

# Polynomials with exponents in compact convex sets and associated weighted extremal functions - Characterization of polynomials by $L^2$ -estimates

Benedikt Steinar Magnússon, Álfheiður Edda Sigurðardóttir,  
Ragnar Sigurðsson and Bergur Snorrason

## Abstract

The main result of this paper is that an entire function  $f$  that is in  $L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \psi)$  with respect to the weight  $\psi(z) = 2mH_S(z) + \gamma \log(1 + |z|^2)$  is a polynomial with exponents in  $m\widehat{S}_\Gamma$ . Here  $H_S$  is the logarithmic supporting function of a compact convex set  $S \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$  with  $0 \in S$ ,  $\gamma \geq 0$  is small enough in terms of  $m$ , and  $\widehat{S}_\Gamma$  is the hull of  $S$  with respect to a certain cone  $\Gamma$  depending on  $S$ ,  $m$  and  $\gamma$ . An example showing that in general  $\widehat{S}_\Gamma$  can not be replaced by  $S$  is constructed.

*Subject Classification (2020):* 32U35. Secondary 32A08, 32A15, 32U15, 32W50.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $S$  be a compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  with  $0 \in S$ . We let  $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$  denote the space of all polynomials  $p$  of the form

$$p(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in (mS) \cap \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and set  $\mathcal{P}^S(\mathbb{C}^n) = \cup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . The  $S$ -Lelong class  $\mathcal{L}^S(\mathbb{C}^n) \subset \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is defined in the following way in terms of the *supporting function*  $\varphi_S$  of  $S$ ,

$$\varphi_S(\xi) = \sup_{s \in S} \langle s, \xi \rangle, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and the map  $\text{Log}: \mathbb{C}^{*n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\text{Log } z = (\log |z_1|, \dots, \log |z_n|), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^{*n}.$$

We define the *logarithmic supporting function*  $H_S \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of  $S$  by

$$H_S = \varphi_S \circ \text{Log}$$

on  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$  and extend the definition to the coordinate hyperplanes by the formula

$$H_S(z) = \overline{\lim}_{\mathbb{C}^{*n} \ni w \rightarrow z} H_S(w), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^{*n}.$$

The  $S$ -Lelong class  $\mathcal{L}^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is defined as the set of all  $u \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  satisfying a growth estimate of the form  $u \leq c_u + H_S$  for some constant  $c_u$ .

Let  $N_s^S = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle s, \xi \rangle = \varphi_S(\xi)\}$  denote the *normal cone* of  $S$  at the point  $s$  and define for every cone  $\Gamma \subset (\mathbb{R}^n \setminus N_0^S) \cup \{0\}$  with  $\Gamma \neq \{0\}$  the  $\Gamma$ -*hull* of  $S$  by


$$(1.1) \quad \widehat{S}_\Gamma = \{x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n; \langle x, \xi \rangle \leq \varphi_S(\xi), \xi \in \Gamma\}.$$

We say that  $S$  is  $\Gamma$ -*convex* if  $S = \widehat{S}_\Gamma$  and that  $S$  is a *lower set* if for every  $s \in S$  the cube  $[0, s_1] \times \cdots \times [0, s_n]$  is contained in  $S$ . Every lower set is  $\Gamma$ -convex with respect to the cone  $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}_+^n$ . (See [2], Section 4.) Our main result is:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $S$  be a compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  with  $0 \in S$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , and  $d_m = d(mS, \mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS)$  denote the euclidean distance between the sets  $mS$  and  $\mathbb{N}^n \setminus mS$ . Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ , assume that*

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f|^2 (1 + |\zeta|^2)^{-\gamma} e^{-2mH_S} d\lambda < +\infty$$

for some  $0 \leq \gamma < d_m$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the cone consisting of all  $\xi$  such that the angle between the vectors  $\mathbf{1} = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\xi$  is  $\leq \arccos(-(d_m - \gamma)/\sqrt{n})$  and let  $\widehat{S}_\Gamma$  be the hull of  $S$  with respect to the cone  $\Gamma$  defined by (1.1). Then  $f \in \mathcal{P}_m^{\widehat{S}_\Gamma}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .



The cone  $\Gamma$  has opening angle  $\theta_m = \arccos(-(d_m - \gamma)/\sqrt{n})$

Observe that the largest possible  $d_m$  is 1 and smallest possible  $\gamma$  is 0, which implies that the largest possible opening angle of the cone  $\Gamma$  is  $\arccos(-1/\sqrt{n})$ . If  $\gamma_0$  is the infimum of  $\gamma$  such that (1.2) holds, then  $\Gamma(\gamma_0) = \cup_{\gamma > \gamma_0} \Gamma(\gamma)$ . Therefore  $f$  is a polynomial with exponents in  $m\widehat{S}_{\Gamma(\gamma_0)} = \cap_{\gamma > \gamma_0} m\widehat{S}_{\Gamma(\gamma)}$ . We are interested in determining conditions on  $S$  which ensure that  $\widehat{S}_\Lambda = S$  for some cone  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ .

**Corollary 1.2** *The function  $f$  in Theorem 1.1 is in  $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$  in the cases:*

- (i)  $S$  is a lower set.
- (ii)  $S = \widehat{S}_\Lambda$  for some cone  $\Lambda$  contained in  $\{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n; \langle \mathbf{1}, \xi \rangle \geq 0\}$ .
- (iii)  $(mS) \cap \mathbb{N}^n = (m\widehat{S}_\Gamma) \cap \mathbb{N}^n$ .

Bayraktar, Hussung, Levenberg, and Perera [1], stated in Proposition 4.3 that a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  satisfying (1.2) is in  $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$  when  $S$  is a polytope. This is true for example in the cases mentioned in Corollary 1.2. However this is not correct in general as seen by Example 4.1.

For an exposition of the general background to the results in this paper see [2] and the references therein.

## Acknowledgment

The results of this paper are a part of a research project, *Holomorphic Approximations and Pluripotential Theory*, with project grant no. 207236-051 supported by the Icelandic Research Fund. We would like to thank the Fund for its support and the Mathematics Division, Science Institute, University of Iceland, for hosting the project.

## 2 Taylor expansions of entire functions

Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  be an entire function with Taylor expansion  $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha$  at the origin. Let us now derive an estimate of the coefficients  $a_\alpha = f^{(\alpha)}(0)/\alpha!$ .

The Cauchy formula implies that for every polycircle  $C_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n; |z_j| = r_j\}$  with center 0 and polyradius  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^{*n}$  we have

$$(2.1) \quad a_\alpha = \frac{f^{(\alpha)}(0)}{\alpha!} = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{C_r} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\zeta_1 \cdots d\zeta_n}{\zeta_1 \cdots \zeta_n}.$$

We parametrize  $C_r$  by  $[-\pi, \pi]^n \ni \theta \mapsto (r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})$  and get

$$(2.2) \quad a_\alpha = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{[-\pi, \pi]^n} \frac{f(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})}{r^\alpha e^{i\langle \alpha, \theta \rangle}} d\theta_1 \cdots d\theta_n.$$

We take  $\sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\sigma_j < \tau_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and define

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\sigma, \tau} &= \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^n; e^{\sigma_j} \leq |\zeta_j| < e^{\tau_j}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^n, \\ L_{\sigma, \tau} &= \prod_{j=1}^n ([e^{\sigma_j}, e^{\tau_j}] \times [-\pi, \pi]) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n} = (\mathbb{R}^2)^n, \quad \text{and} \\ K_{\sigma, \tau} &= \prod_{j=1}^n [\sigma_j, \tau_j] \subset \mathbb{R}^n. \end{aligned}$$

We multiply both sides of (2.2) by  $r_1 \cdots r_n dr_1 \cdots dr_n$ , integrate with respect to the variables  $r_j$  over  $[e^{\sigma_j}, e^{\tau_j}]$ , observe that  $\int_{[e^{\sigma_j}, e^{\tau_j}]} r_j dr_j = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\tau_j} - e^{2\sigma_j})$ , and get

$$(2.4) \quad a_\alpha = \frac{1}{v(A_{\sigma, \tau})} \int_{L_{\sigma, \tau}} \frac{f(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n})}{r^\alpha e^{i\langle \alpha, \theta \rangle}} (r_1 dr_1 d\theta_1) \cdots (r_n dr_n d\theta_n),$$

where  $v(A_{\sigma,\tau}) = \pi^n \prod_{j=1}^n (e^{2\tau_j} - e^{2\sigma_j})$  is the volume of the polyannulus. By switching back to the original coordinates  $\zeta_j = r_j e^{i\theta_j}$  we get

$$(2.5) \quad a_\alpha = \frac{1}{v(A_{\sigma,\tau})} \int_{A_{\sigma,\tau}} \frac{f(\zeta)}{\zeta^\alpha} d\lambda(\zeta).$$

Now we assume that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \psi) \cap \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  for some measurable  $\psi: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , i.e.,

$$(2.6) \quad \|f\|_\psi^2 = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} |f|^2 e^{-\psi} d\lambda < +\infty,$$

By (2.5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |a_\alpha| &\leq \frac{1}{v(A_{\sigma,\tau})} \int_{A_{\sigma,\tau}} |f(\zeta)| e^{-\psi(\zeta)/2} \cdot \frac{e^{\psi(\zeta)/2}}{|\zeta^\alpha|} d\lambda(\zeta) \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_\psi}{v(A_{\sigma,\tau})} \left( \int_{A_{\sigma,\tau}} \frac{e^{\psi(\zeta)}}{|\zeta^\alpha|^2} d\lambda(\zeta) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\psi$  is rotationally invariant in each variable  $\zeta_j$ , i.e.,  $\psi(r_1 e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, r_n e^{i\theta_n}) = \psi(r_1, \dots, r_n)$ , then we introduce logarithmic coordinates  $\xi_j = \log r_j$  and set  $\chi(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\psi(e^{\xi_1}, \dots, e^{\xi_n})$ , and observe that  $r_j dr_j = e^{2\xi_j} d\xi_j$  and get

$$(2.8) \quad \int_{A_{\sigma,\tau}} \frac{e^{\psi(\zeta)}}{|\zeta^\alpha|^2} d\lambda(\zeta) = (2\pi)^n \int_{K_{\sigma,\tau}} e^{2(\chi(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle + \langle \mathbf{1}, \xi \rangle)} d\lambda(\xi).$$

We have  $v(A_{\sigma,\tau}) = \pi^n \prod_{j=1}^n (e^{2\tau_j} - e^{2\sigma_j})$ , so by combining (2.7) and (2.8) we get

$$(2.9) \quad |a_\alpha| \leq \frac{\|f\|_\psi}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - e^{-2(\tau_j - \sigma_j)})} e^{-2\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle} \left( \int_{K_{\sigma,\tau}} e^{2(\chi(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle + \langle \mathbf{1}, \xi \rangle)} d\lambda(\xi) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since  $\xi_j \leq \tau_j$  for every  $\xi \in K_{\sigma,\tau}$  we arrive at the estimate

$$(2.10) \quad |a_\alpha| \leq \frac{\|f\|_\psi}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - e^{-2(\tau_j - \sigma_j)})} e^{-\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle} \left( \int_{K_{\sigma,\tau}} e^{2(\chi(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle)} d\lambda(\xi) \right)^{1/2}.$$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let  $f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha z^\alpha$  be the Taylor expansion of  $f$  at the origin. We need to show that  $a_\alpha = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \setminus m\widehat{S}_\Gamma$ . Since  $\alpha \notin m\widehat{S}_\Gamma$ , there exists  $\tau \in \Gamma$  such that  $|\tau| = 1$  and  $\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle > m\varphi_S(\tau)$ . By rotating  $\tau$  we may assume that  $\tau$  is an interior point of  $\Gamma$  which gives  $-\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle < d_m - \gamma_0$ . We choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $d_m - \gamma - \varepsilon > 0$ , and  $-\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle < d_m - \gamma - \varepsilon$ .

Recall that  $\langle \alpha, \tau \rangle - m\varphi_S(\tau)$  is the euclidean distance from  $\alpha$  to the supporting hyperplane  $\{x; \langle x, \tau \rangle = m\varphi_S(\tau)\}$ , so by assumption  $m\varphi_S(\tau) - \langle \alpha, \tau \rangle \leq -d_m$ . Hence

$$-\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle + m\varphi_S(\tau) - \langle \alpha, \tau \rangle < -\gamma - \varepsilon.$$

We choose  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\sigma_j < \tau_j$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and

$$-\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle + m\varphi_S(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle < -(\gamma + \varepsilon)|\xi|, \quad \xi \in K_{\sigma, \tau}.$$

By homogeneity we get

$$(3.1) \quad -t\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle + m\varphi_S(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle < -(\gamma + \varepsilon)|\xi|, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in tK_{\sigma, \tau}.$$

Let  $\xi_j = \log |\zeta_j|$  and observe that  $(1 + |\zeta|^2)^\gamma \leq (n + 1)^\gamma \max\{1, \|\zeta\|_\infty^{2\gamma}\}$ . From this inequality and (1.2) it follows that  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{C}^n, \psi)$ , where

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2}\psi(\zeta) = \gamma \log \|\zeta\|_\infty + mH_S(\zeta) = \gamma \|\xi\|_\infty + m\varphi_S(\xi).$$

We set  $\chi(\xi) = \frac{1}{2}\psi(e^{\xi_1}, \dots, e^{\xi_n})$ . Then the estimate (3.1) gives

$$-t\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle + \chi(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle < -\varepsilon|\xi|, \quad t > 0, \quad \xi \in tK_{\sigma, \tau},$$

the estimate (2.10) with  $tK_{\sigma, \tau}$  in the role of  $K_{\sigma, \tau}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\alpha| &\leq \frac{\|f\|_\psi}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - e^{-2(\tau_j - \sigma_j)t})} e^{-t\langle \mathbf{1}, \tau \rangle} \left( \int_{tK_{\sigma, \tau}} e^{2(\chi(\xi) - \langle \alpha, \xi \rangle)} d\lambda(\xi) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_\psi}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - e^{-2(\tau_j - \sigma_j)t})} e^{-\varepsilon|\sigma|t} t^{n/2} v(K_{\sigma, \tau})^{1/2} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that  $a_\alpha = 0$ .

## 4 An example

Observe that (1.2) is equivalent to  $\|f\|_\psi < +\infty$  in the notation presented in (2.6) for  $\psi \in \mathcal{PSH}(\mathbb{C}^n)$  given by

$$\psi(z) = 2mH_S(z) + \gamma \log(1 + |z|^2), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Note that  $\|f\|_{2mH_S} \geq \|f\|_\psi$  for any  $\gamma \geq 0$ , so if  $\|f\|_{2mH_S} < +\infty$ , then  $\|f\|_\psi < +\infty$ .

Now we are going to give an example of a compact convex neighbourhood of 0 in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  denoted by  $S$ , such that for every  $m \geq 4$ , there exist polynomials  $p$  such that  $\|p\|_{2mH_S} < +\infty$ , but  $p \notin \mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ . This shows that  $\widehat{S}_\Gamma$  in Theorem 1.1 can not be replaced by  $S$ .


**Example 4.1** Let  $m \geq 4$  and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+^2$  be the quadrilateral

$$S = \text{ch}\{(0, 0), (a, 0), (b, 1 - b), (0, 1)\}.$$

where  $0 < a < 1/m$ ,  $0 < a < b < 1$ ,  $m(1 - b) < 1$ , and  $(b - a)/(1 - b) > m - 2 - am$ .

Then  $(1, 0), (2, 0), \dots, (m - 3, 0) \notin mS$ , but the following calculations show that  $\|p_k\|_{2mH_S} < +\infty$  for

$$p_k(z) = z^{(k, 0)} = z_1^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, m - 3.$$



Since the map  $(\mathbb{R} \times ]-\pi, \pi[)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ ,  $(\xi_1, \theta_1, \xi_2, \theta_2) \mapsto (e^{\xi_1 + i\theta_1}, e^{\xi_2 + i\theta_2})$  has the Jacobi determinant  $e^{2\xi_1 + 2\xi_2}$ , we have

$$\|p_k\|_{2mH_S} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} |z_1|^{2k} e^{-2mH_S(z)} d\lambda(z) = 4\pi^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1 + 2\xi_2 - 2m\varphi_S(\xi)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2.$$

Observe that from [2], equations (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$\varphi_S(\xi) = \max_{x \in \text{ext}(S)} \langle x, \xi \rangle = \begin{cases} 0, & \xi \in N_{(0,0)}^S, \\ a\xi_1, & \xi \in N_{(a,0)}^S, \\ b\xi_1 + (1-b)\xi_2, & \xi \in N_{(b,(1-b))}^S, \\ \xi_2, & \xi \in N_{(0,1)}^S. \end{cases}$$

We split the integral over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  into the sum of the integrals over the normal cones at the extreme points of  $S$ , which we calculate as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_{(0,0)}^S} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1 + 2\xi_2} d\xi &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2(k+1)\xi_1} d\xi_1 \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{2\xi_2} d\xi_2 = \frac{1}{4(k+1)}, \\ \int_{N_{(a,0)}^S} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1 + 2\xi_2 - 2ma\xi_1} d\xi &= \int_0^\infty e^{2(k+1-ma)\xi_1} \int_{-\infty}^{-\xi_1(b-a)/(1-b)} e^{2\xi_2} d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4((b-a)/(1-b) + ma - 1 - k)}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_{(b,(1-b))}^S} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1 + 2\xi_2 - 2m(b\xi_1 + (1-b)\xi_2)} d\xi \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{2(k+1-mb)\xi_1} \int_{-\xi_1(b-a)/(1-b)}^{\xi_1} e^{2(1-m(1-b))\xi_2} d\xi_2 d\xi_1 \\ &= \frac{1}{4(1-m(1-b))} \left( \frac{1}{m-2-k} + \frac{1}{(b-a)/(1-b) + ma - 1 - k} \right), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_{(0,1)}^S} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1+2\xi_2-2m\xi_2} d\xi &= \int_0^\infty e^{2(1-m)\xi_2} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi_2} e^{2(k+1)\xi_1} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4(k+1)(m-2-k)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\|p_k\|_{2mH_S} < +\infty$ , and we have found polynomials satisfying (1.2) which are not in  $\mathcal{P}_m^S(\mathbb{C}^n)$ .

## References

- [1] T. BAYRAKTAR, S. HUSSUNG, N. LEVENBERG, AND M. PERERA, *Pluripotential theory and convex bodies: a Siciak-Zaharjuta theorem*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 20 (2020), pp. 571–590.
- [2] B. S. MAGNÚSSON, Á. E. SIGURÐARDÓTTIR, R. SIGURÐSSON, AND B. SNORRASON, *Polynomials with exponents in compact convex sets and associated weighted extremal functions: Fundamental results*, arXiv:2305.04779, (2023).

Science Institute, University of Iceland, IS-107 Reykjavík, ICELAND

bsm@hi.is, alfheidur@hi.is, ragnar@hi.is, bergur@hi.is.