

The Undecidability of Unification Modulo σ Alone

Gilles Dowek

École polytechnique and INRIA
LIX, École polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France.
gilles.dowek@polytechnique.edu

Definition 1. A second order context is a context in which all types are of order at most 2.

In λ -calculus, a unification problem $a = b$ is a second order unification problem if its context is second order, the common type of a and b is atomic and all the free variables of a and b have a type of order at most 2.

Proposition 1. There is no algorithm that decides if a second order unification problem has a solution or not.

Proof. See [2].

Definition 2. A $\lambda\sigma$ -term is said to be simple if every of its subterms of the form $X[s]$ is such that $s = \uparrow^n$ for some n . A substitution is said to be simple if for every variable X , θX is simple.

Proposition 2. A problem $a = b$ has a solution, if and only if $a_F = b_F$ has a solution in λ -calculus, if and only if $a_F = b_F$ has a solution in the image of F , if and only if $a_F = b_F$ has a simple solution.

Proof. See [1].

Proposition 3. Let σ be the substitution mapping every variable X of sort $(\Gamma, A_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow B)$ occurring in $a = b$ to the term $\lambda\dots\lambda Y$ where Y is a new variable of sort $(A_1\dots A_n, \Gamma, B)$. Let \tilde{a} be the normal form of σa_F and \tilde{b} be the normal form of σb_F .

The problem $a = b$ has a solution if and only if $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ has a simple solution.

Proof. The problem $a = b$ has a solution if and only if $a_F = b_F$ has a simple solution. If $a_F = b_F$ has a simple solution mapping X_i to $\lambda\dots\lambda c_i$ then the substitution mapping Y_i to c_i is a simple solution to $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$. Conversely if $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ has a simple solution mapping X_i to c_i , the substitution mapping X_i to $\lambda\dots\lambda c_i$ is a simple solution to problem $a_F = b_F$.

Remark 1. The context of the equation $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ is second order. The contexts of all the variables occurring in this problem are second order and their types is atomic. If $X[c_1\dots c_p, \uparrow^n]$ occurs in this problem then c_i 's have a first order type.

Proposition 4. Let a be a normal term well typed of atomic type in a second order context, such that all the variables occurring in a have a second order context and an atomic type and if $X[c_1\dots c_p, \uparrow^n]$ occurs in this problem then c_i 's have a first order type.

Let θ be a simple substitution.

Then $(\theta a) \downarrow_{\lambda\sigma} = (\theta a) \downarrow_{\sigma}$.

Proof. By induction over the structure of a .

- If $a = (i b_1 \dots b_n)$ then the types of b_i 's are atomic. The result follows by induction.
- If $a = X[c_1\dots c_p, \uparrow^n]$, then let $d = \theta X$ we prove by induction on the structure of d that $(d[c_1\dots c_p, \uparrow^n]) \downarrow_{\lambda\sigma} = (d[c_1\dots c_p, \uparrow^n]) \downarrow_{\sigma}$

- if $d = (i e_1 \dots e_q)$ then the e_i 's have an atomic type and we apply the induction hypothesis,
- if $d = X[\uparrow^r]$ then the result is trivial.

Proposition 5. *The problem $a = b$ has a solution if and only if $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ has a solution for σ alone.*

If $a = b$ has a solution, then $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ has a simple solution, in $\lambda\sigma$ and this it has a solution for σ alone. Conversely if $\tilde{a} = \tilde{b}$ has a solution for σ alone, then it has a solution for $\lambda\sigma$ and thus $a = b$ has a solution.

Corollary 1. *σ -unification is undecidable.*

Remark 2. We have reduced the second order unification problem to the σ -unification problem. Reducing the full higher order unification problem seems to be much more difficult. Thus σ alone seems to be a formalism that has links with second order languages. In particular in sorts $A_1 \dots A_n \vdash B$, the A_i 's and B are types and not sorts and thus the “arrow” \vdash cannot be nested.

More precisely σ -calculus seems to be a precise formulation of “second order languages without λ 's” as defined for instance in [2]. We conjecture the decidability of σ -matching.

References

1. G. Dowek, Th. Hardin, and C. Kirchner, Higher-order unification via explicit substitutions, *Information and Computation*, 157, 2000, pp. 183-235.
2. W.D. Goldfarb, The Undecidability of the Second-Order Unification Problem, *Theoretical Computer Science*, 13, 1981, pp. 225-230.