surjective, there is  $p \in \mathbb{P}_n(1)$  such that  $K(\phi(p)) = \delta_x$ , and so  $\lambda = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = f(p)$ . Moreover, it follows from the Cramér-Rao equality and Lemma 7.7 that  $\|\text{grad}(f)(p)\|^2 = \mathbb{V}_{K(\phi(p))}(X) = \mathbb{V}_{\delta_x}(X) = 0$ , which shows that  $f_{*_p} = 0$ . Therefore  $\lambda$  is a critical value of f.

7.3 Application II: fixed points and Dirac functions. In this section, we turn our attention to the relationship between the fixed points of the torus action and the Dirac functions in  $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ . Given  $p \in N$ , we will use  $G_p$  to denote the isotropy subgroup of p for the torus action, that is,  $G_p = \{a \in \mathbb{T}^n \mid \Phi(a, p) = p\}$ . We recall that  $G_p$  is a closed Lie subgroup of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  whose Lie algebra is the set of  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$  satisfying  $\xi_N(p) = 0$ , where  $\xi_N$  is the fundamental vector field on N associated to  $\xi$ . By definition, a point  $p \in N$  is a fixed point if  $G_p = \mathbb{T}^n$ .

**Lemma 7.8.** Let  $Z : \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R} \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be the kernel of the momentum map  $\mathbf{J} : N \to \mathbb{R}^n$  relative to K, and let  $Z_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  be the map defined by  $Z_0(\xi) = Z(\xi, 0)$ . If  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $p, q \in N$ , then

(a) 
$$\mathbb{V}_{K(p)}(Z_0(\xi)) = \|\xi_N(p)\|^2$$
.

(b)  $\operatorname{Lie}(G_p) = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid Z_0(\xi) \text{ is constant on } \operatorname{supp}(K(p))\}.$ 

(c) If  $\operatorname{supp}(K(p)) = \operatorname{supp}(K(q))$ , then  $G_p = G_q$ .

*Proof.* Before we get started, let us establish some notation. Given a smooth function  $f : N \to \mathbb{R}$ , we will denote by  $X_f$  and  $\operatorname{grad}^g(f)$  the Hamiltonian vector field and Riemannian gradient of f, respectively. Since N is a Kähler manifold, we have  $X_f = -J \operatorname{grad}^g(f)$ , where  $J : TN \to TN$  is the complex structure.

(a) If  $f = \mathbf{J}^{\xi}$ , where  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , then  $X_f = \xi_N$ , and so  $\xi_N = -J \operatorname{grad}^g(\mathbf{J}^{\xi})$ . Taking the norm, we get  $\|\xi_N(p)\| = \|\operatorname{grad}^g(\mathbf{J}^{\xi})(p)\|$  for all  $p \in N$ , where we have use the fact that J is an isometry on each tangent space  $T_pN$ . It follows from this and the Cramér-Rao equality that  $\mathbb{V}_{K(p)}(Z_0(\xi)) = \|\xi_N(p)\|^2$ . This shows (a).

(b) This follows from (a) and Lemma 7.7.

(c) If  $\operatorname{supp}(K(p)) = \operatorname{supp}(K(q))$ , then (b) implies that  $\operatorname{Lie}(G_p) = \operatorname{Lie}(G_q)$ . Because N is a symplectic toric manifold, every isotropy subgroup for the torus action is connected (see [Del88], Lemme 2.2). Thus  $G_p$  and  $G_q$  are connected Lie subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}^n$  with the same Lie algebra, and thus they are equal.

We shall say that a probability function  $p: \Omega \to [0,1]$  is a *Dirac function* if  $\operatorname{supp}(p) = \{x\}$  for some  $x \in \Omega$ . In this case, we write  $p = \delta_x$ . (Thus  $\delta_x(y) = 1$  if x = y, 0 otherwise.)

**Proposition 7.9** (Fixed points and Dirac functions). Let the hypotheses be as in Setting 6.1. Let K be the natural extension of some compatible projection. Assume that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  separates the points of  $\Omega$ . Given  $p \in N$ , the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) p is a fixed point for the torus action.
- (b)  $K(p) = \delta_x$  for some  $x \in \Omega$ .

Proof. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b) Suppose that  $p \in N$  is a fixed point. Thus  $G_p = \mathbb{T}^n$  and  $\text{Lie}(G_p) = \mathbb{R}^n$ . By Lemma 7.8(b),  $Z_0(\xi) = Z(\xi, 0)$  is constant on supp(K(p)) for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Recall that if  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $Z(\xi, 0) + r = Z(\xi, r)$  (see Proposition 6.15). Thus  $Z(\xi, r)$  is constant on supp(K(p)) for all  $(\xi, r) \in \mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}$ . Since the image of Z is  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , we obtain that every X in  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  is constant on supp(K(p)). Because  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$  separates the points of  $\Omega$ , this forces supp(K(p)) to be a singleton, say  $\{x\}$ . Thus  $K(p) = \delta_x$ .

 $(b) \Rightarrow (a)$  Suppose that  $K(p) = \delta_x$  for some  $x \in \Omega$ . By Lemmas 7.7 and 7.8(a), we have  $\|\xi_N(p)\| = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , which means that the Lie algebra of  $G_p$  coincides with the Lie algebra of  $\mathbb{T}^n$ . Since  $G_p$  is connected ([Del88], Lemme 2.2), this implies  $G_p = \mathbb{T}^n$ , and shows that p is a fixed point.

**Example 7.10.** Suppose that  $N = \mathbb{P}_n(1)$  and  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{\times}$ . Let  $\Phi$  and K be as in Example 6.13. Then the fixed points for the torus action are  $p_1 = [1, 0, ..., 0], ..., p_{n+1} = [0, ..., 0, 1]$ , and we have  $K(p_j) = \delta_{x_j}$  for all  $j \in \{1, ..., n+1\}$ .

# A Transformation groups

In this section, we review some basic results on transformation groups. Our purpose is to get a basic understanding of the Lie group structure of the group of holomorphic isometries of a connected Kähler manifold. The presentation is as self-contained as possible. For more advanced reading, we refer to [Kob95] and [Pal57].

Let  $\Phi: G \times M \to M$  be a Lie group action of a Lie group G on a manifold M. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$ be the Lie algebra of G. Recall that the *fundamental vector field* of  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , denoted by  $\xi_M$ , is the vector field on M which is defined by  $\xi_M(p) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_0 \Phi(\exp(t\xi), p)$ , where  $p \in M$  and  $\exp: \mathfrak{g} \to G$  is the standard exponential map. If  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  denotes the Lie algebra of vector fields on M endowed with the usual Lie bracket, then the map  $f: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , defined by  $f(\xi) = \xi_M$ , is an anti-homomorphism of Lie algebras, that is, it is a linear map satisfying

$$f([\xi, \eta]) = -[f(\xi), f(\eta)]$$
(A.1)

for all  $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}$  (see, e.g., [OR04]).

Given  $g \in G$ , let  $\Phi_g : M \to M$ ,  $p \mapsto \Phi(g, p)$ . Recall that  $\Phi$  is *effective* if  $\Phi_g = \operatorname{Id}_M$  implies g = e, where e is the identity element G. Equivalently,  $\Phi$  is effective if  $\bigcap_{p \in M} G_p = \{e\}$ , where  $G_p = \{g \in G \mid \Phi(g, p) = p\}$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $p \in M$ .

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $\Phi : G \times M \to M$  be a Lie group action of a Lie group G on a manifold M. If  $\Phi$  is effective, then the map  $\text{Lie}(G) \to \mathfrak{X}(M), \xi \mapsto \xi_M$ , is injective.

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g} = \operatorname{Lie}(G)$  be such that  $\xi_M \equiv 0$ . Thus  $\xi_M(p) = 0$  for all  $p \in M$ , which means that  $\xi$  is in the Lie algebra of  $G_p$  for all  $p \in M$ . Because  $G_p$  is a Lie subgroup of G, its Lie algebra coincides with the set  $\{\eta \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \exp(t\eta) \in G_p \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Thus  $\exp(t\xi) \in \bigcap_{p \in M} G_p = \{e\}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows that  $\exp(t\xi) = e$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Taking the derivative with respect to t at 0 yields  $\xi = 0$ . The lemma follows.

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Lemma A.1 and (A.1).

**Lemma A.2.** Let  $\Phi : G \times M \to M$  be an effective Lie group action of a Lie group G on a manifold M. Let  $A = \{\xi_M \mid \xi \in \text{Lie}(M)\} \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , endowed with minus the usual Lie bracket of vector fields. Then the map  $\text{Lie}(G) \to A, \xi \mapsto \xi_M$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism.

Now we specialize to the case in which G is a subgroup of the group Diff(M) of diffeomorphims of the manifold M. More precisely:

**Setting A.3.** Let M be a manifold and G a subgroup of Diff(M). We assume that:

- There is a smooth structure that makes G into a Lie group.
- The topology of G is the compact-open topology (see below).
- The natural action of G on M is smooth. (By "natural action" we mean the evaluation map  $G \times M \to M$ ,  $(g, p) \mapsto g(p)$ .)

We continue to denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of G and by  $\exp : \mathfrak{g} \to G$  the corresponding exponential map. If  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\xi_M$  is the fundamental vector field of  $\xi$  associated to the natural action of G on M.

**Remark A.4.** Let  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be as in Setting A.3.

- (a) If K and H are Lie groups and  $f: K \to H$  is a continuous group homomorphism, then f is automatically smooth (see, e.g., [Lee13], Problem 20-11). An immediate consequence is that if K is a topological group, that is, a group endowed with a topology such that the multiplication and inversion maps are continuous, then there is only one smooth structure that makes K into a Lie group. It follows from this that the smooth structure on G is unique.
- (b) The natural action of G on M is effective.

Given a complete vector field X on M, we will denote by  $\varphi^X : \mathbb{R} \times M \to M$  the flow of X. Given  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we use  $\varphi_t^X$  to denote the map  $M \to M$ ,  $p \mapsto \varphi^X(t, p)$ .

**Lemma A.5.** Let  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be as in Setting A.3. If  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $\exp(t\xi) = \varphi_t^{\xi_M}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* For simplicity, let  $\Phi$  denote the natural action of G on M. Since  $\Phi$  is smooth by hypothesis, the flow of  $\xi_M$  is given by  $\varphi_t^{\xi_M}(p) = \Phi(\exp(t\xi), p)$ . Since  $\Phi$  is the evaluation map,  $\Phi(\exp(t\xi), p) = \exp(t\xi)(p)$ . Thus  $\varphi_t^{\xi_M}(p) = \exp(t\xi)(p)$ . Since this holds for every  $p \in M$ ,  $\varphi_t^{\xi_M} = \exp(t\xi)$ .

Before we proceed with the properties of G, let us establish some notation. Given two topological spaces X and Y, we will denote by C(X, Y) the set of continuous maps from X to Y.

**Definition A.6** (Compact-open topology). Let X and Y be two topological spaces and  $F \subseteq C(X, Y)$ . Given a compact subset K of X and an open set  $U \subseteq Y$ , let  $W(K, U) := \{f \in F \mid f(K) \subseteq U\}$ . The family of all sets of the form W(K, U) is a subbases for a topology on F called *compact-open topology*.

Note that if  $F \subseteq C(X, Y)$ , then the compact-open topology on F coincides with the relative topology induced from the compact-open topology on C(X, Y).

It is well-known that if X is a topological space and (Y,d) is a metric space, then a sequence  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of continuous maps  $f_n \in C(X,Y)$  converges to  $g \in C(X,Y)$  with respect to the compactopen topology if and only if  $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly on compact sets to g, that is, if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and every compact set  $K \subset X$ , there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq N$  implies  $d(f_n(x), g(x)) < \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in K$  (see, e.g., [Wil04]). Because of this, the compact-open topology is also called the *topology of compact convergence*.

**Lemma A.7.** Let  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be as in Setting A.3. Let X be a vector field on M. The following are equivalent:

(1) There exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $X = \xi_M$ .

(2) X is complete and  $\varphi_t^X \in G$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let X be a vector field on M.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $X = \xi_M$  for some  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then X is complete (since fundamental vector fields are complete) and by Lemma A.5,  $\varphi_t^X = \exp(t\xi) \in G$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that X is complete and  $\varphi_t^X \in G$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus the map  $\alpha_X : \mathbb{R} \to G$ ,

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Suppose that X is complete and  $\varphi_t^X \in G$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus the map  $\alpha_X : \mathbb{R} \to G$ ,  $t \mapsto \varphi_t^X$  is well-defined. Note that  $\alpha_X$  is a group homomorphism, with  $\mathbb{R}$  regarded as a Lie group under addition. We claim that  $\alpha_X$  is continuous. To see this, recall that the family of sets of the form  $W(K,U) = \{\varphi \in G \mid \varphi(K) \subseteq U\}$ , with  $K \subset M$  compact and  $U \subset M$  open, is a subbases for the compact-open topology on G. Thus it suffices to show that  $\alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U))$  is open in  $\mathbb{R}$  for every compact  $K \subset M$  and every open set  $U \subset M$ . So fix one such W(K,U). If  $\alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U)) = \emptyset$ , there is nothing to prove. Suppose  $\alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U)) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U))$ were not open, there would be a real number  $t \in \alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U))$  and a sequence  $(t_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of real numbers  $t_n$  such that  $t_n \to t$  and  $t_n \notin \alpha_X^{-1}(W(K,U))$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . By definition of  $\alpha_X$  and W(K,U), this means that  $\varphi_t^X(K) \subset U$  and  $\varphi_{t_n}^X(K) \not\subseteq U$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In particular, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is  $k_n \in K$  such that  $\varphi_{t_n}^X(k_n) \notin U$ . Because K is compact, we can assume without loss of generality that  $k_n \to k$  for some  $k \in K$ . Then  $\varphi_{t_n}^X(k_n) = \varphi^X(t_n, k_n) \to \varphi^X(t, k) \in \varphi_t^X(K) \subseteq U$ . Since U is open, this implies that there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\varphi_{t_n}^X(k_n) \in U$  for all  $n \geq N$ , a contradiction. This conclude the proof of the claim.

It follows from the claim that  $\alpha_X$  is a continuous group homomorphism between Lie groups. This implies that  $\alpha_X$  is smooth (see Remark A.4), which in turn implies that  $\alpha_X$  is a oneparameter subgroup of G. Thus there exists  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\alpha_X(t) = \exp(t\xi)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It follows, in view of Lemma A.5, that  $\varphi_t^X = \varphi_t^{\xi_M}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , which implies  $X = \xi_M$ .

Combining the results of Lemmas A.2 and A.7, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition A.8.** Let  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be as in Setting A.3. Let  $A_G$  be the set of complete vector fields X on M satisfying  $\varphi_t^X \in G$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

- (1)  $A_G$  endowed with minus the usual Lie bracket of vector fields is a finite-dimensional Lie algebra.
- (2) The map  $\mathfrak{g} \to A_G$ ,  $\xi \mapsto \xi_M$ , is a Lie algebra isomorphism, where  $A_G$  is endowed with minus the usual Lie bracket of vector fields.
- (3) Let  $X \in A_G$ . Under the identification of Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g} \cong A_G$ , we have  $\exp(tX) = \varphi_t^X$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Very often, it is possible to identify G with a "concrete Lie group", as the following result shows.

Lemma A.9 (Abstract versus concrete transformation groups). Let  $G \subset \text{Diff}(M)$  be as in Setting A.3. Let K be a compact Lie group and  $\Phi : K \times M \to M$  an effective Lie group action such that  $\Phi_k \in G$  for all  $k \in K$ . Let  $K' = \{\Phi_k \mid k \in K\}$ .

- (i) K' is topologically closed in G (thus K' is a closed Lie subgroup of G).
- (ii) If any of the following holds, then the map  $K \to K'$ ,  $k \mapsto \Phi_k$  is a Lie group isomorphism, where K' is regarded as a closed Lie subgroup of G.
  - (a) G is compact.
  - (b) There exists a G-invariant Riemannian metric g on M.

Proof. (i) Let  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points  $k_n \in K$  such that  $(\Phi_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to some  $\psi$ in G with respect to the topology of compact convergence. Because compact convergence implies pointwise convergence, we have  $\Phi_{k_n}(p) \to \psi(p)$  for all  $p \in M$ . Since K is compact, we may assume without loss of generality that  $k_n \to k$  for some  $k \in K$ . It follows from this and the continuity of  $\Phi$  that  $\psi(p) = \Phi_k(p)$  for all  $p \in M$ . Thus  $\psi = \Phi_k \in K'$ . This shows that K' is closed in G.

(ii) For simplicity, let  $\phi : K \to K'$ ,  $k \mapsto \Phi_k$ . If G is compact, then one can construct a G-invariant Riemannian metric g on M by standard averaging method (see [GGK02, Corollary B.35]), so it suffices to assume (b). Cleary  $\phi$  is an isomorphism of groups. Let  $(k_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of points  $k_n \in K$  such that  $k_n \to k$  for some  $k \in K$ . By continuity of  $\Phi$ , we have  $\Phi_{k_n}(p) \to \Phi_k(p)$  for all  $p \in M$ , which means that  $(\Phi_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges pointwise to  $\Phi_k$ . It is well-known that if  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of isometries of a Riemannian manifold that converges pointwise to an isometry  $\varphi$ , then  $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\varphi$  for the compact-open topology (see [KN96], Lemma 5, Chapter 1 and Theorem 3.10, Chapter 4, or [Hel78], Chapter IV, Lemma 2.4). Since  $\Phi_{k_n}$  and  $\Phi_k$  are isometries by (b), it follows that  $(\Phi_{k_n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $\Phi_k$  with respect to the compact-open topology. This shows that  $\phi$  is continuous. Since  $\phi$  is a continuous group homomorphism between Lie groups, it is smooth. Finally, it is well-known that a Lie group homomorphism is a Lie group isomorphism if and only if it is bijective (see [Lee13], Corollary 7.6). Since  $\phi$  is bijective, it is a Lie group isomorphism.

**Example A.10.** Let  $S^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be the unite sphere endowed with the round metric induced from  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and let  $\text{Isom}(S^2)$  be the isometry group of  $S^2$  endowed with the compact-open topology. Then  $\text{Isom}(S^2)$  is a Lie group satisfying the conditions of Setting A.3 (this follows from the theorem of Myers and Steenrod, see below). On the other hand, the natural action of the orthogonal group O(3) on  $S^2$  induces a group isomorphism

$$O(3) \to \text{Isom}(S^2).$$
 (A.2)

It follows from Propositon A.9 that (A.2) is actually a Lie group isomorphism.

We now turn our attention to the isometry group of a Riemannian manifold. Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold. An isometry of (M, g) is a smooth diffeomorphism  $\varphi : M \to M$  satisfying  $\varphi^*g = g$ . We will denote by Isom(M) the group of isometries of M.

The following result is due to Myers and Steenrod [MS39] (see also [Kob95] or [KN96] for a modern proof).

**Theorem A.11.** Let M be a connected Riemannian manifold. Then Isom(M) is a Lie group with respect to the compact-open topology, whose natural action on M is smooth. If M is compact, then Isom(M) is also compact.

#### Remark A.12.

- (a) Isom(M) satisfies the conditions of Setting A.3.
- (b) By Proposition A.8, the Lie algebra of Isom(M) can be identified with the set of complete vector fields X on M satisfying  $\varphi_t^X \in \text{Isom}(M)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , where the Lie bracket is minus the usual Lie bracket of vector fields.

Recall that a vector field X on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *Killing* if its flow consists of isometries. We will use the following notation.

- i(M) is the vector space of Killing vector fields on M.
- $i_0(M)$  is the set of Killing vector fields on M that are complete.

Thus we will identify the Lie algebra of  $\operatorname{Isom}(M)$  with  $\mathfrak{i}_0(M)$ , where  $\mathfrak{i}_0(M)$  is endowed with minus the usual Lie bracket of vector fields. Under this identification, the exponential map is the map  $\mathfrak{i}_0(M) \to \operatorname{Isom}(M)$ ,  $X \mapsto \varphi_1^X$ .

**Remark A.13.** On a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g), Killing vector fields are complete, that is,  $i_0(M) = i(M)$  (see [KN96]).

We now focus our attention on Kähler manifolds. Let X and Y be complex manifolds. We denote by C(X, Y) the set of continuous maps between X and Y, endowed with the compact-open topology. Let  $\mathscr{O}(X, Y)$  be the set of holomorphic maps from X to Y.

**Lemma A.14.** Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  a sequence of holomorphic maps  $f_n : X \to Y$ . Suppose that there is a map  $f : X \to Y$  such that  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges uniformly to f on every compact subset of X. Then f is holomorphic. Therefore  $\mathscr{O}(X, Y)$  is closed in C(X, Y) with respect to the compact-open topology.

Sketch of proof. This follows from the following classical result (see [H90, Corollary 2.2.4]): if  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a sequence of holomorphic functions  $f_n : U \to \mathbb{C}$  defined on an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^m$  that converges uniformly on compact sets to a function  $f : U \to \mathbb{C}$ , then f is holomorphic on U.

Now let N be a Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g. Let  $\operatorname{Aut}(N)$  be the group of biholomorphisms of N and  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g) = \operatorname{Aut}(N) \cap \operatorname{Isom}(N)$ . The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma A.14.

**Proposition A.15.** Let N be a connected Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g. Then  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  is closed in  $\operatorname{Isom}(N)$  with respect to the compact-open topology. Therefore  $\operatorname{Aut}(N, g)$  is a closed Lie subgroup of  $\operatorname{Isom}(N)$  whose natural action on N is smooth.

In view of Remark A.12, the Lie algebra of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  is the set of complete Killing vector fields X on M whose flows consist of holomorphic transformations, and the corresponding Lie bracket is minus the usual Lie bracket for vector fields. Thus, if J denotes the complex structure of N, we have

$$\operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)) = \{ X \in \mathfrak{i}_0(M) \mid \mathscr{L}_X J = 0 \},\$$

(here we use  $\mathscr{L}_X$  to denote the Lie derivative in the direction of X).

When N is compact, it can be proven that every Killing vector field X on N satisfies  $\mathscr{L}_X J = 0$ (see [Mor07], Lemma 8.7 and Proposition 15.5), which implies that the Lie algebras of Aut(N, g)and Isom(N) coincide. It follows immediately that

**Proposition A.16.** In Proposition A.15, if N is compact, then the identity components of  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)$  and  $\operatorname{Isom}(N)$  are equal, that is,  $\operatorname{Aut}(N,g)^0 = \operatorname{Isom}(N)^0$ .

# References

- [AJLS17] Nihat Ay, Jürgen Jost, Hông Vân Lê, and Lorenz Schwachhöfer. Information Geometry. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [AN00] Shun-ichi Amari and Hiroshi Nagaoka. Methods of information geometry, volume 191 of Translations of Mathematical Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Daishi Harada.
- [AS99] Abhay Ashtekar and Troy A. Schilling. Geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics. In On Einstein's path (New York, 1996), pages 23–65. Springer, New York, 1999.
- [Bot79] Raoul Bott. The geometry and representation theory of compact Lie groups. In *Representation theory* of Lie groups, pages 65–90. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979. Notes by G. L. Luke.
- [BtD85] Theodor Bröcker and Tammo tom Dieck. *Representations of compact Lie groups*, volume 98 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [Cal53] Eugenio Calabi. Isometric imbedding of complex manifolds. Ann. of Math. (2), 58:1–23, 1953.
- [CdS01] Ana Cannas da Silva. Lectures on symplectic geometry, volume 1764 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [CMP90] Renzo Cirelli, Alessandro Manià, and Livio Pizzocchero. Quantum mechanics as an infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system with uncertainty structure. I, II. J. Math. Phys., 31(12):2891–2897, 2898–2903, 1990.

- [Del88] Thomas Delzant. Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment. Bull. Soc. Math. France, 116(3):315–339, 1988.
- [DK00] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk. *Lie groups*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [Dom62] Peter Dombrowski. On the geometry of the tangent bundle. J. Reine Angew. Math., 210:73–88, 1962.
- [GGK02] Victor Guillemin, Viktor Ginzburg, and Yael Karshon. Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions, volume 98 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Appendix J by Maxim Braverman.
- [H90] Lars Hörmander. An introduction to complex analysis in several variables, volume 7 of North-Holland Mathematical Library. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, third edition, 1990.
- [Hel78] Sigurdur Helgason. Differential geometry, Lie groups, and symmetric spaces, volume 80 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc. [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1978.
- [Jos02] J. Jost. *Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, third edition, 2002.
- [KN96] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Kob95] Shoshichi Kobayashi. Transformation groups in differential geometry. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1972 edition.
- [Lee13] John M. Lee. Introduction to smooth manifolds, volume 218 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, second edition, 2013.
- [Mol12] Mathieu Molitor. Remarks on the statistical origin of the geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics. Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys., 9(3):1220001, 9, 2012.
- [Mol13] Mathieu Molitor. Exponential families, Kähler geometry and quantum mechanics. J. Geom. Phys., 70:54–80, 2013.
- [Mol14] Mathieu Molitor. Gaussian distributions, Jacobi group, and Siegel-Jacobi space. J. Math. Phys., 55(12):122102, 40, 2014.
- [Mol15] Mathieu Molitor. On the relation between geometrical quantum mechanics and information geometry. Journal of Geometric Mechanics, 7:169, 2015.
- [Mol21] Mathieu Molitor. Kähler toric manifolds from dually flat spaces, arXiv:2109.04839, 2021.
- [Mol23] Mathieu Molitor. Weyl group of the group of holomorphic isometries of a Kähler toric manifold. In preparation, 2023.
- [Mor07] Andrei Moroianu. Lectures on Kähler geometry, volume 69 of London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [MR93] Michael K. Murray and John W. Rice. Differential geometry and statistics, volume 48 of Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability. Chapman & Hall, London, 1993.
- [MS39] S. B. Myers and N. E. Steenrod. The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold. Ann. of Math. (2), 40(2):400–416, 1939.
- [OR04] Juan-Pablo Ortega and Tudor S. Ratiu. Momentum maps and Hamiltonian reduction, volume 222 of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [Pal57] Richard S. Palais. A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 22:iii+123, 1957.
- [Roc70] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar. Convex Analysis. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics. Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [Seg68] Graeme Segal. The representation ring of a compact Lie group. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., (34):113–128, 1968.
- [Shi07] Hirohiko Shima. The geometry of Hessian structures. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.

- [Wil04] Stephen Willard. General topology. Dover Publications, Inc., Mineola, NY, 2004. Reprint of the 1970 original [Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA; MR0264581].
- [WJ08] Martin J. Wainwright and Michael I. Jordan. Graphical models, exponential families, and variational inference. *Found. Trends Mach. Learn.*, 1(1-2):1–305, 2008.