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#### Abstract

This paper deals with certain aspects of the vector valued de Branges spaces of entire functions that are based on pairs of Fredholm operator valued functions. Some factorization and isometric embedding results are extended from the scalar valued theory of de Branges spaces. In particular, global factorization of Fredholm operator valued entire functions and analytic equivalence of reproducing kernels of de Branges spaces are discussed. Additionally, the operator valued entire functions associated with these de Branges spaces are studied, and a connection with the operator nodes is established.


## 1. Introduction

Louis de Branges initiated the study of Hilbert spaces of scalar valued entire functions with the article [8] and established the importance of this theory with the papers [9], [10], and many more. Since then, many mathematicians have contributed to this theory, and several generalizations have emerged. The main consideration of this paper is the de Branges spaces of vector valued entire functions, precisely de Branges spaces consisting of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions, where $\mathfrak{X}$ is a complex separable Hilbert space. An initial discussion of the de Branges spaces of these vector valued entire functions is present in [13]. For a comprehensive study about de Branges spaces of scalar valued entire functions, see [11], and for de Branges spaces with $\mathbb{C}^{P}$-valued entire functions, see [3] and [4]. The de Branges spaces of vector valued entire functions considered in this paper were introduced in [24]. The main objective of this paper is to revive some problems regarding factorization and isometric embedding from the theory of de Branges spaces of scalar valued entire functions to the vector valued de Branges spaces setting. Also, to study associated functions of the de Branges spaces and their connection with the operator nodes. We recall some preliminary results in section 2, which will be helpful in the subsequent sections. In section 3, we consider vector valued de Branges spaces and elaborate on several results of de Branges operators. Also, the vector version of problem 45 from [11] is discussed. A global factorization of Fredholm operator

[^0]valued entire functions, invertible at least at one point, are studied in section4. This factorization also provides a conclusion regarding analytic equivalence of reproducing kernels of de Branges spaces. Section 5]discusses problem 44 from [11] in the vector valued de Branges spaces setting, using the global factorization discussed in the previous section. In section 6, we study operator valued entire functions associated with vector valued de Branges spaces and discuss their connection with the operator of multiplication by the independent variable. Finally, section 7 connects de Branges spaces with the operator nodes.
The following notations will be used throughout the paper:
$\mathbb{C}_{+}$(resp. $\mathbb{C}_{-}$) denotes the open complex upper (resp. lower) half-plane. $\rho_{w}(z)=$ $-2 \pi i(z-\bar{w})$ for $z, w \in \mathbb{C} . \mathfrak{X}$ is a complex separable Hilbert space, and $B(\mathfrak{X})$ is the collection of all bounded linear operators on $\mathfrak{X}$. If $F \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, then $\operatorname{ker} F$, $\operatorname{rng} F$, and $F^{*}$ denote the kernel, range, and adjoint of $F$ respectively and
$$
Z_{F}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}:\left(I_{\mathfrak{X}}-z F\right)^{-1} \in B(\mathfrak{X})\right\} .
$$
$\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ denotes the collection of all Fredholm operators on $\mathfrak{X}$, i.e., it is the collection of all closed range bounded linear operators $F$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} F)<\infty$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} F^{*}\right)<\infty$. The index of a Fredholm operator $F$ is denoted as $\operatorname{ind}(F)$, and it is an integer obtained by the following relation
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}(F)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} F)-\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} F^{*}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Since $\operatorname{ker} F^{*}=(\operatorname{rng} F)^{\perp}$, the above relation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}(F)=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} F)-\operatorname{dim}\left((\operatorname{rng} F)^{\perp}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Details about the Fredholm operators and several applications can be found in [19] and [23]. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}=\{f \in \mathcal{H}: f(\alpha)=0\}$. $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is a closed subspace of $\mathcal{H}$. The multiplication operator in $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted as $\mathfrak{T}$ and is defined as

$$
\mathfrak{T} f(z)=z f(z) \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f$ in a suitable space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions, the generalized backward shift operator is denoted as $R_{\alpha}$ and is defined as

$$
\left(R_{\alpha} f\right)(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{f(z)-f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} & \text { if } z \neq \alpha  \tag{1.3}\\ f^{\prime}(\alpha) & \text { if } z=\alpha\end{cases}
$$

We define the operator matrix $\mathcal{J}$ on $\mathfrak{X} \oplus \mathfrak{X}$ as

$$
\mathcal{J}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{\mathfrak{X}} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{\mathfrak{X}}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

This section briefly recalls some basic spaces of vector valued holomorphic functions, which will be useful in the subsequent sections. A Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathfrak{X}$ valued entire functions is said to be a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) if there exists a function $K_{w}(z): \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow B(\mathfrak{X})$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{H}, w \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in \mathfrak{X}$ the following hold:

$$
K_{w} u \in \mathcal{H} \text { and }\left\langle f, K_{w} u\right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}=\langle f(w), u\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}
$$

The unique operator valued function $K_{w}(z)$ is called the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\delta_{z}$ denotes the point evaluation linear operator on $\mathcal{H}$ at the point $z$, i.e., for $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\delta_{z}(f)=f(z)$, then $K_{w}(z)$ can be written as $K_{w}(z)=\delta_{z} \delta_{w}^{*}$, where $\delta_{w}^{*}$ is the adjoint of $\delta_{w}$. Also, the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{H}$ is positive. The interpretation of positivity of the reproducing kernel is as follows: for any $p \in \mathbb{N}, z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{p} \in \mathbb{C}$ and $u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots, u_{p} \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\sum_{i, j=1}^{p}\left\langle K_{z_{j}}\left(z_{i}\right) u_{j}, u_{i}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}=\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{p} \delta_{z_{i}}^{*}\left(u_{i}\right)\right\|_{\mathscr{H}}^{2} \geq 0 .
$$

For a comprehensive study of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, see [25]. A $B(\mathfrak{X})$ valued entire function $S(z)$ is said to be associated to the reproducing kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ if $S(\alpha)$ is invertible for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in \mathcal{H} \quad \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{H} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a RKHS of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions with reproducing kernel $K$. Suppose for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is invertible. Then
(1) rng $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)=\{f(\alpha): f \in \mathcal{H}\}$.
(2) $S(z)=K_{\alpha}(z)$ is associated to $\mathcal{H}$ if and only if $R_{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$.

Proof. Since $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is invertible, $\{f(\alpha): f \in \mathcal{H}\} \subseteq \operatorname{rng} K_{\alpha}(\alpha)=\mathfrak{X}$. Also, for every $u \in \mathfrak{X}$ as $K_{\alpha} u \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{rng} K_{\alpha}(\alpha)=\left\{K_{\alpha}(\alpha) u: u \in \mathfrak{X}\right\} \subseteq\{f(\alpha): f \in \mathcal{H}\} .
$$

This proves (1). Now, to prove (2), observe the fact that $f(\cdot)-S(\cdot) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha) \in$ $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$.
$L_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the collection of all $\mathfrak{X}$-valued, weakly measurable, square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}$. $L_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the following inner product:

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\langle f(t), g(t)\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d t \quad \text { for all } f, g \in L_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}(\mathbb{R})
$$

The Hardy Hilbert space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued analytic functions on the upper half-plane (resp. lower half-plane) is denoted as $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$(resp. $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-}\right)$). Both $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-}\right)$are reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces with the corresponding reproducing kernels

$$
K_{\xi}(z)=\frac{I_{\mathfrak{X}}}{\rho_{\xi}(z)} \xi, z \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \quad \text { and } \quad K_{\alpha}^{(-)}(\lambda)=-\frac{I_{\mathfrak{X}}}{\rho_{\alpha}(\lambda)} \alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-} .
$$

Lemma 2.2. Suppose $f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $f$ is holomorphic at $\alpha$, then

$$
\frac{f}{\rho_{i}} \text { and } R_{\alpha} f \text { belong to } H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)
$$

Similarly, suppose $g \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-}\right)$and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ are such that $g$ is holomorphic at $\beta$, then

$$
\frac{g}{\rho_{-i}} \text { and } R_{\beta} g \text { belong to } H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{-}\right) \text {. }
$$

Proof. The proof follows from Lemma 3.14 in [4].
The collection of $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued bounded analytic functions on the upper halfplane is denoted as $H_{B(\mathfrak{X})}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. This is a Banach space with respect to the following norm:

$$
\|F\|_{\infty}=\sup _{y>0}\|F(x+i y)\|_{B(\mathfrak{x})}<\infty, \quad F \in H_{B(\mathfrak{x})}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) .
$$

We denote the closed unit ball of $H_{B(\mathfrak{X})}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$as $\mathcal{S}$, the operator valued Schur class functions. For a comprehensive study of these spaces, including the existence of their unique boundary functions, see [28]. $\mathcal{S}^{i n}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}_{*}^{i n}$ ) represents the collection of all elements of $\mathcal{S}$ such that their boundary functions are isometries (resp. coisometries) a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.
Now, we recall some results about Fredholm operators and Fredholm operator valued analytic functions, which will be crucial for the forthcoming sections.

Proposition 2.3. Let $M, N \in B(\mathfrak{X})$ be such that $M N \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$. Then $M \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ if and only if $N \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$.

The proof of the above proposition and several results about Fredholm operators can be found in [29] (Theorem 13.1).

## Theorem 2.4. (Fredholm analytic theorem)

Suppose $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is an open connected set and $F: \Omega \rightarrow \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ is an analytic function. Then either $F(z)$ is not boundedly invertible for any $z \in \Omega$, or there exists a discrete subset $D$ of $\Omega$ such that $F(z)^{-1} \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ for all $z \in \Omega \backslash D, F(.)^{-1}$ is analytic on $\Omega \backslash D$ and meromorphic on $\Omega$.

More details about this theorem and related topics can be found in [18] (Theorem 3.3) and [20] (Section 4.1).

## 3. Vector valued de Branges spaces

In this section, we recall the definition of vector valued de Branges spaces introduced in [24] and discuss several results related to de Branges operators. Let $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ be two $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire functions such that
(1) $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ are invertible for at least at one point, and
(2) $E_{+}^{-1} E_{-} \in \mathcal{S}^{i n} \cap \mathcal{S}_{*}^{i n}$.

Then the pair of $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire functions $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is said to be a de Branges operator. Now, a de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ provides the following three relations between $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
E_{+}(z) E_{+}(z)^{*}-E_{-}(z) E_{-}(z)^{*} \succeq 0 & \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\
E_{+}(x) E_{+}(x)^{*}-E_{-}(x) E_{-}(x)^{*}=0 & \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.2}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}(z) E_{+}(\bar{z})^{*}-E_{-}(z) E_{-}(\bar{z})^{*}=0 \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since the index functions of $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ are integer-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{C}$, condition (1) above implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(E_{+}(z)\right)=\operatorname{ind}\left(E_{-}(z)\right)=0 \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the kernel

$$
K_{w}(z):= \begin{cases}\frac{E_{+}(z) E_{+}(w)^{*}-E_{-}(z) E_{-}(w)^{*}}{\rho_{w}(z)} & \text { if } z \neq \bar{w}  \tag{3.5}\\ \frac{E_{+}^{\prime}(\bar{w}) E_{+}(w)^{*}-E_{-}^{\prime}(\bar{w}) E_{-}(w)^{*}}{-2 \pi i} & \text { if } z=\bar{w}\end{cases}
$$

corresponding to a de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. The kernel $K_{w}(z)$ is positive on $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$, and we denote the corresponding unique reproducing kernel Hilbert space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions as $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. Another representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ in terms of Hardy spaces is as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})=\left\{f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \text { entire function } \mid E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right), E_{-}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}\right\} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the above observation is similar to Theorem 3.10 in [4]. The norm and inner product defined on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ are respectively as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|^{2}:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|E_{+}^{-1}(t) f(t)\right\|_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2} d t<\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle:=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\langle E_{+}^{-1}(t) f(t), E_{+}^{-1}(t) g(t)\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d t \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. The next theorem, a slightly updated version of Theorem 8.2 from [24], characterizes the space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a RKHS of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions with $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued $R K$ $K_{w}(z)$ and suppose $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$be such that

$$
K_{\beta}(z), K_{\bar{\beta}}(z) \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X}) \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

and

$$
K_{\beta}(\beta), K_{\bar{\beta}}(\bar{\beta}) \quad \text { both are invertible. }
$$

Then the RKHS $\mathcal{H}$ is the same as the de Branges space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ if and only if
(1) $R_{\beta} \mathcal{H}_{\beta} \subseteq \mathcal{H}, R_{\bar{\beta}} \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\beta}} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$, and
(2) $(\mathfrak{T}-\bar{\beta} I) R_{\beta}: \mathcal{H}_{\beta} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\bar{\beta}}$ is an isometric isomorphism.

Proof. The proof of this theorem follows similarly to the proof of Theorem 8.2 in [24] except for the necessary part where we need to prove $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ both are surjective. Since $K_{\beta}(\beta)$ and $K_{\bar{\beta}}(\bar{\beta})$ both are invertible, we already know that $E_{+}(\beta)^{*}$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})^{*}$ both are injective. Now $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ are also injective follows from (1.1). This implies that $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ are surjective. Notice that here, we do not insist that $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ are both self-adjoint operators; instead, we are using the fact that they are both Fredholm operators with index zero.

The following two theorems discuss the fact that corresponding to different de Branges operators, we can get the same de Branges space. This situation is characterized by the $\mathcal{J}$-unitary operator matrix on $\mathfrak{X} \oplus \mathfrak{X}$. A similar discussion for the matrix case can be found in [4] (Chapter 3.2). Suppose

$$
U=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
U_{11} & U_{12}  \tag{3.9}\\
U_{21} & U_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

be an operator matrix such that all its entries belong to $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Then $U$ is an J-unitary operator matrix if

$$
U \mathcal{J} U^{*}=U^{*} \mathcal{J} U=\mathcal{J}
$$

The following lemma gives some insightful information about the entries of an Junitary operator matrix. The proof of this lemma follows from [1] (Lemma 5.2).

Lemma 3.2. If $U$ is an $\mathcal{J}$-unitary operator matrix as in (3.9), the following assertions are true:
(1) $U_{11}$ and $U_{22}$ are invertible operators.
(2) $\left\|U_{12} U_{22}^{-1}\right\|<1,\left\|U_{21} U_{11}^{-1}\right\|<1,\left\|U_{11}^{-1} U_{12}\right\|<1$ and $\left\|U_{22}^{-1} U_{21}\right\|<1$.

Now, we mention an immediate corollary of the previous lemma and Theorem 3 in [21], which gives a factorization of the J-unitary operator matrix $U$.

Corollary 3.3. If $U$ is an $\mathcal{J}$-unitary operator matrix as in (3.9), and

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(I-K^{*} K\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & K^{*}\left(I-K K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
\left(I-K K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K & \left(I-K K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then the following factorizations hold:
(1) $U=L A$, where $K=U_{22}^{-1} U_{21}$ and

$$
L=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(U_{11}-U_{12} K\right)\left(I-K^{*} K\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\
0 & U_{22}\left(I-K K^{*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

(2) $U=A R$, where $K=U_{21} U_{11}^{-1}$ and

$$
R=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\left(I-K^{*} K\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} U_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \left(I-K K^{*}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_{22}-K U_{12}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a de Branges space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions corresponding to a de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $U$ be the operator matrix as in (3.9) such that

$$
F_{-}(z)=E_{-}(z) U_{11}+E_{+}(z) U_{21}, \quad F_{+}(z)=E_{-}(z) U_{12}+E_{+}(z) U_{22}
$$

belong to $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\mathfrak{F}(z)=\left(F_{-}(z), F_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator and $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})=\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$.

Proof. $F_{+}(z)$ and $F_{-}(z)$ both are $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire functions. Fix any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$ to be such that $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ both are invertible. Then $\left\|E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta)\right\| \leq 1$ together with Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$
F_{+}(\beta)=E_{+}(\beta)\left[E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta) U_{12} U_{22}^{-1}+I\right] U_{22}
$$

is invertible. Similarly, it can be proved that $F_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ is invertible. Also, it only needs a small calculation to show that, for every $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
F_{+}(z) F_{+}(w)^{*}-F_{-}(z) F_{-}(w)^{*}=E_{+}(z) E_{+}(w)^{*}-E_{-}(z) E_{-}(w)^{*}
$$

This implies $F_{+}^{-1} F_{-} \in \mathfrak{S}^{i n} \cap \mathcal{S}_{*}^{i n}$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})=\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$.
The following theorem gives the converse of this result.
Theorem 3.5. Let $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(z)=\left(F_{-}(z), F_{+}(z)\right)$ be two de Branges operators, and the corresponding de Branges spaces are $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ with reproducing kernels $K_{w}^{\mathfrak{E}}(z)$ and $K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(z)$ respectively. Also, suppose that there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$be such that $K_{\beta}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)$ and $K_{\beta}^{\mathscr{E}}(\bar{\beta})$ both are invertible. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})=\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ implies that there exists an $\mathfrak{J}$-unitary operator matrix $U$ on $\mathfrak{X} \oplus \mathfrak{X}$ such that

$$
\left[F_{-}(z) F_{+}(z)\right]=\left[E_{-}(z) E_{+}(z)\right] U \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Proof. $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})=\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ implies that $K_{w}^{\mathfrak{E}}(z)=K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(z)$ for all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, $K_{\beta}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)$ and $K_{\bar{\beta}}^{\mathcal{E}}(\bar{\beta})$ both are invertible gives the following:
(1) $E_{+}(\beta)$ and $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})$ are invertible operators, and
(2) $\left\|E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta)\right\|<1$ and $\left\|E_{-}^{-1}(\bar{\beta}) E_{+}(\bar{\beta})\right\|<1$.

Consider the following two operator matrices on $\mathfrak{X} \oplus \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
E_{-}(\beta) & E_{+}(\beta) \\
E_{-}(\bar{\beta}) & E_{+}(\bar{\beta})
\end{array}\right] \text { and } U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta)=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
F_{-}(\beta) & F_{+}(\beta) \\
F_{-}(\bar{\beta}) & F_{+}(\bar{\beta})
\end{array}\right] .
$$

It is clear that these operator matrices follow the identity

$$
U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta) \mathcal{I} U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\rho_{\beta}(\beta) K_{\beta}^{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta) & 0 \\
0 & -\rho_{\bar{\beta}}(\bar{\beta}) K_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}}^{\mathfrak{F}}(\bar{\beta})
\end{array}\right]=U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta) \mathcal{J} U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta)^{*} .
$$

From the Schur complement formula, it can be seen that the operator matrix $U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)$ is invertible if and only if $E_{-}(\bar{\beta})-E_{+}(\bar{\beta}) E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta)$ is an invertible operator. Now

$$
E_{-}(\bar{\beta})-E_{+}(\bar{\beta}) E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta)=E_{-}(\bar{\beta})\left[I-E_{-}^{-1}(\bar{\beta}) E_{+}(\bar{\beta}) E_{+}^{-1}(\beta) E_{-}(\beta)\right]
$$

implies that $U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)$ is invertible. Similarly, it can be proved that $U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta)$ is also invertible. Consider the operator matrix $U_{0}=U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)^{*}\left(U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta)^{*}\right)^{-1}$. It is clear that $U_{0}$ is $\mathcal{J}$-unitary and

$$
\left[F_{-}(z) F_{+}(z)\right] J U_{\mathfrak{F}}(\beta)^{*}=\left[E_{-}(z) E_{+}(z)\right] J U_{\mathfrak{E}}(\beta)^{*} \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \text {. }
$$

Now, choose $U=\mathcal{J} U_{0} \mathcal{J}$, then $U$ is an $\mathcal{J}$-unitary operator and

$$
\left[F_{-}(z) F_{+}(z)\right]=\left[E_{-}(z) E_{+}(z)\right] U \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

The following lemma provides a connection between the real poles of the meromorphic functions $E_{+}^{-1}(\cdot)$ and $E_{-}^{-1}(\cdot)$ when $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator.

Lemma 3.6. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a de Branges space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions corresponding to a de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$. Then, a point $x \in \mathbb{R}$ is a pole of $E_{+}^{-1}(\cdot)$ if and only if it is a pole of $E_{-}^{-1}(\cdot)$.

Proof. In view of Theorem 2.4, to prove this lemma, it is sufficient to show that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}, E_{+}(x)$ is invertible if and only if $E_{-}(x)$ is invertible. Recall that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, E_{+}$and $E_{-}$satisfy the following identity:

$$
E_{+}(x) E_{+}(x)^{*}=E_{-}(x) E_{-}(x)^{*}
$$

Now, a theorem due to Douglas (see [16]) gives $\operatorname{rng} E_{+}(x)=\operatorname{rng} E_{-}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus $E_{+}(x)$ is invertible implies $\operatorname{rng} E_{+}(x)=\mathfrak{X}$ and ker $E_{+}(x)=\{0\}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{rng} E_{-}(x)=\mathfrak{X}$ and the equality implies

$$
\operatorname{ker} E_{-}(x)^{*}=\operatorname{ker} E_{+}(x)^{*}=\{0\}
$$

which gives $E_{-}(x)$ is invertible. Similarly, when $E_{-}(x)$ is invertible, $E_{+}(x)$ is invertible.

The following lemma can be considered the vector generalization of Problem 45 from the book [11].

Lemma 3.7. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a de Branges space of $\mathfrak{X}$-valued entire functions corresponding to the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\alpha$ is any complex number such that $f(\alpha)=0$. Then the following implications hold:
(1) $\frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$, and
(2) $\frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, where $E_{+}(\alpha)$ (so too $E_{-}(\alpha)$ ) is invertible.

Proof. We divide the proof into the following three cases:
Case 1 (When $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$):
Since $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}), E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $E_{-}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. To show that $\frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in$ $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ we need to verify that $E_{+}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $E_{-}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. Now,

$$
E_{-}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha}=\frac{z-i}{z-\alpha} \frac{E_{-}^{-1}(z) f(z)}{z-i} .
$$

Since $\frac{z-i}{z-\alpha}$ is bounded and analytic on $\mathbb{C}_{-}$and $\frac{E_{-}^{-1}(z) f(z)}{z-i} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$, it is clear that $E_{-}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. Similarly, we write

$$
E_{+}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha}=\frac{z+i}{z-\alpha} \frac{E_{+}^{-1}(z) f(z)}{z+i} .
$$

Since $\frac{z+i}{z-\alpha}$ is not analytic and bounded on $\mathbb{C}_{+}$, we can not argue as before. But using the Cauchy integral formula and the facts that $\frac{1}{z+i} \in H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right), E_{+}^{-1}(z) f(z) \in$ $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$, it can be proved that $E_{+}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. For the supporting calculations, see Lemma 3.14 in [4].
Case 2 (When $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$):
Since this case can be proved similar to the first one, we avoid the calculations.
Case 3 (When $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ) :
Observe from Lemma 3.4 that for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}, E_{+}(\alpha)$ is invertible if and only if $E_{-}(\alpha)$ is invertible. When $E_{+}(\alpha)$ is invertible, $E_{+}^{-1} f$ and $E_{-}^{-1} f$ are analytic at $\alpha$. Now, the remaining argument follows from Lemma 2.2.

## 4. Global Factorization and Analytic Equivalence

This section presents a global factorization of Fredholm operator valued entire functions, which are invertible at least at one point. This factorization then provides a result of analytic equivalence between the reproducing kernels of de Branges spaces that we considered in Section 3 and the RKHS of vector valued entire functions constructed in [13] (Theorem 1). Let $A(z)$ be a $B(\mathfrak{X})$ valued entire function such that $A(z) \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $I$ denote the identity operator on $\mathfrak{X}$. Suppose $A(z)$ is invertible at $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$, then because of Theorem 2.4 there exists a discrete set $D=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}, \ldots\right\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $A(z)$ are invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D$. The case when $D$ is finite, a global factorization was discussed in [6], and local factorizations of $A(z)$ can be found in [18]. Here, we consider the case when $D$ is infinite and thus $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
The following two theorems (Theorem4.1 and Theorem4.2) generalize two results due to de Branges and Rovnyak ( Theorem 19 and Theorem 20, Appendix [13] ). While our proofs follow a similar line of reasoning, we have taken the initiative to provide a detailed technical explanation specific to our current setting. Below, we mention two general inequalities from [13], which will be used in the proof of Theorem4.1,
For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq \mu<1$ the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-(1-\mu) \exp \left(\mu+\frac{1}{2} \mu^{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \mu^{n}\right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{\mu^{n+1}}{1-\mu}\right)-1 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}$ are in $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+\left\|A_{1} A_{2} \ldots A_{n}-I\right\| \leq\left[1+\left\|A_{1}-I\right\|\right] \ldots\left[1+\left\|A_{n}-I\right\|\right] . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $\left\{P_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of finite rank orthogonal projections and $\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose a complex number $z_{0}$ is such that $z_{n}-z_{0} \neq 0$ for all $n$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
P(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} & {\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right] \ldots } \\
& {\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}} P_{n}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}} P_{n}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}}{\left(z_{n}-z_{0}\right)^{n}} P_{n}\right] } \tag{4.3}
\end{align*}
$$

converges uniformly in any bounded set with respect to the operator norm and $P(z)$ is a $B(\mathfrak{X})$ valued entire function such that $I-P(z)$ is compact operator for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{n}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}, P(z)$ is invertible.

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{n}(z)= & {\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right] \ldots } \\
& {\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}} P_{n}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}} P_{n}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{n}}{\left(z_{n}-z_{0}\right)^{n}} P_{n}\right] . } \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

To prove the first part of the proof, we need to show that $\left\{G_{n}(z)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in any bounded set with respect to the operator norm. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the entire functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(z)=1-(1-z) \exp \left(z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} z^{n}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n}(z)=I-\left(I-z P_{n}\right) \exp \left(z P_{n}+\frac{1}{2} z^{2} P_{n}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} z^{n} P_{n}\right)=f_{n}(z) P_{n} . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for any $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}, g_{n}^{(k)}(z)=f_{n}^{(k)}(z) P_{n}$. Also, since

$$
f_{n}^{\prime}(z)=z^{n} \exp \left(z+\frac{1}{2} z^{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} z^{n}\right)
$$

it follows that $f_{n}^{(k)}(0) \geq 0$ for every $n$ and $k$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{n}(z)\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{f_{n}^{(k)}(0)}{k!}|z|^{k}=f_{n}(|z|) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now using (4.1) for $\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right|$ when $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\left|z_{n}-z_{0}\right|$ and the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left|g_{n}\left(\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right) \| \leq\left|f_{n}\left(\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right)\right| \leq f_{n}\left(\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right|\right) \leq \exp \left(\frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-0_{0}}\right|^{n+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right|}\right)-1 .\right.\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we can choose $n$ so large that $\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|<1$ for all $k \geq n$. Now, for $n \leq r \leq s$, using (4.1), (4.2) and the above calculations, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|G_{r}(z)-G_{s}(z)\right\| \leq\left\|G_{n}(z)\right\| \exp & \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{s} \frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|^{k+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|}\right) \\
& -\left\|G_{n}(z)\right\| \exp \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{r} \frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|^{k+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|}\right) \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for $z$ in any bounded set, $\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the limit $P(z)$ exists in the operator norm uniformly for $z$ in any bounded set. Since $P(z)$ is a uniform limit of analytic functions, it is defined in the whole complex plane and an entire function. For every $n, I-G_{n}(z)$ is a compact operator for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This is easy to observe by expanding the exponential function involved in $G_{n}(z)$ as power series. This implies $I-P(z)$ is a compact operator for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For any $n$,
$G_{n}(z)$ is invertible for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n}\right\}$ follows from (4.11). Thus for $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ and for every $n, G_{n}(z)$ is invertible.
Since for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{n}-z_{0}}\right|=0$, the following calculation shows that we can choose $n$ so large (depending on $z$ ) that

$$
\left\|G_{n}(z)^{-1} P(z)-I\right\|<1
$$

Now, using (4.1) and (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|G_{n}(z)^{-1} P(z)-I\right\| & =\left\|G_{n}(z)^{-1} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} G_{k}(z)-I\right\| \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|G_{n}(z)^{-1} G_{k}(z)-I\right\| \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|B_{n+1} \exp \left(\tilde{B}_{n+1}\right) \ldots B_{k} \exp \left(\tilde{B}_{k}\right)-I\right\| \\
& \leq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[\sum_{s=n+1}^{k} \frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{s}-z_{0}}\right|^{s+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{s}-z_{0}}\right|}\right]-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have assumed that

$$
B_{j}=\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{j}-z_{0}} P_{j}\right]
$$

and

$$
\tilde{B}_{j}=\left[\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{j}-z_{0}} P_{j}+\ldots+\frac{1}{j} \frac{\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{j}}{\left(z_{j}-z_{0}\right)^{j}} P_{j}\right] .
$$

This implies that for every $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right\}, G_{n}(z)^{-1} P(z)$ is an invertible operator, and hence $P(z)$ is also an invertible operator.

The following theorem gives a global factorization of Fredholm operator valued entire functions, which are invertible at least at one point.

Theorem 4.2. Let $A(z)$ be a $B(\mathfrak{X})$ valued entire function such that $A(z) \in \Phi(\mathfrak{X})$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $A\left(z_{0}\right)$ is invertible for some $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $A$ can be factored as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=P(z) E(z)=F(z) Q(z) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are operator valued entire functions of the form (4.3) and $E(z), F(z)$ are invertible operator valued entire functions.

Proof. Since $A(z)$ is a Fredholm operator valued entire function and $A\left(z_{0}\right)$ is an invertible operator, Theorem 2.4 implies that there exists a discrete set of complex numbers $D=\left\{z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ such that $A(z)$ is an invertible operator for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D$. Since $D$ is a discrete set, $D$ can be a finite set or an infinite set such that $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, we focus on proving the first factorization of $A(z)$ that appeared in (4.10).
If $D$ is an empty set, the result follows with $P(z)=I$ and $E(z)=A(z)$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $z_{1}$ is the point in $D$ nearest to
$z_{0}$. Since $A\left(z_{1}\right)$ is a Fredholm operator, $\operatorname{rng} A\left(z_{1}\right)$ is a closed subspace of $\mathfrak{X}$, and $\left(\operatorname{rng} A\left(z_{1}\right)\right)^{\perp}$ is finite dimensional. Let $P_{1}$ be the orthogonal projection operator on $\left(\operatorname{rng} A\left(z_{1}\right)\right)^{\perp}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right]^{-1}=\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-z_{1}} P_{1}\right] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an operator valued analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{z_{1}\right\}$. Also, using the fact that $P_{1} A\left(z_{1}\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right]^{-1} A(z)=\left[\left(z-z_{1}\right)-\left(z-z_{0}\right) P_{1}\right]\left[\frac{A(z)-A\left(z_{1}\right)}{z-z_{1}}\right]+A\left(z_{1}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an operator valued entire function. This gives $A(z)=G_{1}(z) E_{2}(z)$, where $G_{1}(z)$ as in Theorem 4.1 and

$$
E_{2}(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left[-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} P_{1}\right]\left[\left(z-z_{1}-\left(z-z_{0}\right) P_{1}\right)\left[\frac{A(z)-A\left(z_{1}\right)}{z-z_{1}}\right]+A\left(z_{1}\right)\right], z \neq z_{1}  \tag{4.13}\\
\exp \left(-P_{1}\right)\left[A\left(z_{1}\right)-\left(z_{1}-z_{0}\right) P_{1} A^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\right], z=z_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to observe that $E_{2}(z)$ is a Fredholm operator valued entire function, and $E_{2}\left(z_{0}\right)=A\left(z_{0}\right)$ is an invertible operator. If $E_{2}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the proof of this part is complete, and $P(z)=G_{1}(z), E(z)=E_{2}(z)$. Otherwise, let $z_{2} \in D$ nearest to $z_{0}$ such that $E_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)$ is not invertible and continue inductively. Now, at the n-th phase, $E_{n}(z)$ is a Fredholm operator valued entire function, and $E_{n}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is an invertible operator. Suppose $z_{n} \in D$ nearest to $z_{0}$ such that $E_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)$ is not an invertible operator. Again, taking the orthogonal projection $P_{n}$ on $\left(\operatorname{rng} E_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)\right)^{\perp}$, we get $A(z)=G_{n}(z) E_{n+1}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $G_{n}(z)$ as in Theorem4.1 and $E_{n+1}(z)$ is a Fredholm operator valued entire function and $E_{n+1}\left(z_{0}\right)$ is invertible. If $E_{n+1}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we may stop the inductive process and consider $P(z)=G_{n}(z), E(z)=E_{n+1}(z)$. Otherwise, we will move on to the next phase. If $D$ is finite, this process will stop after finite steps, and we will get the desired factorization of $A(z)$.
Suppose $D$ is infinite, then $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(z)=G_{n}(z) E_{n+1}(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \text { and } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, all $P_{n}$ are finite rank orthogonal projections. Thus we can apply Theorem4.1 to obtain an operator valued entire function $P(z)$ such that $I-P(z)$ is compact for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $P(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash D$. Now, we want to show that $\left\{E_{n}(z)\right\}$ is a uniformly Cauchy sequence in any bounded set with respect to the operator norm. By construction, it is clear that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$
E_{n}(z)=B_{n} \exp \left(\tilde{B}_{n}\right) E_{n+1}(z),
$$

where $B_{n}$ and $\tilde{B}_{n}$ are as defined in the previous theorem. Thus for any $m \leq n \leq s$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|E_{m}(z)-E_{n}(z)\right\| \leq\left\|E_{s}(z)\right\| \exp & \left(\sum_{k=m}^{s} \frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|^{k+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|}\right) \\
& -\| E_{s}(z)| | \exp \left(\sum_{k=n}^{s} \frac{\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|^{k+1}}{1-\left|\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{k}-z_{0}}\right|}\right) . \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies that $E(z)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{n+1}(z)$ exists uniformly on bounded sets with respect to the operator norm. From (4.14), we conclude that $A(z)=P(z) E(z)$. Now, we only need to show that $E(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
By construction, it is clear that $E_{n}(z)$ has invertible values when $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\left|z_{n}-z_{0}\right|$. Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{n}(z) & =B_{n} \exp \left(\tilde{B}_{n}\right) E_{n+1}(z) \\
& =G_{n-1}^{-1}(z) G_{n}(z) E_{n+1}(z) \\
& =G_{n-1}^{-1}(z) P(z) E(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G_{n-1}^{-1}(z) P(z)$ is invertible when $\left|z-z_{0}\right|<\left|z_{n}-z_{0}\right|, E(z)$ is also invertible for all $z$ belonging to this disk. Since $\left|z_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, E(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.
The other factorization of $A(z)$ that appeared in (4.10) can be proved similarly as above. For clarification, let us mention the first factorization step. Let $Q_{1}$ be the orthogonal projection on $\operatorname{ker} A\left(z_{1}\right)$, which is of finite rank. Then

$$
\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} Q_{1}\right]^{-1}=\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z-z_{1}} Q_{1}\right]
$$

and since $A\left(z_{1}\right) Q_{1}=0$,

$$
A(z)\left[I-\frac{z-z_{0}}{z_{1}-z_{0}} Q_{1}\right]^{-1}=\left[\frac{A(z)-A\left(z_{1}\right)}{z-z_{1}}\right]\left[\left(z-z_{1}\right)-\left(z-z_{0}\right) Q_{1}\right]+A\left(z_{1}\right)
$$

The remaining steps can be done in an obvious way. This completes the proof.
The above theorem can be considered as the operator analog of the well known Weierstrass factorization theorem for scalar valued entire functions. Also, for any matrix valued entire function $A(z)$, a factorization of the form (4.10) can be readily derived; here, a point $z_{0} \in \mathbb{C}$ is considered a zero of $A(z)$ if the determinant of $A\left(z_{0}\right)$ is equal to zero. Additionally, in this direction, it is worth mentioning some other factorizations of matrix valued analytic functions available in the literature. For instance, the seminal work of Potapov [26] regarding the factorization of matrix valued inner functions and its application to multiplicative representations of matrix valued analytic functions. Recently, this was extended in [15] for operator
valued inner functions. The factorizations of Potapov for J-contractive and J-inner matrix valued functions and their applications are also available in [3]. A Hadamard factorization for matrix valued entire functions can be found in [27].
Now, suppose the pair of operator valued entire functions $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator. Then, both $E_{-}(z)$ and $E_{+}(z)$ are Fredholm operator valued entire functions that are invertible at least at one point. Thus, due to the previous theorem, the following factorizations of both $E_{-}(z)$ and $E_{+}(z)$ hold:
(1) $E_{-}(z)=F_{-}(z) Q_{-}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $I-Q_{-}(z)$ is compact and $F_{-}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, $Q_{-}(z)$ is invertible, whereas $E_{-}(z)$ is invertible.
(2) $E_{+}(z)=F_{+}(z) Q_{+}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, where $I-Q_{+}(z)$ is compact and $F_{+}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Also, $Q_{+}(z)$ is invertible, whereas $E_{+}(z)$ is invertible.

Now, suppose $F_{-}(z)=F_{+}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{\xi}(z) & =\frac{E_{+}(z) E_{+}(\xi)^{*}-E_{-}(z) E_{-}(\xi)^{*}}{\rho_{\xi}(z)} \\
& =F_{+}(z)\left[\frac{Q_{+}(z) Q_{+}(\xi)^{*}-Q_{-}(z) Q_{-}(\xi)^{*}}{\rho_{\xi}(z)}\right] F_{+}(\xi)^{*} \\
& =F_{+}(z) \Gamma_{\xi}(z) F_{+}(\xi)^{*}, \tag{4.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{\xi}(z)=\frac{Q_{+}(z) Q_{+}(\xi)^{*}-Q_{-}(z) Q_{-}(\xi)^{*}}{\rho_{\xi}(z)}$. From (4.16), it is clear that $\Gamma_{\xi}(z)$ is a positive kernel, and the pair of Fredholm operator valued entire functions $\mathfrak{Q}(z)=$ $\left(Q_{-}(z), Q_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator. Note that though the condition $F_{-}(z)=$ $F_{+}(z)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ seems very strong, this situation will occur naturally in Theorem 5.6. Observe that (4.16) gives an analytic equivalence between the two reproducing kernels $K_{\xi}(z)$ and $\Gamma_{\xi}(z)$, i.e.,

$$
K_{z}(z)=F_{+}(z) \Gamma_{z}(z) F_{+}(z)^{*} \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C}
$$

Details about analytic equivalence of two operator valued entire functions can be found in [19] and [20].

## 5. Isometric Embedding

In this section, we deal with several isometric embedding results related to the vector valued de Branges spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. In Particular, we present the vector version of Problem 44 from the book [11]. Theorem 5.6 is the main result of this section. Moreover, assume that the de Branges operators involved in this section satisfy the following additional two conditions:

Hypothesis 5.1. Suppose $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator, then
(1) $E_{+}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$,
(2) $E_{-}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$.

The following two lemmas are motivated by [22], where the de Branges spaces under consideration were Hilbert spaces of scalar valued entire functions.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ be two de Branges spaces corresponding to the de Branges operators $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{E}^{0}(z)=\left(E_{-}^{0}(z), E_{+}^{0}(z)\right)$ respectively. Suppose $P(z)$ is a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+}(z)=P(z) E_{+}^{0}(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-}(z)=P(z) E_{-}^{0}(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $P \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ isometrically.
Proof. From (5.1) and (5.2) it is clear that $P(z)$ is $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function and is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+} \cup \mathbb{C}_{-}$. Also, due to the Fredholm analytic theorem, we conclude that $P(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$ except possibly on a discrete set. Now, suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$, i.e., $\left(E_{+}^{0}\right)^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $\left(E_{-}^{0}\right)^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. To show the isometric containment of $P \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, it is sufficient to show that $P f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\|P f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})}=\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{E}^{0}\right)}$. The following supplementary calculations prove the lemma.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{+}^{-1}(z) P(z) f(z)=\left(E_{+}^{0}\right)^{-1}(z) P(z)^{-1} P(z) f(z)=\left(E_{+}^{0}\right)^{-1}(z) f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}, \\
& E_{-}^{-1}(z) P(z) f(z)=\left(E_{-}^{0}\right)^{-1}(z) P(z)^{-1} P(z) f(z)=\left(E_{-}^{0}\right)^{-1}(z) f(z) \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|P f\|_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|E_{+}^{-1}(t) P(t) f(t)\right\|^{2} d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\left(E_{+}^{o}\right)^{-1}(t) P(t)^{-1} P(t) f(t)\right\|^{2} d t \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\|\left(E_{+}^{o}\right)^{-1}(t) f(t)\right\|^{2} d t \\
& =\|f\|_{\mathcal{B}\left(\mathbb{E}^{0}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The following lemma is an application of the previous lemma.

Lemma 5.3. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ be two de Branges spaces corresponding to the de Branges operators $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $\mathfrak{F}(z)=\left(F_{-}(z), F_{+}(z)\right)$ respectively. Assume that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the following four relations are true:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{+}(z) E_{+}(z)=E_{+}(z) F_{+}(z) ;  \tag{5.3}\\
& F_{+}(z) E_{-}(z)=E_{-}(z) F_{+}(z) ;  \tag{5.4}\\
& F_{-}(z) E_{+}(z)=E_{+}(z) F_{-}(z) ;  \tag{5.5}\\
& F_{-}(z) E_{-}(z)=E_{-}(z) F_{-}(z) . \tag{5.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the pair of Fredholm operator valued entire functions

$$
\mathfrak{E F}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z) F_{-}(z), E_{+}(z) F_{+}(z)\right)
$$

will be a de Branges operator. Moreover, if the following two additional relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{-}(z) F_{-}(z)=E_{+}(z) F_{-}(z) ;  \tag{5.7}\\
& E_{+}(z) F_{+}(z)=F_{-}(z) E_{+}(z) \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

are true, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E F})=E_{+} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F}) \oplus F_{-} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Only after a few small calculations, it can be easily shown that

$$
\left(E_{+} F_{+}\right)^{-1}\left(E_{-} F_{-}\right) \in \mathcal{S}^{i n} \cap \mathcal{S}_{*}^{i n} .
$$

This implies that $\mathfrak{E F}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z) F_{-}(z), E_{+}(z) F_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator, and $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E F})$ is the corresponding de Branges space. If $K_{w}^{\mathfrak{E}}(z)$ is the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(z)$ is the reproducing kernel of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$, the reproducing kernel $K_{w}(z)$ of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E F})$ can be represented as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
K_{w}(z) & =\frac{E_{+}(z) F_{+}(z) F_{+}(w)^{*} E_{+}(w)^{*}-E_{-}(z) F_{-}(z) F_{-}(w)^{*} E_{-}(w)^{*}}{\rho_{w}(z)} \\
& =E_{+}(z) K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(z) E_{+}(w)^{*}+F_{-}(z) K_{w}^{\mathfrak{E}}(z) F_{-}(w)^{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, when (5.3) and (5.7) hold, due to the previous lemma we conclude that $E_{+} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ is contained isometrically in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E F})$. Also, the following calculation shows that $E_{+}(z) K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(z) E_{+}(w)^{*}$ is the reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space $E_{+} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$.

For any $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})$ and $u \in \mathfrak{X}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle E_{+} f, E_{+} K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}} E_{+}(w)^{*} u\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})} & =\left\langle E_{+} f, E_{+} K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}} E_{+}(w)^{*} u\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E} \mathfrak{F})} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\langle F_{+}^{-1}(t) f(t), F_{+}^{-1}(t) K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}}(t) E_{+}(w)^{*} u\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d t \\
& =\left\langle f, K_{w}^{\mathfrak{F}} E_{+}(w)^{*} u\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{F})} \\
& =\left\langle f(w), E_{+}(w)^{*} u\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
& =\left\langle\left(E_{+} f\right)(w), u\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, it can be shown that when (5.6) and (5.8) hold, $F_{-} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is isometrically contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E F})$, and $F_{-}(z) K_{w}^{\mathfrak{E}}(z) F_{-}(w)^{*}$ is the reproducing kernel of the Hilbert space $F_{-} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. The rest of the proof follows from a general complementation theory in Hilbert spaces, which can be found in [2] (Chapter 1.5).

Now, we mention a particular case of the Theorem 4.2, which will be used later in this section.

Theorem 5.4. Let $A(z)$ be a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function that is invertible at least at one point. Then a factorization of $A(z)$ of the form $A(z)=N(z) A_{0}(z)$ holds, where $A_{0}(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function that is invertible for all real $z$ and $N(z)$ is a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function of the form (4.3). Also, $N(z)$ is invertible for all $z$ except for those $z \in \mathbb{R}$ where $A(z)$ is not invertible.

Proof. The Fredholm analytic theorem and the fact that $A(z)$ is invertible at least at one point implies that $A(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ except for a discrete set. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $A(z)$ is invertible at the origin and $D_{0}$ is the collection of all real points, where $A(z)$ is not invertible. If $D_{0}$ is empty, then the factorization result follows by choosing $N(z)=I$ and $A_{0}(z)=A(z)$. Otherwise, let $x_{1}$ be an element in $D_{0}$ nearest to the origin and $P_{1}$ be the orthogonal projection operator on $\left(\operatorname{rng} A\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{\perp} . \quad P_{1}$ is a finite rank operator, as $A\left(x_{1}\right)$ is a Fredholm operator. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]^{-1}=\left[I-\frac{z}{z-x_{1}} P_{1}\right] \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an operator valued analytic function for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ except at $x_{1}$, and $P_{1} A\left(x_{1}\right)=0$ implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]^{-1} A(z)=\left(z-x_{1}-z P_{1}\right)\left[\frac{A(z)-A\left(x_{1}\right)}{z-x_{1}}\right]+A\left(x_{1}\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an operator valued entire function. Thus $A(z)$ has the factorization $A(z)=$ $N^{(1)}(z) A_{0}^{(2)}(z)$, where $N^{(1)}(z)=\left[I-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]$ and

$$
A_{0}^{(2)}(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left[-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]\left[\left(z-x_{1}-z P_{1}\right)\left[\frac{A(z)-A\left(x_{1}\right)}{z-x_{1}}\right]+A\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z \neq x_{1}  \tag{5.12}\\
\exp \left(-P_{1}\right)\left[A\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} P_{1} A^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z=x_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Observe that $A_{0}^{(2)}(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function invertible at the origin. If $A_{0}^{(2)}(z)$ is invertible for all real $z$, the proof is complete, and $N(z)=N^{(1)}(z)$, $A_{0}(z)=A_{0}^{(2)}(z)$. Otherwise, let $x_{2}$ be an element in $D_{0}$ nearest to the origin such that $A_{0}^{(2)}\left(x_{2}\right)$ is not invertible and continue inductively. At the n-th step of the induction process, we have $A_{0}^{(n)}(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function invertible at the origin. Suppose $x_{n}$ is an element in $D_{0}$ nearest to the origin such that $A_{0}^{(n)}\left(x_{n}\right)$ is not invertible. Let $P_{n}$ is the orthogonal projection on $\left(\operatorname{rng} A_{0}^{(n)}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)^{\perp}$. Again we have the factorization $A(z)=N^{(n)}(z) A_{0}^{(n+1)}(z)$, where

$$
N^{(n)}(z)=\left[I-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right] \ldots\left[I-\frac{z}{x_{n}} P_{n}\right] \exp \left[\frac{z}{x_{n}} P_{n}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n} \frac{z^{n}}{x_{n}^{n}} P_{n}\right]
$$

and $A_{0}^{(n+1)}(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function invertible at the origin. If $A_{0}^{(n+1)}(z)$ is invertible for all real $z$, we conclude the proof with $N(z)=N^{(n)}(z)$ and $A_{0}(z)=$ $A_{0}^{(n+1)}(z)$. Otherwise, we keep the process moving. This discussion already covered the case when $D_{0}$ is finite. Now, suppose $D_{0}$ is infinite with $\left|x_{n}\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Now, we can apply Theorem 4.1 to conclude that the sequence $\left\{N^{(n)}(z)\right\}$ converges to some $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function $N(z)$ uniformly in any bounded set with respect to the operator norm such that $I-N(z)$ is compact for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\left\{x_{n}\right\}$. Also, the proof of the fact that the sequence $\left\{A_{0}^{(n+1)}(z)\right\}$ converges to some $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function $A_{0}(z)$, invertible for all real $z$, uniformly in any bounded set with respect to operator norm follows from Theorem 4.2. Observe that for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$ both $A(z)$ and $N(z)$ are Fredholm operators. Thus $A_{0}(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function follows from Proposition 2.3 .

Remark 5.5. If the finite rank orthogonal projection operators $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}, \ldots$ in the previous theorem are pairwise commutative, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}, N^{(n)}(x)^{*}=$ $N^{(n)}(x)$. Since the self-adjoint operators are closed subset of $B(\mathfrak{X})$ and $N^{(n)}(x) \rightarrow$ $N(x)$ in operator norm, $N(x)$ is self-adjoint for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

The next theorem makes a bridge between the factorization of Fredholm operator valued entire functions and structures of vector valued de Branges spaces.

Theorem 5.6. Let $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator. Then $E_{+}(z)=$ $N(z) E_{+}^{0}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)=N(z) E_{-}^{0}(z)$, where $N(z)$ is a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function of the form (4.3) and $\mathfrak{E}^{0}(z)=\left(E_{-}^{0}(z), E_{+}^{0}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator such that
(1) $E_{ \pm}^{0}(z)$ are invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{R}$, and
(2) $N \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ is contained in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ isometrically.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a de Branges space, Lemma 3.6 implies that the real points where both $E_{+}$and $E_{-}$are not invertible are the same, and we denote the collection as $D$. Now, we want the factorization of $E_{+}$and $E_{-}$as in the Theorem 5.4. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $E_{+}$and $E_{-}$are invertible at the origin. Suppose $x_{1}$ is an element in $D$ nearest to the origin, and $P_{1}$ is the orthogonal projection operator on $\left(\operatorname{rng} E_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{\perp}=\left(\operatorname{rng} E_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right)^{\perp}$. Then $E_{+}(z)=N^{(1)}(z) S_{2}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)=N^{(1)}(z) T_{2}(z)$, where $N^{(1)}(z)$ as in the previous theorem,

$$
S_{2}(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left[-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]\left[\left(\left(z-x_{1}\right) I-z P_{1}\right)\left[\frac{E_{+}(z)-E_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)}{z-x_{1}}\right]+E_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z \neq x_{1}  \tag{5.13}\\
\exp \left(-P_{1}\right)\left[E_{+}\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} P_{1} E_{+}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z=x_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
T_{2}(z):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exp \left[-\frac{z}{x_{1}} P_{1}\right]\left[\left(\left(z-x_{1}\right) I-z P_{1}\right)\left[\frac{E_{-}(z)-E_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)}{z-x_{1}}\right]+E_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z \neq x_{1}  \tag{5.14}\\
\exp \left(-P_{1}\right)\left[E_{-}\left(x_{1}\right)-x_{1} P_{1} E_{-}^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)\right], z=x_{1} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here both $S_{2}(z)$ and $T_{2}(z)$ are $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire functions and $S_{2}(0)=E_{+}(0)$, $T_{2}(0)=E_{-}(0)$. Also, from the factorizations of $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$, it is clear that $S_{2}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$, and $T_{2}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$. Then for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_{+}$and for almost every $z \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{+}(z)^{-1} E_{-}(z) & =S_{2}(z)^{-1} N^{(1)}(z)^{-1} N^{(1)}(z) T_{2}(z) \\
& =S_{2}(z)^{-1} T_{2}(z)
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $S_{2}$ and $T_{2}$ satisfy Hypothesis 5.1. Thus for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, again we have $\operatorname{rng} S_{2}(x)=\operatorname{rng} T_{2}(x)$. Now, as in the previous theorem, we continue factoring $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ inductively. Observe that in every inductive step, the first factors of $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$ are the same, and the second factors satisfy hypothesis 5.1. Finally, whether $D$ is finite or infinite, we have the factorization of $E_{+}$and $E_{-}$as

$$
E_{+}(z)=N(z) E_{+}^{0}(z), \quad E_{-}(z)=N(z) E_{-}^{0}(z)
$$

where $N(z)$ as in the previous theorem and $E_{+}^{0}, E_{-}^{0}$ satisfy hypothesis 5.1. This implies $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ exists with the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}^{0}(z)=\left(E_{-}^{0}(z), E_{+}^{0}(z)\right)$. Also, the isometric embedding of $N \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$ into $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ follows from Lemma 5.2,

## 6. ASSOCIATED FUNCTIONS AND MULTIPLICATION OPERATOR IN DE

## BRANGES SPACES

This section deals with the $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire functions associated with a de Branges space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, where $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is the de Branges operator. The relationship between these associated functions and the multiplication operator $\mathfrak{T}$ is also explored. It is worth noting that a discussion of associated functions for scalar valued de Branges spaces of entire functions can be found in [11] (second chapter, section 25). A similar discussion employing a different approach within the framework of de Branges spaces of entire functions with matrix valued reproducing kernels can also be found in [3] and [4]. Moreover, [12] examines Operator valued associated functions $S(z)$, where $I-S(z)$ are compact operators for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. Recall that a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function $S(z)$ is said to be associated with the de Branges space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ if $S(\alpha)$ is invertible for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and for every $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$,

$$
\frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})
$$

Remark 6.1. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is invertible, then $S(z)=K_{\alpha}(z)$ is associated with $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$
(1) for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$, and
(2) for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, where $E_{+}(\alpha)$ is invertible.

Remark 6.2. Observe that $S(z)=I_{\mathfrak{X}}$ is associated with $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ if and only if $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is invariant under the generalized backward shift operator $R_{\alpha}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function to be associated with the de Branges space $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$.

Theorem 6.3. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a vector valued de Branges space corresponding to the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $S(z)$ be a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{E_{+}^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \text {and } \frac{E_{-}^{-1} S}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp} \text { for all } u \in \mathfrak{X} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(1) $E_{+}^{-1} S$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}}$.
(2) $E_{-}^{-1} S$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{-}}$.
(3) Moreover, if $S(\alpha)$ is invertible for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, the linear transformation $R_{S}(\alpha): \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z) \mapsto \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is everywhere defined bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$.

Proof. Since $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$for all $u \in \mathfrak{X}$, no $z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}}$is a pole of $E_{+}^{-1} S u$. Now, due to Fredholm analytic theorem, it is clear that $E_{+}^{-1} S u$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}}$for all $u \in \mathfrak{X}$. Equivalently, $E_{+}^{-1} S$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}}$. Similarly, it can be proved that $E_{-}^{-1} S$ is analytic in $\overline{\mathbb{C}_{-}}$.
Now, it remains to prove (3). Suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $S(\alpha)^{-1} \in$ $B(\mathfrak{X})$. Assume that

$$
g(z)=E_{+}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right]
$$

and

$$
h(z)=E_{-}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right] .
$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, we have $E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$, which implies $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} f}{\rho_{i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. Due to (6.1), it is also true that $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha) \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. Thus, we have $\frac{g}{\rho_{i}} \in$ $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. Similarly, it can be proved that $\frac{h}{\rho_{-i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$.
If $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{C}_{+}}$, then

$$
E_{+}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha}=R_{\alpha} g \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)
$$

as $\frac{g}{\rho_{i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. If $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{-}$, then

$$
E_{+}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha}=\frac{\rho_{i}(z)}{z-\alpha} E_{+}^{-1}(z) \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{\rho_{i}(z)}
$$

belongs to $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$as $\frac{\rho_{i}(z)}{z-\alpha} \in H^{\infty}$. Similarly, it can be proved that, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$,

$$
E_{-}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}
$$

Thus, it is clear that $R_{S}(\alpha)$ is an everywhere defined linear transformation on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. The boundedness of $R_{S}(\alpha)$ can be proved by the closed graph theorem.

Given a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function $S(z)$, we denote $\rho_{S}=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: S(z)^{-1} \in\right.$ $B(\mathfrak{X})\}$. Then, the preceding theorem implies that if $S(z)$ is an associated function of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}), R_{S}(z)$ is a bounded linear operator for all $z \in \rho_{S}$. Also it is satisfying the following resolvent identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{S}(\alpha)-R_{S}(\beta)=(\alpha-\beta) R_{S}(\alpha) R_{S}(\beta) \text { for any } \alpha, \beta \in \rho_{S} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if the associated function $S(z)$ is $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function, then $\rho_{S}=$ $\mathbb{C} \backslash D$, where $D$ is a discrete set. For example, we can consider $E_{+}(z)$ and $E_{-}(z)$. The next theorem gives a converse result of the previous theorem.

Theorem 6.4. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a vector valued de Branges space corresponding to the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $S(z)$ be a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire
function. Suppose $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is invertible for some number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and for every $f \in$ $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$

$$
\frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})
$$

Then (6.1) holds.
Proof. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, then $E_{+}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $E_{-}^{-1} f \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$, which enforce that $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} f}{\rho_{i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $\frac{E_{-}^{-1} f}{\rho_{-i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. Also $\frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in$ $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ implies that $E_{+}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$and $E_{-}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in$ $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$. Now, assume $g(z)=E_{+}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right]$ and $h(z)=$ $E_{-}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right]$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{g(z)}{\rho_{i}(z)} & =\frac{E_{+}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right]}{\rho_{i}(z)} \\
& =\frac{(z-\alpha)}{\rho_{i}(z)} \frac{E_{+}^{-1}(z)\left[f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)\right]}{z-\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies $\frac{g}{\rho_{i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$as $\frac{z-\alpha}{\rho_{i}(z)} \in H^{\infty}$ and $E_{+}^{-1} \frac{f(z)-S(z) S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha)}{z-\alpha} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. Now, we have $\frac{g}{\rho_{i}}, \frac{E_{+}^{-1} f}{\rho_{i}} \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$. Thus $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha) \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. Since $\{f(\alpha): f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})\}=\operatorname{rng} K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ and $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is invertible, $\frac{E_{+}^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)$for all $u \in \mathfrak{X}$. Similarly, it can be proved that $\frac{E_{-1}^{-1} S}{\rho_{-i}} S(\alpha)^{-1} f(\alpha) \in$ $H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. Thus $\frac{E_{-}^{-1} S}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp}$ for all $u \in \mathfrak{X}$.

At this point, we can conclude a result regarding the invariance of vector valued de Branges spaces under the generalized backward shift operator. We write the result as a theorem below, whose proof is a particular case of the previous two theorems.

Theorem 6.5. Suppose $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a vector valued de Branges space corresponding to the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$. Then $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is invariant under the generalized backward shift operator $R_{\alpha}$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ if

$$
\frac{E_{+}^{-1}}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \text {and } \frac{E_{-}^{-1}}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp} \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathfrak{X}
$$

Conversely, if there exists a number $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ is such that $K_{\alpha}(\alpha)$ is inertible and $R_{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, then

$$
\frac{E_{+}^{-1}}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \text {and } \frac{E_{-}^{-1}}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp} \quad \text { for all } u \in \mathfrak{X}
$$

Now, the following proposition connects the associated functions of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ and the multiplication operator $\mathfrak{T}$ in terms of closed linear relations on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. A linear relation from $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is nothing but a linear subspace of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) \times \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. More details about linear relations can be found in [7].

Proposition 6.6. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ be a vector valued de Branges space corresponding to the de Branges operator $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ and $S(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function associated to it. Then there exists a closed linear relation $T$ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ such that $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq T$ and the following identity holds

$$
(T-\alpha I)^{-1} f(z)=R_{S}(\alpha) f(z) \text { for all } f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) \text { and } \alpha \in \rho_{S} .
$$

Proof. Since $S(z)$ is a $\Phi(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function and associated with $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, there exists a discrete set $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that $\rho_{S}=\mathbb{C} \backslash D$. Also, $R_{S}(\alpha)$ is a bounded linear operator on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ for all $\alpha \in \rho_{S}$ and satisfies the resolvent identity (6.3) for all $\alpha, \beta \in \rho_{S}$. Then, due to Proposition 1.2.9 in [7], there exists a closed linear relation $T$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ such that $\rho_{S} \subseteq \rho(T)$ and $(T-\alpha I)^{-1}=R_{S}(\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \rho_{S}$. Now, to show that $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq T$, we only need to show that $(\mathfrak{T}-\alpha I)^{-1} \subseteq R_{S}(\alpha)$. Observe that if $f \in \operatorname{rng}(\mathfrak{T}-\alpha I)$, then $f(\alpha)=0$. Thus

$$
R_{S}(\alpha) f(z)=\frac{f(z)}{z-\alpha}=(\mathfrak{T}-\alpha I)^{-1} f(z) .
$$

Remark 6.7. In the last proposition, the closed linear relation $T$ is proper if and only if a linear manifold $M$ exists in $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $S(z) u \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ for all $u \in M$. This can easily be proved by using Lemma 1.1.6 in [7].

We conclude this section with the following proposition, which observes that if $S(z)$ is an associated function of $\mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{F}^{0}\right)$, then $N(z) S(z)$ is an associated function of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. The proof of this proposition follows from Theorem 5.6 and Theorem6.3,

Proposition 6.8. Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}), \mathcal{B}\left(\mathfrak{E}^{0}\right)$, and $N(z)$ be as in Theorem 5.6. $S(z)$ is a $B(\mathfrak{X})$-valued entire function such that

$$
\frac{\left(E_{+}^{0}\right)^{-1} S}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \text {and } \frac{\left(E_{-}^{0}\right)^{-1} S}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp} \text { for all } u \in \mathfrak{X}
$$

Then the following also holds

$$
\frac{E_{+}^{-1} N S}{\rho_{i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right) \text {and } \frac{E_{-}^{-1} N S}{\rho_{-i}} u \in H_{\mathfrak{X}}^{2}\left(\mathbb{C}_{+}\right)^{\perp} \text { for all } u \in \mathfrak{X} .
$$

## 7. CONNECTION wITH THE OPERATOR NODES

In this section, we recall the idea of operator nodes and establish a connection with the vector valued de Branges spaces $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$. A comprehensive study of operator nodes can be found in [14], and the connection with the de Branges spaces with matrix valued reproducing kernels can be found in [3]. Suppose $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{G}$ are two separable Hilbert spaces and $A \in B(\mathfrak{H}), T \in B(\mathfrak{H}, \mathfrak{G})$, and $J$ is a signature operator in $B(\mathfrak{G})$, i.e.,

$$
J=J^{*}=J^{-1}
$$

Then, the set of these Hilbert spaces and operators is called an operator node if

$$
A-A^{*}=i T^{*} J T
$$

and is denoted as

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A & T & J \\
\mathfrak{H} & & \mathfrak{G}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here, $\mathfrak{H}$ is called interior space, and $\mathfrak{G}$ is called exterior space. Also, $A$ is the basic operator, $T$ is the canal operator, and $J$ is the directing operator. The operator node $\Theta$ is called simple if

$$
\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ker}\left(T A^{n}\right)=\{0\}
$$

and is called dissipative if $J=I$. The characteristic function of the operator node $\Theta$ is the operator valued function

$$
W_{\Theta}(z)=I_{\mathfrak{G}}+i z T\left(I_{\mathfrak{H}}-z A\right)^{-1} T^{*} J \text { for all } z \in Z_{A} .
$$

Theorem 7.1. Let $\mathfrak{E}(z)=\left(E_{-}(z), E_{+}(z)\right)$ is a de Branges operator such that $E_{+}(0)=E_{-}(0)=I$ and $F=E_{+}^{-1} E_{-}$. Then, $F$ is the characteristic operator function of the simple, dissipative operator node

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{E_{+}}(0) & T & I \\
\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) & & \mathfrak{X}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $T$ is defined by $T f=\sqrt{2 \pi} f(0)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$.
Proof. Due to (6.2), it is clear that $R_{E_{+}}(0)$ is a bounded operator on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, and since $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a RKHS, $T$ is also a bounded operator from $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ to $\mathfrak{X}$. Now, for every $f, g \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$, using Cauchy integral formula, we can show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle R_{E_{+}}(0) f, g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} & -\left\langle f, R_{E_{+}}(0) g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\langle E_{+}^{-1}(t) \frac{f(t)-E_{+}(t) f(0)}{t}, E_{+}^{-1}(t) g(t)\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d t \\
& -\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left\langle E_{+}^{-1}(t) f(t), E_{+}^{-1}(t) \frac{g(t)-E_{+}(t) g(0)}{t}\right\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} d t \\
& =i \pi\langle f(0), g(0)\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}}+i \pi\langle f(0), g(0)\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
& =2 i \pi\langle f(0), g(0)\rangle_{\mathfrak{X}} \\
& =\left\langle i T^{*} T f, g\right\rangle_{\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $R_{E_{+}}(0)-\left(R_{E_{+}}(0)\right)^{*}=i T^{*} T$, which implies $\Theta$ is an operator node. Now, suppose $f \in \cap_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ker}\left(T\left(R_{E_{+}}(0)\right)^{n}\right)$. Then a simple calculation shows that $f^{(n)}(0)=0$ for all $n$, which implies $\cap_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{ker}\left(T\left(R_{E_{+}}(0)\right)^{n}\right)=\{0\}$. Thus, $\Theta$ is a simple operator node. Now, consider $\Omega=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: E_{+}(z)\right.$ is invertible $\}$. Then, for any $z \in \Omega$,
we can have the following inverse

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[I-z R_{E_{+}}(0)\right]^{-1}=\left[I+z R_{E_{+}}(z)\right] . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, a straightforward calculation shows the following identity

$$
W_{\Theta}(z)=I+i z T\left(I-z R_{E_{+}}(0)\right)^{-1} T^{*}=E_{+}^{-1}(z) E_{-}(z) \text { for all } z \in \Omega .
$$

An operator node

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
A & T & J \\
\mathfrak{H} & & \mathfrak{G}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

is said to be a Volterra node if the basic operator $A$ is a Volterra operator (i.e., $A$ is compact and has the spectrum $\{0\}$ ) and the canal operator $T$ is compact. Given an operator $A \in B(\mathfrak{X})$, recall that the real and imaginary parts of this operator are respectively

$$
\frac{A+A^{*}}{2} \text { and } \frac{A-A^{*}}{2 i}
$$

Now, we mention a corollary of the previous theorem, which deals with the case when the operator node connected with $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E})$ is a Volterra node.

Corollary 7.2. If in the setting of Theorem 7.1 we assume that $E_{+}(z)$ is invertible for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $K_{0}(0)$ is a compact operator, then the operator node

$$
\Theta=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
R_{E_{+}}(0) & T & I \\
\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{E}) & & \mathfrak{X}
\end{array}\right),
$$

is a Volterra node.

Proof. We need to show that $R_{E_{+}}(0)$ is a Volterra operator and $T$ is a compact operator. Due to (7.1), it is clear that the spectrum of $R_{E_{+}}(0)$ is $\{0\}$. Since $T T^{*}=2 \pi K_{0}(0)$ and $K_{0}(0)$ is compact, $T$ is also a compact operator. Then, the imaginary part of the basic operator $R_{E_{+}}(0)$ is $\frac{T^{*} T}{2}$, which is compact. Since a bounded operator on a Hilbert space is a Volterra operator, if its spectrum contains only zero and its imaginary part is compact (see [14], Theorem 10.1), $R_{E_{+}}(0)$ is a Volterra operator.

Acknowledgements: The authors gratefully acknowledge Professor Harry Dym for carefully reading an early version of this paper and suggesting several improvements, especially for improving Lemma 3.6 and Theorem 5.6 .
The research of the first author is supported by the University Grants Commission (UGC) fellowship (Ref. No. DEC18-424729), Govt. of India. The research of the second author is supported by the MATRICS grant of SERB (MTR/2023/001324).

## Conflict of interest:

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## Data availability:

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## REFERENCES

[1] D. Alpay, P. Dewilde, H. Dym, Lossless inverse scattering and reproducing kernels for upper triangular operators, in: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Vol. 47, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1990, pp. 61-135.
[2] D. Alpay, A. Dijksma, J. Rovnyak, H. de Snoo, Schur functions, operator colligations, and reproducing kernel Pontryagin spaces, Operator Theory: Adv. Appl. 96, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (1997).
[3] D. Arov, H. Dym, J-Contractive Matrix Valued Functions and Related Topics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England, 2008.
[4] D. Arov, H. Dym, Multivariate prediction, de Branges spaces, and related extension and inverse problems, Birkhäuser, Basel (2018).
[5] D. Arov, H. Dym, Functional models of operators and their multivalued extensions in Hilbert space. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 92, 39 (2020).
[6] H, Bart, T. Ehrhardt, B. Silbermann, Logarithmic residues of Fredholm operator valued functions and sums of finite rank projections, In. Linear Operators and Matrices. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 130, pp. 83-106, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2002).
[7] J. Behrndt, S. Hassi, H. de Snoo, Boundary value problems, Weyl functions, and differential operators, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham (2020).
[8] L. de Branges, Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 10 (1959) pp. 840-846.
[9] L. de Branges, Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 96 (1960) pp. 259-295.
[10] L. de Branges, Some Hilbert spaces of entire functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 67 (1961) pp. 129-134.
[11] L. de Branges, Hilbert spaces of entire functions, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N. J., (1968).
[12] L. de Branges, The expansion theorem for Hilbert spaces of entire functions, in : Entire functions and related parts of analysis, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, (1968), 79-148.
[13] L. de Branges, J. Rovnyak, Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, in: Perturbation theory and its applications in quantum mechanics, C. Wilcox editor, Wiley, New York (1966).
[14] M. S. Brodskiĭ, Triangular and Jordan representations of linear operators, Translations of mathematical monographs, Vol. 32, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1971.
[15] R. E. Curto, I. S. Hwang, W. Y. Lee, Operator-valued rational functions, J. Funct. Anal. 283 (2022) 109640.
[16] R. G. Douglas, On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1966), 413-415.
[17] H. Dym, S.Sarkar, Multiplication operators with deficiency indices ( $p, p$ ) and sampling formulas in reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces of entire vector valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. 273(2017),3671-3718.
[18] F. Gesztesy, H. Holden, R. Nichols, On factorizations of analytic operator-valued functions and eigenvalue multiplicity questions. Integr. Equ. Oper. Theory 82, 61-94 (2015).
[19] I. Gohberg, S. Goldberg, M. A. Kaashoek, Classes of linear operators Vol. 1, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser, Basel (1990).
[20] I. Gohberg, J. Leiterer, Holomorphic operator functions of one variable and applications, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol. 192. Birkhäuser, Basel (2009).
[21] L. A. Harris, Factorization of operator matrices, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 225, 37-41 (1995).
[22] M. Kaltenbäck, H. Woracek, De Branges spaces of exponential type: general theory of growth, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 71(1-2), 231-284 (2005).
[23] T. Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York (1966).
[24] S. Mahapatra, S. Sarkar, Vector valued de Branges spaces of entire functions based on pairs of Fredholm operator valued functions and functional model, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 533 (2024) 128010.
[25] V. Paulsen, M. Raghupathi, An Introduction to the Theory of Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (2016) doi:10.1017/CBO9781316219232
[26] V. P. Potapov, The multiplicative structure of J-contractive matrix functions, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 4 (1955), 125-236; Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 15 (1960), 131-243.
[27] C. L. Prather, A. C. M. Ran, A Hadamard factorization theorem for entire matrix valued functions, Operator Theory: Adv. Appl. 19 (1986), 359-372.
[28] M. Rosenblum, J. Rovnyak, Hardy classes and operator theory, Oxford university press, New York (1985).
[29] A. E. Taylor, D. C. Lay, Introduction to functional analysis, 2nd ed., Wiley, New York, 1980.

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, 140001, InDIA

Email address: subhankar.19maz0001@iitrpr.ac.in subhankarmahapatra95@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Ropar, 140001, InDIA

Email address: santanu@iitrpr.ac.in santanu87@gmail.com


[^0]:    2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 46E22, 47A53; Secondary: 47A08, 47A48.
    Key words and phrases. de Branges operator, Fredholm operator valued entire functions, Factorizations, Isometric embedding, Associated function, Operator nodes.

