

Weyl group of the group of holomorphic isometries of a Kähler toric manifold

Mathieu Molitor

e-mail: pergame.mathieu@gmail.com

Abstract

We compute the Weyl group (in the sense of Segal) of the group of holomorphic isometries of a Kähler toric manifold with real analytic Kähler metric.

1 Introduction

Let N be a Kähler toric manifold, that is, a compact, connected Kähler manifold N equipped with an effective Hamiltonian and isometric torus action $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$, where n is the complex dimension of N and $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the n -dimensional real torus. We assume that the Kähler metric g is real analytic. Let $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ be the group of holomorphic isometries of N endowed with the compact-open topology; it is a compact Lie group.

The present paper is concerned with the explicit computation of the Weyl group of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$. Because $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ can fail to be connected, we adopt of definition of the Weyl group given by Segal [Seg68] for compact, but not necessarily connected Lie groups, that we now recall. Let G be a compact Lie group, not necessarily connected. A closed subgroup $S \subseteq G$ is called a *Cartan subgroup* if it contains an element whose powers are dense in S , and if S is of finite index in its normalizer $N(S) = \{g \in G \mid gSg^{-1} = S\}$. The finite group $W(S) = N(S)/S$ is, by definition, the *Weyl group* of S (in the sense of Segal). If G is connected, then Cartan subgroups are precisely the maximal tori of G (see, e.g., [BtD85], Chapter IV). Therefore Cartan subgroups are natural generalizations of maximal tori for nonconnected compact Lie groups.

Returning to our main concern, the group $\text{Aut}(N, g)$, we show that the group $S \subset \text{Aut}(N, g)$ of transformations of N associated to the torus action is a Cartan subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$. Our main theorem is a description of the corresponding Weyl group $W = W(S)$ (Theorem 6.1). Our results are expressed in the language of *dually flat manifolds*, with which not all readers may be familiar. A dually flat manifold is a triple (M, h, ∇) , where M is a manifold, h is a Riemannian metric on M and ∇ is a flat connection on M (not necessarily the Riemannian connection) whose dual connection is also flat (see Section 3.1). Let $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ be the group of isometries of (M, h) that are also affine with respect to ∇ . Our main result says that W can be realized as a group of the form $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$, where (M, h, ∇) is an appropriate dually flat manifold. The latter may appear in different guises and forms, leading to different (but isomorphic) realizations of W . Let us look at the following two examples, that are particular instances of our main theorem.

Symplectic realization. Let $\mathbf{J} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \cong \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)^*$ be a momentum map for the torus action, and let $\Delta = \mathbf{J}(N) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be the corresponding momentum polytope. Let Δ° denote the topological interior of Δ . On the open set N° of points $p \in N$ where the torus action is free, \mathbf{J} is a \mathbb{T}^n -invariant submersion and hence there is a unique Riemannian metric k on $\Delta^\circ = \mathbf{J}(N^\circ)$ that turns $\mathbf{J} : (N^\circ, g) \rightarrow (\Delta^\circ, k)$ into a Riemannian submersion. Let ∇^{flat} be the canonical flat connection on \mathbb{R}^n and let ∇^k be the dual connection of ∇^{flat} on Δ° with respect to k . The triple $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ is a dually flat manifold (this follows from the well-known fact that k is the Hessian of some potential $\varphi : \Delta^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$). Then W is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$. One may regard this isomorphism as a *symplectic realization* of W .

Holomorphic realization. Another way to describe W is by using the holomorphic extension $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}} : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times N \rightarrow N$ of the torus action, where $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ is the algebraic torus (see, e.g., [IK12, Mol21]). Given $p \in N^\circ$, the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow N^\circ$, $z \mapsto \Phi^{\mathbb{C}}(z, p)$ is a biholomorphism and hence one may identify N° with $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. Let $\sigma : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be defined by $\sigma(z) = (\frac{\ln(|z_1|)}{2\pi}, \dots, \frac{\ln(|z_n|)}{2\pi})$, where $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$. It can be shown that there is a Riemannian metric h on \mathbb{R}^n that turns σ into a Riemannian submersion. Then W is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$. One may regard this isomorphism as a *holomorphic realization* of W .

Both realizations can be treated in a unified way by adopting the language of *torifications of dually flat manifolds*, which was recently introduced in [Mol21]. The word “torification” refers to a geometric construction that associates to a given dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) satisfying certain properties, a Kähler manifold N (not necessarily compact) equipped with an effective holomorphic and isometric torus action $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$, where n is the real (resp. complex) dimension of M (resp. N). The manifold N is then called the *torification* of M . For instance, every Kähler toric manifold N is the torification of its momentum polytope $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ (*symplectic point of view*), but also the torification of the dually flat manifold $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ associated to the holomorphic extension of the torus action (*holomorphic point of view*). Both points of view are equivalent, because there exists an isomorphism of dually flat manifolds between $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$. For more details, see [Mol21] and Section 3 below.

In its most general form, our main result states that for a compact and connected torification N of a dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) with real analytic Kähler metric g , the Weyl group $W(S)$ of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ (Theorem 6.1). The main technical tool to prove this result is a lifting procedure introduced in [Mol21]. If (N, g) and (N', g') are compact torifications of (M, h, ∇) and (M', h', ∇') , respectively, with real analytic Kähler metrics g and g' , then every affine isometric immersion $f : M \rightarrow M'$ can be lifted to a Kähler immersion $\tilde{f} : N \rightarrow N'$. Moreover, this lift is equivariant relative to some reparametrization of the torus \mathbb{T}^n (see Section 3.4). When $M = M'$ and f is an affine isometry, this implies that \tilde{f} is in the normalizer $N(S)$ of $S = \{\Phi_a : N \rightarrow N \mid a \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$, from which it follows that $N(S)$ is isomorphic to the semidirect product $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ (see Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 6.4).

A particularly interesting case occurs when $M = \mathcal{E}$ is a statistical manifold of exponential type defined over a finite set $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ (see Section 3.7). Because \mathcal{E} is a statistical manifold, it is naturally endowed with a Riemannian metric h_F , the *Fisher metric*, and a connection $\nabla^{(e)}$, called *exponential connection*, and it is well-known that $(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ is a dually flat manifold [AN00]. For example, the family $\mathcal{B}(n)$ of Binomial distributions $p(k) = \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k}$ defined over $\Omega = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is a 1-dimensional exponential family parametrized by $q \in (0, 1)$.

Under mild assumptions, we show that $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ coincides with the group of permutations of \mathcal{E} (Proposition 7.5). Here, a permutation of \mathcal{E} means a diffeomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of the form $\varphi(p)(x_i) = p(x_{\sigma(i)})$, where σ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, m\}$. A direct consequence is that if N is a compact torification of \mathcal{E} with real analytic Kähler metric g , then the Weyl group $W(S)$ of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ is isomorphic to the group of permutations of \mathcal{E} . For example, let $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a finite set, and let \mathcal{P}_m^\times be the set of maps $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $p(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$. Then \mathcal{P}_m^\times is an exponential family of dimension $m - 1$ whose group of permutations is isomorphic to the group \mathbb{S}_m of permutations of m objects. In [Mol21], it is proven that the complex projective space $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(c)$ of complex dimension $m - 1$ and holomorphic sectional curvature $c = 1$ is a torification of \mathcal{P}_m^\times . The group of holomorphic isometries of $\mathbb{P}_{m-1}(c)$ is the projective unitary group $\text{PU}(m)$, which is connected. Thus we obtain a very simple proof that the Weyl group of $\text{PU}(m)$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{S}_m .

We conclude this introduction with a few remarks on the physical significance of our results. The present paper is motivated by the geometrization program of Quantum Mechanics that we pursued in previous works [Mol12, Mol13, Mol14, Mol15, Mol21], and more recently, in [Mol23]. Very roughly, we put forth the idea that (finite dimensional) Quantum Mechanics can be reformulated in a geometric and informational fashion, in which the usual Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^n$ is replaced by the torification N of an appropriate exponential family \mathcal{E} . The choice of \mathcal{E} depends on the quantum experiment at hand. For instance, the case $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ (Binomial distributions) and $N = \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$ yields the mathematical description of the spin of a non-relativistic quantum particle [Mol13, Mol23]. For the generic case, one replaces $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ by the complex projective space $N = \mathbb{P}_n(1)$, which is the torification of $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^\times$; this leads to Geometric Quantum Mechanics [AS99, Spe12]. In this circle of ideas, the Weyl group W of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ appears naturally due to the need for a geometric analogue of the spectral decomposition theorem for Hermitian matrices. Such analogue is presented in [Mol23]. Very roughly, it says that a smooth function $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Kähler¹ if and only if f can be written as an expectation of the form $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$ for all $p \in N$, where $K : N \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is a map from N to an appropriate superset of \mathcal{E} , $\phi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)$ and X is an appropriate random variable. One of the main results of [Mol23] is that the image of X is independent of the decomposition $f(p) = \mathbb{E}_{K(\phi(p))}(X)$, allowing us to define the spectrum of a Kähler function f as the set $\text{spec}(f) := \text{Im}(X)$. This is very similar to the fact that the Weyl group of $U(n)$ acts by permutations on the eigenvalues of (the diagonalized form of) any skew-Hermitian matrix. For instance, when $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ and $N = \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \cong S^2$ (2-sphere), then Kähler functions on S^2 are of the form $f(x) = \langle u, x \rangle + c$, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the Euclidean product on \mathbb{R}^3 . If $c = 0$ and the Euclidean norm of u is $j = n/2$, then the spectrum (in our sense) of the function $f : S^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $x \mapsto \langle u, x \rangle$ is $\text{spec}(f) = \{-j, -j + 1, \dots, j - 1, j\}$. We recognize the spectrum of the usual spin operator of Quantum Mechanics in the direction u . In [Mol13], we describe in detail the connexion between this example and the unitary representations of $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ (used by physicists to describe the spin of a particle), and conclude that the spin can be entirely understood mathematically from the study of the Binomial distributions. This example shows that the techniques developed in this article are useful to shed new light on some

¹A Kähler function on a Kähler manifold N is a smooth real-valued function f whose Hamiltonian vector field X_f is a Killing vector field. In the context of Geometric Quantum Mechanics [AS99, Spe12], Kähler functions on $N = \mathbb{P}_n(c)$ play the role of observables.

quantum mechanical problems.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 3, we give a fairly detailed discussion on the concept of torification, with which not all readers may be familiar. This includes: Dombrowski's construction, parallel and fundamental lattices, lifting procedure and examples from Information Geometry. In Section 4, we study the group of diffeomorphisms preserving a parallel lattice, and prove a key technical result (Proposition 4.4). In Section 5, we show that the normalizer $N(S)$ (see our discussion above) is a semidirect product (Theorem 5.3). We deduce from this, in Section 6, our main result (Theorem 6.1). In Section 7, we specialize to the case in which the dually flat manifold is an exponential family.

Notation. Let M and M' be manifolds and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ a smooth map. The derivative of f is denoted by $f_* : TM \rightarrow TM'$. If $p \in M$, we write $f_{*p} : T_pM \rightarrow T_{f(p)}M'$. Let G be a Lie group and $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ a Lie group action. Given $g \in G$, the map Φ_g is the diffeomorphism of M defined by $\Phi_g(p) = \Phi(g, p)$, where $p \in M$.

2 Symplectic preliminaries: toric manifolds

In this section we recall the rudiments of symplectic geometry used throughout the paper, with emphasis on Hamiltonian torus actions. General references on symplectic geometry are [CdS01, OR04]. For torus actions, we recommend [Aud04, CdS03], the historical papers [Ati82, Del88, GS82] and, in the Kähler case, [Abr03, Gui94].

Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\text{Lie}(G) = \mathfrak{g}$. Given $g \in G$, we denote by $\text{Ad}_g : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ and $\text{Ad}_g^* : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ the adjoint and coadjoint representations of G , respectively; they are related as follows: $\langle \text{Ad}_g^* \alpha, \xi \rangle = \langle \alpha, \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \xi \rangle$, where $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ (the dual of \mathfrak{g}) and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is the natural pairing between \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}^* .

Let $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ be a Lie group action of G on a manifold M . The *fundamental vector field* associated to $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ is the vector field on M , denoted by ξ_M , defined by $(\xi_M)(p) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 \Phi(c(t), p)$, where $p \in M$ and $c(t)$ is a smooth curve in G satisfying $c(0) = e$ (neutral element) and $\dot{c}(0) = \xi$. Given a map $\mathbf{J} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ and a vector $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, we will denote by $\mathbf{J}^\xi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the function given by $\mathbf{J}^\xi(p) := \langle \mathbf{J}(p), \xi \rangle$. We shall say that \mathbf{J} is *G-equivariant* if for every $g \in G$, $\mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g = \text{Ad}_g^* \circ \mathbf{J}$. Given a symplectic form ω on M , we say that Φ is *symplectic* if $(\Phi_g)^* \omega = \omega$ for all $g \in G$.

Let (M, ω) be a symplectic manifold. A symplectic action $\Phi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is said to be *Hamiltonian* if there exists a G -equivariant map $\mathbf{J} : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$, called *momentum map*, such that $\omega(\xi_M, \cdot) = d\mathbf{J}^\xi(\cdot)$ (equality of 1-forms) for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$. If the first de Rham cohomology group $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ is trivial and G is compact, then any symplectic action of G on (M, ω) is Hamiltonian (see, e.g., [OR04], Propositions 4.5.17 and 4.5.19). If K is a closed subgroup of G , then the induced action $K \times M \rightarrow M$ is also Hamiltonian. The momentum map \mathbf{J} has many nice properties (see, e.g., [OR04]). For instance, \mathbf{J} is a submersion at $p \in M$ if and only if the Hamiltonian action is locally free at p , that is, if the stabilizer $G_p = \{g \in G \mid \Phi(g, p) = p\}$ is discrete. Thus, if G acts freely on a G -invariant open subset $U \subseteq M$, then \mathbf{J} is a submersion on U , which implies in particular that $\mathbf{J}(U)$ is open in \mathfrak{g}^* .

When $G = \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ is a torus, it is convenient to identify the Lie algebra of the torus \mathbb{T}^n with \mathbb{R}^n via the derivative at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ of the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$, and to identify \mathbb{R}^n and its dual $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$ via the Euclidean metric. Upon these identifications, a momentum map for

a Hamiltonian torus action $\mathbb{T}^n \times M \rightarrow M$ can be regarded as a map $\mathbf{J} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Moreover, since the coadjoint action of a commutative group is trivial, the equivariance condition reduces to $\mathbf{J} \circ \Phi_g = \mathbf{J}$ for all $g \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Suppose now that $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times M \rightarrow M$ is a Hamiltonian action of the torus \mathbb{T}^n on a compact and connected symplectic manifold (M, ω) , with momentum map $\mathbf{J} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Let M° be the set of points $p \in M$ where the torus action is free. The following properties are well-known.

(P1) $\Delta := \mathbf{J}(M) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a convex polytope, called *momentum polytope*.

(P2) If Φ is effective, then $\dim(M) \geq 2n$.

(P3) If Φ is effective, then M° is a dense and connected open subset of M .

The first item follows from the Atiyah-Guillemin-Sternberg convexity theorem [Ati82, GS82]. For the second and third item, see [CdS03, Theorem 27.3] and [GGK02, Corollary B.48], respectively. It is worth noting that the topological interior Δ° of the momentum polytope is nonempty when Φ is effective. This follows from (P3) and the fact that \mathbf{J} is a submersion on M° .

If, in addition to the properties above, Φ is effective and $\dim(M) = 2n$, then the quadruplet $(M, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ is called a *symplectic toric manifold*. In this case, Delzant proved that the momentum polytope Δ completely determines M :

(P4) If $(M', \omega', \Phi', \mathbf{J}')$ is a symplectic toric manifold such that $\mathbf{J}'(M') = \mathbf{J}(M) = \Delta$, then there is an equivariant symplectomorphism $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$ satisfying $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}' \circ \varphi$.

Delzant also proved, among many other things, the following results (see [Del88]).

(P5) M is simply connected.

(P6) For every $p \in M$, the stabilizer $\text{Stab}(p) := \{g \in \mathbb{T}^n \mid \Phi(g, p) = p\}$ is connected.

(P7) For every $p \in M$, the rank of \mathbf{J}_{*p} is the dimension of the face of Δ whose relative interior² contains $\mathbf{J}(p)$.

The momentum polytope itself is a face of Δ of dimension n whose relative interior is Δ° . Therefore if $x = \mathbf{J}(p)$ is in Δ° , then the rank of \mathbf{J}_{*p} is n by (P7). Thus \mathbf{J} is a submersion at p , which means that $\text{Stab}(p)$ is discrete. The later group is connected by (P6) and hence it must be trivial. Thus $p \in M^\circ$. It follows that $\Delta^\circ \subset \mathbf{J}(M^\circ)$. Combining this with our discussion above, we deduce that

(P8) $\mathbf{J}(M^\circ) = \Delta^\circ$.

We now focus our attention on the Kähler case. A symplectic toric manifold $(M, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ is called a *Kähler toric manifold* if M is a Kähler manifold whose Kähler form coincides with ω , and if the torus acts by holomorphic and isometric transformations on M . In this case, there is a unique Riemannian metric k on Δ° that turns $\mathbf{J} : M^\circ \rightarrow \Delta^\circ$ into a Riemannian submersion. It can be proved that k is the Hessian of some potential $\varphi : \Delta^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Abreu classified all potentials on Δ° that are induced from compatible Kähler metrics on M [Abr03] (see also [Gui94]).

²For an introduction to convexity theory, we refer the reader the [Roc70].

Let $J : TM \rightarrow TM$ be the complex structure of M ($J \circ J = -Id$). Given a vector field X on M , we will denote by φ_t^X the corresponding flow of M . Thus $\frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t^X(p) = X(\varphi_t^X(p))$. Recall that x_M denotes the fundamental vector field of $x \in \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ on M . If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, then $-Jx_M + y_M$ is a complete vector field on M (since M is compact) and hence $\varphi_1^{-Jx_M + y_M}(p)$ is well-defined for all $p \in M$. We define an action $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ of the algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ on M by

$$\Phi^{\mathbb{C}}((e^{2\pi z_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi z_n}), p) = \varphi_1^{-Jx_M + y_M}(p) = \varphi_1^{-Jx_M}(\Phi([y], p)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $z_k = x_k + iy_k$, $x_k, y_k \in \mathbb{R}$, and $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. To see that (2.1) is a group action, one may use the following facts: (1) if X and Y are complete commuting vector fields, then $\varphi_t^X \circ \varphi_t^Y = \varphi_t^{X+Y}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and (2) the flow of a vector field X on M consists of holomorphic transformations if and only if $[X, JY] = J[X, Y]$ for all vector fields Y on M (see [Mor07], Lemma 2.7). Obviously, $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is an extension of Φ , provided \mathbb{T}^n is identified with $\{(e^{i2\pi t_1}, \dots, e^{i2\pi t_n}) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. We shall call it the *holomorphic extension of Φ* . It can be proved that (see, e.g., [Mol21]):

(P9) $\Phi^{\mathbb{C}}$ is holomorphic as a map from $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \times M$ to M .

(P10) Given $p \in M^\circ$, the map $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n \rightarrow M^\circ$, $z \mapsto \Phi^{\mathbb{C}}(z, p)$ is a biholomorphism.

Fix $p_0 \in M^\circ$ and identify $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$ with M° via the biholomorphism in (P10). Consider the map $\sigma : (\mathbb{C}^*)^n = M^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined by $\sigma(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (\frac{\ln(|z_1|)}{2\pi}, \dots, \frac{\ln(|z_n|)}{2\pi})$. There is a unique Riemannian metric h on \mathbb{R}^n that turns σ into a Riemannian submersion. Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) denote the usual linear coordinates on \mathbb{R}^n . It can be proved that there exists a smooth function $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ whose Hessian $(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x_i \partial x_j})$ is $(h_{ij}) = (h(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}))$ and such that $-\text{grad}(\psi) = -(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_n})$ is an isometry from (\mathbb{R}^n, h) onto (Δ°, k) , making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & M^\circ & \\ \sigma \swarrow & & \searrow \mathbf{J} \\ (\mathbb{R}^n, h) & \xrightarrow{-\text{grad}(\psi)} & (\Delta^\circ, k) \end{array}$$

When the Kähler metric on M is real analytic, it can be shown that M , regarded as a Kähler toric manifold, is completely determined by either (\mathbb{R}^n, h) or (Δ°, k) , up to an equivariant Kähler isomorphism and reparametrization of the torus (see [Mol21] and Theorem 3.3 below). This result relies on the crucial observation that (\mathbb{R}^n, h) and (Δ°, k) , besides being Riemannian manifolds, are also affine manifolds (since they are open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n), with good analytic properties, namely they are dually flat (see Section 3). In the next section, we delve deeper into the correspondence between toric Kähler manifolds and dually flat manifolds, using the unifying language of “torification”, that we introduced in [Mol21].

3 Torification of dually flat manifolds

In this section, we discuss the concept of torification, which is used throughout this paper. This concept is a combination of two ingredients: (1) *Dombrowski’s construction*, which implies that

the tangent bundle of a dually flat manifold is naturally a Kähler manifold [Dom62], and (2) *parallel lattices*, which are used to implement torus actions. Further properties are discussed as well, such as the lifting property mentioned in the introduction. Examples from Information Geometry are presented. The material is mostly taken from [Mol21].

3.1 Dombrowski's construction. Let M be a connected manifold of dimension n , endowed with a Riemannian metric h and affine connection ∇ (∇ is not necessarily the Levi-Civita connection). The *dual connection* of ∇ , denoted by ∇^* , is the only connection satisfying $X(h(Y, Z)) = h(\nabla_X Y, Z) + h(Y, \nabla_X^* Z)$ for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M . When both ∇ and ∇^* are flat (i.e., the curvature tensor and torsion are zero), we say that the triple (M, h, ∇) is a *dually flat manifold*.

Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ denote the canonical projection. Given a local coordinate system (x_1, \dots, x_n) on $U \subseteq M$, we can define a coordinate system $(q, r) = (q_1, \dots, q_n, r_1, \dots, r_n)$ on $\pi^{-1}(U) \subseteq TM$ by $(q, r)(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_p) = (x_1(p), \dots, x_n(p), a_1, \dots, a_n)$, where $p \in M$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Write $(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (q_1 + ir_1, \dots, q_n + ir_n)$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$. When ∇ is flat, Dombrowski [Dom62] showed that the family of complex coordinate systems (z_1, \dots, z_n) on TM (obtained from affine coordinates on M) form a holomorphic atlas on TM . Thus, when ∇ is flat, TM is naturally a complex manifold. If in addition ∇^* is flat, then TM has a natural Kähler metric g whose local expression in the coordinates (q, r) is given by $g(q, r) = \begin{bmatrix} h(x) & 0 \\ 0 & h(x) \end{bmatrix}$, where $h(x)$ is the matrix representation of h in the affine coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. It follows that the tangent bundle of a dually flat manifold is naturally a Kähler manifold. In this paper, we will refer to this Kähler structure as the *Kähler structure associated to Dombrowski's construction*.

Dombrowski's construction can be described in a coordinate-free fashion by means of *connectors* (the concept was introduced by Dombrowski [Dom62]). Connectors can be defined as follows. Let $u = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \Big|_p \in \pi^{-1}(U)$ be arbitrary. Define a linear map $K_u : T_u(TM) \rightarrow T_p M$ by $K_u(\frac{\partial}{\partial q_a} \Big|_u) := \sum_{k,j=1}^n \Gamma_{aj}^k(p) u_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \Big|_p$ and $K_u(\frac{\partial}{\partial r_a} \Big|_u) := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a} \Big|_p$ for all $a \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, where the Γ_{ij}^k 's are the Christoffel symbols of ∇ . If X and Y are vector fields on M such that $Y(p) = u$, then a direct computation shows that $K_u(Y_{*p} X_p) = (\nabla_X Y)(p)$. Since vectors of the form $Y_{*p} X_p$, with $Y_p = u$, generate $T_u(TM)$, it follows that the definition of K_u is independant of the choice of the chart (U, φ) . The map $K : TTM \rightarrow TM$, defined for $A \in T_u(TM)$ by $K(A) := K_u(A)$, is called *connector*, or *connection map*, associated to ∇ .

Given $u \in T_p M$, the map $T_u(TM) \rightarrow T_p M \oplus T_p M$, defined by $A \mapsto (\pi_{*u} A, KA)$, is easily seen to be a linear bijection. Therefore, at any point $u \in T_p M$ we can identify the vector spaces $T_u(TM)$ and $T_p M \oplus T_p M$. In terms of this identification, the Kähler metric g and complex structure J on TM read $g_u((v, w), (v', w')) = h_p(v, v') + h_p(w, w')$ and $J_u((v, w)) = (-w, v)$, where $u, v, w, v', w' \in T_p M$.

3.2 Parallel lattices. Let (M, h, ∇) be a dually flat manifold of dimension n . A subset $L \subset TM$ is said to be a *parallel lattice* with respect to ∇ if there are n vector fields X_1, \dots, X_n on M that are parallel with respect to ∇ and such that: (i) $\{X_1(p), \dots, X_n(p)\}$ is a basis for $T_p M$ for every $p \in M$, and (ii) $L = \{k_1 X_1(p) + \dots + k_n X_n(p) \mid k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}, p \in M\}$. In this case, we say that the frame $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a *generator* for L . We will denote the set of generators for L by $\text{gen}(L)$.

Given a parallel lattice $L \subset TM$ with respect to ∇ , and $X \in \text{gen}(L)$, we will denote by $\Gamma(L)$ the set of transformations of TM of the form $u \mapsto u + k_1 X_1 + \dots + k_n X_n$, where $u \in TM$ and $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The group $\Gamma(L)$ is independent of the choice of $X \in \text{gen}(L)$ and is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^n . Moreover, the natural action of $\Gamma(L)$ on TM is free and proper. Thus the quotient $M_L = TM/\Gamma(L)$ is a smooth manifold and the quotient map $q_L : TM \rightarrow M_L$ is a covering map whose Deck transformation group is $\Gamma(L)$. Since $\pi \circ \gamma = \pi$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma(L)$, there exists a surjective submersion $\pi_L : M_L \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi = \pi_L \circ q_L$.

Let $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$ be the n -dimensional torus. Given $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we will denote by $[t] = [t_1, \dots, t_n]$ the corresponding equivalence class in $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n$. Given $X \in \text{gen}(L)$, we will denote by $\Phi_X : \mathbb{T}^n \times M_L \rightarrow M_L$ the effective torus action defined by

$$\Phi_X([t], q_L(u)) = q_L(u + t_1 X_1 + \dots + t_n X_n). \quad (3.1)$$

The manifold $M_L = TM/\Gamma(L)$ is naturally a Kähler manifold (this follows from the fact that every $\gamma \in \Gamma(L)$ is a holomorphic and isometric map with respect to the Kähler structure associated to Dombrowski's construction). Moreover, for each $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$, the map $(\Phi_X)_a : M_L \rightarrow M_L$, $p \mapsto \Phi_X(a, p)$ is a holomorphic isometry.

3.3 Torification. Let (M, h, ∇) be a connected dually flat manifold of dimension n and N a connected Kähler manifold of complex dimension n , equipped with an effective holomorphic and isometric torus action $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$. Let N° denote the set of points $p \in N$ where Φ is free.

Definition 3.1 (Torification). We shall say that N is a *torification* of M if there exist a parallel lattice $L \subset TM$ with respect to ∇ , $X \in \text{gen}(L)$ and a holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphism $F : M_L \rightarrow N^\circ$ satisfying $F \circ (\Phi_X)_a = \Phi_a \circ F$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

By abuse of language, we will often say that the torus action $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is a torification of M . We shall say that a Kähler manifold N is *regular* if it is connected, simply connected, complete and if the Kähler metric is real analytic. A torification $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is said to be *regular* if N is regular. In this paper, we are mostly interested in regular torifications.

Remark 3.2.

- (a) A torification N is not necessarily a Kähler toric manifold. For example, N may not be compact (see Proposition 3.13).
- (b) Since a toric Kähler manifold is simply connected by (P5), it is regular if and only if the Kähler metric is real analytic.
- (c) If a torification $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is compact and regular, then Φ is Hamiltonian (since N is simply connected) and hence N is a toric Kähler manifold.

Two torifications $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ and $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^n \times N' \rightarrow N'$ of the same connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) are said to be *equivalent* if there exists a Kähler isomorphism $f : N \rightarrow N'$ and a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $f \circ \Phi_a = \Phi'_{\rho(a)} \circ f$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Theorem 3.3 (Equivalence of regular torifications). Regular torifications of a connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) are equivalent.

A connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) is said to be *toric* if it has a regular torification N . In this case, we will often refer to N as “the regular torification of M ”, and keep in mind that it is only defined up to an equivariant Kähler isomorphism and reparametrization of the torus.

For later reference, we give the following technical definition.

Definition 3.4. Suppose $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is a torification of (M, h, ∇) .

- (1) A *toric parametrization* is a triple (L, X, F) , where $L \subset TM$ is parallel lattice with respect to ∇ , $X \in \text{gen}(L)$ and $F : M_L \rightarrow N^\circ$ is a holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphism satisfying $F \circ (\Phi_X)_a = \Phi_a \circ F$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.
- (2) Let $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$ and $\kappa : N^\circ \rightarrow M$ be smooth maps. We say that the pair (τ, κ) is a *toric factorization* if there exists a toric parametrization (L, X, F) such that $\tau = F \circ q_L$ and $\kappa = \pi_L \circ F^{-1}$. In this case, we say that (τ, κ) is *induced by the toric parametrization* (L, X, F) . Note that $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$.
- (3) We say that $\kappa : N^\circ \rightarrow M$ is the *compatible projection induced by the toric parametrization* (L, X, F) if there exists a map $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$ such that (τ, κ) is the toric factorization induced by (L, X, F) . When it is not necessary to mention (L, X, F) explicitly, we just say that κ is a *compatible projection*. Analogously, one defines a *compatible covering map* $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$.

By abuse of language, we will often say that the formula $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$ is a toric factorization.

If $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is a regular torification of M , and if $\kappa, \kappa' : N^\circ \rightarrow M$ are compatible projections, then there is a holomorphic isometry $\varphi : N \rightarrow N$ and a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\kappa' = \kappa \circ \varphi$.

3.4 Lifting procedure. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ and $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^d \times N' \rightarrow N'$ be torifications of the dually flat manifolds (M, h, ∇) and (M', h', ∇') , respectively.

Definition 3.5. Let $f : M \rightarrow M'$ and $m : N \rightarrow N'$ be smooth maps. We say that m is a *lift of f* if there are compatible covering maps $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$ and $\tau' : TM' \rightarrow (N')^\circ$ such that $m \circ \tau = \tau' \circ f_*$. In this case, we say that m is a *lift of f with respect to τ and τ'* .

If m is a lift of f with respect to τ and τ' , and if $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$ and $\pi' = \kappa' \circ \tau'$ are toric factorizations, then $\kappa' \circ m = f \circ \kappa$. Therefore the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 TM & \xrightarrow{f_*} & & & TM' \\
 \downarrow \pi & \searrow \tau & & \swarrow \tau' & \downarrow \pi' \\
 & & N^\circ & \xrightarrow{m} & (N')^\circ \\
 & \swarrow \kappa & & \searrow \kappa' & \\
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & & & M'
 \end{array} \tag{3.2}$$

If $m : N \rightarrow N'$ is a lift of f , then m is a Kähler immersion if and only if $f : (M, \nabla) \rightarrow (M', \nabla')$ is an affine immersion satisfying $f^*h' = h$. In this case, there exists a unique Lie group homomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ with finite kernel such that $m \circ \Phi_a = \Phi'_{\rho(a)} \circ m$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Theorem 3.6 (Existence of lifts). Suppose $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ and $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^d \times N' \rightarrow N'$ are regular torifications of (M, h, ∇) and (M', h', ∇') , respectively. Let $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$ and $\tau' : TM' \rightarrow (N')^\circ$ be compatible covering maps. Then every isometric affine immersion $f : M \rightarrow M'$ has a unique lift $m : N \rightarrow N'$ with respect to τ and τ' .

For later reference, we give the following technical result, in which (L, X, F) and (L', X', F') are toric parametrizations of N and N' , respectively, with induced toric factorizations $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau : TM \rightarrow M$ and $\pi' = \kappa' \circ \tau' : TM' \rightarrow M'$.

Proposition 3.7 (The derivative of an isometric affine immersion is equivariant). Let $m : N \rightarrow N'$ be the lift of an affine isometric immersion $f : M \rightarrow M'$ with respect to τ and τ' , respectively, and let $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^d$ be the unique homomorphism satisfying $m \circ \Phi_a = \Phi'_{\rho(a)} \circ m$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$. If N and N' are regular, then the derivative of f satisfies

$$f_* \circ (T_X)_t = (T_{X'})_{\rho_* t} \circ f_*$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where T_X is the group action of \mathbb{R}^n on TM defined by $T_X(t, u) = u + \sum_{k=1}^n t_k X_k$ ($T_{X'}$ is defined similarly).

3.5 Fundamental lattices. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ and $\Phi' : \mathbb{T}^n \times N' \rightarrow N'$ be regular torifications of the dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) . If (L, X, F) and (L', X', F') are toric parametrizations of N and N' , respectively, then $L = L'$. We call $\mathcal{L} := L = L'$ the *fundamental lattice* of (M, h, ∇) .

3.6 Canonical example: Kähler toric manifolds. Let $(N, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ be a Kähler toric manifold, with Kähler metric g and momentum polytope $\Delta = \mathbf{J}(N) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Let k (resp. h) be the unique Riemannian metric on Δ° (resp. \mathbb{R}^n) that turns $\mathbf{J} : (N^\circ, g) \rightarrow (\Delta^\circ, k)$ (resp. $\sigma : (N^\circ, g) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, h)$) into a Riemannian submersion (see Section 2). Let ∇^{flat} be the flat connection on \mathbb{R}^n and let ∇^k be the dual connection of ∇^{flat} on Δ° with respect to k . Then $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ are isomorphic dually flat manifolds and $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is simultaneously a torification of $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ and $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$. From now on we call $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ the *momentum polytope* and $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ the *holomorphic polytope* of N . Note that the holomorphic polytope depends on the choice of a point $p \in N^\circ$.

3.7 Examples from Information Geometry. The concept of torification was motivated, in the first place, by the connection between Kähler geometry, Information Geometry and Quantum Mechanics. In this section, we illustrate this connection with a few examples. The reader interested in Information Geometry may consult [AJLS17, AN00, MR93].

Definition 3.8. A *statistical manifold* is a pair (S, j) , where S is a manifold and where j is an injective map from S to the space of all probability density functions p defined on a fixed measure space (Ω, dx) :

$$j : S \hookrightarrow \left\{ p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid p \text{ is measurable, } p \geq 0 \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} p(x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

If $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ is a coordinate system on a statistical manifold S , then we shall indistinctly write $p(x; \xi)$ or $p_\xi(x)$ for the probability density function determined by ξ .

Given a “reasonable” statistical manifold S , it is possible to define a metric h_F and a family of connections $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$ on S ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) in the following way: for a chart $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ of S , define

$$(h_F)_\xi(\partial_i, \partial_j) := \mathbb{E}_{p_\xi}(\partial_i \ln(p_\xi) \cdot \partial_j \ln(p_\xi)),$$

$$\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}(\xi) := \mathbb{E}_{p_\xi}[(\partial_i \partial_j \ln(p_\xi) + \frac{1-\alpha}{2} \partial_i \ln(p_\xi) \cdot \partial_j \ln(p_\xi)) \partial_k \ln(p_\xi)],$$

where \mathbb{E}_{p_ξ} denotes the mean, or expectation, with respect to the probability $p_\xi dx$, and where ∂_i is a shorthand for $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_i}$. In the formulas above, it is assumed that the function $p_\xi(x)$ is smooth with respect to ξ and that the expectations are finite. When the first formula above defines a smooth metric h_F on S , it is then called *Fisher metric*. In this case, the $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}$'s define a connection $\nabla^{(\alpha)}$ on S via the formula $\Gamma_{ij,k}^{(\alpha)}(\xi) = (h_F)_\xi(\nabla_{\partial_i}^{(\alpha)} \partial_j, \partial_k)$, which is called the α -connection.

Among the α -connections, the 1-connection is particularly important and is usually referred to as the *exponential connection*, also denoted by $\nabla^{(e)}$. In this paper, we will only consider statistical manifolds S for which the Fisher metric h_F and exponential connection $\nabla^{(e)}$ are well defined.

We now recall the definition of an exponential family.

Definition 3.9. An *exponential family* \mathcal{E} on a measure space (Ω, dx) is a set of probability density functions $p(x; \theta)$ of the form $p(x; \theta) = \exp\{C(x) + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_i F_i(x) - \psi(\theta)\}$, where C, F_1, \dots, F_n are measurable functions on Ω , $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ is a vector varying in an open subset Θ of \mathbb{R}^n and where ψ is a function defined on Θ .

In the above definition, it is assumed that the family of functions $\{1, F_1, \dots, F_n\}$ is linearly independent, so that the map $p(x, \theta) \mapsto \theta$ becomes a bijection, hence defining a global chart for \mathcal{E} . The parameters $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ are called the *natural* or *canonical parameters* of the exponential family \mathcal{E} .

Example 3.10 (Binomial distribution). Let $\mathcal{B}(n)$ be the set of Binomial distributions $p(k) = \binom{n}{k} q^k (1-q)^{n-k}$, $q \in (0, 1)$, defined over $\Omega := \{0, \dots, n\}$. It is a 1-dimensional exponential family, because $p(k) = \exp\{C(k) + \theta F(k) - \psi(\theta)\}$, where $\theta = \ln\left(\frac{q}{1-q}\right)$, $C(k) = \ln\binom{n}{k}$, $F(k) = k$, $k \in \Omega$, and $\psi(\theta) = n \ln(1 + \exp(\theta))$.

Example 3.11 (Categorical distribution). Let $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ be a finite set and let \mathcal{P}_n^\times be the set of maps $p : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $p(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$ and $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x) = 1$. Then \mathcal{P}_n^\times is an exponential family of dimension $n - 1$. Indeed, elements in \mathcal{P}_n^\times can be parametrized as follows: $p(x; \theta) = \exp\{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \theta_i F_i(x) - \psi(\theta)\}$, where $x \in \Omega$, $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $F_i(x_j) = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker delta) and $\psi(\theta) = \ln(1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{\theta_i})$.

Example 3.12 (Poisson distribution). A Poisson distribution is a distribution over $\Omega = \mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ of the form $p(k; \lambda) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^k}{k!}$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda > 0$. Let \mathcal{P} denote the set of all Poisson distributions $p(\cdot; \lambda)$, $\lambda > 0$. The set \mathcal{P} is an exponential family, because $p(k, \lambda) = \exp\{C(k) + F(k)\theta - \psi(\theta)\}$, where $C(k) = -\ln(k!)$, $F(k) = k$, $\theta = \ln(\lambda)$, and $\psi(\theta) = \lambda = e^\theta$.

Under mild assumptions, it can be proved that an exponential family \mathcal{E} endowed with the Fisher metric h_F and exponential connection $\nabla^{(e)}$ is a dually flat manifold (see [AN00]). This is the case, for example, if Ω is a finite set endowed with the counting measure (see [Shi07], Chapter 6). In the sequel, we will always regard an exponential family \mathcal{E} as a dually flat manifold.

Since an exponential family is dually flat, it is natural to ask whether it is toric. Below we describe three examples. Let $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ be the complex projective space of complex dimension n , endowed with the Fubini-Study metric normalized in such a way that the holomorphic sectional curvature is $c > 0$. Let Φ_n be the action of \mathbb{T}^n on $\mathbb{P}_n(c)$ defined by

$$\Phi_n([t], [z]) = [e^{2i\pi t_1} z_1, \dots, e^{2i\pi t_n} z_n, z_{n+1}]. \quad (\text{homogeneous coordinates})$$

Proposition 3.13. In each case below, the torus action is a regular torification of the indicated exponential family \mathcal{E} .

- (a) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^\times$, $\Phi_n : \mathbb{T}^n \times \mathbb{P}_n(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n(1)$.
- (b) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$, $\Phi_1 : \mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_1(\frac{1}{n})$.
- (c) $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}$, $\mathbb{T}^1 \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $([t], z) \mapsto e^{2i\pi t} z$.

For a proof and more examples, see [Mol21].

4 Diffeomorphisms preserving a parallel lattice

Let (M, h, ∇) be a connected dually flat manifold of dimension n (not necessarily toric), and suppose $L \subset TM$ is a parallel lattice with respect to ∇ , generated by $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

The objective of this section is to show Proposition 4.4 below, which is a key technical result. We will adopt the notation of Section 3.1. Thus M_L is the quotient manifold $TM/\Gamma(L) \cong TM/\mathbb{Z}^n$, $q_L : TM \rightarrow M_L$ is the corresponding quotient map and $\Phi = \Phi_X$ is the torus action on M_L associated to X .

Definition 4.1. A diffeomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M$ *preserves* the parallel lattice L if $\psi_*(L) = L$.

Note that the set of all diffeomorphisms of M preserving a parallel lattice L is a group, which we denote by $\text{Diff}(M, L)$. Below, we will mostly focus on diffeomorphisms of $\text{Diff}(M, L)$ that are isometries. We will use the following notation:

- $\text{Isom}(M, h)$ is the group of isometries of (M, h) .
- $\text{Diff}(M, \nabla)$ is the group of diffeomorphisms of M that are affine with respect to ∇ .
- $\text{Diff}(M, h, L) = \text{Diff}(M, L) \cap \text{Isom}(M, h)$.
- $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) = \text{Diff}(M, \nabla) \cap \text{Isom}(M, h)$.

Let $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be the group of $n \times n$ matrices with integer entries. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 4.2. Let $\psi : M \rightarrow M$ be a diffeomorphism. Then ψ preserves L if and only if there exists a matrix $R = (r_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\psi_{*p} X_k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} X_i(\psi(p))$ for all $p \in M$ and all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$.

A simple consequence of Lemma 4.2 is the following

Lemma 4.3. $\text{Diff}(M, L) \subseteq \text{Diff}(M, \nabla)$.

Proof. Let $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, L)$ be arbitrary. By hypothesis, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a generator for L , and so each vector field X_i is parallel with respect to ∇ , which implies that $[X_i, X_j] = \nabla_{X_i} X_j - \nabla_{X_j} X_i = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, for a given $p \in M$, there are affine coordinate systems $x : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ and $y : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ defined on neighborhoods U and V of p and $\psi(p)$, respectively, such that $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} = X_i$ on U and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} = X_i$ on V for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. By Lemma 4.2, there is $R = (r_{ij}) \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\psi_{*p} X_k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n r_{ik} X_i(\psi(p))$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus the Jacobian matrix of ψ in the coordinates x and y is the constant matrix R . This shows that ψ is affine with respect to ∇ . \square

We now focus our attention on the group $\text{Diff}(M, h, L)$. Given $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, we will denote by $\rho_A : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ the Lie group isomorphism defined by $\rho_A([t]) = [At]$, where $[t]$ denotes the equivalence class of $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ denote the group of Lie group isomorphisms of the torus \mathbb{T}^n . It is well-known that the map $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, $A \mapsto \rho_A$ is a group isomorphism (see, e.g., [BtD85], Chapter IV).

By Lemma 4.2, for every $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$, there is a (necessarily unique) matrix $R(\psi) = (r(\psi)_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\psi_{*p} X_k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n r(\psi)_{ik} X_i(\psi(p))$ for all $p \in M$ and all $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Clearly, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diff}(M, h, L) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n), \\ \psi &\mapsto \rho_{R(\psi)}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

is a group homomorphism and hence we can form the semidirect product $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$. By definition, it is the Cartesian product $\mathbb{T}^n \times \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$ together with the group multiplication

$$(a, \psi) \cdot (a', \psi') = (\rho_{R(\psi)}(a')a, \psi \circ \psi').$$

Let Γ be the group action of $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$ on M_L defined by

$$\Gamma\left([t], \psi, q_L(u)\right) = \Phi_{[t]}(q_L(\psi_{*p} u)) = q_L\left(\psi_{*p} u + \sum_{i=1}^n t_i X_i(\psi(p))\right), \tag{4.2}$$

where $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$ and $p = \pi(u) \in M$.

On M_L , there is a unique Kähler structure that turns $q_L : TM \rightarrow M_L$ into a Kähler covering map (here TM is endowed with the Kähler structure coming from Dombrowski's construction). Let g be the corresponding Kähler metric on M_L and let $\text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be the group of holomorphic isometries of M_L that are equivariant in the following sense: for each $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

The next proposition is the main result of this section.

Proposition 4.4. The map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^n \times \text{Diff}(M, h, L) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, \\ a &\mapsto \Gamma_a, \end{aligned}$$

is a group isomorphism.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Proposition 4.4. For our purposes, it is convenient to trivialize TM via the map

$$\begin{aligned} f : \mathbb{R}^n \times M &\rightarrow TM, \\ ((u_1, \dots, u_n), p) &\mapsto u_1 X_1(p) + \dots + u_n X_n(p). \end{aligned}$$

Let $K : TTM \rightarrow TM$ be the connector associated to ∇ . Given $u \in T_p M$, we will identify $T_u(TM)$ and $T_p M \oplus T_p M$ via the map $A \mapsto (\pi_* A, KA)$ (see Section 3.1).

Lemma 4.5. Under the identification $T_{f(u,p)}(TM) = T_p M \oplus T_p M$, the derivative of f at $(u, p) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times M$ is given by

$$f_{*(u,p)}(v, w) = (w, v_1 X_1(p) + \dots + v_n X_n(p)),$$

where $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong T_u \mathbb{R}^n$ and $w \in T_p M$.

Proof. We must show that

- (1) $\pi_* f_{*(u,p)}(v, w) = w$ and
- (2) $K f_{*(u,p)}(v, w) = v_1 X_1(p) + \dots + v_n X_n(p)$.

Let $p(t)$ be a smooth curve in M such that $p(0) = p$ and $\frac{dp}{dt}(0) = w$. We have:

$$\pi_* f_{*(u,p)}(v, w) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\pi \circ f)(u + tv, p(t)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 p(t) = w.$$

This shows (1). For (2), let (x_1, \dots, x_n) be an affine coordinate system with respect to ∇ defined in a connected neighborhood $U \subseteq M$ of p . Set $Z(t) = f(u + tv, p(t))$. Because each X_k is parallel, there are real numbers a_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, such that

$$X_k = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \tag{4.3}$$

on U and hence

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k,j=1}^n (u_k + tv_k) a_{kj} \left. \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right|_{p(t)}.$$

Let $(q, r) = (q_1, \dots, q_n, r_1, \dots, r_n)$ be the local coordinates on TM canonically associated to (x_1, \dots, x_n) (see Section 3.1). In the coordinates (q, r) , $Z(t)$ reads

$$Z(t) = \left(p_1(t), \dots, p_n(t), \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k + tv_k) a_{k1}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n (u_k + tv_k) a_{kn} \right),$$

where $p_k(t) = x_k(p(t))$. Writing $w = \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \Big|_p$, it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 Z(t) = \left(w_1, \dots, w_n, \sum_{k=1}^n v_k a_{k1}, \dots, \sum_{k=1}^n v_k a_{kn} \right),$$

or equivalently, that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 Z(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n w_j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \Big|_{f(u,p)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n v_k a_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} \Big|_{f(u,p)}.$$

Since $K \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} = 0$ and $K \frac{\partial}{\partial r_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ (see Section 3.1), it follows from the linearity of $K : T_{f(u,p)} TM \rightarrow T_p M$ that

$$K f_{*(u,p)}(v, w) = K \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_0 Z(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n v_k a_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \Big|_p = \sum_{k=1}^n v_k X_k(p),$$

where we have used (4.3). This shows (2) and concludes the proof of the lemma. \square

Let $(\bar{g}, \bar{J}, \bar{\omega})$ be the unique Kähler structure on $\mathbb{R}^n \times M$ that makes $f : \mathbb{R}^n \times M \rightarrow TM$ a Kähler isomorphism (here TM is endowed with the Kähler structure associated to (h, ∇) via Dombrowski's construction).

Lemma 4.6. Let $p \in M$, $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and A, B in $T_p M$. Write $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$ and $w = (w_1, \dots, w_n)$. The following holds.

- (1) $\bar{g}_{(u,p)}((v, A), (w, B)) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i w_j h_p(X_i, X_j) + h_p(A, B)$.
- (2) $\bar{J}_{(u,p)}(v, \sum_{k=1}^n w_k X_k(p)) = (w, -(v_1 X_1(p) + \dots + v_n X_n(p)))$.

Proof. By inspection of Dombrowski's construction together with Lemma 4.5. \square

Let q denote the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^n \times M & \xrightarrow{f} & TM \\ q \times Id \downarrow & & \downarrow q_L \\ \mathbb{T}^n \times M & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & M_L \end{array}$$

where $(q \times Id)(u, p) = (q(u), p)$ and \tilde{f} is the unique diffeomorphism that makes the diagram commutative. The following result is immediate.

Lemma 4.7. Suppose $\mathbb{R}^n \times M$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$) is endowed with the unique Kähler structure that makes f (resp. \tilde{f}) a Kähler isomorphism. Then,

- (1) $q \times Id$ is a holomorphic and locally isometric covering map.

(2) \tilde{f} is equivariant: for every $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$, $\tilde{f} \circ \Psi_a = \Phi_a \circ \tilde{f}$, where Ψ is the left action of \mathbb{T}^n on $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$ defined by $\Psi(a, (b, p)) = (ab, p)$.

Let $g' = \tilde{f}^*g$ denote the Kähler metric on $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$, and let $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be the group of holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphisms F of $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$ that are equivariant in the following sense: for each $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$F \circ \Psi_a = \Psi_{\rho(a)} \circ F$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$, where Ψ is the action described in Lemma 4.7. Because \tilde{f} is an equivariant Kähler isomorphism, the map

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, \quad F \mapsto \tilde{f} \circ F \circ (\tilde{f})^{-1} \quad (4.4)$$

is a group isomorphism, and so we can work with either $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ or $\text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, whichever is more convenient.

Let $F \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be fixed. Since F is equivariant, there is a smooth function $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$, a smooth diffeomorphism $\psi : M \rightarrow M$ and a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$F(a, p) = (\rho(a)\phi(p), \psi(p))$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and all $p \in M$. Moreover, the fact that ρ is a Lie group isomorphism of the torus implies that there is $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\rho = \rho_A$.

Lemma 4.8. The equivariant diffeomorphism $F(a, p) = (\rho(a)\phi(p), \psi(p))$ is holomorphic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is constant,
- (2) ψ preserves L and $R(\psi) = A$.

Proof. Let J be the complex structure on $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$. Let $(q(u), p) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times M$ be arbitrary, where $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since $q : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ is a covering map, there exist an open neighborhood U of p in M and a smooth map $\tilde{\phi} : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi = q \circ \tilde{\phi}$ on U . From this and the fact that q is a Lie group homomorphism it is easy to compute the derivative of F at $(q(u), p)$ in the direction $(q_{*u}v, Z)$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{*(q(u), p)}(q_{*u}v, Z) &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 F(q(u+tv), p(t)) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (\rho(q(u+tv))q(\tilde{\phi}(p(t))), \psi(p(t))) \\ &= \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_0 (q(Au + tAv + \tilde{\phi}(p(t))), \psi(p(t))) \quad (\rho = \rho_A) \\ &= (q \times Id)_{*(Au + \tilde{\phi}(p), \psi(p))} (Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p}Z, \psi_{*p}Z), \end{aligned}$$

where $p(t)$ is a smooth curve in M such that $p(0) = p$ and $\frac{dp(t)}{dt}(0) = Z$. Thus

$$F_{*(q(u), p)}(q_{*u}v, Z) = (q \times Id)_{*} (Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p}Z, \psi_{*p}Z). \quad (4.5)$$

It follows from this, Lemma 4.6 and the fact that $q \times Id$ is holomorphic that

$$\begin{aligned}
JF_{*(q(u),p)}(q_*u v, Z) &= J(q \times Id)_*(Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p} Z, \psi_{*p} Z) \\
&= (q \times Id)_* \bar{J}(Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p} Z, \psi_{*p} Z) \\
&= (q \times Id)_* \left(((\psi_{*p} Z)_1, \dots, (\psi_{*p} Z)_n), -\sum_{k=1}^n (Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p} Z)_k X_k(\psi(p)) \right), \tag{4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where $(\psi_{*p} Z)_1, \dots, (\psi_{*p} Z)_n$ are the coordinates of $\psi_{*p} Z$ with respect to the basis $X_1(\psi(p)), \dots, X_n(\psi(p))$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_{*(q(u),p)} J(q_*u v, Z) &= F_{*(q(u),p)} J(q \times Id)_*(v, Z) \\
&= F_{*(q(u),p)} (q \times Id)_* \bar{J}(v, Z) \\
&= F_{*(q(u),p)} (q \times Id)_*((Z_1, \dots, Z_n), -V) \\
&= F_{*(q(u),p)} (q_*u(Z_1, \dots, Z_n), -V) \\
&= (q \times Id)_*(A(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) - \tilde{\phi}_{*p} V, -\psi_{*p} V), \quad (\text{see (4.5)}) \tag{4.7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $V = \sum_k v_k X_k(p)$ and Z_1, \dots, Z_n are the coordinates of Z with respect to the basis $X_1(p), \dots, X_n(p)$. Comparing (4.6) with (4.7) we see that F_* and J commute at $(q(u), p)$ and only if

$$(S) \quad \begin{cases} ((\psi_{*p} Z)_1, \dots, (\psi_{*p} Z)_n) &= A(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) - \tilde{\phi}_{*p} V, \\ \sum_{k=1}^n (Av + \tilde{\phi}_{*p} Z)_k X_k(\psi(p)) &= \psi_{*p} V \end{cases}$$

for all $Z \in T_p M$ and all $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. By inspection of (S) we deduce that F is holomorphic at $(q(u), p)$ if and only if $\tilde{\phi}_{*p} = 0$ ($\Leftrightarrow \phi_{*p} = 0$) and $\psi_{*p} X_k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ik} X_i(\psi(p))$ for all $k = 1, \dots, n$. Since M is connected, the condition $\phi_{*p} = 0$ for all $p \in M$ is equivalent to ϕ being constant. The other condition means that ψ preserves L and that $R(\psi) = A$. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 4.9. Let $F(a, p) = (\rho(a)\phi(p), \psi(p))$ be as in the preceding lemma. Suppose F holomorphic. Then F is isometric if and only if $\psi^* h = h$.

Proof. Recall that \bar{g} (resp. g') denotes the Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^n \times M$ (resp. $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$). We must show that $F^* g' = \bar{g}$ if and only if $\psi^* h = h$.

In the proof of Lemma 4.8, we have computed the derivative of F at $(q(u), p) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times M$ in the direction $(q_*u v, Z) \in T_{q(u)} \mathbb{T}^n \times T_p M$ (see (4.5)). Taking into account the fact that ϕ is constant by Lemma 4.8, this formula becomes

$$F_{*(q(u),p)}(q_*u v, Z) = (q \times Id)_{*(A u + \tilde{\phi}(p), \psi(p))}(Av, \psi_{*p} Z),$$

where $\tilde{\phi}$ is a smooth function defined on some neighborhood of p in M such that $\phi = q \circ \tilde{\phi}$.

We now compute F^*g' at $(q(u), p) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times M$. Given two pairs $(q_{*u}v, V)$ and $(q_{*u}w, W)$ in $T_{q(u)}\mathbb{T}^n \times T_pM$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F^*g')_{(q(u), p)}((q_{*u}v, V), (q_{*u}w, W)) \\
&= g'_{F(q(u), p)}(F_*(q_{*u}v, V), F_*(q_{*u}w, W)) \\
&= g'_{F(q(u), p)}((q \times Id)_*(Av, \psi_*V), (q \times Id)_*(Aw, \psi_*W)) \\
&= ((q \times Id)^*g')((Av, \psi_*V), (Aw, \psi_*W)) \\
&= \bar{g}((Av, \psi_*V), (Aw, \psi_*W)) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n (Av)_i(Aw)_j h_{\psi(p)}(X_i, X_j) + h_{\psi(p)}(\psi_*V, \psi_*W) \\
&= \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n A_{ik}v_k A_{jl}w_l h_{\psi(p)}(X_i, X_j) + (\psi^*h)_p(Z, W),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 4.6 and the fact that $q \times Id$ is a local isometry by Lemma 4.7. Because F is holomorphic, $\psi_{*p}X_k(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ik}X_i(\psi(p))$ for every $k = 1, \dots, n$ and hence the double sum above can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i,j=1}^n \sum_{k,l=1}^n A_{ik}v_k A_{jl}w_l h_{\psi(p)}(X_i, X_j) \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^n v_k w_l h_{\psi(p)}(\psi_{*p}X_k, \psi_{*p}X_l) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n v_k w_l (\psi^*h)_p(X_k, X_l).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& (F^*g')_{(q(u), p)}((q_{*u}v, V), (q_{*u}w, W)) \\
&= \sum_{k,l=1}^n v_k w_l (\psi^*h)_p(X_k, X_l) + (\psi^*h)_p(V, W).
\end{aligned}$$

Comparing with Lemma 4.6 and using the fact that $(q \times Id)^*g' = \bar{g}$, we see that $(F^*g')_{(q(u), p)} = g'_{(q(u), p)}$ if and only if

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n v_k w_l (\psi^*h)_p(X_k, X_l) + (\psi^*h)_p(V, W) = \sum_{k,l=1}^n v_k w_l h_p(X_k, X_l) + h_p(V, W)$$

for all $v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $V, W \in T_pM$, which is equivalent to $(\psi^*h)_p = h_p$. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.4. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denote the group action of $\mathbb{T}^n \times \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$ on $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$ defined by

$$\tilde{\Gamma}((a, \psi), (b, p)) = (\rho_{R(\psi)}(b)a, \psi(p)). \tag{4.8}$$

A simple computation shows that each $\tilde{\Gamma}_{(a,\psi)}$ is equivariant in the sense that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{(a,\psi)} \circ \Psi_b = \Psi_{\rho_{R(\psi)}(b)} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}_{(a,\psi)}$ for all $b \in \mathbb{T}^n$, and by the two lemmas above, $\tilde{\Gamma}_{(a,\psi)}$ is also holomorphic and isometric. Therefore the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega : \mathbb{T}^n \times \text{Diff}(M, h, L) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n}, \\ g &\mapsto \tilde{\Gamma}_g, \end{aligned}$$

is a well-defined group homomorphism. Again by the two lemmas above, Ω is surjective, and it is straightforward to check that Ω is injective. Therefore Ω is a group isomorphism. The rest of the proof consists in using the group isomorphism $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n \times M, g')^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M_L, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ defined in (4.4) and to check that

$$\tilde{f} \circ \tilde{\Gamma}_{(a,\psi)} \circ (\tilde{f})^{-1} = \Gamma_{(a,\psi)}$$

for all $(a, \psi) \in \mathbb{T}^n \times \text{Diff}(M, h, L)$. The details are left to the reader. This concludes the proof of Proposition 4.4. \square

5 Equivariant holomorphic isometries of a torification

Let (M, h, ∇) be a connected dually flat manifold and $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ a regular torification, with Kähler metric g and fundamental lattice $\mathcal{L} \subset TM$ (see Section 3.5). Given a compatible covering map $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$, we will denote by

- $\text{lift}_\tau(\psi) : N \rightarrow N$ the unique lift of ψ that satisfies $\text{lift}_\tau(\psi) \circ \tau = \tau \circ \psi_*$ on TM ,
- $\rho_\tau(\psi) : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ the unique Lie group homomorphism satisfying $\text{lift}_\tau(\psi) \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho_\tau(\psi)(a)} \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Let $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be the group of holomorphic isometries of (N, g) that are equivariant in the following sense: for each $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$. In [Mol21], it is shown that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{lift}_\tau & : \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, & \psi & \mapsto \text{lift}_\tau(\psi), \\ \rho_\tau & : \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n), & \psi & \mapsto \rho_\tau(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

are group homomorphisms.

Below we use the notation ρ_A to denote the Lie group isomorphism of the torus $\mathbb{T}^n = \mathbb{R}^n / \mathbb{Z}^n$ defined by $\rho_A([t]) = [At]$, where $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 5.1. Let (\mathcal{L}, X, F) be a toric parametrization, with corresponding toric factorization $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$. Let $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ be arbitrary. If $\rho_\tau(\psi) = \rho_A$, where $A \in \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, then

$$\psi_* X_i(p) = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ji} X_j(\psi(p)) \quad (5.1)$$

for all $p \in M$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. In particular, ψ preserves \mathcal{L} .

Proof. For simplicity, write $\rho = \rho_A$. Upon the identification $\text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n) = \mathbb{R}^n$ given by the derivative at 0 of the quotient map $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbb{T}^n$, the derivative of ρ at e in the direction $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by $\rho_{*e}t = At$. It follows from this and Proposition 3.7 that

$$\psi_* \circ (T_X)_t = (T_X)_{At} \circ \psi_*$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^n \cong \text{Lie}(\mathbb{T}^n)$, where T_X is the group action of \mathbb{R}^n on TM defined by $T_X(t, u) = u + \sum_{k=1}^n t_k X_k$. Taking $t = (0, \dots, 1, \dots, 0)$ and evaluating at 0 yields (5.1). \square

An immediate consequence of Lemmas 4.3 and 5.1 is the following

Proposition 5.2. $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) = \text{Diff}(M, h, \mathcal{L})$.

Under the hypotheses of Lemma 5.1, there are two group homomorphisms $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}^n)$ that one may naturally consider, namely (4.1) and ρ_τ . By Lemma 5.1, these homomorphisms are equal, and thus one can use either of them to define the semidirect product $\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$. In terms of ρ_τ , group multiplication reads

$$(a, \psi) \cdot (a', \psi') = (\rho_\tau(\psi)(a')a, \psi \circ \psi'). \quad (5.2)$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ be a regular torification of a connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) , with Kähler metric g . Given a compatible covering map $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) &\rightarrow \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}, \\ (a, \psi) &\mapsto \Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi), \end{aligned}$$

is a group isomorphism, where the group structure of the semidirect product is given by (5.2).

Before we proceed with the proof, let us establish some notation. Kähler metrics on N , N° and $M_\mathcal{L}$ are denoted by the same symbol g . Given a Kähler manifold (W, g) equipped with a torus action $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times W \rightarrow W$, we will denote by $\text{Aut}(W, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ the set of holomorphic isometries of W that are equivariant in the following sense: for every $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(W, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$.

Lemma 5.4. The map $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N^\circ, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, $\varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{N^\circ}$ is a group isomorphism, where $\varphi|_{N^\circ}$ denotes the restriction of φ to N° .

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be arbitrary. First we show that $\varphi(N^\circ) = N^\circ$. Let $p \in N^\circ$ be arbitrary, and let $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ be an element of the isotropy group of $\varphi(p)$, that is, $\Phi(a, \varphi(p)) = \varphi(p)$. Since φ is equivariant by hypothesis, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_t = \Phi_{\rho(t)} \circ \varphi$ for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Since ρ is surjective, there is $b \in \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $a = \rho(b)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(a, \varphi(p)) = \varphi(p) &\Rightarrow (\Phi_{\rho(b)} \circ \varphi)(p) = \varphi(p) \quad (a = \rho(b)) \\ &\Rightarrow (\varphi \circ \Phi_b)(p) = \varphi(p) \quad (\varphi \text{ is equivariant}) \\ &\Rightarrow \Phi_b(p) = p \quad (\varphi \text{ is a diffeomorphism}) \\ &\Rightarrow b = e \quad (\Phi \text{ is free at } p) \\ &\Rightarrow a = \rho(b) = \rho(e) = e. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that Φ is free at $\varphi(p)$. Thus $\varphi(N^\circ) \subseteq N^\circ$. The same argument with φ^{-1} in place of φ also shows that $\varphi(N^\circ) \supseteq N^\circ$. Thus $\varphi(N^\circ) = N^\circ$.

It follows that the restriction of φ to N° is a holomorphic and isometric diffeomorphism from N° onto N° . Moreover, it is clear that this restriction is equivariant. Thus the map $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N^\circ, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is well defined. The latter is obviously a group homomorphism, and because N° is dense in N , it is injective. It remains to show that it is surjective. So let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N^\circ, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be arbitrary. Because the Kähler metric on N is real analytic, the isometry φ extends uniquely to an isometry $\tilde{\varphi} : N \rightarrow N$ (see [KN96], Chapter VI, Corollary 6.4). Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is holomorphic and equivariant on N° , which is dense in N , $\tilde{\varphi}$ is also holomorphic and equivariant on N . Thus $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}|_{N^\circ} = \varphi$, this shows that the map $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(N^\circ, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ is surjective. The lemma follows. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Let (\mathcal{L}, X, F) be a toric parametrization with corresponding toric factorization $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$. Consider the following composition of group homomorphisms

$$\mathbb{T}^n \rtimes \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} \text{Aut}(M_{\mathcal{L}}, g)^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_2} \text{Aut}(N^\circ, g)^{\mathbb{T}} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_3} \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}},$$

where

- $\varepsilon_1((a, \psi))(q_{\mathcal{L}}(u)) = (\Phi_X)_a(q_{\mathcal{L}}(\psi_*u))$,
- $\varepsilon_2(\varphi) = F \circ \varphi \circ F^{-1}$,
- ε_3 is the inverse of the restriction map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi|_{N^\circ}$.

These three maps are group isomorphisms (this follows from Propositions 4.4 and 5.2, Lemma 5.4 and the fact that F is equivariant). Therefore $\varepsilon := \varepsilon_3 \circ \varepsilon_2 \circ \varepsilon_1$ is a group isomorphism.

Let $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ be arbitrary. Given $p = F(q_{\mathcal{L}}(u)) \in N^\circ$, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1(a, \psi)))(p) &= (F \circ \varepsilon_1(a, \psi) \circ F^{-1})(p) \quad (\text{definition of } \varepsilon_2) \\ &= (F \circ \varepsilon_1(a, \psi))(q_{\mathcal{L}}(u)) \\ &= (F \circ (\Phi_X)_a)(q_{\mathcal{L}}(\psi_*u)) \quad (\text{definition of } \varepsilon_1) \\ &= (\Phi_a \circ F \circ q_{\mathcal{L}})(\psi_*u) \quad (F \text{ is equivariant}) \\ &= (\Phi_a \circ \tau)(\psi_*u) \quad (\tau = F \circ q_{\mathcal{L}}) \\ &= (\Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi) \circ \tau)(u) \quad (\text{definition of a lift}) \\ &= (\Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi) \circ F \circ q_{\mathcal{L}})(u) \\ &= (\Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi))(p). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1(a, \psi)) = \Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi)$ on N° , or equivalently, $\varepsilon_2(\varepsilon_1(a, \psi)) = \varepsilon_3^{-1}(\Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi))$. It follows that $\varepsilon(a, \psi) = \Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi)$. This concludes the proof of the theorem. \square

In the next two corollaries, $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is a regular torification of a connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) .

Corollary 5.5. For every compatible projection $\kappa : N^\circ \rightarrow M$, there is a surjective group homomorphism $\varepsilon : \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ such that $\kappa \circ \varphi = \varepsilon(\varphi) \circ \kappa$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$.

Proof. Let τ be a compatible covering map such that $\pi = \kappa \circ \tau$ is a toric factorization. Let $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ be arbitrary. By Theorem 5.3, there are $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ such that $\varphi = \Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi)$, and so

$$\kappa \circ \varphi = \kappa \circ \Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi) = \kappa \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi) = \psi \circ \kappa, \quad (5.3)$$

where we have used the fact that compatible projections are \mathbb{T}^n -invariant and the commutativity of Diagram (3.2). Define the group homomorphism $\varepsilon : \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \rightarrow \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ by $\varepsilon(\Phi_a \circ \text{lift}_\tau(\psi)) = \psi$ (it is well defined and surjective by Theorem 5.3). In terms of ε , (5.3) can be rewritten as $\kappa \circ \varphi = \varepsilon(\varphi) \circ \kappa$ for all $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, from which the result follows. \square

Corollary 5.6. Let Proj denote the set of compatible projections $\kappa : N^\circ \rightarrow M$. Then the map

$$\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \times \text{Proj} \rightarrow \text{Proj}, \quad (\psi, \kappa) \mapsto \psi \circ \kappa, \quad (5.4)$$

is a free and transitive group action.

Proof. The fact that (5.4) is a well defined group action of $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ on Proj follows easily from Corollary 5.5. Freeness follows from the fact that compatible projections are surjective. To see that (5.4) is transitive, let κ and κ' be compatible projections. We know from the general theory that there is $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ such that $\kappa' = \kappa \circ \varphi$ (see Section 3.4). By Corollary 5.4, there exists $\psi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ such that $\kappa \circ \varphi = \psi \circ \kappa$. Thus $\kappa' = \psi \circ \kappa$, which shows transitivity. \square

6 Weyl group

The group $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ of holomorphic isometries of a connected and compact Kähler manifold (N, g) , endowed with the compact-open topology, is a compact Lie group whose natural action on N is smooth³. If $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ is an effective holomorphic and isometric torus action, it is not hard to see⁴ that the image S of \mathbb{T}^n under the map $a \mapsto \Phi_a$ is a closed n -dimensional torus in $\text{Aut}(N, g)$. It is thus natural to ask whether S is a Cartan subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ (in the sense of Segal), and if so, what is the corresponding Weyl group $W(S) = N(S)/S$.

The next theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 6.1. Let $\Phi : \mathbb{T}^n \times N \rightarrow N$ be a compact regular torification of a connected dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) , with Kähler metric g . Let $S \subset \text{Aut}(N, g)$ be the image of \mathbb{T}^n under the map

³This follows from a classical theorem due to Myers and Steenrod [MS39] and a theorem of Weierstrass in complex geometry. More precisely, the Myers-Steenrod theorem states that the group $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ of isometries of a connected Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a Lie group with respect to the compact-open topology whose natural action on M is smooth. Moreover, if M is compact, then $\text{Isom}(M, g)$ is also compact (for a modern proof, see [Kob95], Chapter II). Weierstrass' Theorem states that the space $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ of holomorphic maps between two complex manifolds X and Y is closed in the space $C(X, Y)$ of continuous maps $X \rightarrow Y$ with respect to the compact-open topology. This theorem is usually proved when X is an open subset of \mathbb{C}^n and $Y = \mathbb{C}$ (see, e.g., [Has18]), but it is easy to generalize it to arbitrary complex manifolds. Combining these results, we obtain that the group of holomorphic isometries $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ of a compact Kähler manifold N with Kähler metric g is a closed subgroup of the compact Lie group $\text{Isom}(N, g)$, and so it is a compact Lie group.

⁴This can be proved by arguments similar to those in [Kob95, Chapter I].

$a \mapsto \Phi_a$. Then S is a maximal torus and a Cartan subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$. Moreover, given a compatible covering map $\tau : TM \rightarrow N^\circ$, the map

$$\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \rightarrow W(S), \quad \psi \mapsto [\text{lift}_\tau(\psi)] \quad (6.1)$$

is a group isomorphism, where $W(S) = N(S)/S$ is the Weyl group (in the sense of Segal) associated to S , and $[\text{lift}_\tau(\psi)]$ denotes the equivalence class of $\text{lift}_\tau(\psi)$ in $W(S)$.

Recall that a toric Kähler manifold $(N, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ is always a torification of its momentum polytope $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$, and also a torification of its holomorphic polytope $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ (see Section 3.6). If in addition the Kähler metric g is real analytic, then N is regular and Theorem 6.1 immediately implies the following result.

Corollary 6.2. Let $(N, \omega, \Phi, \mathbf{J})$ be a toric Kähler manifold with real analytic Kähler metric g . Let $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ be the corresponding momentum polytope, and let $(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ be the holomorphic polytope associated to some $p \in N^\circ$. If W denotes the Weyl group of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$ associated to $S = \{\Phi_a \mid a \in \mathbb{T}^n\}$, then

$$W \cong \text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k) \cong \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n, h, \nabla^{\text{flat}}). \quad (\text{group isomorphisms})$$

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.1. Let M, N, S, Φ and τ be as in the theorem.

Lemma 6.3. S is a maximal torus in $\text{Aut}(N, g)$.

Proof. Let $T \subseteq \text{Aut}(N, g)$ be a torus such that $S \subseteq T$. We must show that $S = T$. Because S and T are tori, it suffices to show that $\dim(S) = \dim(T)$. The natural action of T on N is effective and symplectic, and since N is simply connected, it is also Hamiltonian. By (P2), this forces $2 \dim(T) \leq \dim(N)$. Since $\dim(N) = 2 \dim(\mathbb{T}^n) = 2 \dim(S)$, we obtain $\dim(T) \leq \dim(S)$. The reverse inequality is obvious. \square

Recall that $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$ denotes the group of holomorphic isometries of N that are equivariant in the following sense: for each $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$, there is a Lie group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that $\varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$. Let $N(S) = \{\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g) \mid \varphi \circ S \circ \varphi^{-1} = S\}$ be the normalizer of S in $\text{Aut}(N, g)$.

Lemma 6.4. $N(S) = \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$.

Proof. The inclusion $\text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n} \subseteq N(S)$ is obvious. For the reverse inclusion, let $\varphi \in N(S)$ be arbitrary. It is easy to see from the definition of $N(S)$ and the fact that Φ is effective that there is a group isomorphism $\rho : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ such that

$$\varphi \circ \Phi_a \circ \varphi^{-1} = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \varphi \circ \Phi_a = \Phi_{\rho(a)} \circ \varphi \quad (6.2)$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$. We claim that ρ is smooth. To see this, let (\mathcal{L}, X, F) be a toric parametrization, where \mathcal{L} is the fundamental lattice. In the notation of Section 3.2, let $G : \mathbb{T}^n \times M \rightarrow N^\circ$ be the composition

$$\mathbb{T}^n \times M \xrightarrow{f} M_{\mathcal{L}} \xrightarrow{F} N^\circ,$$

where $f([u], p) = q_{\mathcal{L}}(u_1 X_1(p) + \dots + u_n X_n(p)) = (\Phi_X)_{[u]}(q_{\mathcal{L}}(0_p))$. Then G is a diffeomorphism that is equivariant in the sense that $G \circ \Psi_a = \Phi_a \circ G$ for all $a \in \mathbb{T}^n$, where Ψ is the group action of \mathbb{T}^n on $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$ given by left multiplication. Consider the composition $\tilde{\varphi} := G^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ G$. It is well-defined because $\varphi(N^\circ) = N^\circ$ (this follows from (6.2)), and is a smooth diffeomorphism of $\mathbb{T}^n \times M$. Furthermore, a direct computation using (6.2) and the equivariance of G shows that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is of the form

$$\tilde{\varphi}(a, p) = (\rho(a)\phi(p), \psi(p)), \quad (6.3)$$

where $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ and $\psi : M \rightarrow M$ are smooth maps. Let $i : \mathbb{T}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n \times M$, $a \mapsto (a, p_0)$, where $p_0 \in M$ is fixed, and let $\pi : \mathbb{T}^n \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^n$ be the projection onto the first factor. It follows from (6.3) that $\rho = \pi \circ \Psi_{\phi(p_0)^{-1}} \circ \tilde{\varphi} \circ i$, which shows that ρ is smooth. This completes the proof of the claim. It follows from the discussion above and the claim that $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$. Thus $N(S) \subseteq \text{Aut}(N, g)^{\mathbb{T}^n}$. \square

The next step in the proof of Theorem 6.1 is to show that $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ is finite. We will do this by showing that $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ acts effectively on a finite set. Let $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the group of affine transformations of \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, for each $\varphi \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there are a $n \times n$ invertible real matrix A and $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\varphi(x) = Ax + B$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Recall that a subset of \mathbb{R}^n is a *convex polytope* if it is the convex hull of finitely many points in \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 6.5. Let $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a convex polytope and let Γ be a subgroup of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\gamma(\Delta) = \Delta$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. If the topological interior of Δ is nonempty, then Γ is finite.

Proof. Let $\text{Ext}(\Delta) \subseteq \Delta$ be the set of extreme points of Δ . Thus a point $x \in \Delta$ is in $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$ if and only if it is not possible to express x as a convex combination $(1-t)y + tz$, where $y, z \in \Delta$ and $0 < t < 1$, except by taking $x = y = z$. We have:

- (1) $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$ is finite (this follows from [Roc70], Corollary 18.3.1),
- (2) Δ is the convex hull of $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$ (Krein-Milman theorem),
- (3) $\gamma(\text{Ext}(\Delta)) = \text{Ext}(\Delta)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ (this follows easily from the fact that each γ is affine and preserves Δ).

It follows from (3) that Γ naturally acts on $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$, which is a finite set by (1). Thus, to prove the lemma, it suffices to show that the action of Γ on $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$ is effective. So let γ be an element of Γ such that $\gamma(x) = x$ for all $x \in \text{Ext}(\Delta)$. For simplicity, we will denote by $\text{Conv}(S)$ (resp. $\text{Aff}(S)$) the convex hull (resp. affine hull) of a subset $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Using (2) and the fact that $\text{Aff}(\text{Conv}(S)) = \text{Aff}(S)$ for any nonempty set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, we see that $\text{Aff}(\text{Ext}(\Delta)) = \text{Aff}(\Delta)$. Since the interior of Δ is nonempty by hypothesis, $\text{Aff}(\Delta) = \mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore $\text{Aff}(\text{Ext}(\Delta)) = \mathbb{R}^n$. This implies that $\text{Ext}(\Delta)$ contains $n+1$ points x_0, \dots, x_n that are affinely independent. Because of our hypothesis on γ , we have $\gamma(x_i) = x_i$ for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$, and thus γ must be the identity map on \mathbb{R}^n (see [Roc70], Theorem 1.6). The lemma follows. \square

By Remark 3.2(c), N is naturally a Kähler toric manifold. Let $\mathbf{J} : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be an equivariant momentum map and let $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ be the corresponding momentum polytope (see Section 3.6).

In [Mol21], it is proven that $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ is a dually flat manifold and that there is an isomorphism of dually flat manifolds between (M, h, ∇) and $(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$. Therefore the groups $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ and $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ are isomorphic. Thus, to prove that $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ is finite, it suffices to show that $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k)$ is finite. Before we do so, we make the following observation.

Lemma 6.6. $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^k) = \text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$.

This lemma is a special case of the following result, in which we do not presuppose any particular properties on (M, h, ∇) (like being toric).

Lemma 6.7. Let (M, h, ∇) be a connected dually flat manifold. Then $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) = \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla^*)$, where ∇^* is the dual connection of ∇ with respect to h .

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to show one inclusion. So let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ be arbitrary. We must show that φ is affine with respect to ∇^* . Since φ is a diffeomorphism, this is equivalent to showing that $\varphi_*(\nabla_X^* Y) = \nabla_{\varphi_* X}^* (\varphi_* Y)$ for all vector fields X, Y on M , where $\varphi_* X$ denotes the pushforward of X by φ , that is, $(\varphi_* X)_p = \varphi_{*\varphi^{-1}(p)} X_{\varphi^{-1}(p)}$ for all $p \in M$ (see [KN96, Chapter VI, Proposition 1.4]). To see this, it suffices to show that

$$h(\varphi_*(\nabla_X^* Y), \varphi_* Z) = h(\nabla_{\varphi_* X}^* (\varphi_* Y), \varphi_* Z)$$

for all vector fields X, Y, Z on M , which can be done by a direct computation using the formula $A(h(B, C)) = h(\nabla_A B, C) + h(B, \nabla_A^* C)$, where A, B, C are vector fields on M . \square

Lemma 6.8. $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$ is finite.

Proof. In view of the discussion above, it suffices to prove that $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ is finite. Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ be arbitrary. Because φ is affine with respect to the flat connection ∇^{flat} , it extends uniquely to an affine map $\tilde{\varphi} \in \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [KN96, Chapter VI, Corollary 6.2]). We claim that $\tilde{\varphi}(\Delta) = \Delta$. Indeed, the fact that Δ is a closed convex set whose topological interior Δ° is nonempty implies that Δ is the closure of its interior, that is, $\Delta = \text{cl}(\Delta^\circ)$ (see [Roc70], Theorem 6.3). It follows that

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\Delta) = \tilde{\varphi}(\text{cl}(\Delta^\circ)) = \text{cl}(\tilde{\varphi}(\Delta^\circ)) = \text{cl}(\varphi(\Delta^\circ)) = \text{cl}(\Delta^\circ) = \Delta,$$

where we have used the fact that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a homeomorphism. This proves the claim. The latter implies that $\text{Diff}(\Delta^\circ, k, \nabla^{\text{flat}})$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ that leaves invariant Δ . By Lemma 6.5, this group is finite. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $S = \{\Phi_a \mid a \in \mathbb{T}^n\} \subset \text{Aut}(N, g)$. The fact that $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla) \rightarrow N(S)/S$, $\psi \mapsto [\text{lift}_\tau(\psi)]$ is a group isomorphism follows from Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 6.4. By Lemma 6.3, S is a torus and hence it contains an element whose powers are dense in S . The index of S in its normalizer $N(S)$ is finite because $N(S)/S$ is isomorphic to $\text{Diff}(M, h, \nabla)$, which is finite by Lemma 6.8. Therefore S is a Cartan subgroup of $\text{Aut}(N, g)$. \square

7 The case of exponential families

In this section, we consider the special case in which the dually flat manifold (M, h, ∇) is an exponential family \mathcal{E} defined over a finite set Ω . Our objective is to show Proposition 7.5 below, which gives an algebraic characterization of the group of affine isometries of \mathcal{E} .

Let $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a finite set endowed with the counting measure, and let \mathcal{E} be an exponential family defined over Ω , with elements of the form

$$p(x; \theta) = p_\theta(x) = e^{C(x) + \langle \theta, F(x) \rangle - \psi(\theta)}, \quad (7.1)$$

where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $C : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F = (F^1, \dots, F^n) : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\langle u, v \rangle = u_1 v_1 + \dots + u_n v_n$ is the usual Euclidean pairing in \mathbb{R}^n and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. It is assumed that the functions $1, F^1, \dots, F^n$ are linearly independent. Let h_F and $\nabla^{(e)}$ be the Fisher metric and exponential connection on \mathcal{E} , respectively.

For simplicity, we write $C_i = C(x_i)$ and $F_i = F(x_i) = (F_i^1, \dots, F_i^n)$. Note that the condition $\sum_{x \in \Omega} p(x; \theta) = 1$ implies

$$\psi(\theta) = \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \theta, F_i \rangle} \right) \quad (7.2)$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is well-known that the coordinate expression for the Fisher metric in the θ -coordinates is the Hessian of ψ , that is (see [AN00]),

$$(h_F)_{ij}(\theta) = h_F \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_j} \right) = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j}(\theta). \quad (7.3)$$

Let \mathbb{S}_m denote the permutation group of $\{1, \dots, m\}$.

Definition 7.1. We shall say that a diffeomorphism φ of \mathcal{E} is a *permutation* if there is $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$ such that $\varphi(p)(x_i) = p(x_{\sigma(i)})$ for all $p \in \mathcal{E}$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. In this case, we write $\varphi = \varphi_\sigma$.

We shall denote by $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$ the group of permutations of \mathcal{E} . When $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective, a simple verification shows that $\varphi_\sigma = \varphi_{\sigma'}$ implies $\sigma = \sigma'$. Thus, in this case, $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$ can be regarded as a subgroup of \mathbb{S}_m via the group homomorphism $\varphi_\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$.

Lemma 7.2. $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$.

Proof. Let $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$ and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}) \cong \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Suppose that $p_{\varphi(\theta)}(x_i) = p_\theta(x_{\sigma(i)})$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Write $\varphi(\theta) = (\varphi^1(\theta), \dots, \varphi^n(\theta))$. In view of (7.1), we have

$$C_i + \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi^j(\theta) F_i^j - \psi(\varphi(\theta)) = C_{\sigma(i)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j F_{\sigma(i)}^j - \psi(\theta)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Taking the derivative with respect to θ_a , and then with

respect to θ_b , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \varphi^j}{\partial \theta_a}(\theta) F_i^j - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_k}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a}(\theta) = F_{\sigma(i)}^a - \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_a}(\theta) \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^j}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} F_i^j - \sum_{k,l=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta_k \partial \theta_l}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi^l}{\partial \theta_b} \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a} - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_k}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} = -\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} \\
\Rightarrow & \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^j}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} F_i^j = \underbrace{\sum_{k,l=1}^n (h_F)_{kl}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi^l}{\partial \theta_b} \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_k}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b}}_{=: T_{ab}(\theta)} - (h_F)_{ab}(\theta).
\end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

where we have used (7.3). It follows that for all $a, b, i, i' \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 \varphi^j}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} (F_i^j - F_{i'}^j) = T_{ab}(\theta) - T_{ab}(\theta) = 0.$$

Because the functions $1, F^1, \dots, F^n : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are linearly independent, this implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi^j}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b}(\theta) = 0$$

for all $a, b, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Thus φ is of the form $\varphi(\theta) = A\theta + B$, where A is a $n \times n$ real matrix and $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$. This shows that φ is affine with respect to $\nabla^{(e)}$. Using this, we can rewrite (7.4) as

$$\sum_{k,l=1}^n (h_F)_{kl}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi^l}{\partial \theta_b} \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a} = (h_F)_{ab}(\theta),$$

which shows that φ is an isometry. The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 7.3. If $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective, then $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) \subseteq \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$.

Proof. Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ be arbitrary. Since $(h_F)_{ab}$ is the Hessian of ψ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b} [\psi(\theta) - \psi(\varphi(\theta))] \\
&= (h_F)_{ab} - \underbrace{\sum_{k,l=1}^n (h_F)_{kl}(\varphi(\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi^l}{\partial \theta_b} \frac{\partial \varphi^k}{\partial \theta_a}}_{=(h_F)_{ab}} - \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial \theta_l}(\varphi(\theta)) \underbrace{\frac{\partial^2 \varphi^l}{\partial \theta_a \partial \theta_b}}_{=0} \\
&= (h_F)_{ab} - (h_F)_{ab} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that we have used the facts that φ is isometric and affine. It follows that there is $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\psi(\theta) - \psi(\varphi(\theta)) = \langle u, \theta \rangle + c \tag{7.5}$$

for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. In view of (7.2), this can be rewritten as

$$\ln \left[\sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \theta, F_i \rangle} \right] - \ln \left[\sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \varphi(\theta), F_i \rangle} \right] = \langle u, \theta \rangle + c$$

from which we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \theta, F_i \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \varphi(\theta), F_i \rangle + \langle u, \theta \rangle + c}. \quad (7.6)$$

Since φ is affine with respect to $\nabla^{(e)}$, there are an invertible $n \times n$ real matrix A and $B \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\varphi(\theta) = A\theta + B$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus (7.6) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle \theta, F_i \rangle} = \sum_{i=1}^m e^{C_i + \langle B, F_i \rangle + c + \langle \theta, A^T F_i + u \rangle}, \quad (7.7)$$

where A^T is the transpose of A . Now, the fact that F is injective and A is invertible implies that $F_i \neq F_j$ and $A^T F_i + u \neq A^T F_j + u$ whenever $i \neq j$. It follows from this, (7.7) and Lemma 7.4 (see below) that there is $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$ such that

$$\begin{cases} F_{\sigma(i)} &= A^T F_i + u, \\ C_{\sigma(i)} &= C_i + \langle B, F_i \rangle + c \end{cases} \quad (7.8)$$

for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. These equations, together with (7.1) and (7.5), imply that

$$\begin{aligned} p_{A\theta+B}(x_i) &= e^{C_i + \langle A\theta+B, F_i \rangle - \psi(A\theta+B)} \\ &= e^{C_i + \langle A\theta+B, F_i \rangle + \langle u, \theta \rangle + c - \psi(\theta)} \\ &= e^{C_i + \langle B, F_i \rangle + c + \langle \theta, A^T F_i + u \rangle - \psi(\theta)} \\ &= e^{C_{\sigma(i)} + \langle \theta, F_{\sigma(i)} \rangle - \psi(\theta)} \\ &= p_{\theta}(x_{\sigma(i)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus φ is a permutation of \mathcal{E} . The lemma follows. \square

Lemma 7.4. If v_1, \dots, v_m are distinct vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , then the functions $e^{\langle x, v_1 \rangle}, \dots, e^{\langle x, v_m \rangle}$ ($x \in \mathbb{R}^n$) are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\lambda_1 e^{\langle x, v_1 \rangle} + \dots + \lambda_m e^{\langle x, v_m \rangle} = 0 \quad (7.9)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We must show that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$. Given a pair of distinct indices $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, let $E_{ij} = \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \langle u, v_i - v_j \rangle = 0\}$. Since $v_i - v_j \neq 0$, $\dim(E_{ij}) = n - 1$, and so E_{ij} has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n . Since a countable union of sets of measure zero has measure zero, $E = \cup_{i \neq j} E_{ij}$ has measure zero in \mathbb{R}^n . This implies $\mathbb{R}^n - E \neq \emptyset$. Let $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n - E$ be arbitrary.

This choice guarantees that $\langle \xi, v_i \rangle \neq \langle \xi, v_j \rangle$ whenever $i \neq j$. Substituting $x = t\xi$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$, into (7.9) yields

$$\lambda_1 e^{t\langle \xi, v_1 \rangle} + \dots + \lambda_m e^{t\langle \xi, v_m \rangle} = 0. \quad (7.10)$$

Now, it is well known that the lemma is true when $n = 1$, and thus the functions $e^{t\langle \xi, v_1 \rangle}, \dots, e^{t\langle \xi, v_m \rangle}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . In view of (7.10), this implies that $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_m = 0$, as desired. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemmas 7.2 and 7.3.

Proposition 7.5. Let \mathcal{E} be an exponential family defined over a finite set $\Omega = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, with elements of the form $p(x; \theta) = e^{C(x) + \langle \theta, F(x) \rangle - \psi(\theta)}$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $C : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\psi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If $F : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is injective, then $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) = \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$.

Example 7.6. If $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{P}_n^\times$ (see Example 3.11), then $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{P}_n^\times) \cong \mathbb{S}_n$, and so $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{P}_n^\times, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) \cong \mathbb{S}_n$ by Proposition 7.5.

Example 7.7. Suppose $\dim(\mathcal{E}) = 1$ and $F(x_i) < F(x_j)$ whenever $i < j$. Then $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ is either trivial, or it is $\{\text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}, \varphi\}$, where $\text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the identity map on \mathcal{E} and $\varphi(p)(x_i) = p(x_{m-i+1})$. To see this, let $\varphi_\sigma \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)})$ be arbitrary, where $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_m$. By inspection of the proof of Lemma 7.3 (more specifically (7.8)), the permutation σ , regarded as a map $\{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$, is strictly increasing or strictly decreasing, and thus $\sigma(k) = k$ or $\sigma(k) = m - k + 1$ for all $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Example 7.8. When $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{B}(n)$ (see Example 3.10), it is easy to check that $\varphi(p)(k) = p(n-k)$ is a permutation of $\mathcal{B}(n)$. Thus $\text{Perm}(\mathcal{B}(n))$ is not trivial. By Example 7.7, $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{B}(n), h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) \cong \mathbb{S}_2$.

We end this section with a simple observation which is a direct consequence of the proof of Lemma 7.3 (more specifically (7.8)) and Proposition 7.5. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ be the vector space of functions $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ generated by $1, F^1, \dots, F^n$.

Lemma 7.9. Suppose F injective. Then the group $\text{Diff}(\mathcal{E}, h_F, \nabla^{(e)}) = \text{Perm}(\mathcal{E})$ acts linearly on $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}$ via the formula $[\varphi_\sigma \cdot X](x_i) = X(x_{\sigma(i)})$.

Physical applications of this result are discussed in [Mol23].

References

- [Abr03] Miguel Abreu. Kähler geometry of toric manifolds in symplectic coordinates. In *Symplectic and contact topology: interactions and perspectives (Toronto, ON/Montreal, QC, 2001)*, volume 35 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 1–24. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [AJLS17] Nihat Ay, Jürgen Jost, Hông Vân Lê, and Lorenz Schwachhöfer. *Information Geometry*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [AN00] Shun-ichi Amari and Hiroshi Nagaoka. *Methods of information geometry*, volume 191 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI; Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000. Translated from the 1993 Japanese original by Daishi Harada.

- [AS99] Abhay Ashtekar and Troy A. Schilling. Geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics. In *On Einstein's path (New York, 1996)*, pages 23–65. Springer, New York, 1999.
- [Ati82] M. F. Atiyah. Convexity and commuting Hamiltonians. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 14(1):1–15, 1982.
- [Aud04] Michèle Audin. *Torus actions on symplectic manifolds*, volume 93 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, revised edition, 2004.
- [BtD85] Theodor Bröcker and Tammo tom Dieck. *Representations of compact Lie groups*, volume 98 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [CdS01] Ana Cannas da Silva. *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, volume 1764 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [CdS03] Ana Cannas da Silva. Symplectic toric manifolds. In *Symplectic geometry of integrable Hamiltonian systems (Barcelona, 2001)*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pages 85–173. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2003.
- [Del88] Thomas Delzant. Hamiltoniens périodiques et images convexes de l'application moment. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 116(3):315–339, 1988.
- [Dom62] Peter Dombrowski. On the geometry of the tangent bundle. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 210:73–88, 1962.
- [GGK02] Victor Guillemin, Viktor Ginzburg, and Yael Karshon. *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, volume 98 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002. Appendix J by Maxim Braverman.
- [GS82] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg. Convexity properties of the moment mapping. *Invent. Math.*, 67(3):491–513, 1982.
- [Gui94] Victor Guillemin. Kaehler structures on toric varieties. *J. Differential Geom.*, 40(2):285–309, 1994.
- [Has18] Friedrich Haslinger. *Complex analysis*. De Gruyter Graduate. De Gruyter, Berlin, 2018. A functional analytic approach.
- [IK12] Hiroaki Ishida and Yael Karshon. Completely integrable torus actions on complex manifolds with fixed points. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 19(6):1283–1295, 2012.
- [KN96] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu. *Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I*. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996. Reprint of the 1963 original, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [Kob95] Shoshichi Kobayashi. *Transformation groups in differential geometry*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995. Reprint of the 1972 edition.
- [Mol12] Mathieu Molitor. Remarks on the statistical origin of the geometrical formulation of quantum mechanics. *Int. J. Geom. Methods Mod. Phys.*, 9(3):1220001, 9, 2012.
- [Mol13] Mathieu Molitor. Exponential families, Kähler geometry and quantum mechanics. *J. Geom. Phys.*, 70:54–80, 2013.
- [Mol14] Mathieu Molitor. Gaussian distributions, Jacobi group, and Siegel-Jacobi space. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55(12):122102, 40, 2014.
- [Mol15] Mathieu Molitor. On the relation between geometrical quantum mechanics and information geometry. *Journal of Geometric Mechanics*, 7:169, 2015.
- [Mol21] Mathieu Molitor. Kahler toric manifolds from dually flat spaces, 2021.
- [Mol23] Mathieu Molitor. Geometric spectral theory for kähler functions. *In preparation*, 2023.
- [Mor07] Andrei Moroianu. *Lectures on Kähler geometry*, volume 69 of *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [MR93] Michael K. Murray and John W. Rice. *Differential geometry and statistics*, volume 48 of *Monographs on Statistics and Applied Probability*. Chapman & Hall, London, 1993.
- [MS39] S. B. Myers and N. E. Steenrod. The group of isometries of a Riemannian manifold. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 40(2):400–416, 1939.
- [OR04] Juan-Pablo Ortega and Tudor S. Ratiu. *Momentum maps and Hamiltonian reduction*, volume 222 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.

- [Roc70] R. Tyrrell Rockafellar. *Convex Analysis*. Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics. Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [Seg68] Graeme Segal. The representation ring of a compact Lie group. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (34):113–128, 1968.
- [Shi07] Hirohiko Shima. *The geometry of Hessian structures*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.
- [Spe12] Mauro Spera. Geometric methods in quantum mechanics. In *Geometry, integrability and quantization*, pages 43–82. Avangard Prima, Sofia, 2012.