

REGULARITY OF THE SICIAK-ZAHARJUTA EXTREMAL FUNCTION ON COMPACT KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

NGOC CUONG NGUYEN

ABSTRACT. We prove that the regularity of the extremal function of a compact subset of a compact Kähler manifold is a local property, and that the continuity and Hölder continuity are equivalent to classical notions of the local L -regularity and the locally Hölder continuous property in pluripotential theory. As a consequence we give an effective characterization of the $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regularity of compact sets, the notion introduced by Dinh, Ma and Nguyen. Using this criterion all compact fat subanalytic sets in \mathbb{R}^n are shown to be regular in this sense.

1. INTRODUCTION

Background. Let \mathcal{L} denote the Lelong class of plurisubharmonic functions on \mathbb{C}^n with logarithmic growth at infinity, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L} = \left\{ v \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^n) : u(z) - \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + |z|^2) \leq c_u \right\}.$$

The classical extremal function for a bounded subset E in \mathbb{C}^n is defined by

$$(1.1) \quad L_E(z) = \sup\{v(z) : v \in \mathcal{L}, v \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}.$$

This function was introduced by Siciak [Si62, Si81] using the polynomials and characterized by Zaharjuta [Za76] via plurisubharmonic functions. Since its introduction the extremal function has found numerous applications in pluripotential theory, approximation theory in \mathbb{C}^n and other areas. It often turns out that geometrical properties of the compact subset E can be read from the regularity of its extremal function L_E . It is well-known that E is non-pluripolar if and only if its upper semicontinuous regularization L_E^* is bounded on E .

The continuity of the extremal function is a classical topic. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a compact subset and $a \in K$. We say that

- (1°) K is *L-regular at a* if L_K is continuous at a ;
- (2°) K is *L-regular* if L_K is continuous at every point of K .

Notice that in (2°) if L_K is continuous at every point of K , then L_K is continuous in \mathbb{C}^n by [Si81] and [Za76]. Its local version in [Si81] will be important for us. The compact set K is said to be

- (3°) *locally L-regular at a* if $L_{K \cap B(a,r)}$ is continuous at a for every closed ball $B(a,r)$ of radius $r > 0$;
- (4°) *locally L-regular* if it is locally L -regular at every point of K .

Key words and phrases. Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function, Kähler manifolds, L -regularity, Hölder continuous, subanalytic sets.

This notion is stronger than the L -regularity as shown in [Sa81] and in [Ce82]. It closely relates to the weighed extremal functions. Given a continuous function ϕ on K , the function $L_{K,\phi}$ is defined by

$$(1.2) \quad L_{K,\phi}(z) = \sup\{v(z) : v \in \mathcal{L}, v \leq \phi \text{ on } K\}.$$

Siciak proved in [Si81, Propositions 2.3, 2.16] that the continuity of $L_{K,\phi}$ is equivalent to its continuity on K ; and if K is locally L -regular, then $L_{K,\phi}$ is continuous. Conversely, Dieu showed in [Di03] that if $L_{K,\psi}$ is continuous for every continuous weight ψ , then K is locally L -regular. In Section 4.1 we collected many well-known examples of the locally L -regular sets from [P179, P184, P101] and [Si97]. In particular, a compact domain whose boundary is C^1 -smooth is locally L -regular. Furthermore, we refer to the reader to the textbook by Klimek [Kl91, Chapter 5] for the detailed discussion and results up to 1990, and the surveys of Levenberg [Le06] and Pleśniak [P103] for more recent results.

Next, the Hölder continuity of the extremal function has been studied extensively in relation to the Markov inequalities [BC14], [BC17], [BiE16, BiE19] and [P198]. Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a compact subset and $a \in K$. We say that

- (5^o) K has μ -Hölder continuity property (μ -HCP for short) at a if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ such that

$$L_K(z) \leq C\delta^\mu, \quad |z - a| \leq \delta \leq 1;$$

- (6^o) K has μ -HCP if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ such that

$$L_K(z) \leq C\delta^\mu, \quad \text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta \leq 1.$$

Notice in (6^o) that if L_K has μ -HCP on K , then L_K has μ -Hölder continuous on \mathbb{C}^n by [Si97, Proposition 3.5]. It was observed in [Si97, Remark 3.7] that in (5^o) and (6^o) the Hölder exponent satisfies $0 < \mu \leq 1$. Moreover, in these two items it is sufficient to show the inequality for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ with some constant $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$. The typical compact sets having μ -HCP are convex sets with non-void interior either in $\mathbb{R}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0$, or in \mathbb{C}^n . A compact domain whose boundary is Lipschitz continuous has also μ -HCP. These examples were contained in [Si85]. Next, Pawlucki and Pleśniak [PP86] showed that a very large class of uniformly polynomially cuspidal (UPC) sets have this property. The boundary of such sets may have cusp. More recently, Sadullaev and Zeriahi [SZ16] proved this property for a compact generic real submanifold of \mathbb{C}^n .

Results. Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n . Let $PSH(X, \omega)$ denote the space of ω -plurisubharmonic (ω -psh) functions, i.e.,

$$PSH(X, \omega) = \{v \in L^1(X) : \omega + dd^c v \geq 0\}.$$

Note that if $c_2 > c_1 > 0$, then $v \in PSH(X, c_1\omega)$ implies $v \in PSH(X, c_2\omega)$. If ϕ is quasi-psh, then $dd^c\phi + A\omega \geq 0$ for some $A > 0$. Our goal is to study the regularity (continuity and Hölder continuity) of the extremal functions associated to compact sets on the global setting. The Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function of a Borel subset E in X is defined by

$$(1.3) \quad V_E(z) = \sup\{v(z) : v \in PSH(X, \omega) : v \leq 0 \text{ on } E\}.$$

This function was introduced and studied early by Guedj and Zeriahi [GZ17, Chapter 9.4] in analogue with the one in \mathbb{C}^n . For a real-valued continuous function ϕ on

X , the weighted extremal function is given by

$$(1.4) \quad V_{E,\phi}(z) = \sup\{v(z) : v \in PSH(X, \omega), v|_E \leq \phi\}.$$

(It is enough to assume ϕ is defined on E , however we are mainly interested in a compact set E from which we can extend ϕ as a continuous function on the whole manifold.) Berman, Boucksom and Witt-Nystrom [BBW11] showed that for many applications the continuity of $V_{E,\phi}$ is important, e.g., the Bernstein-Markov measure with respect to a plurisubharmonic weight ϕ . Recently, Sadullaev [Sa16] proved the equivalence between the global and local continuity of the extremal function for $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\omega = \omega_{FS}$ the Fubini-Study metric. Our first result extends this equivalence on any compact Kähler manifold.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset and $a \in K$. Let $B(a, r) \subset X$ denote a closed coordinate ball centered at a with small radius $r > 0$.*

- (a) V_K is continuous at a if and only if $V_{K \cap B(a, r)}$ is continuous at a .
- (b) Let $\phi \in C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$. If V_K is continuous, then $V_{K, \phi}$ is continuous.
- (c) V_K is continuous if and only if K is locally L -regular.

Note that the sufficient condition in (a) follows easily from the monotonicity. Unlike in \mathbb{C}^n setting above the necessary condition holds on compact manifolds. This item extends the one in [Sa16] whose proof relied on the facts that \mathbb{P}^n admits a large coordinate chart \mathbb{C}^n and on the relation between the extremal functions in \mathbb{C}^n and \mathbb{P}^n . Here we improve his proof so that it works for a general compact Kähler manifold. The item (b) is a consequence of (a), where it is often the case that the modulus of continuity of the weighted extremal function is weaker than the unweighted one. The local L -regularity in (c) is understood in the sense of (4°), because we may assume that the compact set is contained in a holomorphic coordinate chart, which is biholomorphic to a ball in \mathbb{C}^n , thanks to the characterization in (a).

Next, we wish to study the Hölder regularity of the global extremal functions. When $K = X$, the weighted extremal function (1.4) becomes the envelope. It has been well-understood. For example, it is proved by Tosatti [To18] that if ϕ is smooth then its envelope has the optimal $C^{1,1}$ -regularity (see also Berman [B19]). More generally, if ϕ is $C^{0,\alpha}(X)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, then the same regularity of the envelope is proved in [LPT21] (see also [ChZ19], [GLZ19]). However, the problem becomes very different for a general compact subset, which is important for applications. This is our main focus. It follows from [DMN17] that the Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function associated to a C^2 -smooth compact domain is Lipschitz continuous. Later, this property is obtained for the extremal function associated to a smooth real generic submanifold in [Vu18].

We say that a compact subset K has a *uniform density in capacity* if there exist constants $q > 0$ and $\varkappa > 0$ such that for every $a \in K$,

$$(1.5) \quad \inf_{0 < r < 1} \frac{\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r))}{r^q} \geq \varkappa,$$

where $\text{cap}(\bullet)$ is the Bedford-Taylor capacity on X . This property holds for all compact sets (Lemma 3.3) that satisfy the local Hölder regularity version of (5°) and (6°) (Definition 3.2). Furthermore, it is a local property and it holds for most of natural sets as we will see in Sections 4.2, 4.3, 4.4. Our next result provides a general criterion to study the Hölder regularity.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset and $a \in K$. Let $B(a, r) \subset X$ denote a closed coordinate ball centered at a with small radius $r > 0$. Assume ϕ is a Hölder continuous function on X .*

- (a) V_K is μ -Hölder continuous at a if and only if $V_{K \cap B(a, r)}$ is μ -Hölder continuous at a .
- (b) Assume K has a uniform density in capacity of (1.5). If V_K is Hölder continuous, then $V_{K, \phi}$ is Hölder continuous.
- (c) V_K is Hölder continuous and K has a uniform density in capacity if and only if K has locally Hölder continuous property of order q .

The item (a) is a useful result. This combined with Demailly's regularization theorem allows us to prove the general sufficient condition in (b). Notice also that as in Theorem 1.1-(b) the Hölder exponent in (b) of the weighted extremal function is often smaller than the one of the unweighted extremal function. The item (c) is a new and effective criterion (the locally Hölder continuous property will be given in Definition 3.2). It can be applied to the previous works [DMN17], [SZ16] and [Vu18], where the Hölder continuity of the (weighted) extremal functions were proved directly. The new input here is a precise estimate on the Hölder norm/coefficient, which is the growth of sup-norm of $V_{E \cap B(a, r)}$ like r^{-q} for $q > 0$ and $r > 0$ small in (c).

To state the applications of the characterization of the Hölder continuity, we recall the notion is introduced by Dinh, Ma and Nguyen [DMN17].

Definition 1.3. A non-pluripolar compact subset $K \subset X$ is said to be $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regular, where $0 < \alpha, \alpha' \leq 1$, if for every α -Hölder continuous weight ϕ , its weighted extremal function $V_{K, \phi}$ is α' -Hölder continuous.

Obviously, if K is $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regular, then V_K is necessary Hölder continuous for the weight $\phi \equiv 0$. As shown in [DMN17] one can obtain the speed of convergence of Fekete's measures associated to such a compact subset. There are more applications of such regular sets recently found in [MV22]. Thanks to Theorem 1.2-(b) and (c) we are able to prove the $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regularity for many new examples in Section 4. Among them the following subclass is contained in the class of UPC sets. This was somehow conjectured by Zeriahi [DMN17, page 562].

Theorem 1.4. *A compact fat subanalytic subset in \mathbb{R}^n is $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regular.*

Here a compact subanalytic subset E of \mathbb{R}^n is fat if $E = \overline{\text{int } E}$, and in general, these sets admit a cusp singularity. These subsets are fundamental objects in real algebraic geometry [BM88]. By invoking [DMN17] we obtain the speed of convergence of sequence of Fekete's measures associated to a compact fat subanalytic subset in \mathbb{R}^n (Theorem 5.2).

Organization. In Section 2 we first provide basic properties of the extremal functions on a compact Kähler manifold. Then, we prove the characterizations on the continuity in Theorem 1.1. In Section 3, we start with the study of the local uniform density in capacity (2.4) and recall the fundamental approximation theorem of Demailly. The crucial result is Lemma 3.6. Next, we complete the proof of characterizations of Hölder continuity (Theorem 1.2). Section 4 is devoted to study many examples of local L -regularity and local μ -HCP compact sets in \mathbb{C}^n . The local μ -HCP of compact fat subanalytic sets in Theorem 1.4 is a consequence of

Theorem 1.2-(c) and Corollary 4.10. Section 5 contains some applications related to the speed of convergence for sequences of associated measures with Fekete points of fat subanalytic sets in \mathbb{R}^n . Lastly, Section 6 consists of an appendix which justifies the reduction to working on compact subsets of \mathbb{C}^n in \mathbb{P}^n for the Hölder continuous results.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank W. Pleśniak for providing me many copies of his papers including the one [PP86]. These have been very valuable resources for many questions investigated here. I would also like to thank S. Kołodziej for reading the manuscripts and giving many useful comments. I am benefited from a careful reading of Hyunsoo Ahn. The author is very grateful to the referee who has read the paper very carefully and given many valuable suggestions. Thanks to the suggestions the exposition of the paper is significantly improved. The author is partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant no. 2021R1F1A1048185.

2. CONTINUITY OF THE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS

2.1. Basic properties of the global extremal functions. In this section we recall basic results related to the extremal functions and weighted extremal functions on a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) of dimension n . These results are the analogues of the classical results in [Si81, Si82], [K191] on the Siciak-Zaharjuta extremal function on \mathbb{C}^n . The detailed proofs are contained in [GZ17]. The first one is [GZ17, Theorem 9.17].

Proposition 2.1. *Let $E \subset X$ be a Borel set. Then,*

- (a) E is pluripolar $\Leftrightarrow \sup_X V_E^* \equiv +\infty \Leftrightarrow V_E^* \equiv +\infty$.
- (b) If E is not pluripolar, then $V_E^* \in PSH(X, \omega)$. Moreover, $V_E^* \equiv 0$ in the interior of E .

Next, we have [GZ17, Proposition 9.19] for the basic properties.

Proposition 2.2.

- (a) If $E \subset F$, then $V_E \leq V_F$.
- (b) If E is an open subset, then $V_E = V_E^*$.
- (c) If $P \subset X$ is pluripolar, then $V_{E \cup P}^* = V_E^*$.
- (d) Let $\{E_j\}$ be an increasing sequence of sets in X and $E := \cup E_j$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} V_{E_j}^* = V_E^*$.
- (e) Let $\{K_j\}$ be a decreasing sequence of compact sets in X and $K := \cap K_j$, then $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} V_{K_j} = V_K$. Furthermore, $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} V_{K_j}^* = V_K^*$ a.e.

We will frequently need the following result for compact Kähler manifolds.

Lemma 2.3. *If $K \subset X$ is a compact subset, then V_K is lower semi-continuous.*

Proof. Let $v \in PSH(X, \omega)$ and $v \leq 0$ on K . By Demailly's regularization theorem [De94, Proposition 3.8] (see also [BK07]) there exists a sequence of smooth function $v_j \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap C^\infty(X)$ decreasing to v . Fix $\delta > 0$. By Hartogs' lemma we have for $j \geq j_0$,

$$v_j - \delta \leq 0 \quad \text{on } K.$$

Consequently, V_K is supremum of a family of continuous functions, and the result follows. \square

This gives a simple criterion to check the continuity of the extremal functions.

Corollary 2.4. *If K is a compact subset and $V_K^* \equiv 0$ on K , then V_K is continuous on X .*

Remark 2.5. The continuity of V_K is a property of the set itself, i.e., it is independent of reference Kähler metric ω . Indeed, assume ω' is another Kähler metric and K is regular with respect to ω . There exists $A > 0$ such that $\omega' \leq A\omega$. It follows that $V_{\omega';K}^* \leq AV_{\omega;K}^*$. Hence, the continuity of $V_{\omega';K}$ follows from Corollary 2.4. Similarly, the independency holds also for the Hölder continuity of V_K as in Lemma 3.6 below, where the Hölder exponent is independent of the reference metric too. For this reason if there is no confusion then we only write V_K for the extremal function with respect to a fixed Kähler metric.

The "zero-one" relative extremal function for a Borel set $E \subset X$ is given by

$$(2.1) \quad h_E(z) := \sup \{v(z) : v \in PSH(X, \omega), v \leq 1, v|_E \leq 0\}.$$

We know that $0 \leq h_E^* \leq 1$ and $h_E^* \in PSH(X, \omega)$. Furthermore, E is pluripolar if and only if $h_E^* \equiv 1$ (see [GZ05, page 620]).

For non-pluripolar compact set K , let us denote $M_K := \sup_X V_K^* < +\infty$. Then, it is easy to see that

$$(2.2) \quad V_K^* \leq M_K h_K^*.$$

We will need an estimate of M_K in term of capacity. Recall that for a Borel set $E \subset X$, the Bedford-Taylor capacity [Ko05] is defined by

$$(2.3) \quad \text{cap}(E) = \sup \left\{ \int_E (\omega + dd^c v)^n : v \in PSH(X, \omega), -1 \leq v \leq 0 \right\}.$$

(Here $\text{cap} = \text{cap}_\omega$, we omit the subscript ω if it is already fixed and there is no confusion arises). Normalizing the metric ω so that

$$\int_X \omega^n = 1.$$

Thus, for every compact subset $K \subset X$, $\text{cap}(K) \leq 1$. By [GZ17, Lemmas 12.2, 12.3] we know that there exists a uniform constant A such that for every compact subset K ,

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{[\text{cap}(K)]^{\frac{1}{n}}} \leq \sup_X V_K \leq \frac{A}{\text{cap}(K)}.$$

Next, we consider the weighted extremal function

$$V_{K,\phi}(z) = \sup \{v(z) : v \in PSH(X, \omega), v|_K \leq \phi\},$$

where ϕ is a real-valued continuous function on X . If $E \subset F$ be non-pluripolar compact subsets and $\phi \leq \psi$ are continuous functions, then we have the following monotonicity

$$(2.5) \quad V_{F,\phi} \leq V_{E,\phi} \leq V_{E,\psi}.$$

Another useful property is

Lemma 2.6. *Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset and ϕ a continuous function on X .*

$$(a) \quad V_K^* + \inf_K \phi \leq V_{K,\phi}^* \leq V_K^* + \sup_K \phi.$$

(b) Let $\theta = \omega + dd^c\phi$ and $V_{\theta;K} = \sup\{v \in PSH(X, \theta) : v|_K \leq 0\}$. Then,

$$V_{K,\phi} = V_{\theta;K} + \phi,$$

(c) $V_{K,\phi}$ is continuous if and only if $V_{K,\phi}^* \leq \phi$ on K .

Proof. The items (a) and (b) follow immediately from the definitions of V_K and $V_{K,\phi}$. To prove (c) let us write $V := V_{K,\phi}$. Since K is compact, Demailly's regularization theorem [De94, Proposition 3.8] (see also [BK07]) implies also that V is lower semi-continuous. The conclusion follows easily from the definition as $V = V^*$. \square

Remark 2.7. Notice that there are more general relative extremal functions studied by Di Nezza and Lu [DiL15] which is the global version of the weighted extremal function introduced by Bedford [Be87] and used for the subextension problem by Cegrell, Kołodziej and Zeriahi [CKZ]. The relative weighted extremal functions in \mathbb{C}^n was also studied in Alan's thesis [Al09].

In the special case $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ with $\omega = \omega_{FS}$ the Fubini-Study metric, there is a 1-1 correspondence between $PSH(\mathbb{P}^n, \omega)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ (see e.g. [GZ17]). Let us denote $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + |z|^2)$ the local potential of ω on $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, i.e.,

$$\omega = dd^c \rho \quad \text{on } \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Recall that for a compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$L_{E,\rho}(z) = \sup \{f(z) : f \in \mathcal{L}, f|_E \leq \rho\}.$$

Hence,

$$(2.6) \quad L_{E,\rho}(z) = V_E(z) + \rho(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Similar to Lemma 2.6-(a) we have

$$(2.7) \quad L_E^* + \inf_E \rho \leq L_{E,\rho}^* \leq L_E^* + \sup_E \rho.$$

2.2. Characterizations of the continuity.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. (a) Since $V_F^* \leq V_E^*$ for Borel sets $E \subset F$, the sufficient condition is obvious. Next, we prove the necessary condition. Assume $V_K^*(a) = 0$, we need to show that $V_{K \cap B}^*(a) = 0$, where $B := B(a, r)$ is a closed coordinate ball centered at a with small radius $r > 0$. Indeed, let us consider the positive relative extremal function defined in (2.1),

$$h_{K \cap B}(z) = \sup \{v(z) : v \in PSH(X, \omega), v|_{K \cap B} \leq 0, v \leq 1\}.$$

Then, $h_{K \cap B}^* \in PSH(X, \omega)$ with $0 \leq h_{K \cap B}^* \leq 1$.

Let $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ be a smooth function on X such that $\chi(a) = 0$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $X \setminus B(a, r)$. We have

$$(2.8) \quad \|\chi\|_{C^1(X)} \leq c_1/r, \quad \|\chi\|_{C^2(X)} \leq c_2/r^2,$$

where c_1, c_2 are uniform constants independent of a and r , the norms $\|\cdot\|_{C^1(X)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{C^2(X)}$ are the usual C^1 and C^2 norms on X . Hence, there exists $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/2$, which is a small multiple of r^2 , such that $-\varepsilon\chi$ belongs to $PSH(X, \omega/2)$. Given $u \in PSH(X, \omega)$ satisfying $u \leq 1$ and $u \leq 0$ on $K \cap B$, we define

$$\varphi(z) = \varepsilon u(z) - \varepsilon \chi(z).$$

Then, $\varphi(z) \leq 0$ on K and it is ω -psh. It follows from definition of V_K that $\varphi(z) \leq V_K(z)$. Taking supremum over all such u we get

$$(2.9) \quad \varepsilon h_{K \cap B}^*(z) - \varepsilon \chi(z) \leq V_K^*(z).$$

Since $V_K^*(a) = 0 = \chi(a)$, we have by (2.2) that $h_{K \cap B}^*(a) = 0$. In particular, $K \cap B$ is non-pluripolar. Denote $M := \sup_X V_{K \cap B}^*$. It follows from (2.4) that

$$1 \leq M \leq \frac{A}{\text{cap}(K \cap B)} < +\infty$$

for a uniform constant A . Using (2.2) again we get $V_{K \cap B}^* \leq M h_{K \cap B}^*$. So, $V_{K \cap B}^*(a) = 0$ and the proof of (a) follows.

(b) Assume V_K is continuous and we write $V := V_{K, \phi}$. Thanks to Lemma 2.6-(c) we need to show that $V^* \leq \phi$ on K . In fact, let $a \in K$ and $\varepsilon' > 0$. We can choose $r > 0$ so small that $\phi(x) \leq \phi(a) + \varepsilon'$ in $B(a, r)$. By monotonicity (2.5), we have

$$V \leq V_{K \cap B(a, r), \phi(a) + \varepsilon'} = \phi(a) + \varepsilon' + V_{K \cap B(a, r)} \quad \text{on } X.$$

Since V_K is continuous, $V_{K \cap B(a, r)}$ is continuous at a by (a). Hence $V^*(a) \leq \phi(a) + \varepsilon'$. Let $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$, we get $V^*(a) \leq \phi(a)$. The proof is completed.

(c) It follows from (a) that we may assume that K is contained in a closed holomorphic chart in X which is biholomorphic to the closed unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . Since the continuity of the extremal function is a property of the set and it is invariant under biholomorphic maps, without loss of generality, we assume $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ equipped with the Fubini-Study metric.

Assume that K is locally L -regular at $a \in K$. The argument in the proof of (b) applied for L_K shows that the local L -regularity implies that $L_{K, \rho}$ is continuous on \mathbb{C}^n which was first proved in [Si81, Proposition 2.16]. Hence, V_K is continuous by the identity (2.6) and Corollary 2.4.

Conversely, assume V_K is continuous. Let $a \in K$ and $B_r := B(a, r) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a closed ball of radius $r > 0$. It follows from (a) that $V_{K \cap B_r}$ is continuous at a . The identity (2.6) implies that $L_{K \cap B_r, \rho}$ is continuous at a for every $r > 0$. Hence, by definition of the weighted extremal function in (1.2),

$$L_{K \cap B_r, \rho} \leq \rho \quad \text{on } E.$$

Fix a ball $B := B(a, r_0)$. Using (2.7) we have, for every $0 < r < r_0$,

$$L_{K \cap B}^*(a) \leq L_{K \cap B_r}^*(a) \leq L_{K \cap B_r, \rho}^*(a) - \inf_{K \cap B_r} \rho \leq \rho(a) - \inf_{K \cap B_r} \rho.$$

Since ρ is continuous on \mathbb{C}^n , letting $r \rightarrow 0$ we conclude that $L_{K \cap B}^*(a) = 0$. This means that $L_{K \cap B}$ is continuous at a . Since a is arbitrary the proof of (c) is completed. \square

It would be also interesting to know the equivalence between global and local regularity of weighted extremal functions. Let $\phi \in C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$ and let $B(a, r) \subset X$ be a closed coordinate ball centered at a with small radius $r > 0$. It is easy to see that $V_{K \cap B(a, r), \phi}$ is continuous at a , then $V_{K, \phi}$ is continuous at a .

Question 2.8. Assume $V_{K, \phi}$ is continuous at a . Is $V_{K \cap B(a, r), \phi}$ is continuous at a ?

If $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ with the Fubini-Study metric ω , then for a bounded Borel set $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$L_{E, \phi + \rho}(z) = V_{E, \phi}(z) + \rho(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Sadullaev obtained the positive answer to Question 2.8 in [Sa16, Theorem 2.4] provided that $\psi := \phi + \rho$ is a C^2 -smooth strictly psh function in $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. He also asked in [Sa16, Problem 2.8] whether it is enough to assume ψ is strictly psh in a neighborhood of K . We have a positive answer for his question in the case $\psi = \phi + \rho$, where ϕ is either C^2 -smooth or continuous quasi-psh on \mathbb{P}^n . This is also a partial answer for the above question.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\phi \in C^0(X, \mathbb{R})$. Let $K \subset X$ be compact and $a \in K$. Assume ϕ is quasi-psh on X , i.e.,*

$$\theta = dd^c \phi + A\omega \geq \omega$$

on X in the weak sense for some constant $A > 0$. Then, $V_{K, \phi}$ is continuous at a if and only if $V_{K \cap B(a, r), \phi}$ is continuous at a .

Proof. Using the relation between the weighted and unweighted extremal functions in Lemma 2.6, for every Borel set E ,

$$V_{E, \phi} = V_{\theta; E} + \phi.$$

Hence, $V_{E, \phi}^* = V_{\theta; E}^* + \phi$. Notice that θ may not be smooth, but it is strictly positive whose potential is continuous. Consequently, the Bedford-Taylor capacity cap_ω and cap_θ (see (2.3) above) are equivalent to each other and the inequality (2.2) holds true. Furthermore, Lemma 2.3, Corollary 2.4 are valid for $PSH(X, \theta)$. Hence, the argument in the proof in Theorem 1.1-(a) still holds in $PSH(X, \theta)$. It shows that $V_{\theta; K}$ is continuous at a if and only if $V_{\theta; K \cap B(a, r)}$ is continuous at a . This finished the proof. \square

As a consequence we have the converse direction of Theorem 1.1-(b) for special weights.

Corollary 2.10. *Assume ϕ is continuous quasi-psh on X . If $V_{K, \phi}$ is continuous, then V_K is continuous.*

Proof. By assumption there exists a constant $A > 0$ such that $\theta = A\omega + dd^c \phi \geq \omega$. Then,

$$V_{K, \phi} = V_{\theta; K} + \phi.$$

It follows that the continuity of $V_{K, \phi}$ is equivalent to the one of $V_{\theta; K}$. By Lemma 2.9, $V_{\theta; K \cap B(a, r)}$ is continuous at $a \in K$ for every closed coordinate ball $B(a, r)$. Hence, using the proof of Theorem 1.1-(b), we get that $V_{\theta; K, -\phi}$ is continuous. Moreover, using one more time the relation

$$V_{\theta; K, -\phi} = V_{A\omega; K} + \phi,$$

we get the continuity of $V_{A\omega; K}$ and that of V_K . \square

3. HÖLDER CONTINUITY OF THE EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS

3.1. Local uniform density in capacity. Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset in a compact Kähler manifold (X, ω) of dimension n . First, we show that this property is equivalent to having the control of sup-norm of the extremal function on coordinate balls. Assume that K has a uniform density in capacity (1.5), i.e., there exist constants $q > 0, \kappa > 0$ such that for every $a \in K$,

$$\inf_{0 < r < 1} \frac{cap(K \cap B(a, r))}{r^q} \geq \kappa.$$

By the second inequality in (2.4) for the compact set $K \cap B(a, r)$

$$\sup_X V_{K \cap B(a, r)} \leq \frac{A}{\varkappa r^q}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Conversely, if we have the control $\sup_X V_{K \cap B(a, r)} \leq A/r^q$ for $0 < r < 1$, where $A, q > 0$ are uniform constants, then K has uniform density in capacity, i.e.,

$$\frac{\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r))}{r^{q/n}} \geq \frac{1}{A^n}, \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

Secondly, by definition the uniform density with capacity is a local property. We will see that it can be verified by using the Bedford-Taylor capacity [BT82] in a local coordinate. Without loss of generality we may assume that K is contained in a coordinate unit ball Ω . Then, by considering its image in that chart, we may assume that

$$K \subset B(0, 1/2) \subset \Omega := B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n.$$

By equivalence between the global Bedford-Taylor capacity and the local one [Ko05, Eq. (6.2)], K has a uniform density in capacity if and only if

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{\text{cap}'(K \cap B(a, r), \Omega)}{r^q} \geq \varkappa, \quad 0 < r < 1,$$

where for a Borel subset $E \subset \Omega$,

$$\text{cap}'(E, \Omega) = \sup \left\{ \int_E (dd^c u)^n : u \in PSH(\Omega), -1 \leq u \leq 0 \right\}.$$

Then, by applying the comparison between two capacities [AT84] (see also [Ko05, Theorem 2.7]), which is the local version of (2.4), the condition (3.1) is equivalent to the existence of uniform constant $A, q' > 0$ such that for every $a \in K$ and $0 < r < 1$,

$$\sup_{\Omega} L_{K \cap B(a, r)} \leq \frac{A}{r^{q'}},$$

where $L_{K \cap B(a, r)}$ is defined as in (1.1). More precisely, if $\sup_{\Omega} L_{K \cap B(a, r)} \leq A/r^{q'}$, then

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{\text{cap}'(K \cap B(a, r), \Omega)}{r^{nq'}} \geq \frac{1}{A^n}.$$

Remark 3.1. To verify the uniform density in capacity in (1.5) or (3.1) it is enough to have a uniform $0 < r_0 \leq 1$ satisfying

$$\inf_{0 < r < r_0} \frac{\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r))}{r^q} \geq \varkappa.$$

Then, by monotonicity of the capacity we get the whole range $0 < r < 1$.

As in the continuous case it will be shown in Theorem 1.2-(a) (see the appendix for the detail) that it is no loss of generality to consider compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ for the Hölder continuous case. In this setting, the uniform density in capacity can be seen from the Hölder continuity of L_K . Recall that the Lelong class in \mathbb{C}^n is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \{f \in PSH(\mathbb{C}^n) : f(z) - \rho(z) < c_f\},$$

where $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \log(1 + |z|^2)$. For a non-pluripolar compact subset E in \mathbb{C}^n ,

$$L_E(z) := \sup \{f(z) : f \in \mathcal{L}, f|_E \leq 0\}.$$

The modulus of continuity of L_E at $a \in E$ is given by

$$\varpi'_E(a, \delta) = \sup_{|z-a| \leq \delta} L_E(z)$$

for $0 < \delta \leq 1$. Then, L_E is continuous at a if and only if $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varpi'_E(a, \delta) = 0$. Put

$$\varpi'_E(\delta) = \sup\{\varpi'_E(a, \delta) : a \in E\}$$

which is the modulus of continuity of L_E over E . It is a well-known fact due to Błocki [Si97, Proposition 3.5] that the modulus of continuity of L_E on E controls the modulus of continuity of L_E on \mathbb{C}^n . Namely,

$$(3.3) \quad |L_E(z) - L_E(w)| \leq \varpi'_E(|z - w|), \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n, |z - w| \leq 1.$$

Inspired from the definition of local L -regularity in [Si81] we introduce

Definition 3.2. Let $q \geq 0$ be an integer and $0 < \mu \leq 1$. Let $B(a, r)$ be a closed ball with center at a , of radius $r > 0$. We say that a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ has

- (a) locally μ -Hölder continuity property (local μ -HCP for short) of order q at $a \in K$ if there exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < r_0 \leq 1$ such that

$$\varpi'_{K \cap B(a, r)}(a, \delta) \leq \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1, 0 < r < r_0;$$

- (b) local μ -HCP of order q if it has local μ -HCP of order q at every point $a \in K$ for the constants C, r_0 being independent of a .

Here it is important to know both the Hölder exponent and the Hölder coefficient. A weaker property is the HCP, introduced in (5°) and (6°), where it only requires the Hölder continuity of L_K . The definition implies an important property

Lemma 3.3. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset. If K has local μ -HCP of order q , then it has a uniform density in capacity in the sense of (3.1).*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $K \subset \Omega := B(0, 1)$. By the assumption there exist uniform constants $q > 0$ and $C, r_0 > 0$ such that for every $a \in K$,

$$\varpi'_{K \cap B(a, r)}(a, \delta) \leq \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q}, \quad 0 < \delta \leq 1, 0 < r < r_0.$$

Let us fix $\delta = 1$. It follows that, for $0 < r < r_0$,

$$\sup_{\Omega} L_{K \cap B(a, r)}(z) \leq C/r^q.$$

It follows from the inequality (3.2) that

$$\frac{\text{cap}'(K \cap B(a, r), \Omega)}{r^{nq}} \geq \frac{1}{C}.$$

This finished the proof. □

Noting that these local μ -HCP properties will be used in Section 4.

3.2. Demailly's approximation theorem. This is the main technical tool for proving the characterizations of the Hölder continuity. In the proof of the continuous case (Lemma 2.3) an elementary approximation sequence in [BK07] was enough. However, in the Hölder continuous case we need to know precisely the negative part of complex Hessian matrices that is lost in the regularization sequence. To this end we will need the approximation sequence due to Demailly [De94].

Let u be a bounded ω -psh function on X . Considering $\Psi_\delta u$ the regularization of the ω -psh function u defined by

$$(3.4) \quad \Psi_\delta u(z) = \frac{1}{\delta^{2n}} \int_{\zeta \in T_z X} u(\exp_z(\zeta)) \chi\left(\frac{|\zeta|_\omega^2}{\delta^2}\right) d\lambda(\zeta), \quad \delta > 0;$$

where $\zeta \mapsto \exp_z(\zeta) : T_z X \rightarrow X$ is the Riemannian exponential map, $d\lambda$ denote the Lebesgue measure on the Hermitian space $(T_z X, \omega(z))$ and the smoothing kernel $\chi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is given by

$$\chi(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta}{(1-t)^2} \exp\left(\frac{1}{t-1}\right) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } t > 1 \end{cases}$$

with a suitable constant η , such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \chi(\|z\|^2) dV_{2n}(z) = 1$$

(dV_{2n} being the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n). By the explanation in [DDGHKZ, page 624] the proof in [KN19, Lemma 4.1] holds for the exponential function \exp_z in the place of its holomorphic part $\exp h_z$. The proof itself was originated from [De94, Proposition 3.8] and [BD12, Lemma 1.12]. We restate the result here.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $u \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Define the Kiselman-Legendre transform with level $b > 0$ by*

$$(3.5) \quad U_{\delta, b} = \inf_{t \in [0, \delta]} (\Psi_t u + c_1 t^2 + c_1 t - b \log \frac{t}{\delta}),$$

Then, there exist positive constants c_0, c_1 depending only on X, ω such that

- (i) $\Psi_t u + c_1 t^2$ is increasing in t ,
- (ii) $\omega + dd^c U_{\delta, b} \geq -(c_0 b + 2c_1 \delta) \omega$.

Notice that this function is different by a constant $c_1 \delta^2 + c_1 \delta$ compared with the one considered there, which was negative. This term is of order $O(\delta)$ that will not affect to the proof of the Hölder continuity. The properties (i) and (ii) tell us that the convolution-like $\Psi_\delta u$ produces a sequence of quasi-psh functions, or more precisely, they are $[1 + O(\delta)]\omega$ -psh. Furthermore, they are comparable in the uniform norm with the usual convolution. By [De94, Remark 4.6]

$$(3.6) \quad \Psi_\delta u = u * \chi_\delta + O(\delta^2)$$

for $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ for a small $\delta_0 > 0$ in the normal coordinate system center at z , where $\{\chi_\delta\}_{\delta > 0}$ is the standard radial smooth kernel family. In this coordinate system (U, z) with $z : U \rightarrow \Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ a biholomorphic, we also consider the average value over an Euclidean δ -ball of u

$$(3.7) \quad \check{u}_\delta(z) = \frac{1}{v_{2n} \delta^n} \int_{B_\delta(z)} u(x) dV_{2n}(x),$$

where $B_\delta(z) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}^n : |z - x| < \delta\}$. Then, it follows from [KN23, Eq. (5.11), (5.12)] that there exists a uniform constant $C > 0$ such that in $\Omega_\delta = \{z \in \Omega : \text{dist}(z, \partial\Omega) > \delta\}$

$$(3.8) \quad u * \chi_\delta - u \leq C(\check{u}_\delta - u),$$

$$(3.9) \quad \check{u}_{\delta/2} - u \leq C(u * \chi_\delta - u).$$

Combining estimates (3.6), (3.8) and (3.9) and the line of argument given in [GKZ08, Lemma 4.2] (see also [Z21, Theorem 3.2]) we arrive at

Corollary 3.5. *Let $u \in PSH(X, \omega) \cap L^\infty(X)$. Assume there exist uniform constants $C, \delta_0 > 0$ and $0 < \mu \leq 1$ such that*

$$\Psi_\delta u - u \leq C\delta^\mu \quad \text{on } X, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0.$$

Then u is μ -Hölder continuous on X .

3.3. Characterizations of Hölder continuity. First, we will show that the modulus of continuity of V_K on X is equivalent to the one on K only. This is a generalization of a well-known result of Błocki [Si97, Proposition 3.5]. For simplicity we only prove it for the Hölder continuity case.

Let $K \subset X$ be a compact subset and $a \in K$. For $0 < \delta \leq 1$ the modulus of continuity of V_K at a is given by

$$\varpi_K(a, \delta) := \sup_{|z-a| \leq \delta} V_K(z).$$

Then the modulus of continuity of V_K on K is given by

$$(3.10) \quad \varpi_K(\delta) = \sup\{\varpi_K(a, \delta) : a \in K\}.$$

Lemma 3.6. *Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset and $0 < \mu \leq 1$. Then, V_K is μ -Hölder continuous on X if and only if it is μ -Hölder continuous on K , i.e., there exists $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$ such that for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$,*

$$V_K(z) \leq C\delta^\mu, \quad \text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta.$$

Proof. The necessary condition is obvious. To prove the sufficient condition, we use the regularization theorem of Demailly (Lemma 3.4). Notice from (3.10) that the Hölder continuity of V_K on K is equivalent to

$$\varpi_K(\delta) \leq C\delta^\mu$$

for every $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$, where C is a uniform constant and $\delta_0 > 0$ is a fixed small constant. In particular, $V_K^* \equiv 0$ on K . Therefore, $V := V_K$ is continuous (Corollary 2.4). Consider the regularization $\Psi_t V$ of ω -psh function V as in (3.4) and

$$V_{\delta,b}(z) = \inf_{[0,\delta]} \left(\Psi_t V(z) + c_1 t^2 + c_1 t - b \log \frac{t}{\delta} \right).$$

From Lemma 3.4 we know that $\Psi_t V + c_1 t^2$ is increasing in t and

$$\omega + dd^c V_{\delta,b} \geq -(c_0 b + 2c_1 \delta)\omega.$$

Here c_0, c_1 are uniform constants depending only on X and ω . Consider $b = A\delta^\mu$ for $A > 0$ so that $c_0 b + 2c_1 \delta = \delta^\mu$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad V_\delta = \frac{V_{\delta,b}}{1 + \delta^\mu} \in PSH(X, \omega).$$

Moreover, for $a \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 + \delta^\mu)V_\delta(a) &\leq \Psi_\delta V(z) + c_1\delta^2 + c_1\delta \\
&\leq \sup_{|z-a|\leq\delta} V(z) + c_1\delta + c_1\delta^2 \\
(3.12) \qquad &\leq \varpi_K(\delta) + c_1\delta + c_1\delta^2 \\
&\leq c_2\delta^\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that V is μ -Hölder continuous on K and c_2 is a uniform constant. Moreover, $V \geq 0$ on X , so we have $V_\delta(z) \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$V_\delta(a) \leq c_2\delta^\mu \quad \text{for } a \in K.$$

This combined with the ω -psh property in (3.11) and the definition of V gives

$$(3.13) \qquad V_\delta(z) \leq V(z) + c_2\delta^\mu \quad \text{for } z \in X.$$

At this point we can conclude the Hölder continuity of V on X as in the argument in [DDGHKZ]. Since our setting is quite different, we give all details for the reader's convenience.

Let us fix a point $z \in X$, then minimum in the definition of $V_{\delta,b}(z)$ is realized for some $t_0 = t_0(z)$. By (3.11) and (3.13) we have

$$(1 + \delta^\mu)(\Psi_{t_0}V + c_1t_0^2 + c_1t_0 - b \log \frac{t_0}{\delta} - V) \leq c_2\delta^\mu.$$

Since $\Psi_tV + c_1t^2 + c_1t - V \geq 0$, we have

$$b(1 + \delta^\mu) \log \frac{t_0}{\delta} \geq -c_2\delta^\mu.$$

Combining this with $b = A\delta^\mu$, one gets that

$$t_0(z) \geq \delta\kappa \quad \text{for } \kappa = \exp\left(-\frac{2Ac_2}{(1 + \delta_0^\mu)}\right),$$

where δ_0 is already fixed at the beginning, and therefore κ is a uniform constant. Since $t \mapsto \Psi_tV + c_1t^2$ is increasing and $t_0 := t_0(z) \geq \delta\kappa$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\kappa\delta}V(z) + c_1(\delta\kappa)^2 + c_1(\delta\kappa) - V(z) &\leq \Psi_{t_0}V(z) + c_1t_0^2 + c_1t_0 - V(z) \\
&= V_{\delta,b}(z) - V(z) \\
&= \frac{\delta^\mu}{1 - \delta^\mu}V_\delta + (V_\delta - V),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from (3.11). Combining this and (3.13) we get that $\Psi_{\kappa\delta}V(z) - V(z) \leq C\delta^\mu$. Rescaling $\delta := \kappa\delta$ and increasing the uniform constant C implies

$$(3.14) \qquad \Psi_\delta V(z) - V(z) \leq C\delta^\mu, \quad 0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$$

(shrinking δ_0 if necessary). By Corollary 3.5 the Hölder continuity of V follows. \square

Remark 3.7. For the projective space \mathbb{P}^n equipped with the Fubini-Study metric, Lemma 3.6 answered a question of Sadullaev [Sa16, Problem 2.13].

We have now the weighted version.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact set and let ϕ be Hölder continuous. Then, $V_{K,\phi}$ is Hölder continuous on X if and only if it is Hölder continuous on K , i.e., for every $z \in X$ with $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$,*

$$V_{K,\phi}(z) \leq \phi(z) + C\delta^\mu,$$

where C and $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$ are uniform constants.

Proof. Let us write $V := V_{K,\phi}$. The necessary condition easily follows from the Hölder continuity of V and the characterization Lemma 2.6-(c). The proof of the sufficient condition is very similar to the one in Lemma 3.6, namely, we consider the function regularization $\Psi_t V$ of V and keep the notations as in the proof of that lemma. The equation (3.12) now becomes, for $a \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \delta^\mu)V_\delta(a) &\leq \Psi_\delta V(a) + c_1\delta^2 + c_1\delta \\ &\leq \sup_{|z-a| \leq \delta} V(z) + 2c_1\delta \\ &\leq \phi(a) + C\delta^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact $V(z) \leq \phi(z) + C\delta^\mu$ for $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta$ and ϕ is μ -Hölder continuous (we may decrease $\mu > 0$ if necessary). Therefore, $V_\delta(a) \leq \phi(a) + (\|V_\delta\|_{L^\infty} + C)\delta^\mu$. Now by the definition of V ,

$$V_\delta(z) \leq V(z) + c_2\delta^\mu,$$

where c_2 depends additionally on the sup-norm of V . This is precisely (3.13). Therefore, V is Hölder continuous on X by the proof of the lemma. \square

Let us prove the first characterization in Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2-(a). Assume $V_K(z) \leq C\delta^\mu$ for every $z \in X$ that $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$, where $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$ is fixed. This implies $V_K^*(z) \leq C\delta^\mu$ for every z such that $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta/2$. In particular, V_K is continuous.

Next, as in the proof of Theorem 1.1-(a) we write $B = B(a, r)$ and let $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ be a cut-off function such that $\chi(a) = 0$ and $\chi \equiv 1$ on $X \setminus B$. Notice its C^1 and C^2 -norms are controlled by c_1/r and c_2/r^2 , respectively. Furthermore, for $\varepsilon = c_0r^2 \leq 1/2$ with a small $c_0 > 0$ depending only on ω we have $-\varepsilon\chi \in PSH(X, \omega/2)$. Notice that $\chi(z) \leq c_1\delta/r$ for $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta$. Hence, using (2.9) we get

$$\varepsilon h_{K \cap B}^*(z) \leq \varepsilon\chi(z) + C\delta^\mu \leq \left(\frac{c_1\varepsilon}{r} + C\right)\delta^\mu,$$

where C, c_1 do not depend on r . Combining this with (2.2) we get for $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta/2$,

$$(3.15) \quad V_{K \cap B}^*(z) \leq M \left(\frac{c_1}{r} + \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \right) \delta^\mu,$$

where $M = \sup_X V_{K \cap B}^*$. The proof is completed. \square

The above proof indeed gives the following precise estimate

Corollary 3.9. *Let $K, a, B(a, r)$ be as in Theorem 1.2 and V_K is continuous.*

(a) *Assume V_K is μ -Hölder continuous at a . Then, for $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$,*

$$(3.16) \quad V_{K \cap B(a, r)}(z) \leq \frac{A}{\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r))} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^2}, \quad \text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta,$$

where A is a uniform constant that is independent of a and r .

(b) Conversely, if there exist uniform constants $0 < \mu, r_0, \delta_0 \leq 1$ and $A > 0$ such that (3.16) holds for every $a \in K$, $0 < r \leq r_0$ and $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$, then V_K is μ -Hölder continuous.

Proof. The inequality in (a) is a direct consequence of (3.15) as $\varepsilon = c_0 r^2$ and the inequality $\sup_X V_{K \cap B}^* \leq A/\text{cap}(K \cap B)$ in (2.4). The statement in (b) follows from a covering argument as follows. Let $z \in X$ be a point that $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta$. Let $a \in K$ be such that $\text{dist}(z, K) = \text{dist}(z, a)$. Given $0 < r \leq r_0$, we can cover K by finitely many $B(a_i, r/2)$, $i \in I$. Then $a \in B(a_i, r/2)$ for some a_i . Hence, $B(a_i, r/2) \subset B(a, r)$. Put $c = \min_{i \in I} \text{cap}(K \cap B(a_i, r/2)) > 0$. We have

$$\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r)) \geq \text{cap}(K \cap B(a_i, r/2)) \geq c.$$

It follows from monotonicity and the assumption (3.16) that

$$V_K(z) \leq V_{K \cap B(a, r)}(z) \leq \frac{A \delta^\mu}{c r^2}.$$

The proof is completed as r is fixed. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.2-(b). Assume that V_K and ϕ are Hölder continuous. We wish to show that $V := V_{K, \phi}$ is Hölder continuous. Without loss of generality, we may assume that ϕ is Hölder continuous with the same exponent $0 < \mu \leq 1$. Otherwise, we just take the minimum of two exponents. It follows from Theorem 1.1-(b) and Lemma 2.6-(c) that $V \leq \phi$ on K and it is continuous. To get the Hölder continuity of V , by Proposition 3.8, it is sufficient to prove

$$V(z) \leq \phi(z) + C \delta^\mu, \quad \text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0,$$

for uniform constants C and $0 < \delta_0 \leq 1$. Indeed, fix such a point w and let $a \in K$ be such that $0 < \text{dist}(w, a) = \text{dist}(w, K) \leq \delta$. Let $r > 0$ be small and its value will be determined later. By Hölder continuity, $|\phi(z) - \phi(a)| \leq c_3 r^\mu$ on $B(a, r)$. Using this and the monotonicity (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (3.17) \quad V &\leq V_{K \cap B(a, r), \phi(a) + c_3 r^\mu} \\ &= \phi(a) + c_3 r^\mu + V_{K \cap B(a, r)} \\ &\leq \phi(w) + c_3 \delta^\mu + c_3 r^\mu + V_{K \cap B(a, r)}. \end{aligned}$$

By Corollary 3.9-(a) and uniform density in capacity of K we derive

$$(3.18) \quad V_{K \cap B(a, r)}(w) \leq \frac{A}{\text{cap}(K \cap B(a, r))} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^2} \leq \frac{A \delta^\mu}{\varkappa r^{q+2}}$$

for uniform constants A, \varkappa, q which are independent of r and a . Now, we can choose $r = \delta^{\frac{\mu}{\mu+2+q}}$ to conclude $V(w) \leq \phi(w) + C \delta^{\mu'}$, where $\mu' = \frac{\mu^2}{\mu+2+q}$. Hence, V is Hölder continuous on X . \square

Remark 3.10. The above proof showed that for a compact K satisfying the uniform density in capacity in (1.5) if V_K is μ -Hölder continuous, then $V_{K, \phi}$ is μ' -Hölder continuous for $\mu' = \mu^2/(\mu + 2 + q)$.

Remark 3.11. Similar to Corollary 2.10 the converse direction of Theorem 1.2-(b) holds for the Hölder continuous weight ϕ which is a quasi-plurisubharmonic function. In particular, it holds if ϕ is C^2 -smooth. Since the proof is very similar to the one of that corollary, we omit it.

Proof of Theorem 1.2-(c). For the sufficient condition, let us assume K has local μ -HCP of order q . This means that for every $a \in K$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$,

$$(3.19) \quad L_{K \cap B(a,r)}(z) \leq \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q}, \quad \text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta.$$

Then, Lemma 3.3 implies that K has a uniform density in capacity. Furthermore, by the monotonicity, Hölder continuity of ρ and (3.19) we infer

$$L_{K,\rho}(z) \leq L_{K \cap B(a,r),\rho(a)+c_3r} = \rho(a) + c_3r + L_{K \cap B(a,r)} \leq \rho(a) + c_3r + \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q},$$

where c_3 is the Lipschitz norm of ρ on the ball $B(0, R)$ containing K . Hence, for $\text{dist}(z, K) \leq \delta$ and $0 < r < r_0$,

$$L_{K,\rho}(z) \leq \rho(z) + 2c_3r + \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q}.$$

Now, we can choose $r = \delta^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \mu/(q+1)$ to conclude that

$$L_{K,\rho}(z) - \rho(z) \leq C\delta^{\mu'}, \quad \mu' = \frac{\mu^2}{1+q}.$$

Hence, the Hölder continuity of V_K follows from the identity (2.6):

$$(3.20) \quad L_{K,\rho}(z) = V_K(z) + \rho(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}^n.$$

Conversely, assume V_K is μ -Hölder continuous on \mathbb{P}^n and K has a uniform density in capacity. Let $a \in K$ and fix a ball $B := B(a, r_0)$ as in the proof of Theorem 1.1-(c). By the comparisons between the extremal functions (2.7) and (3.20), we have for $0 < r < r_0$,

$$L_{K \cap B}(z) \leq L_{K \cap B_r, \rho}(z) - \inf_{K \cap B_r} \rho = V_{K \cap B_r}(z) + \rho(z) - \inf_{K \cap B_r} \rho.$$

The right hand side can be estimated by Corollary 3.9-(a) and the uniform density in capacity as follows. We have for $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$V_{K \cap B_r}(z) \leq \frac{A}{\text{cap}(K \cap B_r)} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^2} \leq \frac{A}{\varkappa} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^{2+q}}.$$

Observe also that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(z) - \inf_{K \cap B_r} \rho &= \rho(z) - \rho(x) \\ &\leq c_3(|x - a| + |z - a|) \\ &\leq c_3(r + \delta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho(x) = \min_{B(a,r)} \rho$. Altogether we obtain for every $0 < r \leq r_0$,

$$L_{K \cap B} \leq \frac{A}{\varkappa} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^{2+q}} + c_3(r + \delta).$$

Choosing $r = r_0 \delta^{\frac{\mu}{3+q}}$ we conclude that $L_{K \cap B}(z) \leq C\delta^{\frac{\mu}{3+q}}/r_0^2$. Notice that the constant $C = C(A, c_3)$ is independent of the point a and r . The proof of the necessary condition in (b) follows. \square

4. REGULARITY OF COMPACT SETS

Thanks to the local characterizations in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 for studying the regularity of the extremal functions we may assume that the compact set is contained in a holomorphic coordinate unit ball. Without loss of generality, we may restrict ourself to compact subsets in $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n =: X$. We provide a large number of examples of compact sets possessing these properties.

4.1. Locally L -regular sets. In view of Theorem 1.1-(c) and the applications in [BBW11] and [MV22] we make an effort to collect in this section many well-known examples of locally L -regular sets. This is a classical topic but the results are scattered in many different places.

Example 4.1 (accessibility criterion). This criterion is due to Plešínak [Pl79]. It provides the following typical example. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open bounded subset with C^1 -boundary. Then, $\bar{\Omega}$ is locally L -regular (see also [Kl91, Corollary 5.3.13] and [Di03]). This criterion has been generalized in [Pl84] for subanalytic subset in \mathbb{C}^n and later in [Pl01] for more general setting.

Example 4.2 (Siciak). Let us denote \mathbb{K} for either $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Let $B(x, r)$ be a closed ball in \mathbb{K}^n with center at x and radius $r > 0$. Let $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a compact subset.

- (a) *Cusps*: let $h : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$ and $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a continuous function such that $r(0) = 0$ and $r(t) > 0$, $0 < t \leq 1$. Let $a = h(0)$. A cusp with vertex a is a compact subset of \mathbb{K}^n given by

$$C(h, r) := \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} B(h(t), r(t)).$$

We say that E has a cusp $C(h, r)$ at $a = h(0) \in E$ if $C(h, r) \subset E$. It is proved [Si97, Proposition 7.6] that if E has a cusp $C(h, r)$ at $a \in E$ such that $r(t) = Mt^m$ and $|h(t) - h(0)| \leq At^q$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, where M, m, A and q are positive uniform constants, then E is locally L -regular at a .

- (b) *Corkscrew*: E is said to have a corkscrew of order $s > 0$ at $a \in E$ if there exists $r_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that for every $0 < r < r_0$ we can find $a' \in \mathbb{K}$ for which $B(a', r^s) \subset B(a, r) \cap E$. Let $C(h, r)$ be a cusp at $a = h(0)$. By the triangle inequality

$$|x - h(0)| \leq |x - h(t)| + |h(t) - h(0)|,$$

it follows that if $r(t) = Mt^m$ and $|h(t) - h(0)| \leq At^q$, then this cusp has a corkscrew of order $s = m / \min\{m, q\} \geq 1$. The conclusion is that if E has a corkscrew of order $s > 0$ at $a \in E$, then it is locally L -regular at a [Si97, Proposition 7.10].

Remark 4.3. There is still missing a good characterization of locally L -regular compact sets in terms of capacity. The criterion in Theorem 1.1-(c) may provide an approach from global pluripotential theory for this problem. It is worth to recall from Cegrell [Ce82] that if $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact subset, then the L -regularity and the local L -regularity coincide.

4.2. Local μ -HCP sets. Here we require on the local HCP with a precise estimate of the Hölder coefficient. Let $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a compact subset ($\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) and $a \in E$. Following Siciak [Si85] we consider the following

Definition 4.4 (Condition **(P)**). For each point $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in E$ there exist compact connected subsets $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ and an affine non-singular mapping $h : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

- (i) $a \in h(\ell_1 \times \dots \times \ell_n) \subset E$;
- (ii) $\|\ell_j\| \geq d > 0$, $j = 1, \dots, n$;
- (iii) $\|Dh\| \geq m > 0$,

where $\|\ell_j\|$ is the diameter of ℓ_j , the constants d and m do not depend on a .

Note that Dh denotes the Fréchet derivative of h which is a linear map of \mathbb{C}^n to \mathbb{C}^n and does not depend on the point a . Hence, its norm $\|Dh\|$ does not depend on the point. Roughly speaking the condition **(P)** for E means at each point $a \in E$ there is an *affine cube* of uniform size with vertex at a and it is contained in E .

A basic result [Si85, Proposition 5.1] says that for compact subset $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying the condition (P),

$$(4.1) \quad L_E(z) \leq \frac{4\sqrt{1 + \|E\|}}{md} \delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

holds for every $\text{dist}(z, E) \leq \delta$ and $0 < \delta \leq 1$, where $\|E\|$ is the diameter of E .

This precise estimate of both the Hölder coefficient and the Hölder exponent allows us to study the local μ -HCP of many classes of compact sets in \mathbb{K}^n . Let us describe them again emphasizing on the locality.

Example 4.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a bounded domain. Let $E \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a compact set.

- (a) *Lipschitz domain:* Assume Ω has Lipschitz boundary and $a' \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then for every $r > 0$, the compact set $\overline{\Omega} \cap B(a', r)$ satisfies the condition **(P)** at each point.
- (b) *Geometrical condition:* Assume there exists $r > 0$ such that for every $a \in E$, there is a point $a' \in E$ for which the convex hull of the set $\{a\} \cup B(a', r)$ is contained in E . Then, E has local $\frac{1}{2}$ -HCP of order $q = n$.
- (c) *Uniform interior sphere condition:* there exists $r > 0$ such that for each $a \in \partial\Omega$ there is $B(a', r) \subset \Omega$ and $B(a', r) \cap (\mathbb{K}^n \setminus \Omega) = \{a\}$. Then, $\overline{\Omega}$ has local μ -HCP with the exponent $\mu = 1$ and of order $q = 1$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$; or with exponent $\mu = 1/2$ and of order $q = 2$ if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$.

Let us give the explanation of the above examples.

(a) For the bounded domains with Lipschitz boundary it satisfies the so called Property **(H₂)** (see [BG71, page 166-167]) which is a local property at a point. Namely there exists a non-empty parallelepiped π_0 such that each point $a \in \partial\Omega$ is the vertex of a parallelepiped π_a congruent with π_0 (with respect to orthogonal transformation and translation) satisfying $\pi_a \subset \overline{\Omega}$. This property clearly implies the condition (P) at $a \in \partial\Omega$ for $\overline{\Omega} \cap B(a', r)$. Together with the estimate (4.1) we conclude the local $\frac{1}{2}$ -HCP of order $q = 1$ of such subsets.

(b) Next, by [Si85, Propostion 5.3] the geometric condition implies the condition **(P)** with $\ell_i = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{C}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and

$$(4.2) \quad m = \left(\frac{r}{n^3}\right)^n \frac{1}{\|E\|^{n-1}}.$$

(c) Finally, the uniform interior sphere condition implies the geometric condition. However, we will give a proof of the improvement of the exponent and order in Lemma 4.7 below.

One important case of the geometrical condition is that it is satisfied for any convex compact set with non-void interior in \mathbb{K}^n , where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$. Hence, by (4.1) and (4.2) (see also [Si85, Remark 5.4]) we have

Corollary 4.6 (Siciak). *A convex compact subset in \mathbb{K}^n satisfying the geometrical condition has local μ -HCP with the (optimal) exponent $\mu = 1/2$ and of order $q = n$.*

Let us give the proof of the statement in Example 4.5-(c). Notice that the uniform interior sphere condition is satisfied for all smooth bounded domains with $C^{1,1}$ -boundary.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{K}^n$ be a bounded domain satisfying the uniform interior sphere condition.*

- (a) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\bar{\Omega}$ has local μ -HCP with the optimal Hölder exponent $\mu = 1$ and of order 1.*
- (b) *If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^n \equiv \mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\bar{\Omega}$ has local μ -HCP with the optimal exponent $\mu = 1/2$ and of order $q = 2$.*

Proof. (a) Let $r > 0$ be fixed. The uniform interior sphere condition means that there exists a closed ball $B(a', r_0)$ such that

$$B(a', r_0) \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \Omega) = \{a\},$$

where $r_0 > 0$ is a uniform constant. In particular, $|a' - a| = r_0$. By decreasing r_0 we may assume $r/2 \leq r_0 \leq r$ and by dilating this ball we may assume that $B(a', r_0) \subset \Omega \cap B(a, r)$. Hence,

$$L_{\bar{\Omega} \cap B(a, r)}(z) \leq L_{B(a', r_0)}(z) = \max\{\log(|z - a'|/r_0), 0\},$$

where the second identity used the explicit formula of the extremal function (see, e.g. [Kl91, Example 5.1.1]). For $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{dist}(w, a) \leq \delta$ with small δ , we have

$$|w - a'| \leq |w - a| + |a - a'| \leq \delta + r_0.$$

It follows that

$$L_{\bar{\Omega} \cap B(a, r)}(w) \leq \log(1 + \delta/r_0) \leq \delta/r_0 \leq 2\delta/r.$$

This means that $L_{\bar{\Omega} \cap B(a, r)}$ is Lipschitz continuous at a . Furthermore, the Lipschitz norm at that point is independent of the point. Thus, $\bar{\Omega}$ has local μ -HCP with the exponent $\mu = 1$ and of order $q = 1$.

(b) Assume now $\bar{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. The proof goes along the same lines as above. Noticing that if $a \in \bar{\Omega}$, then $B(a, r) \cap (\mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0)$ is the real ball $\tilde{B}(a, r) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and we have an explicit formula (see e.g. [Kl91, Theorem 5.4.6]) for such a ball via $L_{\tilde{B}(a, r)}(z) = L_{\tilde{B}(0, 1)}(f(z))$, where

$$L_{\tilde{B}(0, 1)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \log(\mathbf{h}(|z|^2 + |\langle z, \bar{z} \rangle - 1|)), \quad f(z) = (z - a)/r,$$

and $\mathbf{h}(x) = x + (x^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x \geq 1$. □

Notice that there are other kind of examples arising from Example 4.2 for cusp and corkscrew sets, because it is possible to derive precise estimate on the Hölder coefficient there. We refer the reader to [Si97, Proposition 6.5] for more detail.

4.3. Uniformly polynomial cuspidal sets. In this section we follow the method of Pawłucki and Pleśniak [PP86, Theorem 4.1] to study the uniformly polynomial cuspidal sets. The improvement is a precise estimate on the Hölder coefficient. These sets contain, for example, all bounded convex sets with non-void interior in \mathbb{K}^n (here $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$) and all bounded domains in \mathbb{K}^n with Lipschitz boundary (see Example 4.5 and also [PP86, page 469]).

Now we are focusing on the compact sets with cusps in \mathbb{R}^n considered as a natural subset in \mathbb{C}^n . A compact subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is called *uniformly polynomial cuspidal* (UPC for short) if there exist positive constants M, m and a positive integer d such that for each $x \in E$, one may choose a polynomial map

$$h_x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \deg h_x \leq d$$

satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} h_x(0) = x \quad \text{and} \quad h_x([0, 1]) \subset E; \\ \text{dist}(h_x(t), \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E) \geq Mt^m \quad \text{for all } x \in E, \text{ and } t \in [0, 1], \end{aligned}$$

An important property of the UPC sets is that if $a \in E$, then

$$(4.3) \quad E_a := \bigcup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} D(h_a(t), Mt^m) \subset E,$$

where $D(p, r) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x_1 - p_1| \leq r, \dots, |x_n - p_n| \leq r\}$ denotes the closed cube. Notice that E_a is also a closed subset.

Remark 4.8.

- (a) Without loss of generality we may assume that the exponent m is a positive integer. Otherwise we will choose the smallest positive integer larger than m instead.
- (b) It is not clear from the definition that the norm of coefficients $\sum_{\ell=0}^d \|h_x^{(\ell)}(0)\|$ of h_x is uniformly bounded on E . Fortunately, in many interesting examples of UPC sets this assumption is satisfied.

Theorem 4.9. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a compact UPC subset such that $\sum_{\ell=0}^d \|h_x^{(\ell)}(0)\|$ is uniformly bounded on E . Then, E has local μ -HCP of some order q .*

It is worth to emphasize that by [PP86, Corollary 6.6, Remark 6.5], we have all compact fat subanalytic subsets in \mathbb{R}^n satisfying the additional assumption. Thus, we obtain

Corollary 4.10. *A compact fat subanalytic subset in \mathbb{R}^n has local μ -HCP of order $q \geq 0$.*

This corollary combined with Theorem 1.2-(c) gives the proof of Theorem 1.4.

Now, to proceed with the proof of Theorem 4.9 we need the following fact

Lemma 4.11. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}^k$ be a compact subset and $h : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complex valued polynomial mapping of degree d . Then, for every $w \in \mathbb{C}^k$,*

$$L_{h(E)}(h(w)) \leq d \cdot L_E(w).$$

Proof. Since E is compact, so is $h(E)$. Now, let $v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be such that $v \leq 0$ on $h(E)$. Since $\deg h \leq d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{|w| \rightarrow +\infty} (v \circ h(w) - d \log |w|) &\leq \limsup_{|w| \rightarrow +\infty} (v \circ h(w) - \log |h(w)|) + c_h \\ &\leq c_v + c_h, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality used the assumption of $v \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Hence, $v \circ h/d \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^k)$ and it is negative on E . It follows that $v \circ h \leq d \cdot L_E$ and this finishes the proof. \square

Remark. The following interesting fact is pointed out by the referee. Even if E is not pluripolar in \mathbb{C}^k , $h(E)$ could be pluripolar in \mathbb{C}^n . However, then $h(E)$ is an algebraic subvariety of \mathbb{C}^n and there is still content to the statement as in [Sa76, Sa82].

Proof of Theorem 4.9. In what follows the space \mathbb{R}^n is identified with the subset $\mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0$ of \mathbb{C}^n . Let $a \in E$ be fixed and denote by $D(a, r)$ a closed polydisc. Observe first that the set E_a defined in (4.3) satisfies

$$E_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x = h(t) + Mt^m(x_1^m, \dots, x_n^m), t \in [0, 1], |x_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ be the pyramid

$$S = \{(t, tx_1, \dots, tx_n) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : t \in [0, 1], |x_i| \leq 1, i = 1, \dots, n\}.$$

This is a convex set (with non-void interior in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}) which implies that it has HCP. The crucial observation is that our cusp E_a is the image of this set under the polynomial projection $p(t, z) : \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$p(t, z) = h(t) + M(z_1^m, \dots, z_n^m).$$

Clearly, $p(S) = E_a$ and $p(0, 0) = h(0) = a$.

To show the *local* μ -HCP of some order at a we need to shrink a bit that pyramid. We claim that for each $0 < r \leq 1$, we can find $0 < r' \leq r$ such that a smaller pyramid

$$S(r') := \{(t, tx) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n : t \in [0, r'], |x_1| \leq r', \dots, |x_n| \leq r'\}$$

satisfies

$$(4.4) \quad S(r') \subset p^{-1}(E_a \cap D(a, r)).$$

Indeed, for $(t, tv) \in S(r') \subset S$, the point $x = h(t) + Mt^m \cdot v^m \in E_a$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |x - a| &= |h(t) + Mt^m \cdot v^m - h(0)| \\ &\leq |h(t) - h(0)| + Mt^m |v|^m \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{\ell=0}^q \|h^{(\ell)}(0)\| \right) r' + nMr', \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that m is a positive integer. Thus we can choose

$$(4.5) \quad r' = \frac{r}{\sum_{\ell=0}^q \|h^{(\ell)}(0)\| + nM}.$$

This is the sole place we need the uniform bound for the sum $\sum_{\ell=0}^q \|h^{(\ell)}(0)\|$ that does not depend on the point a . Otherwise, the Hölder norm of $L_{E \cap B(a, r)}$ would depend on a .

Since $S(r')$ contains a ball of radius $\tau_n r'$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with a numerical constant τ_n , it follows from Corollary 4.6 that $S(r')$ has local $\frac{1}{2}$ -HCP of order $q = n + 1$, i.e.,

$$(4.6) \quad L_{S(r')}(t, v) \leq \frac{C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r'^{n+1}}$$

for every $(t, v) \in S_\delta(r') := \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} : \text{dist}(\zeta, S(r')) \leq \delta\}$ and C does not depend on r' and δ .

Moreover, for all $0 < \delta \leq r'$, we have

$$P(\delta) = \{(t, z) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n : |t| \leq \delta, |z_i| \leq \delta, i = 1, \dots, n\} \subset S_\delta(r').$$

Then, for such a small δ , the following inclusions hold

$$(4.7) \quad B(a, M\delta^m) \subset p(\{0\} \times D(0, \delta)) \subset p(P(\delta)) \subset p(S_\delta(r')).$$

Now we are ready to conclude the local μ -HCP of E_a . Let $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be such that $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq M\delta^m$. By (4.7) we have $z = p(t, v) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ for some $(t, v) \in S_\delta(r')$. Furthermore, by (4.4) we have $F := p(S(r')) \subset E_a \cap D(a, r)$. Combining these facts with (4.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E_a \cap D(a, r)}(z) &\leq L_F(z) \\ &= L_F(p(t, v)) \\ &\leq \max(d, m) \cdot L_{S(r')}(t, v) \\ &\leq \frac{C\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{r'^{n+1}}, \end{aligned}$$

where for the third inequality we used Lemma 4.11, and the last constant C does not depend on r' and a . Rescaling $\delta := M\delta^m \leq r'$, we obtain

$$L_{E_a \cap D(a, r)}(z) \leq \frac{C\delta^{\frac{1}{2m}}}{r'^{n+1}}$$

for every $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta$, where $0 < \delta \leq r'$. Notice that r and r' are comparable by (4.5). Hence, E_a has local μ -HCP at a with the exponent $\mu = 1/2m$ and of order $q = n + 1$ and so does $E \supset E_a$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

4.4. Compact sets slided transversally by analytic half-disc. In this section we provide another class of compact subsets (of Lebesgue measure zero but admitting geometric structure) that have local μ -HCP and of some order. We will see later that they contain generic submanifolds as important examples.

Let

$$U_+ = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : |\tau| \leq 1, \text{Im}\tau \geq 0\}$$

denote the (closed) upper half of the closed unit disc and we denote for $0 < \delta \leq 1$

$$U(\delta) = \{\tau \in \mathbb{C} : |\tau| \leq \delta\}.$$

Motivated by [SZ16] and [Vu18] we consider the following class of sets.

Definition 4.12. Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a compact subset and $a \in E$. Assume that there are uniform constants C_0, M, m and $\delta_0 > 0$ (do not depend on a) such that for every $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ with $\text{dist}(x, a) \leq M\delta^m$ we can find a holomorphic map

$$f_a : \overset{\circ}{U}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$$

satisfying:

- (a) f_a is continuous on U_+ and $\sup_{U_+} |f_a| \leq C_0$;
- (b) $f_a(0) = a$ and $f_a([-1, 1]) \subset E$;
- (c) $x \in f_a(U_+ \cap U(\delta))$.

For the sets satisfying the definition we say that E can be *slid transversally by analytic half-disc at $a \in E$* . Moreover, E is said to be *slid transversally by analytic half-disc* if E can be slid transversally at every point $a \in E$.

The condition (b) is to say that the analytic half-disc $f : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ is attached to E along the interval $[-1, 1]$. The condition (c) means the analytic half-disc $f_a : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ meets transversally with E so that $f_a(U_+ \cap U(\delta))$ contains an arc joining a and x (with distance $M\delta^m$).

Note that the idea of analytic disc attached to a generic submanifold in \mathbb{C}^n is classical in CR-geometry (see [BEP99]). However, the above definition emphasizes on the quantitative estimates. Also, in this definition it is important to require that the constants M, m and δ_0 are independent of the point a . For the applications later we will need this independency of all point in the compact set. Geometrically it says that at each point we can attach transversally to the set a closed half-disc of uniform radius, consequently the family of analytic half-disc fills a neighborhood of the given point (in the ambient space). This will be clearly seen in the examples below.

In our setting the half-disc is attached along the real axis which is slightly different from [SZ16] and [Vu18]. However, we can use a simple conformal map to convert the results obtained there to our setting as we are only interested near the origin. Also our definition seems to be natural as in the following examples show.

Example 4.13.

- (1) The simplest example $E := [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Then, we can choose $C_0 = 2$, $M = 1$, $m = 2$, $\delta_0 = 1$. In fact, for $f(z) = z^2$, we have $f([-1, 1]) = [0, 1]$. Consider the analytic function $f_a : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$f_a(z) = \begin{cases} a + z^2 & \text{for } a \in [-1, 0], \\ a - z^2 & \text{for } a \in [0, 1]. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, $x \in f_a(U_+ \cap U(\delta))$ for every $x \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|x - a| \leq \delta^2$, where $0 < \delta < \delta_0 = 1$. This implies that E is slid transversally by analytic half-disc. We can also see that

$$A = \sup_{\tau \in [-1, 1]} \frac{|f_a(\tau) - f_a(0)|}{|\tau|} \leq 1.$$

- (2) In a similar fashion we can see that a bounded domain with C^2 -boundary or compact cube in $\mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{R}^n + i \cdot 0 \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ are the sets satisfies Definition 4.12. Using this we get another proof of the local μ -HCP with the exponent $\mu = 1/2$ and of order $q = 2$ (see Lemma 4.7).

We will need the following fact about the harmonic measure.

Lemma 4.14. *Denote $E = [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let h be the harmonic extension of $1 - \mathbf{1}_E$ from ∂U_+ into U_+ . Then,*

$$h(z) = \sup \left\{ v \in SH(\overset{\circ}{U}_+) \cap C(U_+) : v \leq 1 - \mathbf{1}_E \text{ on } \partial U_+ \right\},$$

and h is Lipschitz continuous at $0 \in E$ (or on a proper compact subinterval).

Proof. The harmonicity of the envelope is a classical result. Moreover, $h(z)$ is given by an explicit formula

$$h(z) = \frac{2}{\pi} \arg \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z} \right).$$

This function is clearly Lipschitz near 0. □

It is a classical fact that

$$L_{[-1,1]}(z) = \log \left| z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1} \right|,$$

where the branch of the square root is chosen so that $|z + \sqrt{z^2 - 1}| \geq 1$ in the whole plane (see. e.g. [Kl91, Lemma 5.4.2]). This function is (optimal) $\frac{1}{2}$ -Hölder continuous. We obtain the following generalization.

Proposition 4.15. *Assume that $E \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a compact set satisfying the conditions (a), (b) and (c) in Definition 4.12 at every point $a \in E$. Then, L_E is $1/m$ -Hölder continuous.*

Proof. It is enough to prove that L_E is $\frac{1}{m}$ -Hölder continuous on E by Blocki's result (3.3), i.e., there exists $C > 0$ such that for every $a \in E$,

$$L_E(z) \leq C|z - a|^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

for $|z - a| \leq \delta$. In fact, for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\text{dist}(z, a) \leq M\delta^m$ there exists $\tau \in U_+ \cap U(\delta)$ such that $z = f_a(\tau)$. Therefore,

$$L_E(z) = L_E(f_a(\tau))$$

Observe that $v := L_E \circ f_a$ is subharmonic in the interior of U_+ and $v \in C^0(U_+)$. It satisfies $\sup_{U_+} v \leq C$ for a constant depending only on E , and $v \equiv 0$ on $[-1, 1]$. In particular, v/C is a candidate for the left hand side of the envelope in Lemma 4.14. Hence,

$$v(\tau) \leq Ch(\tau) \leq C\delta,$$

where $h(\tau)$ is the harmonic measure with respect to the interval $[-1, 1] \subset \partial\Delta_+$ and we used the fact $|h(\tau)| \leq c_1|\tau| \leq c_1\delta$. Combining with the above identity and rescaling we conclude that $L_E(z) \leq C\delta^{\frac{1}{m}}$. \square

Remark 4.16.

- (a) Suppose that the μ -Hölder coefficient/norm, $0 < \mu \leq 1$, of the analytic half-disc f_a at 0 is bounded by a constant A that is independent of the point a , then from the above proof we can easily get the local μ -Hölder regularity at $a \in E$ with the exponent $\mu = 1/m$ and of order $q = 1/\mu$, i.e.,

$$L_{E \cap B(a,r)}(z) \leq \frac{CA^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \delta^{\frac{1}{m}}}{r^{\frac{1}{\mu}}}, \quad \text{dist}(z, a) \leq \delta,$$

where C is independent of r and the point a .

- (b) Suppose E satisfies (a), (b) and (c) in Definition 4.12 at $a \in E$ with an analytic half-disc f_a whose the μ -Hölder coefficient at 0, where $0 < \mu \leq 1$,

$$A = A(\mu) := \sup_{\tau \in [-1,1]} \frac{|f_a(\tau) - f_a(0)|}{|\tau|^\mu} < C$$

for a uniform constant C which does not depend on the point a . Then, for every small $r > 0$, the set $E \cap B(a, r)$ can be slid transversally at a by an analytic half-disc $g_a : U_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$ given by

$$g_a(\tau) = f_a \left((r/A)^{\frac{1}{\mu}} \tau \right).$$

Moreover, if C_0, M, m, δ_0 are uniform constants satisfying (c) for E at a , then the constants $C_0, M(r/A)^{\frac{m}{\mu}}, m, \delta_0$ satisfy (c) for $E \cap B(a, r)$ at a .

It is proved by Vu [Vu18, Proposition 2.5] and by Sadullaev and Zeriahi [SZ16] that a C^2 -smooth generic submanifold in \mathbb{C}^n (or in a complex manifold) can be slid transversally by analytic half-disc together with uniform control of Lipschitz norm at 0 of f_a . As a consequence the extremal functions of these generic submanifolds are Lipschitz continuous. Furthermore, these manifolds have local μ -HCP with the exponent $\mu = 1$ and of order $q = 1$. It will be interesting to obtain more examples of sets satisfying Definition 4.12.

5. APPLICATIONS IN EQUIDISTRIBUTION SPEED FOR FEKETE POINTS

In dimension $n = 1$, for a non-polar compact set $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, the function L_E coincides with the Green function of the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ with the pole at infinity. A classical result saying that the sequence of the probability counting measures for Fekete points of E , called *Fekete's measures*, converges weakly to the equilibrium measure μ_{eq} of E as the number of points goes to infinity (see, e.g [BBL92]). The speed of convergence of the sequence can be also quantified for a compact domain whose boundary is smooth.

The analogous problems regarding the weak convergence and speed of convergence of the Fekete measures of a compact subset in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 1$, had been open for a long time. The weak convergence was obtained in a deep work of Boucksom, Berman and Witt-Nystrom [BBW11]. The speed of convergence was proved [LO16] for $K = X$ a compact projective manifold and ϕ a strictly smooth plurisubharmonic weight. Later, Dinh, Ma and Nguyen [DMN17] obtained the estimate for a large class of compact sets that satisfies the $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regularity (Definition 1.3).

Let $\mathcal{P}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ be the set of complex valued polynomials of degree at most d . Then its dimension is $N_d = \binom{n+d}{n}$, and let $\{e_1, \dots, e_{N_d}\}$ be an ordered system of all monomials $z^\alpha := z_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots z_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n \leq d$, where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}$. For each system $x^{(d)} = \{x_1, \dots, x_{N_d}\}$ of N_d points of \mathbb{C}^n we define the generalized Vandermonde matrix $\text{VDM}(x^{(d)})$ by

$$\text{VDM}(x^{(d)}) := \det[e_i(x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,N_d}.$$

Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset. Following [DMN17] we say that a *Fekete configuration of order d* for K is a system $\xi^{(d)} = \{\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{N_d}\}$ of N_d points of K that maximizes the function $|\text{VDM}(x^{(d)})|$ on K , i.e.,

$$\left| \text{VDM}(\xi^{(d)}) \right| = \max \left\{ \left| \text{VDM}(x^{(d)}) \right| : x^{(d)} \subset K \right\}.$$

Given a Fekete configuration $\xi^{(d)}$ of K , we consider the probability measure on \mathbb{C}^n defined by

$$\mu_d := \frac{1}{N_d} \sum_{j=1}^{N_d} \delta_{\xi_j},$$

where δ_x denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at the point x . It is called Fekete's measure of order d by [DMN17, Definition 1.4]. It is known for $n = 1$ that $\{\mu_d\}$ converges weakly to the equilibrium measure μ_{eq} of K as d goes to infinity. In a fundamental paper Berman, Boucksom and Witt Nystrom [BBW11] proved the generalization of this result for $n \geq 2$. Namely,

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \mu_d = \mu_{\text{eq}}, \quad \text{where } \mu_{\text{eq}} = \frac{(dd^c L_K^*)^n}{\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} (dd^c L_K^*)^n},$$

in the weak topology of measures. This result coincides with the classical one for $n = 1$ and it was listed as an open problem in [Si82, 15.3] and [ST97, Problem 3.3].

In fact this problem is considered as a special case of $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of a very general reformulation in the framework of a big line bundle over a complex manifold in [BBW11]. It is possible by observing that the space $\mathcal{P}_d(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is isomorphic to the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree at most d in $(n + 1)$ -variable $\mathcal{H}_d(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$. The latter space can be identified the space of global holomorphic section $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$, where $\mathcal{O}(d)$ is the d -th tensor power of the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$ over \mathbb{P}^n . We refer the readers to [Le10] for a self-contained proof which derived from [BB10] and [BBW11] using only (weighted) pluripotential theory in \mathbb{C}^n .

Note again that the regularity of weighted extremal functions is a local property and it is invariant under biholomorphic maps. Without loss of generality we restrict ourself to the compact subset in \mathbb{C}^n as in Section 4 (see also Appendix 6). Hence, an immediate consequence of the characterizations in Theorem 1.2 is

Lemma 5.1. *All local α -HCP compact subsets of order q in $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ are $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regular, where α' is explicitly computed in terms of α and q .*

By Remark 3.10 and the proof of Theorem 1.2 we can compute the exponent $\alpha' = \alpha''^2/(\alpha'' + 2 + q)$ where $\alpha'' = \alpha^2/(1 + q)$. This combined with [DMN17, Theorem 1.5] shows that we have a large number of new examples from Sections 4.2 4.3, 4.4 for which the following estimate for speed of convergence holds.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $K \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a local α -HCP compact subset of order q . Then, there exists a constant $C = C(K, \alpha)$ such that for every test function $v \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$ (the Hölder space on \mathbb{C}^n) and for every Fekete configuration $\xi^{(d)}$ of K ,*

$$|\langle \mu_d - \mu_{\text{eq}}, v \rangle| \leq C \|v\|_{\mathcal{C}^\alpha} d^{-\alpha'}.$$

Notice that the holomorphic maps which preserve HCP are characterized in [Pi21]. It is likely that the criterion can be extended to the local μ -HCP case. If it is true, then we will obtain compact sets, via nice holomorphic maps, which are $(\mathcal{C}^\alpha, \mathcal{C}^{\alpha'})$ -regular.

6. APPENDIX

In this section we give the proof of Theorem 1.2-(c) without assuming that it is a compact set contained in $\mathbb{C}^n \subset \mathbb{P}^n$.

Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n . Let $K \subset X$ be a non-pluripolar compact subset and $a \in K$. Consider a holomorphic coordinate ball (Ω, τ) in X centered at a ,

$$(6.1) \quad \tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{B} := \mathbb{B}(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$$

is a biholomorphic map with $\tau(a) = 0$. Suppose that

$$\omega = dd^c \rho$$

for a strictly psh function $\rho \in PSH(\Omega) \cap C^\infty(\overline{\Omega})$, where ρ attains its minimum $\inf_{\Omega} \rho = \rho(a) = 0$. (In general we can do this by shrinking Ω and modifying ρ by a pluriharmornic function.) As the Hölder continuity of V_K is independent of the metric (Remark 2.5), by rescaling we may also assume

$$0 \leq \rho \leq 1 \quad \text{on } \overline{\Omega}.$$

We recall some classical facts in pluripotential theory. Let $E \subset \mathbb{B}(0, \frac{1}{2})$ be a compact set. The weighted zero-one relative extremal function is given by

$$u_E(z) = \sup \{v(z) : v \in PSH(\mathbb{B}), v|_E \leq 0, v \leq 1\}.$$

The classical result in pluripotential theory [Kl91, Proposition 5.3.3] tells us that the extremal function L_E satisfies

$$(6.2) \quad C_1 u_E \leq L_E \leq C_2 u_E \quad \text{in } \mathbb{B},$$

where C_1, C_2 are two positive constants depending only on E and \mathbb{B} .

Now, let ϕ be a continuous function on $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. For simplicity we assume that the weight function satisfies

$$(6.3) \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 1.$$

The (positive) weighted relative extremal function is defined by

$$u_{E,\phi}(z) = \sup \{v(z) : v \in PSH(\mathbb{B}), v|_E \leq \phi, v \leq \phi + 1\}.$$

(Notice that these functions are different from the ones in [CKZ] and [Al09]). It follows from the definitions and the assumption on ϕ that

$$(6.4) \quad u_E + \inf_E \phi \leq u_{E,\phi} \leq 2u_E + \sup_E \phi.$$

Note that this inequality is very similar to (2.7) and Lemma 2.6-(a). Moreover, the extra constant 2 on the right hand side will not cause any harm in study the regularity of the subset E . Furthermore, we also have the monotonicity for $E \subset F$ and $0 \leq \phi \leq \psi \leq 1$,

$$(6.5) \quad u_{F,\phi} \leq u_{E,\phi} \leq u_{E,\psi}.$$

We are ready to prove the relation between the global and local extremal functions in a coordinate ball $\Omega \subset X$ defined in (6.1).

Lemma 6.1. *Let $K \subset\subset \Omega$ be a non-pluripolar compact set and $\widehat{\rho} := \rho \circ \tau^{-1}$. Then, there exist two positive constants m, M depending only on K, Ω and ρ such that*

$$m u_{\tau(K), \widehat{\rho}}(z) \leq (V_K + \rho) \circ \tau^{-1}(z) \leq M u_{\tau(K), \widehat{\rho}}(z) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{B}.$$

Proof. We follow closely the argument in [Kl91, Proposition 5.3.3]. Since K is a non-pluripolar, we have $M_\Omega = \sup_\Omega V_K^* < +\infty$. Let $w \in PSH(X, \omega)$ such that $w \leq 0$ on K . Hence, $v := (w + \rho) \circ \tau^{-1}$ is plurisubharmornic in \mathbb{B} , $v \leq \widehat{\rho}$ on $\tau(K)$ and $v \leq M_\Omega + 1 =: M$. Since $\widehat{\rho} \geq 0$,

$$v \leq M u_{\tau(K), \widehat{\rho}}$$

and therefore the second inequality follows. Before continuing the proof of the first inequality let us state a useful observation (see also [BBW11, Corollary 1.7] and [DMN17, Theorem 2.7] for different proofs).

Corollary 6.2. *Let $D \subset\subset X$ be a smooth domain. Then, $V_{\overline{D}}$ is continuous on X .*

Proof. Since $V_{\overline{D}} = 0$ on \overline{D} , it is continuous in D . By Corollary 2.4 it remains to verify $V_{\overline{D}}^*(a) = 0$ at $a \in \partial D$. Let us fix such a point a and consider Ω the local coordinate unit ball center at a as in (6.1). Set $K = \overline{D} \cap \tau^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, 1/2))$. By monotonicity Proposition 2.2-(a) it is enough to show that $V_K^*(a) = 0$. To this end we note that $\tau(K) \subset \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, 1/2)$ is a smooth domain near the origin $0 = \tau(a)$. So, it is locally L -regular at 0 by Example 4.1. Hence, $u_{\tau(K) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \varepsilon)}^*(0) = 0$ for every

$\varepsilon > 0$ small by the inequality (6.2). Next, using the second inequality above, the monotonicity (6.5) and (6.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} V_K \circ \tau^{-1}(z) + \widehat{\rho}(z) &\leq Mu_{\tau(K), \widehat{\rho}}(z) \\ &\leq Mu_{\tau(K) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \varepsilon), \widehat{\rho}}(z) \\ &\leq M[2u_{\tau(K) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \varepsilon)}(z) + \sup_{\overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \varepsilon)} \widehat{\rho}]. \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact $\widehat{\rho}(0) = 0$ we get $V_K^*(a) \leq 2Mu_{\tau(K) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, \varepsilon)}^*(0) + Mc_3\varepsilon = Mc_3\varepsilon$, where c_3 is the Lipschitz norm of $\widehat{\rho}$ in $\overline{\mathbb{B}}$. As $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary we have $V_K^*(a) = 0$ and the proof of the corollary is completed. \square

Let us complete now the proof the first inequality in the lemma. By the assumption $2m := \inf_{\partial\Omega} \rho > 0$. Take $0 < \varepsilon < m$. For $\delta > 0$ denote $K_\delta = \{x \in X : \text{dist}(x, K) \leq \delta\}$ where $\text{dist}(\cdot, K)$ is the distance induced from the metric ω . If $\delta > 0$ is small enough, then $K_\delta \subset\subset \Omega$ is a smooth domain. Hence, by Corollary 6.2 we have V_{K_δ} is a continuous ω -psh function. Let $v \in PSH(\mathbb{B})$ be such that $v \leq \widehat{\rho}$ on $\tau(K)$ and $v \leq \widehat{\rho} + 1$ in \mathbb{B} . Define

$$\tilde{v} = \begin{cases} \max\{(m - \varepsilon)v \circ \tau - \rho, V_{K_\delta}\} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ V_{K_\delta} & \text{in } X \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Since $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \partial\Omega} [(m - \varepsilon)v \circ \tau(x) - \rho(x)] \leq 2(m - \varepsilon) - \inf_{\partial\Omega} \rho \leq -2\varepsilon$, we have $(m - \varepsilon)v \circ \tau - \rho \leq V_{K_\delta}$ near $\partial\Omega$. Hence, $\tilde{v} \in PSH(X, \omega)$ and $\tilde{v} \leq 0$ on K . Hence,

$$(m - \varepsilon)v \circ \tau - \rho \leq V_K \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

By letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get the first inequality. \square

For a general compact set $K \subset X$, we know from Theorem 1.2-(a) that V_K is μ -Hölder continuous at a if and only if $V_{K \cap B(a, r)}$ is μ -Hölder continuous for a closed coordinate ball $B(a, r) = \tau^{-1}(\mathbb{B}(0, r))$ centered at a and small radius $r > 0$. Therefore, we may assume that K is contained in this holomorphic coordinate ball (Ω, τ) above and $K \subset B(a, 1/2) := \tau^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, 1/2))$. Clearly, for $0 < r < 1/2$

$$(6.6) \quad \tau(K \cap B(a, r)) = \tau(K) \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, r) \subset \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, 1/2).$$

We now state the characterization of the local μ -HCP.

Lemma 6.3. *$\tau(K)$ has local μ -HCP of order q at $\tau(a) = 0$ if and only if K has a uniform density in capacity (1.5) at a and V_K is μ' -Hölder continuous at a for some $\mu' > 0$.*

Proof. Let us write $E := \tau(K)$, $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_r := \overline{\mathbb{B}}(0, r)$ and assume that E has local μ -HCP at $\tau(a) = 0$, i.e.,

$$(6.7) \quad L_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r}(z) \leq \frac{C\delta^\mu}{r^q}, \quad |z| \leq \delta \leq \delta_0, \quad 0 < r \leq r_0.$$

By the proof of Lemma 3.3 E satisfies the property (3.2) at $\tau(a) = 0$. Equivalently, K satisfies (1.5) at a . Lemma 6.1 tells us that

$$(6.8) \quad V_K \circ \tau^{-1}(z) \leq V_K \circ \tau^{-1}(z) + \widehat{\rho}(z) \leq Mu_{E, \widehat{\rho}}(z).$$

Furthermore, the monotonicity (6.4) combined with (6.2) and (6.7) implies for $0 < r \leq r_0$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r, \widehat{\rho}}(z) &\leq 2u_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r}(z) + \sup_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} \widehat{\rho} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{C_1} L_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r}(z) + c_3 r \\ &\leq C \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^q} + c_3 r. \end{aligned}$$

where c_3 is the Lipschitz norm of $\widehat{\rho}$ on \mathbb{B} . We can choose $r = \delta^\epsilon$ with $\epsilon = \mu/(q+1)$ to conclude that for $\mu' = \mu^2/(1+q)$,

$$u_{E, \widehat{\rho}}(z) \leq C \delta^{\mu'}, \quad |z| \leq \delta \leq \delta_0.$$

It follows from this and (6.8) that

$$V_K \circ \tau^{-1}(z) \leq M C \delta^{\mu'} \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \delta$$

which is the Hölder continuity of V_K at a .

Conversely, assume now V_K is μ -Hölder continuous and K has a uniform density in capacity (1.5) at a . This implies from (3.16) that for $\text{dist}(x, a) \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$ and $0 < r \leq r_0$,

$$V_{K \cap B(a, r)}(x) \leq \frac{A}{\varkappa} \frac{\delta^\mu}{r^{2+q}}.$$

Since $\inf_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} \widehat{\rho} = \widehat{\rho}(0) = 0$, we have for $|z| \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$\widehat{\rho}(z) - \inf_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} \widehat{\rho} \leq c_3 \delta.$$

Combining this with (6.2), (6.4) and Lemma 6.1 we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} L_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} &\leq C_2 u_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} \leq C_2 \left(u_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r, \widehat{\rho}} - \inf_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r} \widehat{\rho} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_2}{m} V_{K \cap B(a, r)} \circ \tau^{-1} + \frac{C_2 c_3}{m} \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for $0 < r \leq r_0$ and $|z| \leq \delta \leq \delta_0$,

$$L_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r}(z) \leq \frac{A_1 \delta^\mu}{r^{2+q}} + c_4 \delta,$$

where A_1, c_4 are uniform constants. This is the desired inequality for $L_{E \cap \overline{\mathbb{B}}_r}$. \square

REFERENCES

- [Al09] M. Alan, *Weighted pluripotential theory*. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Indiana University (2009).
- [AT84] H. J. Alexander and B. A. Taylor, *Comparison of two capacities in \mathbf{C}^n* , Math. Z. **186** (1984), no. 3, 407–417.
- [BEP99] M. S. Baouendi, P. Ebenfelt and L. P. Rothschild, *Real submanifolds in complex space and their mappings*, Princeton Mathematical Series, 47, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1999.
- [BG71] M. S. Baouendi and C. Goulaouic, *Approximation polynomiale de fonctions C^∞ et analytiques*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **21** (1971), no. 4, 149–173.
- [BC14] M. Baran and L. Białas-Cieź, *Hölder continuity of the Green function and Markov brothers' inequality*, Constr. Approx. **40** (2014), no. 1, 121–140.
- [BC17] M. Baran, L. Białas-Cieź, R. Eggink, A. Kowalska, B. Nagy, R. Pierzchała, *Selected open problems in potential approximation and potential theory*. Dolomites Res. Notes Approx. **10**, Special Issue (2017), 161–168.
- [Be87] E. Bedford, *Survey of pluri-potential theory*. Several complex variables. (Stockholm, 1987/1988), 48–97. Math. Notes, **38**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.

- [BT82] E. Bedford and B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*. Acta Math. **149** (1982), 1–40.
- [B19] R. J. Berman, *From Monge-Ampère equations to envelopes and geodesic rays in the zero temperature limit*, Math. Z. **291** (2019), no. 1-2, 365–394.
- [BB10] R. J. Berman and S. Boucksom, *Growth of balls of holomorphic sections and energy at equilibrium*, Invent. Math. **181** (2010), no. 2, 337–394. MR2657428
- [BBW11] R. J. Berman, S. Boucksom and D. Witt Nyström, *Fekete points and convergence towards equilibrium measures on complex manifolds*, Acta Math. **207** (2011), no. 1, 1–27.
- [BD12] R. Berman and J.-P. Demailly, *Regularity of plurisubharmonic upper envelopes in big cohomology classes*. Proceedings of the Symposium “Perspectives in Analysis, Geometry and Topology” in honor of Oleg Viro (Stockholm University, May 2008), Eds. I. Itenberg, B. Jöricke, M. Passare, Progress in Math. **296**, Birkhäuser/Springer, Boston (2012) 39–66.
- [BiE16] L. Białas-Cieź, R. Eggink, *Jackson’s inequality in the complex plane and the Lojasiewicz-Siciak inequality of Green’s function*, J. Approx. Theory. **207** (2016), 46–59.
- [BiE19] L. Białas-Cieź, R. Eggink, *Equivalence of the global and local Markov inequalities in the complex plane*. Adv. Math. **345** (2019), 909–927.
- [BM88] E. Bierstone and P. D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 67 (1988), 5–42.
- [BBL92] Bloom et al., *Polynomial interpolation of holomorphic functions in \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}^n* , Rocky Mountain J. Math. **22** (1992), no. 2, 441–470.
- [BK07] Z. Błocki and S. Kołodziej, *On regularization of plurisubharmonic functions on manifolds*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (2007), no. 7, 2089–2093.
- [Ce82] U. Cegrell, *A local property of L -regular sets*, Monatsh. Math. **93** (1982), no. 2, 111–115.
- [CKZ] U. Cegrell, S. Kołodziej, A. Zeriahi, *Subextension of plurisubharmonic functions with weak singularities*. Math. Z. **250** (2005), no.1, 7–22.
- [ChZ19] J. Chu and B. Zhou, *Optimal regularity of plurisubharmonic envelopes on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Sci. China Math. **62** (2019), no. 2, 371–380.
- [De94] J.-P. Demailly, *Regularization of closed positive currents of type $(1, 1)$ by the flow of a Chern connection*. Aspects of Math. Vol. E26, Vieweg (1994), 105–126.
- [DDGHKZ] J.-P. Demailly, S. Dinew, V. Guedj, P. Hiep, S. Kołodziej and A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **16** (2014), no. 4, 619–647.
- [DiL15] E. Di Nezza, C. Lu, *Generalized Monge-Ampère capacities*. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN (2015), no. **16**, 7287–7322.
- [Di03] Nguyen Quang Dieu, *Regularity of certain sets in \mathbf{C}^n* , Ann. Polon. Math. **82** (2003), no. 3, 219–232.
- [DMN17] T.-C. Dinh, X. Ma and V. Nguyễn, *Equidistribution speed for Fekete points associated with an ample line bundle*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **50** (2017), no. 3, 545–578.
- [GLZ19] V. Guedj, C. H. Lu and A. Zeriahi, *Plurisubharmonic envelopes and supersolutions*, J. Differential Geom. **113** (2019), no. 2, 273–313.
- [GZ05] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal. **15** (2005), no. 4, 607–639.
- [GZ17] V. Guedj and A. Zeriahi, *Degenerate complex Monge-Ampère equations*, EMS Tracts in Mathematics, 26, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2017.
- [GKZ08] V. Guedj, S. Kołodziej, A. Zeriahi, *Hölder continuous solutions to Monge-Ampère equations*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **40** (2008) 1070-1080.
- [Kl91] M. Klimek, *Pluripotential theory*, London Mathematical Society Monographs. New Series, 6, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1991.
- [Ko05] S. Kołodziej, *The complex Monge-Ampère equation and pluripotential theory*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **178** (2005), no. 840, x+64 pp.
- [KN19] S. Kołodziej and N. C. Nguyen, *Stability and regularity of solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds*, Adv. Math. **346** (2019), 264–304.
- [KN23] S. Kołodziej, N.-C. Nguyen, *The Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère equation on Hermitian manifolds with boundary*, Calc. Var. **62**, 1 (2023).

- [LO16] N. Lev and J. Ortega-Cerdà, *Equidistribution estimates for Fekete points on complex manifolds*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **18** (2016), no. 2, 425–464.
- [Le06] N. Levenberg, *Approximation in \mathbb{C}^N* , Surv. Approx. Theory **2** (2006), 92–140.
- [Le10] N. Levenberg, *Weighted pluripotential theory results of Berman-Boucksom*, preprint [arXiv:1010.4035](https://arxiv.org/abs/1010.4035).
- [LPT21] C. H. Lu, T. T. Phung and T. D. Tô, *Stability and Hölder regularity of solutions to complex Monge-Ampère equations on compact Hermitian manifolds*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **71** (2021), no. 5, 2019–2045.
- [MV22] G. Marinescu and D.-V. Vu, *Bergman kernel functions associated to measures supported on totally real submanifolds*, preprint, [arXiv:2210.11031](https://arxiv.org/abs/2210.11031).
- [PP86] W. Pawłucki and W. Pleśniak, *Markov's inequality and C^∞ functions on sets with polynomial cusps*, Math. Ann. **275** (1986), no. 3, 467–480.
- [PI79] W. Pleśniak, *A criterion of the L -regularity of compact sets in \mathbb{C}^N* , Zeszyty Nauk. Univ. Jagielloń. Prace Mat. No. 21 (1979), 97–103.
- [PI84] W. Pleśniak, *L -regularity of subanalytic sets in \mathbb{R}^n* , Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. **32** (1984), no. 11-12, 647–651.
- [PI98] W. Pleśniak, *Recent progress in multivariate Markov inequality*, in Approximation theory, 449–464, Monogr. Textbooks Pure Appl. Math., 212, Dekker, New York.
- [PI01] W. Pleśniak, *Pluriregularity in polynomially bounded o -minimal structures*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 41 (2003), 205–214.
- [PI03] W. Pleśniak, *Siciak's extremal function in complex and real analysis*, Ann. Polon. Math. **80** (2003), 37–46.
- [Pi21] R. Pierzchała, *Geometry of holomorphic mappings and Hölder continuity of the pluricomplex Green function*, Math. Ann. **379** (2021), no. 3-4, 1363–1393.
- [Sa76] A. Sadullaev, *A criterion for the algebraicity of analytic sets*. (Russian) Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.6 (1972), no.1, 85–86.
- [Sa81] A. Sadullaev, *Plurisubharmonic measures and capacities on complex manifolds*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk **36** (1981), no. 4(220), 53–105, 247.
- [Sa82] Sadullaev, A. *Estimates of polynomials on analytic sets*.(Russian) Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 46 (1982), no.3, 524–534, 671.
- [Sa16] A. Sadullaev, *Pluriregular compacts in \mathbb{P}^n* , Topics in several complex variables, 145–156, Contemp. Math., 662, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [SZ16] A. Sadullaev and A. Zeriahi, *Hölder regularity of generic manifolds*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **16** (2016), no. 2, 369–382.
- [ST97] E. B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic potentials with external fields*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 316, Springer, Berlin, 1997.
- [Si62] J. Siciak, *On some extremal functions and their applications in the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **105** (1962), 322–357.
- [Si81] J. Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in \mathbb{C}^n* , Ann. Polon. Math. **39** (1981), 175–211.
- [Si82] J. Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and capacities in \mathbb{C}^n* , Sophia Kokyuroku in Math. 14, Sophia Univ., Tokyo. 1982
- [Si85] J. Siciak, *Highly noncontinuable functions on polynomially convex sets*, Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 25 (1985), 95–107.
- [Si97] J. Siciak, *Wiener's type sufficient conditions in \mathbb{C}^N* , Univ. Iagel. Acta Math. No. 35 (1997), 47–74.
- [To18] V. Tosatti, *Regularity of envelopes in Kähler classes*, Math. Res. Lett. **25** (2018), no. 1, 281–289.
- [Vu18] D.-V. Vu, *Equidistribution rate for Fekete points on some real manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. **140** (2018), no. 5, 1311–1355.
- [Za76] V. Zaharjuta, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions, orthogonal polynomials, and the Bernštejn-Walsh theorem for functions of several complex variables*, Ann. Polon. Math. **33** (1976/77), no. 1-2, 137–148.
- [Z21] A. Zeriahi, *Remarks on the modulus of continuity of subharmonic functions*, [arXiv:2007.08399](https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.08399).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KAIST, 291 DAEHAK-RO, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON
34141, SOUTH KOREA
Email address: `cuongnn@kaist.ac.kr`