

Energy quantization for Willmore surfaces with bounded index

Dorian Martino*

Abstract

We prove an energy quantization result for Willmore surfaces with bounded index, whether the underlying Riemann surfaces degenerates in the moduli space or not. To do so, we translate the question on the conformal Gauss map's point of view. In particular, we prove that in a neck or a collar region, the conformal Gauss map converges to a light-like geodesic in the De Sitter space.

1 Introduction

The Willmore energy has been introduced in the XIX century by Sophie Germain in non linear mechanics as being a modelization of the free energy of a bent two dimensional elastic membrane. It was then independently introduced in geometry by Wilhelm Blaschke [6] in an effort to merge minimal surfaces theory and conformal invariance. If Φ denotes the immersion of an abstract closed surface Σ into \mathbb{R}^3 , the Willmore energy of Φ is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(\Phi) := \int_{\Sigma} \left| \mathring{A}_{\Phi} \right|_{g_{\Phi}}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi}},$$

where g_{Φ} is the first fundamental form of the immersion, i.e. the induced metric by Φ , $d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi}}$ is the associated volume form and \mathring{A}_{Φ} is the traceless part of the second fundamental form A_{Φ} of Φ . An other definition can be

$$W(\Phi) := \int_{\Sigma} |H_{\Phi}|^2 d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi}},$$

where H_{Φ} is the mean curvature of $\Phi(\Sigma)$. Thanks to Gauss-Bonnet, if $\chi(\Sigma)$ is the Euler characteristic of Σ , we can link W and \mathcal{E} by $\mathcal{E}(\Phi) = 2W(\Phi) - 4\pi\chi(\Sigma)$.

Blaschke proved that the quantity $\left| \mathring{A}_{\Phi} \right|_{g_{\Phi}}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi}}$ is pointwise conformal invariant. That is to say, for any element $\xi \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, the Möbius group of conformal transformations of $\mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\} \simeq \mathbb{S}^3$,

$$\left| \mathring{A}_{\xi \circ \Phi} \right|_{g_{\xi \circ \Phi}}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_{\xi \circ \Phi}} = \left| \mathring{A}_{\Phi} \right|_{g_{\Phi}}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi}}.$$

Therefore, the lagrangian \mathcal{E} is invariant under conformal transformations. However, the lagrangian W is not invariant under *every* conformal transformation of \mathbb{R}^3 , but only under conformal transformations that preserve the topology. In this work, we will focus on \mathcal{E} rather than W .

One motivation for the study of the Willmore energy, is to apply Morse theory to understand the topology of the space $\text{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^3)$ of immersions of Σ into \mathbb{R}^3 . For instance, a basic problem would be to understand min-max procedures for W : if $\gamma \in \pi_k(\text{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^3))$ is a generator of regular homotopy of immersions, consider the quantity

$$\beta_{\gamma} := \inf \left\{ \sup_{t \in \mathbb{S}^k} W(\Phi_t) : (\Phi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{S}^k} \in \gamma \right\}.$$

*Partial support through ANR BLADE-JC ANR-18-CE40-002 is acknowledged.
 Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, Université Paris Cité, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, 75205 Paris Cedex 13, France
 Email : dorian.martino@imj-prg.fr

One can ask few natural questions : can we bound these numbers ? Does there exists any immersion realising these optimization problems ? A first issue is that the map $W : \text{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ cannot be a Morse function, partly because of the conformal invariance. To overcome this, Tristan Rivière [32] adopted a viscosity approach. Instead of considering W alone, he adds a "smoother" times a small parameter $\sigma > 0$ and then let $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. He applied successfully this method for a well chosen smoother, in the case $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$, and obtained that the values of min-max procedures for W on \mathbb{S}^2 is the sum of the energy of some Willmore sphere plus the energy of some inverted Willmore spheres. In particular, this result can be applied to the sphere eversion and constitute a step towards the 16π conjecture. For more information about the viscosity method for geodesics or minimal surfaces, see [31, 33, 24]. It would be interesting to generalize the study of min-max procedures to surfaces of genus greater or equal to 1. This is one of the motivation of the present work.

During a long time the minimal surfaces¹ and their conformal transformations were the only known critical points of \mathcal{E} . In 1965, Tom Willmore [36] relaunched the interest for the lagrangian by raising the question of finding compact surface minimizing W . He showed that round spheres are absolute minimizers, and conjectured that the Clifford torus should be the unique minimizer among the class of immersed tori, up to conformal transformations. This conjecture has been proved by Fernando Marques and André Neves [22] in 2014. In 1985, Ulrich Pinkall [27] constructed a large class of Willmore tori and proved that inversions of minimal surfaces are not the only Willmore surfaces. In 1984, Robert Bryant [8] enlightened a duality between Willmore surfaces in \mathbb{S}^3 and minimal surfaces in the De Sitter space

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}^{3,1} &:= \{x \in \mathbb{R}^5 : |x|_\eta^2 = 1\}, \\ \eta &:= (dx^1)^2 + \dots + (dx^4)^2 - (dx^5)^2. \end{aligned}$$

To each immersion $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, one can consider its conformal Gauss map $Y : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, see Section 2.3 for a precise definition, which represent at each $x \in \Sigma$, the sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 which is tangent to $\Phi(\Sigma)$ at $\Phi(x)$, with same mean curvature. Bryant showed that Φ is a smooth Willmore immersion if and only if Y is a smooth conformal harmonic map, in other words a minimal surface. This result can be seen as a generalization of a well known property of constant mean curvature surfaces, namely the Gauss map of a constant mean curvature surface is harmonic. Since conformal transformations of \mathbb{R}^3 preserve the set of spheres, the notion of conformal Gauss map is more adapted to the study of Willmore surfaces than the notion of Gauss map.

In order to understand the space of Willmore surfaces, one can consider sequences of immersions Φ_k of a given closed surface Σ into \mathbb{R}^3 and which are below a given energy level. From an analysis point of view, one of the main difficulty to study Willmore surfaces is the fact that the Euler-Lagrange equation of W is a non-linear supercritical elliptic equation of order four. Nevertheless, it has been proven that Willmore surfaces satisfy an ε -regularity property, either extrinsically [15] by Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle or intrinsically [28, 29] by Tristan Rivière. This crucial step explains that below a threshold of energy, if the metrics $g_k := g_{\Phi_k}$ are controlled, then up to a subsequence, $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the strong C^ℓ -topology, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$. The ε -regularity leads to concentration compactness phenomena, first developed by Jonathan Sacks and Karen Uhlenbeck [34] in 1981. Once the ε -regularity is established, a covering argument identifies the points where the energy concentrates. At these points, some "bubbles" are formed and we say that the sequence $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy a weak bubble convergence.

A difficult question is then to understand if the whole energy concentrating at these points is given exclusively by the sum of the energies of these bubbles or if there is some additional energy needed to glue these bubbles to the rest of the limit. The regions separating the bubbles between themselves or separating the bubbles with the macroscopic solution are annuli of degenerating conformal classes, called neck region. If the energy inside the necks vanishes, we say that the bubble convergence is strong, see Section 3.1.

By the uniformisation theorem, each g_k is conformal to a smooth metric h_k of constant curvature. Under the assumption that the sequence $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is controlled, Yann Bernard and Tristan Rivière [4] proved that the sequence, modulo the action of $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, satisfy a strong bubble convergence.

¹Surfaces with $H = 0$ are minimizers of W .

The hypothesis on the conformal class of $(g_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be removed in some cases. Thanks to Duligne-Mumford's description, we have a precise picture of surfaces degenerating in the moduli space. In particular, the regions where the metrics g_k degenerate are given by degenerating cylinders, called collar region. They are conformally equivalent to necks. Paul Laurain and Tristan Rivière [19] established an estimate on the Green function of the Laplace operator of any degenerating sequence of constant Gauss curvature metric, which permits to extend the weak bubble convergence for Willmore surfaces to the case where the underlying conformal classes degenerate. They also proved the strong bubble convergence in [18] with some additional assumptions, see below.

From the conformal Gauss map's point of view, we have a sequence of conformal harmonic maps $Y_k : (\Sigma, g_k) \rightarrow (\mathbb{S}^{3,1}, \eta)$ with bounded energy. Harmonic maps defined on degenerating surfaces with values into Riemannian manifolds have been intensively studied [37, 20, 9, 17]. However, in the case of harmonic maps with values into Lorentz spaces, only few results are known [38, 3].

In the case of harmonic maps defined on a degenerating cylinder, coming as a collar or a neck, with values into a compact Riemannian manifold, Miaomiao Zhu [37] proved that the loss of energy in collars and necks is explicitly given by the residue coming from the Hopf differential. This residue always vanishes in neck regions, so the energy inside necks always vanishes. However, it is not true in collars and some energy can disappear, see for instance [26]. A geometric explanation is given by Li Chen, Yuxiang Li and Youde Wang [9]. They showed that a sequence of harmonic maps converges to a geodesic on necks and collars. They proved that the behaviour of the parametrization is entirely defined by the behaviour of the residue coming from the Hopf differential, and that the energy loss can be quantified by the asymptotic behaviour of the length of the cylinder shrinking to this geodesic. Yuxiang Li, Lei Liu and Youde Wang [20] proved that, if the target is positively curved, the length of a geodesic can be controlled by its index. In particular, they showed that if the harmonic maps have bounded index, then the length of the limit geodesic is bounded, and there is also a strong bubble convergence.

One can also find residues for Willmore immersions, but of different nature. The residue of harmonic maps, generated by the Hopf differential, is given by conformal invariance on the domain. In the Willmore case, the conformal invariance is on the target. Four residues are given by conservation laws coming from Noether theorem, see [2]. Despite this difference, Laurain-Rivière [18] proved that only one the residue can obstruct the strong bubble convergence, and that if this residue converges to zero fast enough, then there is strong bubble convergence.

In this work, we study the behaviour of the conformal Gauss maps in necks and collars, see Section 4 for precise statements. First, we extend the result of [9] by proving that on a domain with given oscillations, the conformal Gauss maps converges to a geodesic up to a isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ and reparametrization. The following is the Theorem 4.1 :

Theorem I. *Let $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of Willmore immersions $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying $\sup_k \mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) < \infty$. Let $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be their conformal Gauss maps. Consider $\mathcal{C}_k := [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ a degenerating cylinder arising as a neck or a collar region. There exists a sequence of paths $(M_{t,k})_{t \in [-T_k, T_k], k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset SO(4, 1)$ satisfying the following : if there exists $\delta > 0$ and a cylinder $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k := [t_k - 1, t_k + \kappa_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathcal{C}_k$, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \text{osc}_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k} M_{t_k, k} Y_k = \delta,$$

Then there exists a nonconstant light-like straight line σ and a parametrization $\alpha_k : (0, s_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$ such that $(M_{t_k, k} Y_k \circ \alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to σ in the C_{loc}^2 -topology.

The starting point consists in proving an ε -regularity result for the conformal Gauss map in the spirit of [3]. However, to prove the convergence to a geodesic, the technics of [9] don't apply here since we work in a Lorentz space. In particular we don't have Cauchy-Schwarz inequality or Poincaré inequality. We developp the approach of [37], which is more suitable in our case.

The next step to prove strong bubble convergence for sequence of Willmore immersions with bounded energy and index, is to adapt the notion of index on the conformal Gauss map. We define a notion of index $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}$ for space-like

critical points of the area functional \mathcal{A} , see Section 2.3 equation (6). The key proposition, see Proposition 4.2, shows that the Willmore index of a Willmore immersion Φ is the area index of its conformal Gauss map Y , when we restrict the variations $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ as being conformal Gauss maps of a variation $(\Phi_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ of Φ .

Proposition II. *For any Willmore immersion $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ not totally umbilic, we have $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Phi) = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$.*

The stability of Willmore immersions from the conformal Gauss map's point of view have been studied in [25]. Bennett Palmer found conditions on the conformal Gauss map that leads to stability of the Willmore immersion, for instance if Bryant's quartic is large enough, the Willmore immersion must be unstable. Index of inverted minimal surfaces has been studied in [23, 12, 13].

If we consider a sequence $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with bounded energy and index, then we recover a setting similar as [20]. But in our case, the limit geodesic is light-like so its length always vanishes. Therefore we need to understand the behaviour of the conformal Gauss maps before the limit. According to the Theorem I, the image of the conformal Gauss maps is a space-like cylinder shrinking to a light-like geodesic. If some energy remains in this cylinder, we show that the number of Jacobi fields along Φ_k goes to infinity. Our main result, see Theorem 4.3, is the following energy quantization result :

Theorem III. *Let (Σ, h_k) be a sequence of closed surfaces with fixed genus, constant curvature and normalized volume if needed. We assume that this sequence converges to a nodal surfaces $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$. Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)_{l \in \llbracket 1, q \rrbracket}$ be the connected components of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Let $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of conformal Willmore immersion $(\Sigma, h_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying*

$$\sup_k \left(\mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) + \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Phi_k) \right) < \infty.$$

Then, there exists q branched immersions $\Phi_{\infty}^l : \tilde{\Sigma}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and a finite number of possibly branched immersions $\omega_j : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, $j \in \llbracket 1, p \rrbracket$, which are Willmore away from possibly finitely many points, and such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) = \sum_{l=1}^q \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Phi}_{\infty}^l) + \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{E}(\omega_j).$$

A well-known fact from specialists, but never written explicitly, is that this quantization result for the Lagrangian \mathcal{E} is equivalent to the quantization for the Lagrangian W , see Appendix A.

One difficulty to study the space $\text{Imm}(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}^3)$ is to prove an energy quantization result on Palais-Smale sequences. An easier question is to know whether there is energy quantization for Willmore surfaces or not. When $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$, any conformal class of metrics is bounded, so there is always quantization by [4]. Then Rivière [32] proved it also for Palais-Smale sequences coming from the viscosity method. Our main result can be seen as a step towards the study of Palais-Smale sequences when the underlying surface Σ is not a sphere.

Organization of the paper :

In the Section 2, we introduce the main notations for the rest of the paper. We recall the correspondance between $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$ and $SO(4,1)$. We sketch the construction of the conformal Gauss map and recall the relation with the Willmore energy. We also define degenerating surfaces. In the Section 3, we define the bubble convergence, the neck and collar regions. We precise the setting and estimates we are working with. In the Section 4, we states the precise statements of our results and give a sketch of the proofs. In the Section 5, Section 6 and Section 7 we prove the Theorem 4.1, the Proposition 4.2 and the Theorem 4.3 respectively.

Acknowledgement :

I would like to thank Paul Laurain for his constant support and advices, and Nicolas Marqué for an enlightening conversation and for pointing to me some references.

2 Preliminaries and notations

We state the theorems for immersions in \mathbb{R}^3 , but since formulas are easier to handle for immersions in \mathbb{S}^3 , we will mainly work with immersions in \mathbb{S}^3 , except in Section 5.1, where some formulas will be recalled.

2.1 Willmore energy

Consider Σ a closed compact manifold of dimension 2 of genus greater or equal to 1, and $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ a smooth immersion. Let λ be the conformal factor : $g := \Psi^*(\xi_{|\mathbb{S}^3}) = e^{2\lambda}h$, where ξ is the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^4 and h is a metric on Σ conformal to g with constant curvature. Let N be the Gauss map of Ψ , A its second fundamental form, H its mean curvature and \mathring{A} the traceless part of the second fundamental form. They are given by the formulas :

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &:= -\langle \partial_i \Psi, \partial_j N \rangle_\xi, \\ H &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_g(A) = \frac{e^{-2\lambda}}{2} \langle \Delta_h \Psi, N \rangle_\xi, \\ \mathring{A} &= A - Hg, \\ \nabla N &= -H\nabla \Psi - e^{-2\lambda} \mathring{A} \nabla \Psi. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the energy

$$\mathcal{E}(\Psi) := \int_\Sigma |\mathring{A}|_g^2 d\text{vol}_g.$$

By Gauss-Bonnet, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(\Psi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |dN|_g^2 d\text{vol}_g - \chi(\Sigma).$$

Therefore, a bound on $\mathcal{E}(\Psi)$ is equivalent to a bound on the L^2 -norm of the whole second fundamental form. Willmore surfaces are smooth according to the ε -regularity, see theorem 1.5 in [28].

The index of an immersion is defined by

$$\text{Ind}_\mathcal{E}(\Psi) := \dim \text{Span} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (\Psi_t)_{t \in (-1,1)} \text{ is a smooth family of immersions } \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3, \\ (\partial_t \Psi_t)|_{t=0} : \Psi_0 = \Psi, \\ (\partial_t \Psi_t)|_{t=0} \in \text{Span}(N), \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_t)|_{t=0} < 0 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (1)$$

2.2 Correspondance $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^3) \simeq SO(4,1)$

The correspondance $\text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3) \simeq SO(4,1)$ is known for a long time, see for instance [1, 11]. The explicit computations can be found in [21]. There is an isomorphism $\varphi : \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3) \rightarrow SO(4,1)$ given by the following relations, where we identify $\mathbb{S}^3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$:

- If $t_a : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the translation by a vector $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$, then

$$\varphi(t_a) = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & -a & a \\ a^T & 1 - \frac{|a|_\xi^2}{2} & \frac{|a|_\xi^2}{2} \\ a^T & -\frac{|a|_\xi^2}{2} & 1 + \frac{|a|_\xi^2}{2} \end{pmatrix},$$

- If $d_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the dialation by a factor e^λ , then

$$\varphi(d_\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix} I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cosh \lambda & \sinh \lambda \\ 0 & \sinh \lambda & \cosh \lambda \end{pmatrix},$$

- If $R_\Theta : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the rotation by a matrix $\Theta \in SO(3)$:

$$\varphi(R_\Theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Theta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

- If $\iota : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is the inversion with respect to $B_1(0)$:

$$\varphi(\iota) = \begin{pmatrix} -I_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Given an arbitrary $\xi \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, we will denote $M_\xi := \varphi(\xi)$ in the rest of the paper.

2.3 Conformal Gauss map

An introduction to conformal Gauss map can be found in [10, 11, 21]. Here we sketch the construction and recall the main properties.

Construction of the conformal Gauss map :

Consider an immersion $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ with mean curvature H , let $x \in \Sigma$ and consider the 2-sphere $S_x \subset \mathbb{S}^3$ which is tangent to $\Psi(\Sigma)$ at the point $\Psi(x)$ with mean curvature $H(x)$. Let $\hat{S}_x \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be the 3-sphere that intersect \mathbb{S}^3 orthogonally along S_x . If $H(x) = 0$, then \hat{S}_x is a flat 3-plane. If $H(x) \neq 0$, the center of \hat{S}_x is given by $c_x := \Psi(x) + \frac{N(x)}{H(x)}$, with radius $\frac{1}{|H(x)|}$. In order to have a description independant of the vanishing of the mean curvature, we can consider the homogeneous vector

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} \Psi(x) + \frac{N(x)}{H(x)} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right] \in \mathbb{RP}^4. \quad (2)$$

If $\eta = (dx^1)^2 + \dots + (dx^4)^2 - (dx^5)^2$ is the Minkowski metric on \mathbb{R}^5 and $|\cdot|_\eta$ is the associated norm, we note that

$$\left| \begin{pmatrix} \Psi(x) + \frac{N(x)}{H(x)} \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|_\eta^2 = \frac{1}{H(x)^2} > 0.$$

We can normalize the homogeneous vector (2) in order to have a constant norm equal to 1. Therefore, we obtain a representation of S_x with the quantity

$$Y(x) := \begin{pmatrix} H(x)\Psi(x) + N(x) \\ H(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

This definition is independant of the vanishing of H . By construction, $Y(x)$ belongs to the De Sitter space

$$\mathbb{S}^{3,1} := \{p \in \mathbb{R}^5 : |p|_\eta^2 = 1\}.$$

We note $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ the space (\mathbb{R}^5, η) . We call Y the conformal Gauss map because it is a conformally invariant version of the Gauss map, in the sense that for any $\Xi \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, the conformal Gauss map $Y_{\Xi \circ \Psi}$ is given by the following relation :

$$Y_{\Xi \circ \Psi} = M_\Xi Y.$$

Relation with the Willmore functional :

Let $g = \Psi^*\xi$. The derivatives of Y are given by

$$\nabla Y = \nabla H \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} H\nabla\Psi + \nabla N \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So that

$$\nabla Y = \nabla H \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \mathring{A}\nabla\Psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = (\nabla H)\nu - \mathring{A}(\nabla\nu). \quad (4)$$

where $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\mathring{A}\nabla\Psi$ stand for $\mathring{A}_i{}^j\partial_j\Psi$, where the index is raised by the metric g . The map $Y : (\Sigma, g) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ is conformal : $\langle \partial_i Y_k, \partial_j Y_k \rangle_\eta = \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathring{A}\Psi \right|_g^2 g_{ij}$, so that

$$\mathcal{A}(Y) := \int_\Sigma d\text{vol}_{Y^*\eta} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{E}(\Psi).$$

Since Y is conformal, the Hopf differential $\langle \partial Y, \partial Y \rangle_\eta (dz)^2$ vanishes. In particular, if Y is defined on an annuli $[0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1$, then for any $t \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y|_\eta^2 = \int_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y|_\eta^2. \quad (5)$$

Another consequence is the relation

$$\mathcal{D}(Y) := \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 d\text{vol}_g = \mathcal{A}(Y).$$

Moreover, Ψ is Willmore if and only if Y is harmonic : $\Delta_g Y = -|\nabla Y|_\eta^2 Y$. In this case, the following quartic is holomorphic :

$$\langle \partial^2 Y, \partial^2 Y \rangle_\eta (dz)^4 = -\langle \partial Y, \partial^3 Y \rangle (dz)^4.$$

In particular, if Y is not constant, the zeros of ∇Y are isolated. We will consider the euclidean and the Minkowski norms on Y . To distinguish them in L^p norms, we will denote L_ξ^p the norms

$$\|Y\|_{L_\xi^p} = \| |Y|_\xi \|_{L^p}, \quad \|\nabla Y\|_{L_\xi^p} = \| |\nabla Y|_\xi \|_{L^p}.$$

We denote by L_η^p the norms

$$\|Y\|_{L_\eta^p} = \| |Y|_\eta \|_{L^p}, \quad \|\nabla Y\|_{L_\eta^p} = \| |\nabla Y|_\eta \|_{L^p}.$$

Variations of a conformal Gauss map :

For any spacelike map $Z : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, we define the area and the dirichlet energy in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(Z) := \int_\Sigma d\text{vol}_{Z^*\eta} = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{\det \langle \partial_i Z, \partial_j Z \rangle_\eta} dx, \quad \mathcal{D}(Z) := \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma |\nabla Z|_\eta^2.$$

We will use restrictions of these functionals. Given a measurable set $\Omega \subset \Sigma$, we let

$$\mathcal{A}(Z; \Omega) := \int_\Omega d\text{vol}_{Z^*\eta}, \quad \mathcal{D}(Z; \Omega) := \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega |\nabla Z|_\eta^2.$$

We denote $\delta^2 \mathcal{A}_Z$ and $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_Z$ the second derivatives of the area and the Dirichlet functional : if $(Z_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ is a variation of Z , then

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{A}_Z [(\partial_t Z_t)_{|t=0}] := \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{A}(Z_t)_{|t=0}, \quad \delta^2 \mathcal{D}_Z [(\partial_t Z_t)_{|t=0}] := \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathcal{D}(Z_t)_{|t=0}.$$

Since the formulas are easier in \mathbb{S}^3 , we will define the index for Willmore immersion in \mathbb{S}^3 . To compute the index, we will need to understand the normal space of $Y(\Sigma) \subset \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. According to [35], the umbilic points of a

Willmore immersion $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ which is not totally umbilic, is composed of isolated points and closed real analytic curves. We focus on points where $|\nabla Y|_\eta^2 = \left| \hat{A}_\Psi \right|_{g_\Psi}^2 \neq 0$. Since Y is conformal, the family of orthogonal vectors $(Y, \partial_1 Y, \partial_2 Y)$ span a 3-dimensional space in $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. Since they are all spacelike, we can add to this family two vectors ν, ν^* in the normal space of $Y(\Sigma) \subset \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, satisfying $|\nu|_\eta^2 = |\nu^*|_\eta^2 = 0$, $\langle \nu, \nu^* \rangle_\eta = -1$. Up to exchange ν and ν^* , we obtain a direct basis $(Y, \partial_1 Y, \partial_2 Y, \nu, \nu^*)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. Up to the normalization $\nu_5 = 1$, the vector ν can be chosen of the form $\begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Therefore, under a non degeneracy assumption, we can recover Ψ from the knowledge of Y . This is a key point that we will develop to understand the index of a Willmore immersion. We define the area index of Y by

$$\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y) := \dim \text{Span} \left\{ Z : \Sigma \rightarrow T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1} \mid \begin{array}{l} \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Z - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 Z \rangle_\eta = 0 \\ \langle \nu, \nabla Z \rangle_\eta = 0 \\ \delta^2 \mathcal{A}_Y(Z) < 0 \end{array} \right\}. \quad (6)$$

2.4 Degenerating surfaces

We recall some aspects of the Deligne-Mumford's description of the loss of compactness of the conformal class for a sequence of Riemann surfaces with fixed topology, see for instance [14]. This presentation is taken from the section 1.4 of [18].

Let (Σ, c_k) be a sequence of closed Riemann surfaces of fixed genus g and varying conformal class c_k . If $g = 0$, there is only one conformal class on the sphere. If $g = 1$, then (Σ, c_k) is conformally equivalent to $\mathbb{R}^2 / \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\Im(v_k)}} \mathbb{Z} \times \frac{v_k}{\sqrt{\Im(v_k)}} \mathbb{Z} \right)$ where v_k lies in the fundamental domain $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re(z)| \leq \frac{1}{2}, |z| \geq 1\}$ of $\mathbb{H}^2 / PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

We say that the conformal classes c_k degenerate if $|v_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$.

If $g \geq 2$, let h_k be the hyperbolic metric associated with c_k . We say that (Σ, c_k) degenerates if there exists closed geodesics whose length goes to zero. In that case, up to a subsequence, there exists

- an integer $N \in [1, 3g - 3]$,
- a sequence $\mathcal{L}_k = (\Gamma_k^i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ of finitely many pairwise disjoint closed geodesics of (Σ, h_k) with length converging to zero,
- a closed Riemann surfaces $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{c})$,
- a complete hyperbolic surfaces $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$ with $2N$ cusps $\{(q_1^i, q_2^i)\}_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ such that $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is obtained topologically by removing the geodesics of \mathcal{L}_k to Σ and after closing each component of $\partial(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{L}_k)$ by adding a puncture q_l^i at each of these component. Moreover, $\bar{\Sigma}$ is topologically equal to $\tilde{\Sigma}$ and the complex structure defined by \tilde{h} on $\tilde{\Sigma} \setminus \{q_l^i\}$ extends uniquely to \bar{c} . We can also equipped $\bar{\Sigma}$ with a metric \bar{h} with constant curvature, but not necessarily hyperbolic since the genus of $\bar{\Sigma}$ can be lower than to one of Σ .

$(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$ is called the nodal surface of the converging sequence and $(\bar{\Sigma}, \bar{c})$ is its renormalization. These objects are related, in the sense that, there exists a diffeomorphism $\psi_k : \tilde{\Sigma} \setminus \{q_l^i\} \rightarrow \Sigma \setminus \mathcal{L}_k$ such that $\tilde{h}_k := \psi_k^* h_k$ converges in C_{loc}^∞ to \tilde{h} .

3 Sequence of Willmore immersions with bounded energy

3.1 Bubble compactness

We give a precise definition of bubble convergence.

Definition 3.1. *Let $(h_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metrics on a given closed surface Σ , with constant curvature and fixed volume if needed. We assume that (Σ, h_k) converges to a nodal surface $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$. Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)_{l \in [1, q]}$ be the connected components of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. We say that a sequence $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of conformal Willmore immersions $(\Sigma, h_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ satisfy a weak bubble convergence if up to a subsequence, $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfy the following : there exists*

- a number $I \in \mathbb{N}$ and converging sequences $(x_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in [1, I]} \subset \Sigma$, let $S = \left\{ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^i : i \in [1, I] \right\}$;
- for each $i \in [1, I]$, there exists a sequence $(r_k^i)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^*$ converging to 0;
- conformal maps $\Xi_k^l, \xi_k^i \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, for any $l \in [1, q]$, $i \in [1, I]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- diffeomorphisms $f_k^l : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$, for any $l \in [1, q]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$;
- a finite number of bubbles : smooth Willmore immersions $\omega^i : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, for any $i \in [1, I]$, with possible ends and branch points;

such that

- for each $l \in [1, q]$, $(\Xi_k^l \circ \Psi_k \circ f_k^l)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the $C_{loc}^m(\tilde{\Sigma}^l \setminus S)$ topology, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, to a smooth possibly branched immersion $\Psi_\infty^l : \tilde{\Sigma}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$, which is Willmore away from S ;
- For each $i \in [1, I]$, in some charts around $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k^i$, the sequence $\left(\xi_k^i \circ \Psi_k(x_k^i + r_k^i \cdot) \right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to ω^i in the C_{loc}^m -topology, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, on \mathbb{R}^2 minus a finite set.

We say that the convergence is strong if there is no loss of energy :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) = \sum_{l=1}^q \mathcal{E}(\Psi_\infty^l) + \sum_{i=1}^I \mathcal{E}(\omega^i).$$

Consider $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of Willmore conformal immersion $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ such that

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) < \infty. \quad (7)$$

Let $g_k := \Psi_k^*(\xi_{|\mathbb{S}^3})$.

If $\Sigma = \mathbb{S}^2$, there is only one conformal class. Thanks to [4], there is a strong bubble convergence. If the genus of Σ is greater or equal to 1, then we can decompose (Σ, g_k) into thin and thick parts. On the thick parts, the metric converges smoothly and we can again apply [4] to obtain a bubble tree. The thin parts are conformally equivalent to long cylinders and will be constructed in the next section.

With the notations of the definition 3.1, consider some bubbles $(x_k^1)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}, \dots, (x_k^I)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ forming at the same point in Σ . At the scale of each x_k^i , a blow up of $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, with speed r_k^i , locally converges. We want to understand what happen far from x_k^i . For $i \neq j$, the speeds r_k^i and r_k^j satisfy either $r_k^i \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(r_k^j)$ or $r_k^j \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(r_k^i)$. We can assume that $r_k^1 < \dots < r_k^I$. We are interested in the behaviour of $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the regions $B(x_k^{i+1}, r_k^{i+1}) \setminus B(x_k^i, r_k^i)$ and in the region $B(0, 1) \setminus B(x_k^I, r_k^I)$. These domains are **neck regions**. They are all described as a degenerating annuli $B(0, R_k) \setminus B(0, r_k)$ with $r_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(R_k)$, where no bubble is forming inside and $(\Psi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges, in the sense of the definition 3.1, on the boundary. The hypothesis that there is no bubble can be translated in the estimate :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\rho \in [r_k, R_k/2]} \int_{B(0, 2\rho) \setminus B(0, \rho)} |\nabla N_k|^2 dx = 0.$$

3.2 Choice of the thin parts

In the torus case :

A torus is isometric to a cylinder $C_\ell := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\ell}} (\mathbb{S}^1 \times [0, \ell])$, for some $\ell > 0$, with the identification $(\theta, 0) \sim (\theta, \ell)$. Then, C_ℓ admits the following chart :

$$\psi_\ell : \begin{cases} B(0, 1) \setminus B(0, e^{-\ell}) & \rightarrow \\ (\theta, r) & \mapsto \end{cases} \begin{matrix} C_\ell \\ \left(\frac{\cos \theta}{\sqrt{2\pi\ell}}, \frac{\sin \theta}{\sqrt{2\pi\ell}}, \frac{-\log r}{\sqrt{2\pi\ell}} \right) \end{matrix} .$$

By the theorem 0.2 of [19] assures that the conformal factor λ_Ψ of an immersion $\Psi : C_\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ satisfies :

$$\|\nabla \lambda_\Psi\|_{L^2, \infty} \leq C \|\nabla N_\Psi\|_{L^2}^2.$$

If $(\Psi_k)_k$ is a sequence of immersions from $C_{\ell_k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying (7), there is a finite number $t^1, \dots, t^{I_k} \in [-\frac{\ell_k}{2}, \frac{\ell_k}{2}]$ such that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1 \times [t^i, t^{i+1}]} |\nabla N_k|^2 dt d\theta \geq \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2},$$

where ε_0 is the threshold of energy needed to have ε -regularity of [28], theorem 1.5. If $(g_k)_k$ degenerates in the moduli space, then $\ell_k \rightarrow +\infty$, and we can find t_k such that for any $i \in \llbracket 1, I_k \rrbracket$, $|t_k - t^i| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$. Thanks to the ε -regularity, the sequence $\Psi_k(\cdot + t_k)$ will converge to a possibly trivial bubble. Hence, if we cut the torus at t_k , we obtain a cylinder with no concentration point near the boundary.

In the hyperbolic case :

Thanks to the collar lemma, we know that choosing $\delta < \sinh(1)$, the thin part $\{x \in (\Sigma, h_k) : \text{inj}(x) < \delta\}$ consists in a finite number of collars. Up to extraction, this number is fixed for k large enough. Here we restrict ourselves to one collar. This collar contains a smallest closed geodesic and is conformal to an hyperbolic cylinder of the form

$$A_\ell := \left\{ z = r e^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{H} : r \in [1, e^\ell], \arctan \left[\sinh \frac{\ell}{2} \right] < \theta < \pi - \arctan \left[\sinh \frac{\ell}{2} \right] \right\},$$

with the identification $e^{i\theta} \sim e^{\ell+i\theta}$. The geodesic correspond to the line $\{\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}\}$. **This is a collar region.** We will rather use the parametrization :

$$P_\ell := \left\{ (t, \theta) : \theta \in [0, 2\pi], \frac{2\pi}{\ell} \arctan \left[\sinh \frac{\ell}{2} \right] < t < \frac{2\pi}{\ell} \left(\pi - \arctan \left[\sinh \frac{\ell}{2} \right] \right) \right\},$$

with the identification $(t, 0) \sim (t, 2\pi)$. In this parametrization, the constant curvature metric is

$$ds^2 = \left(\frac{\ell}{2\pi \sinh \left(\frac{\ell t}{2\pi} \right)} \right)^2 (dt^2 + d\theta^2).$$

The geodesic corresponds to the line $\{t = \frac{\pi^2}{\ell}\}$. As the length of the geodesic goes to 0, the cylinder becomes $[0, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with $T_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$.

3.3 A priori estimates on necks and collars

In both cases, necks and collars are conformally equivalent to long cylinders or degenerating annuli. Since we work with conformally invariant problems, we can work on both representation, depending on the context.

In the section 5.1, we will work with the description by degenerating annuli $\mathcal{C}_k = B_{R_k}(0) \setminus B_{r_k}(0)$ with $R_k = o(1)$ and $r_k = o(R_k)$, necks and collars enjoy the following two estimates :

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla N_k|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\rho \in [r_k, \frac{R_k}{2}]} \int_{B_{2\rho} \setminus B_\rho} |\nabla N_k|^2 dx = 0, \quad (8)$$

where ε_0 is the amount of energy needed to obtain the ε -regularity of Rivière [28]. In the sections 5.2 and 7, we will work with the description by long cylinder $\mathcal{C}_k = [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with $T_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$. The estimate (8) translate into

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla N_k|^2 dx \leq \varepsilon_0, \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [-T_k+1, T_k-1]} \int_{[t-1, t+1] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla N_k|^2 dx = 0. \quad (9)$$

4 Main results

4.1 Statements

We prove the following bubble convergence result for the conformal Gauss map :

Theorem 4.1. *Let Σ be a closed Riemann surface and consider $\Phi_k : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ a sequence of smooth immersions. Assume that on the whole surface Σ , it holds*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Sigma} |\nabla \vec{n}_k|_{\Phi_k^* \xi}^2 d\text{vol}_{\Phi_k^* \xi} < \infty.$$

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ satisfying the following. Consider a cylinder $\mathcal{C}_k := [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with $T_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$, associated to the flat metric. Assume that there exists an embedding $\mathcal{C}_k \hookrightarrow \Sigma$ and that each $\Phi_k : \mathcal{C}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is a smooth conformal Willmore immersion. Let \vec{n}_k be the Gauss map of Φ_k . Assume that in \mathcal{C}_k :

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla \vec{n}_k|^2 < \varepsilon_0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{t \in [-T_k+1, T_k-1]} \int_{[t-1, t+1] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla \vec{n}_k|^2 dx = 0.$$

Let $t_k \in [-T_k, T_k]$ with $|t_k - T_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$ and $|t_k + T_k| \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$. Let Y_k be the conformal Gauss map of Φ_k . There exists $\xi_k \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\xi_k \circ \Phi_k$ has the same topology as Φ_k and $M_k := M_{\xi_k} \in SO(4, 1)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^1} |M_k Y_k(t_k, \theta)|_{\xi} < \infty.$$

Furthermore for any $\delta > 0$, if there exists $\kappa_k > 0$ satisfying

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \sup_{x, y \in [t_k-1, t_k+\kappa_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |M_k Y_k(x) - M_k Y_k(y)|_{\xi} = \delta,$$

then there exists a reparametrization $\alpha_k : (-s_k, s_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (t_k - 1, t_k + \kappa_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $(M_k Y_k \circ \alpha_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the C_{loc}^2 -topology to a time-like straight line of euclidean oscillation δ .

The following shows that when computing the index of Φ , we can compute only the second variations of Y , with variations being conformal Gauss maps.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a smooth immersion and Y be its conformal Gauss map. Assume that the umbilic points of Φ are nowhere dense. Consider a vector field $Z : \Sigma \rightarrow T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. There exists a smooth variation $(\Phi_t)_{t \in (-1, 1)}$ of Φ such that $(\partial_t \Phi_t)|_{t=0} \perp \nabla \Phi$ and its conformal Gauss map $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1, 1)}$ satisfies $(\partial_t Y_t)|_{t=0} = Z$ if and only if Z satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_{\Phi}} Z - |\nabla Y|_{\eta}^2 Z \rangle_{\eta} = 0, \\ \langle \nu, \nabla Z \rangle_{\eta} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $\nu = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \frac{|\Phi|^2 - 1}{2} \\ \frac{|\Phi|^2 + 1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. In particular, if Φ is a smooth Willmore surfaces not totally umbilic, then $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Phi) = \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y)$.

If π is the stereographic projection of $\mathbb{S}^3 \setminus \{\text{northpole}\}$ onto \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\Psi := \pi^{-1} \circ \Phi$, we recall that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \frac{|\Phi|^2 - 1}{2} \\ \frac{|\Phi|^2 + 1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{|\Phi|^2 + 1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the conditions (10) are conformally invariant. We obtain a quantization result for a sequence of bounded energy and index :

Theorem 4.3. *Let (Σ, h_k) be a sequence of closed surfaces with fixed genus, constant curvature and normalized volume if needed. We assume that this sequence converges to a nodal surfaces $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$. Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)_{l \in [1, q]}$ be the connected components of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Let $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of conformal Willmore immersion $(\Sigma, h_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) + \text{Ind}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Phi_k) \right) < \infty.$$

Then, there exists q branched immersions $\Phi_{\infty}^l : \tilde{\Sigma}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and a finite number of possibly branched immersions $\omega_j : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ which are Willmore away from possibly finitely many points, and such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) = \sum_{l=1}^q \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\Phi}_{\infty}^l) + \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{E}(\omega_j).$$

4.2 Sketch of the proofs

Proof of the theorem 4.1 :

In order to show the convergence to a curve, we prove that the angular derivative always vanishes very fast, see the lemma 5.11, then we prove that the distance between Y and its average $Y^*(r) = \int_{\partial B_r(0)} Y$ has the same size as the angular derivative, see the lemma 5.12. With these properties in hand, the limit object must be a curve. Since we can pass to the limit in the equation of harmonic maps, the limit curve must be a geodesic. Using (5), the limit curve must be light-like.

The first issue, is that the system satisfied by Y_k is highly different from the one of harmonic maps with values into a compact Riemannian manifold, since it is of the form $|\Delta Y_k|_{\xi} \leq |\nabla Y_k|_{\xi}^2 |Y_k|_{\xi}$, without L^{∞} bounds a priori since $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ is not compact. To solve this issue, we find a good gauge, that is a matrix in $SO(4, 1)$ such that at each scale we have an ε -regularity estimate for the conformal Gauss map, see the section 5.1. Then we work only on a region where the oscillations of Y_k are bounded.

Proof of the proposition 4.2 :

If we consider an arbitrary space-like variation $(Y_t)_t$ of Y , there is a priori no reason to know whether each Y_t is a conformal Gauss map or not. However, each Y_t is close to Y . Therefore, its normal space in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ is close to the one of Y and we can recover an immersion $\Psi_t : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ by looking at isotropic vectors of its normal space. An other important property of conformal Gauss maps, is that its mean curvature must always vanish in some isotropic direction. Therefore, any vector field Z along Y coming from a variation through conformal Gauss maps must satisfy the linearized equation corresponding to this restriction. Palmer [25] showed that away from the umbilic points of Ψ , satisfying this linearized equation is a necessary and sufficient condition. Here we have a less geometric point of view and we obtain two equations equivalent to the condition of coming from a variation through conformal Gauss maps.

Proof of the theorem 4.3 :

In a Riemannian manifold with positive curvature, if a geodesic is too long, then there exists a Jacobi field on this long section of the geodesic. So in the case of harmonic maps with values in a positively curved Riemannian manifold, once we have a Jacobi field on the limit geodesic, we can project it before the limit to obtain a Jacobi field along the harmonic maps. Therefore, the number of the Jacobi field along the limit is controlled by the indexes of

the harmonic maps. In our case, the limit has no lorentz length so we cannot construct Jacobi fields directly on the limit (the limit geodesic is minimizing in a certain sense). So we build them before the limit. Since the limit is a straight line, we can consider a unit space-like constant vector which is always in the normal space of the limit. We add a perturbation to obtain a sequence of vector fields along our sequence of conformal Gauss maps which come from a variation through conformal Gauss maps. We show that this perturbation is small thanks to the theorem 4.1 and that before the limit, these vector fields are Jacobi fields.

5 Proof of the theorem 4.1

5.1 Gauge in necks and collars

Only in this section, we work with Willmore immersions $\Phi_k : \mathcal{C}_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, where $\mathcal{C}_k = B_{1/R} \setminus B_{Rr_k}$ for some $R > 1$, with $r_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$. We assume that \mathcal{C}_k is a part of a closed surface Σ and that Φ_k is defined on the whole Σ , but not necessarily Willmore on $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{C}_k$. We denote $g_k := \Phi_k^* \xi$, \vec{n}_k their Gauss maps, H_k their mean curvature and \mathring{A}_k the traceless part of their second fundamental form. The conformal Gauss maps Y_k are given by

$$Y_k := H_k \left(\frac{\Phi_k}{\frac{|\Phi_k|^2+1}{2}} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} \vec{n}_k \\ \langle \vec{n}_k, \Phi_k \rangle \\ \langle \vec{n}_k, \Phi_k \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad \nabla Y_k := (\nabla H_k) \left(\frac{\Phi_k}{\frac{|\Phi_k|^2+1}{2}} \right) - e^{-2\lambda_k} \mathring{A}_k \begin{pmatrix} \nabla \Phi_k \\ \langle \nabla \Phi_k, \Phi_k \rangle \\ \langle \nabla \Phi_k, \Phi_k \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

where λ_k is the conformal factor of Φ_k . We can recover the mean curvature by the formula $H_k = (Y_k)_5 - (Y_k)_4$. The assumptions (8) can be written :

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |d\vec{n}_k|_{g_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_k} < \varepsilon_0, \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{\rho \in [Rr_k, 1/(2R)]} \int_{B_{2\rho} \setminus B_\rho} |d\vec{n}_k|_{g_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_k} = 0. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, we assume that on the whole surface Σ , it holds Assume that on the whole surface Σ , it holds

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\Sigma} |d\vec{n}_k|_{g_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_k} < \infty. \quad (13)$$

Remark 5.1. *The hypothesis that Φ_k is defined on a closed surface is purely technical, in order to use the lemma 5.3 in [30]. This lemma will be used only once to prove the estimate (23). We will work mainly on the annuli \mathcal{C}_k , so all the other estimates come from (12).*

For each $\rho \in [Rr_k, 1/(2R)]$, we consider the annuli

$$A_\rho := B_{2\rho} \setminus B_\rho \subset N_k, \quad \hat{A}_\rho := B_{\frac{19}{10}\rho} \setminus B_{\frac{11}{10}\rho}, \quad \tilde{A}_\rho := B_{\frac{18}{10}\rho} \setminus B_{\frac{12}{10}\rho}, \quad \bar{A}_\rho := B_{\frac{17}{10}\rho} \setminus B_{\frac{13}{10}\rho}. \quad (14)$$

The goal of this section, see the Lemma 5.5, is to prove that we can restrict ourselves to the following situation :

- For every $\rho_k \in [Rr_k, \frac{1}{R}]$, there exists $M_{k,\rho_k} \in SO(4,1)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \|M_{k,\rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{A}_{\rho_k})} &\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(A_{\rho_k})}, \\ \|M_{k,\rho_k} Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{A}_{\rho_k})} &\leq C, \end{aligned}$$

- We have the uniform estimate :

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{s_k \in [\rho_k/2, 1/R]} s_k \|M_{k,\rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{A}_{s_k})} = 0.$$

Lemma 5.2. *There exists $C_0 > 1$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any dyadic annuli $A_{\rho_k} \subset \mathcal{C}_k$, there exists a conformal transformation $\Theta_{k,\rho_k} \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\Psi_{k,\rho_k} := \Theta_{k,\rho_k} \circ \Phi_k$ has the same topology as Φ_k with the following estimates :*

$$\frac{1}{C_0} \leq \left\| \frac{|\nabla \Psi_{k,\rho_k}|}{|\nabla \Phi_k|} \right\|_{L^\infty(A_{\rho_k})} \leq C_0, \quad (15)$$

And

$$\int_{A_{\rho_k}} H_{\Psi_{k,\rho_k}} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Proof. We proceed as in [3] for the existence of conformal transformations. We will use some results from [3] that we recall in the Appendix B. First, we rescale : if we consider the domain to be a subset of \mathbb{C} , then we let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k &:= \frac{1}{\rho_k} \mathcal{C}_k = B_{1/(\rho_k R)} \setminus B_{Rr_k/\rho_k}, \\ \forall z \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k, \quad \phi_{k,\rho_k}(z) &:= \frac{1}{\rho_k} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_k} (\Phi_k(\rho_k z) - \Phi_k(\rho_k)), \\ \bar{\lambda}_k &:= \int_{A_{\rho_k}} \lambda_k. \end{aligned}$$

So that, by the harnack estimate on the conformal factor, see lemma II.1 of [5] :

$$\phi_{k,\rho_k}(1) = 0, \quad C^{-1} < |\nabla \phi_{k,\rho_k}| < C \text{ on } A_1. \quad (17)$$

If $\int_{A_1} H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}} = 0$, then we take $\Theta_{k,\rho_k} = \text{id}$. If not, we consider the inversion $\iota_a(x) := \frac{x-a}{|x-a|^2}$ around a point $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$. In $SO(4,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M := M_{\iota_a} &= \begin{pmatrix} -id & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} id & a & -a \\ -a^T & 1 - \frac{|a|^2}{2} & \frac{|a|^2}{2} \\ -a^t & -\frac{|a|^2}{2} & 1 + \frac{|a|^2}{2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} -id & -a & a \\ -a^T & 1 - \frac{|a|^2}{2} & \frac{|a|^2}{2} \\ a^T & \frac{|a|^2}{2} & -1 - \frac{|a|^2}{2} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}} := (Y_{123}, Y_4, Y_5)$ be the conformal Gauss map of ϕ_{k,ρ_k} . The conformal Gauss map of $\psi_{a,k,\rho_k} := \iota_a \circ \phi_{k,\rho_k}$ is given by

$$Y_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}} = M Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}} = \begin{pmatrix} -Y_{123} + (Y_5 - Y_4)a \\ -\langle a, Y_{123} \rangle + Y_4 + \frac{|a|^2}{2}(Y_5 - Y_4) \\ \langle a, Y_{123} \rangle - Y_5 - \frac{|a|^2}{2}(Y_5 - Y_4) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote the average on the annuli A_1 . Thanks to (11), the average of the mean curvature is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}}} &= \overline{(Y_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}})_5} - \overline{(Y_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}})_4} \\ &= 2 \langle a, \overline{Y_{123}} \rangle - \overline{Y_4} - \overline{Y_5} - |a|^2 \overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}. \end{aligned}$$

We consider an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^3 given by $\left\{ \frac{\overline{Y_{123}}}{|\overline{Y_{123}}|}, v_1, v_2 \right\}$, and decompose $a = x \frac{\overline{Y_{123}}}{|\overline{Y_{123}}|} + yv_1 + zv_2$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{H_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}}} &= 2x |\overline{Y_{123}}| - \overline{Y_5} - \overline{Y_4} - (x^2 + y^2 + z^2) \overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \\ &= -\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \left[\left(x - \frac{|\overline{Y_{123}}|}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}} \right)^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \frac{|\overline{Y_{123}}|^2}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}^2} + \frac{\overline{Y_4} + \overline{Y_5}}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}} \right] \\ &= -\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \left[\left(x - \frac{|\overline{Y_{123}}|}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}} \right)^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \frac{|\overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|_\eta^2}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}^2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Lemma B.2 and (12), we have $\left| \overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \right|_\eta^2 > \frac{1}{2}$ for k large enough. So the solutions $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $\overline{H_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}}} = 0$ are exactly the sphere \mathbb{S}_{k,ρ_k} of center $c_{k,\rho_k} := \frac{\overline{Y_{123}}}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}}$ and radius $r_{k,\rho_k} := \frac{|\overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|_\eta}{\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}}$. If $a \in \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}$, then the conformal factor $\lambda_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}}$ of ψ_{a,k,ρ_k} is given by

$$\lambda_{\psi_{a,k,\rho_k}} = \lambda_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}} + \log |\phi_{k,\rho_k} - a|^2.$$

Therefore, we need to find $a \in \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}$ such that $0 < |\phi_{k,\rho_k} - a|$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_k$ and $C^{-1} < |\phi_{k,\rho_k} - a| < C$ on A_1 . We prove that there exists $t > 0$ independant of R, k, ρ such that $B(0, t) \cap \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k} \neq \emptyset$ and any choice $a \in B(0, t) \cap \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}$ gives $C^{-1} < |\phi_{k,\rho_k} - a| < C$: thanks to (12) and the ε -regularity, we have the estimate

$$\left\| \overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \right\|_{L_\xi^2(A_1)} \leq C.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz, $\left| \overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}} \right|_\xi < C$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |c_{k,\rho_k}| - r_{k,\rho_k} &= \frac{|\overline{Y_{123}}|}{|\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|} - \frac{|\overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|_\eta}{|\overline{H_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|} \\ &= \pm \frac{|\overline{Y_4} + \overline{Y_5}|}{|\overline{Y_{123}}| + |\overline{Y_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}}|_\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

So that we can choose $t = \max(2\|\phi_{k,\rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(A_1)}, |\overline{Y_4} + \overline{Y_5}|)$. Note that the smallness of $\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_{k,\rho_k}}\|_{L^2(B_2 \setminus B_{1/2})}$ warrants the possibility the construct a sequence $(\phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (17) and $\phi_k(A_1)$ being arbitrarily close to \mathbb{S}_{ϕ_k} , since its radius is bounded from below.

Therefore, it remains to show that we can choose $a_k \in B(0, t) \cap \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}$ such that $\text{dist}[a_k, \phi_{k,\rho_k}(\Sigma)] > 0$. The umbilic points of ϕ_{k,ρ_k} are isolated points or closed curve. In particular, the set $\phi_{k,\rho_k}(\Sigma) \cap [B(0, t) \cap \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}]$ cannot be an open set of \mathbb{S}_{k,ρ_k} if ϕ_{k,ρ_k} is not a round sphere. So there exists $a_{k,\rho_k} \in [B(0, t) \cap \mathbb{S}_{k,\rho_k}] \setminus \phi_{k,\rho_k}(\Sigma)$. We define Ψ_{k,ρ_k} and Θ_{k,ρ_k} as :

$$\Psi_{k,\rho_k}(z) = \Theta_{k,\rho_k} \circ \Phi_k(z) := \rho_k e^{f_{A_{\rho_k}} \lambda_k} \iota_{a_{k,\rho_k}} \circ \phi_{k,\rho_k}(z/\rho_k). \quad (18)$$

□

Remark 5.3. Let $s_k \in [Rr_k, \frac{1}{R}]$, and $\tilde{g}_k := \Psi_{k,s_k}^* \xi$. Using the proposition 1.2.1 in [16], we can compute the new second fundamental form of Ψ_{k,s_k} :

$$A_{ij}^{\Psi_{k,s_k}} = e^{u \circ \Phi_k} \left(A_{ij}^{\Phi_k} - \langle \nabla u \circ \Phi_k, \vec{n}_{\Phi_k} \rangle \delta_{ij} \right), \quad (19)$$

where u is the conformal factor of Θ_{k,s_k} . Dilations and rotations have constant conformal factor. Only the inversions have a non trivial conformal factor. If $a \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\iota_a(x) := \frac{x-a}{|x-a|^2}$. Then

$$\nabla \iota_a(x) = \frac{id}{|x-a|^2} - 2 \frac{(x-a) \otimes (x-a)}{|x-a|^4}.$$

Hence, $|\nabla \iota_a(x)|^2 = C|x-a|^{-4}$, so that

$$\nabla (\log |\nabla \iota_a(x)|^2) = C \frac{x-a}{|x-a|^2}.$$

Hence, on a dyadic annuli $A_{\rho_k} \subset B_{1/R} \setminus B_{Rr_k}$, there exists a dilation factor $\alpha_k \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, a rotation $R_k \in SO(3)$ and a point $a_k \in \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\int_{A_{\rho_k}} |d\vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,s_k}}|_{\bar{g}_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}_k} = \frac{C\rho_k^2}{\text{dist}(\alpha_k R_k \Phi_k(A_{\rho_k}), a_k)^2} + o(1).$$

Therefore, one bubble might appear exactly where the center of the inversion is. However, the quantity $\left| \mathring{A}_{\phi_k} \right|_{\bar{g}_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{\bar{g}_k} = \left| \mathring{A}_k \right|_{g_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_k}$ is a pointwise conformal invariant. So the bubble is totally umbilic : it is a round sphere. This bubble can't appear at the scale s_k by (15). Therefore, up to restrict the region \mathcal{C}_k , **we assume that there is no new bubble**. In particular, we preserve (8). We will pursue this discussion with the remark 5.7 below.

Corollary 5.4. *With the notations of the lemma 5.2, we obtain for any $\rho \in [2Rr_k, 1/(2R)]$,*

$$\rho \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}\|_{L^\infty(\hat{A}_\rho)} \leq C \left\| \mathring{A}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} e^{-\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)}.$$

Proof. We proceed as in [3]. By definition of $H_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}$ and $\mathring{A}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}$, we have

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} \leq \left\| H_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} e^{\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} + \left\| \mathring{A}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} e^{-\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)}.$$

Since $\int_{A_\rho} H_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} = 0$,

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} \leq \left\| \left(H_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} - \int_{A_\rho} H_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} \right) e^{\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} + \left\| \mathring{A}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} e^{-\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)}.$$

By the Proposition B.1,

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} \leq C \left\| \mathring{A}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}} e^{-\lambda_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)}.$$

By conformal invariance,

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Psi_{k,\rho}}\|_{L^2(A_\rho)} \leq C \left\| \mathring{A}_{\Phi_k} e^{-\lambda_{\Phi_k}} \right\|_{L^2(A_\rho)}.$$

We conclude with the ε -regularity. □

Lemma 5.5. *There exists $C > 1$ such that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a path $(\Xi_{k,\rho})_{\rho \in [Rr_k, 1/(2R)]}$ of conformal transformations in \mathbb{R}^3 such that $(M_{k,\rho})_{\rho \in [Rr_k, 1/(2R)]} := (M_{\Xi_{k,\rho}})_{\rho \in [Rr_k, 1/(2R)]} \subset SO(4, 1)$ satisfies :*

For every $\rho_k \in [Rr_k, \frac{1}{R}]$,

$$\rho_k \|M_{k,\rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}}(\bar{A}_{\rho_k})} \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_{\bar{\eta}}(A_{\rho_k})}, \quad (20)$$

$$\|M_{k,\rho_k} Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\bar{\xi}}(\bar{A}_{\rho_k})} \leq C. \quad (21)$$

For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $C_\alpha > 0$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s_k \in [\alpha \rho_k, \frac{1}{R}]$, if $\phi_{k, \rho_k} := \Xi_{k, \rho_k} \circ \Phi_k :$

$$s_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} \leq C_\alpha \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^2(A_{s_k})}. \quad (22)$$

Furthermore, the topology of $\phi_{k, \rho_k}(\Sigma)$ is the same as $\Phi_k(\Sigma)$ and we have the bound

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}, \rho_k \in [Rr_k, 1/R]} \|\phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma)} < \infty. \quad (23)$$

Remark 5.6. As a consequence, we have the L^∞_ξ bound : $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{[\alpha \rho_k, \frac{1}{R}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 < \infty$. Indeed, since there is no new bubble, the formula (19) and the estimate (22) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[\rho_k, \frac{1}{2R}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 &\leq C \int_{[\frac{1}{2}\rho_k, \frac{1}{R}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}|_{\tilde{g}_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{\tilde{g}_k} \\ &\leq C \int_{[\frac{1}{2}\rho_k, \frac{1}{R}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla \vec{n}_{\Phi_k}|_{g_k}^2 d\text{vol}_{g_k} + o(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{g}_k := \phi_{k, \rho_k}^* \xi$ and $g_k = \Phi_k^* \xi$.

Proof. Let Ψ_{k, ρ_k} be as in the Lemma 5.2 and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k, \rho_k}(z) &:= \frac{1}{\rho_k} e^{-\bar{\lambda}_k} (\Psi_{k, \rho_k}(\rho_k z) - \Psi_{k, \rho_k}(\rho_k)), \\ \bar{\lambda}_k &:= \int_{A_{\rho_k}} \lambda_k. \end{aligned}$$

Let μ_{k, ρ_k} be the conformal factor of ϕ_{k, ρ_k} . By the definition of Ψ_{k, ρ_k} by (18), and the controls on the conformal factor, [19, Theorem 0.2] and [5, Lemma II.1] :

$$\frac{1}{C} \leq e^{\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \leq C \quad \text{on } A_1. \quad (24)$$

In particular, $\|\phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \leq C$. We also have the bound

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^\infty(\hat{A}_1)} \leq C \left\| \mathring{A}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}} e^{-\lambda_{k, \rho_k}} \right\|_{L^2(A_1)}.$$

Note that $\phi_{k, \rho_k}(z) = \Xi_{k, \rho_k} \circ \Phi_k(\rho_k z)$ for some $\Xi_{k, \rho_k} \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. We denote $M_{k, \rho_k} := M_{\Xi_{k, \rho_k}}$.

Proof of the estimate (21) :

We can estimate the mean curvature of ϕ_{k, ρ_k} in the following way : since $C^{-1} < \int_{\tilde{A}_1} \mu_{k, \rho_k} < C$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} &= \left\| e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \left\langle \Delta \phi_{k, \rho_k}, \vec{n}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}} \right\rangle \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C \left\| e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}| \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

By (24) :

$$\|H_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \leq C \left\| e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}| \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)}.$$

By the ε -regularity :

$$\|H_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \leq C \left(1 + \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_{k, \rho_k}}\|_{L^2(\hat{A}_1)} \right).$$

By the lemma 5.4 :

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| H_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} &\leq C \left(1 + \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_\eta(A_\rho)} \right) \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

For the conformal Gauss map, we have $M_{k, \rho_k} Y_k(\rho_k z) = Y_{\phi_k, \rho_k}(z)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_{k, \rho_k} Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{\rho_k})} &= \left\| Y_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \right\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq \|H_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \left(1 + \|\phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} + \|\phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + 1 + \|\phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the estimate (20) :

Considering the gradient, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{\rho_k})} &= \|\nabla Y_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla H_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} + C \left\| \dot{A}_{\phi_k, \rho_k} e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C \left\| \nabla \left(e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \langle \nabla \phi_{k, \rho_k}, \nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \rangle \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C \left\| e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}| + e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}| \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\| \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla^2 \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} + \|e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(\tilde{A}_1)} + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_1)} \\ &\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_\eta(A_\rho)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of the estimate (22) :

We proceed as for (20).

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} &= \|\nabla Y_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \\ &\leq \left\| \nabla H_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} + C \left\| \dot{A}_{\phi_k, \rho_k} e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \\ &\leq C \left\| \nabla \left(e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \langle \nabla \phi_{k, \rho_k}, \nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k} \rangle \right) \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \\ &\leq C \left\| e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}| + e^{-2\mu_{k, \rho_k}} |\nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}| \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\| \right\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the harnack estimate on the conformal factor, [5, Lemma II.1], and letting $\overline{\mu_{k, \rho_k}} := \int_{\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k}} \mu_{k, \rho_k}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} &\leq C e^{-\overline{\mu_{k, \rho_k}}} \left(\|\nabla^2 \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \|e^{-\mu_{k, \rho_k}} \nabla^2 \phi_{k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})} \right) + C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k})}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\rho_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} \leq C e^{-\overline{\mu_{k, \rho_k}}} \left(\frac{\rho_k}{s_k} \right)^2 \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(A_{s_k/\rho_k})} + C \frac{\rho_k}{s_k} \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(A_{s_k/\rho_k})}.$$

Hence,

$$s_k \|M_{k, \rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_{\tilde{\xi}}(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} \leq C \left(1 + \frac{\rho_k}{s_k} e^{-\overline{\mu_{k, \rho_k}}} \right) \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(A_{s_k/\rho_k})}. \quad (25)$$

Consider $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^j \rho_k \leq s_k < 2^{j+1} \rho_k$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} -\overline{\mu_{k,\rho_k}} &= -\int_{\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \\ &= \left(\int_{\tilde{A}_{2^j}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} - \int_{\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right) - \int_{\tilde{A}_{2^j}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \left(\int_{\tilde{A}_{2^i}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} - \int_{\tilde{A}_{2^{i+1}}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right) + \left(\int_{\tilde{A}_{2^j}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} - \int_{\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right) - \int_{\tilde{A}_1} \mu_{k,\rho_k}. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$e^{-\overline{\mu_{k,\rho_k}}} = \exp \left[\left(\int_{\tilde{A}_{2^j}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} - \int_{\tilde{A}_{s_k/\rho_k}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right) - \int_{\tilde{A}_1} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right] \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \exp \left[\int_{\tilde{A}_{2^i}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} - \int_{\tilde{A}_{2^{i+1}}} \mu_{k,\rho_k} \right].$$

If $j \geq 0$, using the controls on the conformal factor, see [19, Theorem 0.2] and [5, Lemma II.1], together with (24), we obtain

$$e^{-\overline{\mu_{k,\rho_k}}} \leq C \exp \left[Cj \sup_{\rho \in [Rr_k, \frac{1}{2R}]} \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(B_{2\rho} \setminus B_\rho)}^2 \right].$$

Using (12), up to reduce ε_0 we obtain

$$e^{-\overline{\mu_{k,\rho_k}}} \leq C e^{(\log 2)j} \leq C \frac{s_k}{\rho_k}.$$

Therefore, (25) reduces to

$$s_k \|M_{k,\rho_k} \nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\tilde{A}_{s_k})} \leq C \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\phi_k, \rho_k}\|_{L^2(A_{s_k/\rho_k})}.$$

Topology :

The topology is preserved thanks to the Lemma 5.2.

L^∞ -bound :

Here we use the fact that Φ_k is defined on a whole closed surface Σ together with the bound (13). The L^∞ bound is given by the Lemma 5.13 in [30] : There exists $r > 0$ and a bounded sequence $(p_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ such that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi_{k,\rho_k}(\Sigma) \cap B_r(p_k) = \emptyset$. We consider the inversion ι_k with respect to $B_r(p_k)$. For the conformal Gauss map, the inversion ι_k corresponds to a multiplication by a bounded matrix. Hence, we preserve the estimates $L_\xi^\infty \cap W_\xi^{1,2}$. The resulting conformal transformation is the one we are looking for. \square

Remark 5.7. *We conclude the Remark 5.3. We now prove that the sphere that might appear by our choice of conformal transformation generates oscillations of the conformal Gauss map. The radius of this new sphere must go to 0. Indeed, the bubble has to be degenerated thanks to the proof of [18, Theorem 0.2]. We sketch it briefly for completeness. If the sphere wasn't degenerated, then we could blow up the neck region and obtain a Willmore sphere with at least 2 ends. With an appropriate inversion, we obtain a Willmore sphere. By Bryant's classification, this surface has at least 4 ends. Thanks to (12), this surface must be totally umbilic, contradiction. Hence, the sphere has to be degenerated. For a sphere $\mathbb{S}(p, r)$ of center $p \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and radius $r > 0$, the conformal Gauss map is constant and given by*

$$Y_{\mathbb{S}(p,r)} = \frac{1}{r} \begin{pmatrix} p \\ \frac{|p|^2 - r^2 - 1}{2} \\ \frac{|p|^2 - r^2 + 1}{2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $r \rightarrow 0$, then $Y_{\mathbb{S}(p,r)} \rightarrow \infty$. By (21), there must be oscillations.

5.2 Convergence to a geodesic in the C^1 -topology

The goal of the section, see the proposition 5.13, is to prove that on a region of fixed oscillations, with the hypothesis of the lemma 5.5, then $(Y_k)_k$ converges to a light-like geodesic. Following the analysis of [37], we consider a domain as a long cylinder. We consider the cylinders

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_k &:= [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1, \\ \bar{\mathcal{C}}_k &:= [-T_k + 2, T_k - 2] \times \mathbb{S}^1, \\ \forall s, t, \quad Q(t, s) &:= [t - s, t + s] \times \mathbb{S}^1. \end{aligned}$$

where $T_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$.

Claim 5.8. *We can restrict ourselves to the following setting : there exists some fixed $\delta > 0$, such that*

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathcal{C}_k)} + \|Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\mathcal{C}_k)} \right) < \infty, \quad (26)$$

$$\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0, \quad (27)$$

$$\text{osc}_{\mathcal{C}_k} Y_k = \delta. \quad (28)$$

Proof. By the lemma 5.5 and the remark 5.6, we are in the following setting. The sequence $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined from the flat cylinder \mathcal{C}_k into $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, with the following estimates (for simplicity, we consider that the matrix in $SO(4,1)$ is I_5) :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathcal{C}_k)} + \|Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(Q(-T_k+1,1))} \right) &< \infty, \\ \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} &\xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $t_1, t_2 \in [-T_k, T_k]$ with $t_1 < t_2$. We define the euclidean average length of Y_k between t_1 and t_2 :

$$L_k(t_1, t_2) := \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k(t, \theta)|_\xi^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

We recall the proposition 3.5 in [37] : for any $-T_k < t_1 < t_2 < T_k$,

$$\text{osc}_{[t_1, t_2] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k \leq 4\pi \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} L(t_1, t_2). \quad (29)$$

Hence, we have two possibilities :

- $\text{osc}_{[t_1, t_2] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$: there is no oscillation in the neck, geometrically, this means that the two sides $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_k(\cdot + T_k)$ and $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_k(\cdot - T_k)$ are connected.
- There exists $\delta > 0$ fixed and $t_k > -T_k + 1$ such that

$$\text{osc}_{[-T_k, t_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k = \delta. \quad (30)$$

In this case, the euclidean average length $L(-T_k, T_k)$ is bounded away from 0.

We focus on the second case : we assume that (28) holds until the end of the section. Therefore, up to change the cylinder, we have the following estimates :

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2_\xi(\mathcal{C}_k)} + \|Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\mathcal{C}_k)} \right) &< \infty, \\ \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty_\xi(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} &\xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

For a function $g : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we let $g^*(r) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} g(r, \theta) d\theta = \int_{\partial B_r(0)} g$. If $t \in [-T_k, T_k]$, let

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k(t, \theta)|_\xi^2 d\theta, \\ \beta_k(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_\xi^2 d\theta, \\ \gamma_k(t) &:= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)(t, \theta)|_\xi^2 d\theta.\end{aligned}$$

By differentiating α_k , we can obtain a first estimate independant of the hypothesis (28) :

Lemma 5.9. *Under the assumptions (26) and (27), for k large enough, we have*

$$\forall t \in [-T_k, T_k], \quad \alpha_k''(t) \geq \alpha_k(t).$$

For any $t_1 < t < t_2$, we can estimate

$$\alpha_k(t) \leq \max(\alpha_k(t_1), \alpha_k(t_2)). \quad (31)$$

Moreover, for any $\nu \in (0, 1]$, if $|t_1 - t_2| > 1$:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha_k(t)^\nu dt \leq C(\nu) [\alpha_k(t_1)^\nu + \alpha_k(t_2)^\nu]. \quad (32)$$

Proof. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k''(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi d\theta \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_{\theta t t}^3 Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 - \langle \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k, \partial_{t t}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 - \langle \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k, \Delta Y_k - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + |\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + \langle \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k, |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + |\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 - \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_\theta (|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k) \rangle_\xi \right).\end{aligned}$$

We can bound the third term using (26)

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_\theta (|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k) \rangle_\xi \right| &\leq |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi |\partial_\theta (|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k)|_\xi \\ &\leq C |\nabla \partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi |\nabla Y_k|_\xi |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi + |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi^2.\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Hence,

$$\alpha_k''(t) \geq \left(2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)}^2 \right) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + |\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 \right) - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)}^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi^2.$$

By (27) and Poincaré inequality on \mathbb{S}^1 , we obtain for k large enough :

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_k''(t) &\geq \frac{5}{4} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 - \frac{1}{1000} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi^2 \\ &\geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi^2 = \alpha_k(t).\end{aligned}$$

Consider $-T_k < t_1 < t_2 < T_k$ and let $\tau : [t_1, t_2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the solution to

$$\begin{aligned}\tau'' &= \tau, \\ \tau(t_1) &= \alpha_k(t_1), \\ \tau(t_2) &= \alpha_k(t_2).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\tau(t) = \lambda e^t + \mu e^{-t}$ with constants

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda &:= \frac{e^{-2t_2-t_1}\alpha_k(t_1) - e^{-2t_1-t_2}\alpha_k(t_2)}{e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1}}, \\ \mu &:= \frac{e^{-t_2}\alpha_k(t_2) - e^{-t_1}\alpha_k(t_1)}{e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1}}.\end{aligned}$$

By the maximum principle : for any $t \in [t_1, t_2]$,

$$\begin{aligned}0 \leq \alpha_k(t) \leq \tau(t) &= \frac{e^{t-2t_2-t_1} - e^{-t-t_1}}{e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1}}\alpha_k(t_1) + \frac{-e^{t-2t_1-t_2} + e^{-t-t_2}}{e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1}}\alpha_k(t_2) \\ &\leq \max(\alpha_k(t_1), \alpha_k(t_2)).\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\nu \in (0, 1]$ and $|t_1 - t_2| > 1$:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \alpha_k(t)^\nu dt &\leq \frac{1}{\nu} (|\lambda|^\nu (e^{\nu t_2} - e^{\nu t_1}) + |\mu|^\nu (e^{-\nu t_1} - e^{-\nu t_2})) \\ &\leq \frac{C(\nu)}{(e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1})^\nu} \left[|\alpha_k(t_1)|^\nu \left(e^{-\nu(2t_2+t_1)+\nu t_2} - e^{-\nu(2t_2+t_1)+\nu t_1} + e^{-2\nu t_1} - e^{-\nu(t_1+t_2)} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + |\alpha_k(t_2)|^\nu \left(e^{-\nu(2t_1+t_2)}(e^{\nu t_2} - e^{\nu t_1}) + e^{-\nu t_2}(e^{-\nu t_1} - e^{-\nu t_2}) \right) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C(\nu)}{(e^{-2t_2} - e^{-2t_1})^\nu} (e^{-2\nu t_1} + e^{-2\nu t_2}) (\alpha_k(t_1)^\nu + \alpha_k(t_2)^\nu) \\ &\leq C(\nu) (\alpha_k(t_1)^\nu + \alpha_k(t_2)^\nu).\end{aligned}$$

□

Thanks to (32) with the choice $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain

$$\int_{-T_k+2}^{T_k-2} \alpha_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty(\bar{C}_k)}^{1/2} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

We have assumed that there exists oscillations of size δ in \bar{C}_k , by (28). By (29), we obtain

$$\int_{-T_k+2}^{T_k-2} \alpha_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\int_{-T_k+2}^{T_k-2} \beta_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right).$$

In order to show the convergence to a geodesic, we first need to show that $|\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi = o(|\partial_t Y_k|_\xi)$ uniformly along the cylinder. Since the oscillations only come from $\partial_t Y_k$ by (29), we show that $\alpha_k = o(\beta_k)$.

Claim 5.10. *There exists $-T_k < t_{1,k} < t_{2,k} < T_k$ such that*

- a) $\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) = o(\beta_k(t_{1,k}))$ and $\alpha_k(t_{2,k}) = o(\beta_k(t_{2,k}))$,
- b) $\text{osc}_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k \geq \delta/2$.

Proof. Choose $-T_k < \tau_{1,k} < \tau_{2,k} < T_k$ such that

$$\text{osc}_{[-T_k, \tau_{1,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k = \text{osc}_{[\tau_{2,k}, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k = \frac{\delta}{10}.$$

We remark that β_k never vanishes since the critical points of Y_k are isolated. Consider $t_{1,k} \in [-T_k, \tau_{1,k}]$ and $t_{2,k} \in [\tau_{2,k}, T_k]$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha_k(t_{1,k})}{\beta_k(t_{1,k})} = \min_{[-T_k, \tau_{1,k}]} \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k}, \quad \frac{\alpha_k(t_{2,k})}{\beta_k(t_{2,k})} = \min_{[\tau_{2,k}, T_k]} \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k}.$$

Let $I_1 := [-T_k, \tau_{1,k}]$, $I_2 := [\tau_{2,k}, T_k]$ and consider $i \in \{1, 2\}$. If $\frac{\alpha_k(t_{i,k})}{\beta_k(t_{i,k})}$ is bounded below by some constant $c > 0$, then

$$\forall t \in I_i, \quad \beta_k(t) \leq \frac{\alpha_k(t)}{c}.$$

Thanks to (29),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta}{10} = \text{osc}_{I_i} Y_k &\leq 4\pi \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(I_i \times \mathbb{S}^1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{I_i} \beta_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\leq 4\pi \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(I_i \times \mathbb{S}^1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}c} \int_{I_i} \alpha_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using (32), we conclude that

$$\frac{\delta}{10} \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(I_i \times \mathbb{S}^1)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Contradiction. Therefore, we obtain $\alpha_k(t_{i,k}) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\beta_k(t_{i,k}))$. Furthermore,

$$\text{osc}_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k \geq \delta - \text{osc}_{[-T_k, t_{1,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k - \text{osc}_{[t_{2,k}, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1} Y_k \geq \frac{4}{5}\delta.$$

□

Note that in the condition b), one can replace $\delta/2$ by $a\delta$ for any fixed $a \in (0, 1)$. We show in the lemma 5.11 that the condition a) becomes uniform on the cylinder $[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We will see that these estimates implies that Y_k converges to a light-like geodesic in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. To do so, we will compare Y_k to its average Y_k^* .

Lemma 5.11. *Consider $-T_k < t_{1,k} < t_{2,k} < T_k$ such that $\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\beta_k(t_{1,k}))$ and $\alpha_k(t_{2,k}) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\beta_k(t_{2,k}))$.*

Then

$$\max_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \alpha_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(\min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k\right), \quad (34)$$

And

$$\max_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k. \quad (35)$$

Proof. We first estimate the difference between β_k and α_k . We compute :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta'_k(t) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(\langle \partial_t Y_k, \partial_{tt}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi + \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_{t\theta}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_t Y_k, \Delta Y_k - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi + \alpha'_k(t) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(\langle \partial_t Y_k, -|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi + \langle \partial_{t\theta}^2 Y_k, \partial_\theta Y_k \rangle_\xi \right) + \alpha'_k(t) \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_t Y_k, |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi + 2\alpha'_k(t). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Thanks to (5) :

$$\begin{aligned}
|(\beta_k - 2\alpha_k)'(t)| &\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \\
&\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\eta^2 \\
&\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \alpha_k(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

We can integrate on $[t_{1,k}, t]$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\beta_k(t) - 2\alpha_k(t)| &\geq |\beta_k(t_{1,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{1,k})| - \int_{t_{1,k}}^t |(\beta_k - 2\alpha_k)'| \\
&\geq |\beta_k(t_{1,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{1,k})| - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \int_{t_{1,k}}^{t_{2,k}} \alpha_k \\
&\geq |\beta_k(t_{1,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{1,k})| - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} [\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) + \alpha_k(t_{2,k})].
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

If we integrate on $[t, t_{2,k}]$:

$$|\beta_k(t) - 2\alpha_k(t)| \geq |\beta_k(t_{2,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{2,k})| - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} [\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) + \alpha_k(t_{2,k})]. \tag{39}$$

We sum (38) and (39) :

$$|\beta_k(t) - 2\alpha_k(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(|\beta_k(t_{1,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{1,k})| + |\beta_k(t_{2,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{2,k})| \right) - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} [\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) + \alpha_k(t_{2,k})]. \tag{40}$$

By the hypothesis a) on $t_{1,k}$ and $t_{2,k}$, we have $\alpha_k(t_{1,k}) = o(|\beta_k(t_{1,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{1,k})|)$ and $\alpha_k(t_{2,k}) = o(|\beta_k(t_{2,k}) - 2\alpha_k(t_{2,k})|)$. Using this in (40), we conclude that for any $t \in [t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]$,

$$\max(\alpha_k(t_{1,k}), \alpha_k(t_{2,k})) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(|\beta_k(t) - 2\alpha_k(t)|\right).$$

Thanks to (31), we obtain that for any $t \in [t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]$,

$$\max(\alpha_k(t_{1,k}), \alpha_k(t_{2,k})) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(\beta_k(t) + 2 \max(\alpha_k(t_{1,k}), \alpha_k(t_{2,k}))\right).$$

So $\max(\alpha_k(t_{1,k}), \alpha_k(t_{2,k})) = o(\beta_k(t))$. Choosing t such that $\beta_k(t) = \min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k$, we obtain (34). To prove (35), consider $t_{min}, t_{max} \in [t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]$ such that

$$\max_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k = \beta_k(t_{max}), \quad \min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k = \beta_k(t_{min}).$$

If we integrate (36) on $[t_{min}, t_{max}]$, we obtain thanks to (34) and (37) :

$$\left| \max_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k - \min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k \right| \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(\min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k\right).$$

□

Up to replace \mathcal{C}_k by $[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}] \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we assume that $\alpha_k(-T_k) = o(\beta_k(-T_k))$ and $\alpha_k(T_k) = o(\beta_k(T_k))$, with $T_k \rightarrow +\infty$. We can now estimate the whole difference γ_k .

Lemma 5.12. *It holds*

$$\max_{[-T_k, T_k]} \gamma_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(\min_{[-T_k, T_k]} \beta_k\right).$$

Proof. We compare γ_k and α_k :

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'_k(t) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \partial_t \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*) \rangle_\xi \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_\theta Y_k, \partial_{t\theta}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*), \partial_{tt}^2(Y_k - Y_k^*) \rangle_\xi \\ &= \alpha'_k(t) + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*), \Delta(Y_k - Y_k^*) - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k \rangle_\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Using an integration by parts on the last term and $\Delta Y_k^* = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \partial_{tt}^2 Y_k = \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \Delta Y_k$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma'_k(t) &= \alpha'_k(t) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*), |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \right\rangle_\xi + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_{t\theta}^2 Y_k, \partial_\theta Y_k \rangle_\xi \\ &= 2\alpha'_k(t) - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*), |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \right\rangle_\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (5),

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \frac{d}{dt} (\gamma_k - 2\alpha_k) \right| &\leq C \|\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\eta^2 \\ &\leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty} \alpha_k(t).\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

For any $t \in [-T_k, T_k]$, using (32), we obtain by integration on $[-T_k, t]$ and $[t, T_k]$:

$$|\gamma_k(t) - 2\alpha_k(t)| \leq \min \left(\gamma_k(-T_k) - 2\alpha_k(-T_k), \gamma_k(T_k) - 2\alpha_k(T_k) \right) + C (\alpha_k(T_k) + \alpha_k(-T_k)).\tag{42}$$

Thanks to (35), we get $\gamma_k = o(\beta_k)$ uniformly in $[-T_k, T_k]$ if and only if $\gamma_k(T_k) = o(\beta_k(T_k))$ or $\gamma_k(-T_k) = o(\beta_k(-T_k))$. Considering $t = \pm T_k$ in (42), we conclude that $\gamma_k(T_k) = o(\beta_k(T_k))$ if and only if $\gamma_k(-T_k) = o(\beta_k(-T_k))$.

In clear, we have two possibilities : either $\gamma_k = o(\beta_k)$ uniformly on $[-T_k, T_k]$, or $\beta_k = O(\gamma_k)$ uniformly on $[-T_k, T_k]$.

We show that the second case cannot occur considering the hypothesis (28). By contradiction, assume that $\beta_k = O(\gamma_k)$ on $[-T_k, T_k]$, that is to say, there exists $C > 0$ such that for k large enough : $C\gamma_k(t) \geq \beta_k(t)$ for any $t \in [-T_k, T_k]$. We proceed as in the lemma 5.9 : first, we find an equation for γ_k .

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_k''(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \partial_t \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*) \rangle_\xi \right) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_\xi^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \partial_{tt}^2 \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*) \rangle_\xi \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_\xi^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \nabla(\Delta(Y_k - Y_k^*) - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k) \rangle_\xi.\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

We can estimate the last term using

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \nabla(\Delta(Y_k - Y_k^*) - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k) \rangle_\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \nabla \left(|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k \right) \right\rangle_\xi \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_\xi^2.\end{aligned}$$

As in (33), we can bound :

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \nabla(\Delta(Y_k - Y_k^*) - \partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k) \rangle_{\xi} \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta} \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_{\xi}^2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_{\xi}^2.$$

Therefore, in (43), using Poincaré inequality in \mathbb{S}^1 :

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_k''(t) &\geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_{\xi}^2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_{\xi}^2 \\ &\geq 2\gamma_k(t) - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}} \beta_k(t). \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Now, our assumption $\beta_k \leq C\gamma_k$ gives $\gamma_k''(t) \geq \gamma_k(t)$ for k large enough. Hence, we are back with the same equation as in the lemma 5.9, and we also have

$$\int_{-T_k}^{T_k} \beta_k(t)^{1/2} dt \leq C \int_{-T_k}^{T_k} \gamma_k(t)^{1/2} dt \leq C \left[\gamma_k(-T_k)^{1/2} + \gamma_k(T_k)^{1/2} \right].$$

Using (29), the oscillations must vanish. Absurd. Hence, $\gamma_k = o(\beta_k)$. We conclude thanks to (42). \square

We show the convergence of Y_k^* to a straight line.

Proposition 5.13. *Under the assumptions (26)-(27)-(28), there exists a geodesic σ of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, and a parametrization $\tau_k : (-T_k, T_k) \rightarrow (-L_k, L_k)$ such that*

$$Y_k^* \circ \tau_k^{-1} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \sigma,$$

with $|\sigma'|_{\xi} = 1$. The curve σ is a timelike straight line. The convergence holds in the C_{loc}^2 -topology.

Proof. We show that if we reparametrize $(Y_k^*)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ by euclidean arclength, it converges to a geodesic. Note that $\partial_t Y_k^*$ doesn't vanish. Indeed, β_k doesn't vanish since the critical points of a conformal Gauss map coming from a Willmore surface are isolated. Hence, we can use the lemma 5.12 to obtain uniformly on \bar{C}_k :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k|_{\xi}^2} - 1 \right| &= \left| \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k|_{\xi}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k|_{\xi}^2} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_t(Y_k^* - Y_k), \partial_t Y_k \rangle_{\xi} + |\partial_t(Y_k^* - Y_k)|_{\xi}^2}{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t Y_k|_{\xi}^2} \right| \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\gamma_k}{\beta_k} + \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_k}{\beta_k}} \right) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Now, we can consider the equation followed by Y_k^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 Y_k^*}{ds^2} &= \frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}} \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}} \frac{dY_k^*}{dt} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}^2} \frac{d^2 Y_k^*}{dt^2} - \frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_{\xi}^4} \langle \partial_t Y_k^*, \partial_{tt}^2 Y_k^* \rangle_{\xi} \frac{dY_k^*}{dt}. \end{aligned}$$

We compute the second derivative

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 Y_k^*}{dt^2} &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \partial_{tt}^2 Y_k(t, \theta) d\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \Delta Y_k(t, \theta) d\theta \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_{\eta}^2 Y_k(t, \theta) d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(Y_k)_k$ is bounded in L^∞ on \mathcal{C}_k , it follows by (5) :

$$|\partial_{ss}^2 Y_k^*|_\xi \leq C \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi^2} = C \frac{\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\eta^2}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi^2}.$$

Thanks to (34) :

$$\|\partial_{ss}^2 Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{C}}_k)} \leq C \sup_{[-T_k, T_k]} \frac{\alpha_k}{\beta_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0. \quad (46)$$

Therefore, the limit curve $\sigma := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Y_k^*$, parametrized by euclidean arclength, exists in $C^{1,a}$ for every $a \in (0, 1)$.

It satisfies $\sigma'' = 0$ in the weak sense. Hence, σ is a straight line, and (46) shows that the convergence is actually in the C^2 -topology. \square

Remark 5.14 (About the lines in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$). *The only vectors $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^5$ such that the line $(t \mapsto at + b)$ is contained in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, are exactly those satisfying $|a|_\eta^2 = 0$, $|b|_\eta^2 = 1$ and $\langle a, b \rangle_\eta = 0$. Indeed if for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $|at + b|_\eta^2 = 1 = |a|_\eta^2 t^2 + 2t \langle a, b \rangle_\eta + |b|_\eta^2$, then we can identify two polynomials.*

We prove the convergence in the C^1 -topology of Y_k to a straight line thanks to the lemma 5.12.

Proposition 5.15. *Under the assumptions (26)-(27)-(28), there exists a geodesic σ of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, and a parametrization $\tau_k : (-T_k, T_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (-L_k, L_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that*

$$Y_k \circ \tau_k^{-1} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \sigma,$$

with $|\sigma'|_\xi = 1$. The curve σ is a timelike straight line. The convergence holds in the C_{loc}^1 -topology.

Proof. We first prove the convergence in the C^1 -topology. The system satisfied by $Y_k - Y_k^*$ is the following : at a point $(t, \theta) \in \mathcal{C}_k$,

$$\Delta(Y_k - Y_k^*)(t, \theta) = -|\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_\eta^2 Y_k(t, \theta) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k(t, \bar{\theta})|_\eta^2 Y_k(t, \bar{\theta}) d\bar{\theta}. \quad (47)$$

By elliptic regularity, we obtain for any $Q(t_k, 4) \subset \bar{\mathcal{C}}_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_\xi^\infty(Q(t_k, 2))} &\leq \left\| -|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k(\cdot, \bar{\theta})|_\eta^2 Y_k(\cdot, \bar{\theta}) d\bar{\theta} \right\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \\ &\quad + \|Y_k - Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \\ &\leq C \left\| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 (Y_k - Y_k^*) \right\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} + C \left\| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla Y_k^*|_\eta^2 \right\|_{L^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \\ &\quad + \|Y_k - Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))}. \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

$$+ \|Y_k - Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))}. \quad (49)$$

Thanks to (5), the lemma 5.11 and the ε -regularity, we can bound the first term of (48) by

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 (Y_k - Y_k^*) \right\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} &= \left(\int_{Q(t_k, 3)} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^8 |Y_k - Y_k^*|_\xi^4 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_{Q(t_k, 4)} |\partial_\theta Y_k|_\xi^2 \right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\left\| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 (Y_k - Y_k^*) \right\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} o \left(\min_{[-T_k, T_k]} \beta_k \right). \quad (50)$$

For the second term of (48), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla Y_k^*|_\eta^2 \right| &= \left| |\nabla Y_k - \nabla Y_k^*|_\eta^2 + 2 \langle \nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*), \nabla Y_k^* \rangle_\eta \right| \\ &\leq |\nabla Y_k - \nabla Y_k^*|_\xi^2 + |\nabla Y_k - \nabla Y_k^*|_\xi |\nabla Y_k^*|_\xi. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\left\| |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla Y_k^*|_\eta^2 \right\|_{L^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\min_{[-T_k, T_k]} \beta_k \right). \quad (51)$$

For the term (49), it holds :

$$\begin{aligned} \|Y_k - Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))}^4 &\leq C \int_{Q(t_k, 3)} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k(t, \bar{\theta})|_\xi d\bar{\theta} \right)^4 dt d\theta \\ &\leq C \int_{t_k-3}^{t_k+3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta Y_k(t, \bar{\theta})|_\xi^2 d\bar{\theta} \right)^2 dt \\ &\leq C \int_{t_k-3}^{t_k+3} \alpha_k(t)^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\|Y_k - Y_k^*\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t_k, 3))} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\min_{[-T_k, T_k]} \beta_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \right). \quad (52)$$

Using the estimates (50), (51) and (52) in the estimates (48) and (49), we obtain

$$\|\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_\xi^\infty(Q(t_k, 2))} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\min_{[-T_k, T_k]} \beta_k^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

Thanks to (45), it holds

$$\sup_{(t_k, \theta_k) \in \bar{C}_k} \left(\frac{|\partial_t Y_k(t_k, \theta_k) - \partial_t Y_k^*(t_k)|_\xi}{|\partial_t Y_k^*(t_k)|_\xi} + \frac{|\partial_\theta Y_k(t_k, \theta_k)|_\xi}{|\partial_t Y_k^*(t_k)|_\xi} \right) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1). \quad (53)$$

□

Remark 5.16. *If we combine the convergence (53), (45) and (35), we conclude that*

$$\left| \frac{\inf_{\bar{C}_k} |\nabla Y_k|_\xi}{\sup_{\bar{C}_k} |\nabla Y_k|_\xi} - 1 \right| \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Furthermore, since the limit geodesic is light-like, it holds :

$$\left\| \frac{|\nabla Y_k|_\eta}{|\nabla Y_k|_\xi} \right\|_{L^\infty(\bar{C}_k)} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \quad (54)$$

5.3 Convergence to a geodesic in the C^2 -topology

Since the change of parametrization degenerates by definition, the convergence in the C^2 -topology doesn't follow from a direct bootstrap argument. As in the lemma 5.9, we derive an equation for the quantity $\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta$:

Lemma 5.17. *Given $t \in [-T_k, T_k]$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\delta_k(t) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta$. For k large enough,*

$$\forall t \in [-T_k, T_k], \quad \delta_k''(t) \geq \delta_k(t).$$

For any $t_1 < t < t_2$, we can estimate

$$\delta_k(t) \leq \max(\delta_k(t_1), \delta_k(t_2)). \quad (55)$$

Moreover, for any $\nu \in (0, 1]$, if $|t_1 - t_2| > 1$:

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \delta_k(t)^\nu dt \leq C(\nu) [\delta_k(t_1)^\nu + \delta_k(t_2)^\nu]. \quad (56)$$

Proof. We differentiate twice :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \delta_k}{dt^2} &= 2 \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \partial_\theta \nabla Y_k, \partial_{\theta t}^2 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\xi d\theta \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta t}^2 \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 + \langle \partial_\theta \nabla Y_k, \partial_{\theta t t}^3 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\xi d\theta \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta t}^2 \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 - \langle \partial_{\theta \theta}^2 \nabla Y_k, \partial_{t t}^2 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\xi d\theta \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta t}^2 \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 - \langle \partial_{\theta \theta}^2 \nabla Y_k, \nabla [\Delta Y_k - \partial_{\theta \theta}^2 Y_k] \rangle_\xi d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_k}{dt^2} = 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 + \langle \partial_{\theta \theta}^2 \nabla Y_k, \nabla [|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 Y_k] \rangle_\xi d\theta.$$

The last term can be bounded by Cauchy-Schwarz :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \delta_k}{dt^2} &\geq 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_{\theta \theta}^2 \nabla Y_k|_\xi (|\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\xi + C |\nabla Y_k|_\xi |\nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi) d\theta \\ &\geq (2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 + |\nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Using Poincaré inequality on the last term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 \delta_k}{dt^2} &\geq (2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 + |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta \\ &\geq (2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla^2 Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Using Poincaré inequality on the first term, we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_k}{dt^2} \geq (2 - C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}^2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta$$

For k large enough, we obtain :

$$\frac{d^2 \delta_k(t)}{dt^2} \geq \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_\theta \nabla Y_k|_\xi^2 d\theta = \delta_k(t).$$

The rest of the proof is similar to the lemma 5.9. □

We can now compare δ_k to the arclength of Y_k^* , which is equivalent to β_k . Thanks to (56), we obtain

$$\int_{-T_k}^{T_k} \delta_k(t)^{\frac{1}{4}} dt \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\int_{-T_k}^{T_k} \beta_k(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \right).$$

Hence, as for the proof of the claim 5.10, we can consider $-T_k < t_{1,k} < t_{2,k} < T_k$ satisfying b) and

$$\delta_k(t_{1,k}) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\beta_k(t_{1,k})^2), \quad \delta_k(t_{2,k}) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\beta_k(t_{2,k})^2).$$

Thanks to (55) and (35), we conclude that

$$\max_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \delta_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\min_{[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]} \beta_k^2 \right). \quad (57)$$

As for the C^1 -convergence, we replace $[-T_k, T_k]$ by $[t_{1,k}, t_{2,k}]$ if needed. We show that the second derivatives $\nabla^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)$ are small compared to the arclength $|\nabla Y_k^*|_{\xi}^2$.

Lemma 5.18. *It holds*

$$\sup_{[-T_k+4, T_k-4] \times \mathbb{S}^1} \frac{|\nabla^2 Y_k|_{\xi}}{|\nabla Y_k^*|_{\xi}^2} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

Proof. By differentiating (47), we obtain

$$\Delta \nabla [Y_k - Y_k^*](t, \theta) = \nabla \left[-|\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_{\eta}^2 (Y_k(t, \theta) - Y_k^*(t)) + \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} (|\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_{\eta}^2 - |\nabla Y_k(t, \bar{\theta})|_{\eta}^2) Y_k(t, \bar{\theta}) d\bar{\theta} \right].$$

Therefore, we have the following estimate :

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta \nabla [Y_k - Y_k^*]|_{\xi} &\leq |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 |\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_{\xi} + C |\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_{\eta}| \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left(|\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_{\eta}| + |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 |\nabla Y_k|_{\xi} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By elliptic regularity, it holds for any $Q(t, 4) \subset [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$:

$$\|\nabla^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}(Q(t, 2))} \leq C \left\| |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 |\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_{\xi} \right\|_{L^4(Q(t, 3))} \quad (58)$$

$$+ C \left\| \langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_{\eta} \right\|_{L^4(Q(t, 3))} \quad (59)$$

$$+ C \|\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_{\xi}^4(Q(t, 3))}. \quad (60)$$

The boundary term (60) can be estimated in the same manner as (49) :

$$\|\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)\|_{L_{\xi}^4(Q(t, 3))} \leq C \left(\int_{t-3}^{t+3} \delta_k^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\min \beta_k). \quad (61)$$

The term (58) can be estimated thanks to the C^1 -convergence, see the proposition 5.15 :

$$\left\| |\nabla Y_k|_{\xi}^2 |\nabla(Y_k - Y_k^*)|_{\xi} \right\|_{L^4(Q(t, 2))} \leq \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}(Q(t, 2))}^2 \|\nabla Y_k - \nabla Y_k^*\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}(Q(t, 2))} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\min \beta_k). \quad (62)$$

The term (59) is invariant by isometries of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. Therefore, it can be estimated thanks to the ε -regularity, see the corollary 5.4 :

$$\left\| \langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_{\eta} \right\|_{L^4(Q(t, 3))} \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\eta}^2(Q(t, 4))}^2.$$

Since Y_k is conformal,

$$\left\| \langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_\eta \right\|_{L_\xi^4(Q(t,3))} \leq C \|\partial_\theta Y_k\|_{L_\xi^2(Q(t,4))}^2 \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\min \beta_k). \quad (63)$$

Using (62)-(63)-(61) in (58)-(59)-(60), we obtain

$$\left\| \nabla^2(Y_k - Y_k^*) \right\|_{L_\xi^\infty(Q(t,2))} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(\min(\beta_k)).$$

Thanks to (45), we conclude that

$$\sup_{p_k \in [-T_k+4, T_k-4] \times \mathbb{S}^1} \frac{|\nabla^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)(p_k)|_\xi}{|\nabla Y_k^*(p_k)|_\xi^2} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

By Proposition 5.13, it holds

$$\sup_{p_k \in [-T_k+4, T_k-4] \times \mathbb{S}^1} \frac{|\nabla^2 Y_k^*(p_k)|_\xi}{|\nabla Y_k^*(p_k)|_\xi^2} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0.$$

So we conclude. \square

We can now conclude the proof of the convergence in the C^2 -topology.

Proposition 5.19. *Under the assumptions (26)-(27)-(28), there exists a geodesic σ of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, and a parametrization $\tau_k : (-T_k, T_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow (-L_k, L_k) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that*

$$Y_k \circ \tau_k^{-1} \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{\longrightarrow} \sigma,$$

with $|\sigma'|_\xi = 1$. The curve σ is a timelike straight line. The convergence holds in the C_{loc}^2 -topology.

Proof. Thanks to the proposition 5.15, we have the convergence in the C^1 -topology. It remains to show that $\nabla^2(Y_k \circ \tau_k^{-1})$ converges to 0 uniformly. The new parametrization doesn't act on the angular parametrization. Hence, $\partial_{\theta\theta}^2(Y_k \circ \tau_k^{-1}) = (\partial_{\theta\theta}^2 Y_k) \circ \tau_k^{-1}$ uniformly converges to 0 thanks to (27). For the mixed derivatives, it holds

$$\partial_{\theta s}^2 Y_k = \frac{1}{|\nabla Y_k^*|_\xi} \partial_{\theta t}^2 Y_k = |\nabla Y_k^*|_\xi \frac{\partial_{t\theta}^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)}{|\nabla Y_k^*|_\xi^2}.$$

Thanks to the lemma 5.18 and (27), we obtain that $\|\partial_{\theta s}^2 Y_k\|_{L_\xi^\infty}$ converges to 0. For the purely radial part of the hessian, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{ss}^2 Y_k &= \partial_{ss}^2(Y_k - Y_k^*) + \partial_{ss}^2 Y_k^* \\ &= \frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi} \partial_t \left(\frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi} \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*) \right) + \partial_{ss}^2 Y_k^* \\ &= \frac{\partial_{tt}^2(Y_k - Y_k^*)}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi^2} - \frac{1}{|\partial_t Y_k^*|_\xi^3} \langle \partial_t Y_k^*, \partial_{tt}^2 Y_k^* \rangle_\xi \partial_t(Y_k - Y_k^*) + \partial_{ss}^2 Y_k^*. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the lemma 5.18 and the proposition 5.13, we obtain that $\partial_{ss}^2 Y_k$ converges uniformly to 0. \square

6 Proof of the proposition 4.2

Let $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a smooth immersion, not totally umbilic. Consider Y its conformal Gauss map. Given a vector field $Z \in T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, the goal of this section, see the proposition 6.4, is to show that Z satisfies a system of two equations if and only if there exists a variation $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ satisfying two conditions : each Y_t is a conformal Gauss

map of some immersion Ψ_t with $(\partial_t Y_t)|_{t=0} = Z$ and $(\partial_t \Psi_t)|_{t=0} \perp \nabla \Psi$.

We denote $\mathcal{U} := \{x \in \Sigma : |\nabla Y|_\eta = 0\}$ the set of umbilic points of Ψ . Given a function $f_t(x)$, we denote $\dot{f} := (\partial_t f_t)|_{t=0}$.

According to [25, Proposition 3.3], a vector field $Z \in T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ has to satisfy the following condition in order to come from a variation through conformal Gauss maps. Let ξ be the normal part of Z along $Y(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U})$. Then we can choose $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ a variation through conformal Gauss maps such that $\dot{Y} = Z$ if and only if

$$\langle \Delta^{NY} \xi + 2\xi, \nu \rangle_\eta + \langle \nabla^{TY} \xi, \nabla^{TY} \nu \rangle_\eta = 0, \quad (64)$$

where Δ^{NY} is the rough laplacian on $NY := (TY(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U}))^\perp$ computed with the metric $g_Y := Y^* \eta$, ∇^{TY} is the tangent part of ∇^{g_Y} to $Y(\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U})$, and $\nu := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. However, (64) is heavily degenerated around umbilic points of Ψ since every part of the equation depends on the geometry of Y . Instead of considering the minimal surface's point of view, we consider the harmonic map's point of view. The equation (64) is the linearisation of the condition that the mean curvature $h_\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ of the conformal Gauss map γ of a smooth immersion $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ satisfies, away from the umbilic points of ψ :

$$h_\gamma = (\Delta_{g_\gamma} H_\psi + 2H_\psi) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $g_\gamma := \gamma^* \eta$. Let $g_\psi := \psi^* \xi$. Using that $\gamma : (\Sigma, g_\psi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ is conformal, the above equation can be written as

$$\Delta_{g_\psi} \gamma + |\nabla \gamma|_\eta^2 \gamma = (\Delta_{g_\psi} H_\psi + |\nabla \gamma|_\eta^2 H_\psi) \begin{pmatrix} \psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (65)$$

Since ψ is smooth, this equation makes sense at umbilic points of ψ . This is the constraint that we linearize.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a smooth immersion and Y be its conformal Gauss map. Let $(\Psi_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ be a smooth deformation of Ψ such that $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$. Consider $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ their conformal Gauss map. It holds*

$$\left\langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \dot{Y} \right\rangle_\eta = 0. \quad (66)$$

Proof. Let $\nu_t := \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_t \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thanks to (65), it holds :

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\left\langle \nu_t, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_t}} Y_t \right\rangle_\eta \right)_{|t=0} \\ &= \langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta + \left\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\Delta_{g_{\Psi_t}} Y_t)_{|t=0} \right\rangle_\eta \\ &= \langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta + \left\langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det g_\Psi}} \text{tr}_{g_\Psi} (\dot{g}_\Psi) \partial_i \left[g_\Psi^{ij} \sqrt{\det g_\Psi} \partial_j Y \right] \right\rangle_\eta \\ &\quad + \left\langle \nu, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{\det g_\Psi}} \partial_i \left[g_\Psi^{i\alpha} (\dot{g}_\Psi)_{\alpha\beta} g_\Psi^{\beta j} \sqrt{\det g_\Psi} \partial_j Y \right] + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det g_\Psi}} \partial_i \left[g_\Psi^{ij} \text{tr}_{g_\Psi} (\dot{g}_\Psi) \sqrt{\det g_\Psi} \partial_j Y \right] \right\rangle_\eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \perp \nu$ and $\nabla Y \perp \nu$, the above equation reduces to :

$$0 = \langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta + \left\langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} - \langle \dot{g}_\Psi, \nabla^2 Y \rangle_{g_\Psi} \right\rangle_\eta. \quad (67)$$

Using (4), we compute the hessian of Y :

$$\nabla^2 Y = (\nabla^2 H) \nu + (\nabla H - \nabla \mathring{A})(\nabla \nu) - \mathring{A} \nabla^2 \nu.$$

Since $\nu, \nabla\nu \perp \nu$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nu, \nabla^2 Y \rangle_\eta &= - \langle \nu, \mathring{A} \nabla^2 \nu \rangle_\eta \\ &= \langle \nabla \nu, \mathring{A} \nabla \nu \rangle_\eta \\ &= \mathring{A}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (67) reduces to

$$0 = \langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta + \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} \rangle_\eta - \langle \dot{g}_\Psi, \mathring{A} \rangle_{g_\Psi}. \quad (68)$$

Using $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\dot{g}_\Psi)_{ij} &= \langle \partial_i \dot{\Psi}, \partial_j \Psi \rangle_\xi + \langle \partial_i \Psi, \partial_j \dot{\Psi} \rangle_\xi \\ &= -2 \langle \dot{\Psi}, \partial_{ij}^2 \Psi \rangle_\xi \\ &= -2 \langle \dot{\Psi}, N \rangle_\xi A_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

We now write $\langle \dot{\Psi}, N \rangle_\xi$ in terms of \dot{Y} . By definition of a conformal Gauss map and using $\nu \perp \dot{\nu}$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dot{\nu}, Y \rangle_\eta &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\Psi} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} N \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \langle \dot{\Psi}, N \rangle_\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, (68) can be written as

$$0 = \langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta + \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} \rangle_\eta + 2 \langle \dot{\nu}, Y \rangle_\eta |\mathring{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2. \quad (69)$$

Furthermore, we can write the first term as

$$\langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta = \left\langle \dot{\nu}, (\Delta_{g_\Psi} H)\nu + (\nabla H - \text{div} \mathring{A})\nabla \nu - \mathring{A} \cdot (\nabla^2 \nu) \right\rangle_\eta.$$

Using $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$, we conclude $\dot{\nu} \perp \nabla \nu$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \dot{\nu}, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Y \rangle_\eta &= - \langle \dot{\nu}, \mathring{A} \cdot \nabla^2 \nu \rangle_\eta \\ &= - \mathring{A}^{\alpha\beta} \langle \dot{\Psi}, \nabla_{\alpha\beta} \Psi \rangle_\xi \\ &= - \langle \dot{\Psi}, N \rangle_\xi |\mathring{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (69) can be written as

$$0 = \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \dot{Y} \rangle_\eta + \langle \dot{\nu}, Y \rangle_\eta |\mathring{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2.$$

Using $|\mathring{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2 = |\nabla Y|_{g_\Psi, \eta}^2$ and $\langle \dot{\nu}, Y \rangle_\eta = - \langle \dot{Y}, \nu \rangle_\eta$, we conclude. \square

Since we consider variations of Ψ satisfying $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$, the relation (66) is not enough to characterize the variations coming from conformal Gauss maps. A second condition to fully complete the description is the following.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a smooth immersion. Let $(\Psi_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ be a smooth deformation of Ψ such that $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$. Consider $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ their conformal Gauss map. It holds $\langle \nabla \dot{Y}, \nu \rangle_\eta = 0$.*

Proof. By direct computation, using $\nu \perp \dot{\nu}$ and (4), it holds

$$\langle \nabla Y, \dot{\nu} \rangle_\eta = -\dot{A} \langle \nabla \nu, \dot{\nu} \rangle_\eta.$$

Using $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$, we obtain $\langle \nabla \nu, \dot{\nu} \rangle_\eta = 0$. We conclude thanks to $\langle \nabla Y, \dot{\nu} \rangle_\eta = -\langle \nabla \dot{Y}, \nu \rangle_\eta$. \square

To show that the converse is true, we will need to test the equation (66) against linear combinations of ν and $\nabla \nu$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a smooth immersion. Let $\Omega \subset \Sigma$ be an open set included in the domain of a chart where g_Ψ is conformally flat. For any $\alpha^1, \alpha^2, \beta \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, it holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\alpha^k \partial_k \nu) - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \alpha^k \partial_k \nu \rangle_\eta &= -2 \operatorname{div}_{g_\Psi}(\alpha), \\ \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\beta \nu) - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \beta \nu \rangle_\eta &= -2\beta. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let λ be the conformal factor of Ψ on Ω : $g_\Psi = \Psi^* \xi = e^{2\lambda} h$, where h is the flat metric on \mathbb{R}^2 . We show the first relation. By direct computation using $\nu \perp \nabla \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\alpha^k \partial_k \nu) - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \alpha^k \partial_k \nu \rangle_\eta &= \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\alpha^k \partial_k \nu) \rangle_\eta \\ &= 2 \langle \nu, \nabla \alpha^k \nabla \partial_k \nu \rangle_\eta + \langle \nu, \alpha^k \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\partial_k \nu) \rangle_\eta \\ &= -2 \langle \nabla \nu, \nabla \alpha^k \partial_k \nu \rangle_\eta + \langle \nu, \alpha^k e^{-2\lambda} \Delta_h(\partial_k \nu) \rangle_\eta \\ &= -2(\nabla \alpha^k) \langle \nabla \Psi, \partial_k \Psi \rangle_\xi + \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha^k e^{-2\lambda} \partial_k \left[e^{2\lambda} \begin{pmatrix} HN - 2\Psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right\rangle_\eta \\ &= -2\partial_k \alpha^k - 4\alpha^k (\partial_k \lambda) \\ &= -2e^{-2\lambda} \partial_k (e^{2\lambda} \alpha^k) = -2 \operatorname{div}_{g_\Psi}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

We show the second relation : using $\nu, \nabla \nu \perp \nu$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\beta \nu) - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \beta \nu \rangle_\eta &= \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi}(\beta \nu) \rangle_\eta \\ &= \beta \langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} \nu \rangle_\eta \\ &= \beta \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} \Psi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} HN - 2\Psi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_\eta \\ &= -2\beta. \end{aligned}$$

\square

We can now prove the characterization of the variations of Y coming from conformal Gauss maps, which concludes the proof of the proposition 4.2.

Proposition 6.4. *Let $\Psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ be a smooth immersion and Y be its conformal Gauss map. Consider a smooth map $Z : (\Sigma, g_\Psi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ such that $Z \in T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. Assume that the set $\mathcal{U} \subset \Sigma$ of umbilic points of Ψ are nowhere dense, i.e. $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U} = \Sigma$, and that Z satisfy the two following equations :*

$$\langle \nu, \Delta_{g_\Psi} Z - |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 Z \rangle_\eta = 0, \tag{70}$$

$$\langle \nabla Z, \nu \rangle_\eta = 0. \tag{71}$$

Then, there exists a smooth variations $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ of Y which are conformal Gauss maps of a variation $(\Psi_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ of Ψ satisfying $\dot{\Psi} \perp \nabla \Psi$ and $\dot{Y} = Z$.

Proof. Since $Z \in T_Y \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, there exists a variation $(Y_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ of Y in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ such that $\dot{Y} = Z$. Consider a open set $\Omega \subset \Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U}$ relatively compact. Since Y is strictly space-like on Ω , there exists $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ depending on Ω such that for $|t| < t_0$, Y_t is strictly space-like. So if $|t| < t_0$, the normal space of Y_t contains two isotropic directions ν_t and ν_t^* . Up to exchange ν_t and ν_t^* , the family $(Y_t, \nabla Y_t, \nu_t, \nu_t^*)$ is a direct basis of $\mathbb{R}^{4,1}$. If we normalize ν_t in order to have $(\nu_t)_5 = 1$, then $\nu_t = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_t \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $(\Psi_t)_{t \in (-t_0, t_0)}$ is a smooth variation of Ψ on Ω . Let γ_t be the conformal Gauss map of Ψ_t . Since Ψ_t is also an immersion for $|t|$ small enough, the vector space $\text{Span}(\nu_t, \nabla \nu_t)^\perp$ has dimension 2 and contains three vectors : γ_t , Y_t and ν_t . Hence, there exists $\alpha_t, \beta_t \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $Y_t = \alpha_t \gamma_t + \beta_t \nu_t$. We remark that $\alpha_t = 1$ and $\beta_0 = 0$ since $Y_0 = Y = \gamma_0$ and $1 = |Y_t|_\eta^2 = \alpha_t^2$. Therefore $Z = \dot{Y} = \dot{\gamma} + \dot{\beta} \nu$. Consider a family of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}(\Omega)$ such that $\Psi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}$ satisfy $\partial_t [\Psi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}]|_{t=0} \perp \nabla \Psi$. Let $\Gamma_t := \gamma_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}$ be the conformal Gauss map of $\Psi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}$. Then

$$Z = \dot{\Gamma} + (\dot{\varphi} \cdot \nabla) Y + \dot{\beta} \nu. \quad (72)$$

Using (4), we obtain

$$Z = \dot{\Gamma} + (\dot{\beta} + (\dot{\varphi} \cdot \nabla) H) \nu - \dot{\varphi} \mathring{A} \nabla \nu.$$

Since Z and $\dot{\Gamma}$ satisfy (70), by the lemma 6.3 we obtain

$$\dot{\beta} + (\dot{\varphi} \cdot \nabla) H = \text{div}_{g_\Psi}(\dot{\varphi} \mathring{A}).$$

Therefore, (72) reduces to

$$Z = \dot{\Gamma} + \text{div}_{g_\Psi}(\dot{\varphi} \mathring{A}) \nu - \dot{\varphi} \mathring{A} \nabla \nu.$$

Using the relation (71), the lemma 6.2 and the orthogonality relations $\nu, \nabla \nu \perp \nu$, we obtain

$$0 = \langle \nabla Z, \nu \rangle_\eta = \langle \nabla \dot{\Gamma}, \nu \rangle_\eta - \langle \dot{\varphi} \mathring{A} \nabla^2 \nu, \nu \rangle_\eta = \dot{\varphi} \mathring{A}.$$

Since \mathring{A} is invertible on Ω , we obtain $\dot{\varphi} = 0$. So $Z = \dot{\Gamma}$ on Ω . We remark that

$$\langle \partial_t [\Psi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}]|_{t=0}, N \rangle_\xi = - \langle \dot{\Gamma}, \nu \rangle_\eta = - \langle Z, \nu \rangle_\eta.$$

By differentiating the definition (3), we obtain that $\dot{\Gamma}$ depends only on $\langle \partial_t [\Psi_t \circ \varphi_t^{-1}]|_{t=0}, N \rangle_\xi$. So $\dot{\Gamma}$ is actually the derivative at $t = 0$ of the conformal Gauss map of the variation

$$\tilde{\Psi}_t := \frac{\Psi - t \langle Z, \nu \rangle_\eta N}{|\Psi - t \langle Z, \nu \rangle_\eta N|_\xi}.$$

The variation $(\tilde{\Psi}_t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ is a smooth variation of Ψ defined on the whole surface Σ . Hence, the equality $Z = \dot{\Gamma}$ holds on $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U}$. Since $\Sigma \setminus \mathcal{U}$ is dense in Σ , we obtain $Z = \dot{\Gamma}$ on the whole surface Σ by continuity. \square

7 Proof of the theorem 4.3

7.1 Setting

Consider a sequence $\Psi_k : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ of Willmore immersions satisfying

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) < \infty.$$

We assume that the metrics $g_k := \Psi_k^*(\xi_{|\mathbb{S}^3})$ degenerate in the moduli space of Σ . Consider its conformal Gauss map $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and assume that there exists $\lambda > 0$ and a collar region $\mathcal{C}_k := [-T_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ satisfying

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k) \geq \lambda. \quad (73)$$

Goal : We show that $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{A}}(Y_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$. Given the proposition 4.2, we conclude that $\text{Ind}_{\mathcal{E}}(\Psi_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$. In the section 7.2, we show how to construct a Jacobi field on a region of given area. In the section 7.3, we conclude.

Remark 7.1. According to [35, Theorem 3.1], there might exists some $t \in [-T_k, T_k]$ such that $\dot{A}_k = 0$ on the whole circle $\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. However, if we consider a diffeomorphism $f \in \text{Diff}(\mathcal{C}_k)$, then $\{x \in \mathcal{C}_k : \dot{A}_{\Psi_k \circ f}(x) = 0\} = f^{-1}(\{y \in \mathcal{C}_k : \dot{A}_{\Psi_k}(y) = 0\})$ since the traceless part of the second fundamental form is a geometric quantity. Hence, if we change the parametrization of Ψ_k , we can erase circles of umbilic points of the form $\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ on the domain. This is done in the Lemma C.1. Therefore, up to a reparametrization, it holds

$$\forall t \in [-T_k, T_k], \quad \int_{\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 > 0. \quad (74)$$

We will assume (74) until the end of the section.

Remark 7.2. We observe that Y_k must converge to an infinite light-like straight line. Indeed, assume that there exists $t_k \in [-T_k, T_k]$ such that, letting $M_k \in SO(4, 1)$ be the matrix given by the Theorem 4.1, $\|M_k Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^{\infty}([t_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1)}$ is bounded. Then the Lemma 5.9 holds on the whole domain $[t_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In particular by (32), $\|M_k \partial_{\theta} Y_k\|_{L_{\xi}^2([t_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1)}$ converges to 0. Using (5), we obtain that $\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\eta}^2([t_k, T_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1)}$ converges to 0. So there is no area in this region. Therefore, on a region with given area, the euclidean oscillations must go to $+\infty$. So the Theorem 4.1 shows that $(Y_k)_k$ converges to an infinite light-like straight line, up to isometries and reparametrization.

7.2 Second variation of the Dirichlet energy of the conformal Gauss map of a Willmore immersion without umbilic points

In this section, we show the following :

Lemma 7.3. Let $\mu > 0$. Assume that there exists a subset $[a_k, b_k] \subset [-T_k, T_k]$ satisfying

$$2\mu \geq \mathcal{A}(Y_k; [a_k, b_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1) \geq \mu.$$

Then there exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_0$, there exists a vector field V_k along Y_k supported on the cylinder $[a_k, b_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(V_k) < 0$. Moreover, there exists a smooth variation $(\Psi_k^u)_{u \in (-1, 1)}$ of Ψ on $[a_k, b_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ such that $(\partial_u \Psi_k^u)|_{u=0} \perp \nabla \Psi_k$ and their conformal Gauss maps $(Y_k^u)_{u \in (-1, 1)}$ satisfy $(\partial_u Y_k^u)|_{u=0} = V_k$.

In the rest of the section, we fix $\mu > 0$ and a cylinder $\mathcal{C}_k := [a_k, b_k] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ satisfying

$$2\mu \geq \mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k) \geq \mu. \quad (75)$$

We denote the average lorentz length on \mathcal{C}_k by

$$\ell_k := \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

We observe that ℓ_k cannot be bounded. Thanks to (9), we have $\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\eta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_k)} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0$. Therefore, by the assumption (75) we obtain

$$\mu \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 \leq \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\eta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_k)} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta} \leq \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_{\eta}^{\infty}(\mathcal{C}_k)} \sqrt{2\pi} \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_{\eta}^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt.$$

So that :

$$\ell_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty. \quad (76)$$

A second observation is that the vector fields $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge toward the limit geodesic σ . Indeed, since we have the uniform bound $|\nu_k|_\xi^2 = 2$, the normal vector fields $(\nu_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converge to a limit normal vector field ν_∞ along σ . Thanks to the theorem 4.1, we know that the convergence of $(Y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ to σ holds in the C^2 -topology, up to reparametrization and an isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$. By (54), the fact that $|\nabla Y_k|_\eta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(|\nabla Y_k|_\xi)$ up to an isometry means that we have the following asymptotic expansion : thanks to (4),

$$\partial_t Y_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} (\partial_t H_k) \nu_k + o(\partial_t H_k).$$

Therefore, the arclength of Y_k^* is given by the behaviour of $\partial_t H_k$, and we obtain :

$$\partial_s Y_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{\nu_k}{\sqrt{2}} + o(1).$$

The convergence of the second derivatives can be written as

$$\partial_s \nu_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1). \quad (77)$$

Therefore, ν_∞ is actually constant proportional to $\partial_s \sigma$ and the scalar product with ν in (10) can be exchanged with $\partial_s \sigma$ up to a small error. We show that we can choose a variation through conformal Gauss maps that converges to a parallel space-like normal vector field along σ .

Consider $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ such that the limit geodesic σ is given by $\forall s \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma(s) = as + b$. The vector space $\text{Span}(a, b)^\perp$ has dimension 3, so there exists a vector $E \in \mathbb{R}^{4,1}$ satisfying $|E|_\eta^2 = 1$ and $\langle E, a \rangle_\eta = \langle E, b \rangle_\eta = 0$. It precisely means that $E \in T_\sigma \mathbb{S}^{3,1}$, $\nabla_{\dot{\sigma}} E = 0$ and $\langle E, \dot{\sigma} \rangle_\eta = 0$, so E is a parallel vector field along σ . Thanks to (74), we can consider the following change of variable :

$$\alpha_k(t) := \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k(t, \theta)|_\eta^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad ds = \alpha_k(t) dt. \quad (78)$$

The domain $[a_k, b_k]$ of the variable t becomes $[0, \ell_k]$ for the variable s . Let $f_k(s) := \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right)$ and $\rho_k(s)$ be a cut-off function : $\rho_k \geq 0$, $\rho_k(s) = 1$ if $s \in [1, \ell_k - 1]$, $\rho_k(s) = 0$ if $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\ell_k - \frac{1}{2}, \ell_k]$ and $|\partial_s^i \rho_k| \leq C$ for $i \in \llbracket 1, 4 \rrbracket$. Consider

$$E_k := \rho_k f_k E - \rho_k f_k \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta Y_k + d_k \nu_k + e_k^i \partial_i \nu_k, \quad (79)$$

$$e_k := \nabla^{g_{\Psi_k}}(\rho_k f_k) \langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta, \quad (80)$$

$$d_k := -\frac{1}{2} \left\langle \nu_k, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_k}}(\rho_k f_k E) + |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \rho_k f_k E \right\rangle_\eta - \langle \nabla^{g_{\Psi_k}}(\rho_k f_k E), \nabla^{g_{\Psi_k}} \nu_k \rangle_\eta. \quad (81)$$

Therefore $\langle E_k, Y_k \rangle_\eta = 0$, so E_k is a vector field along Y_k and $E_k(s, \theta) = 0$ if $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \cup [\ell_k - \frac{1}{2}, \ell_k]$, so E_k has compact support. From the Proposition 4.2, we obtain :

Lemma 7.4. *The vector field E_k comes from a variation through conformal Gauss maps of immersions $(\Psi_k^u)_{u \in (-1, 1)}$ such that $(\partial_u \Psi_k^u)|_{u=0} \perp \nabla \Psi_k$.*

Proof. We check (10) by direct computations. Using that $Y_k, \nu_k, \nabla \nu_k, \nabla Y_k \perp \nu_k$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla E_k, \nu_k \rangle_\eta &= \langle \nabla(\rho_k f_k E), \nu_k \rangle_\eta + e_k^i \langle \nabla \partial_i \nu_k, \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\ &= \langle \nabla(\rho_k f_k E), \nu_k \rangle_\eta - e_k = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Lemma 6.3 and the orthogonality relations $Y_k, \nabla Y_k, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_k}} Y_k \perp \nu_k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle \nu_k, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_k}} E_k - |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 E_k \right\rangle_\eta &= \left\langle \nu_k, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_k}} (\rho_k f_k E) - |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \rho_k f_k E \right\rangle_\eta - 2d_k - 2\operatorname{div}_{g_{\Psi_k}}(e_k) \\ &= - \left\langle \nu_k, \Delta_{g_{\Psi_k}} (\rho_k f_k E) + |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \rho_k f_k E \right\rangle_\eta - 2 \langle \nabla^{g_{\Psi_k}} (\rho_k f_k E), \nabla^{g_{\Psi_k}} \nu_k \rangle_\eta - 2d_k \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the Proposition 4.2, there is a variation $(\Psi_k^u)_{u \in (-1,1)}$ of Ψ_k such that its conformal Gauss map satisfies $\dot{Y}_k = E_k$ and $\dot{\Psi}_k \perp \nabla \Psi_k$. \square

We now prove that the main contribution in the computation of $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(E_k)$ comes from $f_k E$. By a classical computation, we have for any smooth variation $(Y_k^t)_{t \in (-1,1)}$ of Y_k with compact support on \mathcal{C}_k :

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(\dot{Y}_k) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla \dot{Y}_k|_\eta^2 - \operatorname{Riem}^{\mathbb{S}^{3,1}}(\dot{Y}_k, \nabla Y_k, \dot{Y}_k, \nabla Y_k) dt d\theta. \quad (82)$$

where $\dot{Y}_k := (\partial_t Y_k^t)|_{t=0}$ and $\operatorname{Riem}^{\mathbb{S}^{3,1}}(x, y, z, t) = \langle x, z \rangle_\eta \langle y, t \rangle_\eta - \langle x, t \rangle_\eta \langle y, z \rangle_\eta$. To obtain an asymptotic expansion, we use the fact that $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(E_k)$ is invariant by isometries of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ and that the vector field E_k is also a conformal invariant in the following sense. There exists a smooth variation $(\Psi_k^u)_{u \in (-1,1)}$ of Ψ_k such that their conformal Gauss maps $(Y_k^u)_{u \in (-1,1)}$ satisfy $(\partial_u Y_k^u)|_{u=0} = E_k$. Then for any conformal transformation $\Theta \in \operatorname{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$, we have $M_\Theta E_k = (\partial_u M_\Theta Y_k^u)|_{u=0}$, and $M_\Theta Y_k^u$ is the conformal Gauss map of the variation $\Theta \circ \Psi_k^u$ of $\Theta \circ \Psi_k$. Therefore in all the pointwise estimates of the proof of the following lemma, the isometry will depend on the point we are working on. We will precise which one at each step, but for simplicity, we will always assume that this matrix is the identity.

Lemma 7.5. *It holds*

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(E_k) \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 - \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + o\left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} + \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla f_k|^2 + f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta\right).$$

Proof. We proceed by brute force using the definition (79). Thanks to the formula (82) :

$$\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(E_k) = \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 - |E_k|_\eta^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 + |\langle E_k, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta. \quad (83)$$

To obtain an asymptotic expansion, we will need to estimate the derivatives of f_k and ρ_k . We first proceed to this preliminary work.

Estimate of the first derivative of f_k and ρ_k :

We consider the change of variable (78). Using $\frac{ds}{dt} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 d\theta\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} =: \alpha_k(t)$, we obtain $\partial_t = \alpha_k \partial_s$. We compute the first derivative of f_k .

$$\partial_t f_k = \alpha_k \partial_s f_k = \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right).$$

So

$$|\partial_t f_k| \leq C \ell_k^{-1} \alpha_k. \quad (84)$$

We also have $\partial_t \rho_k = \alpha_k \partial_s \rho_k$, so

$$|\partial_t \rho_k| \leq C \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k - 1, \ell_k]}(s). \quad (85)$$

Estimate of the second derivative of f_k and ρ_k :

We will need the derivative of α_k . It is given by

$$\partial_s \alpha_k = \alpha_k^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta. \quad (86)$$

We differentiate twice f_k :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{tt}^2 f_k &= \alpha_k \partial_s (\alpha_k \partial_s f_k) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{\ell_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) - \left(\frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right).\end{aligned}$$

To bound the first term, we use (4) in the isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ given by the corollary 5.4 :

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_t \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle (\nabla H_k) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \partial_t \left[(\nabla H_k) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] \right\rangle_\eta d\theta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle (\nabla H_k) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \partial_t \nabla H_k \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + (\nabla H_k) \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_\eta d\theta \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \left\langle (\nabla H_k) \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_k \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \partial_t (\dot{A}_k) \nabla \Psi_k + \dot{A}_k \partial_t \nabla \Psi_k \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle_\eta d\theta \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} - \langle \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k, (\nabla H_k) \partial_t \Psi_k \rangle_\xi - \langle (\nabla H_k) \Psi_k, \dot{A}_k \partial_t \nabla \Psi_k \rangle_\xi + \langle \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k, \partial_t [\dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k] \rangle_\xi \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k, \partial_t [\dot{A}_k \nabla \Psi_k] \rangle_\xi d\theta \right|.\end{aligned}$$

By the corollary 5.4 and the ε -regularity, it holds

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_t \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right| \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\dot{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla \dot{A}|_{g_\Psi}^2 d\theta \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \alpha_k \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}. \quad (87)$$

Hence, $\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right| \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}$. So

$$|\partial_{tt} f_k| \leq C \ell_k^{-1} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}. \quad (88)$$

We proceed as well for ρ_k :

$$\partial_{tt}^2 \rho_k = \alpha_k (\partial_s \alpha_k) (\partial_s \rho_k) + \alpha_k^2 (\partial_{ss}^2 \rho_k).$$

Thanks to (86)-(87), we obtain

$$|\partial_{tt}^2 \rho_k| \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))} \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s). \quad (89)$$

Estimate of the third derivative of f_k and ρ_k :

We first compute the third derivative of f_k :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{ttt}^3 f_k &= \alpha_k \partial_s \left[\frac{\pi}{\ell_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) - \left(\frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_s \nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 + \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_{ss}^2 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi^2 \alpha_k}{\ell_k^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{2\pi^2 \alpha_k}{\ell_k^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right) \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \right)^3 \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right).\end{aligned}$$

The three last terms can be bounded by α_k/ℓ_k^2 . Using $\partial_s = \alpha_k^{-1}\partial_t$ on the first term, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{ttt}^3 f_k &\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{\pi \alpha_k}{\ell_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_s \nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 + \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_{ss}^2 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) + O\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k^2}\right) \\ &\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{\pi}{\ell_k \alpha_k} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\partial_t \nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 + \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_{tt}^2 \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\pi}{\ell_k} \frac{\partial_t \alpha_k}{\alpha_k^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_t \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) + O\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

We estimate the second term thanks to (86) and (87). We estimate the first term thanks to the ε -regularity :

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial_{ttt}^3 f_k| &\leq \frac{C}{\ell_k \alpha_k} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2 + \frac{C}{\ell_k \alpha_k^3} \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_t \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta d\theta \right)^2 + O\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k^2}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\ell_k \alpha_k} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2 + O\left(\frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k^2}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{90}$$

Using (84), we obtain

$$|\nabla f_k| |\nabla^3 f_k| \leq C \ell_k^{-2} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2.\tag{91}$$

In the same manner, we estimate the third derivative of ρ_k . Thanks to (86), it holds

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{ttt}^3 \rho_k &= \alpha_k \partial_s \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \right) (\partial_s \rho_k) + \alpha_k^2 (\partial_{ss}^2 \rho_k) \right] \\ &= \alpha_k \partial_s \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \right) (\partial_s \rho_k) + \alpha_k \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \right) (\partial_{ss}^2 \rho_k) \\ &\quad + 2\alpha_k \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_s \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \right) (\partial_{ss}^2 \rho_k) + \alpha_k^3 (\partial_{sss}^3 \rho_k).\end{aligned}$$

As for $\partial_{ttt}^3 f_k$, we obtain

$$|\partial_{ttt}^3 \rho_k| \leq \frac{C}{\alpha_k} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s).\tag{92}$$

Using (85), we conclude that

$$|\partial_t \rho_k| |\partial_{ttt}^3 \rho_k| \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s).\tag{93}$$

Estimate of the term $\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2$ in (83) :

Given $(t_k, \theta_k) \in \mathcal{C}_k$, we estimate the term $|\nabla E_k(t_k, \theta_k)|_\eta^2$ using the isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ given by the Corollary 5.4. We compute $|\nabla E_k|_\eta^2$:

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 &= \left| \nabla(\rho_k f_k) E - \nabla(\rho_k f_k) \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta Y_k - \rho_k f_k \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta Y_k - \rho_k f_k \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \nabla Y_k \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (\nabla d_k) \nu_k + d_k (\nabla \nu_k) + (\nabla e_k^i) \partial_i \nu_k + e_k^i \nabla(\partial_i \nu_k) \right|_\eta^2.\end{aligned}$$

The key observation is that the leading terms inside the norm are $\nabla(\rho_k f_k) E$ since E is constant, and possibly $(\nabla d_k) \nu_k$, since we don't have nice estimates on the third derivatives of ρ_k and f_k . However $(\nabla d_k) \nu_k$ is light-like, so in the computation of $|\nabla E_k|_\eta^2$, the bad part vanishes. We have nice estimates for all the other terms. A second observation, is that when we will estimate derivatives of the product $\rho_k f_k$, we will keep track of f_k when all the derivatives hit ρ_k , so we will often obtains terms of the form $f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s)$. This will allow us to use the

asymptotic expansion $f_k \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} C\ell_k^{-1} + o(\ell_k^{-1})$ near the boundary.

We now develop $|\nabla E_k|_\eta^2$, using the orthogonality relations $Y_k, \nu_k \perp \nabla Y_k$ and $Y_k, \nabla Y_k, \nu_k, \nabla \nu_k \perp \nu_k$:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 &= |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 - 2|\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 - 4\rho_k f_k \nabla(\rho_k f_k) \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + 2\nabla(\rho_k f_k)(\nabla d_k) \langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\nabla(\rho_k f_k)d_k \langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\nabla(\rho_k f_k)(\nabla e_k^i) \langle E, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\nabla(\rho_k f_k)e_k^i \langle E, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 + 2\rho_k f_k \nabla(\rho_k f_k) \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta - 2e_k^i \nabla(\rho_k f_k) \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle Y_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + (\rho_k f_k)^2 \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 - 2\rho_k f_k e_k^i \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle Y_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + (\rho_k f_k)^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 - 2\rho_k f_k d_k \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta - 2\rho_k f_k (\nabla e_k^i) \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle \nabla Y_k, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad - 2\rho_k f_k e_k^i \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + 2(\nabla d_k)e_k^i \langle \nu_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + d_k^2 |\nabla \nu_k|_\eta^2 + 2d_k (\nabla e_k^i) \langle \nabla \nu_k, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2d_k e_k^i \langle \nabla \nu_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + |(\nabla e_k^i) \partial_i \nu_k|_\eta^2 + 2 \left\langle (\nabla e_k^i) \partial_i \nu_k, e_k^j \nabla \partial_j \nu_k \right\rangle_\eta \\
&\quad + |e_k^i \nabla \partial_i \nu_k|_\eta^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{94}$$

We start by focusing on the scalar products. Thanks to (4), it holds $\langle \partial_i Y_k, \partial_j \nu_k \rangle_\eta = -(\dot{A}_k)_{ij}$. In particular, $\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta = 0$. The terms involving second derivatives of ν_k can be controlled by $\|\nabla N_{\Theta_k \circ \Psi_k}\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} = o(1)$, thanks to the ε -regularity as in the proof of the Lemma 5.5, where $\Theta_k \in \text{Conf}(\mathbb{S}^3)$ corresponds to the isometry in $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ we are working with. As well for the term $\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta$ which can be estimated by ε -regularity and (22)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta| &\leq |\nabla Y_k|_\xi |\nabla^2(\Theta_k \circ \Psi_k)|_\xi \\
&\leq C |\nabla(\Theta_k \circ \Psi_k)|_\xi \|\nabla N_{\Theta_k \circ \Psi_k}\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \\
&\underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now we focus on the coefficient of the scalar products in (94). We estimate e_k by its definition (80) and (84)-(85) :

$$|e_k| \leq C |\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k} \right). \tag{95}$$

We estimate the derivatives of e_k in the following way : by its definition (80), it holds

$$|\nabla e_k| \leq C |\nabla^2(\rho_k f_k)| |\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| + C |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)| |\langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta|.$$

Thanks to (84), (85), (88) and (89), we obtain

$$|\nabla e_k| \leq C |\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} + C |\langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \alpha_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{\alpha_k}{\ell_k} \right). \tag{96}$$

We estimate d_k by its definition (81) :

$$|d_k| \leq C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| (|\nabla^2(\rho_k f_k)| + |\nabla Y|_\eta^2 \rho_k f_k) + |\langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta| |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|.$$

Thanks to (84), (85), (88) and (89), we obtain

$$|d_k| \leq C |\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \left(\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} + |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \rho_k f_k \right) \tag{97}$$

$$+ C |\langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \alpha_k. \tag{98}$$

We estimate the derivatives of d_k in the following way : by its definition (81), it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla d_k| &\leq C |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| |\nabla^2(\rho_k f_k)| \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(|\nabla^3(\rho_k f_k)| + \rho_k f_k |\langle \nabla Y_k, \nabla^2 Y_k \rangle_\eta| + |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)| \right) \\ &\quad + C |\nabla^2(\rho_k f_k)| |\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| + |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)| |\langle E, \nabla^2 \nu_k \rangle_\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (84), (85), (88), (89), (90), (92), and the ε -regularity, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla d_k| &\leq C |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(\frac{f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s)}{\alpha_k} + \frac{1}{\ell_k \alpha_k} + f_k \rho_k \right) \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \|\nabla Y\|_\eta^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \alpha_k \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \\ &\quad + C \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \alpha_k |\langle E, \nabla^2 \nu_k \rangle_\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

The term ∇d_k appears twice in (94). We estimate the term $(\nabla d_k)e_k$ term by the above estimate and (95):

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla d_k| |e_k| &\leq C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta|^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} + \alpha_k f_k \rho_k \right) \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta|^2 \|\nabla Y\|_\eta^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta|^2 \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla^2 \nu_k \rangle_\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the term $(\nabla d_k)\nabla(\rho_k f_k)$ by (84)-(85) :

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla d_k| |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)| &\leq C |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} + f_k \rho_k \alpha_k \right) \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right) \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \|\nabla Y\|_\eta^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta| \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))} \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k \\ &\quad + C \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \alpha_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla^2 \nu_k \rangle_\eta|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimates $\langle E_k, \nu_k \rangle_\eta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1)$ and $\langle E_k, Y_k \rangle_\eta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1)$, from the above estimates and (94), we conclude :

$$\begin{aligned} \left| |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 \right| &\leq C(\rho_k f_k)^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 \\ &\quad + C |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta|^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right) \left(\alpha_k^2 + \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + o \left(|\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 + \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right) \left(\alpha_k^2 + \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \right) + f_k \rho_k |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 dt d\theta \right| &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta \\ &\quad + C \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\langle \nabla \nu_k, E \rangle_\eta|^2 \left(f_k \mathbf{1}_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]}(s) + \frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right) \left(\alpha_k^2 + \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^2(Q(t,2))}^2 \right) dt d\theta \\ &\quad + o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 + f_k \rho_k |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + \frac{\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k)}{\ell_k^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Each integral is invariant by conformal transformation on Ψ_k . Therefore, we can use (77) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 - |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 dt d\theta \right| &\leq C \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta \\ &\quad + o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 + f_k \rho_k |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + \frac{\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k)}{\ell_k^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

We compute the term $\int f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2$. By integration by parts, it holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta = - \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 \langle E, \Delta Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta + 2f_k (\nabla f_k) \langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta dt d\theta.$$

By Young's inequality

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta \leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 + C |\nabla f_k|^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 + \frac{1}{4} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta.$$

Using $\langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\langle E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 + |\nabla f_k|^2 dt d\theta \right). \quad (100)$$

Therefore, (99) reduces to

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla E_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 dt d\theta + o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla f_k|^2 + f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + \frac{\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k)}{\ell_k^2} \right). \quad (101)$$

Estimate of the term $\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\langle E_k, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2$ in (83) :

We compute the scalar product in the isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ given by the Theorem 4.1 with $\delta = 1$: thanks to (79) and (4),

$$\langle E_k, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta = \langle \rho_k f_k E, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta - e_k \mathring{A}_k.$$

Thanks to (80), (100) and (84) together with $\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\langle E_k, \nabla Y_k \rangle_\eta|^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla f_k|^2 + f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \right). \quad (102)$$

Estimate of the term $\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |E_k|_\eta^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2$ in (83) :

By a direct computation in the isometry of $\mathbb{S}^{3,1}$ given by the Theorem 4.1 with $\delta = 1$, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} |E_k|_\eta^2 &= (\rho_k f_k)^2 - 2\rho_k^2 f_k^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 + 2\rho_k f_k d_k \langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\rho_k f_k e_k^i \langle E, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \\ &\quad + (\rho_k f_k)^2 \langle E, Y_k \rangle_\eta^2 + |e_k^i \partial_i \nu_k|_\eta^2. \end{aligned}$$

By Young inequality,

$$\left| 2\rho_k f_k d_k \langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\rho_k f_k e_k^i \langle E, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \right| \leq \left(|\langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta| + |\langle E, \nabla \nu_k \rangle_\eta| \right) (\rho_k^2 f_k^2 + d_k^2 + |e_k|^2).$$

By the definitions (80)-(81) together with the estimates (84) and (88), we obtain

$$\left| 2\rho_k f_k d_k \langle E, \nu_k \rangle_\eta + 2\rho_k f_k e_k^i \langle E, \partial_i \nu_k \rangle_\eta \right|_{k \rightarrow \infty} = o \left(\rho_k^2 f_k^2 + |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 + \frac{\|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^2(Q(t,2))}^2}{\ell_k^2} \right).$$

Using (85), we obtain the asymptotic expansion

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |E_k|_\eta^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + o \left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla f_k|^2 + f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta + \frac{\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k)}{\ell_k^2} \right). \quad (103)$$

Thanks to (75), we have $o \left(\frac{\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k)}{\ell_k^2} \right) = o(\ell_k^{-2})$. We conclude by (101)-(102)-(103). \square

Now, we show that we can get rid of ρ_k in the expression $\left(\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 - \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \right)$. To do so, we compute it with the change of variable (78).

Lemma 7.6. *It holds*

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 - \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \leq \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k (4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2) ds + o \left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right).$$

Proof. We first show the following :

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla \rho_k|^2 f_k^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o \left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right). \quad (104)$$

We consider the change of variable (78). It holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla \rho_k|^2 f_k^2 dt d\theta &= 2\pi \int_0^{\ell_k} \alpha_k (\partial_s \rho_k)^2 f_k(s)^2 ds \\ &= 2\pi \int_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \alpha_k \sin \left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k} \right)^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\sin(u) \underset{u \rightarrow 0}{=} u + o(u)$ and $\sin(\pi - t) = \sin(t)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla \rho_k|^2 f_k^2 dt d\theta \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \frac{2\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \int_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \alpha_k ds.$$

Since $\int_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \alpha_k ds \leq \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C}_k)}$, we obtain (104).
Using that $\rho_k(s) = 1$ if $s \in [1, \ell_k - 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} |\nabla(\rho_k f_k)|^2 - \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta &\leq \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} 2|(\nabla \rho_k) f_k|^2 + 2|\rho_k \nabla f_k|^2 - \rho_k^2 f_k^2 |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 dt d\theta \\ &\leq \int_{a_k}^{b_k} \rho_k^2 \left(4\pi (\partial_t f_k)^2 - f_k^2 \alpha_k^2 \right) dt + o\left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2}\right) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\ell_k} \rho_k^2 \alpha_k \left(4\pi (\partial_s f_k)^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds + o\left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2}\right) \\ &\leq \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left(4\pi (\partial_s f_k)^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds + o\left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2}\right) \\ &\quad + \int_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \rho_k^2 \alpha_k \left(4\pi (\partial_s f_k)^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using $\partial_s f_k = \frac{\pi}{\ell_k} \cos\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right)$, we obtain an estimate for the last term :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[0,1] \cup [\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \rho_k^2 \alpha_k \left(4\pi (\partial_s f_k)^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds &\stackrel{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} \int_{[0,1]} \rho_k^2 \alpha_k \left(\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \frac{\pi^2 s^2}{\ell_k^2} \right) ds + \int_{[\ell_k-1, \ell_k]} \rho_k^2 \alpha_k \left(\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \frac{\pi^2 (\ell_k - s)^2}{\ell_k^2} \right) ds \\ &\stackrel{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o\left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

To conclude the proof of the proposition 7.3, we prove that the quantity $\left(\int 2|\nabla f_k|^2 - |\nabla Y_k|_\eta^2 f_k^2 dt d\theta \right)$ is exactly of size $\frac{1}{\ell_k^2}$.

Lemma 7.7. *There exists $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_0$,*

$$\int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left(4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds < -\frac{\pi^3 \mu}{2\ell_k^2}.$$

Proof. We now compute the integral :

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left(4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds &= \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \cos^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) - \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \right] ds \\ &= \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

We separate the integral on the domains $[2\pi, \ell_k - 2\pi]$ and $[1, 2\pi] \cup [\ell_k - 2\pi, \ell_k - 1]$:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left(4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2 \right) ds &= \int_{2\pi}^{\ell_k-2\pi} \alpha_k \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_1^{2\pi} \alpha_k \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{2\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \right] ds \\ &\quad + \int_{\ell_k-2\pi}^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi s}{\ell_k}\right) \right] ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\int_a^b \alpha_k(s) ds = \mathcal{A}(Y_k; [a, b] \times \mathbb{S}^1)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k (4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2) ds &\leq \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \sin \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{\ell_k} \right)^2 \right] \mathcal{A}(Y_k; [2\pi, \ell_k - 2\pi] \times \mathbb{S}^1) \\ &\quad + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \mathcal{A}(Y_k; ([0, 2\pi] \cup [\ell_k - 2\pi, \ell_k]) \times \mathbb{S}^1). \end{aligned}$$

Since Y_k has average lorentz length 4π on $([0, 2\pi] \cup [\ell_k - 2\pi, \ell_k]) \times \mathbb{S}^1$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}(Y_k; ([0, 2\pi] \cup [\ell_k - 2\pi, \ell_k]) \times \mathbb{S}^1) \leq C \|\nabla Y_k\|_{L_\eta^\infty(\mathcal{C}_k)} \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0.$$

Thanks to (75), for k large enough, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}(Y_k; ([0, 2\pi] \cup [\ell_k - 2\pi, \ell_k]) \times \mathbb{S}^1) &\leq \frac{\mu}{10^{1000}}, \\ \mathcal{A}(Y_k; [2\pi, \ell_k - 2\pi] \times \mathbb{S}^1) &\geq \frac{\mu}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the asymptotic expansion $\sin(u) \underset{u \rightarrow 0}{=} u + o(u)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^{\ell_k-1} \alpha_k (4\pi |\partial_s f_k|_\eta^2 - f_k^2) ds &\leq \left[\frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} - \left(1 + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \right) \left(\frac{2\pi^2}{\ell_k} \right)^2 + o \left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right) \right] \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{4\pi^3}{\ell_k^2} \frac{\mu}{10^{1000}} \\ &\leq \left(2\pi^3 - 2\pi^4 + \frac{2\pi^3}{10^{1000}} \right) \frac{\mu}{\ell_k^2} + o \left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right) \\ &\leq -\frac{\pi^3 \mu}{\ell_k^2} + o \left(\frac{1}{\ell_k^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

We conclude the proof of the Lemma 7.3 by choosing $V_k = E_k$. Thanks to the Lemma 7.5 and the Lemma 7.7, we obtain $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(V_k) < 0$ for k large enough.

7.3 Lower bound on the Willmore index

In this section, we prove that $\text{Ind}_\mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} +\infty$.

Thanks to (73), for any $J \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a subdivision of \mathcal{C}_k in J subcylinders $\mathcal{C}_k^1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_k^J$ such that for any $j \in \llbracket 1, J \rrbracket$, it holds $\mathcal{A}(Y_k; \mathcal{C}_k^j) \in [\frac{\lambda}{2J}, \frac{\lambda}{J}]$. Thanks to the lemma 7.3, for each $j \in \llbracket 1, J \rrbracket$, there exists $k_{\lambda, j} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_{\lambda, j}$, there exists a vector field V_k^j supported on \mathcal{C}_k^j such that $\delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(V_k^j) < 0$. Since Y_k is a critical point of both \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} , with $\mathcal{A} \leq \mathcal{D}$, it holds $\delta^2 \mathcal{A}_{Y_k}(V_k^j) \leq \delta^2 \mathcal{D}_{Y_k}(V_k^j) < 0$. Since each V_k^j comes from a variation through conformal Gauss maps, to each V_k^j corresponds a Jacobi field for Ψ_k . Hence for $k \geq \max\{k_{\lambda, 1}, \dots, k_{\lambda, J}\}$, it holds $\text{Ind}_\mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) \geq J$. So for any $J \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain $\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ind}_\mathcal{E}(\Psi_k) \geq J$.

A Quatization for \mathcal{E} is equivalent to quantization for W

Recall that for any immersion $\Phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, with $g_\Phi = \Phi^* \xi$, we have $|\dot{A}_\Phi|_{g_\Phi}^2 = 2H_\Phi^2 - 2K_\Phi$. Therefore, to prove quantization for the lagragian W knowing the quantization for the lagragian \mathcal{E} , we only have to prove the quantization for $\Phi \mapsto \int_\Sigma K_\Phi d\text{vol}_{g_\Phi}$.

We recall [4, Lemma V.1] :

Lemma A.1. *There exists $\eta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any dyadic annuli $B_R(0) \setminus B_r(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ with $R > 4r$, $\eta \in (0, \eta_0)$, and any conformal immersion $\Phi : B_R(0) \setminus B_r(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ with L^2 -bounded second fundamental form satisfying :*

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_\Phi\|_{L^2, \infty(B_R \setminus B_r)} + \int_{\partial B_r(0)} |\nabla \vec{n}_\Phi| + \|\nabla \vec{n}_\Phi\|_{L^2([B_R \setminus B_{R/2}] \cup [B_{2r} \setminus B_r])} \leq \eta.$$

Then, its Gauss curvature K_Φ satisfies

$$\left| \int_{B_R(0) \setminus B_r(0)} K_\Phi d\text{vol}_{g_\Phi} \right| \leq C\eta.$$

Consider a neck or a collar region $\mathcal{C}_k := B_{R_k} \setminus B_{r_k}$ with $\frac{r_k}{R_k} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$, developed by a sequence $(\Phi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of Willmore immersions from $\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$. Using the estimate (8) and (VI.5)-(VI.6) in [4], we have that

$$\|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Phi_k}\|_{L^2, \infty(B_{4R_k/5} \setminus B_{5r_k/5})} \leq C \sup_{\rho \in [r_k, R_k/2]} \|\nabla \vec{n}_{\Phi_k}\|_{L^2(B_{2\rho} \setminus B_\rho)}.$$

So that

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{C}_k} K_{\Phi_k} d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi_k}} \right| \underset{k \rightarrow \infty}{=} o(1).$$

Assume that $(\Sigma, [g_{\Phi_k}])$ converges to a nodal surfaces $(\tilde{\Sigma}, \tilde{h})$. Let $(\tilde{\Sigma}^l)_{l \in [1, q]}$ be the connected components of $\tilde{\Sigma}$. Assume that we have quantization : there exists q branched immersions $\Phi_\infty^l : \tilde{\Sigma}^l \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ and a finite number of immersions $\omega_j : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ which are Willmore away from possibly finitely many points, with a possible finite number of ends and branch points, such that, up to a subsequence,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{E}(\Phi_k) = \sum_{l=1}^q \mathcal{E}(\Phi_\infty^l) + \sum_{j=1}^p \mathcal{E}(\omega_j).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} W(\Phi_k) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathring{A}_{\Phi_k} \right|_{g_{\Phi_k}}^2 + K_{\Phi_k} d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi_k}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^q \int_{\tilde{\Sigma}^l} \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathring{A}_{\Phi_\infty^l} \right|_{g_{\Phi_\infty^l}}^2 + K_{\Phi_\infty^l} d\text{vol}_{g_{\Phi_\infty^l}} + \sum_{j=1}^p \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{1}{2} \left| \mathring{A}_{\omega_j} \right|_{g_{\omega_j}}^2 + K_{\omega_j} d\text{vol}_{g_{\omega_j}} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^q W(\Phi_\infty^l) + \sum_{j=1}^p W(\omega_j). \end{aligned}$$

B Technical results for the Section 5.1

The two following proposition can be proved just as the corollaries 2.1 and 2.2 from [3], by changing the domains B_1 and $B_{1/2}$ by $B_2 \setminus B_1$ and $B_{11/6} \setminus B_{7/6}$.

Proposition B.1. *Let $\Phi : B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a conformal Willmore immersion. There exists $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that, if*

$$\int_{B_2 \setminus B_1} |\nabla \vec{n}|^2 < \varepsilon_1, \quad \|\nabla \lambda\|_{L^2, \infty(B_2 \setminus B_1)} \leq C_0.$$

for some $C_0 > 0$, then there exists $C = C(C_0) > 0$ such that

$$\left\| \left(H - \int_{B_{11/6} \setminus B_{7/6}} H \right) e^\lambda \right\|_{L^2(B_{11/6} \setminus B_{7/6})} \leq C \left\| \mathring{A} e^{-\lambda} \right\|_{L^2(B_2 \setminus B_1)}.$$

Lemma B.2. *Let $\Phi : B_2(0) \setminus B_1(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ be a conformal Willmore immersion such that its conformal factor λ_Φ satisfies $C^{-1} < e^{\lambda_\Phi} < C$, and $\|\Phi\|_{W^{1,\infty}(B_2(0)\setminus B_1(0))} < C$. There exists $\varepsilon_2 > 0$ such that if*

$$\int_{B_2(0)\setminus B_1(0)} \left| \mathring{A}_\Phi e^{-\lambda_\Phi} \right|^2 < \varepsilon_2,$$

Then, its conformal Gauss map Y_Φ satisfy

$$\left| \int_{B_2(0)\setminus B_1(0)} Y_\Phi \right|_\eta^2 \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

The proofs rely on two main ingredients. First, the Gauss-Codazzi equations, which are independant of the domain. Second, on [7, Theorem 3] stating that we can solve $\operatorname{div} Y = f$ on any Lipschitz, connected, bounded open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, with the bounds $\|Y\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|Y\|_{W^{1,2}(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega)\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. So all the arguments still hold if the domain is not simply connected.

C Umbilic circles

Lemma C.1. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider a Willmore immersion $\Psi : [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^3$ not totally umbilic. There exists a diffeomorphism $f : [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow [0, T] \times \mathbb{S}^1$, such that $\Psi \circ f$ has no circle of umbilic points of the form $\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$. We can choose f such that $\|f - \operatorname{id}\|_{W^{k,\infty}([0,T]\times\mathbb{S}^1)} \leq \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Indeed, assume that $\mathring{A}_\Psi = 0$ on $\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1$ and consider a small neighbourhood $[t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1$, for some $s \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that \mathring{A}_Ψ doesn't vanish on $([t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1) \setminus (\{t\} \times \mathbb{S}^1)$. Then, choose $f : [t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow [t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ defined by

$$\forall (\tau, \theta) \in [t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1, \quad f(\tau, \theta) = (\tau + a\eta(\tau) \sin(\theta), \theta),$$

where $\eta : [t-s, t+s] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a cutoff function such that $\eta = 0$ on $[t-s, t-2s/3] \cup [t+2s/3, t+s]$, $\eta = 1$ on $[t-s/3, t+s/3]$, and for any $i \in \llbracket 1, k \rrbracket$, $s^i |\nabla^i \eta| \leq C$, and $a > 0$ is small enough in order to have $f : [t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow [t-s, t+s] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Then there is no more $\tau \in [t-s, t+s]$ such that $\mathring{A}_{\Psi \circ f} = \mathring{A}_\Psi \circ f$ satisfy $(\mathring{A}_{\Psi \circ f})|_{\{\tau\} \times \mathbb{S}^1} = 0$. We can estimate :

$$\|f - \operatorname{id}\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq a \|\eta(\tau) \sin(\theta)\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq Ca \left(\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{1}{s^i} \right).$$

Up to reduce again a , it holds $\|f - \operatorname{id}\|_{W^{k,\infty}} \leq \varepsilon$. □

References

- [1] M. A. Akivis and V. V. Gol'dberg. *Conformal Differential Geometry and Its Generalizations*. Pure and Applied Mathematics. Wiley, New York, 1996.
- [2] Yann Bernard. Noether's theorem and the Willmore functional. *Advances in Calculus of Variations*, 9(3):217–234, 2016.
- [3] Yann Bernard, Paul Laurain, and Nicolas Marque. Energy Estimates for the Tracefree Curvature of Willmore Surfaces and Applications, September 2020.
- [4] Yann Bernard and Tristan Rivière. Energy quantization for Willmore surfaces and applications. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 180(1):87–136, 2014.

- [5] Yann Bernard and Tristan Rivière. Uniform regularity results for critical and subcritical surface energies. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 58(1):Art. 10, 39, 2019.
- [6] Wilhelm Blaschke. *Vorlesungen Über Integralgeometrie*. Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1955.
- [7] Jean Bourgain and Haïm Brezis. On the equation $\operatorname{div} Y=f$ and application to control of phases. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(2):393–426, 2003.
- [8] Robert L. Bryant. A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 20(1):23–53, 1984.
- [9] Li Chen, Yuxiang Li, and Youde Wang. The Refined Analysis on the Convergence Behavior of Harmonic Map Sequence from Cylinders. *Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 22(4):942–963, October 2012.
- [10] Jost-Hinrich Eschenburg. Willmore surfaces and Moebius Geometry.
- [11] Udo Hertrich-Jeromin. *Introduction to Möbius Differential Geometry*, volume 300 of *London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [12] J. Hirsch and E. Mader-Baumdicker. On the Index of Willmore spheres. *arXiv: Differential Geometry*, May 2019.
- [13] Jonas Hirsch, Rob Kusner, and Elena Mäder-Baumdicker. Geometry of complete minimal surfaces at infinity and the Willmore index of their inversions, November 2021.
- [14] Christoph Hummel. *Gromov’s Compactness Theorem for Pseudo-Holomorphic Curves*, volume 151 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.
- [15] Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle. The Willmore Flow with Small Initial Energy. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 57(3):409–441, March 2001.
- [16] Ernst Kuwert and Reiner Schätzle. The Willmore functional. In Giuseppe Mingione, editor, *Topics in Modern Regularity Theory*, CRM Series, pages 1–115, Pisa, 2012. Edizioni della Normale.
- [17] Paul Laurain and Tristan Rivière. Angular energy quantization for linear elliptic systems with antisymmetric potentials and applications. *Analysis & PDE*, 7(1):1–41, May 2014.
- [18] Paul Laurain and Tristan Rivière. Energy quantization of Willmore surfaces at the boundary of the moduli space. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 167(11):2073–2124, August 2018.
- [19] Paul Laurain and Tristan Rivière. Optimal estimate for the gradient of Green’s function on degenerating surfaces and applications. *Communications in Analysis and Geometry*, 26(4):887–913, July 2018.
- [20] Yuxiang Li, Lei Liu, and Youde Wang. Blowup behavior of harmonic maps with finite index. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 56(5):146, October 2017.
- [21] Nicolas Marque. Conformal Gauss map geometry and application to Willmore surfaces in model spaces. *Potential Analysis. An International Journal Devoted to the Interactions between Potential Theory, Probability Theory, Geometry and Functional Analysis*, 54(2):227–271, 2021.
- [22] Fernando C. Marques and André Neves. Min-max theory and the Willmore conjecture. *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series*, 179(2):683–782, 2014.
- [23] Alexis Michelat. On the Morse index of branched Willmore spheres in 3-space. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 60(4):126, June 2021.
- [24] Alexis Michelat and Tristan Rivière. A Viscosity method for the min-max construction of closed geodesics. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, 22(4):1282–1324, October 2016.

- [25] Bennett Palmer. The conformal Gauss map and the stability of Willmore surfaces. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry*, 9(3):305–317, January 1991.
- [26] Thomas H. Parker. Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 44(3):595–633, 1996.
- [27] U. Pinkall. Hopf tori in \mathbb{S}^3 . *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 81(2):379–386, 1985.
- [28] Tristan Rivière. Analysis aspects of Willmore surfaces. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 174(1):1–45, October 2008.
- [29] Tristan Rivière. Variational principles for immersed surfaces with L^2 -bounded second fundamental form. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik. [Crelle's Journal]*, 695:41–98, 2014.
- [30] Tristan Rivière. Weak immersions of surfaces with L^2 -bounded second fundamental form. In *Geometric analysis*, volume 22 of *IAS/Park City Math. Ser.*, pages 303–384. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016.
- [31] Tristan Rivière. A viscosity method in the min-max theory of minimal surfaces. *Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 126(1):177–246, November 2017.
- [32] Tristan Rivière. Willmore minmax surfaces and the cost of the sphere eversion. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 23(2):349–423, October 2020.
- [33] Tristan Rivière. Lower Semi-continuity of the Index in the Viscosity Method for Minimal Surfaces. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2021(8):5651–5675, April 2021.
- [34] J. Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck. The Existence of Minimal Immersions of 2-Spheres. *Annals of Mathematics*, 113(1):1–24, 1981.
- [35] Reiner M. Schätzle. The umbilic set of Willmore surfaces, October 2017.
- [36] T. J. Willmore. Note on embedded surfaces. *Analele Științifice ale Universității "Al. I. Cuza" din Iasi. Secțiunea I a. Matematică. Serie Nouă*, 11B:493–496, 1965.
- [37] Miaomiao Zhu. Harmonic maps from degenerating Riemann surfaces. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 264(1):63, November 2008.
- [38] Miaomiao Zhu. Regularity for harmonic maps into certain pseudo-Riemannian manifolds. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 99(1):106–123, January 2013.