

# KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW ON $\mathbf{G}$ -SPHERICAL FANO MANIFOLDS

FENG WANG<sup>†</sup> AND XIAOHUA ZHU\*

*Dedicated to Professor Gang Tian on the occasion of his 65th birthday*

ABSTRACT. We prove that the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of Kähler-Ricci flow on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifold  $X$  is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X_\infty$ , which admits a (singular) Kähler-Ricci soliton. Moreover, the  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety structure of  $X_\infty$  can be constructed as a center of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $X$  induced by an element in the Lie algebra of Cartan torus of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

## CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant 1-PS degenerations	4
3. Equivariant Kähler-Ricci flow	7
3.1. Algebraic lemmas	8
3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1	9
4. Torus 1-PS degenerations on $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical varieties	10
4.1. Combinatorial data associated to $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical varieties	10
4.2. Torus $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations for $\mathbf{G}$ -polarized spherical varieties	13
5. H-invariant on $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano varieties	16
5.1. Special torus 1-PS degenerations	16
5.2. A formula of H-invariant	18
6. Proof of Theorem 1.1	20
References	22

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(X, J, \omega_0)$  be a Fano manifold and  $\omega_t$  a solution of normalized Kähler-Ricci (KR) flow,

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial \omega_t}{\partial t} = -\text{Ric}(\omega_t) + \omega_t, \quad \omega_0 \in 2\pi c_1(M, J).$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 53E20, 53C25; Secondary: 32Q20, 53C30, 14L10.

*Key words and phrases*.  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety, Kähler-Ricci flow, equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration.

<sup>†</sup> partially supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China No. 2022YFA1005501 and NSFC 12031017.

\* partially supported by National Key R&D Program of China 2020YFA0712800 and NSFC 12271009.

It is known that (1.1) has a global solution  $\omega_t$  for all  $t \geq 0$  whenever the initial metric  $\omega_0$  represents  $2\pi c_1(M)$  in [9]. The famous Hamilton-Tian (HT) conjecture asserts [35]:

*Any sequence of  $(X, \omega_t)$  contains a subsequence converging to a length space  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  in the Gromov-Hausdorff topology and  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  is a smooth KR soliton outside a closed subset  $S$ , called the singular set, of codimension at least 4. Moreover, this subsequence of  $(X, \omega_t)$  converges locally to the regular part of  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  in the Cheeger-Gromov topology.*

This conjecture was first proved by Tian and Zhang in dimension less than 4 [38]. Moreover, they also proved that  $X_\infty$  has a structure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. In higher dimensions, the conjecture was proved by Bamler [4] and Chen-Wang [11], independently. In fact, Bamler proved a generalized version of the HT conjecture for Ricci flow with bounded scalar curvature. The authors [41] recently gave an alternative proof to the HT conjecture by using the Tian's partial  $C^0$ -estimate established by Zhang [46] for evolved Kähler metrics of KR flow.

As an application of HT conjecture, Chen-Sun-Wang proved that the limit  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  is independent of choice of subsequence of  $\omega_t$  [10]. In fact, they proved that  $X_\infty$  is a center of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of another  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $\bar{X}_\infty$ , which is a limit of 1-PS degeneration of  $X_\infty$  generated by the soliton vector field (VF)  $v$  of  $X_\infty$  (also see [18, Theorem 3.4] and [42]). Here  $v$  can be uniquely determined by the modified Futaki invariant introduced in [36, 45, 6, 43]. More recently, by showing that  $\bar{X}_\infty$  can be regarded as a minimizer of H-invariant for a class of filtrations associated to  $X$  in algebraic geometry, Han-Li further proved that the limit  $X_\infty$  is also independent of choice of initial metric in the class  $2\pi c_1(X, J)$  [22]. The H-invariant was first introduced by Tian-Zhang-Zhang-Zhu [39], and latterly generalized by Dervan-Székelyhidi [18] for  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations of  $X$ .

A natural question is how to determine  $X_\infty$  as well as  $\bar{X}_\infty$  effectively. This question have been recently investigated for Fano group compactifications and horosymmetric Fano manifolds [27, 25, 37]. In particular, by analyzing the singularities for a class of real Monge-Ampère equations, Tian-Zhu [37] proved that the limit  $X_\infty$  of KR flow on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -horosymmetric Fano manifold is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -horosymmetric  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety, which can be realized as a center of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration induced by an element in the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$  of Cartan torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of complex reductive Lie group  $\mathbf{G}$  associated to  $X$ .

The purpose of paper is to generalize the Tian-Zhu's result above to  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifolds. We prove

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a complex reductive Lie group. Then the Gromov-Hausdorff limit of KR flow (1.1) on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifold  $(X, J)$  is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X_\infty$ , which admits a (singular) KR soliton  $\omega_\infty$ . Moreover, the  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety structure of  $X_\infty$  can be constructed as a center of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $X$  induced by an element  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$ . Here  $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  is a valuation cone as a subset of the quotient space of torus Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ .*

**Remark 1.2.** *The element  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  in Theorem 1.1 is constructed by a small perturbation  $\tilde{v}$  of the soliton VF  $v$  of  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  (cf. Lemma 6.2).  $v$  can be uniquely determined as a minimizer  $\Lambda_v$  of convex functional on  $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  which associated to the H-invariant restricted to special torus 1-PS degenerations (cf. Proposition 5.7 and Proposition 5.8). In case of horosymmetric manifolds, it was proved that  $\tilde{\Lambda}$  can be chosen as  $\Lambda_v$  if  $v \neq 0$  [37]. The latter implies that both of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties  $X_\infty$*

and  $\bar{X}_\infty$  are same after a projective group transformation. We guess that the result is also true for  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical manifolds.

By the torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration in Theorem 1.1,  $X$  must be biholomorphic to  $X_\infty$  if  $X$  is modified K-polystable. Thus we prove the following Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifolds via the method of KR flow immediately.

**Corollary 1.3.** *There exists a KR soliton on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifold if and only if it is modified K-polystable in terms of modified Futaki invariant.*

The Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for KR solitons has been proved for any Fano manifolds by Datar-Székelyhidi [12] via the continuity method and by Chen-Sun-Wang [10] via the KR flow method, respectively. Actually, the necessary part comes from [6] (also see [13, 23, 7]). We would like to mention that in case of horosymmetric manifolds the condition of modified K-polystability for the existence part in Corollary 1.3 (in case of KR solitons, but not KE metrics) can be weakened as the modified K-semistability, see Remark 1.2. Thus we guess that this improvement is still true for  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifolds (also see [37, Remark 6.1-1]).

By Theorem 1.1, we see that the limit  $X_\infty$  of KR flow (1.1) still preserves the  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical structure in algebraic geometry. On the other hand, replacing by a class of parabolic complex Monge-Ampère equations for weak plurisubharmonic functions (cf. [34, 5]), the KR flow can be defined on any  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety with long time existence. We hope that Theorem 1.1 can be generalized to the KR flow on a spherical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. In other words, it is very possible to establish a theory that the class of  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties is a closed set in the setting of KR flows.<sup>1</sup>

The class of  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical varieties is a very large one of highly symmetric varieties, which contains toric varieties, generalized flag manifolds, group compactifications and horosymmetric Fano manifolds (cf. [1, 14, 15]). In recent years, the existence problem of (singular) KE metrics and (singular) KR solitons on such  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano varieties has been extensively studied (cf. [14, 29, 15, 28, 17, 37, 30], etc.). The existence criterion can be expressed in terms of the barycenter of moment polytope associated to the Cartan torus  $\mathbf{T}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

At last, we outline the proof of Theorem 1.1. Because of lack of symmetry of  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical manifolds compared with horosymmetric manifolds, we will use a different approach in [37] to prove Theorem 1.1. The basic idea is to use the GIT method via the Tian's partial  $C^0$ -estimate as in [10, 41, 42]. By the uniqueness of  $X_\infty$  [22, 42], we need to prove the theorem only for the KR flow with  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant initial metrics in  $2\pi c_1(X, J)$ , where  $\mathbf{K}$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}$ . We will first establish a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant version of Chen-Sun-Wang's result in [10] for the KR flow with  $\mathbf{K}_0$ -invariant initial metrics on any Fano manifold  $X$  (cf. Theorem 3.1), where  $\mathbf{G}_0$  is a reductive subgroup of automorphisms group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{K}_0$  is a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . The key point is to show that the 1-PS degeneration of  $X_\infty$  induced by the soliton VF  $v$  of  $X_\infty$  can be approximated by a sequence of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations (cf. Proposition 2.9, Lemma 3.5). Next, by using the spherical geometry for  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations studied by Delcroix [15], we are able to show that  $X_\infty$  can be realized as a center of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $X$  induced by an element in  $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  (cf. Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 6.2).

---

<sup>1</sup>We are in debt to professor Gang Tian for pointing this out to us.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we use the notion of 1-PS degeneration on a projective variety introduced in [19, 35] to prove a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant version of approximation result by a sequence of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations. (cf. Proposition 2.9). Here notions of 1-PS degeneration and  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations are corresponding to ones of  $\mathbb{R}$ -test configuration and test configuration introduced in [19] and [20] for the setting of schemes, respectively. In Section 3, we use the technique of partial  $C^0$ -estimate to apply the result in Section 2 to the Hilbert points associated to a family of submanifolds of Kodaira embeddings. Theorem 3.1 is the main result in this section. In next three sections, Section 4-6, we devote to prove Theorem 1.1 by using the spherical geometry for  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations as torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations described by rational piecewise linear functions on  $\mathfrak{t}$  in [15] (cf. Proposition 4.10, 4.12, 5.1). Theorem 1.1 will be finally proved in Section 6.

## 2. $\mathbf{G}$ -EQUIVARIANT 1-PS DEGENERATIONS

Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized normal variety in  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  such that  $(\mathbb{C}P^n, \mathcal{O}(1))|_X = L$ . Let  $\mathbf{G}_0$  be a reductive subgroup of automorphisms group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  of  $X$  and  $\mathbf{K}_0$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . We recall the definition of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations for  $(X, L)$  in [35].

**Definition 2.1.** *A normal variety  $\mathcal{X}$  with a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action is called a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $(X, L)$  if it satisfies:*

- (1) *there is a flat  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant map  $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\pi^{-1}(t)$  is biholomorphic to  $X$  for any  $t \neq 0$ ;*
- (2) *there is a holomorphic line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(t)}$  is isomorphic to  $L^r$  for some integer  $r > 0$  and any  $t \neq 0$ .*

*We say that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant if it admits an action of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  which commutes with the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action such that the isomorphism between  $(X, L)$  and  $(\pi^{-1}(1), \mathcal{L}|_{\pi^{-1}(1)})$  is  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant.*

For any  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , we can compactify it by gluing a trivial family to get a family over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . We denote the compactification by  $(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$ . Assuming that  $L^r$  is very ample, we can embed  $M$  into the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r))$  by sections of  $H^0(X, L^r)$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  is embedded into  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r)) \times \mathbb{C}$  and there is a 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  in  $\text{GL}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tau(s)X$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is the pullback of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  (cf. [33]). Here  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \pi^{-1}(0)$  denotes the center of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ . It also holds for  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations such that  $\tau(s)$  commute with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , where  $\mathbf{G}_0$  can be lifted as a subgroup of  $\text{GL}(H^0(X, L^r))$ . In fact, we have

**Lemma 2.2.** *For any 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  ( $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) in  $\text{GL}(H^0(X, L^r))$  commuting with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , there is a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tau(s)X$ . Conversely, any  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  arises this way if  $r$  is large enough.*

*Proof.* Given a 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  in  $\text{GL}(H^0(X, L^r))$  commuting with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , let  $\mathcal{X}$  be the closure of  $\tau(s) \cdot X$  in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r)) \times \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\tau(s)$  commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , the action of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  on  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r))$  preserves each  $\tau(s) \cdot X$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  be the pull back of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r))$ . Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{L}})$  is a  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $(X, L)$ .

Conversely, since  $\pi$  is flat,  $\pi_*\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is vector bundle  $E$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  when  $r$  is large enough. This vector bundle is  $\mathbf{G}_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*$  equivariantly isomorphic to  $H^0(X, L^r) \times \mathbb{C}$ . The  $\mathbb{C}^*$

action on the fiber  $E_0 \cong H^0(X, L^r)$  gives a 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  which commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . The action of  $\tau(s)$  is the original  $\mathbb{C}^*$  action on  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ . Thus we have proved the 1-1 correspondence between  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations and 1-PS's commuting with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ .  $\square$

In this paper, we will always assume that  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety and  $L = K^{-r_0}$  for some integer  $r_0 > 0$ . If the center  $\mathcal{X}_0$  of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety, we say that  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is special [35].

Let  $\tau(s)$  be the 1-PS in  $\mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, K_X^{-rr_0}), \mathbb{C})$  associated to  $(X, rK_X^{-r_0})$  in Lemma 2.2 such that

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \tau(s)X$$

is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. Then  $\tau(s)$  induces a holomorphic VF on  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . The canonical lifting of  $\tau(s)$  is another 1-PS in  $\mathrm{GL}(H^0(M, K_X^{-rr_0}), \mathbb{C})$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\tau}(s)$ . It is easy to see that  $\tau(s) = \tilde{\tau}(s)s^c$  for some integer  $c$ . Moreover, we have

**Lemma 2.3.**

$$\bar{\mathcal{L}} = K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{P}^1}^{-1} + c\mathcal{X}_0.$$

We can write a 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  ( $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) as

$$\tau(s) = \begin{bmatrix} s^{k_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & s^{k_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & s^{k_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $k_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) are integers. Let  $t = -\log |s|$ . This matrix is equal to

$$\tau(s) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-tk_1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-tk_2} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{-tk_n} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now we consider general real 1-PS  $\sigma(t)$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{R})$ , where

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\lambda_1 t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda_2 t} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{-\lambda_n t} \end{bmatrix}$$

with respect to some basis of  $H^0(X, L^r)$  and  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$  are the eigenvalues of  $\sigma(t)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** We call the pair  $(X, \sigma(t))$  a 1-PS degeneration of  $(X, L)$ . For simplicity, we denote it by  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$  and the limit

$$\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)X$$

is called the central fiber of  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$ . If the algebraic group  $\mathbf{G}_0$  acts on  $(X, L)$  and  $\sigma(t)$  commutes with the induced action of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  on  $H^0(X, L^r)$ , then we say that  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$  is a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant 1-PS degeneration.

**Remark 2.5.** Given any holomorphic VF  $v$  on  $\mathrm{P}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{C})$ ,  $v$  corresponds to an element in  $\mathrm{gl}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{C})$ . Suppose that  $e^{t\mathrm{Im}(v)}$  generalizes a compact 1-PS of  $\mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $(X, e^{t\mathrm{Real}(v)})$  is a 1-PS degeneration of  $(X, L)$ . This induced 1-PS degeneration was first considered by Ding-Tian in [19] when the limit of  $e^{tv}X$  is a normal variety.

**Remark 2.6.** *If a holomorphic VF  $v$  is diagonalized as  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i}$ , then  $e^{t\text{Im}(v)}$  generalizes a compact subgroup if and only if  $a_i$  are real numbers. So we denote  $e^{t\text{Real}(v)}$  by  $e^{tv}$  without confusion in the sequel.*

The limit in Definition 2.4 is the flat limit which can be defined as follows. Denote the ideal defining  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^r), \mathbb{C})$  by  $I$ . For  $f = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ , put

$$\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = \sum \alpha_i \lambda_i, \nu(f) = \min_{c_{\alpha} \neq 0} \langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle$$

and  $Lt(f) = \sum_{\langle \alpha, \lambda \rangle = \nu(f)} c_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}$ . Then  $I_0 = \{Lt(f) | f \in I\}$  is the defining ideal of  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma}$ . It's same as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) \cdot [X] = [\mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma}]$ , where  $[\cdot]$  is the Hilbert point of a closed subscheme. A 1-PS degeneration can also be defined using filtrations as in [12, 22].

Given  $n$  real numbers  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ , we say it's of type  $(e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k)$  if  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_{e_1} < \lambda_{e_1+1} = \lambda_{e_1+2} = \dots = \lambda_{e_1+e_2} < \dots$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let*

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\lambda_1 t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda_2 t} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{-\lambda_n t} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\tau(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\lambda'_1 t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda'_2 t} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{-\lambda'_n t} \end{bmatrix}$$

be two real 1-PS in  $\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ . If  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  and  $\lambda'_1 \leq \lambda'_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda'_n$  are of the same type, then for any  $x = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)(x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)(x).$$

*Proof.* Let  $i_0$  be the smallest index such that  $x_i \neq 0$  and  $e_1 + \dots + e_s < i_0 \leq e_1 + \dots + e_{s+1}$ . Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t)(x) = [0, \dots, 0, x_{i_0}, x_{i_0+1}, \dots, x_{e_1+\dots+e_{s+1}}, 0, \dots, 0] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t)(x).$$

□

Applying the above lemma to the Hilbert point  $[X]$  of  $X$ , we have

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let*

$$\sigma(t) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\lambda_1 t} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\lambda_2 t} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & e^{-\lambda_n t} \end{bmatrix}$$

be a real 1-PS as in (2.1). Then for any positive number  $\epsilon$ , there exists a sequence of  $\lambda'_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $|\lambda'_j - \lambda_j| \leq \epsilon$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ) and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(t) \cdot [X] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tau(t) \cdot [X],$$

where  $\tau(t)$  is the real 1-PS as in (2.1) with eigenvalues  $\lambda'_i$ .

*Proof.* At first, we recall the construction of the Hilbert point. For  $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$ , there is an integer number  $m$  depending on the Hilbert polynomial  $p$  of  $X$  such that the defining ideal  $I$  of  $X$  is generated by homogeneous polynomials in  $I$  of degree  $m$ . Denote the latter by  $I_m$  and the whole space of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $m$  by  $V$ . Then  $\dim V = \binom{n+m-1}{n-1}$  and  $\dim I_m = \binom{n+m-1}{n-1} - p(m) := k$  by the definition of Hilbert polynomial. So  $I_m$  corresponds to a point in the Grassmann manifold  $G_r(k, V)$ . We can embed the Grassmann into  $\mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k V)$  using Plücker coordinates and the corresponding point of  $I_m$  is the Hilbert point of  $X$ .

More precisely let  $I_m = \text{span}\{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$ , then  $[X]$  is the point  $[h_1 \wedge h_2 \wedge \dots \wedge h_k] \in \mathbb{P}(\Lambda^k V)$ . For the standard base  $x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n}$  ( $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = m$ ) of  $V$ , the weight of induced action for  $\sigma$  is  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \alpha_i$ . So the weights on  $\Lambda^k V$  are given by  $\sum_{j \in I} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{(j)} \rangle$  for  $|I| = k$  and  $k$  different  $\alpha^{(j)}$ . It follows that the type  $(f_1, f_2, \dots)$  of the induced action of  $\sigma$  is determined by

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{j \in I_1} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{(j)} \rangle = \sum_{j \in I_2} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{(j)} \rangle = \dots = \sum_{j \in I_{f_1}} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{(j)} \rangle < \sum_{j \in I_{f_1+1}} \langle \lambda, \alpha^{(j)} \rangle \dots$$

All the equations in (2.2) are of integer coefficients. So we can perturb  $\lambda_i$  to rational points  $\lambda'_i$  preserving these equations and inequalities, i.e., the type of the eigenvalues of the induced actions of  $\sigma(t)$  and  $\tau(t)$  are the same. Thus the lemma is proved by Lemma 2.7.  $\square$

For the equivariant case, we have

**Proposition 2.9.** *Assume that there is an action of reductive group  $\mathbf{G}_0$  on  $(X, L)$ . Let  $\sigma(t)$  be a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant real 1-PS. Then there is a sequence of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant 1-PS degenerations  $\tau_i(t)$  with rational eigenvalues which converges to the eigenvalues of  $\sigma(t)$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau_i} = \mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . As a consequence, there is a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $\tau_i(t)$  whose central fiber is same as  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ .*

*Proof.*  $\sigma(t)$  commutes with  $G_0$  if and only if the eigenspace of  $\sigma(1)$  are all  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -invariant. In the proof of Lemma 2.8, we can also require that  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda$  are of the same type by adding the corresponding equations and inequalities to (2.2). Then the eigenspaces of  $\lambda'$  and  $\lambda$  are the same. Consequently the real 1-PS  $\tau(t)$  corresponding to  $\lambda'$  also commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . Since  $\lambda'$  can be close to  $\lambda$  as small as we want, we get a sequence of  $\tau_i(t)$  with rational eigenvalues which converges to the eigenvalues of  $\sigma(t)$ . For any  $\tau_i$ , there is a sufficiently divisible integer  $N$  such that  $\tau_i(Nt)$  defines a  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  by Lemma 2.2. Moreover, by Lemma 2.7, the central fiber of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is just  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . The proposition is proved.  $\square$

Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. For a 1-PS degeneration  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$  such that  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano,  $\sigma(t)$  induces a holomorphic VF  $\eta(\sigma)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . Then by the canonical lifting of  $\eta(\sigma)$  on  $K_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma}^{-1}$ , we get another real 1-PS  $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$  is equal to  $\sigma(t)$  up to a constant. We say that  $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$  is the normalized real 1-PS corresponding to  $\sigma(t)$ . We end this section by the following definition.

**Definition 2.10.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety. A 1-PS degeneration  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$  of  $X$  is called special if  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano and  $\sigma(t) = \tilde{\sigma}(t)$ .*

### 3. EQUIVARIANT KÄHLER-RICCI FLOW

In this section, we prove an equivariant version of Chen-Sun-Wang's result (see an explicit statement in [18, Theorem 3.4]) for the KR flow in [10]. Let  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$

be the limit of KR flow (1.1) in the HT conjecture and  $\mathbf{G}_0$  the reductive subgroup of automorphisms group  $\text{Aut}(X)$  as in Section 2. We need to prove

**Theorem 3.1.**  *$X_\infty$  is a center of special  $\mathbf{G}_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of another  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $\bar{X}_\infty$  which is a center of special  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant 1-PS degeneration of  $X_\infty$  induced by the soliton VF  $v$  of  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$ .*

**3.1. Algebraic lemmas.** As in [10], we use the GIT method to prove Theorem 3.1. Let  $E$  be a complex linear space and  $\bar{G} = \text{GL}(E)$ . Let  $V$  be a representation space of  $\bar{G}$ . For a fixed compact subgroup  $\mathbf{K}$  of  $\text{U}(N)$ , we define a subgroup of  $\bar{G}$  by

$$\bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}} = \{g \in \bar{G} \mid g \text{ commutes with } \mathbf{K}\}.$$

Let  $A_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ) be a sequence of matrices in  $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $\Lambda$  a Hermitian matrix commuting with  $\mathbf{K}$ . We assume that

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i A_{i-1}^{-1} = e^\Lambda.$$

Denote the eigenvalues of  $\Lambda$  by  $\mathcal{S} = \{d_1 > d_2 > d_3 \dots > d_{k-1} > d_k\}$  and the eigenspaces corresponding to  $d_j$  by  $U_j$ . For  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $[v]$  is the corresponding point in the projective space  $\mathbb{P}(V)$ . Denote the set of limit points of  $A_{i_k} \cdot [v]$  for any subsequence of  $i_k \rightarrow \infty$  by  $\text{Lim}_{A_i}[v]$ . We will give a characterization of this set.

We fix a  $\text{U}(N)$ -invariant metric  $|\cdot|$  on  $V$ . Set

$$d(v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\log |A_{i+1}v| - \log |A_i v|).$$

The following lemma is proved in [10].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Assume that (3.1) holds. Then for  $v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ ,*

$$d(v) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\log |A_{i+1}v| - \log |A_i v|)$$

*exists and belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Moreover for any  $[w] \in \text{Lim}_{A_i}[v]$ ,  $w$  belongs to  $U_{d(v)}$ .*

Denote  $V_j = \{v \in V \mid d(v) \leq d_j\}$ . It is obvious that  $V_i, U_j$  are  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant subspaces. Denote  $\bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}, \Lambda} = \{g \in \bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}} \mid g \cdot e^{s\Lambda} = e^{s\Lambda} \cdot g\}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** *Assume that  $V = S^m(E)$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Then there exists  $C \in \bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$ , which is independent of  $m$  such that  $C \cdot V_j = \bigoplus_{i=j}^k U_i$  for all  $i$ . There also exists  $C_i \in \bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_i = \text{Id}$  such that  $\tilde{A}_i = C_i A_i C^{-1} \in \bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}, \Lambda}$ .*

*Proof.* For two  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant subspaces with same dimension, we can always choose the isomorphism between them to be  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant. Then the lemma can be proved as in [10, p3153].  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Assume that  $V = S^m(E)$  for some  $m \geq 1$ . Define  $[\bar{v}] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\Lambda} C \cdot [v]$ . Then  $\text{Lim}_{A_i}[v] \subset \overline{\bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}, \Lambda} \cdot [\bar{v}]}$ .*

*Proof.* Assuming that  $d(v) = d_j$ , we have  $v \in V_j$ . So  $C \cdot v \in \bigoplus_{i=j}^k U_i$ , and the  $U_j$  component  $\pi_j(C \cdot v)$  of  $C \cdot v$  is not zero. It follows that  $[\bar{v}] = [\pi_j(C \cdot v)]$ . For  $[w] \in \text{Lim}_{A_i}[v]$ , by Lemma 3.2, we know that  $w \in U_j$ . Assume that  $[w] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [A_{\alpha_i} v]$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [\bar{v}] &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [\pi_j(C \cdot v)] = \pi_j(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{A}_{\alpha_i} [(C \cdot v)]) \\ &= \pi_j(\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_{\alpha_i} [v]) = \pi_j[w] = [w]. \end{aligned}$$

The proposition is proved since  $\tilde{A}_i \in \bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}, \Lambda}$ .  $\square$



**3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** By the uniqueness of  $X_\infty$  [22, 42], we may assume that the initial metric  $\omega_0$  in (1.1) is a  $\mathbf{K}_0$ -invariant metric. Then all solutions of  $\omega_t$  are also  $\mathbf{K}_0$ -invariant. Choose the multiple  $l$  large enough such that the partial  $C^0$ -estimate holds for  $(M, \omega_t)$  by [46, 41]. Let  $h_t$  be the  $\mathbf{K}_0$ -invariant Hermitian metric on  $K_X^{-1}$  such that the Chern curvature of  $h_t$  is  $\omega_t$ . It induces a  $K$ -invariant metric  $H_t$  on  $E = H^0(X, K_X^{-l})$  by

$$(3.2) \quad (s^\alpha, s^\beta)_{H_t} = \int_M \langle s^\alpha, s^\beta \rangle_{h_t^{\otimes l} \omega_t^n}.$$

Let  $\{s^\alpha(t)\}$  be an ortho-normal basis of  $(E, H_t)$  and  $\Phi_t : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^N$  be the Kodaira embedding given by  $\{s^\alpha(t)\}$ . By Proposition 2.7 in [41],  $\Phi_{t_i}(X)$  converges to a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X_\infty$  with klt singularities for a subsequence  $t_i \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover by Theorem 1.1 in [41],  $X_\infty$  admits a weak Kähler-Ricci soliton.

We can make these embeddings equivariant with respect to  $\mathbf{K}_0$  by using suitable ortho-normal basis in the following. Choose an ortho-normal basis  $s^\alpha(0)$  with respect to  $H_0$ . Then with respect to  $\{s^\alpha(0)\}$  we can write  $H_t$  as a matrix. By abuse of notations, we also denote it by  $H_t$ .

Consider the following ODE for matrix  $A_t$ :

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} A_t = -\frac{1}{2} A_t H_t' H_t^{-1}, \\ A_0 = id. \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\frac{d}{dt}(A_t H_t A_t^*) = 0$  and  $A_t H_t A_t^* = id$ . Define  $s^\alpha(t) = \sum_{\beta=0}^N A_t^{\alpha\beta} s^\beta(0)$ . Then one can check that  $\{s^\alpha(t)\}$  is an ortho-normal basis of  $E$  with metric  $H_t$ . Denote by  $\Phi_t$  the embeddings of  $X$  given by  $\{s^\alpha(t)\}$  as above. Thus we have  $\Phi_t = A_t \cdot \Phi_0$ .

By (3.2), the inner  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{H_0}$  on the basis  $\{s^\alpha(0)\}$  induces  $\mathbf{K}_0$  as a subgroup of  $U(N+1)$ . Then  $\mathbf{G}_0$  can be regarded as a subgroup of  $GL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma 3.5.**  *$A_t$  commutes with  $\mathbf{K}_0$  and so it commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $H_t(g \cdot, g \cdot) = H_t$  for  $g \in \mathbf{K}_0$ , we have  $g H_t g^* = H_t$  which is same as  $H_t g = g H_t$  since  $g$  is unitary. So  $H_t'$  also commutes with  $\mathbf{K}_0$ . Now by (3.3) we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} [A_t, g] = -\frac{1}{2} [A_t, g] H_t' H_t^{-1},$$

where  $[A, B] = AB - BA$ . Hence  $[A_t, g] = 0$ . By the reductivity of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ , we also get  $[A_t, g] = 0$  for any  $g \in \mathbf{G}_0$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

*Completion of proof of Theorem 3.1.* As we know that the ortho-normal basis  $\{s^\alpha(0)\}$  induces the action of  $\mathbf{G}_0$  as a subgroup of  $GL(N+1, \mathbb{C})$ , i.e.,  $\Phi_0$  commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . By the above lemma,  $\Phi_t$  also commutes with  $\mathbf{G}_0$ . On the other hand, by Lemma 6.5 in [42],  $A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}$  converges to  $e^{sv}$  uniformly for any subsequence  $t'_i \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $v$  is the soliton vector VF on the limit of Kähler-Ricci flow. Since  $v$  is the limit of  $A_{t'_i+s} \cdot A_{t'_i}^{-1}$ , it commutes with  $\mathbf{K}_0$ . By Corollary 3.3 in [42],  $v$  is unique up to conjugation. We may assume  $v \in gl(N+1, \mathbb{C})$  is diagonal. By [42, Proposition 7.4],  $\text{Im}(v)$  lies in the compact part of  $\text{Aut}^v(X_\infty)$ , where  $X_\infty$  is the limit of Kähler Ricci flow. It follows that the eigenvalues of  $v$  are all real numbers. So we can modify  $A_i$  by unitary elements commuting with  $\mathbf{K}_0$  such that the condition (3.1) holds with  $\Lambda = v$ . Denote the Hilbert polynomial of  $\Phi_0(X)$  by  $p$  and the Hilbert

scheme of subschemes of  $\mathbb{P}(E)$  with Hilbert polynomial  $p$  by  $\mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbb{P}(E),p}$ . Then we can apply Proposition 3.4 to the Hilbert point  $[X] = [\Phi_0(X)] \in \mathbf{Hilb}^{\mathbb{P}(E),p}$  as in [42]. Denote  $[\bar{X}_\infty] = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{tv} C \cdot [X]$ . Then by Proposition 3.4  $[X_\infty]$  lies in the closure of  $G_{\mathbf{K}_0,v} \cdot [\bar{X}_\infty]$ .

Note that both of  $C$  and  $e^{tv}$  commute with  $\mathbf{K}_0$ . Thus

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{tv} C \cdot X$$

is the center of  $\mathbf{G}_0$ -equivariant 1-PS  $\sigma(t) = e^{tv}$  degeneration of  $(X, K_X^{-1})$ . Clearly, the Hilbert point of this limit is just  $[\bar{X}_\infty]$ . Hence, we may denote the limit by  $\bar{X}_\infty$ . On the other hand, by [42, Proposition 7.4],  $\mathrm{Aut}^v(X_\infty)$  is reductive, so  $G_{\mathbf{K}_0,v} \cap \mathrm{Aut}^v(X_\infty)$  is also a reductive group. So by Luna's slice lemma, there is a 1-PS  $\tau(s)$  ( $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$ ) in  $G_{\mathbf{K}_0,v} \cap \mathrm{Aut}^v(X_\infty)$  degenerating  $\bar{X}_\infty$  to  $X_\infty$ . Since all the elements in  $G_{\mathbf{K}_0,v}$  commute with  $\mathbf{G}_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ , by Lemma 2.2,  $\tau(s)$  induces a  $\mathbf{G}_0 \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $(\bar{X}_\infty, K_{\bar{X}_\infty}^{-1})$  with the center  $X_\infty$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

#### 4. TORUS 1-PS DEGENERATIONS ON $\mathbf{G}$ -SPHERICAL VARIETIES

In this section, we will recall Delcroix's work [16] on torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations of spherical varieties and then we generalize his results for torus 1-PS degenerations.

**4.1. Combinatorial data associated to  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical varieties.** We list the general facts about spherical varieties and give some basic results of combinatorial data. We refer the reader to the main references [24, 40] in this subsection. Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a complex connected reductive group and  $\mathbf{B} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  be a Borel subgroup.

**Definition 4.1.** *The homogeneous variety  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$  is said spherical if there is an open  $\mathbf{B}$ -orbit  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}$  and the corresponding orbit map  $\pi : \mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$  is separable. The  $\mathbf{G}$ -action on  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$  is on the left:  $\sigma \cdot g\mathbf{H} = (\sigma g)\mathbf{H}$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{P} \subseteq \mathbf{G}$  the stabilizer of the open  $\mathbf{B}$ -orbit  $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{H}$ .*

*An embedding of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$  is a normal  $\mathbf{G}$ -variety  $X$  together with a  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant open embedding  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \hookrightarrow X$ . Let  $x_0$  be the image of  $\mathbf{H} \in \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ . We say  $(X, x_0)$  or  $X$  is a spherical variety.*

The group of characters of any group  $\mathbf{S}$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{S})$ :

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{S}) = \{f : \mathbf{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \text{ is a homomorphism}\}.$$

Note that for a Borel subgroup  $\mathbf{B}$  and the maximal subtorus  $\mathbf{T}$  contained in  $\mathbf{B}$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{B})$ . Let  $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{T})$  be the root system of  $(\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{T})$  and denote by  $\Phi^+$  the positive roots in  $\Phi$  determined by  $\mathbf{B}$ .

The parabolic subgroup  $\mathbf{P}$  of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{B}$  admits a unique Levi subgroup  $\mathbf{L}$  containing  $\mathbf{T}$ . Let  $\Phi_{\mathbf{P}}$  denote the set of roots of  $\mathbf{P}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{T}$ . We denote by  $\Phi_{\mathbf{P}^u}$  the set of roots of the unipotent radical  $\mathbf{P}^u$  of  $\mathbf{P}$ . Define

$$\kappa_{\mathbf{P}} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_{\mathbf{P}^u}} \alpha.$$

For a normal variety  $X$ , denote by  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  the rational functions on  $X$ . A valuation of a normal variety  $X$  is a map

$$v : \mathbb{C}(X)^* = \mathbb{C}(X) \setminus \{0\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$$

with the properties

$$\begin{aligned} v(f_1 + f_2) &\geq \min\{v(f_1), v(f_2)\} \text{ whenever } f_1, f_2, f_1 + f_2 \in \mathbb{C}(X)^*, \\ v(f_1 f_2) &= v(f_1) + v(f_2) \text{ for all } f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{C}(X)^* \text{ and} \\ v(\mathbb{C}^*) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{M} :=) \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) &= \{\chi \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{B}) \mid \mathbb{C}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})_\chi^{(\mathbf{B})} \neq \{0\}\}, \\ (\mathfrak{N} :=) \mathfrak{N}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) &= \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}), \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{B}) = \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{T})$  and its rank is called the rank of homogeneous space  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ .

For any valuations  $v$  on  $\mathbb{C}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ , define  $\rho(v) = \rho_v \in \mathfrak{N} \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  by  $\rho_v(\chi) = v(f_\chi)$ . Define  $(\mathcal{V} :=) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) = \{\mathbf{G} \text{ invariant valuations}\}$ . Then it is known that  $\rho : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{Q}$  is injective. So we can identify  $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$  with its image and call it the valuation cone. The valuation cone  $\mathcal{V}$  spans  $\mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{Q}$ . Moreover  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{Q}$  is a cosimplicial cone (cf. [40, Section 20]). Hence there is a uniquely determined linearly independent set  $\Sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  of primitive elements such that

$$\mathcal{V} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Sigma} \{v \in \mathfrak{N} \otimes \mathbb{Q} : \langle v, \gamma \rangle \leq 0\}$$

The elements of  $\Sigma$  are called the spherical roots which is a subset of cone spanned by positive roots of  $\mathbf{G}$ .

Denote the set of  $B$ -stable prime divisors in  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$  by  $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ , which are called colors of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ . We also denote the set of  $B$ -stable prime divisors in  $X$  by  $\mathcal{P}(X)$ . Let  $X$  be an embedding with  $\mathbf{G}$ -closed orbit  $Y$ . Define  $\mathcal{D}_Y(X) = \{D \in \mathcal{P}(X), Y \subseteq D\}$ .

The embedding  $X$  is called simple if there is only one closed  $G$  orbit  $Y$ . In this case, we define two sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_Y(X) &= \{v_D \in \mathcal{V} \mid D \in \mathcal{D}_Y(X) \text{ is } G\text{-stable}\}, \\ \mathcal{J}_Y(X) &= \{\emptyset \neq D \cap \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \in \mathcal{D} \mid D \in \mathcal{D}_Y(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $X$  is uniquely determined by  $\mathcal{I}_Y(X), \mathcal{J}_Y(X)$ . Now we want to describe this pair only using information of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ . For any color  $D$ ,  $v_D$  is a valuation, then we denote  $\rho(v_D)$  simply by  $\rho(D)$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_Y(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{Q}$  be the cone generated by  $\rho(\mathcal{J}_Y(X))$  and  $\mathcal{I}_Y(X)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *For a simple embedding  $X$ , the  $\mathbb{Q}_+$  span of  $\mathcal{I}_Y(X)$  are exactly the extremal rays of  $\mathcal{C}_Y(X)$  which contain no elements of  $\rho(\mathcal{J}_Y(X))$ .*

**Definition 4.3.** *A colored cone is a pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$  with  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  having the following properties:*

- *CC1:  $\mathcal{C}$  is a cone generated by  $\rho(\mathcal{R})$  and finitely many elements of  $\mathcal{V}$ .*
- *CC2: The interior  $\mathcal{C}^\circ \cap \mathcal{V} \neq \emptyset$*

*A colored cone is called strictly convex if the following holds:*

*SCC:  $\mathcal{C}$  is strictly convex and  $0 \notin \rho(\mathcal{R})$ .*

Denote the pair of  $(\mathcal{C}_Y(X), \mathcal{J}_Y(X))$  by  $\mathcal{C}^c(X)$ , then we have

**Theorem 4.4.** *The map  $X \mapsto \mathcal{C}^c(X)$  is a bijection between isomorphism classes of simple embeddings and strictly convex colored cones.*

For the general spherical embedding of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ , every  $\mathbf{G}$  orbit gives a colored cone and these colored cones constitute a colored fan.

**Definition 4.5.** *A colored fan is nonempty finite set  $\mathcal{F}$  of colored cones with the following properties:*

- *CF1: Every face of  $\mathcal{C}^c \in \mathcal{F}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .*
- *CF2: For every  $v \in \mathcal{V}$  there is at most one  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}) \in \mathcal{F}$  with  $v \in \mathcal{C}^\circ$ .*

*A colored fan  $\mathcal{F}$  is called strictly convex if  $(0, \emptyset) \in \mathcal{F}$ , or equivalently, if all elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are strictly convex.*

**Theorem 4.6.** *The map  $X \mapsto \mathcal{F}(X)$  induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of embeddings and strictly convex colored fans.*

Denote the union of all sets  $\mathcal{R}$  with  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  by  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ , which are called colors of  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{I}_X^G$  denote the finite set of  $G$ -stable prime divisors of  $X$ . Any divisor  $Y \in \mathcal{I}_X^G$  corresponds to a ray  $(\mathcal{C}, \emptyset) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ , and we denote by  $u_Y$  the indivisible generator of this ray in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . For any  $D \in \mathcal{D}$ , its closure  $\bar{D}$  in  $X$  is an irreducible  $B$ -stable but not  $G$ -stable divisor. Any  $B$ -stable Weil divisor  $d$  on  $X$  writes

$$(4.1) \quad d = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{I}_X^G} n_Y Y + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} n_D \bar{D}$$

for some integers  $n_Y, n_D$ . In fact, any Weil divisor is linearly equivalent to a  $B$  invariant divisor and Brion proved the following criterion to characterize Cartier divisors.

**Proposition 4.7.** [8, Proposition 3.1] *A  $B$ -stable Weil divisor  $d$  in  $X$  of form (4.1) is Cartier if and only if there exists an integral piecewise linear function  $l_d$  on the support  $|\mathcal{F}(X)|$  of the fan  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  such that*

$$(4.2) \quad d = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{I}_X^G} l_d(u_Y) Y + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}(X)} l_d(\rho(D)) \bar{D} + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}(X)} n_D \bar{D}$$

for some integers  $n_D$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}^{\max}(X)$  denote the set of cones  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  of maximal dimension, such that there exists  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  with  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}) \in \mathcal{F}(X)$ . If  $d$  is a Cartier divisor and  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}^{\max}(X)$ , let  $v_{\mathcal{C}} \in \mathfrak{M}$  such that  $l_d(x) = v_{\mathcal{C}}(x)$  for  $x \in \mathcal{C}$ . Moreover,  $d$  is ample if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- the function  $l_d$  is convex,
- $v_{\mathcal{C}_1} \neq v_{\mathcal{C}_2}$  if  $\mathcal{C}_1 \neq \mathcal{C}_2 \in \mathcal{F}^{\max}(X)$ ,
- $-n_D > v_{\mathcal{C}}(\rho(D))$  for all  $D \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}(X)$  and  $\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}^{\max}(X)$ .

To a Cartier divisor  $d$ , we associate a polytope  $\Delta_d \subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$  as

$$\Delta_d := \left( \bigcap_{\mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{F}(X)^{\max}} (-v_{\mathcal{C}} + \sigma^{\vee}) \right) \bigcap \left( \bigcap_{D \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \mathcal{D}(X)} \{m | m(\rho(D)) + n_D \geq 0\} \right).$$

If  $d$  is ample then  $l_d$  is the support function of  $\Delta_d$  for  $x \in |\mathcal{F}(X)|$ .

There is also a moment polytope associated to an ample line bundle. Let  $L$  be a  $G$ -linearized ample line bundle on a spherical variety  $X$ . Denote by  $V_{\lambda}$  an irreducible representation of  $G$  of highest weight  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{X}(T)$  with respect to  $B$ . Since  $X$  is spherical, for all  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a finite set  $\Delta_r \subset \mathfrak{X}(T)$  such that

$$H^0(X, L^r) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Delta_r} V_{\lambda}$$

**Definition 4.8.** *The moment polytope  $\Delta_L$  of  $L$  with respect to  $B$  is defined as the closure of  $\bigcup_{r \in \mathbb{N}^*} \Delta_r/r$  in  $\mathfrak{X}(T) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .*

The relationship between the moment polytope and polytope of a  $B$ -stable divisor is as follows. Fix a linearization of  $L$ , and choose a global  $B$ -semi-invariant section  $s$  of  $L$ , so that the zero divisor  $d$  of  $s$  is an ample  $B$ -invariant Cartier divisor. Let  $\mu_s$  be the character of  $B$  defined by  $s$ , that is, such that  $b \cdot s(b^{-1} \cdot x) = \mu_s(b)s(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Proposition 4.9.** ([8], Proposition 3.3) *The moment polytope  $\Delta_L$  of  $L$  and the polytope  $\Delta_d$  associated to  $d$  are related by  $\Delta_L = \mu_s + \Delta_d$ .*

**4.2. Torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations for  $\mathbf{G}$ -polarized spherical varieties.** The following classification result is proved in [16] based on works in [20, 2, 3]. We include its proof from [16, Section 4] below for our later use.

**Proposition 4.10.** [16, Theorem 4.1] *Let  $(X, L)$  a polarized  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety. Let  $d$  be any fixed  $\mathbf{B}$ -stable divisor of  $L$ . Then the  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations of  $(X, L)$  are in one-to-one correspondence with positive rational piecewise linear concave functions on  $\Delta_d$ , with slopes in  $\mathcal{V}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  be a  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant test configuration for  $(X, L)$ . Recall the compactification of  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ . That is, we glue the trivial family over  $\mathbb{C}$  to  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  along  $\mathbb{C}^*$  to obtain a family over  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . We denote the point added to  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $\infty$  and still denote by  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  the compactified family.

Up to adding a sufficiently large multiple of the pull back of  $\{0\} \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , we may assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample. Then  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is a polarized spherical variety under the action of  $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ . Its open orbit is  $G/H \times \mathbb{C}^*$ , and the combinatorial data are easily derived from that of  $X$ .

Suppose that  $s$  is the defining section of  $d$ . Let  $\hat{s}$  be the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant meromorphic section of  $\mathcal{L}$  whose restriction to  $(X, L^r) = (\mathcal{X}_1, \mathcal{L}_1)$  coincides with  $s^{\otimes r}$ . Then the divisor  $\hat{d}$  of  $\hat{s}$  is  $(B \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -stable, hence an integral linear combination of the form

$$(4.3) \quad \hat{d} = \sum_{\hat{D} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}} n_{\hat{D}} \hat{D}$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$  is the set of prime  $(B \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -stable divisors on  $\mathcal{X}$ . There are three types of divisors in  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}}$ :

- (1) The divisors  $\{\hat{D} \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{X}} | \hat{\rho}(\hat{D}) \in (\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\})\}$ . Any such  $\hat{D}$  satisfies  $\hat{D} = \overline{D \times \mathbb{C}^*}$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{P}_X$ . Since  $\hat{s}|_{\mathcal{X}_1} \cong rL$ ,  $n_{\hat{D}} = rn_D$ . In particular, any color of  $G/H \times \mathbb{C}^*$  must be of this type;

The remaining two types consist of the following  $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -stable divisors of  $\mathcal{X}$ :

- (2) The fiber  $X_{\infty}$  at  $\infty \in \mathbb{P}^1$ . Since  $\hat{s}|_{\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{X}_0} \cong s \times (\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0\})$ ,  $n_{\mathcal{X}_0} = 0$ ;
- (3) The reduced, irreducible components  $\mathcal{X}_{0,1}, \dots, \mathcal{X}_{0,n_0}$  of  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . In this case  $\hat{\rho}(\mathcal{X}_{0,i}) = (u_i, -m_i)$  is a primitive vector in  $\mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  with  $u_i \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $m_i > 0$ . Let us write also  $n_i$  for the corresponding coefficient in (4.3).

Let  $\hat{\Delta}$  denote the polytope in  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\mathbb{R}}$  associated to the divisor (4.3). In view of the previous description of the divisor, the polytope can be described as

$$\hat{\Delta} = \{(ry, t) \mid y \in \Delta, 0 \leq t \leq g(x)\}$$

where  $g$  is a (positive) rational concave piecewise linear function on  $\Delta$ , expressed as

$$(4.4) \quad g(x) = \inf_{i=1, \dots, n_0} \left( \frac{ru_i(y) + n_i}{m_i} \right).$$

Note that since each  $m_i$  is positive, each  $\frac{x}{m_i}u_i \in \mathcal{V}$ .

Conversely, let  $g$  be a positive rational piecewise linear concave function on  $\Delta_d$  with slopes in the valuation cone of  $X$ . Then we may assume that  $g > 0$  is of form (4.4) for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , each  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $u_i \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  and  $m_i \in \mathfrak{N}_+$  so that  $(u_i, -m_i)$  is a primitive vector in  $\mathfrak{N} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the polytope

$$\hat{\Delta} = \{(rx, t) \mid x \in \Delta_d, 0 \leq t \leq g(p)\},$$

where  $d$  is the  $B$ -semiinvariant divisor of the line bundle  $L$  as in (4.2).

Note that the colors of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  can be identified with colors of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}$ . Indeed, for any color  $\hat{D} \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ ,  $\overline{\hat{D}} \cap G/H \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ . Conversely, for every  $D \in \mathcal{D}(G/H)$ ,  $\overline{D} \times \mathbb{C}^* \in \hat{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ . We are going to define a colored fan consisting of the following maximal colored cones:

- (1')  $(\mathcal{C} \times \{0\}, \mathcal{R})$ , where  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$  is a colored cone of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ ;
- (2')  $(\mathcal{C} \times \mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{R})$ , where  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R})$  is a colored cone of  $\mathcal{F}(X)$ ;
- (3') The inner normal cone of  $\hat{\Delta}$  at a vertex  $v$  of the graph of  $g$ . In this case, we define the colored cone  $(\mathcal{C}_v, \mathcal{R}_v)$ , where  $\mathcal{C}_v$  is the inner normal cone at  $v$  and

$$\mathcal{R}_v = \{\hat{D} = \overline{D} \times \mathbb{C}^* \mid D \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}) \text{ satisfies } n_D - \rho(D)(v) = 0\}.$$

Here in the last equality  $\rho(D)$  is considered as an vector in  $\mathfrak{N}_{\mathbb{Q}} \times \{0\} \subset \hat{\mathfrak{N}}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

The polytope  $\hat{\Delta}$  is the polytope associated to the  $(B \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -stable Cartier divisor

$$\hat{d} = \sum_{\hat{D} \in \mathcal{P}_X} n_{\hat{D}} \hat{D}$$

where

- (1'')  $n_{\hat{D}} = rn_D$  for each divisor  $\hat{D} \in \mathcal{P}_X$  so that  $\hat{D} = \overline{D} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  for some  $D \in \mathcal{P}_X$ ;
- (2'')  $n_{X_{\infty}} = 0$  for the only divisor  $X_{\infty}$ ;
- (3'')  $n_{\hat{D}} = n_i$  for the  $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -stable prime divisor  $\hat{D}_i$  corresponding to  $(u_i, -m_i)$ .

In particular, the restriction of  $\hat{d}$  to  $\mathcal{X} \setminus \{\hat{D}_i \mid i = 1, \dots, n_0\}$  is the product of a divisor  $d$  of form (4.1) in  $X$  with  $\mathbb{C}^* \cup \{\infty\}$ . Furthermore,  $d$  satisfies the ampleness criterion. The associated line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{d})$  is  $(G \times \mathbb{C}^*)$ -linearizable (up to passing to a sufficiently divisible tensor power). Choosing the linearization such that the natural section  $\hat{s}$  of  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{d})$  is  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant and has  $B$ -weight  $r\chi$ . It follows  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(\hat{d})|_{X \times (\mathbb{C}^* \cup \{\infty\})} \cong (X, rL) \times (\mathbb{C}^* \cup \{\infty\})$ . Hence  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is a test configuration of  $(X, L)$ . □

According to Proposition 4.10, a  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety is determined by a rational piecewise linear function. As  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations on toric manifolds introduced by Donaldson [20], we will use the notion of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations for such degenerations for simplicity.

If  $\tau(s)$  is a 1-PS in  $\mathrm{GL}(H^0(X, L^k))$  commuting with  $\mathbf{G}$ , then the eigenspaces of  $\tau(s)$  are  $\mathbf{G}$ -invariant subspaces of  $H^0(X, L^k)$ . Fix a  $\mathbf{B}$ -semiinvariant divisor of  $L^k$

of form (4.1) with associated  $\mathbf{B}$ -character  $\mu_d$ . The eigenvalues of  $\tau(s)$  is determined by the eigenvalues  $s_\lambda^{(k)}$  on  $V_{\lambda+k\mu_d}$  for  $\lambda \in k\Delta_d \cap \mathfrak{M}(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H})$ .

**Lemma 4.11.** *Let  $(X, L)$  be a polarized projective variety and  $\mathcal{X}$  a torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $(X, L)$ . Then the central fiber of  $\mathcal{X}$  is normal if and only if it corresponds to an integral affine function*

$$(4.5) \quad f(y) = C + \Lambda(y), \quad y \in \Delta_d$$

with  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ . Moreover, the eigenvalues of the 1-PS subgroup in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^k))$  corresponding to  $\mathcal{X}$  is

$$(4.6) \quad s_\lambda^{(k)} = kf\left(\frac{\lambda}{k}\right), \quad \forall \lambda \in k\Delta_d \cap \mathfrak{M}.$$

*Proof.* Note that the total space  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$  is a polarized spherical embedding of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*$  and the central fibre  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is a  $G \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -invariant divisor. By [40, Theorem 15.20], it suffices to show that  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is prime.

$\mathcal{X}$  corresponds to a concave, rational piecewise linear function

$$f(y) = \min_{a=1, \dots, N_f} \{C_a + \Lambda_a(y)\}, \quad y \in \Delta_d$$

with each  $C_a \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathfrak{N}$ . Then

$$\mathcal{X}_0 = \sum_{a=1}^{N_f} m_a \hat{D}_a,$$

where  $\hat{D}_a$  is the prime  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -divisor corresponding to the  $a$ -th piece of  $f$  and  $m_a$  is the smallest positive integer so that  $m_a \Lambda_a \in \mathfrak{N}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{X}_0$  is prime if  $N_f = 1$  and  $m_1 = 1$ . Thus we get (4.5). (4.6) follows from the  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action in the construction in Proposition 4.10.  $\square$

In the case of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration with reduced center fiber in Lemma 4.11, the central fiber is a spherical embedding of  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_0$  for some  $\mathbf{H}_0$  by Theorem 3.30 in [14].  $\mathbf{H}_0$  can be computed as follows: Choose  $x_0 \in X$  such that the isotropy group of  $x_0$  is  $H$  and take  $\hat{x}_0 = (x_0, 1)$ . Put  $\bar{x}_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (\Lambda(t), t)\hat{x}_0$ . Then  $\mathbf{H}_0$  is the isotropy group of  $\bar{x}_0$ . Since the isotropy group of  $(\Lambda(t), t^m)\hat{x}_0$  is  $\mathbf{H}_t = \text{Ad}_{(\Lambda(t), t)}\mathbf{H}$  and the limit of  $\mathbf{H}_t$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbf{H}_0$ , it must hold by dimension that

$$\mathbf{H}_0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \text{Ad}_{(\Lambda(t), t)}\mathbf{H}.$$

Now we give a classification of torus 1-PS degenerations associated to  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant 1-PS  $\sigma(t)$  in  $\text{GL}(H^0(X, L^k))$ . For simplicity, we also denote the eigenvalues of  $\sigma(t)$  on  $V_\mu$  by  $s_\mu^{(k)}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  the closure of  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{V} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 4.12.** *Suppose that the center  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$  of torus 1-PS degeneration  $(X, \sigma(t))$  is normal. Then there exists  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  and a constant  $C$  such that  $s_\mu^{(k)} = \Lambda(\mu) + C, \forall \mu \in \Delta_k$ . The converse is also true.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.9, we can find a sequence of  $G$ -equivariant real 1-PS  $\tau^i(t)$  with eigenvalues  $s_\mu^{(k, i)} \in \mathbb{Q}$  converges to  $s_\mu^{(k)}$  such that the central fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau^i(t)}$  is the same as  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . For a sufficiently divisible  $N$ ,  $\tilde{\tau}^i(t) = \tau^i(Nt)$  is a 1-PS. Applying Lemma 4.11 to  $\tilde{\tau}^i(t)$ , there is  $\tilde{\Lambda}_i \in \mathcal{V}$  and constant  $\tilde{C}_i$  such that  $Ns_\mu^{(k, i)} = \tilde{\Lambda}_i(\mu) + \tilde{C}_i$ . Therefore  $s_\mu^{(k, i)} = \Lambda_i(\mu) + C_i$  for some  $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{V}$  and constant  $C_i$ . Since  $s_\mu^{(k, i)}$  converges

to  $s_\mu^{(k)}$ , by subsequence  $\Lambda_i$  and  $C_i$  converge to  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  and  $C \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $s_\mu^{(k)} = \Lambda(\mu) + C$  and the Proposition is proved.

We can also prove this as follows. In the decomposition  $H^0(X, L^k) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta_k} V_\mu$ , choose sections  $x_\mu \in V_\mu$  with highest weight  $\mu$ , i.e.,

$$b \cdot x_\mu = \mu(b)x_\mu, \forall b \in B.$$

For any equality such as  $\sum_{i \in I} \mu_i = \sum_{j \in J} \mu_j$  with  $|I| = |J|$ , there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\prod_{i \in I} x_{\mu_i} = c \prod_{j \in J} x_{\mu_j}$  is a defining equation of  $X$  in  $\mathbb{P}(H^0(X, L^k))$ . The weight of the two sides of the equation are  $\sum_{i \in I} s_{\mu_i}^{(k)}$  and  $\sum_{j \in J} s_{\mu_j}^{(k)}$ . If the two weights are not the same, there will be a defining equation  $\prod_{i \in I} x_{\mu_i} = 0$  or  $\prod_{j \in J} x_{\mu_j} = 0$  on the limit  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . It contradicts with the normality. So we must have

$$\sum_{i \in I} s_{\mu_i}^{(k)} = \sum_{j \in J} s_{\mu_j}^{(k)}.$$

It follows that there is an affine function  $\Lambda(\mu) + C$  such that  $s_\mu^{(k)} = \Lambda(\mu) + C$ . We are going to show that  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}$ . Consider the induced action of  $\sigma(t)$  on  $H^0(X, L^{rk})$  for any  $r \geq 1$ . The eigenvalues  $s_\mu^{(rk)}$  ( $\mu \in \Delta_{kr}$ ) of the induced action is also equal to  $\Lambda_r(\mu) + C_r$  for some  $\Lambda_r$  and  $C_r$ . We have the decomposition

$$V_{\mu_1} \cdot V_{\mu_2} \cdots \cdot V_{\mu_r} = \bigoplus_\alpha V_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i - \alpha},$$

where  $\alpha$  is some non-negative linear combination of simple roots of  $G$ . The eigenvalue of  $\sigma(t)$  on  $V_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i}$  is equal to  $\sum_{i=1}^r s_{\mu_i}^{(k)}$ . So we get  $\sum_{i=1}^r (\Lambda(\mu_i) + C) = \Lambda_r(\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i) + C_r$ . It follows that  $\Lambda_r = \Lambda, C_r = rC$ . On the other component  $V_{\sum_{i=1}^r \mu_i - \alpha}$ , the eigenvalue of  $\sigma(t)$  is at least  $\sum_{i=1}^r s_{\mu_i}^{(k)}$ . So  $\Lambda(-\alpha) \geq 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is minus the dual cone of all such  $\alpha$ 's, we know that  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$ .

Conversely, given any  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  and any constant  $C$ , the eigenvalues  $s_\mu^{(k)} = \Lambda(\mu) + C$  define a  $G$ -equivariant real 1-PS. Denote it by  $\sigma(t)$ . We have to show that the central fiber is normal. The equations determine the type of the eigenvalues of induced action of  $\sigma(t)$  on the Hilbert point have the following form:

$$\sum_{i \in I} \Lambda(u_i) = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda(u_j), |I| = |J|.$$

So we can choose a sequence of  $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{V}$  which are solutions of these equations and converges to  $\Lambda$ . Denote the real 1-PS with eigenvalues  $\Lambda_i(\mu) + C$  by  $\tau^i(t)$ . Then the types of the eigenvalues of induced action of  $\tau^i(t)$  and  $\sigma(t)$  are the same. So we have  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau^i(t)} = \mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma(t)}$ . By Lemma 4.11  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau^i(t)}$  is normal. Hence  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma(t)}$  is normal and the proposition is proved.  $\square$

## 5. H-INVARIANT ON $\mathbf{G}$ -SPHERICAL FANO VARIETIES

**5.1. Special torus 1-PS degenerations.** For a spherical variety  $X$ ,  $K_X^{-1}$  is a Weil divisor, which can be written as

$$(5.1) \quad d = \sum_{Y \in \mathcal{I}_X^G} Y + \sum_{D \in \mathcal{D}} n_D \bar{D}$$

where the  $n_D$  are explicitly obtained in terms of  $\kappa_P$  and the types of the roots (see [21] for a precise description of these coefficients). If this is an ample  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Cartier



divisor, then  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano spherical variety. In this case, there is a  $B$ -semi-invariant section  $s$  of  $K_X^{-1}$  of weight  $\kappa_P$  such that  $\text{div}(s) = d$ .

The moment polytope  $\Delta_+ := \Delta_{K_X^{-1}}$  is then  $\kappa_P + \Delta_d$  by Proposition 4.9, and furthermore the dual polytope  $\Delta_d^*$  of  $\Delta_d$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}$ - $G/H$ -reflexive polytope in the sense of [21], which can be obtained as the convex hull:

$$\Delta_d^* = \text{conv}(\{\rho_D/n_D, D \in \mathcal{D}\} \cup \{u_Y, Y \in \mathcal{I}_X^G\}).$$

The  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano variety  $X$  can further be recovered from its  $\mathbb{Q}$ - $G/H$ -reflexive polytope  $\Delta_d^*$  by the following procedure, detailed in [21]. The colored fan of  $X$  is obtained from  $\Delta_d^*$  as the union of the colored cones  $(\text{Cone}(F), \rho^{-1}(F))$  for all faces  $F$  of  $\Delta_d^*$  such that the intersection of the relative interior of  $\text{Cone}(F)$  with the valuation cone  $\mathcal{V}$  is not empty.

By Lemma 4.11, the special torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of a spherical Fano variety corresponds to a linear function on  $\Delta_+ = \Delta_d + \kappa_P$ . More precisely, the constant term can be determined by the following lemma.

**Proposition 5.1.** *The special torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration on a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano spherical variety corresponds to  $f(y) = \Lambda y$  for  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V} \cap \mathfrak{M}$  and  $y \in \Delta_d$ .*

*Proof.* For a special torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration, we have  $\mathcal{L} = K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$ . Assume that this degeneration corresponds to the function  $f(y) = \Lambda(y) + C$ . By the proof of Proposition 4.10 we can write the divisor  $\text{div}(\hat{s})$  as  $\hat{d} + C\mathcal{X}_0$ . Since the restriction of the divisor  $\text{div}(\hat{s})$  on generic fiber is the canonical divisor, we know that  $\hat{d}$  is the divisor of  $K_{\mathcal{X}/\mathbb{C}}^{-1}$ . So we have  $C = 0$ .  $\square$

Like Proposition 4.12, we have the following theorem for special torus 1-PS degenerations of spherical Fano manifold.

**Theorem 5.2.** *Assume that  $H^0(X, K_X^{-k})$  is very ample. For any  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the real 1-PS with eigenvalues*

$$(5.2) \quad s_{\lambda}^{(k)} = \Lambda(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in k\Delta_d \cap \mathfrak{M}$$

*defines a special torus 1-PS degeneration  $\mathcal{X}^{\sigma}$  of  $(X, K_X^{-1})$ . Conversely, any special torus 1-PS degeneration of  $(X, K_X^{-1})$  is given in this way.*

Theorem 5.2 is a combination of the following two propositions.

**Proposition 5.3.** *For any  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , the real 1-PS  $\sigma(t)$  with eigenvalues given by (5.2) defines a  $\mathcal{X}^{\sigma}$  of  $(X, K_X^{-1})$ .*

*Proof.* Eq. (5.2) defines a special torus 1-PS degeneration associated to  $\sigma(t)$ . By the proof of Proposition 4.12, we can choose a sequence  $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{V}$  so that  $\Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau^i} = \mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma}$  where  $\tau^i(t)$  is the real 1-PS with eigenvalues  $\Lambda_i(\lambda)$ . By Proposition 5.1 each  $\mathcal{X}_{\tau^i}$  is special. So  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma}$  is  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano. Denote the normalized real 1-PS corresponding to  $\sigma(t)$  by  $\tilde{\sigma}(t)$ . Since the actions of  $\tau^i(t)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\sigma}$  also converges to the action of  $\sigma(t)$ , we have  $\tilde{\sigma}(t) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tau^i(t) = \sigma(t)$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{X}^{\sigma}$  is special.  $\square$

Now we prove the inverse direction:

**Proposition 5.4.** *For any special torus 1-PS degeneration of  $(X, K_X^{-1})$ , there is a  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  so that the real 1-PS with eigenvalues (5.2) corresponds to it.*

*Proof.* Denote the eigenvalues of  $\sigma(t)$  on  $V_\lambda$  by  $s_\lambda^{(k)}$ . By Proposition 4.12, we can choose a sequence of  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant 1-PS  $\tau^i(t)$  that converges to  $\sigma(t)$  such that the central fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0^{\tau^i(t)}$  is the same as  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . Denote the normalized real 1-PS corresponding to  $\tau^i(t)$  by  $\tilde{\tau}^i(t)$ . So  $\mathcal{X}^{\tilde{\tau}^i}$  is special. By Proposition 5.1 there is a  $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{V}$  so that the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{\tau}^i(t)$  is  $\Lambda_i(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in k\Delta_d \cap \mathfrak{M}$ . Considering the action on the central fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ , we know that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\tau}^i(t) = \sigma(t).$$

Thus  $\Lambda_i$  converges to some  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$s_\lambda^{(k)} = \Lambda(\lambda), \quad \forall \lambda \in k\Delta_d \cap \mathfrak{M}.$$

□

**5.2. A formula of H-invariant.** In this subsection, we compute the H-invariant for special torus 1-PS degenerations on a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical Fano manifold. A similar formula has also been obtained by Li-Li by using filtration geometry [26]. Here we give a simple proof by using the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem.

As in [39], we introduce the definition of H-invariant for special 1-PS degenerations as follows.

**Definition 5.5.** *For a special 1-PS degeneration  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$ ,  $\sigma(t)$  induces a holomorphic VF  $\eta(\sigma)$  on  $\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma$ . We define*

$$V = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} \omega_0^n, \quad H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma) := V \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} e^\theta \omega_0^n\right),$$

where  $\omega_0$  is the restriction of Fubini-Study metric and  $\theta$  is the potential function corresponding to the canonical lifting of  $\eta(\sigma)$ .

In general, the  $H$ -invariant is defined by

$$H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma) = - \int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} \tilde{\theta} e^{h_0} \omega_0^n + V \log\left(\frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} e^{\tilde{\theta}} \omega_0^n\right),$$

where  $\tilde{\theta}$  is any hamiltonian for vector field  $\eta(\sigma)$  and  $h_0$  is the Ricci potential of  $\omega_0$  normalized by  $\int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} e^{h_0} \omega_0^n = V$ . For the canonical lifting, it holds that

$$\Delta\theta + \theta + \langle \nabla\theta, \nabla h_0 \rangle = 0.$$

It follows that  $\int_{\mathcal{X}_0^\sigma} \theta e^{h_0} \omega_0^n = 0$  and the two definitions coincide. By [18] the first step degeneration from  $X$  to  $\bar{X}_\infty$  is characterized by the minimum of the  $H$ -invariant.

We also need the definition of modified K-stability (cf. [45, 6, 43]). Let  $X$  be a Fano manifold and  $v$  a holomorphic VF on  $X$ . Denote by  $T$  the torus generated by  $v$ .

**Definition 5.6.** *For a special  $T$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , denote by  $\theta$  and  $\theta_v$  the potential functions corresponding to the canonical lifting of  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -action and  $v$  on  $\mathcal{X}_0$  respectively. Put*

$$Fut_v(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) = \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} \theta e^{\theta_v} \omega_0^n.$$

*If  $Fut_v(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L}) \geq 0$  for any special  $T$ -equivariant  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration  $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{L})$ , we say that  $(X, v)$  is modified K-semistable. Moreover, if the equality holds only when  $\mathcal{X} \cong X \times \mathbb{C}$  equivariantly, we say that  $(X, v)$  is modified K-polystable.*

If  $(X, v)$  is modified K-semistable, then  $v$  is determined by the modified Futaki invariant as in [36]. It has proved in [6, 13, 23, 7] that if  $X$  admits KR soliton with respect to  $v$ , then  $(X, v)$  is modified K-polystable.

By using the equivariant Riemann-Roch theorem (cf. [43]), we prove

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a special torus 1-PS degeneration induced by  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}$ . Then*

$$H(\mathcal{X}) = V \ln \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda(\kappa_P - y)} \pi \, dy,$$

$$\text{where } \pi(y) = \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, y \rangle}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle}.$$

*Proof.* Note that  $\Delta_+ = \Delta_d + \kappa_P$ . By Lemma 4.11 and Proposition 5.1, the weight of action of 1-PS  $\tau(t)$  corresponding to  $\Lambda$  on  $V_\lambda \subseteq H^0(X, K_X^{-k})$  is  $\Lambda(\lambda - k\kappa_P)$ . Denote the eigenvalues of  $\tau(t)$  on  $H^0(X, K_X^{-k})$  by  $\lambda_i^{(k)}$ . By [43, Section 2], we have

$$\sum e^{t \frac{\lambda_i^{(k)}}{k}} = k^n \int_{\mathcal{X}_0} e^{-t\theta_0} \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!} + O(k^{n-1}),$$

where  $\theta_0$  is the potential function corresponding to the canonical lifting of  $\tau(t)$ .

From the decomposition of  $H^0(X, L^k)$  and Weyl's formula of dimension, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum e^{t \frac{\lambda_i^{(k)}}{k}} &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_k} \dim V_\lambda e^{t \frac{\Lambda(\lambda - k\kappa_P)}{k}} \\ (5.3) \quad &= \sum_{\lambda \in \Delta_k} \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \rho + \lambda \rangle}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle} e^{t \frac{\Lambda(\lambda - k\kappa_P)}{k}} \end{aligned}$$

Writing  $\lambda = ky$  we have

$$\frac{1}{k^n} \sum e^{t \frac{\lambda_i^{(k)}}{k}} = \sum_{y \in \frac{1}{k} \Delta_k} \frac{1}{k^{\dim \Delta_+}} \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \frac{\rho}{k} + y \rangle}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle} e^{t \Lambda(y - \kappa_P)}.$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}_0} e^{-t\theta_0} \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!} = \int_{\Delta_+} \frac{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, y \rangle}{\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi_+, \alpha \not\in \Delta_+} \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle} e^{t \Lambda(y - \kappa_P)} \, dy.$$

The proposition is proved by putting  $t = -1$ . □

**Proposition 5.8.** *Given any real 1-PS  $\sigma(t)$  corresponding to  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have*

$$(5.4) \quad H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma) = V \ln \frac{1}{V} \int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda(\kappa_P - y)} \pi \, dy.$$

*As a consequence,  $H$  has a unique minimizer on  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .*

*Proof.* For the special 1-PS degeneration  $\mathcal{X}^\sigma$ , we have a sequence of special  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degenerations  $\mathcal{X}_i$  with the same central fiber  $\mathcal{X}_0$ . Assuming that  $\mathcal{X}_i$  corresponds to  $\Lambda_i \in \mathcal{V}$ , we have  $\Lambda_i \rightarrow \Lambda$ . Denote the holomorphic vector fields on  $\mathcal{X}_0$  induced from  $\mathcal{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}_i$  by  $v$  and  $v_i$ . Then  $v_i \rightarrow v$  and  $\theta_{v_i} \rightarrow \theta_v$ . So  $H(\mathcal{X}_i) \rightarrow H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma)$ . By Proposition 5.7, the proposition is proved. From (5.4),  $H$  is a convex function of  $\Lambda$ . Hence there is a unique minimizer of  $H$ . □

If  $v$  is a holomorphic VF commuting with the  $\mathbf{G}$ -action, then  $v$  corresponds to an element  $\Lambda_0$  in the linear part of  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ . Using the same method in Proposition 5.8, we have

**Proposition 5.9.** *Given any real 1-PS  $\sigma(t)$  corresponding to  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have*

$$(5.5) \quad Fut_v(\mathcal{X}^\sigma) = \int_{\Delta_+} \Lambda(\kappa_P - y) e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy,$$

where  $\Lambda_0$  is the element in  $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponding to  $v$ .

**Corollary 5.10.** *If  $(X, v)$  is modified  $K$ -semistable, then  $H$  invariant attains its minimum at  $\Lambda_0$ , where  $\Lambda_0$  is the element corresponding to  $v$ .*

*Proof.* At first  $v$  is the holomorphic VF determined by the modified Futaki invariant, so it commutes with  $G$  by [36]. By Proposition 5.8, it only suffices to prove

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0^+} H(\Lambda_0 + t\Lambda) \geq 0, \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

and

$$(5.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} H(\Lambda_0 + t\Lambda) = 0, \text{ if } \Lambda_0 + t\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}} \text{ for small } t.$$

By (5.4) and (5.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} H(\Lambda_0 + t\Lambda) \\ &= \frac{V}{\int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy} \int_{\Delta_+} \Lambda(\kappa_P - y) e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy \\ &= \frac{V}{\int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy} Fut_v(\mathcal{X}^\sigma), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma$  is the real 1-PS corresponding to  $\Lambda$ . So (5.6) is proved by the assumption. If  $\Lambda_0 + t\Lambda \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  for small  $t$ , denote by  $\tau(t)$  the real 1-PS corresponding to  $\Lambda_0 - t_0\Lambda$  for a fixed small positive number  $t_0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt}_{t=0} H(\Lambda_0 + t\Lambda) \\ &= \frac{V}{\int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy} \int_{\Delta_+} \Lambda(\kappa_P - y) e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy \\ &= -\frac{V}{t_0 \int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy} \int_{\Delta_+} (\Lambda_0 - t_0\Lambda)(\kappa_P - y) e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy \\ &= -\frac{V}{t_0 \int_{\Delta_+} e^{\Lambda_0(\kappa_P - y)} \pi dy} Fut_v(\mathcal{X}^\tau) \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

since  $Fut_v(v) = 0$ . Combined with (5.6), we get (5.7). The corollary is proved.  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In case of  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical manifold  $X$ , we choose  $\mathbf{G}_0 = \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{K}^{\mathbb{C}}$  in Section 3. Then we can consider solution  $\omega_t$  of (1.1) starting with a  $\mathbf{K}$ -invariant metric  $\omega_0$ . We will investigate the limit of KR flow on  $X$  in more detail. Using the same notations in section 3, we denote  $E = H^0(X, K_X^{-l})$ ,  $\bar{G} = \text{GL}(E)$  and

$$\bar{G}_{\mathbf{K}} = \{g \mid g \text{ commutes with } \mathbf{K}\}.$$

Our key observation comes from the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.**  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a torus.

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma essentially uses this property of spherical manifolds. For a polarized manifold  $(X, L)$  with a  $\mathbf{G}$ -action, by Theorem 25.1 in [40]  $X$  is spherical if and only if every irreducible representation in the decomposition of  $H^0(X, L^l)$  has multiplicity one for any  $l \geq 1$ . Then we have

$$E = H^0(X, K_X^{-l}) = \bigoplus_{\mu \in \Delta_l} V_\mu.$$

For any  $g \in \tilde{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$ , it must hold that  $g(V_\mu) = V_\mu$  since different  $\mu$ 's correspond to different irreducible representations of  $\mathbf{G}$ . By Schur's lemma, the restriction of  $g$  onto each  $V_\mu$  is a scalar matrix. So  $g$  can be written as  $\text{diag}\{a_\mu\}$ . It follows that  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$  is a torus.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** *There is a perturbation  $\tilde{v}$  of the soliton VF  $v$  such that  $X_\infty$  is biholomorphic to  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\tilde{v}} \cdot X$ . Moreover, there is an element  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponding to this degeneration.*

*Proof.* As in (3.3), we have defined matrices  $A_t$ . By Lemma 3.5,  $A_t$  commutes with  $\mathbf{K}$ . So from the lemma above, we can write  $A_i = \text{diag}\{a_i^1, \dots, a_i^N\} \in G_{\mathbf{K}}$  and  $v = \{\text{diag}\{\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^N\} \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})\}$ . Then for  $1 \leq k \leq N$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} a_i^k (a_{i-1}^k)^{-1} = e^{\lambda^k}$ . It follows that  $a_i^k = e^{(\lambda^k + \epsilon_i^k)i}$  with  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_i^k = 0$ . Denote the Hilbert point  $[X]$  by  $[z_\alpha]$  and the vector  $\lambda = (\lambda^k)$ ,  $\epsilon_i = (\epsilon_i^k)$ . As in Lemma 2.8, the induced action of  $A_i$  on  $[X]$  will be  $[e^{-i\langle w_\alpha, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha]$  for some vector  $w_\alpha$  with integral components. Let  $Q = \{u \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}}) | \langle w_\alpha, u \rangle = \langle w_\beta, u \rangle, \forall \alpha \neq \beta\}$ . For any  $\lambda \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})$ ,  $e^\lambda \cdot [X] = e^{pr_{Q^\perp}(\lambda)} \cdot [X]$ , where  $pr_{Q^\perp}$  means the projection to the complement of  $Q$ . Now we replace  $\lambda, \epsilon_i$  by their projections and keep the notations for simplicity. Denote  $c = \min\{\langle w_\alpha, \lambda \rangle | z_\alpha \neq 0\}$  and  $I = \{\alpha | \langle w_\alpha, \lambda \rangle = c, z_\alpha \neq 0\}$ .  $I$  is not the whole set. Then we have

$$(6.1) \quad [\tilde{X}_\infty] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{vi} \cdot [X] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-i\langle w_\alpha, \lambda \rangle} z_\alpha] = [z_\alpha(\alpha \in I), 0].$$

Choose  $\alpha_0 \in I$ , we have

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-i\langle w_\alpha, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-i\langle w_\alpha - w_{\alpha_0}, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha].$$

Then for  $\alpha \notin I$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{-i\langle w_\alpha - w_{\alpha_0}, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} = 0$ . But for  $\alpha \in I$ , it holds

$$\langle w_\alpha - w_{\alpha_0}, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle = \langle w_\alpha - w_{\alpha_0}, \epsilon_i \rangle.$$

Thus we get

$$(6.2) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-i\langle w_\alpha, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha] = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-\langle w_\alpha, i\epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha(\alpha \in I), 0].$$

If the norms of  $i\epsilon_i$  is bounded, we can assume that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} i_j \epsilon_{i_j} = \epsilon \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})$  by subsequence. Then the right hand side of (6.2) is  $[e^{-\langle w_\alpha, \epsilon \rangle} z_\alpha(\alpha \in I), 0]$ , which is equal to  $e^\epsilon \cdot [\tilde{X}_\infty]$ . If the norms of  $i\epsilon_i$  goes into infinity, we can assume that  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i\epsilon_i}{|i\epsilon_i|} = \eta \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})$ . Denote  $c' = \min\{\langle w_\alpha, \eta \rangle | \alpha \in I\}$  and  $I' = \{\alpha | \langle w_\alpha, \eta \rangle = c', \alpha \in I\}$ . Write  $i\epsilon_i = |i\epsilon_i|(\eta + \eta_i)$  with  $\eta_i \rightarrow 0$ . Then by (6.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-i\langle w_\alpha, \lambda + \epsilon_i \rangle} z_\alpha] &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-\langle w_\alpha, |i\epsilon_i|(\eta + \eta_i) \rangle} z_\alpha(\alpha \in I), 0] \\ &= \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} [e^{-\langle w_\alpha, |i\epsilon_i| \eta_i \rangle} z_\alpha(\alpha \in I'), 0]. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side has the same form as that of (6.2) with a smaller set  $I'$ . If the sequence  $|i\epsilon_i|\eta_i$  is bounded, we are done. Otherwise, as above, we can assume that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|i\epsilon_i|\eta_i}{|i\epsilon_i||\eta_i|} = \eta_1 \in \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})$  by subsequence. Continuing this process, we will get a sequence of vectors  $\eta, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_l$  in  $\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbf{K}})$  for some  $l \leq |I|$ . Now for small positive number  $s, s_1, \dots, s_l$ , denoting  $\tilde{v} = \text{diag}\{\lambda^k + s\eta^k + \dots + s_l\eta_l^k\}$ , we have

$$[X_\infty] = C \cdot \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} e^{i\tilde{v}}[X],$$

where  $C$  is some element in  $\tilde{G}_{\mathbf{K}}$ . Since  $e^{\tilde{v}} \in G_{\mathbf{K}}$ , it generates a  $\mathbf{G}$ -equivariant 1-PS degeneration. By Theorem 5.2, there is a  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  corresponding to this degeneration. The lemma is proved.  $\square$

*Completion of proof of Theorem 1.1.* By Theorem 3.1, the soliton VF  $v$  of  $(X_\infty, \omega_\infty)$  induces a special torus 1-PS degeneration  $e^{tv}$  of  $X$  with central fiber  $\bar{X}_\infty$ . Since  $\bar{X}_\infty$  can also be a central fiber of torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration by Proposition 2.9,  $\bar{X}_\infty$  is a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety by [14, Theorem 3.30]. On the other hand, the degeneration  $(X, e^{tv})$  attains the minimum of H-invariant  $H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma)$  for special 1-PS degenerations of  $X$  by [18, Theorem 3.2] (also see [42, Proposition 5.6]), we may restrict the H-invariant for special torus 1-PS degenerations. By Theorem 5.2 and Proposition 5.8, there is a unique  $\Lambda_v \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  as a minimizer of  $H(\mathcal{X}^\sigma)$  in (5.4) which corresponds to the torus 1-PS degeneration  $(X, e^{tv})$ . Thus by perturbing the soliton VF  $v$  to  $\tilde{v}$  in Lemma 6.2, there is another element  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  which induces a special torus 1-PS degeneration  $e^{i\tilde{v}}$  from  $X$  to  $X_\infty$ . Again by Proposition 2.9 together with Theorem 5.2, we may choose  $\tilde{\Lambda} \in \mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{R}}$  such that the degeneration  $(X, e^{i\tilde{v}})$  induces a special torus  $\mathbb{C}^*$ -degeneration of  $X$  with the central fiber  $X_\infty$ . By [14, Theorem 3.30], it follows that  $X_\infty$  is also a  $\mathbf{G}$ -spherical variety. Therefore, the proof is completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Alexeev, V. and Brion, M., *Stable reductive varieties I: Affine varieties*, Invent. Math. **157** (2004), 227-274.
- [2] Alexeev, V.A. and Brion, M., *Stable reductive varieties II: Projective case*, Adv. Math., **184** (2004), 382-408.
- [3] Alexeev, V.A. and Katzarkov, L.V., *On K-stability of reductive varieties*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **15** (2005), 297-310.
- [4] Bamler, R., *Convergence of Ricci flows with bounded scalar curvature*, Ann. Math., **188** (2018), 753-831.
- [5] Berman, R., Boucksom S., Essydieux, P., Guedj, V. and Zeriahi A., *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the Kähler-Ricci flow on log Fano varieties*, arXiv:1111.7158; *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **751** (2019), 27-89.
- [6] Berman, R. and Witt-Nyström, D., *Complex optimal transport and the pluripotential theory of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, arXiv:1401.8264.
- [7] Blum, H., Liu, Y.C., Xu, C.Y. and Zhuang, Z.Q., *The existence of the Kähler-Ricci soliton degeneration*, Forum of Mathematics, Pi, **11**, E9. doi:10.1017/fmp.2023.5
- [8] Brion, M., *Groupe de Picard et nombres caractéristiques des variétés sphériques*, Duke Math. J., **58**(2):397-424, 1989.
- [9] Cao, H.D., *Deformation of Kähler metrics to Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact Kähler manifolds*, Invent. Math., **81** (1985), 359-372.
- [10] Chen, X.X., Sun, S., and Wang B., *Kähler-Ricci flow, Kähler-Einstein metric, and K-stability*, Geometry and Topology, **22** (2018), 3145-3173.
- [11] Chen, X.X. and Wang, B., *Space of Ricci flows (II)–Part B: Weak compactness of the flows*, J. Diff. Geom., **116** (2020), 1-123.

- [12] Datar, D. and Székelyhidi, G., *Kähler-Einstein metrics along the smooth continuity method*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 26 (2016), no. 4, 975-1010.
- [13] Darvas, T. and Rubinstein, Y., *Tian's properness conjecture and Finsler geometry of the space of Kähler metrics*, JAMS, 30 (2018), n2, 347-387.
- [14] Delcroix, T., *K-Stability of Fano spherical varieties*, Ann Sci Éc Norm Supér., **53** (2020), 615-662.
- [15] Delcroix, T., *Kähler geometry of horosymmetric varieties, and application to Mabuchi's K-energy functional*, J Reine Angew Math., **763** (2020), 129-199.
- [16] Delcroix, T., *Uniform K-stability of polarized spherical varieties*, to appear in Épijournal Géom. Algébrique, arXiv:2009.06463.
- [17] Delcroix, T. and Hultgren, J., *Coupled complex Monge-Ampère equations on Fano horosymmetric manifolds*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **153** (2021), 281-315.
- [18] Dervan, R. and Székelyhidi G., *Kähler-Ricci flow and optimal degenerations*, J. Diff. Geom., **116** (2020), 187-203.
- [19] Ding, W.Y. and Tian, G., *Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariant*. Invent. Math., 110 (1992), no. 2, 315-335.
- [20] Donaldson, S.K., *Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties*, J. Differential Geom., **62** (2002) 289-348.
- [21] Gagliardi G. and Hofscheier J., *Gorenstein spherical Fano varieties*, Geom. Dedicata, **178** (2015), 111-133.
- [22] Han, J.Y. and Li, C., *Algebraic uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci flow limits and optimal degenerations of Fano varieties*, arXiv:2009.01010.
- [23] Han, J.Y. and Li, C., *On the Yau-Tian-Donaldson conjecture for generalized Kähler-Ricci soliton equations*, arXiv:2006.00903.
- [24] Knop, F., *The Luna-Vust theory of spherical embeddings*, In Proceedings of the Hyderabad conference on algebraic groups held at the School of Mathematics and Computer/Information Sciences of the University of Hyderabad, India, December 1989, 225-249. Madras: Manoj Prakashan, 1991.
- [25] Li, Y. and Li, Z.Y., *Equivariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -test configurations and semistable limits of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano group compactifications*, to appear in Peking Math. J.
- [26] Li, Y. and Li, Z.Y., *Equivariant  $\mathbb{R}$ -test configurations of polarized spherical varieties*, arXiv:2206.04880.
- [27] Li, Y., Tian G. and Zhu, X.H., *Singular limits of Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano  $\mathbf{G}$ -manifolds*, arXiv:1807.09167, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [28] Li, Y., Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H., *Singular Kähler-Einstein metrics on  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano compactifications of a Lie group*, Math. Eng., **5** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 028, 43pp ; arXiv: 2001.11320.
- [29] Li, Y., Zhou, B. and Zhu, X. H., *K-energy on polarized compactifications of Lie groups*, J. of Func. Analysis, **275** (2018), 1023-1072.
- [30] Li, Y., Li, Z.Y. and Wang, F., *Weighted K-stability of  $\mathbb{Q}$ -Fano spherical varieties*, arXiv:2208.02708.
- [31] Li, C., Wang, X.W. and Xu, C.Y., *Algebraicity of the metric tangent cones and equivariant K-stability*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34**(2021), 1175-1214.
- [32] Liu, G. and Székelyhidi, G., *Gromov-Hausdorff limit of Kähler manifolds with Ricci bounded below*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **32** (2022), 236-279. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-022-00594-8>
- [33] Ross, J. and Thomas, R., *A study of the Hilbert-Mumford criterion for the stability of projective varieties*, J. Algebraic Geom. **16**(2007), 201-255.
- [34] Tian, G. and Song, J., *The Kähler-Ricci flow through singularities*, Invent. math. 207, 519-595 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-016-0674-4>
- [35] Tian, G., *Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature*, Invent. Math., **130** (1997), 1-37.
- [36] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H., *A new holomorphic invariant and uniqueness of Kähler-Ricci solitons*, Comment. Math. Helv., **77** (2002), 297-325.
- [37] Tian, G. and Zhu, X. H., *Horosymmetric limits of Kähler-Ricci flow on Fano  $\mathbf{G}$ -manifolds*, arXiv:2209.05029.
- [38] Tian, G. and Zhang, Z.L., *Regularity of Kähler-Ricci flows on Fano manifolds*, Acta Math., **216** (2016), 127-176.

- [39] Tian, G., Zhang, S.J., Zhang, Z.L. and Zhu, X.H., *Perelman's entropy and Kähler-Ricci flow on a Fano Manifold*, Tran. AMS., **365** (2013), 6669-6695.
- [40] Timashëv D.A., *Homogenous spaces and equivariant embeddings*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, **138**. Invariant Theory and Algebraic Transformation Groups, **8**. Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2011.
- [41] Wang, F. and Zhu, X.H., *Tian's partial  $C^0$ -estimate implies Hamilton-Tian's conjecture*, Adv. Math., **381** (2021), Paper No. 107619. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2021.107619>
- [42] Wang, F. and Zhu, X.H., *Uniformly strong convergence of Kähler-Ricci flows on a Fano manifold*, Sci. China Math., (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11425-021-1928-1>
- [43] Wang, F., Zhou, B. and Zhu, X.H., *Modified Futaki invariant and equivariant Riemann-Roch formula*, Adv. Math., **289** (2016), 1205-1235.
- [44] Wang, X. and Zhu, X.H., *Kähler-Ricci solitons on toric manifolds with positive first Chern class*, Adv. Math. **188** (2004), 87-103.
- [45] Xiong, M., *Kähler-Ricci solitons and generalized Tian-Zhu's invariant*, Internat. J. Math. **25** (2014).
- [46] Zhang, K., *Some refinements of the partial  $C^0$ -estimate*, Anal. PDE **14** (2021), 2307-2326. <https://doi.org/10.2140/apde.2021.14.2307>
- [47] Zhuang, Z. *Optimal destabilizing centers and equivariant K-stability*, Invent. math. **226**,(2021),195–223. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-021-01046-0>

SMS, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310028, CHINA.

*Email address:* wfmath@zju.edu.cn

SMS, PEKING UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100871, CHINA.

*Email address:* xhzhu@math.pku.edu.cn