

Location Privacy Threats and Protections in Future Vehicular Networks: A Comprehensive Review

Baihe Ma*, Xu Wang, Xiaojie Lin, Yanna Jiang, Caijun Sun*, Zhe Wang, Guangsheng Yu, Ying He, Wei Ni, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Ren Ping Liu, *Senior Member, IEEE*

Abstract—Location privacy is critical in vehicular networks, where drivers’ trajectories and personal information can be exposed, allowing adversaries to launch data and physical attacks that threaten drivers’ safety and personal security. This survey reviews comprehensively different localization techniques, including widely used ones like sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, and cellular radio-based localization, and identifies inadequately addressed location privacy concerns. We classify Location Privacy Preserving Mechanisms (LPPMs) into user-side, server-side, and user-server-interface-based, and evaluate their effectiveness. Our analysis shows that the user-server-interface-based LPPMs have received insufficient attention in the literature, despite their paramount importance in vehicular networks. Further, we examine methods for balancing data utility and privacy protection for existing LPPMs in vehicular networks and highlight emerging challenges from future upper-layer location privacy attacks, wireless technologies, and network convergences. By providing insights into the relationship between localization techniques and location privacy, and evaluating the effectiveness of different LPPMs, this survey can help inform the development of future LPPMs in vehicular networks.

Index Terms—location privacy, vehicular networks, 5G, 6G, localization, tracking attacks.

I. INTRODUCTION

Being an integral part of mobile systems, e.g., the fifth- and sixth-generation (5/6G) systems, vehicular networks are required to provide credible information for driving assistance [1]. Over the past decades, multifarious applications have been developed for vehicular networks to offer various Location-Based Services (LBSs). LBSs can be classified by functions into navigation, weather, venue finders, social media, and crowd-sensing [2]. By reporting location data to the LBSs, the drivers can search their destinations, check traffic conditions, and view the weather [3].

A. Overview of Future Vehicular Networks

The architecture of the existing vehicular networks is shown in Fig. 1. Vehicles in 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks

frequently share data to obtain the required LBSs. Future vehicular will support ground communication, underwater communication, air communication, and space communication [4]. Edge computing enables the calculation tasks to be conducted at or near the end-side devices, which minimizes the network latency and load [5]. In this paper, the architecture of vehicular networks includes entities, Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) communications, and LBSs, which are defined as follows.

- *Entity*: The entities in vehicular networks are the infrastructures and vehicles. Infrastructures such as sensors, Base Stations (BSs), and charge stations can be seen as access points to provide services for the vehicles. Vehicles such as general vehicles, autonomous vehicles, and Unmanned Aerial Vehicles (UAVs) run in vehicular networks. The vehicles communicate with other vehicles and infrastructures to access LBSs.
- *V2X Communication*: The drivers can obtain LBSs by transmitting location data among entities, such as Vehicle-to-Infrastructure (V2I), Vehicle-to-Network (V2N), Vehicle-to-Vehicle (V2V), Vehicle-to-Pedestrian (V2P), and Vehicle-to-Device (V2D) [6].
- *LBS*: Location-based applications obtain and store the location data transmitted by the vehicles to provide various services.

Future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks interconnect billions of devices through heterogeneous networks for Device-to-Device (D2D) communications [7]. The features of future 5G/6G-enabled networks are as follows [8].

- *High Data Rate*: The data rates of future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks could be hundred to thousand times higher than current vehicular networks [9], [10]. Many technologies are utilized to achieve high data rates in the 5G networks, such as D2D communications, massive Multiple-Input and Multiple-Output (MIMO), and millimeter-Wave (mmWave) [11]. The high data rates require LPPMs to have low computational consumption for processing location data.
- *Ultra-Low Latency*: The latency of future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks is expected to be at the millisecond/microsecond level through the technologies including D2D communications, Software Defined Networks (SDN), and Cloud Radio Access Networks (C-RAN) [12]. Thus, it is necessary to protect location privacy without significantly increasing communication

*Caijun Sun and Baihe Ma act as the corresponding authors.

B. Ma, X. Wang, X. Lin, Y. Jiang, Y. He, and R. P. Liu are with the Global Big Data Technologies Centre, University of Technology Sydney, Australia, 2007 (e-mail: Baihe.Ma@uts.edu.au; Xu.Wang-1@uts.edu.au; Xiaojie.Lin@uts.edu.au; Yanna.Jiang@studet.uts.edu.au; Ying.He@uts.edu.au; RenPing.Liu@uts.edu.au)

Z. Wang is with the School of Cyber Engineering, Xidian University, Xi’an, Shannxi, China, 710071 (email: wz201018@163.com)

G. Yu and W. Ni are with Data61, CSIRO, Sydney, Australia, 2122 (e-mail: Saber.Yu@data61.csiro.au; Wei.Ni@data61.csiro.au)

C. Sun is with Zhejiang Lab, Hangzhou, China, (e-mail: sun.cj@zhejianglab.com)




Fig. 1. 5G/6G-enabled Vehicular networks. With data sharing, vehicular networks gain benefits from advanced technologies. Vehicular networks with the Fifth Generation (5G) networks increase communication capacity, reduce communication delay and improve the connectivity of vehicular networks. Artificial Intelligence (AI)-enabled next-generation (6G) networks are proposed for future network intelligitization. Vehicular networks with AI-enabled 6G technologies can offer heterogeneous structures in three-dimensional environments (e.g., space, air, ground, and underwater).

latency.

- *High Scalability*: Future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks can support massive nodes (vehicles and other devices) through network function virtualization [13]. The massive nodes could cooperate for location privacy, but it would be hard to detect malicious nodes.

To make future networks flexible, secure, and low operation consumption, the future networks can benefit from the following network management techniques [4].

- *Software Defined Network (SDN)*: The SDN technology can separate the control function and data management of the network [14]. The management is achieved by organizing the controllers through the control layer, and forwarding and processing data and protocols through the data layer [15]. As a flexible, low-cost, and cross-platform operation-supporting architecture, SDN is suitable for managing the vehicular networks [16], i.e., SDN-enabled vehicle path planning approach [17]. Combined with machine-learning approaches, SDN can achieve federated resource allocation for multiple global tasks [18]. Gao et al. [19] developed an innovative system architecture for integrating 6G communication, where the SDN and multipath techniques are utilized to transmit data in high-mobility vehicular networks. Sadreddini et al. [20]

improved a routing protocol based on the SDN with the RIS that reduces link failure and improved the throughput of vehicular network communications.

- *Network Function Virtualization (NFV)*: The NFV implements the functions of network nodes in the form of software and breaks the limitations of hardware architecture [21]. In NFV-enabled networks, Telecommunication Service Providers (TSPs) can skip the deployment of middleboxes that streamlines processes and guarantee the service quality [22]. The technical issues of NFV-enabled networks, such as resource allocation in NFV [23], are believed to be solved in future 5G/6G networks, which makes it possible to efficiently manage and share network recourse in future vehicular networks [24].
- *Network Slicing*: Network slicing separates the physical network infrastructure into several logically isolated sub-networks [25]. With slicing technology, the operators can independently operate the virtual sub-networks services which are represented by network slices. Along with the development of SDN and NFV, network slicing technology can be fully exploited in future vehicular networks [26], [27].

B. Location Data and Location Privacy Concerns

With increasingly popular communication sensing techniques, including cameras, and vehicle-to-vehicle communications, a lot of location data will be generated in vehicular networks, in real-time and large scale. Location data, which is shared in vehicular networks, has characteristics such as massive, highly correlated, dynamic, and unequal importance [28]. Location data is important to help improve the safety and efficiency of vehicular networks, including vehicle infrastructure and pedestrians.

- *Massive Data*: There are enormous amounts of location information when the drivers apply LBSs [29].
- *High Correlation*: Location data is correlated, which can disclose other information when exposed.
- *Dynamic Topology*: Location data frequently changes over time.
- *Uneven Significance*: Different location information has various significance to various drivers. Driver's home and workplace addresses tend to be more important than his shopping locations.
- *Driver Safety*: One of the most important purposes of location data that is transmitted in vehicular networks is safe driving [30]. The data transmission in vehicular networks should primarily satisfy the driving requirements [31]. For example, the transmission should ensure a high data utility of location data after being protected for location-sensitive LBSs, e.g., navigation.

Sharing the location data in the LBSs of vehicular networks raises privacy issues [32]. The LBSs in vehicular networks ask the drivers to expose their locations for working as planned, but untrusted LBSs and potential adversaries can lead to the leakage of the location data [33]. The adversaries can collude with untrusted LBS servers, attack trusted LBS servers, and eavesdrop on communications channels to gain the shared location data [32]. This poses the location data at risk of being obtained by adversaries. By analyzing the shared location data, the adversaries can threaten the drivers' privacy as follows.

- *Exposure of Driver's Private Information*: The adversaries can infer private information by analyzing the location data transmitted in vehicular networks, such as home addresses, religions, political parties, jobs, and work addresses [34], [35]. For example, a driver's religion can be estimated if the driver drives to religious buildings periodically. The inferred private information can be utilized to build models of targets for realizing attacks [36]. The adversaries can attack a specific driver, threaten a group of targets, or even sabotage a whole system [37].
- *Physical Attack*: The disclosure of the location privacy can lead to dangerous physical attacks, such as stalking, mugging or burglary [38]. For example, attackers can jam the traffic and induce the driver's trajectory¹.
- *Exposure of Other Vehicles' Private Information*: The drivers' trajectories can also be utilized to disclose the privacy of other drivers and predict other drivers' mobility [39]. For example, the adversaries can analyze the

driver's encounter history to obtain the trajectories of other drivers.

The advanced techniques in vehicular networks introduce new threats to the driver's location privacy. With the development of vehicular networks, adversaries have a high probability of launching attacks across multiple vehicular network layers. Location privacy protection would be increasing difficult with the existing Location Privacy-Preserving Mechanisms (LPPMs) when facing cross-layer attacks. For example, the Pegasus (spyware) developed in Israel can be utilized to obtain the driver's historical encounter messages [40]. By analyzing the historical encounter messages and the eavesdropped messages, the adversaries can minimize the estimation error to achieve high-precision localization for the target driver. The development of communication capabilities allows the vehicles to share data frequently with other entities in future vehicular networks, leading to the current LPPMs, which focus on a single layer, not able to protect location privacy when the adversaries launch attacks across multiple layers. The existing LPPMs should be improved to meet the privacy requirements in complex composite scenarios.

C. Contribution

This survey aims to bridge the gap between the existing studies. The threats of localization techniques to the existing LPPMs have not been well considered, so we introduce the tracking methods and their threats from the aspect of location privacy with the development of vehicular networks. We comprehensively research the existing LPPMs in vehicular networks by evaluating the methods that the existing studies have overlooked. We summarize the limitations of the existing LPPMs from the aspect of the communication and localization requirements, while the existing surveys evaluate the existing LPPMs under different attacks. The trade-off between location privacy protection and data utility is important to the design of LPPM, but it has been overlooked by the existing works. We provide the methods of the trade-off in detail to assist future LPPM designs. Existing studies typically focus on single-layer location privacy attacks in which the considered adversaries obtain location data in passive ways (e.g., eavesdropping) or active ways (e.g., jamming) and locate at the server side or between clients and servers. The existing literature is far from comprehensive, as future vehicular networks introduce new communication mediums, which increase the risk of location data leakage and bring new cross-layer location privacy issues to the existing LPPMs. We focus on the influence of the existing LPPMs introduced by the potential cross-layer challenges in future vehicular networks.

With a focus on the location privacy of vehicular networks, the key contributions of this survey are listed as follows.

1. We reveal the potential adverse effect of increasingly accurate wireless localization techniques on the location privacy of 5/6G drivers, which has never been discussed in the existing literature. We separately discuss the effect under different localization paradigms, including sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, vehicle driving

¹<https://simonweckert.com/googlemaphacks.html>




Fig. 2. The organization of this paper. Section I: the introduction of this paper; Section II: the existing surveys and gap analysis; Section III: the existing tracking techniques, threats and location privacy requirements; Section IV: the existing LPPMs and the balance between location privacy and data utility; Section V: the future location privacy challenges.

log-based, cellular radio-based, and upper-layer message-based localization schemes.

- We review existing LPPM techniques from the user-side, server-side, and use-server-interface in response to the different 5/6G localization and tracking paradigms. While providing a comprehensive review of LPPMs for driving log-based localization, we unveil that no privacy consideration has been given to sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, and cellular radio-based localization schemes despite their paramount importance in 5/6G scenarios.
- We analyze in-depth the balance of the existing LPPM techniques between privacy and utility (such as localization precision, computational complexity, communication complexity, and resource consumption), which result in

different levels of suitability and applicability of the techniques for the different localization paradigms.

- We identify the existing LPPM techniques potentially suitable for the three privacy-unprotected localization schemes, i.e., sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, and cellular radio-based localization schemes, and also reveal potentially significant privacy breaches resulting from cross-layer implementations of multiple localization techniques.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. We compare this paper with the existing surveys and evaluate their contributions in Section II. We list the existing tracking techniques, threats and location privacy requirements in Section III. The existing LPPMs and the balance between location privacy and data utility are illustrated in Section IV, followed by the future

TABLE I
SUMMARY OF ABBREVIATIONS

Abbreviation	Definition
2D	Two-Dimensional
3D	Three-Dimensional
5G	The Fifth Generation (5G) networks
6G	Artificial Intelligence (AI)-enabled next-generation
AI	Artificial Intelligence
AP	Access Point
AVI	Automatic Vehicle Identification
BS	Base Station
CAN	Controller Area Network
CSI	Channel State Information
D2D	Device-to-Device
ECU	Electronic Control Units
FHE	Fully Homomorphic Encryption
Geo-I	Geo-Indistinguishability
GNSS	Global Navigation Satellite System
GPA	Global Passive Adversaries
GPS	Global Positioning Systems
HE	Homomorphic Encryption
ID	Identification
LBSs	Location-Based Services
LED	Light-Emitting Diode
LPA	Local Passive Adversaries
LPPMs	Location Privacy Preserving Mechanisms
MAC	Medium Access Control
MIMO	Multiple-Input Multiple-Output
mmWave	millimeter-Wave
NFV	Network Function Virtualization
OBD	On-Board Diagnostic
OBUs	On-Board Units
PHE	Partial Homomorphic Encryption
PIR	Private Information Retrieval
QC	Quantum Communication
QoS	Quality of Service
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
RIS	Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface
RSU	Road-Side Units
SDC	Statistical Disclosure Control
SDN	Software Defined Networks
SE	Searchable Encryption
SHE	Somewhat Homomorphic Encryption
SLAM	Simultaneous Localization And Mapping
THz	Terahertz
TPMS	Tire Pressure Monitor System
TTP	Trust Third Party
UE	User Equipment
V2D	Vehicle-to-Device
V2I	Vehicle-to-Infrastructure
V2N	Vehicle-to-Network
V2P	Vehicle-to-Pedestrian
V2V	Vehicle-to-Vehicle
V2X	Vehicle-to-everything
VLC	Visible light communication

location privacy challenges in Section V. We conclude our work in Section VI. The structure of this paper is also shown in Fig. 2 The acronyms and abbreviations used in this paper are listed in Table I.

II. EXISTING SURVEYS AND GAP ANALYSIS

In this paper, we investigate the existing studies, most of which are published by IEEE and ACM in the past five years. We focus on the development of the LPPMs in vehicular networks from the aspect of localization technologies and discuss the trade-off between location privacy protection and data utility. In this section, our work is compared with the existing literature reviews [41]–[53] published from 2018 to

2022 and evaluate their contributions, as shown in Table II. The comparison concludes four major parts, i.e., localization techniques, the existing LPPMs, the LPPMs in future vehicular networks, and the trade-off between location privacy protection and data utility.

This paper reviews the localization techniques according to the development of vehicular networks and discuss localization techniques from the aspect of location privacy. Wang et al. [47] introduced future localization techniques with applications in 5G and 6G networks. Hussain et al. [45] discussed the localization methods based on the architecture of 5G vehicular networks and attacks.

In this paper, the existing LPPMs are divided into user-side, server-side, and user-server-interface LPPMs according to the phase that the LPPMs are allocated, by considering the threats of localization techniques [54]. The user-side LPPMs allow the drivers to process data before sending it to the LBSs, while the server-side LPPMs process the aggregated location data in dataset. The user-server-interface LPPMs use trusted third parties and secure communication to ensure that the location data is secure in transmission. We comprehensively compared related works of each category. The published surveys [41]–[44], [48]–[50] mainly focus on the existing user-side and server-side LPPMs in current vehicular networks.

Lu et al. [41] elaborated on the statistical disclosure control-based LPPMs, e.g., anonymity, in current vehicular networks, but other techniques are not discussed. Similarly, authors in [43] paid attention to the pseudonyms changing approaches of statistical disclosure control. The authors compared the cost of different pseudonyms-based LPPMs. Sheikh et al. [48] compared the user-side and server-side LPPMs, e.g, certificate, secure computation, and statistical disclosure control, under different adversaries and delineated the advantages and limitations of these LPPMs. The authors listed the location privacy issues and potential applications under the risk of adversaries in existing vehicular networks. Nevertheless, the study does not discuss the LPPMs in future vehicular networks.

By considering the same scenario, Ali et al. [42] classified the LPPMs into pseudonymous-based, group-based, hybrid, anonymous-based, and cryptography-based. The authors reviewed the location privacy requirements, attacks, and the efficiency of each LPPM. The authors also compared the capability of each LPPM to resist different attacks, but the user-server-interface LPPMs were not well considered. In the same topic, Mundhe et al. [50] presented an overview of current vehicular networks and summarized the existing user-side and server-side LPPMs. The authors evaluated the advantages and limitations of the existing LPPMs in current vehicular networks, especially the cryptography-based LPPMs. Talat et al. [44] compared the location privacy-preserving capability of the LPPMs. The authors summarized that each LPPM could provide higher location privacy-preserving capability than its counterparts in specific cases. By focusing on applications of LBSs, the study in [49] discussed user-side and server-side LPPMs and qualitatively analyzed their performances.

In this paper, we assess the advantages and limitations of the existing LPPMs from the aspects of localization requirements and future communication technology in vehicular networks.

TABLE II
COMPARISON OF RELATED WORK.

Reference	Year	Localization	Existing LPPMs				Limitations	LPPMs in Future VNs ⁴	Trade-off ⁵
			User-side ¹	Server-side ²	User-server-interf ³	ace ³			
[41]	2018			✓					
[42]	2019		✓	✓		✓			
[43]	2019			✓		✓			
[44]	2019		✓	✓	✓	✓			
[45]	2019	✓		✓		✓	✓		
[46]	2019		✓	✓	✓		✓		
[47]	2020	✓							
[48]	2020		✓	✓					
[49]	2021		✓	✓		✓		✓	
[50]	2021		✓	✓		✓			
[51]	2021					✓	✓		
[52]	2022					✓	✓		
[53]	2022				✓	✓			
This paper		✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	

¹ User-side: pass and run, certificate, secure computation, and data perturbation;

² Server-side: statistical disclosure control, homomorphic encryption, private information retrieval, and searchable encryption;

³ User-server-interface: secure communication and trusted parties;

⁴ VNs: Vehicular Networks;

⁵ Trade-off: Trade-off between Location Privacy and Data Utility.

In contrast, Ali et al. [42] and Mundhe et al. [50] discussed the benefits and shortcomings of the existing user-side and server-side LPPMs according to their methodologies. Jiang et al. [49] highlighted the limitations of the existing LPPMs to the requirements of different LBSs. Boual et al. [43] evaluated the anonymity-based LPPMs, i.e., server-side LPPMs, with syntactic linking and semantic linking in existing vehicular networks. By considering more kinds of attacks and LPPMs, Talat et al. [44] compared the existing LPPMs in existing vehicular networks under various attacks, including denial of information/services, breach of information privacy, masquerading, and data modification. Hussain et al. [45], Dibaei et al. [51], Boualouache et al. [52], and Haddaji et al. [53] presented potential challenges to the existing LPPMs in 5G vehicular networks. Based on the listed threats, the authors discussed the performances of the existing LPPMs.

In this paper, we also investigate the potential developments and cross-layer challenges of existing LPPMs in future vehicular networks from the aspect of future communication requirements in 5G and 6G vehicular networks. Hussain et al. [45] researched current privacy issues and solutions in 5G-enabled vehicular networks. An architecture for the 5G-enabled vehicular networks and potential machine learning-based LPPMs was developed by the authors to support 5G applications. Lu et al. [46] examined location privacy issues in the 5G V2X architecture. They analyzed the existing single-layer attacks and explored the future directions of LPPMs in 5G vehicular networks. By considering the technologies in future vehicular networks, Dibaei et al. [51] addressed the limitations of the existing LPPMs, based on which potential directions of future LPPMs were presented with blockchain and machine learning. Boualouache et al. [52] surveyed the existing server-side LPPMs, which were employed to protect location privacy by detecting misbehavior in future 5G and beyond vehicular networks. The authors presented potential developments of the AI-enabled LPPMs in future vehicular networks. Haddaji et al. [53] summarized the location privacy

in AI-enabled vehicular networks. The authors covered the privacy and security issues in future vehicular networks and discussed AI-based solutions within future frameworks.

This paper further delineates the trade-off between location privacy and data utility with theory and practice examples, which is important to LPPM designing. In the compared surveys, only Jiang et al. [49] discussed methods to balance location privacy and data utility. They provided the trade-off based on the methodologies of different LPPMs in the existing LPPMs. This paper discusses the trade-off in theory and practice from the aspect of localization requirements and future communication techniques in vehicular networks.

III. LOCALIZATION AND LOCATION PRIVACY RISKS

In this section, the existing tracking techniques in the different scenarios are summarized from the aspect of location privacy, as shown in Table III. Then, we illustrate the localization requirements, list the general adversary models, and provide possible privacy threats in current vehicular networks. By highlighting the threats introduced by localization techniques, the adversaries that have been well-considered are presented.

A. Localization and Tracking Techniques

Over the past decades, tracking techniques have been presented for high-precision LBSs and anti-theft systems [90]. However, the tracking techniques can be used by the adversaries, which branches the drivers' location privacy. Multiple LPPMs are presented to prevent the adversaries from obtaining the drivers' privacy.

The existing tracking techniques can be classified as sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, vehicle driving log-based, cellular radio-based, and upper-layer message-based. The adversaries with upper-layer message-based tracking techniques are well considered in the existing LPPMs, while the adversaries with vehicle driving log-based tracking techniques are overlooked by the existing studies. Location privacy under sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, and cellular radio-based tracking can almost not be protected.

TABLE III
EXISTING LOCALIZATION TECHNIQUES.

Category	Technique	Requirement	Description	Challenge
Sensing infrastructure-based tracking	Magnetic sensors [55]–[59]	Obtain magnetic induction signal generated by the vehicles	Calculate three-dimensional location and two-dimensional orientation information of the vehicles	Malicious sensors are difficult to be detected; The adversaries can collude with sensors; The adversaries can hijack legal sensors; No existing work can defend the adversaries with sensors
	Inductive loop detectors [60], [61]	The inductive loop generated by the vehicles	Can be deployed at intersections on road networks; Can monitor information like speed, volume, and size	
	Beacon message-based sensors [62]–[64]	Beacon messages like AVI tags, RFID tags, GPS, and MAC	Extract private information from beacon messages	
Optical vision-based tracking	ML and AI [65]–[67]	Use ML and AI to analyze the geometrical information of the captured camera frames	Observe the physical features of the vehicles; Image identification background	It is impossible to hide the physical features of the vehicles; Image identification has a high accuracy; The adversaries can directly observe the vehicles in the real world; No existing LPPM can defend the adversaries in such a scenario
Vehicle driving log-based tracking	Reverse engineering [68]–[70]	GPS and CAN data	Read CAN data from OBD and use reverse engineering technique to calculate location data	Multiple OBD readers can be combined for localization
	In-vehicle communication [4], [71]–[78]	In-vehicle communication system; Drivers' mobiles	Hijack in-vehicle communication system to obtain location data; Hijack mobile phones to read location data	Few existing LPPMs focus on hardware layer location privacy; An in-vehicle communication system is difficult to be considered in LPPMs for LBSs; The mobile devices are almost impossible to be isolated in the vehicles
Cellular radio-based tracking	Antenna localization [79]–[82]	Base stations Antenna signal	Use the direction of the antenna signal for localization	Antennas are equipped in the vehicles for communication; Signals can be detected in the physical world; Based station is necessary for communication; Localization with multiple based stations is very easy; No existing works can defend cellular radio-based localization
Upper-layer message-based tracking	Estimation actual information [83]–[89]	Messages in vehicular networks	The adversaries can launch multiple attacks to obtain messages that are transmitted in vehicular networks, with which it can infer the drivers' actual locations;	Various attacks can be utilized. The adversaries can launch attacks that cross multiple layers

1) *Sensing Infrastructure-based Tracking*: Sensing infrastructure-based tracking techniques detect vehicle trajectories by using equipment such as inductive loop, infrared, ultrasonic, microwave, magnetic and piezoelectric sensors [91]. The driving features captured by the sensors can be utilized for tracking.

- *Magnetic Sensor*: Vehicles disrupt the Earth's magnetic field that generates magnetic induction signals [92]. The magnetic sensors can expose the 3D location and 2D orientation information of the vehicles [55]. The magnetic sensors can capture the generated signals to analyze the travel time

and vehicles' identifications [56]. The existing works [56]–[59] modeled the magnetic field perturbations caused by the vehicles and extracted the magnetic waveforms of the vehicles. With the models and the waveforms, the techniques can track the vehicles and infer the driving status of the vehicles.

- *Inductive Loop Detector*: The inductive loop detectors are widely employed to obtain traffic data, which can be deployed at intersections on road networks to monitor traffic [93]. The inductive loop detection can provide vehicle information, such as speed, volume, and size [92]. The existing works [60], [61] used the data obtained from inductive loop detectors to




Fig. 3. Localization techniques. The localization techniques are classified into sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, vehicle driving log-based, cellular radio-based, and upper-layer message-based tracking techniques. The localization techniques can be utilized by the adversary to track the drivers. However, the adversary with the upper-layer message-based tracking techniques is well considered in the existing LPPMs, while the existing studies overlook the adversaries with the vehicle driving log-based tracking techniques. The location privacy under sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, and cellular radio-based tracking can hardly be protected.

increase the tracking accuracy.

- *Beacon-message-based Sensor*: The Beacon-message-based tracking techniques utilize messages like Automatic Vehicle Identification (AVI) tags and Radio Frequency Identification (RFID) tags, Global Positioning Systems (GPS), and Medium Access Control (MAC) [94]–[97]. The existing works [62]–[64] tracked vehicles with multiple beacon-message-based sensors because a single sensor is susceptible to the vehicles' driving status and environment.

No existing LPPMs can protect drivers' location privacy under the sensing of adversaries who utilize multiple sensors. The existing studies focused on protecting location data captured by the legal sensors through techniques such as blockchain, obfuscation, and anonymity. We will illustrate the techniques in Section IV-A.

2) *Optical Vision-based Tracking*: The optical vision-based tracking estimates vehicles' locations by analyzing the geometrical information of the captured camera frames (i.e., image pixels) [65]. The optical vision-based tracking can provide a high-precision estimation and robustness system in low-textured and low-visibility environments [98].

The existing works utilized ML and AI techniques to extract appearance features, such as color and texture, and combined the extracted features with other semantic information for tracking [92]. Study in [66] developed a deep learning framework based on the cross-frame keypoint-based detection network and spatial motion information-guided tracking network. The developed framework considers the vehicles' driving status and appearances extracted from satellite videos. Yang et al. [67] improved a collaborative sensing system that

integrates a customized metric-learning vision-based vehicle re-identification method to extract vehicle features. The system combines vehicle appearance with traffic network connection as features, which achieves a high accuracy of tracking.

To the best of our knowledge, no existing LPPM can prevent the adversaries from tracking vehicles based on the appearance features.

3) *Vehicle Driving Log-based Tracking*: On-Board Diagnostic (OBD) readers can be utilized to obtain the data of Electronic Control Units (ECU) in the Controller Area Network (CAN) [99]. The easiest way to locate vehicles is by reading data from the GPS devices, but vehicle manufacturers have divided CAN into sub-networks to harden the vehicles against single sensor tracking [68], [69]. Nevertheless, multiple OBD readers (e.g., inertial, heading, pressure, and speed sensors) can be combined to realize localization [70], [100].

It has been demonstrated in the literature that in-vehicle communication systems such as CAN, entertainment applications, and drivers' mobile phones can be used to locate vehicles [71]–[78]. For example, the Tegarom from Daimler Chrysler has navigation infrastructures and can exchange data with Tegarom's control centre [71]. In this way, vehicle localization can be achieved either by the in-vehicle communication systems from the third party or by the mobile communication linkage [72]. The Tire Pressure Monitor System (TPMS), as part of the in-vehicle wireless networks, is another potential attack surface to track vehicle locations [73]. The identifiers of the TPMS sensors are unique, and the protocol is vulnerable to reverse engineering, so the Road-Side Unit (RSU) can launch a passive tracking system to capture TPMS packets and map a route with the unique sensor identifiers [74], [75].

Mobile phones with GPS play an important role in the side channel attack to infer the driving trajectory. The adversaries can use the accelerometer data from the mobile [76] or the angle matching of the route with the mobile magnetometer sensor data [77] to track a car. Guha et al. [78] leveraged the data from the accelerometer and gyroscope sensors to detect vehicular movements, stops and turns to match the driving path. Besides the existing vehicle on-board hardware, vehicular services and applications can also provide the information to track vehicle trajectory in the side-channel attack. The in-vehicle auxiliary applications are required to support the autonomous driving functions by providing services in the passengers' services, vehicles' services, intelligent communication options, and intelligent resource allocation [4]. However, these in-vehicle auxiliary applications will generate more data embedding the vehicle state and location, which increases the privacy breach risk.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no universal solutions defending against location privacy attacks in the hardware-based physical layer. The existing in-vehicle intrusion detection systems, such as gateway-, ECU-, and CAN-based [101], focus on detecting malicious data transmitted in-vehicle and can hardly prevent the above passive side-channel attacks on location privacy.

4) *Cellular Radio-based Tracking*: Antenna systems are designed to track and steer signals from vehicles [102]. For tracking systems and localization purposes, it is most desirable

to combine a small compact omnidirectional sensor array using beamforming techniques [103]. However, the omnidirectional antenna is susceptible to signal loss in long-distance communication [102]. In the case of long-distance communication, the existing studies utilized a directional high gain antenna that focuses signal energy in a particular direction [79]. Burghal et al. [80] realized a relative vehicular localization using the channel state information from multiple-antenna transceivers. The authors used feed-forward neural networks to reduce the number of trainable parameters. The mmWave communication can also contribute to vehicle localization, as electrically steerable directivity of phased arrays offers a direction finding [81]. Several direction findings of base stations can be merged in a cross-bearing manner to localize the vehicles [82].

To the best of our knowledge, no existing studies have considered the adversaries with cellular radio-based localization.




Fig. 4. System model of LBS. The LBS consists of drivers, servers, and networks. The driver accesses vehicular networks with access points, e.g., base stations and RSUs. Then, the LBS servers respond to the driver's enquires. All three components can be threatened by the adversaries.

5) *Upper-Layer Message-based Tracking*: The upper-layer message-based tracking techniques allow the adversaries to obtain vehicles' location data by eavesdropping on the V2X communications or attacking the entities. In this subsection, we discuss upper-layer message-based tracking techniques by analyzing the adversaries mentioned in most existing works, as shown in Fig. 3. The adversaries are classified based on the behaviors and the size of the monitor region.

There are three components in an LBS system: drivers, servers, and networks, as shown in Fig. 4. Each part of the LBS system can be threatened by the adversaries. For example, eavesdropping on the network can disclose the messages transmitted between two nodes.

Based on the behaviors, the adversaries can be classified into active attackers and passive attackers [83]. The active adversaries focus on disrupting network communication, falsifying communication data, and inserting fake messages by capturing or cloning the legal drivers [104]. Compared with the active adversaries, the passive adversaries aim to monitor and analyze the data traffic to discover drivers' positions by eavesdropping [84]. The passive adversaries do not disrupt or interfere with communication directly [85]. The majority of existing LPPMs focus more on the passive adversaries than the active ones.




Fig. 5. GPA and LPA for vehicle location privacy. The GPA can eavesdrop on data transmitted in networks with full knowledge of the road, while the LPA eavesdrop on a relatively small range with limited equipment.

According to the size of the region that the adversaries can monitor, the passive adversaries can be classified as Global Passive Adversaries (GPA) and Local Passive Adversaries (LPA) [86], as shown in Fig. 5. GPA can eavesdrop on data transmitted in networks with full knowledge of the road by monitoring data, obtaining legitimate authorities or hacking into applications, and can gather information over a long time, like hours, months, or even years [41]. The GPA model is considered as strongest adversary in many privacy methods [87]–[89]. However, the GPA is a theoretical scenario because the GPA model needs to place a large amount of equipment with a prohibitive cost [84]. Compared with the GPA, the LPA eavesdrop on a relatively small communication range with limited equipment.

Fig. 6 shows an overview of the four main steps of location attacks. The adversaries obtain the location information of the drivers by collecting, eavesdropping, and compromising the vehicle's information. By analyzing the obtained information, the adversaries can gain useful knowledge, with which the adversaries can use methods, e.g., context linking, probability theory, machine learning, and (fake) peer user to infer the location information of the driver. And then, the identities, discretized trajectory points, and continuous trajectories would be exposed to the adversaries.




Fig. 6. Overview of the location attacks and adversaries. The adversaries can obtain the location information of drivers by collecting, eavesdropping, and compromising the vehicle's information. By analyzing the obtained data, the adversaries can gain knowledge of drivers with the obtained information.

B. Location Privacy Requirement

Researchers have different definitions of location privacy [105]–[107]. In this paper, location privacy can be defined as a branch of information privacy that deals with the location data to assist the drivers in deciding on when, what, and how to share such data [108]. The data shared in the vehicular networks should not threaten the driver’s security [109]. The privacy requirements in vehicular networks can be classified as follows [110], [111].

- *Privacy Protection*: Due to the drivers’ safety requirements and the wireless transmission, the communication data should be well protected when a vehicle applies LBSs. Other vehicles and any untrusted third parties cannot obtain or estimate any identifiable information about the vehicle.

- *Unlinkability*: Unlinkability of two or more vehicles can be defined as characteristics of vehicles that are indistinguishable from the adversaries [112]. For instance, the adversaries cannot distinguish the vehicles based on the obtained trajectories.

- *Contextual Unobservability*: Contextual unobservability means adversaries cannot obtain the drivers’ private information by analyzing the context of messages [113].

1) *Characteristic of Vehicular Networks*: The characteristics of vehicular networks, such as unlimited transmission power, higher computational capabilities, and predictable mobility, differ from those of other scenarios [114]. The characteristics of current vehicular networks are classified into topology features, node features, and transmission features. As shown in Fig. 7, the followings are the unique characteristics of vehicular networks, which are important to the location privacy in the study.




Fig. 7. Features of vehicular networks. Topology features, node features, and transmission features make vehicular networks to be significantly different from other scenarios.

Topology Feature: The topology features of vehicular net-

works, related to location privacy, include mobility, dynamic network topology, real-time constraints, frequent network disconnection, and volatility.

- *Mobility*: A small delay in V2X communication can lead to severe problems since vehicles in vehicular networks move at high speeds [115]. It is impossible to employ traditional LPPMs, e.g., handshake-based authentication technologies, since most of the encountered vehicles only communicate once and do not have enough time for handshake message authentication [116]. In vehicular networks, the vehicles change their points of network attachment frequently [117]. Thus, the LPPMs in vehicular networks should have mobility management methods to meet the requirements such as seamless mobility and scalability [118].

- *Dynamic Network Topology*: The topology of vehicular networks changes quickly so that the communication duration of LPPMs is limited [119]. The density of entities on the road networks is frequently changed due to the dynamic network topology of vehicular networks that communication recourse could be unevenly distributed [120]. For example, cooperation-based LPPMs (e.g., pseudonym swap) could perform well in the city scenario rather than in the suburb scenario, as the number of nodes on the road networks in a city is much more than that in the suburbs.

- *Real-time Constraint*: The data transmission in vehicular networks is time-limited [121], and LBSs in vehicular networks (e.g., accident warning information) need time-critical messages [122]. Thus, one of the critical requirements in vehicular networks is that LPPMs should allow messages to be transmitted within an acceptable period. Nevertheless, verifying a time-critical message is difficult because the authentication process can increase the time delay [51].

- *Frequent Network Disconnection*: Vehicles frequently disconnect networks due to the high-speed movement of the vehicles and the influence of the environment [123]. A great number of vehicles in the same region can also lead to server disconnection [124]. Thus, the LPPMs should be robust to provide location privacy protection in such a scenario.

- *Connection Volatility*: The connections among vehicles are random because of the high mobility level [125]. The connectivity between two vehicles could be lost easily, and connections may remain within a specific wireless hop in a short period [126]. Vehicular networks lack a long-lived context due to the random and short connection period. Thus, it is almost impossible to utilize long-live password-based LPPMs [127].

Node Feature: The features of nodes in vehicular networks related to location privacy are autonomy, strong computation and storage capability, unlimited energy supply, and limited transmission power.

- *Autonomy*: The vehicles in vehicular networks have the authority to send, route, and receive data with limited control of centralized authority [128]. On-Board Units (OBUs) and RSUs can process the data independently [129]. Hence, decentralized LPPMs are fully considered in vehicular networks.

- *Strong Computation and Storage Capability*: The nodes in vehicular networks are required to process an extensive

amount of data among vehicles and infrastructures [130]. The computation and storage capability of nodes in vehicular networks is high, so the computing resource of LPPMs could be unlimited [131].

- *Unlimited Energy Supply:* The energy consumption is unlimited in vehicular networks [132]. OBUs are supplied by vehicle battery, which satisfies the task operations [133]. Therefore, LPPMs in vehicular networks could ignore the energy limitation.

- *Limited Transmission Power:* The transmission power is limited in vehicular networks due to the characteristics of the communication protocols and the wireless access of the vehicular environment [134]. The covered area of each node in vehicular networks is limited by the transmission power. The communication region of decentralized LPPMs in vehicular networks is limited.

Transmission Feature: The transmission features of vehicular networks related to location privacy are open wireless medium, transmission attenuation, unstable network strength, and large network size.

- *Open Wireless Medium:* In vehicular networks, the transmission medium is the air. Security is an important issue in vehicular networks due to the characteristics of the open wireless medium [135]. Attacks targeting open wireless mediums can also be launched to threaten location privacy in vehicular networks.

- *Transmission Attenuation:* The features of digital transmission in vehicular networks include diffraction, reflection, dispersion, refraction, and scattering [136]. These features lead to several limitations for the dedicated short-range communication in vehicular networks [137]. These features could expose the driver's location data even though the location data is well protected by LPPMs [138].

- *Unstable Network Capability:* The communication and computation capabilities of vehicle networks are unstable and affected by real-time traffic conditions [139]. For example, the network strength among vehicles can be very high in a traffic jam, as a large number of vehicles could stay in the same region and form the vehicular network. Thus, the privacy-protection capability of cooperation-based LPPMs (e.g., pseudonym swap) could be unstable.

- *Large Network Size:* Vehicular networks can cover a large area, especially downtown or on highways. However, the communication region of vehicles in vehicular networks is limited. Thus, the LPPMs should consider both the large size of vehicular networks and the small size of the communication regions.

2) *Application Scenario of LPPM:* The location data is protected by real-time or batch LPPMs during the collection phase and protected by offline LPPMs at the publication phase, as shown in Fig. 8. The data is protected by offline LPPMs if it is processed through the server to the publication stage [140], while the data is protected by real-time LPPMs before being sent to time-sensitive LBSs [141]. The batch-wise LPPMs protect location data when multiple drivers aggregate and send location data to the time-insensitive LBSs [142]. The offline

LPPMs are employed when LBSs publish the trajectory data for analysis to protect the whole dataset rather than the real-time location data [143].

3) *Key Performance Index of LPPM:* Three major metrics are defined by the existing works to evaluate and compare the performances of different LPPMs as follows [2], [144], [145]. *Privacy:* The privacy metric measures the location-privacy protection capability of the LPPMs under the adversaries' attacks [32], [38], [146].

- *Data Utility:* The data utility metric is determined by the LBSs' and drivers' requirements. The privacy metric and the data utility metric are conflicting [144], [147]. For example, the data utility will achieve the peak when the location information is unprotected, and vice versa.

- *Efficiency:* The efficiency metric measures the time and storage cost of the LPPMs [148], which uses computational overhead, storage overhead, scalability, and tolerance of error as metrics [149], [150].




Fig. 8. The cases of employing LPPM to protect location privacy. The LPPM can be utilized in two phases, i.e., the collection phase and the publication phase, to satisfy different privacy requirements.

C. Location Privacy Threat in Vehicular Networks

The existing vehicular networks are under various location privacy attacks. One of the simplest methods to track a vehicle is illegally setting a Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) on it [151]. The adversaries can obtain the drivers' real-time locations by this method. However, this method is infeasible because the adversaries have to set GNSS equipment for each target vehicle.

1) *Passive and Active Attack:* The location privacy attacks can be viewed as a typical Multiple Target Tracking (MTT) issue, which assumes a set of noisy measurements or observations detected periodically by a sensor [152]. The adversaries obtain the best estimation of the driver's state and association probability through various location privacy attacks. The location privacy attacks can be classified into passive attacks and active attacks [104], as shown in Fig. 9.

The adversaries with passive attacks aim to monitor and analyze the data traffic to discover the drivers' positions by eavesdropping that do not disrupt or interfere with communication directly [84]. The passive attacks in vehicular networks can be classified as follows [104].

- *Wireless Eavesdropping Attack:* The adversaries can easily eavesdrop on vehicular networks due to the open wireless

medium. Through eavesdropping, the adversaries can obtain data transmitted in networks for future analysis [153].

- *Tracing Back Attack*: The adversaries can employ a triangulation algorithm to locate the drivers' positions with at least two separate antennas through tracing back attacks [154].

- *Traffic Analysis Attack*: The core nodes in vehicular networks process more traffic flow than ordinary nodes [155]. For example, sources and destinations transmit data at a higher rate speed than ordinary nodes. Thus, the adversaries can discover the targets' locations by analyzing the traffic flow.

- *Packet Analysis Attack*: The adversaries can extract encrypted information from the captured packet, e.g., location information and identification (ID) information [156]. The adversaries can infer the sender's trajectory by analyzing packets' timestamps if the packets have the same ID in two different locations.

- *Back-rolling Attack*: The adversaries can utilize the back-rolling attack if they have larger storage than legitimate nodes [104]. The adversaries can record the drivers' historical and new positions to find out the location information of targets.




Fig. 9. Major privacy attacks. The attacks on location privacy can be classified into passive and active attacks. Passive attacks aim to monitor and analyze the data traffic, while active attacks interfere with vehicular networks.

The active attackers can interfere with vehicular networks (e.g., compromising and cloning legal nodes). The active attacks can be classified as follows [104].

- *Node Compromised Attack*: The active adversaries can capture packets from several legitimate nodes to estimate drivers' locations [157]. The adversaries can also infer the network topology and drivers' locations by interfering with networks through cloning nodes [158].

- *Routing Blocking Attack*: The routing-blocking attacks track back the target drivers hop by hop to obtain the drivers' data. The adversaries can trigger a fixed routing block and monitor the data through routing blocking attacks [159].

2) *Attack based on Physical Characteristic*: The physical characteristics can disclose the drivers' location information. As shown in Fig. 10², the adversaries can track the drivers'

locations by observing vehicles' shapes, license plates, and other characteristics. The tracking technologies (e.g., Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR)) make it possible to track the vehicles with their physical characteristics [160]. The adversaries can estimate the vehicle's trajectory when the vehicle is identified at several different locations. The adversaries' estimation error decreases with the number of exposed locations increases. The obtained data can be utilized for later tracking attacks even if a vehicle is identified at one location [161].




Fig. 10. The identifiable characteristics of the vehicles for tracking. The adversaries can track the vehicle through the appearances like stickers, color, model, scratches, and license plate. The optical vision-based tracking can almost not be prevented.

3) *Attack on Inside-vehicle Message*: Inside-vehicle communication messages indicate the drivers' location data since the messages represent vehicle speed and steering angle [162]. The adversaries can obtain the data in Electronic Control Units (ECU) by illegal On-Board Diagnostic (OBD) reader on the OBD port or through vulnerabilities [163]. Inside sensors can transmit data in wireless networks [151]. For example, the tyre pressure monitoring system can broadcast unencrypted data within 40 meters. Remote keyless entry technology sends unique identifiers to unlock vehicles through short-range broadcasts. The unique identifiers can be used to track the vehicles [164].

4) *Attack on V2X Message*: V2X communications in vehicular networks are at the risk of disclosing location privacy, as shown in Fig. 11. Cooperative Awareness Messages (CAM) and Decentralized Environmental Notification Messages (DENM) are periodically broadcasted by intelligent transport system stations [165]. CAM and DENM messages consist of the vehicles' status information, such as time, location, and speed. CAM and DENM are necessary for the security services in vehicular networks, e.g., road condition warning and hazardous location warning [166]. CAM and DENM, which are unencrypted to decrease time delay, contain the drivers' digital signatures for message verification [167]. The digital signatures of CAM and DENM disclose the vehicles' accurate location data through high-time resolution [151]. The medium access control (MAC) layer protocols can disclose drivers' locations, such as the time-division multiple access (TDMA) [168]. TDMA MAC slot is broadcasted as the vehicles' identifications, which makes it easy for the adversaries to track the vehicles' trajectories by eavesdropping

²The vehicle in the figure is owned by the authors.




Fig. 11. V2X and in-vehicle communication threats. The adversaries can obtain the data by eavesdropping on the V2X communication channel since the vehicles broadcast data periodically. The adversaries can also use the in-vehicle communications to track the vehicles easily.

on the wireless channels [169].

The additional devices and peripherals inside the vehicles can also disclose the drivers' location privacy, as shown in Fig. 11. The adversaries or telecommunication companies can recognize the drivers' regions when they use their mobile phones within the region of a signal tower [170]. And then, the adversaries can achieve high-precision localization by using multiple signal towers. Another unique identification that can be utilized for tracking is International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) [171]. IMSI can be captured by multiple IMSI catchers, which lead to vehicle tracking if the drivers take their mobile phones into their vehicles [46]. Bluetooth sensors in mobile phones also periodically broadcast advertisement packets containing the devices' MAC addresses [172]. The adversaries can calculate the drivers' trajectories if they can record the Bluetooth MAC addresses of the drivers [173].

The adversaries can track vehicles without the content of the transmitted data. As shown in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, the signal direction context is sufficient for the adversaries to realize traceback attacks within the wireless sensor networks [174]. In vehicular networks, the adversaries can also infer the position changes and velocity changes with received CAM.

IV. LPPM IN VEHICULAR NETWORKS

In this section, we assess the existing LPPMs in three categories and discuss the limitations of each category, as shown in Table IV. The LPPMs are classified into user-side, server-side, and user-server-interface LPPMs. User-side LPPMs process location data during the collection phase, while server-side

LPPMs protect location privacy during the publication phase. The user-server-interface LPPMs use trusted third parties and secure communications to realize location privacy protection. We summarize the limitations of LPPMs from the aspect of localization and communication requirements, and we review the method to balance location privacy and data utility from the aspects of theory and practice. The theoretical methods are classified into the blockchain, adaptive parameters, hybrid, encryption, elements simplification, and virtual nodes, where we provide the existing works to illustrate the method in detail. The real-world in-vehicle tracking techniques, i.e., COVID-19 tracking applications, are used as examples to discuss the balance between location privacy and quality of service in practice.

A. User-Side LPPMs

The user-side LPPMs aim to protect location privacy on the driver side in the collection phase. The popular user-side LPPMs include pass-and-run, certificate, secure computation, and data perturbation.

1) *Pass-and-Run*: Pass-and-run aims to transmit the information through other vehicles instead of sending data directly to LBSs [175]. The pass-and-run is first proposed in [176]. The authors treat vehicular networks as delay-tolerant networks. A vehicle can decide whether to pass the message to other vehicles or submit the message to LBSs. Lu et al. [177] propose a lightweight pass-and-run method where the location data is perturbed according to the mobility of vehicles and the delay of transmission. The vehicles have two strategies in the method, i.e., a greedy strategy and a random strategy, which are selected based on the drivers' requirements. The authors utilize an asymmetric encryption algorithm to protect the passed message. Nevertheless, the transmission delay of the method is high.

2) *Certificates for Privacy*: Certificates, also known as privacy-preserving attribute-based credentials, are cryptographic mechanisms [178] that allow the drivers to obtain certified credentials for their attributes from trusted issuers, only reveal required information satisfying the requested LBSs' predicates [179]. The design of certificates relies on the use of malleable signatures [180], as follows.

. *Attribute-based Signature*: Shahandashti et al. [181] introduce the concept of attribute-based signature, which allows the drivers to sign messages with fine-grained control over identifying information [182]. The attribute-based signature is calculated based on the attribute value, which can be a binary-bit string [183], [184], or relies on a particular data structure [185]. Maji et al. [182] and El Kaafarani et al. [186] introduce and formalize the anonymity of the attribute-based signature that the signature should not reveal the driver's identity or the used attributes. The authors point out that the adversaries can track the drivers' locations by identifying their actual attributes. Considering the same privacy issues, Kaaniche et al. [187] improve the anonymous attribute-based signature by using a concrete mathematical construction based on standard assumptions and the random oracle model. The improved model significantly improves the location privacy and identity privacy of the attribute-based signature.

TABLE IV
AN OVERVIEW OF THE EXISTING LPPMs.

Category	Techniques	Description	Benefits	Limitations
User-side LPPMs	Pass-and-Run	Transmit location data through other vehicles	Transmission delay breaks the linkage of location information	High communication complexity
	Certificates for Privacy	Authentication	Provide both location privacy and authentication	High computational and storage consumption
	Secure Computation	Operate encrypted data directly	Operation is flexible and does not reveal private data	High computational and transmission delay
	Data perturbation	Apply LBSs with fake location data rather than the actual version	Considers the prior knowledge of the adversaries and does not need a trusted third party	Low data utility
Server-side LPPMs	Statistical Disclosure Control	Anonymize or obfuscates data in the dataset	Keep the general statistical features of the dataset	Need a trusted third party
	Homomorphic Encryption	Operate encrypted data directly on the server side	The operation could be flexible and does not need to decrypt data	High computational consumption and transmission delay
	Private Information Retrieval	Hide the requested items	The drivers can apply for LBSs without disclosing their requirements	High computational consumption
	Searchable Encryption	Hide plaintext keywords in searching.	Can be combined with other methods	Low accuracy
User-server-interface LPPMs	Secure Communication	Use traditional protocols or end-to-end encrypted services	Techniques have been developed in past decades	There are some limitations of the traditional protocols
	Trusted Third Party	Introduce trusted third parties to assist communication	High efficiency	It is an ideal environment

- *Group Signature*: Group signature allows a driver of a group to anonymously sign messages on behalf of the group [188]. Then, any verified vehicle can confirm that the signature is generated by a legal group member without requiring to identify the signer. Zheng et al. [189] improve a linkable group signature that achieves anonymity, auditing, and tracing functions for the communication sender. The improved framework increases communication efficiency and security by employing cryptography modules of blind signature, public-key encryption, trapdoor indicative commitment, and signature of knowledge. Hakeem et al. [190] employ a short-size signature to broadcast authentication information over multiple zones. The authors decrease the signature generation time and verification time by utilizing bilinear pair cryptography. Wu et al. [191] point out that bilinear pair cryptography is complex for OBUs and RSUs. The authors use an elliptic curve cryptosystem in the authentication process that decreases the computational complexity of group signatures. Mundhe et al. [192] develop a ring signature that provides unconditional privacy to the drivers by transmitting the message through the verified legal vehicles. The authors also utilize the pseudonym to increase the privacy-preserving capability of the ring signature. Mundhe et al. [193] enable RSUs to participate in the

signature generation process to decrease the authentication delay of the ring-signature-based LPPMs. Mei et al. [194] adopt the full aggregation certificateless signature technology to reduce the bandwidth resources overhead in the transmission of the certificate.

- *Sanitizable Signature*: Ateniese et al. [195] are the first to develop Sanitizable signatures in 2005. In the sanitizable signature, authorized semi-trusted censors can modify part of a signed message in a limited and controlled fashion without interacting with the original signer. Pamies et al. [196] combine the log anonymity and sterilizable signature to protect the sensitive data, e.g., identity and location. The authors hide authenticated identification when transferring the data streams from the local node to remote storage servers.

- *Blind Signature*: For the blind signature, the content of a message is disguised before it is signed, which means the signer and message author are different parties [197]. Sun et al. [198] improve a fog-computing-based crowdsensing architecture where identity and location privacy are protected by a partially blind signature authentication. The authors use zero-knowledge verification to improve the security of the blind signature.

In summary, the limitation of the signature-based methods is that certificate management leads to high computational and storage consumption.

3) *Secure Computation Mechanism*: Secure computation techniques protect a driver's location privacy by processing the location data, which was first introduced and formalized in 1982 based on the millionaire problem [199]. Zhuo et al. [200] improve a multi-key secure outsourced computation scheme that does not need the interaction between the LBS servers and drivers. The improved scheme avoids duplicating and useless encrypted LBS messages before verifying identities, which ensures the quality of LBSs.

4) *Data Perturbation*: The obfuscation-based LPPMs allow the drivers to perturb their location before sending the location information to LBS servers [32]. The data perturbation can be utilized on either the user side or the server side. In user-side data perturbation, drivers do not need to trust any external entity by sending obfuscated location data to LBS servers [201]. The data perturbations can ensure personalized location privacy, which offers location privacy protection with acceptable data utility [32]. The user-side data perturbation is summarized as follows.

- *Dummy-based Method*: Dummy-based methods do not need a trusted third party or key sharing step [149]. Niu et al. [202] improve a dummy-location selection algorithm to achieve k -anonymity for the drivers. The algorithm selects dummy locations according to the entropy of anonymity. The limitation of the dummy-based methods is the insecurity of submitting spatio-temporal correlation in sequential LBS requests. Liu et al. [203] point out that time reachability, direction similarity, and the degree should be a concern when filtering the dummies to solve this limitation. After the filtering strategy, the candidate dummies can provide high privacy protection for the driver's location information. The storage cost of the filtering strategy is acceptable, but the computation delay could be high.

- *Local Differential Privacy (LDP)*: Differential privacy can bind the knowledge obtained by the adversaries but could decrease the quality of LBSs [204]. From the LBS providers' perspective, applications can provide a high quality of services if high accuracy is received, but most LBSs can accept location data that is not entirely accurate [205]. The differential privacy can be defined as follows,

Definition 1 (Differential Privacy (DP)): A mechanism M satisfies ϵ -DP if and only if, for any pair of data x_i and x_j , we have

$$\frac{\Pr(M(x_i) \rightarrow y)}{\Pr(M(x_j) \rightarrow y)} \leq e^\epsilon,$$

where y is the output of the mechanism.

LDP is a distributed variant of traditional differential privacy that allows the drivers to perturb their location information before sending it to servers [206]. Erlingsson et al. [207] amplify the privacy to achieve high privacy-preserving capability from local differential privacy by combining differential privacy and anonymity. The authors point out that location privacy security can be achieved without adding any significant noise if the method employs LDP on the client side and a shuffling strategy

on the server side. Nevertheless, the authors ignore the spatio-temporal correlation in the road network.

- *Geo-Indistinguishability (Geo-I)*: Geo-I is first proposed by Andre's [208]. Geo-I allows the drivers to enjoy ϵ -differential privacy in the given obfuscate radius r with a privacy budget ϵ , which is as given by

Definition 1 (Geo-I): A mechanism M satisfies ϵ -Geo-I if and only if, for any two locations x_i and x_j , the following holds

$$\frac{\Pr(M(x_i) \rightarrow y)}{\Pr(M(x_j) \rightarrow y)} \leq e^{\epsilon d(x_i, x_j)},$$

where y is the output of the mechanism and $d(x_i, x_j)$ is the distance of x_i and x_j .

Based on the Geo-I, Zhou et al. [209] design a framework to balance the utility and privacy in edge computing. The framework includes two parts: privacy-preserving location-based service usage method and privacy-based service adjustment. The authors add two-dimensional Gaussian noise to shift actual locations. Although the authors consider the trade-off between privacy and service quality, the framework can lead to a high calculation consumption. Based on the background knowledge of trusty serves, Li et al. [210] employ correlation probabilities and correlation transition probabilities to realize Geo-I. The proposed method can provide different privacy-preserving levels for various requirements. The location shift decides the level of privacy protection. The shift is invalid when the driver's location shift is shorter than the threshold. Although the method can provide a different protection level, the method ignores the road condition. If the traffic jams and the driver applies LBSs frequently, the obfuscated location will never change by which the adversaries can obtain the driving state. Li et al. [211] improve an enhanced Geo-I definition named Perturbation-Hidden to ensure perturbed locations are valid. The Perturbation-Hidden method transforms the map of road networks into a grid where the acceptable locations are used as the candidate set. Furthermore, dynamic programming is employed to determine the retrieval area to provide accurate LBSs. The authors employ the dynamic programming method to provide the drivers with the shortest radius of retrieval radius. The limitation of the Perturbation-Hidden method is that it may lead to high privacy costs in privacy-limited regions.

- *Pseudonym*: Pseudonyms are employed as temporary anonymous certificates generated and distributed by the certificate authority [212]. The pseudonym-based LPPMs aim to ensure the unlinkability between the driver's identity and pseudonyms in communication [213]. Wang et al. [214] treat pseudonyms as a long-term identifier to decrease the computation and storage consumption. The authors design a trigger of pseudonym exchange requests to assist the certificate authority in the pseudonym changing. Vehicles change their pseudonyms when meeting the trigger. The method has a limitation that a long period of existing pseudonyms may provide a longer track window for the adversaries. Pseudonym-Indistinguishability is first proposed in [215] to ensure strict unlinkability in the pseudonym swap process. The pseudonym swap process satisfies differential privacy. The adversaries cannot link the

pseudonyms after swapping, even if the driving states of the two vehicles are similar. The Pseudonym-Indistinguishability method can provide a high swap complete probability with fewer pseudonyms. The limitation of the method is that the authors ignore the conflict in the pseudonym swap. There are two weaknesses of the pseudonym-based LPPMs. One weakness is that the pseudonym-based LPPMs need to manage the vehicles' pseudonyms, which leads to high computation and storage consumption [216]. Another weakness is that the pseudonym-based LPPMs cannot ensure unlinkability in the tracking attack [217].

B. Server-Side LPPMs

By using server-side LPPMs, service providers are required to perform additional processing on their clients' hosted data [218]. Service providers can achieve this by anonymizing databases, removing identifying traces or encrypting data contents.

1) *Statistical Disclosure Control (SDC)*: SDC mechanisms are mainly used to protect data within statistical databases, which balances data utility and drivers' location privacy [49]. Generally, the output of the SDC mechanisms ensures that the databases do not reveal information related to a specific driver [219]. Database anonymity and differential privacy are the two most popular techniques in the SDC mechanism, as follows.

- *k-anonymity*: k -anonymity LPPMs can provide personalized and accurate query results without key sharing [220]. k -anonymity LPPMs protect driver's location data in k vehicles to increase the estimation error of the adversaries [221]. The k vehicles are selected according to the closest historical request probability based on the maximum entropy principle [222]. The existing k -anonymity methods can be classified into centralized k -anonymity and distributed k -anonymity [223]. The centralized k -anonymity methods need anonymous cloaking servers, while the distributed k -anonymity methods ask the participants to unite [224]. Li et al. [225] propose a distributed architecture utilizing the blockchain. The proposed architecture records the hashed safety beacon messages to ensure integrity by reducing storage consumption and processing time. The authors employ k -anonymity to gather and upload safety beacon messages of a group of vehicles. The proposed architecture does not need a trusted third party. Luo et al. [34] improve a blockchain-enabled k -anonymity method to ensure trustworthiness. The proposed method calculates the trust level of vehicles based on the historical trust data and the trust degree reflecting factors. The authors establish a blockchain structure to record the historical trust information. The authors treat RSUs as distributed k -anonymity databases that provide the recorded data.

- *Mix-zone*: The Mix-zone methods allow a certain number of drivers to change pseudonyms in specific regions [226]. The drivers orderly get into the regions and get out of the regions in a different order [227]. Mix-zone methods cannot against timing and transition attacks that the adversaries can link the driver's pseudonyms at entry and exit points based on the timing knowledge [228], [229]. The adversaries can also

employ the continuous query correlation attack to link the old and new pseudonyms [230]. Some pseudonym mappings can be ruled out because of the weighting time, traffic conditions, and time constraints [146]. Amro [231] proposes a Mix-zone method that introduces fixed transceivers to decrease the influence of traffic conditions. The legitimate virtual vehicles join in the pseudonym swap process when the number of physical vehicles is lacking. The proposed method requires transceivers that act as physical vehicles. The introduced transceivers use pseudonyms to communicate with RSUs. However, the introduced transceivers bring some security and privacy issues. For example, the pseudonym swap process will be insecure if the adversaries obtain the pseudonyms of the transceivers. A group-based dynamic Mix-zone method is proposed to protect location privacy in resources limited regions [232]. The proposed method allows vehicles to transmit encrypted information in the silent region. The method is personalized that considers the expiration time of the drivers' pseudonyms.

- *Other Anonymity-base LPPM*: Meng et al. [233] propose a method to protect the driver's location privacy in navigation services. The method extends anonymous authentication that supports a request-limiting property. The method protects both location and route information of the users. The method can effectively protect identity privacy, location privacy, route privacy, unlinkability, and confidentiality. Zhu et al. [234] propose an Anonymous Smart-parking And Payment (ASAP) method to realize smart parking navigation. The ASAP method applies servers anonymously that the drivers transmit their locations into a region with the cloaking method to hash and encrypt the location data. Locations and routes can be explored under similar continuous queries, although the actual location is cloaked. Singh et al. [235] propose a Masqueraded Probabilistic Flooding for Source-Location Privacy (MPFSLP) method to reduce communication costs. The method can ensure non-repudiation, message authentication, integrity, and non-traceability. In the MPFSLP method, the re-sending is defined to replace the forwarding process. The authors point out that the vehicles do not require a relation between the location data and the identities of other vehicles. The MPFSLP method allows each node to send packets by masquerading as probabilistic flooding instead of generating fake packets. The vehicles can re-send previous messages to complicate the identity.

- *General Differential Privacy*: Differential privacy can also be used on the server side. Soheila et al. [236] propose a Differentially Private Data Streaming (DPDS) system to aggregate the data in vehicular networks. By considering the data correlation, vehicles in the DPDS system set up several groups in which the group leaders send members' compressed information. The compressed information reduces the noise value, but its limitation is that the size of the compressed data is similar to the original data. In [237], the authors propose a protection framework to protect privacy in edge computing. They also propose a data transmission method based on the noise quadtree and the Hilbert curve. The proposed method can improve the efficiency of location data publishing. Nonetheless, they only consider the two-dimensional space, which may not satisfy the real-world environment.

- *Joint Differential Privacy*: Joint differential privacy limits the single driver’s manipulation power and reduces the impact of the single driver’s false report [238]. Based on the joint differential privacy, a study in [239] proposes a scheduling protocol to protect location privacy and minimize vehicle miles in the ridesharing services. The proposed method employs private dual decomposition technology and driver clustering to improve the scheduling performance. They also design the private ride assignment method and driver grouping method to improve the privacy-preserving capability.

Homomorphic Encryption: Encryption is widely used to protect the location privacy of vehicles in data dissemination and computation, but data decryption needs to be more flexible and secure [240]. Homomorphic Encryption (HE) schemes can directly analyze ciphertexts by mirroring the corresponding operations on the plaintexts, which means the decrypted values of computation results on ciphertexts of location data in the HE schemes corresponds to the values of operations on the plaintexts [241]. The most common definition of the HE is given by

Definition 3: Let P and C be a set of plaintexts and ciphertexts, respectively. An encryption mechanism M satisfies homomorphic if and only if, for any given encryption key k , and any pair of data x_i and x_j , the following holds: $\forall x_i, x_j$

$$M(x_i \odot_P x_j) \leftarrow M(x_i) \odot_C M(x_j)$$

for some operators \odot_P in P and \odot_C in C , where \leftarrow means that the left-hand side can be directly computed from the right-hand side.

The HE schemes can be classified into fully homomorphic encryption and partially homomorphic encryption [242], as follows.

- *Partial Homomorphic Encryption (PHE)*: PHE schemes are the earliest type of HE scheme and only support homomorphic addition or homomorphic multiplication [240]. Yucel et al. [243] use PHE to solve the location privacy issues in the charging station scheduling, where frequent scheduling could expose the drivers’ location data. The authors first hide the drivers’ location information and then process the hidden information with PHE. The proposed scheme allows the drivers to join and leave the charging station dynamically.

- *Somewhat Homomorphic Encryption (SHE)*: SHE schemes support a finite number of homomorphic addition and homomorphic multiplication [240]. The work in [244] provides an integer-based SHE scheme based on the duration between each data transmission from the sensor and the data packaging method. By using the proposed scheme, the authors improve the efficiency of the SHE algorithm by reducing the encryption consumption of the sensor data. Yu et al. [245] focus on the scenario of an online ride-hailing service that allows a group of passengers to share a vehicle with a minimum aggregate distance. The authors use the SHE scheme with ciphertext packing in such a scenario to calculate encrypted aggregate distance. The ciphertext packing is utilized to ensure that the actual value of the aggregate distance cannot be leaked.

- *Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE)*: FHE schemes support an infinite number of homomorphic [240], but it has limitations of low efficiency and high computational consumption [246]. To decrease the communication overhead of the FHE scheme, Perma et al. [247] combine FHE with pseudonyms. The proposed method uses FHE to encrypt location data transited in vehicular networks, which also avoids the linkage between different pseudonyms of the same vehicle. By considering that all LBSs have deadline and mobility constraints, Mohammed et al. [248] propose a cost-efficient vehicular fog cloud computing method where the FHE is utilized for security. Farouk et al. [3] utilize a network simulator to simulate urban mobility, and a cloud simulation to simulate the real world and protect LBS queries with FHE based on the advanced encryption standard. The authors outsource encrypted location data to the cloud server so the drivers can securely obtain accurate LBS query results.

Nevertheless, there is no efficient homomorphic encryption-based secure computation method in road networks [240].

- 2) *Private Information Retrieval*: Private Information Retrieval (PIR) enables the drivers to request data items without revealing which item is retrieved [249]–[251]. However, the computational consumption of the PIR could be high that it is difficult to allocate in practice [252]. To overcome this limitation, Tan et al. [252] focus on road networks where the authors apply the transportation information of road networks as prior knowledge of PIR that significantly decreases the computational cost.

- 3) *Searchable Encryption (SE)*: SE schemes are considered as a server-side privacy-enhancing technique, which enables the drivers to keep plaintext keywords safe in searching LBSs [253], [254]. SE schemes are also be combined with other methods or tools to improve precision and efficiency, e.g., group signatures, Cuckoo filter, Pederson commitment, smart contract, public-key encryption, and proxy re-encryption [255], [256]. However, most existing SE schemes would provide wrong results for geometric range searches [257]. To solve this problem, Chen et al. [258] develop a novel SE method under a public-key system supporting arbitrary geometric area searches. The authors avoid the false positive result by introducing inner product encryption. The developed method can achieve 100% accuracy of searching results.

C. User-server-interface LPPMs

Channel-side techniques encompass mechanisms that act on the security and privacy properties of the set-up communication channel between the server and end-users [259]. Secure communication and trust in the third party are popular in the user-server-interface LPPMs.

- 1) *Secure Communication*: Secure communication can be classified as follows.

- *Encrypted Communication Protocol*: To avoid pervasive communication surveillance, it is essential to emphasize that encrypted channels need to be implemented and configured correctly [260]. In the LBSs of vehicular networks, traditional

protocols are widely used [261], e.g., the Transport Layer Security protocol (TLS) [262], [263] and the Secure Shell (SSH) protocols [264], [265]. TLS and SSH rely on public key cryptography techniques so drivers and servers can set up an encrypted channel without sharing secrets [266]. Nevertheless, the traditional protocols still have limitations. For example, SSH requires that the end-users can perform periodical verification [267], which is resource-consuming [268].

- *End-to-end Encrypted Services*: End-to-end encrypted services prevent the adversaries from accessing the location data when transferring among end-users, meaning that only the intended receiver can decrypt the data [269]. End-to-end encrypted services are seldom used in vehicular network scenarios currently. However, as future vehicular networks may require the vehicles to cooperate with others to complete a task, end-to-end encrypted services could be widely used in the future, which will be discussed in Section V.

2) *Trusted Third Party (TTP)*: The TTP techniques, which are commonly based on anonymity and pseudonym, are proposed to prevent malicious entities from obtaining the drivers' sensitive information [270]–[272]. A set of malicious entities could be more powerful than the legal drivers in that they could have the capability to trace the drivers and estimate other private information of the drivers [1]. However, the existing studies [273]–[276] prefer to protect location privacy without any trusted third party, which is a strict scenario.

D. Trade-off between Location Privacy and Data Utility

Location privacy and data utility are two competing objectives of vehicular networks. The data utility is one of the major concerns in location privacy preservation to measure how the privacy-preserving methods influence LBSs. The drivers are increasingly wary of their location privacy, which may discourage their location information sharing with the LBS platforms. For LBS platforms, they desire the actual locations of the drivers for commercial purposes. The over-protection will diminish the data utility of the LBSs [277]. Therefore, a privacy-preserving method should maximize data utility with the best privacy-preserving capability.

Many LPPMs focus on the trade-off between location privacy and data utility, as shown in Table V. There are six major methods to achieve the trade-off between location privacy and data utility, as follows.

1) *Blockchain*: Blockchain can be used to realize distribution management. LPPMs can access the required information if the information is stored in the blockchain [284]. This technology can decrease time delays and detect the malicious vehicles. Luo et al. [34] use blockchain to store the historical trust information of vehicles and employ Dirichlet distribution to allow vehicles to cooperate with others by utilizing anonymity. The authors reduce the communication delay with blockchain-based trust management. Li et al. [225] develop two metrics, i.e., connectivity and average distance, to measure the data utility of k -anonymity. With these two metrics, the authors evaluate the developed framework, which uses blockchain to solve trust management during the exchanging

of safety beacon messages. The experimental results show that the blockchain-based k -anonymity framework decreases the data processing time.

Malik et al. [31] avoid the dependency on a trusted third party by developing a blockchain-based authentication and revocation method which decreases the consumption of computation and communication. Wang et al. [197] combine the blind signature with blockchain to achieve a scheme that has high effectiveness and applicability. The authors use blockchain to store the public key of the vehicle, which can be used for authentication by comparing the calculated Merkel's root value. Tang et al. [256] manipulate group signature and multiple tools when designing a blockchain-based privacy-preserving scope-query searchable encryption scheme, which achieves fairness and accurate parking lot sharing. Chaudhary et al. [278] also use blockchain to overcome the limitations of k -anonymity, while Liang et al. [282] create cloaking region according to the blockchain. Wang et al. [283] evaluate the trustworthiness of vehicles by using blockchain-based RSUs, and Lu et al. [279] use the proofs of presence and absence in blockchain to protect location privacy. The authors ensure the high validity of the two methods and avoid the leakage of identity. Boualouache et al. [280] and Samuel [281] use blockchain to protect location privacy by preventing semantic, linking, and data mining attacks.

2) *Adaptive Parameter*: Some LPPMs achieve the trade-off between location privacy and data utility by tuning the parameters according to the drivers' requirements. The adaptive parameters-based LPPMs can decrease unnecessary resource consumption and data utility loss. Lu et al. [177] define the quantitative measurements of location privacy and perfect privacy. By using these measurements, vehicles can route location data with the assistance of other vehicles to obtain efficient, secure and accurate LBSs. Shahandashti et al. [181] and Kaaniche et al. [187] permit the vehicles to only reveal required data based on their attributes and requirements, which can achieve high efficiency and data utility without breaching the anonymity of the vehicles. Ma et al. [32], Zhou et al. [209], Li et al. [210], and Li et al. [211] develop the location privacy-preserving mechanisms which can adaptively set the parameters based on the drivers' protection and utility requirements. Li et al. [215] allow the vehicles to swap their pseudonyms according to their driving status, which can achieve high privacy protection and data utility. Zhu et al. [234] ensure the effectiveness of location data utility by using hashmap-based short randomizable signature. By controlling the noise of differential privacy, Ghane et al. [236] and Miao et al. [237] improve the retrieval accuracy and decrease the time complexity to balance the location privacy protection and data utility. Subramaniaswamy et al. [244] and Mohammed [248] use encrypt location data in the edge of vehicular networks without losing data. The authors reduce the time delay and recourse consumption of encryption.

3) *Hybrid Approach*: Some LPPMs utilize different methodologies to improve efficiency. The hybrid LPPMs can obtain great benefits and overcome limitations by mixing

TABLE V
EXISTING LPPMS IN THE TRADE-OFF BETWEEN LOCATION PRIVACY AND DATA UTILITY.

Methods	Techniques	LPPM category	Papers
Blockchain	Distribution Management	k -anonymity	[34], [225], [278]
		others	[31], [197], [256], [279]–[283]
Adaptive parameters	Tuning parameters	Pass-and-run	[177]
		Attribute-based signature	[181], [187]
		Geo-I	[32], [209]–[211]
		Pseudonym	[215]
		Other anonymity	[234]
		General differential privacy	[236], [237]
		SHE	[244]
		FHE	[248]
Hybrid	Combining multiple methodologies	Group signature	[189], [192]
		Sanitizable signature	[196]
		Dummy-based	[202]
		LDP	[207]
		Joint differential privacy	[239]
		SE	[258]
Encryption optimization	Reducing computational and communication consumption	Group signature	[191]
		Blind signature	[198]
		Secure computation	[200]
		Mix-zone	[232]
		PHE	[243]
		FHE	[247]
Parameter simplification and optimization	Simplifying process	Group signature	[190], [194]
		Blind signature	[198]
		Dummy-based	[203]
		Pseudonym	[214]
		Other anonymity	[235]
		FHE	[3]
		Private information retrieval	[252]
Virtual nodes	Introducing cooperators	Group signature	[193]
		Pseudonym	[214]
		k -anonymity	[231]
		TTP	[273]–[276]

various methodologies. Zheng et al. [189] and Mundhe et al. [193] combine group signatures and pseudonyms to achieve identity verification. By using the pseudonym, the signature could be short, and the computational consumption could be reduced. Similarly, work in [196] jointly uses log anonymization and sanitizable signature to mitigate privacy threats. Niu et al. [202] realize k -anonymity by utilizing dummy locations, which considers the side information of the vehicles, and Erlingsson et al. [207] use differential privacy to eliminate the signal at the user side. The two methods can prevent the linking of pseudonyms by the adversaries. With joint differential privacy, Tong et al. [239] research on the private spatial index, private distributed optimization, and private dual decomposition techniques to achieve the balance. Chen et al. [258] use SE and computational private information

retrieval to decrease computational consumption, ensuring effectiveness, which considers the restriction of road networks as the prior knowledge.

4) *Encryption Optimization*: The encryption methodologies result in high communication consumption, such as bilinear pair cryptography. Some LPPMs focus on optimizing encryption methods to achieve high data utility and low time delay. Wu et al. [191] avoid the high computational cost of message signing and verification by using the elliptic curve cryptosystem rather than bilinear pairs. Sun et al. [198] introduce encryption-based methods, including zero-knowledge verification, one-way hashing, and homomorphic encryption into the partially blind signature to obtain superior effectiveness of network response and privacy preservation.

Zhou et al. [200] employ the noncolluding servers, i.e., the cloud and the cryptographic service provider, to skip the interaction between the vehicle and the LBS servers. The authors eliminate redundant encrypted LBS data before authentication, i.e., ciphertext re-encryption, that achieves practicability and protection. Li et al. [232] suggest the vehicles to transmit encrypted data rather than being silent in the mix zone. The authors point out that encryption can decrease the storage consumption of pseudonym management, and increase privacy protection without breaching data utility. Yucel et al. [243] protect location privacy by utilizing homomorphic encryption into bichromatic mutual nearest neighbor assignments, which protect location privacy with low recourse consumption and convergence times. Prema et al. [247] point out that FHE can lead to communication overhead problems. The authors utilize pseudonyms with homomorphic encryption to control the message frequency, which keeps the low overhead of the pseudonym with the high security of the homomorphic encryption.

5) *Parameter Simplification and Optimization*: The time delay can be significantly decreased when the LPPMs can simplify the process. For example, the non-certificate LPPMs can eliminate the certificate authentication time delay and certificate storage consumption. Hakeem et al. [190] achieve authentication over multiple zones of large-scale BSs by using a single message and short signature with bilinear pairing cryptography and short-size signature. The authors significantly decrease the generation and verification time of the signature. Mei et al. [194] use a certificateless aggregate signature scheme with full aggregation technology to reduce resource consumption. The trade-off between privacy protection and data utility is achieved by considering random oracles under the computational Diffie-Hellman assumption. Sun et al. [198] optimize a fog-bus-based vehicle crowdsensing framework that severs the relationship between the identity and location data. The authors simplify the data process in data reporting, reputation management, and reward issuing so that the effectiveness can be improved.

Liu et al. [203] focus on the time reachability, direction similarity, and in-degree/out-degree of the location data. The developed spatiotemporal correlation-aware LPPM simplifies neighboring location sets to achieve personalized location privacy protection. Wang et al. [214] improve the data utility of pseudonyms by introducing a trigger-based structure, avoiding the frequent pseudonym changing. Singh et al. [235] simplify the required characteristics of the vehicles by using location and speed instead of their identities. The authors allow each vehicle to send data of others masquerading as its own location data, which significantly decreases the traceability of the pseudonym-base LPPM without breaching the data utility. Farouk et al. [3] outsource the location data to a cloud server that prevents the vehicles from sharing their location data with multiple different entities. By only sharing data with the cloud server, the vehicle can obtain LBSs with a low delay. Tan et al. [252] use the prior knowledge of road networks to decrease the consumption of computational private information retrieval in preprocessing and communication.

6) *Virtual Node*: Some LPPMs, e.g., k -anonymity, need a specific number of neighbors to provide a high privacy-preserving capability. The LPPMs can generate virtual nodes to act as physical vehicles to overcome this limitation. The virtual nodes occupy limited storage space. Thus, the privacy-preserving capability can be improved without decreasing the data utility. Mundhe et al. [193] avoid the trusted third party in authentication by employing a lattice-based ring signature. The scheme provides a low authentication delay and cost, which improves the effectiveness of the data utility to the signature-based LPPMs. Wang et al. [214] introduce virtual devices, i.e., triggers, to assist pseudonym changing, which improves the privacy protection of pseudonym-based LPPMs. Zhu et al. [234] distribute fixed mixing zone in road networks to avoid the adversaries linking the pseudonyms. The presented method provides stable location privacy preservation, which is not influenced by the number of vehicles in the mixing zone.

In summary, existing methods that balance location privacy and data utility cannot perfectly satisfy the future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks. For example, the Geo-I-based LPPMs inject controlled noise in location data when the data is reported to the LBSs. Nevertheless, inaccurate location data will introduce extra data optimization processes, which degrade the consumption of big data analysis in 5G/6G networks [313]. The data utility will be significantly decreased even if the Geo-I-based methods finely tune the adaptive parameters. This is unacceptable to 5G/6G service providers. The virtual nodes-based method needs to provide the expected balance between location privacy-preserving capability and data utility. The reason is that the future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks will deploy numerous sensors to monitor the environment so that virtual nodes can be eliminated. Thus, the challenge of balancing location privacy and data utility will become serious as the requirements of high-precision and novel technologies are proposed in future 5G/6G vehicular networks.

7) *Example of Localization Application*: Different from the theoretical trade-off between location privacy and data utility, the applications in practice are more interested in data utility. Location data collection and exchange in the fight against COVID-19 is an excellent example of in-vehicle tracking. Existing applications for epidemic prevention can be classified into centralized and decentralized management. In centralized management cases, the medical information of confirmed cases is monitored by governments or institutions. Governments or institutions will only disclose the trajectory of the patient when a new patient appears. In decentralized management cases, the location data is managed by the drivers. Only if the drivers are infected, their trajectories are exposed to authorities. Strict management of such information can save the world from the virus, but the location privacy of the patient is exposed. Table VI shows the benefits and limitations of related applications. In Table VI, the existing COVID-19 applications are classified into centralized and decentralized applications.

The centralized applications (e.g., TraceTogether (Singa-

TABLE VI
TRADE-OFF BETWEEN LOCATION PRIVACY AND DATA UTILITY OF IN-VEHICLE TRACKING IN PRACTICE: COVID-19 APPLICATIONS.

Architecture	Applications	Required information	Limitations
Centralized	COVIDSafe (Australia) [285]	Encounter information	The personal information of the driver, e.g., identity, phone number, and email. Encounter information that is frequently recorded exposes the driver's trajectories. Private information can be inferred from the reported geographical information. The geographical information is disclosed to the public for epidemic prevention
	E-Tabib (Azerbaijan) [286]		
	BeAware Bahrain (Bahrain) [287]		
	Corona Tracer BD (Bangladesh) [288]		
	Taiwan Social Distancing (China) [289]		
	TousAntiCovid (France) [290]		
	VirusRadar (Hungary) [291]		
	Rakning C-19 (Iceland) [292]		
	Smittestopp (Norway) [293]		
	BlueTrace (Singapore) [294]		
	Alipay (China) [295]	Geographical information	
	WeChat (China) [296]		
	LeaveHomeSafe (China) [297]		
Decentralized	Stopp Corona (Austria) [298]	Encounter information	The malicious drivers can infer the trajectories of patients and match the trajectories with identifiers by creating multiple accounts and recording multiple routes. The geographical information is disclosed to the public for epidemic prevention
	Stop COVID-19 (Croatia) [299]		
	eRous'ka (Czechia) [300]		
	Smittestop (Denmark) [301]		
	Koronavilkku (Finland) [302]		
	Corona-Warn-App (Germany) [303]		
	Apturi Covid (Latvia) [304]		
	Radar COVID (Spain) [305]		
	SwissCovid (Switzerland) [306]		
	NHS COVID-19 (United Kingdom) [307]		
	DP-3T (European) [308]		
	PACT (USA) [309]		
	Private Kit: Safe Path (USA) [310]	Geographical information	
	South Korea system [311]		
	HaMagen (Israel) [312]		

pore) [314], COVIDSafe (Australia) [285], and BlueTrace (Singapore) [294]) record the encrypted encounter history rather than the location information. Only the authority can decrypt the encounter history. The driver's identification can be obtained by the authority if the driver is infected. Other drivers can check their risk of infection by the encounter history. However, the patients' identities and trajectories are exposed to the authority, which increases the risk of location privacy disclosure. The privacy-preserving capability of the applications can be decreased if other drivers collude to infer targets.

DP-3T (European) [308] and PACT (USA) [309] are two examples of decentralized applications. The two applications create a periodical key to generate several ephemeral identi-

fiers. The ephemeral identifiers are broadcasted as a beacon message within a region. The two applications store the received beacon messages with extra information. The applications match the ephemeral identifiers based on the information from the authority. Nevertheless, the malicious drivers can infer the trajectories of patients and match the trajectories with identifiers by creating multiple accounts and recording multiple routes. Private Kit: Safe Path (USA) [310] and South Korea system [311] are the other types of COVID-19 applications. They periodically record the drivers' trajectories with time-stamped log and pseudonyms based on the GPS (the South Korean system record detailed personal information). The drivers can report their trajectories to the authority if they are infected. The authority can select information to be exposed

to the public. The trajectories are disclosed to the public for epidemic prevention. Nevertheless, the applications cannot offer the expected location privacy-preserving capability, as everyone can view the trajectories.

The existing COVID-19 contract tracing applications balance location privacy protection capability and data utility by using anonymity [315]. The applications, e.g., TraceTogether (Singapore) [314] and COVIDSafe (Australia) [285], periodically broadcast random time-varying tokens as the driver's temporary IDs [316]. The applications record the encounter information of the drivers, which are employed to report infection risk [317]. However, the authorized entities can still link the temporary IDs and the driver's personal information (e.g., trajectory, phone number), even if the applications do not collect personal information on purpose [316].

V. LESSONS LEARNT AND OPEN CHALLENGES FOR LPPM IN FUTURE VEHICULAR NETWORKS

This section starts with illustrating the localization techniques in future vehicular networks, which are compared with their counterparts in current versions. By discussing the new requirements of location privacy and data utility introduced by the novel localization, we present the limitations of the existing LPPMs in future vehicular networks. The potential location privacy issues under the future cross-layer tracking techniques introduced by new communication technologies are illustrated, and we offer solutions to these issues by improving the existing LPPMs.

A. Advancement of Localization vs. Location Privacy

Localization technologies in future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks can be classified as basic localization, cooperative localization, machine learning-based localization, driver tracking, and multipath exploiting localization [318], as shown in Table VII. The differences in localization techniques between the future vehicular networks and the current ones are also shown in Table VII. We compare the BS density, recourse cost, and localization precision of the localization techniques in Table VII. In future vehicular networks, localization, sensing, and communication will coexist, sharing the same time-frequency-spatial resources [319], [320]. The localization technologies in future vehicular networks are as follows [319].

1) *Traditional Localization*: Traditional localization technologies mainly focus on geometric calculation with augmented assistance, under the assumption that the positions of the Base Stations (BSs) are knowable and the positions of User Equipment (UEs) are geometric constrained [321]. For example, the position of UEs can be calculated if the distances and physical angular orientations between BSs and UEs are known. Compared with the localization precision of the existing cellular radio-based tracking, the future basic localization could have high precision due to the high data rates and scalability. The frequent communication and the high BSs density also expose a granularity trajectory. The traditional localization techniques can be classified into distance measurement, angle measurement, area measurement, and hop-count measurement [322], as follows.

- *Distance Measurement*: Distance measurement calculates the positions with the obtained distance-related measurements. The distance-related measurements leverage transmission time (e.g., time difference of arrival), received signal strength indicator, and connectivity condition [323].

- *Angle Measurement*: Angle measurement employs trigonometry and geometric calculation, which requires the distance and angular information between the target and BSs [324].

- *Area Measurement*: Area measurement localization technology uses the center intersection of all overlapping coverage regions as the estimation position [325]. The more restricted zones are obtained, the higher precision can be achieved [326].

- *Hop-count Measurement*: This kind of localization can be realized by analyzing the number of hops [327], [328].

2) *Cooperative Localization*: Cooperative localization has been employed in current vehicular networks, as the vehicles and other devices can communicate with others directly through D2D communication protocols [329]. Cooperative localization allows UEs to measure the distance and angular information on each D2D link [330]. UEs are typically closer to each other than to the BSs in D2D communication, which has higher signal-to-noise ratio [331]. This method provides a high position precision for cooperative localization. Due to the ultra-low latency and high scalability, massive nodes in future vehicular networks would be connected in the network, and the distance between UEs would be closer than that in current version. Hence, the precision of cooperative localization would be significantly more accurate in future vehicular networks than in current version.

3) *AI-based Localization*: AI-based localization is a data-centric technique [318]. The localization algorithms utilize machine learning to generate a fingerprint database of the environment [332]. The fingerprinting database contains the channel parameters (e.g., channel state information) that are measured at the known locations (reference points) [333]. Then, the localization algorithms can compare the information of the environment surrounding vehicles to estimate the drivers' positions. In future vehicular networks, the dataset for machine learning could be more detailed due to the features like hierarchical coexistence, flexible storage, and flexible processing.

4) *Channel Charting*: Channel charting localization employs AI-based algorithms [345]. It generates a virtual map based on the gained channel state information. The drivers can be located and tracked on the virtual map. Although the map cannot provide the drivers' actual locations, it offers a real-time pseudo-location as reference [319].

5) *Driver tracking localization*: Driver tracking localization continuously infers the driver's position to smooth out the estimation errors [318]. This localization technique can predict the drivers' trajectories with the vehicle sensor data as follows. *Passive Sensing*: Passive sensing in future vehicular networks is also known as passive radar or passive coherent location [319]. Passive sensing locates the target by receiving and processing the energy reflected by the targets [346]. In future sensing infrastructure-based vehicular networks, it is

TABLE VII
DIFFERENCE OF LOCALIZATION TECHNIQUES IN CURRENT VEHICULAR NETWORKS AND FUTURE VEHICULAR NETWORKS.

Localization techniques	Features of future vehicular networks	Corresponding techniques in current vehicular networks	Difference
Traditional localization	High data rates High scalability	Cellular radio-based tracking	More BS and UE More frequent signal transmission More accurate localization
Cooperative localization	Ultra-low latency High scalability	Sensing infrastructure-based tracking Cellular radio-based tracking Upper-layer message-based tracking	More sensors More frequent signal transmission Closer BS and UE
AI-based localization	High data rates High scalability	Sensing infrastructure-based tracking Optical vision-based tracking Upper-layer message-based tracking	More sensors More detailed dataset Massive devices
Channel charting	High scalability	Cellular radio-based tracking Sensing infrastructure-based tracking Upper-layer message-based tracking	Considering channel state information
Driver tracking localization	High data rates Ultra-low latency	Sensing infrastructure-based tracking Upper-layer message-based tracking	More sensors 3D vehicular network
Multipath exploiting localization	Ultra-low latency High scalability	Cellular radio-based tracking	More sensors
SLAM	High data rates Ultra-low latency High scalability	Vehicle driving log-based tracking Upper layer message-based tracking Cellular radio-based tracking	More sensors Considering time-varying states More fixed landmarks
Context-aware localization	High data rates Ultra-low latency	Vehicle driving log-based tracking Upper-layer message-based tracking	Intelligent prediction Massive personal information

TABLE VIII
LOCALIZATION TECHNOLOGIES IN FUTURE VEHICULAR NETWORKS.

Localization	BS Density	Cost	Precision
Traditional	High	Low [334]	Low [335]
Cooperative	Low	Low [336]	Medium [337]
AI-based	Medium	High [338]	High [338]
Channel Charting	Depends	Depends	Depends
Driver Tracking	Medium	Low [339]	Medium [339]
Multipath Exploiting	Low	Medium [340]	High [341]
SLAM	Low	Depends	High [342]
Context-aware	High	Medium [343]	High [344]

almost impossible to avoid disclosing location privacy by sensor signals.

- *Active Sensing*: Active sensing (radar) localization is employed in various scenarios, e.g., adaptive cruise control and cross-traffic alerts [347]. In future vehicular networks, sensors could share the data related to the location information to support the high-precision localization applications. The sensor localization will provide high-precision distance in 3D vehicular networks [319].

6) *Multipath-based Localization*: Multipath-based localization discloses the drivers' positions by combining multipath components and environment geometry [348]. The multipath components can be seen as mirror images of a physical environment [349]. Using the road network environment knowledge, multipath-based localization can be launched with the information of the radar signal path. Thus, the multipath-

based localization can exploit additional position-related data in radio signals, even if the vehicles are not in the line of sight [350]. As the vehicles in future vehicular networks would equip with multiple sensors, the radar-like signals from the sensors could be utilized in multipath-based localization.

7) *Simultaneous Localization And Mapping (SLAM)*: High data rates, ultra-low latency, and high scalability of the future vehicular networks introduce SLAM for the vehicles. SLAM localization aims to estimate the state of the vehicles and the landmarks [351]. The vehicles and other mobile devices in SLAM consider vehicles with time-varying states [342]. In future vehicular networks, the SLAM can be classified into vision-based SLAM and radar-based SLAM as follows.

- *Vision-based SLAM*: It uses image sensors (e.g., cameras) to detect landmarks whose states are fixed or changing slowly.
- *Radar-based SLAM*: It requires laser sensors that can provide higher accuracy than vision-based sensors. 3D lidar point clouds would be widely used in future autonomous vehicular networks.

The entities in future vehicular networks can collect location information with radar-like signals from other vehicles, fixed infrastructures, and sensors [352].

8) *Context-aware Localization*: The future 5G/6G-enabled vehicular networks allow intelligent data transmission and multi-modal localization prediction by combining highly personal information with public data [344]. The drivers can select and change communication channels and technologies according to their locations and contexts [343]. Thus, future

vehicular networks could utilize the message context in channels for localization.

B. Limitations and Opportunities of LPPMs for Future Upper Layer Location Privacy Attacks

With the development of vehicular networks, there would be new challenges for the existing LPPMs. As discussed in Sections III and IV, the existing LPPMs mainly focus on upper-layer message-based tracking that the adversaries with other tracking techniques (i.e., sensing infrastructure-based, optical vision-based, vehicle driving log-based, and cellular radio-based) cannot be defended in the existing vehicular networks. Nevertheless, as shown in Table IX, the existing LPPMs could not provide acceptable location privacy protection under the upper-layer message-based attack scenario in future vehicular networks.

1) *User-side LPPM*: Most of the existing user-side LPPMs cannot suit the low latency of the future vehicular networks, i.e., pass-and-run, certificate, and secure computation. Although data perturbation can satisfy the latency requirement, the location data protected by data perturbation cannot provide high-precision LBSs for high-precision localization applications.

- *Pass-and-Run*: The communication delay in the pass-and-run is high since the vehicles apply LBSs by routing through other nodes. Identity verification is also a challenge for the pass-and-run-based vehicles because it would lead to high communication delays for legal cooperator authentication [353]. The frequent communication among multiple vehicles could also increase the precision of signal-based localization, i.e., basic localization and cellular radio-based localization.

The transmission delay caused by routing can be decreased by sensors that are fully used in future vehicular networks. With the sensors, the drivers can have full knowledge of the road network and communication environment to select the channels and routers (i.e., vehicles and sensors) [354]. The trust issues of the pass-and-run can be solved by trust management, i.e., authentication and certificate. The advanced blockchain technologies [355] would be utilized in future vehicular networks, which can also be employed for trust management.

- *Certificates for Privacy*: Certificate-based LPPMs achieve authentication by verifying the certifications and signatures [178]. There are two limitations of the certificate-based LPPMs in future vehicular networks: requiring a trusted authority and storage consumption of certificate management [48]. The delay caused by the authentication process might be unacceptable for communication consumption [356]. The massive nodes, i.e., vehicles and sensors, would increase the storage consumption, which would be challenging to manage certificates.

The features of blockchain, i.e., immutable, secure, and consensus, satisfy the authentication requirement [34]. Therefore, the blockchain platform could be utilized as a support for certificates [280]. The information collected by sensors could also be referred to for authentication.

- *Secure Computation*: The major limitation of secure computation is computation delay, although it satisfies the requirement of flexible storage and processing. Even in current vehicular networks, the computation consumption of the existing secure computation is still a problem [200].

- *Data Perturbation*: Data perturbation is flexible for storage and processing [32]. The communication and calculation delays of the data perturbation are also low. Nevertheless, the future vehicular networks require highly accurate location data, i.e., centimeter-level or millimeter-level, but the existing data-perturbation-based LPPMs generally generate meter-level precision [357]. If the data perturbation provides centimeter-level or millimeter-level precision, the location privacy-preserving capability of the LPPMs could be extremely low [358].

The scenario for data perturbation in future vehicular networks would be limited. Hence, it should be combined with other LPPMs and use adaptive noise to satisfy the future scenario.

2) *Server-side LPPM*: The low latency requirement also challenges the existing server-side LPPMs, as the process at the server side could bring computation delay.

- *Statistical Disclosure Control*: The statistical disclosure control is achieved by using LPPMs, like data perturbation, secure computation, and anonymity on the server side [49]. Therefore, the limitations of the corresponding LPPMs on the user side also exist on the server side. As data transmission in future vehicular networks would be ultra frequent and the amount of data would be huge, computing consumption, storage consumption, and data management would be great challenges for statistical disclosure control.

The data management and storage consumption of statistical disclosure control could be optimized by utilizing blockchain-based techniques, but the computing consumption would be difficult to decrease [223]. AI-based LPPMs can be launched to achieve adaptive protection and efficient analysis to simplify the process [130]. Trust management could also be employed in statistical disclosure control to avoid costing computational resources on trusted entities.

- *Homomorphic Encryption*: Homomorphic encryption is one of the popular, secure computations. The server-side homomorphic encryption is also limited by its computation delay, which can lead to a high computational and communication consumption [246]. It is necessary to simplify the process of homomorphic encryption for computational consumption reduction.

- *Private Information Retrieval*: The computational consumption of the PIR cloud is high, which is difficult to be allocated in current vehicular networks. For the future vehicular networks with low-latency requirements, the current version of PIR would also be impossible to be launched in practice.

- *Searchable Encryption*: The LBSs in future vehicular networks require high-precision location data, but the existing SE schemes would return results with errors to the drivers. The existing works allow the drivers to use SE and other LPPMs together to improve the accuracy of results. Nevertheless, the

TABLE IX
CHALLENGE OF LOCATION PRIVACY IN FUTURE VEHICULAR NETWORKS.

Techniques	New challenges	LPPMs that are available	LPPMs that need to be improved
THz	Small coverage area Spectrum penetration power Centimeter-level precision via APs	Pass-and-run Certificates for Privacy Secure computation Homomorphic encryption Private information retrieval Searchable encryption Secure communication	Data perturbation Statistical disclosure control Trusted third party
VLC	Signal scatter Observation signal Effective transmission requirement	Certificates for Privacy Secure communication Trusted third party	Pass-and-run Data perturbation Secure computation Statistical disclosure control Private information retrieval Homomorphic encryption Searchable encryption
mmWave	Exchange CSI frequently Low latency requirement Eavesdrop channel easily	Certificates for Privacy Secure computation Data perturbation Statistical disclosure control Homomorphic encryption Private information retrieval Searchable encryption Trusted third party Secure communication	Pass-and-run
Sub-6 GHz	Flexible antenna design Fake BS and malicious devices In-vehicle tracking	Pass-and-run Certificates for Privacy Secure communication Trusted third party	Secure computation Data perturbation Statistical disclosure control Homomorphic encryption Private information retrieval Searchable encryption
Satellite Communication	Long propagation delay Difficult to allocate MIMO Communication resource allocation	Certificates for Privacy Data perturbation Statistical disclosure Secure communication Trusted third party	Pass-and-run Secure computation Homomorphic encryption Private information retrieval Searchable encryption
QC	Quantum channel Quantum computer	Certificates for Privacy Secure computation Data perturbation Statistical disclosure control Secure communication Trusted third party	Pass-and-run Homomorphic encryption Searchable encryption Private information retrieval

computational consumption could also be increased when SE schemes are combined with certificated-based or other tools.

Information from sensors in future vehicular networks could be referred to correct the result, as massive sensors would be allocated. Also, AI-based LPPMs can be introduced to optimize the result according to the driver's historical information, which would be fine-grained [24].

3) *User-server-interface LPPM*: The user-server-interface LPPMs would attract more researchers' attention in future vehicular networks than in the current version because future vehicular networks would ask the vehicles to share data through frequent V2X communication. Protecting location privacy in channels would be an approach to defend basic localization, channel charting localization, and driver tracking localization.

• *Secure Communication*: The communication channel protocols of future vehicular networks would be improved to be

different from the current protocols. As the protocols of current vehicular networks have not been completely developed, we do not consider the protocols in this part. For the end-to-end encrypted services of secure communication, it is seldom used in current vehicular networks [269]. The computational consumption of data encryption and decryption could be high, increasing the latency of communication.

• *Trusted Third Party (TTP)*: TTP in current vehicular networks is an ideal scenario which is difficult to achieve privacy, as the adversaries can hijack the TTP even if the TTP is controlled by government³. In future vehicular networks, there will be massive sensors and vehicles which can generate a huge amount of data. A hijacked TTP could lead to serious privacy disclosure. The trust issues of the massive sensors and vehicles also reduce the efficiency of TTP. To solve these

³bleepingcomputer.com/news/security/hacker-claims-to-have-stolen-data-on-1-billion-chinese-citizens/

limitations, TTP should be combined with other LPPMs, such as encryption, blockchain, AI-based LPPMs, anonymity, and data perturbation.

C. Location Privacy Challenges and Emerging Wireless Technologies

Different from the existing vehicular networks, the future version transmits data through new communication mediums. The new communication mediums improve the efficiency of vehicular networks but bring new cross-layer location privacy issues.

- *Sub-6 GHz*: The Sub-6 GHz has a spectrum range from 0.45 GHz to 6 GHz and wavelength from 0.15 cm to 2 cm [4]. The wide coverage capability and low cost have been extensively investigated in the existing works to support V2X communications [359].

The sub-6 GHz techniques introduce new challenges to the existing physical-layer LPPMs because of the flexible antenna design [360]. However, the flexible antenna design allows the pass-and-run to transform data effectively. By using fake BSs and malicious devices, the adversaries can obtain distance and angular data by monitoring multiple sub-6 GHz links, with which the adversaries can calculate the positions of vehicles in high precision [361]. The above two issues could be solved by using the certificate and a trusted third party, which aims to detect illegal entities for authentication. Nevertheless, data perturbation and statistical disclosure control increase the difficulty of illegal entity detection.

Malicious applications can be installed on mobile devices or vehicles for tracking the vehicles. The Pegasus developed in Israel can be installed on Android and iOS mobile phones to monitor drivers' location data. The in-vehicle communication via the sub-6 GHz channel can expose the vehicles' location data, as mobile phones have similar location data to the vehicles if the drivers carry mobile phones when driving [151]. To protect location privacy in such a scenario, the driver can hide its semantic information in the location data and block the adversaries' eavesdropping links. Hence, the user-server-interface LPPMs and server-side LPPMs would attract attention to the sub-6 GHz techniques when combined with the AI and blockchain techniques.

- *mmWave*: The mmWave has a spectrum range from 30 GHz to 100 GHz and a wavelength from 1 nm to 10 mm [362]. Due to the beam-based directional transmissions and the utilization of the huge spectrum, mmWave can reach a high multi-gigabit speed and communicate in all weather [363].

In future vehicular networks, the frequent changing of the network topology and channel requires nodes (e.g., vehicles, RSUs, and sensors) to exchange CSI with a low delay, which increases communication consumption [364]. As the V2X communication needs a low transmission delay, the LPPMs in future vehicular networks should be highly efficient. Pass-and-run will increase the transmission delay, so it cannot satisfy the low latency requirement of mmWave.

The adversaries can eavesdrop on the mmWave to obtain and infer the transmitted location data [365]. However, the

Doppler shift of signal transmission, which is unavoidable in future mmWave-based vehicular networks, can be combined with the data perturbation and anonymity to protect location privacy [366]. Then, the adversaries cannot obtain the real information by eavesdropping. Secure computation, homomorphic encryption, private information retrieval, and searchable encryption could be used to prevent the adversaries from obtaining the useful information by eavesdropping because they do not expose the private information in the channel.

- *Terahertz (THz) communication*: The THz has a spectrum range from 100 GHz to 10 THz and a wavelength from 30 μm to 3000 μm [367]. Nevertheless, the communication region of the THz is small, i.e., less than 50 m [368]. The frameworks of the THz-based vehicular networks have been designed in the existing studies, e.g., [369]–[371]. To overcome the limitation of small coverage regions and improve the utilization of THz bands, the design in [369] used an SDN controller to select an optimal route between the source and the destination.

As shown in Table IX, the existing LPPMs would face new challenges with THz communication. The pass-and-run mechanism could be improved with the THz-based routing, but the trust issues would be more serious in future vehicular networks than that in current version. Hence, the certificate-based LPPMs would be popular in future THz-band communication. Although the low coverage area and penetration power of THz spectrum increase the privacy level of the communication medium, THz-band communication requires the vehicles' private information, e.g., whereabouts, driving status, road network information, and traffic condition [369]. The potential optimization for the above two drawbacks could be overcome by combining the trust management mechanisms and blockchain or AI-based techniques. As the coverage area of the THz is small, the data perturbation and statistical disclosure control cannot provide expected location privacy protection with a small number of perturbation candidates. The numerous legal sensors in future networks could also be a good choice to route the data.

The Access Points (APs) for THz-band communication, which are a kind of trusted third party, can achieve centimeter-level precision of localization when tracking the vehicle's mobility to overcome the limitations of the existing vehicular networks (e.g., frequent network disconnection and volatility of connection) [372]. Nevertheless, the existing DP-based user-side LPPMs cannot satisfy the high-precision localization in such a scenario. Thus, the DP-based LPPMs on the server side have more widespread application prospects than they are on the user side. In future vehicular networks, the user-side DP-based LPPMs should focus on more driving states rather than only using location data to achieve high data utility [373].

- *Visible Light Communication (VLC)*: Lighting devices in vehicular networks, such as traffic signals, roadside lights, and vehicle lights, can be employed for VLC to send data with Light-Emitting Diode (LED) installations [374]. VLC has a spectrum range from 400 THz to 800 THz and a wavelength from 380 μm to 780 μm [375]. VLC assists the communication for V2X with low energy consumption and

high efficiency [376].

Due to the inevitable scatter issues, VLC outside is not as safe as it is inside [377], and it can be eavesdropped on by the adversaries. For outside VLC for V2X, the scattered signals can be received by the adversaries, i.e., eavesdropper [378], even though the techniques like non-orthogonal multiple access [379] and pseudo surface waves [380] can minimize the attenuation effect. Therefore, the pass-and-run in VLC will increase the risk of eavesdropping. Data perturbation and statistical disclosure control cannot protect location data when the source of the signal can be physically observed. As the signal has been observed on the user side, the server-side LPPMs cannot provide location privacy protection.

As the privacy risk introduced by the use of VLC is related to its physical channel characteristics, the certification for authentication and the user-server-interface LPPMs could outperform other existing LPPMs. The certification-based methods can achieve high location privacy protection in short-range VLC by preventing unauthorized receivers from joining VLC, as the scattered signals in short-range VLC can be ignored [377]. When VLC is allocated for remote communication, the physical layer LPPMs, e.g., cooperative jamming [381] and Channel State Information (CSI) estimation [378], can be used to prevent the eavesdropper from obtaining the transmitted private information. Cooperative jamming is a positive LPPM that aims to block the eavesdropper's channel by allowing multiple legal nodes to route the signal [382]. The CSI estimation is a passive LPPM that asks the legal nodes to infer the CSI of legal and eavesdropping links with which the legal nodes can select different devices to send signals [378].

- *Quantum Communication (QC)*: The QC utilizes the quantum states of lights, which achieves secure communication by using microscopic particles to carry quantum information [383].

Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) has been integrated with existing classical optical networks in QC to achieve cost-efficient and secure communication [384]. Traditional cryptography that relies on mathematical computations is challenged by the development of quantum computing, making QKD important in QC [385]. QKD is based on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle and quantum no-cloning theorem enabling detection of eavesdropping on the key distribution [386]. However, QKD cannot protect location privacy in vehicular networks, as the laser for QC can be used to infer the direction of the source and destination vehicles. To address this limitation, quantum homomorphic encryption [387], quantum searchable encryption [388], and quantum private information retrieval [389] have been developed for secure QC based on QKD. These techniques enhance the security of QC by enabling computation on encrypted data without decryption, secure search of encrypted data, and retrieval of data from a database without revealing the query contents, respectively.

To enable scalable quantum communication with massive nodes, researchers have been exploring quantum teleportation (QT) in long-range communication scenarios. QT allows for the sender to divide information into traditional and quantum

channels and transfer the message to the receiver through both channels, with the message at the sender side being destroyed during transmission. This method has received significant attention due to its potential for long-range communication [390], [391]. However, the use of QT in vehicular networks presents a challenge to existing routing-based PPMs, such as pass-and-run, as they become ineffective in this scenario [391].

Quantum Identity Authentication (QIA) and Quantum Signature (QS) are introduced to realize trust management for secure and reliable QC. The trust management in QC can be classified into objective trust and subjective trust, as follows,

- *Objective Trust*: The trust management is based on certifiable evidence, e.g., certification.
- *Subjective Trust*: The trust management is based on a kind of group, which has specific characteristics or behaviors, e.g., TTP.

Hence, the certification-based LPPM and statistical disclosure control can be improved to achieve a secure QC in vehicular networks.

- *Reconfigurable Intelligent Surface (RIS)*: Comprising passive reflect arrays and control elements, RIS provides a programmable wireless environment for the vehicle-road-human integrated network, which exploits the advantages of the novel electromagnetic wave manipulation technique, i.e., metamaterials [392]. As there are no specific or complicated requirements for the installation location of the metamaterials, RIS can be easily installed, e.g., on the building facades and billboards, which are close to the roads for communication support [393]. The metamaterials would have low computational and energy consumption [394]. Hence, RIS is conducive to future large-scale deployment, which can also conform to the concept of green communication and sustainable development. The support of full-duplex and full-band communication in RIS provides comprehensive coverage and diverse options for vehicular communications [395]. Furthermore, Multi-Input Multi-Output (MIMO) technology could be applied to RIS to utilize the large surface area of RIS for better antenna deployment, which shows great potential in future vehicular networks [396]. However, the mobility and personalization of the drivers in vehicular networks have been overlooked by the existing RIS design [397]. The dynamic network topology and disconnection of vehicular networks lead to a low utility of RIS in vehicular networks, as the RIS cannot gain knowledge from the frequently changed environment or the limited feedback from the drivers [397].

D. Challenges Arising from Networks Convergence

In the integrated vehicular networks, the vehicles can exchange information and communicate with other vehicles, roadside infrastructure, and pedestrians automatically through real-time V2X communications [398]. With the support of V2X technology, traffic information (e.g., vehicle status, live road conditions, and pedestrian information) enable the formation of the integrated vehicle-road-human network [4]. The components with 5G/6G characteristics in the integrated vehicle-road-human network are illustrated as follows.

• *Integrated Satellite and Terrestrial Network (ISTN)*: The satellite communication, which is vulnerable to changeable weather, can provide future vehicular 3D services while cooperating with the existing ground vehicular networks [4].

Satellite communication has the limitations such as long propagation delay, difficulty in allocating massive Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) networks, and communication resource allocation [359]. Therefore, the pass-and-run is difficult to be used in satellite communication because of its transmission delay. Other existing LPPMs, e.g., homomorphic encryption and secure communication, which have high computational consumption and communication delay, should be optimized to improve their efficiency. To overcome the above limitations, the recourse consumption methods could be run on trusted third parties. The data perturbation and statistical disclosure control can protect location privacy effectively so that they can outperform their counterparts in satellite communications.

• *Human Interaction*: The rapid development of mobile devices carried by pedestrians has brought powerful communication and data processing capabilities to enable information interaction between the pedestrians and vehicles [399]. Communication between the vehicles and humans (including the pedestrians, vehicle drivers and passengers) will be more frequent and efficient in future vehicular networks, which can be utilized to improve the vehicular networks [400]. By sharing data actively and passively with the pedestrians, the vehicles can overcome the limitations of blind spots in vehicular sensor cameras. And thus, the vehicles can obtain services like accurate situation reporting and early warnings that can reduce the traffic accidents and protect lives and property [401]. With the mobile devices equipped by the drivers and passengers, the vehicles can communicate with the base stations efficiently and can autonomously complete the required tasks. For instance, the vehicles without GPS can also be accurately located with the smart devices of passengers [402]. Frequent communication between the vehicles and pedestrians increases the risk of cross-layer attacks. The encounter information can be combined to infer the trajectory of the target vehicle. Trust management-based LPPMs can be employed to prevent the adversaries who can localize the drivers based on the in-vehicle driving log and sensing information will be more serious.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have comprehensively investigated the development of LPPMs and the threats to location privacy in vehicular networks. By reviewing the localization methods in vehicular networks, we have illustrated the threats to location privacy introduced by localization and classified LPPMs into user-side, server-side, and user-server-interface LPPMs. Our analysis has evaluated the performance of existing LPPMs under various localization and communication scenarios and provided methods for balancing location privacy preservation and data utility to improve their effectiveness.

In addition, we have identified potential threats introduced by new communication technologies in future vehicular net-

works that have been overlooked by existing studies. Our findings provide potential directions for the existing LPPMs to assist future research, which is important for LPPMs design. By considering the challenges and opportunities related to location privacy in vehicular networks, we can develop effective LPPMs that balance data utility and privacy protection to enhance the security and safety of drivers and passengers.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Hasrouny, A. E. Samhat, C. Bassil, and A. Laouti, "VANET security challenges and solutions: A survey," *Veh. Commun.*, vol. 7, pp. 7–20, 2017.
- [2] V. Primault, A. Boutet, S. B. Mokhtar, and L. Brunie, "The long road to computational location privacy: A survey," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 2772–2793, 2018.
- [3] F. Farouk, Y. Alkady, and R. Rizk, "Efficient privacy-preserving scheme for location based services in VANET system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 60 101–60 116, 2020.
- [4] H. Guo, X. Zhou, J. Liu, and Y. Zhang, "Vehicular intelligence in 6G: Networking, communications, and computing," *Veh. Commun.*, vol. 33, p. 100399, 2022.
- [5] A. Bandi, "A review towards AI empowered 6G communication requirements, applications, and technologies in mobile edge computing," in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. C. Methodol. Commun. ICCMC 2022*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 12–17.
- [6] R. Lu, L. Zhang, J. Ni, and Y. Fang, "5G vehicle-to-everything services: Gearing up for security and privacy," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 373–389, 2020.
- [7] D. C. Nguyen, P. N. Pathirana, M. Ding, and A. Seneviratne, "Blockchain for 5G and beyond networks: A state of the art survey," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, p. 102693, 2020.
- [8] N. Aljeri and A. Boukerche, "Mobility management in 5G-enabled vehicular networks: Models, protocols, and classification," *ACM Comput. Surv.*, vol. 53, no. 5, pp. 1–35, 2020.
- [9] J. Navarro-Ortiz, S. Sendra, P. Ameigeiras, and J. M. Lopez-Soler, "Integration of LoRaWAN and 4G/5G for the industrial Internet of Things," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 60–67, 2018.
- [10] M. Noor-A-Rahim, Z. Liu, H. Lee, M. O. Khyam, J. He, D. Pesch, K. Moessner, W. Saad, and H. V. Poor, "6G for Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) communications: Enabling technologies, challenges, and opportunities," *Proc. IEEE*, 2022.
- [11] B. T. Jijo, S. R. Zeebaree, R. R. Zebari, M. A. Sadeeq, A. B. Sallow, S. Mohsin, and Z. S. Ageed, "A comprehensive survey of 5G mm-Wave technology design challenges," *Asian J. Res. Comput. Sci.*, pp. 1–20, 2021.
- [12] M. F. Hossain, A. U. Mahin, T. Debnath, F. B. Mosharraf, and K. Z. Islam, "Recent research in cloud radio access network (C-RAN) for 5G cellular systems-A survey," *Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 139, pp. 31–48, 2019.
- [13] S. Li, L. Da Xu, and S. Zhao, "5G Internet of Things: A survey," *J. Ind. Inf. Integr.*, vol. 10, pp. 1–9, 2018.
- [14] Y. Zhang, H. Zhang, K. Long, Q. Zheng, and X. Xie, "Software-defined and fog-computing-based next generation vehicular networks," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 56, no. 9, pp. 34–41, 2018.
- [15] B. Mao, F. Tang, Z. M. Fadlullah, and N. Kato, "An intelligent route computation approach based on real-time deep learning strategy for software defined communication systems," *IEEE Trans. Emerg. Top. Comput.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 1554–1565, 2019.
- [16] S. Garg, K. Kaur, S. H. Ahmed, A. Bradai, G. Kaddoum, and M. Atiquzzaman, "MobQoS: Mobility-aware and QoS-driven SDN framework for autonomous vehicles," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 12–20, 2019.
- [17] O. S. Oubbati, M. Atiquzzaman, P. Lorenz, A. Baz, and H. Alhakami, "SEARCH: An SDN-enabled approach for vehicle path-planning," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 14 523–14 536, 2020.
- [18] F. Tang, Y. Kawamoto, N. Kato, and J. Liu, "Future intelligent and secure vehicular network toward 6G: Machine-learning approaches," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 292–307, 2019.
- [19] K. Gao, C. Xu, P. Zhang, J. Qin, L. Zhong, and G.-M. Muntean, "GCH-MV: Game-enhanced compensation handover scheme for multipath TCP in 6G software defined vehicular networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 12, pp. 16 142–16 154, 2020.

- [20] Z. Sadreddini, E. Gu'ler, M. Khalily, and H. Yanikomeroğlu, "MRIRS: Mobile ad hoc routing assisted with intelligent reflecting surfaces," *IEEE Trans. Cogn. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1333–1346, 2021.
- [21] J. Li, W. Shi, H. Wu, S. Zhang, and X. Shen, "Cost-aware dynamic SFC mapping and scheduling in SDN/NFV-enabled space-air-ground-integrated networks for Internet of Vehicles," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 9, no. 8, pp. 5824–5838, 2021.
- [22] H. Cao, J. Du, H. Zhao, D. X. Luo, N. Kumar, L. Yang, and F. R. Yu, "Resource-ability assisted service function chain embedding and scheduling for 6G networks with virtualization," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 3846–3859, 2021.
- [23] J. G. Herrera and J. F. Botero, "Resource allocation in NFV: A comprehensive survey," *IEEE Trans. Netw. Serv. Manag.*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 518–532, 2016.
- [24] N. M. Elfatih, M. K. Hasan, Z. Kamal, D. Gupta, R. A. Saeed, E. S. Ali, and M. S. Hosain, "Internet of Vehicle's resource management in 5G networks using AI technologies: Current status and trends," *IET Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 400–420, 2022.
- [25] R. Li, C. Zhang, P. Cao, P. Patras, and J. S. Thompson, "DELMU: A deep learning approach to maximising the utility of virtualised millimetre-wave backhauls," in *Int. Conf. Mach. Learn. Networking*. Springer, 2018, pp. 146–165.
- [26] E. Skondras, E. T. Michailidis, A. Michalakis, D. J. Vergados, N. I. Miridakis, and D. D. Vergados, "A network slicing framework for UAV-aided vehicular networks," *Drones*, vol. 5, no. 3, p. 70, 2021.
- [27] Z. Mlika and S. Cherkaoui, "Network slicing for vehicular communications: A multi-agent deep reinforcement learning approach," *Ann. Telecommun.*, vol. 76, no. 9, pp. 665–683, 2021.
- [28] B. Liu, W. Zhou, T. Zhu, L. Gao, and Y. Xiang, "Location privacy and its applications: A systematic study," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 17 606–17 624, 2018.
- [29] Y. Wang, S. Wang, S. Zhang, and H. Cen, "An edge-assisted data distribution method for vehicular network services," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 147 713–147 720, 2019.
- [30] H. U. Mustakim, "5G vehicular network for smart vehicles in smart city: A review," *J. Comput. Electron. Telecommun.*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 13–18, 2020.
- [31] N. Malik, P. Nanda, A. Arora, X. He, and D. Puthal, "Blockchain based secured identity authentication and expeditious revocation framework for vehicular networks," in *Proc. 17th IEEE Int. Conf. Trust, Secur. Privacy Comput. Commun. / 12th IEEE Int. Conf. Big Data Sci. Eng. (TrustCom/BigDataSE)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 674–679.
- [32] B. Ma, X. Wang, W. Ni, and R. P. Liu, "Personalized location privacy with road network-indistinguishability," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 11, 2022.
- [33] S. Tiwari, S. Kaushik, P. Jagwani, and S. Tiwari, "A survey on LBS: System architecture, trends and broad research areas," in *Proc. Int. Workshop Databases Networked Inf. Syst.* Springer, 2011, pp. 223–241.
- [34] B. Luo, X. Li, J. Weng, J. Guo, and J. Ma, "Blockchain enabled trust-based location privacy protection scheme in VANET," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 2034–2048, 2019.
- [35] A. M. Basahel, A. A. Abi Sen, M. Yamin, and S. Alqahtani, "Bartering method for improving privacy of LBS," *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Netw. Secur.*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 207–213, 2019.
- [36] L. Zhu, C. Zhang, C. Xu, X. Du, N. Guizani, and K. Sharif, "Traffic monitoring in self-organizing VANETs: A privacy-preserving mechanism for speed collection and analysis," *IEEE Wirel.*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 18–23, 2019.
- [37] D. Suo, J. Moore, M. Boesch, K. Post, and S. E. Sarma, "Location-based schemes for mitigating cyber threats on connected and automated vehicles: A survey and design framework," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2919–2937, 2022.
- [38] A. P. Mdee, M. M. Saad, M. Khan, M. T. R. Khan, and D. Kim, "Impacts of location-privacy preserving schemes on vehicular applications," *Veh. Commun.*, p. 100499, 2022.
- [39] C. Zhang, L. Zhu, C. Xu, K. Sharif, K. Ding, X. Liu, X. Du, and M. Guizani, "TPPR: A trust-based and privacy-preserving platoon recommendation scheme in VANET," *IEEE Trans. Serv. Comput.*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 806–818, 2022.
- [40] A. Leander, "Parsing pegasus: An infrastructural approach to the relationship between technology and swiss security politics," *Swiss Political Sci. Rev.*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 205–213, 2021.
- [41] Z. Lu, G. Qu, and Z. Liu, "A survey on recent advances in vehicular network security, trust, and privacy," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 760–776, 2018.
- [42] I. Ali, A. Hassan, and F. Li, "Authentication and privacy schemes for Vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANETs): A survey," *Veh. Commun.*, vol. 16, pp. 45–61, 2019.
- [43] A. Boualouache, S. Senouci, and S. Moussaoui, "A survey on pseudonym changing strategies for vehicular ad-hoc networks," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 770–790, 2018.
- [44] H. Talat, T. Nomani, M. Mohsin, and S. Sattar, "A survey on location privacy techniques deployed in vehicular networks," in *Proc. 16th Int. Bhurban Conf. Appl. Sci. Technol. (IBCAST) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 604–613.
- [45] R. Hussain, F. Hussain, and S. Zeadally, "Integration of VANET and 5G security: A review of design and implementation issues," *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 101, pp. 843–864, 2019.
- [46] R. Lu, L. Zhang, J. Ni, and Y. Fang, "5G vehicle-to-everything services: Gearing up for security and privacy," *Proc. IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 2, pp. 373–389, 2019.
- [47] M. Wang, T. Zhu, T. Zhang, J. Zhang, S. Yu, and W. Zhou, "Security and privacy in 6G networks: New areas and new challenges," *Digit. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 281–291, 2020.
- [48] M. S. Sheikh, J. Liang, and W. Wang, "Security and privacy in vehicular ad hoc network and vehicle cloud computing: A survey," *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2020, 2020.
- [49] H. Jiang, J. Li, P. Zhao, F. Zeng, Z. Xiao, and A. Iyengar, "Location privacy-preserving mechanisms in location-based services: A comprehensive survey," *ACM Comput. Surv. (CSUR)*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 1–36, 2021.
- [50] P. Mundhe, S. Verma, and S. Venkatesan, "A comprehensive survey on authentication and privacy-preserving schemes in VANETs," *Comput. Sci. Rev.*, vol. 41, p. 100411, 2021.
- [51] M. Dibaei, X. Zheng, Y. Xia, X. Xu, A. Jolfaei, A. K. Bashir, U. Tariq, D. Yu, and A. V. Vasilakos, "Investigating the prospect of leveraging blockchain and machine learning to secure vehicular networks: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 683–700, 2022.
- [52] A. Boualouache and T. Engel, "A survey on machine learning-based misbehavior detection systems for 5G and beyond vehicular networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.10500*, 2022.
- [53] A. Haddaji, S. Ayed, and L. C. Fourati, "Artificial intelligence techniques to mitigate cyber-attacks within vehicular networks: Survey," *Comput. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 104, p. 108460, 2022.
- [54] N. Kaaniche, M. Laurent, and S. Belguith, "Privacy enhancing technologies for solving the privacy-personalization paradox: Taxonomy and survey," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 171, p. 102807, 2020.
- [55] S. Su, X. Zeng, S. Song, M. Lin, H. Dai, W. Yang, and C. Hu, "Positioning accuracy improvement of automated guided vehicles based on a novel magnetic tracking approach," *IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Mag.*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 138–148, 2018.
- [56] Y. Feng, G. Mao, B. Cheng, C. Li, Y. Hui, Z. Xu, and J. Chen, "Mag-Monitor: Vehicle speed estimation and vehicle classification through a magnetic sensor," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 1311–1322, 2022.
- [57] Z. Zhang, X. Mao, K. Zhou, and H. Yuan, "Collaborative sensing-based parking tracking system with wireless magnetic sensor network," *IEEE Sens. J.*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 4859–4867, 2020.
- [58] Y. Feng, G. Mao, B. Cheng, B. Huang, S. Wang, and J. Chen, "MagSpeed: A novel method of vehicle speed estimation through a single magnetic sensor," in *Proc. IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Conf. (ITSC) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 4281–4286.
- [59] S. Su, H. Dai, S. Cheng, P. Lin, C. Hu, and B. Lv, "A robust magnetic tracking approach based on graph optimization," *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 69, no. 10, pp. 7933–7940, 2020.
- [60] C. Ro'ger, M. Kalinic, and J. M. Krisp, "Extracting densely covered areas within floating car datasets using inductive loop detector data," *KN-J. Cartogr. Geogr. Inf.*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 97–103, 2021.
- [61] R. A. Gheorghiu, V. Iordache, and V. A. Stan, "Urban traffic detectors-comparison between inductive loop and magnetic sensors," in *Proc. 13th Int. Conf. Electron. Comput. Artif. Intell. (ECAI) 2021*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–4.
- [62] C. Spiess, "Is that traffic light tracking you? A case study on a municipal surveillance technology in seattle," *IEEE Trans. Technol. Soc.*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 15–19, 2021.
- [63] G. Nair, B. A. Kumar, and L. Vanajaskshi, "Mapping bus and stream travel time using machine learning approaches," *J. Adv. Transp.*, vol. 2022, 2022.
- [64] A. Khadhir, H. Maripini, S. Sreedhar, L. Vanajakshi, and B. R. Chilukuri, "A study of delay estimation methods at signalized inter-

- sections for mixed traffic condition,” *Transp. Dev. Econ.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2021.
- [65] Y. Alkendi, L. Seneviratne, and Y. Zweiri, “State of the art in vision-based localization techniques for autonomous navigation systems,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 76 847–76 874, 2021.
- [66] J. Feng, D. Zeng, X. Jia, X. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Liang, and L. Jiao, “Cross-frame keypoint-based and spatial motion information-guided networks for moving vehicle detection and tracking in satellite videos,” *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.*, vol. 177, pp. 116–130, 2021.
- [67] H. Yang, J. Cai, M. Zhu, C. Liu, and Y. Wang, “Traffic-Informed Multi-camera Sensing (TIMS) system based on vehicle re-identification,” *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 17 189–17 200, 2022.
- [68] S. Pooja, “Vehicle tracking system using GPS,” *Int. J. Sci. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 9, pp. 128–130, 2013.
- [69] E. Levy, A. Shabtai, B. Groza, P.-S. Murvay, and Y. Elovici, “CAN-LOC: Spoofing detection and physical intrusion localization on an in-vehicle CAN bus based on deep features of voltage signals,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.07895*, 2021.
- [70] A. Eldesoky, A. M. Kamel, M. Elhabiby, and H. Elhennawy, “Real time localization solution for land vehicle application using low-cost integrated sensors with GPS,” *J. Appl. Res. Technol.*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 214–228, 2020.
- [71] C. R. Casal, “Privacy within in-car systems,” *Info.*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 66–75, 2005.
- [72] N. Moayeri, J. Mapar, S. Tompkins, and K. Pahlavan, “Emerging opportunities for localization and tracking [Guest Editorial],” *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 8–9, 2011.
- [73] I. Rouf, R. Miller, H. Mustafa, T. Taylor, S. Oh, W. Xu, M. Gruteser, W. Trappe, and I. Seskar, “Security and privacy vulnerabilities of in-car wireless networks: A tire pressure monitoring system case study,” in *Proc. 19th USENIX Secur. Symp. (USENIX Security 10)*, 2010.
- [74] Y. Watanabe, H. Yamamoto, and H. Yoshida, “A study on the applicability of the Lesamnta-LW lightweight hash function to TPMS,” *IACR Cryptol. ePrint Arch.*, vol. 2019, p. 840, 2019.
- [75] M. Page and T. L. Wickramaratne, “Enhanced situational awareness with signals of opportunity: RSS-based localization and tracking,” in *Proc. IEEE Intell. Transp. Syst. Conf. (ITSC) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 3833–3838.
- [76] J. Han, E. Owusu, L. T. Nguyen, A. Perrig, and J. Zhang, “AC-Complice: Location inference using accelerometers on smartphones,” in *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. Commun. Syst. Networks (COMSNETS) 2012*. IEEE, 2012, pp. 1–9.
- [77] Z. Li, Q. Pei, I. Markwood, Y. Liu, M. Pan, and H. Li, “Location privacy violation via GPS-agnostic smart phone car tracking,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 67, no. 6, pp. 5042–5053, 2018.
- [78] S. Guha, K. Plarre, D. Lissner, S. Mitra, B. Krishna, P. Dutta, and S. Kumar, “AutoWitness: locating and tracking stolen property while tolerating GPS and radio outages,” *ACM Trans. Sens. Netw.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 1–28, 2012.
- [79] S. V. Pushpakaran, J. M. Purushothama, M. Mani, A. Chandroth, M. Pezhohilil, and V. Kesavath, “A metamaterial absorber based high gain directional dipole antenna,” *Int. J. Microw. Wirel. Technol.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 430–436, 2018.
- [80] D. Burghal, G. Phadke, A. Nair, R. Wang, T. Pan, A. Algafis, and A. F. Molisch, “Supervised learning approach for relative vehicle localization using V2V MIMO links,” in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Commun. (ICC) 2022*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 4528–4534.
- [81] M. K. Emar, D. J. King, H. V. Nguyen, S. Abielmona, and S. Gupta, “Millimeter-Wave slot array antenna front-end for amplitude-only direction finding,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 7, pp. 5365–5374, 2020.
- [82] K. Heimann, J. Tiemann, S. Boßcker, and C. Wietfeld, “Cross-bearing based positioning as a feature of 5G millimeter wave beam alignment,” in *Proc. IEEE 91st Vehic. Technol. Conf. (VTC2020-Spring)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [83] P. Bagga, A. K. Das, M. Wazid, J. J. Rodrigues, and Y. Park, “Authentication protocols in Internet of Vehicles: Taxonomy, analysis, and challenges,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 54 314–54 344, 2020.
- [84] I. Saini, B. St Amour, and A. Jaekel, “Intelligent adversary placements for privacy evaluation in VANET,” *Information*, vol. 11, no. 9, p. 443, 2020.
- [85] R. Hussain, D. Kim, J. Son, J. Lee, C. A. Kerrache, A. Benslimane, and H. Oh, “Secure and privacy-aware incentives-based witness service in social Internet of Vehicles clouds,” *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 2441–2448, 2018.
- [86] A. Boualouache, S.-M. Senouci, and S. Moussaoui, “Towards an efficient pseudonym management and changing scheme for vehicular ad-hoc networks,” in *IEEE Glob. Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM) 2016*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–7.
- [87] A. Boualouache and S. Moussaoui, “TAPCS: Traffic-aware pseudonym changing strategy for VANETs,” *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 1008–1020, 2017.
- [88] S. Wang and N. Yao, “A RSU-aided distributed trust framework for pseudonym-enabled privacy preservation in VANETs,” *Wirel. Netw.*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 1099–1115, 2019.
- [89] A. Boualouache and S. Moussaoui, “Urban pseudonym changing strategy for location privacy in VANETs,” *Int. J. Ad Hoc Ubiquitous Comput.*, vol. 24, no. 1-2, pp. 49–64, 2017.
- [90] D. Das, S. Banerjee, U. Ghosh, U. Biswas, and A. K. Bashir, “A decentralized vehicle anti-theft system using blockchain and smart contracts,” *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 2775–2788, 2021.
- [91] J. Zhang, W. Xiao, B. Coifman, and J. P. Mills, “Vehicle tracking and speed estimation from roadside lidar,” *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Appl. Earth Obs. Remote Sens.*, vol. 13, pp. 5597–5608, 2020.
- [92] S. D. Khan and H. Ullah, “A survey of advances in vision-based vehicle re-identification,” *Comput. Vis. Image Underst.*, vol. 182, pp. 50–63, 2019.
- [93] P. Khoenkaw, “An implementation of programmable oscillator for inductive-loop vehicle sensor using low-cost microcontroller,” in *2021 Joint Int. Conf. Digital Arts Media Technol. ECTI North. Sect. Conf. Electr. Electron. Comput. Telecommun. Eng.* IEEE, 2021, pp. 31–36.
- [94] M. W. Raad, M. Deriche, and T. Sheltami, “An IoT-based school bus and vehicle tracking system using RFID technology and mobile data networks,” *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 3087–3097, 2021.
- [95] N. Ismail, S. Wahid, and N. Ahmad, “Arduino based RFID vehicle tracking for home security,” in *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1529, no. 2. IOP Publishing, 2020, p. 022060.
- [96] A. Motroni, A. Buffi, P. Nepa, and B. Tellini, “Sensor-fusion and tracking method for indoor vehicles with low-density UHF-RFID tags,” *IEEE Trans. Instrum. Meas.*, vol. 70, pp. 1–14, 2020.
- [97] Y. Zhang, X. Gong, K. Liu, and S. Zhang, “Localization and tracking of an indoor autonomous vehicle based on the phase difference of passive UHF RFID signals,” *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 9, p. 3286, 2021.
- [98] W.-C. Ma, I. Tartavull, I. A. Ba’rsan, S. Wang, M. Bai, G. Mattyus, N. Homayounfar, S. K. Lakshminathan, A. Pokrovsky, and R. Urtasun, “Exploiting sparse semantic HD maps for self-driving vehicle localization,” in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst. IROS 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 5304–5311.
- [99] Z. Xiao, P. Li, V. Havyarimana, G. M. Hassana, D. Wang, and K. Li, “GOI: A novel design for vehicle positioning and trajectory prediction under urban environments,” *IEEE Sens. J.*, vol. 18, no. 13, pp. 5586–5594, 2018.
- [100] X. Lin, B. Ma, X. Wang, Y. He, R. P. Liu, and W. Ni, “Multi-layer reverse engineering system for vehicular controller area network messages,” in *Proc. 2022 IEEE 25th Int. Conf. Comput. Support. Coop. Work Des.* IEEE, 2022, pp. 1185–1190.
- [101] S.-F. Lokman, A. T. Othman, and M.-H. Abu-Bakar, “Intrusion detection system for automotive controller area network (CAN) bus system: a review,” *EURASIP. J. Wirel. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2019, pp. 1–17, 2019.
- [102] A. H. Kelechi, M. H. Alsharif, D. A. Oluwole, P. Achimugu, O. Ubadike, J. Nebhen, A. Aaron-Anthony, and P. Uthansakul, “The recent advancement in unmanned aerial vehicle tracking antenna: A review,” *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 16, p. 5662, 2021.
- [103] M. A. G. Al-Sadoon, R. Asif, Y. I. A. Al-Yasir, R. A. Abd-Alhameed, and P. S. Excell, “AoA localization for vehicle-tracking systems using a dual-band sensor array,” *IEEE Trans. Antennas Propag.*, vol. 68, no. 8, pp. 6330–6345, 2020.
- [104] J. Jiang, G. Han, H. Wang, and M. Guizani, “A survey on location privacy protection in wireless sensor networks,” *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 125, pp. 93–114, 2019.
- [105] I. Butun and M. Gidlund, “Location privacy assured Internet of Things,” in *Proc. ICISSP*, vol. 19. Prague, Czech Republic, 2019, pp. 1–8.
- [106] R. Gupta and U. P. Rao, “Achieving location privacy through CAST in location based services,” *J. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 239–249, 2017.
- [107] B. Liu, W. Zhou, T. Zhu, L. Gao, and Y. Xiang, “Location privacy and its applications: A systematic study,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 17 606–17 624, 2018.

- [108] M. Duckham and L. Kulik, "Location privacy and location-aware computing," in *Dynamic & Mobile GIS: Investigating Change in Space and Time*, vol. 3. CRC press Boca Raton, Fla, USA, 2006, pp. 35–51.
- [109] W. Han and Y. Xiao, "Privacy preservation for V2G networks in smart grid: A survey," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 91, pp. 17–28, 2016.
- [110] M. A. Al-shareeda, M. Anbar, I. H. Hasbullah, and S. Manickam, "Survey of authentication and privacy schemes in vehicular ad hoc networks," *IEEE Sens. J.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 2422–2433, 2021.
- [111] M. A. Al-Shareeda, M. Anbar, S. Manickam, and A. A. Yassin, "VPPCS: VANET-based privacy-preserving communication scheme," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 150 914–150 928, 2020.
- [112] A. Pfitzmann and M. Hansen, "A terminology for talking about privacy by data minimization: Anonymity, unlinkability, undetectability, unobservability, pseudonymity, and identity management," 2010.
- [113] I. Almalkawi, J. Raed, A. Alsarhan, A. Abdallah, and E. Abdallah, "A novel and efficient priority-based cross-layer contextual unobservability scheme against global attacks for WMSNs," *Int. J. Online Eng.*, 2022.
- [114] Y. Yu, J. Zhang, and K. B. Letaief, "Joint subcarrier and CPU time allocation for mobile edge computing," in *Proc. IEEE Glob. Commun. Conf. (GLOBECOM) 2016*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 1–6.
- [115] X. Zha, X. Wang, W. Ni, R. P. Liu, Y. J. Guo, X. Niu, and K. Zheng, "Analytic model on data security in vanets," in *Proc. 17th Int. Symp. Commun. Inf. Technol. (ISCIT)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–6.
- [116] M. N. Tahir, M. Katz, and U. Rashid, "Analysis of VANET wireless networking technologies in realistic environments," in *Proc. IEEE Radio Wireless Symp.* IEEE, 2021, pp. 123–125.
- [117] A. Boukerche and N. Aljeri, "Design guidelines for topology management in software-defined vehicular networks," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 120–126, 2021.
- [118] Q. Cui, X. Hu, W. Ni, X. Tao, P. Zhang, T. Chen, K.-C. Chen, and M. Haenggi, "Vehicular mobility patterns and their applications to Internet-of-Vehicles: A comprehensive survey," *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, vol. 65, no. 11, pp. 1–42, 2022.
- [119] M. Dixit, R. Kumar, and A. K. Sagar, "VANET: Architectures, research issues, routing protocols, and its applications," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Commun. Autom. (ICCCA) 2016*. IEEE, 2016, pp. 555–561.
- [120] A. Alnasser, H. Sun, and J. Jiang, "QoS-balancing algorithm for optimal relay selection in heterogeneous vehicular networks," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 8223–8233, 2022.
- [121] A. M. Vegni, C. B. Iglesias, and V. Loscri, "MOVES: A memory-based vehicular social forwarding technique," *Comput. Netw.*, vol. 197, p. 108324, 2021.
- [122] N. K. Chaubey, "Security analysis of Vehicular Ad hoc Networks (VANETs): A comprehensive study," *Int. J. Secur. its Appl.*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 261–274, 2016.
- [123] L. Chao, C. Wu, T. Yoshinaga, W. Bao, and Y. Ji, "A brief review of multipath TCP for vehicular networks," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 8, p. 2793, 2021.
- [124] M. A. Mujahid, K. A. Bakar, T. S. Darwish, and F. T. Zuhra, "Cluster-based location service schemes in VANETs: Current state, challenges and future directions," *Telecommun. Syst.*, vol. 76, no. 3, pp. 471–489, 2021.
- [125] K. N. Qureshi, A. Alhudaif, A. A. Shah, S. Majeed, and G. Jeon, "Trust and priority-based drone assisted routing and mobility and service-oriented solution for the Internet of Vehicles networks," *J. Inf. Secur. Appl.*, vol. 59, p. 102864, 2021.
- [126] R. Souza, E. Kalogeiton, G. Manzo, J. M. Duarte, M. R. Palattella, A. Di Maio, T. Braun, T. Engel, L. A. Villas, and G. A. Rizzo, "SDN coordination for CCN and FC content dissemination in VANETs," in *Ad Hoc Netw.* Springer, 2017, pp. 221–233.
- [127] R. G. Engoulou, M. Bellaïche, S. Pierre, and A. Quintero, "VANET security surveys," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 44, pp. 1–13, 2014.
- [128] M. Faraji-Biregani and R. Fotuhi, "Secure communication between UAVs using a method based on smart agents in unmanned aerial vehicles," *J. Supercomput.*, vol. 77, no. 5, pp. 5076–5103, 2021.
- [129] G. Karmakar, A. Chowdhury, R. Das, J. Kamruzzaman, and S. Islam, "Assessing trust level of a driverless car using deep learning," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 4457–4466, 2021.
- [130] J. Posner, L. Tseng, M. Aloqaily, and Y. Jararweh, "Federated learning in vehicular networks: Opportunities and solutions," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 152–159, 2021.
- [131] A. A. Khadir and S. A. H. Seno, "SDN-based offloading policy to reduce the delay in fog-vehicular networks," *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1261–1275, 2021.
- [132] X. Jiang, F. R. Yu, T. Song, and V. C. Leung, "Resource allocation of video streaming over vehicular networks: A survey, some research issues and challenges," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 7, pp. 5955–5975, 2022.
- [133] N. Ganeshkumar and S. Kumar, "OBU (On-Board Unit) wireless devices in VANET(s) for effective communication—A review," *Comput. Methods and Data Eng.*, pp. 191–202, 2021.
- [134] H. R. Abdulshaheed, Z. T. Yaseen, A. M. Salman, and I. Al-Barazanchi, "A survey on the use of WiMAX and Wi-Fi on Vehicular Ad-hoc Networks (VANETs)," in *IOP Conf. Ser.: Mater. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 870, no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2020, p. 012122.
- [135] G. Kostadinov and T. Atanasova, "Security policies for wireless and network infrastructure," in *Probl. Eng. Cybern. Robot.*, no. 71. Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 2020, pp. 14–19.
- [136] V. Nampally and D. M. R. Sharma, "Information sharing standards in communication for VANET," *Int. J. Sci. Res. Comput. Sci. Appl. Manag. Stu.*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 2319–1953, 2018.
- [137] M. Stepzinski and S. Sengupta, "Cybersecurity analysis in dedicated short-range communications in vehicular networks," in *Proc. 11th IEEE Ann. Ubiquitous Comput. Electron. Mobile Commun. Conf. UEMCON*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 0021–0027.
- [138] N. Rajatheva, I. Atzeni, E. Bjornson, A. Bourdoux, S. Buzzi, J.-B. Dore, S. Erkucuk, M. Fuentes, K. Guan, Y. Hu, *et al.*, "White paper on broadband connectivity in 6G," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.14247*, 2020.
- [139] L. Zhao, W. Zhao, A. Hawbani, A. Y. Al-Dubai, G. Min, A. Y. Zomaya, and C. Gong, "Novel online sequential learning-based adaptive routing for edge software-defined vehicular networks," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 2991–3004, 2020.
- [140] B. Ji, Y. Wang, K. Song, C. Li, H. Wen, V. G. Menon, and S. Mumtaz, "A survey of computational intelligence for 6G: Key technologies, applications and trends," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Inform.*, 2021.
- [141] S. Nayak and M. Narvekar, "Real-time vehicle navigation using modified A* algorithm," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Emerg. Trends Innov. ICT (ICEI)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 116–122.
- [142] G. Tobar, P. Galdames, C. Gutierrez-Soto, and P. Rodriguez-Moreno, "A batching location cloaking algorithm for location privacy protection," *Collaborative Technologies and Data Science in Smart City Applications*, pp. 26–36, 2018.
- [143] C. Bettini, "Privacy protection in location-based services: A survey," in *Handbook of Mobile Data Privacy*. Springer, 2018, pp. 73–96.
- [144] J. W. Kim, K. Edemacu, and B. Jang, "Privacy-preserving mechanisms for location privacy in mobile crowdsensing: A survey," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 200, p. 103315, 2022.
- [145] A. R. Sani, M. U. Hassan, and J. Chen, "Privacy preserving machine learning for electric vehicles: A survey," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.08462*, 2022.
- [146] X. Deng, T. Gao, N. Guo, C. Zhao, and J. Qi, "PCP: A pseudonym change scheme for location privacy preserving in VANETs," *Entropy*, vol. 24, no. 5, p. 648, 2022.
- [147] Q. Huang, X. Xu, H. Chen, and L. Xie, "A vehicle trajectory privacy preservation method based on caching and dummy locations in the Internet of Vehicles," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 12, p. 4423, 2022.
- [148] C. Qiu, A. C. Squicciarini, C. Pang, N. Wang, and B. Wu, "Location privacy protection in vehicle-based spatial crowdsourcing via geodistinguishability," *IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 21, no. 7, pp. 2436–2450, 2022.
- [149] L. Wu, X. Wei, L. Meng, S. Zhao, and H. Wang, "Privacy-preserving location-based traffic density monitoring," *Conn Sci.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 874–894, 2022.
- [150] V. K. Yadav, N. Andola, S. Verma, and S. Venkatesan, "Anonymous and linkable location-based services," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 9, pp. 9397–9409, 2022.
- [151] M. Bradbury, P. Taylor, U. I. Atmaca, C. Maple, and N. Griffiths, "Privacy challenges with protecting live vehicular location context," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 207 465–207 484, 2020.
- [152] K. Emarat, W. Woerndl, and J. Schlichter, "On evaluation of location privacy preserving schemes for VANET safety applications," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 63, pp. 11–23, 2015.
- [153] A. Pandey and S. Yadav, "Performance evaluation of amplify-and-forward relaying cooperative vehicular networks under physical layer security," *Trans. Emerg. Telecommun. Technol.*, vol. 29, no. 12, p. e3534, 2018.
- [154] S. Shen, D. Chen, Y.-L. Wei, Z. Yang, and R. R. Choudhury, "Voice localization using nearby wall reflections," in *Proc. 26th Ann. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw.*, 2020, pp. 1–14.
- [155] A. Bahramali, R. Soltani, A. Houmansadr, D. Goeckel, and D. Towsley, "Practical traffic analysis attacks on secure messaging applications," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00508*, 2020.

- [156] N. Lal, S. Kumar, and V. K. Chaurasiya, "A road monitoring approach with real-time capturing of events for efficient vehicles safety in smart city," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 657–674, 2020.
- [157] S. Zakhary and A. Benslimane, "On location-privacy in opportunistic mobile networks, a survey," *J. Netw. Comput. Appl.*, vol. 103, pp. 157–170, 2018.
- [158] J. Zhou, Z. Cao, X. Dong, and A. V. Vasilakos, "Security and privacy for cloud-based IoT: Challenges," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 55, no. 1, pp. 26–33, 2017.
- [159] M. Chaurasia and B. P. Singh, "Prevention of DoS and routing attack in OLSR under MANET," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Recent Advancement Comp. Commun.* Springer, 2018, pp. 287–295.
- [160] L. Zhu, S. Wang, C. Li, and Z. Yang, "License plate recognition in urban road based on vehicle tracking and result integration," *J. Intell. Syst.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1587–1597, 2020.
- [161] Y. Qian, L. Yu, W. Liu, and A. G. Hauptmann, "Electricity: An efficient multi-camera vehicle tracking system for intelligent city," in *Proc. IEEE/CVF Conf. Comput. Vis. Pattern Recognit. Workshops*, 2020, pp. 588–589.
- [162] J. Liu, P. Jayakumar, J. L. Stein, and T. Ersal, "Combined speed and steering control in high-speed autonomous ground vehicles for obstacle avoidance using model predictive control," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 8746–8763, 2017.
- [163] S. Nie, L. Liu, and Y. Du, "Free-fall: Hacking Tesla from wireless to CAN bus," in *Briefing, Black Hat USA*, vol. 25, 2017, pp. 1–16.
- [164] M. H. Eiza and Q. Ni, "Driving with sharks: Rethinking connected vehicles with vehicle cybersecurity," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 45–51, 2017.
- [165] R. Molina-Masegosa, M. Sepulcre, J. Gozalvez, F. Berens, and V. Martinez, "Empirical models for the realistic generation of cooperative awareness messages in vehicular networks," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 5, pp. 5713–5717, 2020.
- [166] E. Ahmed and H. Gharavi, "Cooperative vehicular networking: A survey," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 996–1014, 2018.
- [167] B. Lonc, F. Haidar, and D. Filatov, "Cooperative ITS security standards: Implementation, assessment and next challenges," in *ITS European Congress*, 2020.
- [168] N. Padmapriya and S. Subathra, "A secure communication and location privacy in WSN using grey wolf optimization," in *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, vol. 1717, no. 1. IOP Publishing, 2021, p. 012050.
- [169] S. Alharthi, P. Johnson, and M. Randles, "Secure and energy-efficient communication in IoT/CPS," *Recent Trends in Commun. Netw.*, 2020.
- [170] V. Sadhu, S. Zonouz, V. Sritapan, and D. Pompili, "CollabLoc: Privacy-preserving multi-modal collaborative mobile phone localization," *IEEE Trans. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 104–116, 2019.
- [171] B. Hong, S. Bae, and Y. Kim, "GUTI reallocation demystified: Cellular location tracking with changing temporary identifier," in *NDSS*, 2018.
- [172] Q. Zhao, H. Wen, Z. Lin, D. Xuan, and N. Shroff, "On the accuracy of measured proximity of bluetooth-based contact tracing apps," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Security Priv. Commun. Syst.* Springer, 2020, pp. 49–60.
- [173] Y. Xu, D. He, P. Chao, J. Kim, W. Hua, and X. Zhou, "Route reconstruction using low-quality bluetooth readings," in *Proc. 28th Int. Conf. Adv. Geogr. Inf. Syst.*, 2020, pp. 179–182.
- [174] P. Verde, J. D'iez-Gonzalez, R. Ferrero-Guille'n, A. Mart'inez-Gutie'rez, and H. Perez, "Memetic chains for improving the local wireless sensor networks localization in urban scenarios," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 7, p. 2458, 2021.
- [175] C. Dunbar and G. Qu, "A DTN routing protocol for vehicle location information protection," in *Proc. - IEEE Mil. Commun. Conf. 2014*. IEEE, 2014, pp. 94–100.
- [176] C. Dunbar, M. Gao, and G. Qu, "Pass and run: A privacy preserving delay tolerant network communication protocol for cybervehicles," in *2013 Int. Conf. Connect. Veh. Expo. (ICCVe)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 840–841.
- [177] Z. Lu, M. Gao, Z. Liu, G. Qu, and C. Dunbar, "Pass and run: A privacy preserving delay tolerant network communication protocol for cybervehicles," *IEEE Des. Test*, vol. 36, no. 6, pp. 56–62, 2019.
- [178] Y. Qin, J. Hao, G. Han, J. Pan, K. Han, and H. Niu, "Research on secured communication of intelligent connected vehicle based on digital certificate," in *Intell. Autom. Soft Comput.* Springer, 2021, pp. 1068–1080.
- [179] S. Terzi, C. Savvaidis, K. Votis, D. Tzovaras, and I. Stamelos, "Securing emission data of smart vehicles with blockchain and self-sovereign identities," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. Blockchain 2020*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 462–469.
- [180] M. Langheinrich, "Privacy by design—principles of privacy-aware ubiquitous systems," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Ubiquitous Comput.* Springer, 2001, pp. 273–291.
- [181] S. F. Shahandashti and R. Safavi-Naini, "Threshold attribute-based signatures and their application to anonymous credential systems," in *Proc. AFRICACRYPT 2009, 2nd Int. Conf. Cryptol. Africa*. Springer, 2009, pp. 198–216.
- [182] H. K. Maji, M. Prabhakaran, and M. Rosulek, "Attribute-based signatures," in *Proc. Cryptograph. Track RSA Conf. (CT-RSA)*. Springer, 2011, pp. 376–392.
- [183] T. Okamoto and K. Takashima, "Efficient attribute-based signatures for non-monotone predicates in the standard model," *IEEE Trans. Cloud Comput.*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 409–421, 2014.
- [184] J. Li, M. H. Au, W. Susilo, D. Xie, and K. Ren, "Attribute-based signature and its applications," in *Proc. 5th ACM Symp. Info. Comput. Commun. Secur.*, 2010, pp. 60–69.
- [185] Y. Zhang and D. Feng, "Efficient attribute proofs in anonymous credential using attribute-based cryptography," in *Int. Conf. Inf. Commun. Secur. (ICICS)*. Springer, 2012, pp. 408–415.
- [186] A. E. Kaafarani, E. Ghadafi, and D. Khader, "Decentralized traceable attribute-based signatures," in *Proc. Cryptograph. Track RSA Conf. (CT-RSA)*. Springer, 2014, pp. 327–348.
- [187] N. Kaaniche and M. Laurent, "Attribute-based signatures for supporting anonymous certification," in *Proc. Eur. Symp. Res. Comput. Secur. (ESORICS)*. Springer, 2016, pp. 279–300.
- [188] R. E. Bansarkhani and R. Misoczki, "G-Merkle: A hash-based group signature scheme from standard assumptions," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Post-Quantum Cryptogr.* Springer, 2018, pp. 441–463.
- [189] H. Zheng, Q. Wu, B. Qin, L. Zhong, S. He, and J. Liu, "Linkable group signature for auditing anonymous communication," in *Proc. Australasian Conf. Inf. Secur. Privacy (ACISP)*. Springer, 2018, pp. 304–321.
- [190] S. A. A. Hakeem and H. Kim, "Multi-zone Authentication and Privacy-preserving Protocol (MAPP) based on the bilinear pairing cryptography for 5G-V2X," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 2, p. 665, 2021.
- [191] L. Wu, J. Fan, Y. Xie, J. Wang, and Q. Liu, "Efficient location-based conditional privacy-preserving authentication scheme for vehicle ad hoc networks," *Int. J. Distrib. Sens. Netw.*, vol. 13, no. 3, p. 1550147717700899, 2017.
- [192] P. Mundhe, V. K. Yadav, A. Singh, S. Verma, and S. Venkatesan, "Ring signature-based conditional privacy-preserving authentication in VANETs," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 114, no. 1, pp. 853–881, 2020.
- [193] P. Mundhe, V. K. Yadav, S. Verma, and S. Venkatesan, "Efficient lattice-based ring signature for message authentication in VANETs," *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 14, no. 4, pp. 5463–5474, 2020.
- [194] Q. Mei, H. Xiong, J. Chen, M. Yang, S. Kumari, and M. K. Khan, "Efficient certificateless aggregate signature with conditional privacy preservation in IoV," *IEEE Syst. J.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 245–256, 2020.
- [195] G. Ateniese, D. H. Chou, B. d. Medeiros, and G. Tsudik, "Sanitizable signatures," in *Proc. Eur. Symp. Res. Comput. Secur. (ESORICS)*. Springer, 2005, pp. 159–177.
- [196] D. Pa'mies-Estremis, N. Kaaniche, M. Laurent, J. Castella'-Roca, and J. Garcia-Alfaro, "Lifelogging protection scheme for internet-based personal assistants," in *Data Privacy Management, Cryptocurrencies and Blockchain Technology*. Springer, 2018, pp. 431–440.
- [197] H. Wang, J. Gan, Y. Feng, Y. Li, and X. Fu, "A privacy enhancement scheme based on blockchain and blind signature for Internet of Vehicles," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Blockchain Trustw. Syst.* Springer, 2021, pp. 368–387.
- [198] G. Sun, S. Sun, H. Yu, and M. Guizani, "Toward incentivizing fog-based privacy-preserving mobile crowdsensing in the Internet of Vehicles," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 7, no. 5, pp. 4128–4142, 2019.
- [199] A. C. Yao, "Protocols for secure computations," in *Proc. 23rd Ann. Symp. Found. Comp. Sci. (SFCS 1982)*. IEEE, 1982, pp. 160–164.
- [200] J. Zhou, Z. Cao, Z. Qin, X. Dong, and K. Ren, "LPPA: Lightweight privacy-preserving authentication from efficient multi-key secure outsourced computation for location-based services in VANETs," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 15, pp. 420–434, 2019.
- [201] J. W. Kim, K. Edemacu, J. S. Kim, Y. D. Chung, and B. Jang, "A survey of differential privacy-based techniques and their applicability to location-based services," *Comput. Secur.*, vol. 111, p. 102464, 2021.
- [202] B. Niu, Q. Li, X. Zhu, G. Cao, and H. Li, "Achieving k-anonymity in privacy-aware location-based services," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2014 - IEEE Conf. Comput. Commun.* IEEE, 2014, pp. 754–762.
- [203] H. Liu, X. Li, H. Li, J. Ma, and X. Ma, "Spatiotemporal correlation-aware dummy-based privacy protection scheme for location-based

- services,” in *IEEE INFOCOM 2017 - IEEE Conf. Comput. Commun.*, 2017, pp. 1–9.
- [204] Y. Xiao and L. Xiong, “Protecting locations with differential privacy under temporal correlations,” in *Proc. 22nd ACM SIGSAC Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur.*, 2015, pp. 1298–1309.
- [205] M. Gruteser and D. Grunwald, “Anonymous usage of location-based services through spatial and temporal cloaking,” in *Proc. 1st Int. Conf. Mobile Syst. Appl. Serv.*, 2003, pp. 31–42.
- [206] J. C. Duchi, M. I. Jordan, and M. J. Wainwright, “Local privacy and statistical minimax rates,” in *Proc. 2013 IEEE 54th Ann. Symp. Foundations Comput. Sci. (FOCS)*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 429–438.
- [207] U. Erlingsson, V. Feldman, I. Mironov, A. Raghunathan, K. Talwar, and A. Thakurta, “Amplification by shuffling: From local to central differential privacy via anonymity,” in *Proc. 30th Ann. ACM-SIAM Symp. Discrete Algorithms*. SIAM, 2019, pp. 2468–2479.
- [208] M. E. Andre’s, N. E. Bordenabe, K. Chatzikokolakis, and C. Palamidessi, “Geo-indistinguishability: Differential privacy for location-based systems,” in *Proc. 2013 ACM SIGSAC Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur.*, 2013, pp. 901–914.
- [209] L. Zhou, L. Yu, S. Du, H. Zhu, and C. Chen, “Achieving differentially private location privacy in edge-assisted connected vehicles,” *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 6, no. 3, pp. 4472–4481, 2018.
- [210] Q. Li, H. Wu, X. Wu, and L. Dong, “Multi-level location privacy protection based on differential privacy strategy in VANETs,” in *Proc. IEEE 89th Veh. Technol. Conf. (VTC2019-Spring)*, 2019, pp. 1–5.
- [211] X. Li, Y. Ren, L. T. Yang, N. Zhang, B. Luo, J. Weng, and X. Liu, “Perturbation-hidden: Enhancement of vehicular privacy for location-based services in Internet of Vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Netw. Sci. Eng.*, pp. 1–1, 2020.
- [212] S. Haider, D. Gao, R. Ali, A. Hussain, and M. T. Ikram, “A privacy conserves pseudonym acquisition scheme in vehicular communication systems,” *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 15 536–15 545, 2022.
- [213] M. A. Al-Shareeda, M. Anbar, S. Manickam, and I. H. Hasbullah, “A secure pseudonym-based conditional privacy-preservation authentication scheme in vehicular ad hoc networks,” *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 5, p. 1696, 2022.
- [214] S. Wang, N. Yao, N. Gong, and Z. Gao, “A trigger-based pseudonym exchange scheme for location privacy preserving in VANETs,” *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 548–560, 2018.
- [215] X. Li, H. Zhang, Y. Ren, S. Ma, B. Luo, J. Weng, J. Ma, and X. Huang, “PAPU: Pseudonym swap with provable unlinkability based on differential privacy in VANETs,” *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 7, no. 12, pp. 11 789–11 802, 2020.
- [216] X. Deng, T. Gao, N. Guo, J. Qi, and C. Zhao, “PAS: Privacy-preserving authentication scheme based on SDN for VANETs,” *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 12, no. 9, p. 4791, 2022.
- [217] P. Ma, D. Tao, and T. Wu, “A pseudonym based anonymous identity authentication mechanism for mobile crowd sensing,” in *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Big Data Comput. Commun. (BIGCOM)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 10–14.
- [218] H. Li, X. Xue, Z. Li, L. Li, and J. Xiong, “Location privacy protection scheme for LBS in IoT,” *Wirel. Commun. Mob. Comput.*, vol. 2021, p. 1–18, 2021.
- [219] T. Carvalho, N. Moniz, P. Faria, and L. Antunes, “Survey on privacy-preserving techniques for data publishing,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.08120*, 2022.
- [220] S. Zhang, X. Li, Z. Tan, T. Peng, and G. Wang, “A caching and spatial k-anonymity driven privacy enhancement scheme in continuous location-based services,” *Future Gener. Comput. Syst.*, vol. 94, pp. 40–50, 2019.
- [221] P.-s. Xie, X.-m. Han, T. Feng, Y. Yan, and G.-q. Ma, “Location privacy protection algorithm based on the division method of voronoi in the Internet of Vehicles,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Artif. Intell. Secur.* Springer, 2020, pp. 412–422.
- [222] M. Afifi, K. Zhou, and J. Ren, “Privacy characterization and quantification in data publishing,” *IEEE Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.*, vol. 30, no. 9, pp. 1756–1769, 2018.
- [223] B. Sowmiya and E. Poovammal, “A heuristic k-anonymity based privacy preserving for student management hyperledger fabric blockchain,” *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 2021, pp. 1–18, 2021.
- [224] K. Arava and S. Lingamgunta, “Adaptive k-anonymity approach for privacy preserving in cloud,” *Arab. J. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 2425–2432, 2020.
- [225] H. Li, L. Pei, D. Liao, G. Sun, and D. Xu, “Blockchain meets VANET: An architecture for identity and location privacy protection in VANET,” *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 12, no. 5, pp. 1178–1193, 2019.
- [226] S. Sharma and B. Kaushik, “Applications and challenges in Internet of Vehicles: A survey,” *Internet Things its Appl.*, pp. 55–65, 2022.
- [227] A. Boulalouache, S.-M. Senouci, and S. Moussaoui, “A survey on pseudonym changing strategies for vehicular ad-hoc networks,” *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 770–790, 2017.
- [228] C. Wang, P. Zhao, H. Huang, R. Zhang, and W. Zhu, “Privacy-preserving techniques for the 5G-enabled location-based services,” in *5G-Enabled Internet of Things*. CRC Press, 2019, pp. 269–299.
- [229] Z. Zhao, A. Ye, L. Meng, and Q. Zhang, “Pseudonym changing for vehicles in VANETs: A game-theoretic analysis based approach,” in *Proc. Int. Conf. Networking Network Appl. (NaNA) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 70–74.
- [230] I. Memon, Q. Ali, A. Zubedi, and F. A. Mangi, “DPMM: Dynamic pseudonym-based multiple mix-zones generation for mobile traveler,” *Multimed. Tools Appl.*, vol. 76, no. 22, pp. 24 359–24 388, 2017.
- [231] B. Amro, “Protecting privacy in VANETs using mix zones with virtual pseudonym change,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.10294*, 2018.
- [232] Q. Li, H. Wu, L. Liu, B. Pan, and L. Dong, “A group based dynamic mix zone scheme for location privacy preservation in VANETs,” in *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Secur. Smart Cities, Ind. Control Syst. Commun. (SSIC) 2018*, 2018, pp. 1–5.
- [233] J. Meng, X. Zhang, T. Cao, and Y. Xie, “Lightweight and anonymous mutual authentication protocol for IoT devices with physical unclonable functions,” *Secur. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2022, pp. 1–11, 2021.
- [234] L. Zhu, M. Li, Z. Zhang, and Z. Qin, “ASAP: An anonymous smart-parking and payment scheme in vehicular networks,” *IEEE Trans. Dependable Secure Comput.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 703–715, 2020.
- [235] P. Singh, A. Agarwal, G. Nakum, D. Rawat, and S. Nandi, “MPF-SLP: Masqueraded probabilistic flooding for source-location privacy in VANETs,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 69, no. 10, p. 11383–11393, 2020.
- [236] S. Ghane, A. Jolfaei, L. Kulik, K. Ramamohanarao, and D. Puthal, “Preserving privacy in the Internet of Connected Vehicles,” *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 5018–5027, 2020.
- [237] Q. Miao, W. Jing, and H. Song, “Differential privacy-based location privacy enhancing in edge computing,” *Concurr. Comput. Pract. Exp.*, vol. 31, no. 8, p. e4735, 2019.
- [238] S. Han, U. Topcu, and G. J. Pappas, “An approximately truthful mechanism for electric vehicle charging via joint differential privacy,” in *Proc. Am. Control Conf. (ACC) 2015*. IEEE, 2015, pp. 2469–2475.
- [239] W. Tong, J. Hua, and S. Zhong, “A jointly differentially private scheduling protocol for ridesharing services,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 12, no. 10, pp. 2444–2456, 2017.
- [240] X. Sun, F. R. Yu, P. Zhang, W. Xie, and X. Peng, “A survey on secure computation based on homomorphic encryption in vehicular ad hoc networks,” *Sensors*, vol. 20, no. 15, p. 4253, 2020.
- [241] A. Wood, K. Najarian, and D. Kahrobaei, “Homomorphic encryption for machine learning in medicine and bioinformatics,” *ACM Comput. Surv. (CSUR)*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 1–35, 2020.
- [242] Z. H. Mahmood and M. K. Ibrahim, “New fully homomorphic encryption scheme based on multistage partial homomorphic encryption applied in cloud computing,” in *Proc. 1st Ann. Int. Conf. AiCIS*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 182–186.
- [243] F. Yucel, K. Akkaya, and E. Bulut, “Efficient and privacy preserving supplier matching for electric vehicle charging,” *Ad Hoc Netw.*, vol. 90, p. 101730, 2019.
- [244] V. Subramaniaswamy, V. Jagadeeswari, V. Indragandhi, R. H. Jhaveri, V. Vijayakumar, K. Kotecha, and L. Ravi, “Somewhat homomorphic encryption: Ring learning with error algorithm for faster encryption of IoT sensor signal-based edge devices,” *Secur. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2022, 2022.
- [245] H. Yu, H. Zhang, X. Yu, X. Du, and M. Guizani, “PGRide: Privacy-preserving group ridesharing matching in online ride hailing services,” *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 7, pp. 5722–5735, 2020.
- [246] S. Gupta and G. Arora, “Use of homomorphic encryption with GPS in location privacy,” in *Proc. 4th Int. Conf. ISCON*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 42–45.
- [247] N. Prema, “Efficient secure aggregation in VANETs using Fully Homomorphic Encryption (FHE),” *Mob. Netw. Appl.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 434–442, 2019.
- [248] M. A. Mohammed, B. Garcia-Zapirain, J. Nedoma, R. Martinek, P. Tiwari, N. Kumar, *et al.*, “Fully homomorphic enabled secure task offloading and scheduling system for transport applications,” *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 71, no. 11, pp. 12 140–12 153, 2022.
- [249] B. Chor, O. Goldreich, E. Kushilevitz, and M. Sudan, “Private information retrieval,” in *Proc. 36th Annu. IEEE Found. Comput. Sci.* IEEE, 1995, pp. 41–50.

- [250] W. Gasarch, "A survey on private information retrieval," *Bulletin of the EATCS*, vol. 82, no. 72-107, p. 113, 2004.
- [251] H. Sun and S. A. Jafar, "The capacity of private information retrieval," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 63, no. 7, pp. 4075–4088, 2017.
- [252] Z. Tan, C. Wang, M. Zhou, and L. Zhang, "Private information retrieval in vehicular location-based services," in *Proc. 4th IEEE World Forum on IoT (WF-IoT)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 56–61.
- [253] D. X. Song, D. Wagner, and A. Perrig, "Practical techniques for searches on encrypted data," in *Proc. 2000 IEEE Symp. Secur. Priv. S&P 2000*. IEEE, 2000, pp. 44–55.
- [254] R. Curtmola, J. Garay, S. Kamara, and R. Ostrovsky, "Searchable symmetric encryption: Improved definitions and efficient constructions," *J. Comput. Secur.*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. 895–934, 2011.
- [255] M. A. Ferrag and A. Ahmim, "ESSPR: An efficient secure routing scheme based on searchable encryption with vehicle proxy re-encryption for vehicular peer-to-peer social network," *Telecommun. Syst.*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 481–503, 2017.
- [256] Q. Tang, J. Shen, Z. Cao, and X. Dong, "PSSBP: A privacy-preserving scope-query searchable encryption scheme based on blockchain for parking lots sharing in vehicular networks," in *Proc. 19th IEEE Int. Conf. Embedded Ubiquitous Comput. (EUC)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–8.
- [257] Y. Wang, J. Wang, and X. Chen, "Secure searchable encryption: A survey," *J. commun. inf. netw.*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 52–65, 2016.
- [258] Z. Chen, J. Nie, Z. Li, C. Ge, and W. Susilo, "Geometric searchable encryption without false positive and its applications," *Comput. J.*, 2022.
- [259] E. Thomas, M. van Deventer, T. Stockhammer, A. C. Begen, M.-L. Champel, and O. Oyman, "Applications and deployments of server and network assisted DASH (SAND)," *Proc. Int. Broadcast. Conv. Conf. (IBC)*, p. 22, 2016.
- [260] E. Ohn-Bar, A. Tawari, S. Martin, and M. M. Trivedi, "On surveillance for safety critical events: In-vehicle video networks for predictive driver assistance systems," *Comput. Vis. Image Underst.*, vol. 134, pp. 130–140, 2015.
- [261] J. Bhatia, Y. Modi, S. Tanwar, and M. Bhavsar, "Software defined vehicular networks: A comprehensive review," *Int. J. Commun. Syst.*, vol. 32, no. 12, p. e4005, 2019.
- [262] T. Dierks and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol version 1.2," in *Internet Eng. Task Force*. Fremont, CA, USA, RFC 5246, August, 2008.
- [263] E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol version 1.3," in *Internet Eng. Task Force*. RFC 8446, August, 2018.
- [264] T. Ylönen and C. Lonvick, "The Secure Shell (SSH) protocol architecture," pp. 1–30, 2006.
- [265] A. Fuchs, D. Kern, C. Krauß, and M. Zhdanova, "Securing electric vehicle charging systems through component binding," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Computer Safety, Reliability, and Security (SAFECOMP)*. Springer, 2020, pp. 387–401.
- [266] L. Waked, M. Mannan, and A. Youssef, "To intercept or not to intercept: Analyzing TLS interception in network appliances," in *Proc. 2018 Asia Conf. Comput. Commun. Secur.*, 2018, pp. 399–412.
- [267] R. Kostromin, "Survey of software configuration management tools of nodes in heterogeneous distributed computing environment," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Workshop ICCS-DE*, 2020, pp. 156–165.
- [268] W. Cabral, C. Valli, L. Sikos, and S. Wakeling, "Review and analysis of cowrie artefacts and their potential to be used deceptively," in *Proc. 2019 Int. Conf. Comp. Sci. Comp. Intell. (CSCI)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 166–171.
- [269] W. Bai, M. Pearson, P. G. Kelley, and M. L. Mazurek, "Improving non-experts' understanding of end-to-end encryption: An exploratory study," in *Proc. IEEE Eur. Symp. Secur. Priv. Wkshp. (EuroS&PW) 2020*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 210–219.
- [270] K. Wouters, K. Simoens, D. Lathouwers, and B. Preneel, "Secure and privacy-friendly logging for government services," in *Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. on Availability, Reliability and Security (ARES 2008)*. IEEE, 2008, pp. 1091–1096.
- [271] T. Pulls, R. Peeters, and K. Wouters, "Distributed privacy-preserving transparency logging," in *Proc. 12th ACM Wkshp. Priv. Electr. Soc. (WPES)*, 2013, pp. 83–94.
- [272] N. Kaaniche and M. Laurent, "BDUA: Blockchain-based data usage auditing," in *Proc. 11th IEEE Int. Conf. CLOUD*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 630–637.
- [273] H. Liu, Y. Zhang, S. Zheng, and Y. Li, "Electric vehicle power trading mechanism based on blockchain and smart contract in V2G network," *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 160 546–160 558, 2019.
- [274] M. Baza, M. Nabil, N. Lasla, K. Fidan, M. Mahmoud, and M. Abdallah, "Blockchain-based firmware update scheme tailored for autonomous vehicles," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. (WCNC) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–7.
- [275] E. M. Radi, N. Lasla, S. Bakiras, and M. Mahmoud, "Privacy-preserving electric vehicle charging for peer-to-peer energy trading ecosystems," in *Proc. 2019 IEEE Int. Conf. Commun.* IEEE, 2019, pp. 1–6.
- [276] F. Jamil, O. Cheikhrouhou, H. Jamil, A. Koubaa, A. Derhab, and M. A. Ferrag, "PetroBlock: A blockchain-based payment mechanism for fueling smart vehicles," *Appl. Sci.*, vol. 11, no. 7, p. 3055, 2021.
- [277] L. Cui, Y. Qu, M. R. Nosouhi, S. Yu, J.-W. Niu, and G. Xie, "Improving data utility through game theory in personalized differential privacy," *J. Comput. Sci. Technol.*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 272–286, 2019.
- [278] B. Chaudhary and K. Singh, "A blockchain enabled location-privacy preserving scheme for vehicular ad-hoc networks," *Peer-to-Peer Netw. Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 5, pp. 3198–3212, 2021.
- [279] Z. Lu, W. Liu, Q. Wang, G. Qu, and Z. Liu, "A privacy-preserving trust model based on blockchain for VANETs," *IEEE Access*, vol. 6, pp. 45 655–45 664, 2018.
- [280] A. Boualouache, H. Sedjelmaci, and T. Engel, "Consortium blockchain for cooperative location privacy preservation in 5G-enabled vehicular fog computing," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. 70, no. 7, pp. 7087–7102, 2021.
- [281] O. Samuel, N. Javaid, F. Shehzad, M. S. Iftikhar, M. Z. Iftikhar, H. Farooq, and M. Ramzan, "Electric vehicles privacy preserving using blockchain in smart community," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Broadband Wireless Comput. Commun. Appl.* Springer, 2019, pp. 67–80.
- [282] R. Liang, B. Li, and X. Song, "Blockchain-based privacy preserving trust management model in VANET," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Adv. Data Mining Appl. (ADMA)*. Springer, 2020, pp. 465–479.
- [283] D. Wang, L. Zhang, C. Huang, and X. Shen, "A privacy-preserving trust management system based on blockchain for vehicular networks," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless Commun. Netw. Conf. (WCNC) 2021*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–6.
- [284] G. Yu, X. Zha, X. Wang, W. Ni, K. Yu, P. Yu, J. A. Zhang, R. P. Liu, and Y. J. Guo, "Enabling attribute revocation for fine-grained access control in blockchain-IoT systems," *IEEE Trans. Eng. Manage.*, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 1213–1230, 2020.
- [285] D. J. Currie, C. Q. Peng, D. M. Lyle, B. A. Jameson, and M. S. Frommer, "Stemming the flow: How much can the Australian smartphone app help to control COVID-19," *Public Health Res. Pract.*, vol. 30, no. 2, p. 3022009, 2020.
- [286] K. Aliyev, "An explorative analysis of Azerbaijan's COVID-19 policy response and public opinion," *Cauc. Surv.*, vol. 9, no. 3, pp. 300–319, 2021.
- [287] E. Mbunge, "Integrating emerging technologies into COVID-19 contact tracing: Opportunities, challenges and pitfalls," *Diabetes Metab. Syndr.: Clin. Res. Rev.*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 1631–1636, 2020.
- [288] M. J. Hossain, "Impact of COVID-19 pandemic among health care providers in Bangladesh: A systematic review," *Bangladesh J. Infect. Dis.*, pp. S8–S15, 2020.
- [289] P. M. Garrett, Y.-W. Wang, J. P. White, Y. Kashima, S. Dennis, and C.-T. Yang, "High acceptance of COVID-19 tracing technologies in Taiwan: A nationally representative survey analysis," *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, vol. 19, no. 6, p. 3323, 2022.
- [290] E. Schultz, R. Touzani, J. Mancini, and J. K. Ward, "From contact tracing to COVID-19 pass holder; the tortured journey of the French TousAntiCovid contact tracing app," *Public Health*, vol. 206, pp. 5–7, 2022.
- [291] C. Herendy, "How were apps developed during, and for, COVID-19?: An investigation into user needs assessment and testing," in *Proc. 11th IEEE Int. Conf. Cogn. Infocommun. (CogInfoCom) 2020*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 000 503–000 508.
- [292] S. Hsaini, H. Bihri, S. Azzouzi, and M. E. H. Charaf, "Contact-tracing approaches to fight COVID-19 pandemic: Limits and ethical challenges," in *Proc. IEEE 2nd Int. Conf. Electron. Control Optim. Comput. Sci. (ICECOCS) 2020*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 1–5.
- [293] M. N. Lintvedt, "COVID-19 tracing apps as a legal problem: An investigation of the Norwegian 'Smittestopp' App," *Oslo L. Rev.*, vol. 8, p. 69, 2021.
- [294] J. Bay, J. Kek, A. Tan, C. S. Hau, L. Yongquan, J. Tan, and T. A. Quay, "BlueTrace: A privacy-preserving protocol for community-driven contact tracing across borders," *Government Technology Agency-Singapore, Tech. Rep*, 2020.

- [295] S. L. Zhou, X. Jia, S. P. Skinner, W. Yang, and I. Claude, "Lessons on mobile apps for COVID-19 from China," *J. Saf. Sci. Res.*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 40–49, 2021.
- [296] Y. Lu and L. Zhang, "Social media Wechat infers the development trend of COVID-19," *J. Infect.*, vol. 81, no. 1, pp. e82–e83, 2020.
- [297] V. Q. Li, L. Ma, and X. Wu, "COVID-19, policy change, and post-pandemic data governance: A case analysis of contact tracing applications in East Asia," *Policy Soc.*, 2022.
- [298] T. Sharma, M. M. Islam, A. Das, S. T. Haque, and S. I. Ahmed, "Privacy during pandemic: A global view of privacy practices around COVID-19 apps," in *Proc. ACM SIGCAS Conf. Comput. Sustainable Soc.*, 2021, pp. 215–229.
- [299] A. Skiljic, "'Stop COVID-19': The croatian application for contact tracing-overview and privacy-related uncertainties," *Eur. Data Prot. L. Rev.*, vol. 6, p. 433, 2020.
- [300] J. Stehlíková *et al.*, "The corona crisis, data protection and tracking apps in the EU: The Czech and Austrian COVID-19 mobile phone apps in the battle against the virus," *Mezina'rodn'í vztahy*, vol. 56, no. 1, pp. 35–67, 2021.
- [301] D. J. Leith and S. Farrell, "Contact tracing app privacy: What data is shared by Europe's GAEN contact tracing apps," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2021 - IEEE Conf. Comput. Commun.* IEEE, 2021, pp. 1–10.
- [302] J. Rannikko, P. Tamminen, R. Hellsten, J. P. Nuorti, and J. Syrjänen, "Effectiveness of COVID-19 digital proximity tracing app in Finland," *Clin Microbiol Infect.*, 2022.
- [303] J. H. Reelfs, O. Hohlfeld, and I. Poese, "Corona-Warn-App: Tracing the start of the official COVID-19 exposure notification app for Germany," in *Proceedings of the SIGCOMM'20 Poster and Demo Sessions*, 2020, pp. 24–26.
- [304] T. Alanzi, "A review of mobile applications available in the app and google play stores used during the COVID-19 outbreak," *J. Multidiscip. Healthc.*, vol. 14, p. 45, 2021.
- [305] P. Rodríguez, S. Graná, E. E. Alvarez-León, M. Battaglini, F. J. Darias, M. A. Hernán, R. López, P. Llana, M. C. Martín, O. Ramírez-Rubio, *et al.*, "A population-based controlled experiment assessing the epidemiological impact of digital contact tracing," *Nat. Commun.*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 1–6, 2021.
- [306] S. Geber and T. N. Friemel, "A typology-based approach to tracing-app adoption during the COVID-19 pandemic: The case of the SwissCovid app," *J. Quant. Descrip.*, vol. 1, p. online, 2021.
- [307] H. Xu, L. Zhang, O. Onireti, Y. Fang, W. J. Buchanan, and M. A. Imran, "BeepTrace: Blockchain-enabled privacy-preserving contact tracing for COVID-19 pandemic and beyond," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 3915–3929, 2020.
- [308] S. Vaudenay, "Analysis of DP3T-between Scylla and Charybdis," *Tech. Rep.*, 2020.
- [309] R. L. Rivest, J. Callas, R. Canetti, K. Esveld, D. K. Gillmor, Y. T. Kalai, A. Lysyanskaya, A. Norige, R. Raskar, A. Shamir, *et al.*, "The PACT protocol specification," *Private Automated Contact Tracing Team, MIT, Cambridge, MA, USA, Tech. Rep. 0.1*, 2020.
- [310] R. Gupta, M. Bedi, P. Goyal, S. Wadhwa, and V. Verma, "Analysis of COVID-19 tracking tool in India: Case study of Aarogya Setu mobile application," *Digital Government: Research and Practice*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 1–8, 2020.
- [311] G. Jung, H. Lee, A. Kim, and U. Lee, "Too much information: Assessing privacy risks of contact trace data disclosure on people with COVID-19 in South Korea," *Public Health Front.*, vol. 8, p. 305, 2020.
- [312] J. K. Liu, M. H. Au, T. H. Yuen, C. Zuo, J. Wang, A. Sakzad, X. Luo, L. Li, and K.-K. R. Choo, "Privacy-preserving COVID-19 contact tracing app: A zero-knowledge proof approach," *Cryptology ePrint Archive*, 2020.
- [313] N. D. Shah, E. W. Steyerberg, and D. M. Kent, "Big data and predictive analytics: Recalibrating expectations," *Jama*, vol. 320, no. 1, pp. 27–28, 2018.
- [314] H. Stevens and M. B. Haines, "Tracetgether: Pandemic response, democracy, and technology," *Asian Sci. Technol. Soc.*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 523–532, 2020.
- [315] T. Sharma and M. Bashir, "Use of apps in the COVID-19 response and the loss of privacy protection," *Nat. Med.*, vol. 26, no. 8, pp. 1165–1167, 2020.
- [316] H. Cho, D. Ippolito, and Y. W. Yu, "Contact tracing mobile apps for COVID-19: Privacy considerations and related trade-offs," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2003.11511*, 2020.
- [317] C. Shachar, J. Engel, and G. Elwyn, "Implications for telehealth in a postpandemic future: Regulatory and privacy issues," *Jama*, vol. 323, no. 23, pp. 2375–2376, 2020.
- [318] O. Kanhere and T. S. Rappaport, "Position location for futuristic cellular communications: 5G and beyond," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 70–75, 2021.
- [319] A. Bourdoux, A. N. Barreto, B. van Liempd, C. de Lima, D. Dardari, D. Belot, E.-S. Lohan, G. Seco-Granados, H. Srieddeen, H. Wymeersch, *et al.*, "6G white paper on localization and sensing," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2006.01779*, 2020.
- [320] W. Saad, M. Bennis, and M. Chen, "A vision of 6G wireless systems: Applications, trends, technologies, and open research problems," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 134–142, 2019.
- [321] Z. Qin, J. Wang, and Y. Lu, "Monogrnnet: A geometric reasoning network for monocular 3D object localization," in *Proc. AAAI Conf. Artif. Intell.*, vol. 33, no. 01, 2019, pp. 8851–8858.
- [322] F. Khelifi, A. Bradai, A. Benslimane, P. Rawat, and M. Atri, "A survey of localization systems in Internet of Things," *Mob. Netw. Appl.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 761–785, 2019.
- [323] N. A. Azmi, S. Samsul, Y. Yamada, M. F. M. Yakub, M. I. M. Ismail, and R. A. Dziauddin, "A survey of localization using RSSI and TODA techniques in wireless sensor network: System architecture," in *Proc. 2nd Int. Conf. Telematics Future Gen. Netw. (TAFGEN) 2018*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 131–136.
- [324] Y. Wang and K. Ho, "Unified near-field and far-field localization for AoA and hybrid AoA-TDOA positionings," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 1242–1254, 2017.
- [325] A. K. Paul and T. Sato, "Localization in wireless sensor networks: A survey on algorithms, measurement techniques, applications and challenges," *J. Sens. Actuator Netw.*, vol. 6, no. 4, p. 24, 2017.
- [326] J. R. Martinez-de Dios, A. de San Bernabe-Clemente, A. Torres-Gonzalez, and A. Ollero, "Measurement integration for localization and tracking," in *Cluster-based Localization and Tracking in Ubiquitous Computing Systems*. Springer, 2017, pp. 17–50.
- [327] S. Phoemphon, C. So-In, and N. Leelathakul, "A hybrid localization model using node segmentation and improved particle swarm optimization with obstacle-awareness for wireless sensor networks," *Expert Syst. Appl.*, vol. 143, p. 113044, 2020.
- [328] A. A. Zazali, S. K. Subramaniam, and Z. A. Zukarnain, "Flood Control Distance Vector-Hop (FCDV-Hop) localization in wireless sensor networks," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 206 592–206 613, 2020.
- [329] P. Wang, C. M. Chen, S. Kumari, M. Shojafar, and Y. Liu, "HDMA: Hybrid D2D Message Authentication scheme for 5G-enabled VANET," *IEEE Trans. Intell. Transp. Syst.*, pp. 1–10, 2020.
- [330] M. Noura and R. Nordin, "A survey on interference management for Device-to-Device (D2D) communication and its challenges in 5G networks," *Netw. Comput. Appl.*, pp. 130–150, 2016.
- [331] W. K. Lai, Y. C. Wang, H. C. Lin, and J. W. Li, "Efficient resource allocation and power control for LTE-A D2D communication with pure D2D model," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, vol. PP, no. 99, pp. 1–1, 2020.
- [332] W. Y. Al-Rashdan and A. Tahat, "A comparative performance evaluation of machine learning algorithms for fingerprinting based localization in DM-MIMO wireless systems relying on big data techniques," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 109 522–109 534, 2020.
- [333] D. Shi, X. Zhang, L. Shi, A. Vladimirescu, W. Mazureczyk, K. Cabaj, B. Meunier, K. Ali, J. Cosmas, and Y. Zhang, "On improving 5G Internet of Radio Light Security based on LED fingerprint identification method," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 4, p. 1515, 2021.
- [334] S. Tomic, M. Beko, R. Dinis, M. Tuba, and N. Bacanin, *RSS-AoA-Based Target Localization and Tracking in Wireless Sensor Networks*. River Publishers, 2017.
- [335] Y.-Y. Li, G.-Q. Qi, and A.-D. Sheng, "Performance metric on the best achievable accuracy for hybrid ToA/AoA target localization," *IEEE Commun. Letter*, vol. 22, no. 7, pp. 1474–1477, 2018.
- [336] B. XU, S. LI, and H. ZHANG, "Low-cost multi-AUV cooperative localization method based on dual-model," *J. Ship Res.*, vol. 15, no. 3, 2020.
- [337] J. Lu, X. Chen, M. Luo, and Y. Zhou, "Cooperative localization for multiple AUVs based on the rough estimation of the measurements," *Appl. Soft Comput.*, vol. 91, p. 106197, 2020.
- [338] M. A. Ouameur, M. Caza-Szoka, and D. Massicotte, "Machine learning enabled tools and methods for indoor localization using low power wireless network," *IEEE Internet Things J.*, p. 100300, 2020.
- [339] C. Laoudias, A. Moreira, S. Kim, S. Lee, L. Wirola, and C. Fischione, "A survey of enabling technologies for network localization, tracking, and navigation," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 3607–3644, 2018.
- [340] S. Wielandt and L. D. Strycker, "Indoor multipath assisted angle of arrival localization," *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 11, p. 2522, 2017.

- [341] J. Kulmer, "High-accuracy positioning exploiting multipath for reducing the infrastructure," *Ph. D. dissertation, Graz University of Technology*, vol. 3, 2019.
- [342] T. Qin, T. Chen, Y. Chen, and Q. Su, "Avp-slam: Semantic visual mapping and localization for autonomous vehicles in the parking lot," in *Proc. IEEE/RSJ Int. Conf. Intell. Robot. Syst. IROS 2020*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 5939–5945.
- [343] M. J. Piran and D. Y. Suh, "Learning-driven wireless communications, towards 6G," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Comput. Electron. Commun. Eng. (ICCECE) 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 219–224.
- [344] A. Chorti, A. N. Barreto, S. Kopsell, M. Zoli, M. Chaffi, P. Sehier, G. Fettweis, and H. V. Poor, "Context-aware security for 6G wireless the role of physical layer security," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.01536*, 2021.
- [345] H. Viswanathan and P. E. Mogensen, "Communications in the 6G era," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 57 063–57 074, 2020.
- [346] R. Xie, K. Luo, and T. Jiang, "Joint coverage and localization driven receiver placement in distributed passive radar," *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 1094–1105, 2020.
- [347] C. L. Bamy, F. M. Mbango, D. B. O. Konditi, and P. M. Mpele, "A compact dual-band dolly-shaped antenna with parasitic elements for automotive radar and 5G applications," *Heliyon*, vol. 7, no. 4, p. e06793, 2021.
- [348] F. Wen and H. Wymeersch, "5G synchronization, positioning, and mapping from diffuse multipath," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 43–47, 2020.
- [349] B. Hu, Z. Shi, and Y. Wang, "Single-sensor based indoor localisation by exploiting multipath propagation," *Electron. Lett.*, vol. 54, no. 3, pp. 179–181, 2018.
- [350] L. B. Fertig, M. J. Baden, J. C. Kerce, and D. Sobota, "Localization and tracking with multipath exploitation radar," in *2012 IEEE Radar Conf.* IEEE, 2012, pp. 1014–1018.
- [351] X. Chu, Z. Lu, D. Gesbert, L. Wang, and X. Wen, "Vehicle localization via cooperative channel mapping," *IEEE Trans. Veh. Technol.*, 2021.
- [352] G. Schouten, W. Jansen, and J. Steckel, "Simulation of pulse-echo radar for vehicle control and SLAM," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 2, p. 523, 2021.
- [353] X. Zha, W. Ni, X. Wang, R. P. Liu, Y. J. Guo, X. Niu, and K. Zheng, "The impact of link duration on the integrity of distributed mobile networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Forensics Secur.*, vol. 13, no. 9, pp. 2240–2255, 2018.
- [354] L. Miao, S.-F. Chen, Y.-L. Hsu, and K.-L. Hua, "How does C-V2X help autonomous driving to avoid accidents?" *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 2, p. 686, 2022.
- [355] X. Wang, X. Zha, W. Ni, R. P. Liu, Y. J. Guo, X. Niu, and K. Zheng, "Survey on blockchain for Internet of Things," *Comput. Commun.*, vol. 136, pp. 10–29, 2019.
- [356] P. Yu, W. Ni, G. Yu, H. Zhang, R. P. Liu, and Q. Wen, "Efficient anonymous data authentication for vehicular ad hoc networks," *Secur. Commun. Netw.*, vol. 2021, 2021.
- [357] S. Roth, S. Tomasin, M. Maso, and A. Sezgin, "Localization attack by precoder feedback overhearing in 5G networks and countermeasures," *IEEE Trans. Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 4100–4112, 2021.
- [358] B. Han, W. Jiang, M. A. Habibi, and H. D. Schotten, "An abstracted survey on 6G: Drivers, requirements, efforts, and enablers," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2101.01062*, 2021.
- [359] X. You, C.-X. Wang, J. Huang, X. Gao, Z. Zhang, M. Wang, Y. Huang, C. Zhang, Y. Jiang, J. Wang, *et al.*, "Towards 6G wireless communication networks: Vision, enabling technologies, and new paradigm shifts," *Sci. China Inf. Sci.*, vol. 64, no. 1, pp. 1–74, 2021.
- [360] S. S. Al-Bawri, M. S. Islam, H. Y. Wong, L. Lee, and M. T. Islam, "Sub-6 GHz 5G multilayer base station antenna for outdoor localization technique," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. Sustain. Util. Dev. Eng. Technol. CSUDET 2019*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 257–260.
- [361] A. S. Abdalla, K. Powell, V. Marojevic, and G. Geraci, "UAV-assisted attack prevention, detection, and recovery of 5G networks," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 40–47, 2020.
- [362] C. Han, Y. Wang, Y. Li, Y. Chen, N. A. Abbasi, T. Ku'erner, and A. F. Molisch, "Terahertz wireless channels: A holistic survey on measurement, modeling, and analysis," *IEEE Commun. Surv.*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 1670–1707, 2022.
- [363] K.-T. Feng, L.-H. Shen, C.-Y. Li, P.-T. Huang, S.-H. Wu, L.-C. Wang, Y.-B. Lin, and M.-C. F. Chang, "3D on-demand flying mobile communication for millimeter-Wave heterogeneous networks," *IEEE Netw.*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 198–204, 2020.
- [364] P. Hu, Y. Ma, P. S. Santhalingam, P. H. Pathak, and X. Cheng, "Millimeter-Wave acoustic eavesdropping with unconstrained vocabulary," in *IEEE INFOCOM 2022 - IEEE Conf. Comput. Commun.* IEEE, 2022, pp. 11–20.
- [365] C. Wang, F. Lin, T. Liu, K. Zheng, Z. Wang, Z. Li, M.-C. Huang, W. Xu, and K. Ren, "mmEve: eavesdropping on smartphone's earpiece via COTS mmWave device," in *Proc. 28th Annu. Int. Conf. Mobile Comput. Netw. (MobiCom)*, 2022, pp. 338–351.
- [366] K. Ahuja, Y. Jiang, M. Goel, and C. Harrison, "Vid2Doppler: synthesizing doppler radar data from videos for training privacy-preserving activity recognition," in *Proc. CHI Conf. Hum. Factors Comput. Syst. 2021*, 2021, pp. 1–10.
- [367] H. Srieddeen, N. Saeed, T. Y. Al-Naffouri, and M.-S. Alouini, "Next generation terahertz communications: A rendezvous of sensing, imaging, and localization," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 69–75, 2020.
- [368] Y. Zhu, B. Mao, Y. Kawamoto, and N. Kato, "Intelligent reflecting surface-aided vehicular networks toward 6G: Vision, proposal, and future directions," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 48–56, 2021.
- [369] I. Rasheed and F. Hu, "Intelligent super-fast vehicle-to-everything 5G communications with predictive switching between mmWave and THz links," *Veh. Commun.*, vol. 27, p. 100303, 2021.
- [370] J. M. Eckhardt, V. Petrov, D. Moltchanov, Y. Koucheryavy, and T. Ku'erner, "Channel measurements and modeling for low-terahertz band vehicular communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 1590–1603, 2021.
- [371] G. Ananthi and S. Sridevi, "Stacking dilated convolutional autoencoder beamforming for THz wave vehicular ad-hoc networks," *Wirel. Pers. Commun.*, vol. 126, no. 4, pp. 2985–3000, 2022.
- [372] C. Han, Y. Wu, Z. Chen, Y. Chen, and G. Wang, "THz ISAC: A physical-layer perspective of terahertz integrated sensing and communication," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.03145*, 2022.
- [373] B. Ma, X. Lin, X. Wang, B. Liu, Y. He, W. Ni, and R. P. Liu, "New cloaking region obfuscation for road network-indistinguishability and location privacy," in *Proc. 25th Int. Symp. on Res. in Attacks, Intrusions and Defenses (RAID)*, 2022, pp. 160–170.
- [374] G. Singh, A. Srivastava, V. A. Bohara, M. N. Rahim, Z. Liu, D. Pesch, and L. Hanzo, "Towards 6G-V2X: Hybrid RF-VLC for vehicular networks," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.06287*, 2022.
- [375] N. Chi, Y. Zhou, Y. Wei, and F. Hu, "Visible light communication in 6G: Advances, challenges, and prospects," *IEEE Veh. Technol. Mag.*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 93–102, 2020.
- [376] N. H. ElShabasy and S. S. Soliman, "Analysis of multi-hop relaying in VLC-based vehicular networks," in *Proceedings of the 2022 Int. Telecommun. Conf. (ITC-Egypt)*. IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–6.
- [377] R. Shaaban, "Visible light communication cyber security vulnerabilities for indoor and outdoor vehicle-to-vehicle communication," Ph.D. dissertation, The University of North Dakota, 2021.
- [378] A. Kumar, S. Al-Kuwari, D. N. K. Jayakody, and R. Alkanhel, "Security performance analysis of a NOMA-assisted underwater VLC system under imprecise channel estimations," *IEEE Access*, 2022.
- [379] M. Sarfraz, M. F. Sohail, S. Alam, M. Javvad ur Rehman, S. A. Ghauri, K. Rabie, H. Abbas, and S. Ansari, "Capacity optimization of next-generation UAV communication involving non-orthogonal multiple access," *Drones*, vol. 6, no. 9, p. 234, 2022.
- [380] R. Cai, Y. Jin, T. Rabczuk, X. Zhuang, and B. Djafari-Rouhani, "Propagation and attenuation of rayleigh and pseudo surface waves in viscoelastic metamaterials," *J. Appl. Phys.*, vol. 129, no. 12, p. 124903, 2021.
- [381] S. Cho, G. Chen, and J. P. Coon, "Cooperative beamforming and jamming for secure VLC system in the presence of active and passive eavesdroppers," *IEEE Trans. Green Commun. Netw.*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 1988–1998, 2021.
- [382] B. Ma, Z. Liu, Y. Zeng, and J. Ma, "Cooperative jamming for secrecy of wireless communications," in *Proc. Int. Conf. Netw. Netw. Appl. (NaNA) 2018*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 14–21.
- [383] S. Zhang, Y. Chang, L. Yan, Z. Sheng, F. Yang, G. Han, Y. Huang, and J. Xia, "Quantum communication networks and trust management: a survey," *Comput. Mater. Continua*, vol. 61, no. 3, pp. 1145–1174, 2019.
- [384] P. Sharma, A. Agrawal, V. Bhatia, S. Prakash, and A. K. Mishra, "Quantum key distribution secured optical networks: A survey," *IEEE open j. Commun. Soc.*, vol. 2, pp. 2049–2083, 2021.
- [385] A. Singh, K. Dev, H. Siljak, H. D. Joshi, and M. Magarini, "Quantum internet—applications, functionalities, enabling technologies, challenges, and research directions," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 2218–2247, 2021.

- [386] M. S. Sharbaf, "Quantum cryptography: a new generation of information technology security system," in *Proc. 6th Int. Conf. Inf. Technol.: New Generations 2009*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 1644–1648.
- [387] M. Yarter, G. Uehara, and A. Spanias, "Implementation and analysis of quantum homomorphic encryption," in *Proc. 13th Int. Conf. Int. Conf. Inf. Intell. Syst. Appl.* IEEE, 2022, pp. 1–5.
- [388] S. H. Islam, N. Mishra, S. Biswas, B. Keswani, and S. Zeadally, "An efficient and forward-secure lattice-based searchable encryption scheme for the big-data era," *Comput. Electr. Eng.*, vol. 96, p. 107533, 2021.
- [389] M. Allaix, S. Song, L. Holzbaur, T. Pllaha, M. Hayashi, and C. Hollanti, "On the capacity of quantum private information retrieval from MDS-coded and colluding servers," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 40, no. 3, pp. 885–898, 2022.
- [390] S. Pirandola, J. Eisert, C. Weedbrook, A. Furusawa, and S. L. Braunstein, "Advances in quantum teleportation," *Nat. Photon.*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 641–652, 2015.
- [391] S. Pirandola and S. Mancini, "Quantum teleportation with continuous variables: A survey," *Laser Phys.*, vol. 16, pp. 1418–1438, 2006.
- [392] Z.-Q. He and X. Yuan, "Cascaded channel estimation for large intelligent metasurface assisted massive mimo," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 210–214, 2019.
- [393] K. Alaudeen, T. Aruna, and G. Ananthi, "Low-cost, broadband electrically small microstrip antenna using i-shaped metamaterial structure for WLAN/WiMAX and 5G vehicular applications," *Physica B Condens.*, vol. 643, p. 414144, 2022.
- [394] P. Ghate and J. Bredow, "Quasi-optical beamforming approach using vertically oriented dielectric wedges," *Prog. Electromagn. Res. M*, vol. 105, pp. 67–78, 2021.
- [395] X. Yuan, Y.-J. A. Zhang, Y. Shi, W. Yan, and H. Liu, "Reconfigurable-intelligent-surface empowered wireless communications: Challenges and opportunities," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 136–143, 2021.
- [396] E. Basar, "Reconfigurable intelligent surface-based index modulation: A new beyond mimo paradigm for 6G," *IEEE Trans Commun.*, vol. 68, no. 5, pp. 3187–3196, 2020.
- [397] Y. Liu, X. Liu, X. Mu, T. Hou, J. Xu, M. Di Renzo, and N. Al-Dhahir, "Reconfigurable intelligent surfaces: Principles and opportunities," *IEEE Commun. Surv. Tutor.*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 1546–1577, 2021.
- [398] E. Farsimadan, F. Palmieri, L. Moradi, D. Conte, and B. Paternoster, "Vehicle-to-Everything (V2X) communication scenarios for Vehicular Ad-hoc Networking (VANET): An overview," in *Int. Conf. Comput. Sci. its Appl.* Springer, 2021, pp. 15–30.
- [399] J. Carmona, C. Guindel, F. Garcia, and A. de la Escalera, "eHMI: Review and guidelines for deployment on autonomous vehicles," *Sensors*, vol. 21, no. 9, p. 2912, 2021.
- [400] W. Qi, Q. Li, Q. Song, L. Guo, and A. Jamalipour, "Extensive edge intelligence for future vehicular networks in 6G," *IEEE Wirel. Commun.*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 128–135, 2021.
- [401] J. E. Domeyer, J. D. Lee, H. Toyoda, B. Mehler, and B. Reimer, "Driver-pedestrian perceptual models demonstrate coupling: Implications for vehicle automation," *IEEE Trans. Hum. Mach. Syst.*, 2022.
- [402] A. Boukerche, B. Kantarci, and C. Kaptan, "Towards ensuring the reliability and dependability of vehicular crowd-sensing data in GPS-less location tracking," *Pervasive Mob. Comput.*, p. 101248, 2020.