# A Kannappan-cosine functional equation on semigroups

Jafar Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Ajebbar Omar<sup>2</sup>, Elqorachi Elhoucien<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** In this paper we determine the complex-valued solutions of the Kannappan cosine functional law  $g(xyz_0) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)$ ,  $x, y \in S$ , where S is a semigroup and  $z_0$  is a fixed element in S.

## 1. Introduction

The addition law for cosine is

$$\cos(x+y) = \cos(x)\cos(y) - \sin(x)\sin(y), \ x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is at the origin of the following functional equation on any semigroup S:

$$g(xy) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y), \, x, y \in S$$
(1.1)

for the unknown functions  $f, g: S \to \mathbb{C}$ , which is called cosine addition law. In Aczèl's monograph [1, Section 3.2.3] we find the early results about (1.1) and its continuous real valued solutions for  $S = \mathbb{R}$ .

The functional equation (1.1) has been solved on groups by Poulsen and Stetkær ([8]), on semigroups generated by their squares by Ajebbar and Elqorachi ([2]), and recently by Ebanks [6] on semigroups

In [12, Theorem 3.1] Stetkær solved the following functional equation

$$g(xy) = g(x)g(y) - f(y)f(x) + \alpha f(xy), \quad x, y \in S,$$
(1.2)

where  $\alpha$  is a fixed constant in  $\mathbb{C}$ . He expressed the solutions in terms of multiplicative functions and the solution of the special case of sine addition law. In [11, Proposition 16] he solved the functional equation

$$f(xyz_0) = f(x)f(y), x, y \in S,$$
 (1.3)

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B52, Secondary 39B32.

Keywords: Functional equations, Semigroups, Multiplicative function, Additive function, Cosine-Sine equation.

on semigroups, and where  $z_0$  is a fixed element in S. We shall use these results in our computations.

In this paper we deal with the following Kannappan-cosine addition Law

$$g(xyz_0) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y), \ x, y \in S$$
(1.4)

on a semigroup S. The functional equation (1.4) is called Kannappan functional equation because it brings up a fixed element  $z_0$  in S as in the paper of Kannappan [10].

In the special case where  $\{f, g\}$  is linearly dependent and  $g \neq 0$  we get that there exists a constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that the function  $(1 - \lambda^2)g$  satisfies the functional equation (1.3).

If S is a monoid with identity element e, and f(e) = 0 and  $g(e) \neq 0$ , or g(e) = 0 and  $f(e) \neq 0$ , the last functional equation is the cosine addition law which was solved recently on general semigroups by Ebanks [6].

Now, if  $\alpha := f(e) \neq 0$  and  $\beta : g(e) \neq 0$  we get that the pair  $\left(\frac{g}{\beta}, \frac{f}{\beta}\right)$  satisfies the following functional equation

$$\frac{g}{\beta}(xy) = \frac{g}{\beta}(x)\frac{g}{\beta}(y) - \frac{f}{\beta}(x)\frac{f}{\beta}(y) + \frac{\alpha}{\beta}\frac{f}{\beta}(xy)$$

which is of the form (1.2), and then explicit formulas for f and g on groups exist in the literature (see for example [14, Corollary 3.2.]).

The natural general setting of the functional equation (1.4) is for S to be a semigroup, because the very formulation of (1.4) requires only an associative composition in S, not an identity element and inverses. Thus we study in the present paper Kannappan-cosine functional equation (1.4) on semigroups S, generalizing previous works in which S is a group. So, the result of the present paper is a naturel continuation of results contained in the literature.

The purpose of the present paper is to show how the relations between (1.4) and (1.2)-(1.3) on monoids extends to the much wider framework, in which S is a semigroup. We find explicit formulas for the solutions, expressing them in terms of homomorphisms and additives maps from the semigroup S into  $\mathbb{C}$  (Theorem 4.1). The continuous solutions on topological semigroups are also found.

### 2. Set up, notations and terminology

Throughout this paper S is a semigroup (a set with an associative composition) and  $z_0$  is a fixed element in S.

If S is topological, we denote by  $\mathcal{C}(S)$  the algebra of continuous functions from S to field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ .

Let  $f: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a function. We say that f is central if f(xy) = f(yx) for all  $x, y \in S$ , and that f is abelian if  $f(x_1x_2, ..., x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)}x_{\sigma(2)}, ..., x_{\sigma(n)})$  for all  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n \in S$ , all permutations  $\sigma$  of n elements and all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . A map  $A: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is said to be additive if A(xy) = A(x) + A(y), for all  $x, y \in S$ 

and a map  $\chi: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is multiplicative if  $\chi(xy) = \chi(x)\chi(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in S$ .

If  $\chi \neq 0$ , then the nullspace  $I_{\chi} := \{x \in S \mid \chi(x) = 0\}$  is either empty or a proper subset of S and  $I_{\chi}$  is a two sided ideal in S if not empty and  $S \setminus I_{\chi}$  is a subsemigroup of S.

Note that additive and multiplicative functions are abelian.

For any subset  $T \subseteq S$  let  $T^2 := \{xy \mid x, y \in T\}$  and for any fixed element  $z_0$  in S we let  $T^2 z_0 := \{xyz_0 \mid x, y \in T\}$ .

To express solutions of our functional equations studied in this paper we will use the set  $P_{\chi} := \{p \in I_{\chi} \setminus I_{\chi}^2 \mid up, pv, upv \in I_{\chi} \setminus I_{\chi}^2 \text{ for all } u, v \in S \setminus I_{\chi}\}$ . For more details about  $P_{\chi}$  we refer the reader to [5], [6] and [4].

### 3. Preliminaries

In this section we give useful results to solve the functional equation (1.3).

**Lemma 3.1.** Let S be a semigroup,  $n \in N$ , and  $\chi, \chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be different non-zero multiplicative functions. Then

(a)  $\{\chi_1, \chi_2, ..., \chi_n\}$  is linearly independent.

(b) If  $A: S \setminus I_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a non-zero additive function, then the set  $\{\chi A, \chi\}$  is linearly independent on  $S \setminus I_{\chi}$ .

*Proof.* (a): See [13, Theorem 3.18]. (b): See [3, Lemma 4.4].

The proposition below gives the solutions of the functional equation

$$f(xyz_0) = \chi(z_0)f(x)\chi(y) + \chi(z_0)f(y)\chi(x), \ x, y \in S,$$
(3.1)

**Proposition 3.2.** Let S be a semigroup, and  $\chi : S \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  be a multiplicative function such that  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ . If  $f : S \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  is a solution of (3.1), then

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \chi(x)(A(x) + A(z_0)) & \text{for } x \in S \setminus I_{\chi} \\ \rho(x) & \text{for } x \in P_{\chi} \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in I_{\chi} \setminus P_{\chi}, \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

where  $A: S \setminus I_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is additive and  $\rho: P_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the restriction of f to  $P_{\chi}$ .

In addition, f is abelian and satisfies the following conditions:

(I) f(xy) = f(yx) = 0 for all  $x \in I_{\chi} \setminus P_{\chi}$  and  $y \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$ . (II) If  $x \in \{up, pv, upv\}$  with  $p \in P_{\chi}$  and  $u, v \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$ , then  $x \in P_{\chi}$  and we have respectively  $\rho(x) = \rho(p)\chi(u)$ ,  $\rho(x) = \rho(p)\chi(v)$  or  $\rho(x) = \rho(p)\chi(uv)$ .

Conversely, the function f of the form (3.2) define a solution of (3.1).

Moreover, if S is a topological semigroup and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$ , then  $\chi \in \mathcal{C}(S), A \in \mathcal{C}(S \setminus I_{\chi})$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{C}(P_{\chi})$ .

*Proof.* See [7, Proposition 4.3].

To shorten the way to the solutions of functional equation (1.3), we prove the following Lemma that contains some key properties.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let S be a semigroup and let  $f, g : S \mapsto \mathbb{C}$  the solutions of the functional equation (1.3) with  $g \neq 0$ . Then (i) If  $f(z_0) = 0$  then (1)

$$g(z_0^2)g(xy) = g(z_0)[g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)] + f(z_0^2)f(xy), \ x, y \in S.$$
(3.3)
(2)

$$g(z_0^2)^2 = g(z_0)^3 + f(z_0^2)^2.$$

(3) If f and g are linearly independent then  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ .

(ii) If 
$$f(z_0) \neq 0$$
, then there exist  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  

$$f(xyz_0) = f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x) + \mu f(x)f(y), \ x, y \in S.$$
(3.4)

*Proof.* (i) Suppose that  $f(z_0) = 0$ .

(1) Making the substitution  $(xy, z_0^2)$  and  $(xyz_0, z_0)$  in (1.3) we get respectively  $g(xyz_0^3) = g(xy)g(z_0^2) - f(xy)f(z_0^2)$ , and  $g(xyz_0^3) = g(xyz_0)g(z_0) - f(xyz_0)f(z_0) = g(z_0)g(x)g(y) - g(z_0)f(x)f(y)$ . Comparing these expressions, we deduce that  $g(xy)g(z_0^2) - f(z_0^2)f(xy) = g(z_0)g(x)g(y) - g(z_0)f(y)f(x)$ . This proves the identity desired.

(2) Follows directly by putting  $x = y = z_0$  in the equation (3.3).

(3) By contradiction we suppose that  $g(z_0) = 0$ . Then by using (1.3) we get  $g(xyz_0^2) = g(x)g(yz_0) - f(x)f(yz_0) = g(xy)g(z_0) - f(xy)f(z_0) = 0$  since  $f(z_0) = g(z_0) = 0$ . Then we deduce that

$$g(x)g(yz_0) = f(x)f(yz_0), \ x, y \in S.$$
(3.5)

If  $g(yz_0) = 0$  for all  $y \in S$  then  $0 = g(xyz_0) = g(x)g(y) - f(x)f(y)$ ,  $x, y \in S$ . So, g(x)g(y) = f(x)f(y),  $x, y \in S$ . Hence f = g or f = -g, which contradicts the fact that f and g are linearly independent. So  $g \neq 0$  on  $Sz_0$  then from (3.5) we get that  $g = c_1 f$  with  $c_1 =: f(az_0)/g(az_0)$  for some  $a \in S$  such that  $g(az_0) \neq 0$ . This is also a contradiction, since f and g are linearly independent. So we conclude that  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Making the substitution  $(x, yz_0^2)$  and  $(xyz_0, z_0)$  in (1.3) we get respectively  $g(xyz_0^3) = g(x)g(yz_0^2) - f(x)f(yz_0^2) = g(z_0)g(x)g(y) - g(x)f(z_0)f(y) - f(x)f(yz_0^2)$  and  $g(xyz_0^3) = g(xyz_0)g(z_0) - f(xyz_0)f(z_0) = g(z_0)g(x)g(y) - g(z_0)f(x)f(y) - f(xyz_0)f(z_0)$ . Then, by the associativity of the operation in S we get

$$f(z_0)[f(xyz_0) - f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(x)] = f(x)[f(yz_0^2) - f(y)g(z_0) - f(z_0)g(y)].$$
(3.6)

Since  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ , dividing (3.6) by  $f(z_0)$  we get that

$$f(xyz_0) = f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x) + f(x)\psi(y),$$
(3.7)

where  $\psi(y) := f(z_0)^{-1} [f(yz_0^2) - f(y)g(z_0) - f(z_0)g(y)]$ . Substituting (3.7) come back into (3.6), we find  $f(z_0)[f(x)\psi(y)] = f(x)[f(y)\psi(z_0)]$ , which implies that  $\psi(y) = \mu f(y)$  with  $\mu := \frac{\psi(z_0)}{f(z_0)}$ . Therefore (3.7) becomes  $f(xyz_0) = f(x)g(y) + f(y)g(x) + \mu f(x)f(y)$ . This finishes the proof of Lemma 3.3.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Main results

Now, we are ready to describe the solutions of the functional equation (1.3). Let  $\Psi_{A\chi,\rho}: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote a function f of the form of [4, Theorem 3.1 (B)], where  $\chi: S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a non-zero multiplicative function,  $A: S \setminus I_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is additive,  $\rho: P_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the restriction of f, and conditions (i) and (iI) hold.

**Theorem 4.1.** The solutions  $f, g : S \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the functional equation (1.3) are the following pairs of functions. (1) f = g = 0.

(2)  $S \neq S^2 z_0$  and we have

$$f = \pm g \text{ and } g(x) = \begin{cases} g_{z_0}(x) \text{ for } x \in S \setminus S^2 z_0\\ 0 \text{ for } x \in S^2 z_0, \end{cases}$$

where  $g_{z_0}: S \setminus S^2 z_0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an arbitrary non-zero function. (3) There exist a constant  $d \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$  and a multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S with  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ , such that

$$f = \frac{d\chi(z_0)}{1 - d^2}\chi$$
 and  $g = \frac{\chi(z_0)}{1 - d^2}\chi$ .

(4) There exist a constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{\pm i\}$  and two different multiplicative functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on S, with  $\chi_1(z_0) \neq 0$ ,  $\chi_1(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $\chi_1(z_0)\chi_1 \neq \chi_2(z_0)\chi_2$  such that

$$f = -\frac{\chi_1(z_0)\chi_1 - \chi_2(z_0)\chi_2}{i(c^{-1} + c)} \text{ and } g = \frac{c^{-1}\chi_1(z_0)\chi_1 + c\chi_2(z_0)\chi_2}{c^{-1} + c}$$

(5) There exist constants  $q, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and two different non-zero multiplicative functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on S, with  $\chi_1(z_0) = \frac{q^2 - (1+\xi)^2}{2\gamma q}, \ \chi_2(z_0) = -\frac{q^2 - (1-\xi)^2}{2\gamma q}$ and  $\xi := \pm \sqrt{1+q^2}$  such that

$$f = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\gamma} + \xi \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma}$$
 and  $g = q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma}$ .

(6) There exist constants  $q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \alpha\}$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}^* \setminus \{\pm \alpha\}$  and  $\delta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ , and two different non-zero multiplicative functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on S, with  $\chi_1(z_0) = \frac{(1+\delta)^2 - (\alpha+q)^2}{2\gamma(1+\delta)}$ ,  $\chi_2(z_0) = \frac{(1-\delta)^2 - (\alpha-q)^2}{2\gamma(1-\delta)}$  and  $\delta := \pm \sqrt{1+q^2 - \alpha^2}$ such that

$$f = \alpha \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\gamma} + q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma} \text{ and } g = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\gamma} + (1 + \delta) \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma}.$$

(7) There exist a constant  $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ , a non-zero multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S, an additive function  $A: S \setminus I_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a function  $\rho: P_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1}{\beta}$  and  $A(z_0) = 0$  such that

$$f = \frac{1}{\beta} \Psi_{A\chi,\rho} \text{ and } g = \frac{1}{\beta} \left( \chi \pm \Psi_{A\chi,\rho} \right)$$

(8) There exist a multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S with  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ , an additive function  $A: S \setminus I_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  and a function  $\rho: P_{\chi} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f = A(z_0)\chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho} \text{ and } g = (\chi(z_0) \pm A(z_0))\chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}.$$

Moreover if S is a topological semigroup and  $f \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  then  $g \in \mathcal{C}(S)$  in cases (1), (2) and (4)-(8), and in (3) if  $d \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* If g = 0, then (1.3) reduces to f(x)f(y) = 0 for all  $x, y \in S$ . This implies that f = 0, so we get the first part of solutions. From now we may assume that  $g \neq 0$ .

If f and g are linearly dependent, then there exist  $d \in \mathbb{C}$  such that f = dg. Substituting this into (1.3) we get the following equation  $g(xyz_0) = (1 - d^2)g(x)g(y) \quad x, y \in S$ . If  $d^2 = 1$ , then  $g(xyz_0) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . Therefore  $S \neq S^2z_0$  because  $g \neq 0$ . So we are in solution family (2) with  $g_{z_0}$  is an arbitrary non-zero function. If  $d^2 \neq 1$ , then by [11, Proposition 16] there exists a multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S such hat  $\chi(z_0)\chi := (1 - d^2)g$  and  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ . Then we deduce that  $g = \frac{\chi(z_0)}{1 - d^2}\chi$  and  $f = dg = \frac{d\chi(z_0)}{1 - d^2}\chi$ , so we have the solution family (3).

For the rest of the proof we assume that f and g are linearly independent. We split the proof into two cases according to whether  $f(z_0) = 0$  or  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Case 1. Suppose  $f(z_0) = 0$ . Then by Lemma 3.3 (i)-(3) and (i)-(1) we have respectively  $g(z_0) \neq 0$  and

$$g(z_0^2)g(xy) = g(z_0)g(x)g(y) - g(z_0)f(x)f(y) + f(z_0^2)f(xy), \ x, y \in S.$$
(4.1)

Subcase 1.1. Assume that  $g(z_0^2) = 0$ . Then by Lemma 3.3 (i)-(2) and (i)-(3) we get  $f(z_0^2) \neq 0$  since f and g are linearly independent and then (4.1) can be rewritten as  $f(xy) = \gamma f(x)f(y) - \gamma g(x)g(y), x, y \in S$ , where  $\gamma := \frac{g(z_0)}{f(z_0^2)} \neq 0$ . Consequently the pair  $(\gamma f, \gamma g)$  satisfies the cosine addition formula (1.4). So, according to [12, Theorem 6.1] and taking into account that f and g are linearly independent, we know that there are only the following possibilities.

(1.1.i) There exist a constant  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and two different non-zero multiplicative functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on S such that  $\gamma g = q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2}$  and  $\gamma f = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2} \pm \left(\sqrt{1+q^2}\right) \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2}$ , which gives  $f = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\gamma} \pm \left(\sqrt{1+q^2}\right) \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma}$  and  $g = q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\gamma}$ . By putting  $\xi := \pm \sqrt{1+q^2}$  and using (1.3) we get

$$\frac{1}{4\gamma^2} \left( q^2 - (1+\xi)^2 \right) \chi_1(xy) + \frac{1}{4\gamma^2} \left( q^2 - (1-\xi)^2 \right) \chi_2(xy)$$
$$= \frac{q}{2\gamma} \chi_1(z_0) \chi_1(xy) - \frac{q}{2\gamma} \chi_2(z_0) \chi_2(xy),$$

which implies by Lemma 3.1 (i) that  $\frac{q}{2\gamma}\chi_1(z_0) = \frac{1}{4\gamma^2}\left(q^2 - (1+\xi)^2\right)$  and  $\frac{q}{2\gamma}\chi_2(z_0) = -\frac{1}{4\gamma^2}\left(q^2 - (1-\xi)^2\right)$ , since  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are different and non-zero. Then we deduce that

$$\chi_1(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\gamma q} \left( q^2 - (1+\xi)^2 \right) \text{ and } \chi_2(z_0) = -\frac{1}{2\gamma q} \left( q^2 - (1-\xi)^2 \right).$$

So we are in part (5).

(1.1.ii) There exist a non-zero multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S and an additive function A on  $S \setminus I_{\chi}$  such that  $\gamma g = \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$  and  $\gamma f = \chi \pm \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ .

If  $z_0 \in I_{\chi} \setminus P_{\chi}$  we have  $\gamma g(z_0) = \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}(z_0) = 0$  by definition of  $\Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ . If  $z_0 \in P_{\chi}$  we have  $\chi(z_0) = 0$  and  $|\gamma g(z_0)| = |\rho(z_0)| = |\chi(z_0) \pm \rho(z_0)| = |\gamma f(z_0)| = 0$ . So, If  $z_0 \in I_{\chi}$  we get that  $\gamma g(z_0) = 0$  which is a contradiction because  $g(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $\gamma = \frac{g(z_0)}{f(z_0^2)}$ .

Hence,  $z_0 \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$  and we have  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $f(z_0) = 0$  by assumption we get that  $f(z_0) = \frac{1}{\gamma} [\chi(z_0) \pm A(z_0)\chi(z_0)] = 0$ , which implies that  $A(z_0) = -1$ . Now for all  $x, y \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$ , we have  $xyz_0 \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$ , then by using (1.3) we get that  $\left(\frac{1}{\gamma} - \chi(z_0)\right) \chi(xy) + \left(\frac{1}{\gamma} + \chi(z_0)\right) \chi(xy)A(xy) = 0$ , which implies according to Lemma 3.1(i), that  $\frac{1}{\gamma} - \chi(z_0) = 0$  and  $\frac{1}{\gamma} + \chi(z_0) = 0$ , since  $A \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1}{\gamma} = -\frac{1}{\gamma}$ , which is a contradiction because  $\frac{1}{\gamma} \neq 0$  by assumption. So we do not have a solution corresponding this this possibility. Subcase 1.2: Suppose that  $g(z_0^2) \neq 0$ , then the functional equation (4.1) can be rewritten as follows  $\beta g(xy) = \beta^2 g(x)g(y) - \beta^2 f(x)f(y) + \alpha\beta f(xy), \quad x, y \in$ S with  $\beta := \frac{g(z_0)}{g(z_0^2)} \neq 0$  and  $\alpha := \frac{f(z_0^2)}{g(z_0^2)}$ . This shows that the pair  $(\beta g, \beta f)$ satisfies the functional equation (1.2). So according to [12, Theorem 3.1], and taking into account that f and g are linearly independent, there are only the following possibilities.

 $\begin{array}{l} (1.2.\mathrm{i}) \text{ There exist a constant } q \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\pm \alpha\} \text{ and two different non-zero} \\ \mathrm{multiplicative functions } \chi_1 \text{ and } \chi_2 \text{ on } S \text{ such that } \beta f = \alpha \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2} + q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2} \\ \mathrm{and } \beta g = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2} \pm \sqrt{1 + q^2 - \alpha^2} \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2}. \text{ Introducing } \delta := \pm \sqrt{1 + q^2 - \alpha^2} \\ \mathrm{we find that } f = \alpha \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\beta} + q \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\beta} \text{ and } g = \frac{\chi_1 + \chi_2}{2\beta} + \delta \frac{\chi_1 - \chi_2}{2\beta}. \text{ By using} \\ (1.3) \text{ we get } \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \left( (1 + \delta)^2 - (\alpha + q)^2 \right) \chi_1(xy) + \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \left( (1 - \delta)^2 - (\alpha + q)^2 \right) \chi_2(xy) \\ = \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 + \delta) \chi_1(z_0) \chi_1(xy) + \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 - \delta) \chi_2(z_0) \chi_2(xy), \text{ which implies by Lemma} \\ 3.1(\mathrm{i}) \text{ that } \frac{1}{2\beta} (1 + \delta) \chi_1(z_0) = \frac{1}{4\beta^2} \left( (1 + \delta)^2 - (\alpha + q)^2 \right) \\ \mathrm{and} \end{array}$ 

 $\frac{1}{2\beta}(1-\delta)\chi_2(z_0) = \frac{1}{4\beta^2}\left((1-\delta)^2 - (\alpha+q)^2\right), \text{ since } \chi_1 \text{ and } \chi_2 \text{ are different non-zero multiplicative functions. Notice that } \delta \neq \pm 1 \text{ because } q \neq \pm \alpha.$  Therefore we deduce that  $\chi_1(z_0) = \frac{(1+\delta)^2 - (\alpha+q)^2}{2\beta(1+\delta)} \text{ and } \chi_2(z_0) = \frac{(1-\delta)^2 - (\alpha+q)^2}{2\beta(1-\delta)}.$  Hence, by writing  $\gamma$  instead of  $\beta$  we get part (6).

(1.2.ii)  $\alpha \neq 0$  and there exist two different non-zero multiplicative functions  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  on S such that  $\beta f = \alpha \chi_1$  and  $\beta g = \chi_2$ . By using (1.3) again we get  $\frac{1}{\beta} \left( \chi_2(z_0) - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \chi_2(xy) + \left( \frac{\alpha^2}{\beta^2} \right) \chi_1(xy) = 0$ , which gives  $\chi_2(z_0) = \frac{1}{\beta}$ and  $\alpha = 0$ , since  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are different. So this possibility is excluded because  $\alpha \neq 0$ .

(1.2.iii) There exist a non-zero multiplicative function  $\chi$  on S and an additive function A on  $S \setminus I_{\chi}$  such that  $\beta f = \alpha \chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$  and  $\beta g = \chi \pm \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ , which gives  $f = \frac{1}{\beta} (\alpha \chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho})$  and  $g = \frac{1}{\beta} (\chi \pm \Psi_{A\chi,\rho})$ .

If  $g = \frac{1}{\beta}(\chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho})$  then  $z_0 \notin I_{\chi} \setminus P_{\chi}$ . Indeed if not we have  $\chi(z_0) = 0$ and  $\Psi_{A\chi,\rho}(z_0) = 0$ . Then  $\beta g(z_0) = \chi(z_0) + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}(z_0) = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ .

On the other hand  $z_0 \notin P_{\chi}$ . Indeed if note we have  $\chi(z_0) = 0$ . Then  $\beta g(z_0) = \Psi_{A_{\chi,\rho}}(z_0) = \beta f(z_0) = 0$ , which is a contradiction because  $g(z_0) \neq 0$ . So,  $z_0 \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$  and then  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ . Since  $f(z_0) = 0$  we get that  $f(z_0) = \frac{\chi(z_0)}{\beta} [\alpha + A(z_0)] = 0$ , which implies that  $A(z_0) = -\alpha$ . Now let  $x, y \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$  be arbitrary. We have  $xyz_0 \in S \setminus I_{\chi}$ . By using (1.3) we get that

$$\left(\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}\chi(z_0)\right)\chi(xy) + \left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\chi(z_0)\right)\chi(xy)A(xy) = 0.$$
(4.2)

If A = 0 then  $\rho \neq 0$  because  $\Psi_{A\chi,\rho} \neq 0$ ,  $\alpha = 0$ , and  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1}{\beta}$  by (4.2). This is a special case of solution part (7).

If  $A \neq 0$  then by Lemma 3.1 (ii) we get from (4.2) that  $\frac{1-\alpha^2}{\beta^2} + \frac{\alpha-1}{\beta}\chi(z_0) = 0$  and  $\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta^2} - \frac{1}{\beta}\chi(z_0) = 0$ . As  $\alpha \neq 1$ , because  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1-\alpha}{\beta}$  and  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1+\alpha}{\beta}$ . So, we obtain that  $\alpha = 0$  and  $\chi(z_0) = \frac{1}{\beta}$ , and the form of f reduces to  $f = \frac{1}{\beta}\Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ . So we are in part (7).

If  $g = \frac{1}{\beta}(\chi - \Psi_{A\chi,\rho})$ , by using a similar computation as above we show that we are also in part (7).

Case 2. Suppose  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . By using system (1.3) and (3.4), we deduce by

an elementary computation that for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ 

$$(g - \lambda f)(xyz_0) = (g - \lambda f)(x)(g - \lambda f)(y) - (\lambda^2 + \mu\lambda + 1)f(x)f(y), x, y \in S.$$
(4.3)

Let  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  the two roots of the equation  $\lambda^2 + \mu\lambda + 1 = 0$ , then  $\lambda_1\lambda_2 = 1$ which gives  $\lambda_1 \neq 0$  and  $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ . According to [11, Proposition 16] we deduce from (4.3) that  $g - \lambda_1 f := \chi_1(z_0)\chi_1$  and  $g - \lambda_2 f := \chi_2(z_0)\chi_2$ , where  $\chi_1$ and  $\chi_2$  are two multiplicative functions such that  $\chi_1(z_0) \neq 0$  and  $\chi_2(z_0) \neq 0$ , because f and g are linearly independent.

If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$  and we get that  $g = \frac{\lambda_2 \chi_1(z_0) \chi_1 - \lambda_1 \chi_2(z_0) \chi_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$ 

and  $f = \frac{\chi_1(z_0)\chi_1 - \chi_2(z_0)\chi_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$ . By putting  $\lambda_1 = ic$  we get the solution categories (4).

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 =: \lambda$ , then  $g - \lambda f =: \chi(z_0)\chi$  where  $\chi$  is a multiplicative function on S such that  $\chi(z_0) \neq 0$ , because f and g are linearly independent. Hence

$$g = \chi(z_0)\chi + \lambda f. \tag{4.4}$$

Substituting this (3.4) an elementary computation shows that

$$f(xyz_0) = \chi(z_0)f(x)\chi(y) + \chi(z_0)f(y)\chi(x) + (2\lambda + \mu)f(x)f(y)$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ .

Moreover  $\lambda = 1$  or  $\lambda = -1$  because  $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1$ . Hence,  $(\lambda, \mu) = (1, -2)$  or  $(\lambda, \mu) = (-1, 2)$  since  $\lambda^2 + \mu \lambda + 1 = 0$  and  $\lambda \in \{-1, 1\}$ . So, the functional equation above reduces to

$$f(xyz_0) = \chi(z_0)f(x)\chi(y) + \chi(z_0)f(y)\chi(x),$$

for all  $x, y \in S$ . Thus the pair  $(f, \chi)$  satisfies the functional equation (3.1). Hence, in view of Proposition 3.2, we get  $f = A(z_0)\chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ . Then, by using (4.4), we derive that  $g = \chi(z_0)\chi + \lambda f = (\chi(z_0) + \lambda A(z_0))\chi + \lambda^2 \Psi_{A\chi,\rho} = (\chi(z_0) \pm A(z_0))\chi + \Psi_{A\chi,\rho}$ . This is part (8).

Conversely it is easy to check that the formulas of f and g listed in Theorem 4.1 define solutions of (1.3).

Finally, suppose that S is a topological semigroup. The continuity of the solutions of the forms (1)-(6) follows directly from [13, Theorem 3.18], and for the ones of the forms (7) and (8) it is parallel to the proof used in [6, Theorem 2.1] for categories (7)-(8). This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

### References

- J. Aczél, Lectures on functional equations and their applications, Mathematics in Sciences and Engineering, vol. 19. Academic Press, New York, xx+510 pp(1966).
- [2] O. Ajebbar, E. Elqorachi, Solutions and stability of trigonometric functional equations on an amenable group with an involutive automorphism, Communications Korean Math. Soc., 34 (1), 55-82, (2019).

- [3] O. Ajebbar, E. Elqorachi, The Cosine-Sine functional equation on a semigroup with an involutive automorphism, Aequationes Math., 91, 1115-1146, (2017).
- [4] B. Ebanks, Around the Sine Addition Law and d'Alembert's Equation on Semigroups. Results in Math., 77, Article number: 11, (2022).
- [5] B. Ebanks, The sine addition and subtraction formulas on semigroups, Acta Math. Hungar., 167 (2), 533-555, (2021).
- [6] B. Ebanks, The cosine and sine addition and subtraction formulas on semigroups, Acta Math. Hungar., 165 (2), 337-354, (2021).
- [7] A. Jafar, O. Ajebbar, E. Elqorachi, A Kannappan-sine addition law on semigroups, https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2304.14146..
- [8] T. A. Poulsen and H. Stetkær, The trigonometric subtraction and addition formulas, Aequationes Math., 59, 84-92 (2000).
- B. Ebanks, A Levi-Civita functional equation Monoids, Two Ways. Silesianae, vol.36, no.2, 2022, pp.151-166. https://doi.org/10.2478/amsil-2022-0009.
- [10] Pl. Kannappan, A functional equation for the cosine, Canad. Math. Bull., 2, 495-498, (1968).
- H. Stetkær, Kannappan's functional equation on semigroups with involution. Semigroup Forum, 94 (1), 17-30, (2017).
- [12] H. Stetkær, The cosine addition law with an additional term, Aequa. Math., 90 (6), 1147-1168, (2016).
- [13] H. Stetkær, : Functional Equations on Groups. World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore (2013).
- [14] S. Kabbaj, M. Tial, D. Zeglami, The Integral Cosine Addition and Sine Subtraction Laws, Results in Math., 73, Article number: 97, (2018).

Jafar Ahmed<sup>1</sup>, Ajebbar Omar<sup>2</sup>, Elqorachi Elhoucien<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Ibn Zohr University, Faculty of sciences, Department of mathematics,

Agadir, Morocco

 $^2$ Sultan Moulay Slimane University, Multidisciplinary Faculty, Department of mathematics and computer science, Beni Mellal, Morocco

 $e{-}mail: \texttt{hamadabenali2@gmail.com, omar-ajb@hotmail.com, elqorachi@hotmail.com}$