

Decomposition of surface into quadrilaterals

Kostiantyn Cherkashyn,
Tarash Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

2023
April

Abstract

There are many approaches to the classification of Morse functions and their gradient fields (Morse Fields) on 2-surfaces. This paper studies the gluings of quadrilaterals and the classification of topological surfaces obtained by gluing together sides of quadrilateral graphs created by trajectories on gradient fields with focal critical points as vertices and a saddle point in center for classification saddle and focus critical points. We review the graphs embedded into surfaces associated with gluings, the number of possible gluings, and some algorithms based on labeling schemes of fundamental polygons that can be used to compute those numbers.

1 Introduction

The topic of this paper refers to applications of topological graph theory to the classification of Morse flows on surfaces. It uses both topological and algebraic methods when working with embeddings of graphs on the surface. The main construction, which dates back to the works of Peixoto, consists in the construction of a discriminant graph, the vertices of which are sources, and the edges of which are one-dimensional flowing manifolds.

Classic papers on the topological theory of graphs are books [56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 73, 74, 76].

Embedded graphs as topological invariants of flows on closed surfaces were constructed in [7, 19, 23, 24, 25, 43, 1, 32, 35, 48, 33, 34, 41, 31], and on surfaces with a boundary y [5, 8, 21, 20, 35, 32, 28, 40, 25, 41, 44]. For a 3-manifold, a Heegaard diagram is an embedded 4-valent graph in a surface [49, 51, 39, 27, 29, 13, 4, 3].

Morse streams are gradient streams for Morse functions. If we fix the value of the function at special points, then the structure of the flow determines the structure of the function [22, 47].

Topological invariants, as Reeb graphs, of functions on oriented surfaces were constructed in [18] and [45] and in [22] for unoriented surfaces, and in [10, 16, 17, 30] for surfaces with a boundary, in [38] for non-compact surfaces.

Embedded graphs as topological invariants of smooth functions were also studied in papers [6, 9, 17, 16, 38, 37, 42, 22, 27, 55, 50, 2, 46], for manifolds with a boundary in papers [14, 17, 15], and on 3- and 4-dimensional manifolds in [36, 26].

To get acquainted with the topological theory of functions and dynamical systems, we recommend [30, 52, 53, 54, 55].

The purpose of this paper is to study topological surfaces created by gluing together sides of quadrilaterals, a variation of the classical question that arises in many different applications: in how many ways edges of $2N$ -gon can be pairwise glued to obtain a certain surface? We consider complete and incomplete i.e. some pairs of edges remain unglued, orientable, and non-orientable, but only connected surfaces. The main focus of this research is algorithms acting on labeling schemes, classification, and enumeration of labeling schemes equivalence classes under actions of the dihedral group D_n .

General solutions to a similar problem can be found in [81] for closed orientable surfaces, considering rotations and reflections of the fundamental polygon as a different gluing. And in [82] there is a solution for orientable surfaces including surfaces with one or more boundary components, considering surface rotation as a single equivalence class, but preserving orientation in the sense that reflection symmetry of the polygon is considered as a different embedded graph.

2 Definitions

Let X be a polygonal region, the *gluing* of two distinct edges a and b of X is a quotient space of this polygon

$$X/\sim, \quad g(x) \sim h(x) \text{ or } g(x) \sim h(1-x), \quad x \in [0, 1]$$

where

$g : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a path in X that consists of all points of the edge a and $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is a path corresponds to edge b .

Two equivalence relations $g(x) \sim h(x)$ and $g(x) \sim h(1-x)$ correspond to two possible ways to glue two edges that may result in orientable or non-orientable. In respect to graph G that represents a polygonal boundary, this gluing is the amalgamation of the graph G_f , where f is a graph isomorphism mapping edges $a \leftrightarrow b$ and their vertices $a_1 \leftrightarrow b_1$ and $a_2 \leftrightarrow b_2$ or $a_1 \leftrightarrow b_2$ and $a_2 \leftrightarrow b_1$. In this paper, we calculate the number of different embedded graphs created this way on corresponding surfaces




Figure 1: Graph amalgamation

A common and useful representation of such gluings is *gluing schemes*: a polygon with the labeled edges and orientation marked on each edge, an edge with the same label appears no more than twice². For the purpose of this paper, we consider all rotations and reflection symmetries of the polygon to be equivalent i.e. invariant under all actions of the group D_{2n} for $2n$ -gon.





Figure 2: Gluing schemes

Another representation of gluing is a *labeling scheme*: a word along the boundary of the polygon consequently labeling all the edges, if some edge a is oriented against the chosen direction it is written as an inverse a^{-1} if the letter and its inverse appears in a single word it corresponds to non-orientable gluing of the corresponding edges. Letter and/or its inverse cannot appear in the word more than twice, letters that appear only once correspond to the free edges i.e. boundary of the surface.

Labeling schemes have some operations defined on them that do not affect its resulting surface. In this paper we are going to use only a subset of them, namely:

1. *Relabel*: change every occurrence of the same letter in the word to another letter, keeping exponents as it is. It is possible to flip all exponents of a given letter e.g. if a is changed to a^{-1} if there was an occurrence of a^{-1} it has to be changed to a 3a.
2. *Permute*: cyclic permutation of all letters in the word e.g. $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ can be changed to $ba^{-1}b^{-1}a$ or $a^{-1}b^{-1}ab$. This operation corresponds to a rotation of a polygon if we fix in space the location of the first edge 3b.
3. *Flip*: reverse the word and flip all exponents e.g. $aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ is flipped to $bab^{-1}a^{-1}$. This operation corresponds to flipping over the polygon i.e. reflection symmetry 3c.



3 Classification of Surfaces

Classification of surfaces is a very important and well-studied topic in topology. For closed surfaces, it is summarized by classification theorem.

Let S be a surface. S is homeomorphic to precisely one of the following surfaces:

$$S^2 \# \underbrace{T \# T \# \dots \# T}_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

Proof of this theorem to some degree can be found in many different textbooks e.g. [83]

The above surfaces can be represented with standard labeling schemes:

$$\underbrace{T \# T \# \dots \# T}_n \simeq a_1 b_1 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1} a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1} \dots a_n b_n a_n^{-1} b_n^{-1}$$

To complete this definition for surfaces with boundaries we introduce labeling schemes of surfaces containing a single occurrence of some letters without the occurrence of an inverse, which corresponds to surfaces with some number of discs removed i.e. some number of boundary components.

We classify the surfaces based on the following topological invariants:

1. Euler characteristic $\chi = V - E + F$, where E is the number of edges of the embedded graph, V is the number of vertices, F is the number of faces. It can also be expressed as $\chi = 2 - 2G - B$ for orientable surface and $\chi = 2 - G - B$ for non-orientable surface where G is the genus for orientable surface or the demigenus for non-orientable surface and B is the number of boundary components.
 2. Orientability: a topological property of a surface that can be vaguely defined as a surface having two sides (orientable) or only one side (non-orientable). A surface is non-orientable if it contains a subset homeomorphic to a Möbius strip i.e. has a cross-cap. The labeling scheme is of non-orientable type if it contains two occurrences of the same letter with the same exponent. If at least one such occurrence exists, every other glued pair except a cancelable type aa^{-1} adds an additional cross-cap to a surface decreasing its Euler characteristic by one.
 3. Number of boundary components: a surface with n boundary components is homeomorphic to a surface with n discs removed.

Together listed above topological invariants can be used to determine a topological type of a surface and can be easily derived from the labeling schemes.

4 Embedded Graphs

In this paper, we are not only classifying surfaces obtained by gluing sides of polygons but calculating a number of ways to glue these surfaces for some number of quadrilaterals. For connected surfaces gluings from n quadrilaterals are equivalent to the gluings from $2n + 2$ sided polygons, but these gluings are introducing additional edges on the embedded graphs originating from boundaries of initial quadrilaterals.

For $n = 1$ the problem is trivial and all gluings can be easily enumerated 4. However, that is not the case for $n > 1$.




Figure 4: All quadrilateral gluings

For $n = 2$ we have only one possible configuration of hexagon glued from two quadrilaterals. Additionally, this configuration has 6 possible pivot points and 4 symmetries including identity 5. Changing a pivot point for gluing configuration correspond to a rotation of a polygon.




Figure 5: Hexagon gluing configuration symmetries

For $n = 3$ there are two possible configurations of octagon glued from three quadrilaterals 6a and 6b each consisting of two edges. Configuration 6b has 4 symmetries and configuration 6a has only two, and each configuration has 8 possible pivot points.




Figure 6: Octagon gluing configurations

We consider two gluings distinct if the edge is between different vertices and if labeling schemes are distinct up to relabeling under all symmetries mapping configuration to the same pivot point.

5 Algorithms

For small n the problem can be solved using non-optimized but easy-to-implement recursive brute-force algorithms, here we give a brief description of algorithms used to obtain the results for $n = 1, 2, 3$.

First of all, we need to have standard labeling to check whether two labeling schemes are equal up to relabeling.

Algorithm 1 Relabeling

```

1: procedure RELABEL( $w$ )                                ▷ Relabel scheme  $w$  to it's standard labeling  $w'$ 
2:    $w' \leftarrow \text{empty}(w)$                            ▷ Empty scheme of length  $w$ 
3:   for  $i := 1$  to  $\text{length}(w)$  do
4:     if  $\text{isset}(w(i))$  then                               ▷ Letter at  $i$  is present
5:       continue
6:     else
7:        $e \leftarrow \text{nextLetter}(w')$                       ▷ Next alphabet letter that is not in  $w'$ 
8:        $w'(i) \leftarrow e$ 
9:       if  $\text{occurrences}(w, w(i)) > 1$  then
10:         $j \leftarrow \text{gluedIndex}(w, i)$ 
11:        if  $\text{exponent}(w(i)) = \text{exponent}(w(j))$  then
12:           $w'(j) \leftarrow e$ 
13:        else
14:           $w'(j) \leftarrow \text{inverse}(w(e))$ 
15:        end if
16:      end if
17:    end if
18:   end for                                              ▷ Standard labeling of  $w$ 
19:   return  $w'$ 
20: end procedure

```

Examples of relabeling algorithm inputs and outputs:

$$\begin{aligned} ba^{-1}cdc^{-1}d^{-1}b^{-1}a &\mapsto abcdc^{-1}d^{-1}a^{-1}b^{-1} \\ a^{-1}b^{-1}ad^{-1}e^{-1}fg^{-1}f &\mapsto aba^{-1}cdefe \end{aligned}$$

Algorithm 2 Edge gluing

```

1: procedure GLUE( $w, i_1, i_2, \text{orientable?}$ ) ▷ Glue edges at indices  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  of labeling scheme  $w$ 
2:    $e \leftarrow w(i_1)$ 
3:   if  $\text{orientable?} = \text{true}$  then
4:      $w(i_2) \leftarrow \text{inverse}(e)$ 
5:   else
6:      $w(i_2) \leftarrow e$ 
7:   end if
8:    $w \leftarrow \text{relabel}(w)$                                 ▷ Labeling scheme with edges at  $i_1$  and  $i_2$  glued
9:   return  $w$ 
10: end procedure

```

The vertices labeling algorithm is a crucial part of finding the Euler characteristic of a surface defined by the labeling scheme3

Algorithm 3 Vertices labeling

```

1: procedure VERTICES( $w$ )                                 $\triangleright$  Get vertices sequence for  $w$ 
2:    $V \leftarrow \text{empty}(w)$                                 $\triangleright$  Empty collection of vertices same size as  $w$ 
3:   function updateEquivalence( $eq, w, i$ )
4:     for  $e : \text{adjacentEdges}(w, i)$  do
5:       if  $isGlued(e)$  then
6:          $j \leftarrow \text{gluedVertexIdx}(e, i)$ 
7:         addVertex( $eq, j$ )
8:          $eq \leftarrow \text{updateEquivalence}(eq, w, j)$             $\triangleright$  Recursive update for glued vertex
9:       end if
10:    end for
11:    return  $eq$ 
12:  end function
13:  for  $i := 1$  to  $\text{length}(w)$  do
14:    if  $V(i)$  then
15:      continue
16:    end if
17:     $eq := \text{set}()$                                       $\triangleright$  Equivalence class of vertex indices
18:     $eq \leftarrow \text{updateEquivalence}(eq, w, i)$ 
19:     $V \leftarrow \text{setVertices}(V, eq)$   $\triangleright$  Set indices from equivalence class to next alphabet letter not
in  $V$ 
20:  end for
21:  return  $V$ 
22: end procedure

```

6 Classifications of glueings

For $n = 1$ we have 7 topologically different surfaces:

χ	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
orientable	true	true	true	true	false	false	false
boundary	0	0	1	2	0	0	1
n	1	1	1	1	2	2	2

For $n = 2$ we have 11 topologically different structures, the number of different graphs embedded on each surface is calculated with respect to different configurations of gluing fundamental hexagon from two quadrilaterals.

χ	0	1	1	-1	2	-1	-1	0	0	-1	0
orientable	true	true	false	false	true	true	false	false	false	false	true
boundary	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	2
n	5	9	10	15	3	5	7	22	14	8	10

For $n = 3$ we have many more possible gluing configurations and 17 non-homeomorphic surfaces.

χ	-1	1	0	-1	1	0	0	-1	-2	-1	-2	2	-1	-2	0	-2	-2
o	t	f	f	f	t	t	t	f	f	t	f	t	f	f	f	t	t
b	3	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	0	2
n	19	59	145	219	65	113	43	197	47	122	95	16	451	256	263	4	25

7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed a method for classification graphs consisting of bounding quadrilateral regions on Morse fields (Morse foliations). The result of this work can be applied in many fields. For example, these kinds of foliations, are created by Morse flows.

References

- [1] O. Akchurin, S. Bilun, and A. Prishlyak. Three-color graph as the 1-skeleton of the 2-sphere triangulation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.05737*, 2022. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2209.05737.
- [2] S. Bilun and A. Prishlyak. The closed Morse 1-forms on closed surfaces. *Visn., Mat. Mekh., Kyiv. Univ. Im. Tarasa Shevchenka*, 2002(8):77–81, 2002.
- [3] S. Bilun and A. Prishlyak. Visualization of Morse flow with two saddles on 3-sphere diagrams. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.12174*, 2022. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2209.12174.
- [4] S. Bilun, A. Prishlyak, and A. Prus. Morse flows with fixed points on the boundary of 3-manifold. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.04019*, 2022. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2209.04019.
- [5] S. Bilun, M. Hrechko, O. Myshnova, A. Prishlyak. Structures of optimal discrete gradient vector fields on surface with one or two critical cells *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.07258*, 2023. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.07258.
- [6] S. Bilun, A. Prishlyak, S. Stas, A. Vlasenko. Topological structure of Morse functions on the projective plane *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.03850*, 2023. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.03850.
- [7] S. Bilun, B. Hladyshev, A. Prishlyak, V. Sinitzyn. Gradient vector fields of codimension one on the 2-sphere with at most ten singular points *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.10929*, 2023. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.10929.
- [8] S. Bilun, M. Loseva, O. Myshnova, A. Prishlyak. Typical one-parameter bifurcations of gradient flows with at most six singular points on the 2-sphere with holes *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14975*, 2023. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2303.14975.
- [9] S. Bilun, B. Hladyshev, A. Prishlyak, M. Roman. Morse functions with four critical points on immersed 2-spheres. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.04392*, 2023. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2304.04392.
- [10] A.V. Bolsinov and A.T. Fomenko. *Integrable Hamiltonian systems. Geometry, Topology, Classification.* A CRC Press Company, Boca Raton London New York Washington, D.C., 2004. 724 p.
- [11] M. Borodzik, A. Némethi and A. Ranicki. Morse theory for manifolds with boundary. *Algebraic Geometric Topology*, 16(2):971–1023, 2016. doi:10.2140/agt.2016.16.971.
- [12] M. Goresky and R. MacPherson. *Stratified Morse Theory.* Springer, 1988. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-71714-7.
- [13] C. Hatamian and A. Prishlyak. Heegaard diagrams and optimal Morse flows on non-orientable 3-manifolds of genus 1 and genus 2. *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, 13(3):33–48, 2020. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v13i3.1779.
- [14] B. I. Hladyshev and A. O. Pryshlyak. Functions with nondegenerate critical points on the boundary of the surface. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 68(1):29–41, 2016. doi:10.1007/s11253-016-1206-5.
- [15] B. I. Hladyshev and A. O. Pryshlyak. Deformations in the general position of the optimal functions on oriented surfaces with boundary. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 71(8):1173–1185, 2020. doi:10.1007/s11253-019-01706-8.
- [16] B.I. Hladyshev and A.O. Prishlyak. Topology of functions with isolated critical points on the boundary of a 2-dimensional manifold. *SIGMA. Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications*, 13:050, 2017. doi:0.3842/SIGMA.2017.050.
- [17] B.I. Hladyshev and A.O. Prishlyak. Simple Morse functions on an oriented surface with boundary. *Журнал математической физики, анализа, геометрии*, 15(3):354–368, 2019. doi:10.15407/mag15.03.354.
- [18] A.S. Kronrod. Functions of two variables. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 5:24–134, 1950.
- [19] Z. Kybalko, A. Prishlyak, and R. Shchurko. Trajectory equivalence of optimal Morse flows on closed surfaces. *Proc. Int. Geom. Cent.*, 11(1):12–26, 2018. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v11i1.916.

- [20] M. Loseva, A. Prishlyak. Topological structure of optimal flows on the Girl's surface. *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, 15(3-4):184–202, 2022. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v15i3-4.2338.
- [21] M. Losieva and A. Prishlyak. Topology of morse-smale flows with singularities on the boundary of a two-dimensional disk. *Pr. Mizhnar. Heometr. Tsentr*, 9(2):32–41, 2016. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v9i2.279.
- [22] D.P. Lychak and A.O. Prishlyak. Morse functions and flows on nonorientable surfaces. *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology*, 15(03):251–258, 2009.
- [23] A.A. Oshemkov and V.V. Sharko. Classification of morse-smale flows on two-dimensional manifolds. *Matem. Sbornik*, 189(8):93–140, 1998.
- [24] M.M. Peixoto. On the classification of flows of 2-manifolds. *Dynamical Systems (Proc. Symp. Univ. of Bahia, Salvador, Brasil, 1971)*, pages 389–419, 1973.
- [25] A.O. Prishlyak. On graphs embedded in a surface. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 52(4):844, 1997. doi:10.1070/RM1997v052n04ABEH002074.
- [26] A.O. Prishlyak. Conjugacy of Morse functions on 4-manifolds. *Russian Mathematical Surveys*, 56(1):170, 2001.
- [27] A.O. Prishlyak. Morse-smale vector fields without closed trajectories on-manifolds. *Mathematical Notes*, 71(1-2):230–235, 2002. doi:10.1023/A:1013963315626.
- [28] A.O. Prishlyak. On sum of indices of flow with isolated fixed points on a stratified sets. *Zhurnal Matematicheskoi Fiziki, Analiza, Geometrii [Journal of Mathematical Physics, Analysis, Geometry]*, 10(1):106–115, 2003.
- [29] A.O. Prishlyak. Complete topological invariants of morse-smale flows and handle decompositions of 3-manifolds. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 144:4492–4499, 2007.
- [30] A.O. Prishlyak. Topological properties of functions on two and three dimensional manifolds. *Palmarium Academic Publishing*, 2012.
- [31] A. Prishlyak and L. Di Beo. Flows with minimal number of singularities on the Boy's surface. *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, 15(1):32–49, 2020.
- [32] A. Prishlyak and M. Loseva. Topological structure of optimal flows on the girl's surface. *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, 15(3-4):184–202, 2022.
- [33] A. Prishlyak, A. Prus, and S. Huraka. Flows with collective dynamics on a sphere. *Proc. Int. Geom. Cent*, 14(1):61–80, 2021. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v14i1.1902.
- [34] A. Prishlyak and M. Loseva. Topology of optimal flows with collective dynamics on closed orientable surfaces. *Proceedings of the International Geometry Center*, 13(2):50–67, 2020. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v13i2.1731.
- [35] A. Prishlyak and A. Prus. Morse-smale flows on torus with hole. *Proc. Int. Geom. Cent.*, 10(1):47–58, 2017. doi:10.15673/tmgc.v1i10.549.
- [36] A.O. Prishlyak. Equivalence of morse function on 3-manifolds. *Methods of Func. Ann. and Topology*, 5(3):49–53, 1999.
- [37] A.O. Prishlyak. Conjugacy of morse functions on surfaces with values on a straight line and circle. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 52(10):1623–1627, 2000. doi:10.1023/A:1010461319703.
- [38] A.O. Prishlyak. Morse functions with finite number of singularities on a plane. *Meth. Funct. Anal. Topol*, 8:75–78, 2002.
- [39] A.O. Prishlyak. Topological equivalence of morse-smale vector fields with beh2 on three-dimensional manifolds. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 54(4):603–612, 2002.
- [40] A.O. Prishlyak. Topological classification of m-fields on two-and three-dimensional manifolds with boundary. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 55(6):966–973, 2003.

- [41] A.O. Prishlyak and M.V. Loseva. Optimal morse–smale flows with singularities on the boundary of a surface. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 243:279–286, 2019.
- [42] A.O. Prishlyak and K.I. Mischenko. Classification of noncompact surfaces with boundary. *Methods of Functional Analysis and Topology*, 13(01):62–66, 2007.
- [43] A.O. Prishlyak and A.A. Prus. Three-color graph of the morse flow on a compact surface with boundary. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 249(4):661–672, 2020. doi:10.1007/s10958-020-04964-1.
- [44] A. Prishlyak and S. Stas. Structures of the flows with a unique singular point on the 2-dimensional disk. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.00751*, 2023. doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2304.00751.
- [45] G. Reeb. Sur les points singuliers d'une forme de pfaff complétement intégrable ou d'une fonction numérique. *C.R.A.S. Paris*, 222:847–849, 1946.
- [46] V.V. Sharko. *Functions on manifolds. Algebraic and topological aspects.*, volume 131 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1993.
- [47] S. Smale. On gradient dynamical systems. *Ann. of Math.*, 74:199–206, 1961.
- [48] В.М. Кузаконь, В.Ф. Кириченко, and О.О. Пришляк. Гладкі многовиди. Геометричні та топологічні аспекти. *Праці Інституту математики НАН України.–2013.–97.–500 с,* 2013.
- [49] А.О. Пришляк. Векторные поля Морса–Смейла с конечным числом особых траекторий на трехмерных многообразиях. *Доповіді НАН України*, (6):43–47, 1998.
- [50] А.О. Пришляк. Сопряженность функций Морса. *Некоторые вопросы совр. математики. Институт математики АН Украины, Киев*, 1998.
- [51] А.О. Пришляк. Топологическая эквивалентность функций и векторных полей Морса–Смейла на трёхмерных многообразиях. *Топология и геометрия. Труды Украинского мат. конгресса*, pages 29–38, 2001.
- [52] О.О. Пришляк. Теорія Морса. *Київський університет*, 2002.
- [53] О.О. Пришляк. Диференціальна геометрія. *Київський університет*, 2004.
- [54] О.О. Пришляк. Основи сучасної топології. *Київський університет*, 2006.
- [55] О.О. Пришляк. Топологія многовидів. *Київський університет*, 2015.
- [56] P. Angelini, G. Da Lozzo, G. Di Battista, F. Frati, M. Patrignani, and I. Rutter. Linear area drawings of graphs. *Algorithmica*, 80(1):174–197, 2018. doi:10.1007/s00453-017-0341-8.
- [57] K. Appel and W. Haken. Every planar map is four colorable. I. Discharging. *Illinois Journal of Mathematics*, 21(3):429–490, 1977. doi:10.1215/ijm/1256049011.
- [58] J. Bang-Jensen and G. Gutin. Digraphs: theory, algorithms and applications. *Springer*, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-1-84628-970-5.
- [59] B. Bollob'as. Modern graph theory. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, volume 184. Springer, 1998. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0619-4.
- [60] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty. Graph theory. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, volume 244. Springer, 2008. doi:10.1007/978-1-84628-970-5.
- [61] M. Chrobak and M.T. Goodrich. Planar orthogonal drawings with optimal area. *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 4(3):195–204, 1994. doi:10.1016/0925-7721(94)90014-0.
- [62] R. Diestel. Graph theory. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, volume 173. Springer, 2005. doi:10.1007/978-3-662-48541-6.
- [63] M.B. Dillencourt, D.E. Knuth, and M. Rosenstiehl. Graph layout problems. *SIAM Review*, 35(3):315–347, 1993. doi:10.1137/1035095.

- [64] D. Eppstein. Quasi-planar graphs. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 351(12):5247–5275, 1999. doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-99-02342-5.
- [65] C. Godsil and G. Royle. Algebraic graph theory. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, volume 207. Springer, 2001. doi:10.1007/978-1-4757-3797-1.
- [66] J.L. Gross and T.W. Tucker. Topological graph theory. In L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, editors, *Topics in Topological Graph Theory*, volume 128 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 1–101. Cambridge University Press, 1999. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139171329.
- [67] G. Liotta and H. Meijer. Beyond pseudo-3D: new directions for drawing planar graphs. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Symposium on Graph Drawing and Network Visualization*, pages 317–331, 2018. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-04414-5_26.
- [68] L. Lov’asz. Graph theory. *Graph Theory*, volume 2 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 1–434. Cambridge University Press, 2001. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511806291.
- [69] B. Mohar. Embeddings of graphs into surfaces. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 51(2):150–163, 1991. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(91)90043-N.
- [70] B. Mohar. Graphs on surfaces. *Johns Hopkins University Press*, 2001.
- [71] B. Mohar. Isomorphism of graphs of small genus after subdivision. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 70(1):16–46, 1997. doi:10.1006/jctb.1997.1752.
- [72] B. Mohar. Some applications of Laplace eigenvalues of graphs. In L.W. Beineke and R.J. Wilson, editors, *Topics in Topological Graph Theory*, volume 128 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*, pages 174–202. Cambridge University Press, 1999. doi:10.1017/CBO9781139171329.
- [73] A. Neumann, D. Prestel, and A. Schulz. Topological graph theory. *CRC Press*, 2015.
- [74] J. Pach, R. Radoičić, and G. Toth. Planar embeddings of graphs on surfaces. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 34(1):151–185, 2018. doi:10.1007/s00373-017-1833-3.
- [75] N. Robertson, D.P. Sanders, P.D. Seymour, and R. Thomas. The four-color theorem. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 70(1):2–44, 1997. doi:10.1006/jctb.1997.1750.
- [76] C. Thomassen. Graphs on surfaces: Dualities, embeddings and characterizations. *Springer Science Business Media*, 2009.
- [77] C. Thomassen. Planar acyclic graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 62(1):180–187, 1994. doi:10.1006/jctb.1994.1078.
- [78] C. Thomassen. Planarity and duality of finite and infinite graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 41(3):291–319, 1986. doi:10.1016/0095-8956(86)90089-9.
- [79] W.T. Tutte. On the algebraic theory of graph colorings. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, 11(3):267–275, 1961. doi:10.1016/S0021-9800(61)80063-4.
- [80] D.B. West. Introduction to graph theory. *Prentice Hall*, 2001.
- [81] J. Harer, D. Zagier The Euler Characteristic of the Moduli Space of Curves. *Invent Math*, 85(1):457–485, 1986. doi:10.1007/BF01390325
- [82] E. T. Akhmedov and Sh. Shakirov Gluing of Surfaces with Polygonal Boundaries. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0712.2448*, 2008 doi:10.48550/arXiv.0712.2448
- [83] C. Kosniowski A first course in algebraic topology. *Cambridge University Press*, 1980