

Elliptic Cauchy matrices

V. Prokofev* A. Zabrodin†

May 2023

Abstract

Some identities that involve the elliptic version of the Cauchy matrices are presented and proved. They include the determinant formula, the formula for the inverse matrix, the matrix product identity and the factorization formula.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The main identities	3
3	Proofs	6
	Acknowledgments	11
	References	11

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbf{x} = \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$, $\mathbf{y} = \{y_1, \dots, y_N\}$ be two sets of pairwise distinct variables such that $x_i \neq y_j$ for all i, j . The Cauchy matrix $C = C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is the $N \times N$ matrix with matrix elements

$$C_{ij} = \frac{1}{x_i - y_j}. \quad (1.1)$$

*Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, 143026, Moscow, Russia, e-mail: vad-prokofev@gmail.com

†Skolkovo Institute of Science and Technology, 143026, Moscow, Russia and National Research University Higher School of Economics, 20 Myasnitskaya Ulitsa, Moscow 101000, Russia and NRC “Kurchatov institute”, Moscow, Russia; e-mail: zabrodin@itep.ru

The Cauchy matrix often appears in different applications (for example, in soliton theory and theory of integrable systems).

The following result for determinant of C belongs to Cauchy and is well known:

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} C_{ij} = \frac{\prod_{a < b} (x_a - x_b)(y_b - y_a)}{\prod_{a, b} (x_a - y_b)}. \quad (1.2)$$

The proof consists in considering both sides as rational functions of, say x_1 , and identifying the residues at the simple poles $x_1 = y_k$. If all x_i 's and y_i 's are distinct, the determinant is nonzero and thus the Cauchy matrix is invertible. The explicit formula for the inverse matrix is [1]:

$$(C^{-1})_{ij} = \frac{(x_i - y_i)(x_j - y_j)}{x_j - y_i} \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{y_i - x_k}{y_i - y_k} \prod_{l \neq j} \frac{x_j - y_l}{x_j - x_l}. \quad (1.3)$$

The elliptic version of the Cauchy matrix can be written using the Weierstrass σ -function. Let ω, ω' be complex numbers such that $\text{Im}(\omega'/\omega) > 0$. The Weierstrass σ -function with quasi-periods $2\omega, 2\omega'$ is defined by the following infinite product over the lattice $2\omega m + 2\omega' m', m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\sigma(x) = \sigma(x|\omega, \omega') = x \prod_{s \neq 0} \left(1 - \frac{x}{s}\right) e^{\frac{x}{s} + \frac{x^2}{2s^2}}, \quad s = 2\omega m + 2\omega' m' \quad m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.4)$$

It is an odd entire quasiperiodic function in the complex plane. As $x \rightarrow 0$, $\sigma(x) = x + O(x^5)$. The monodromy properties of the σ -function under shifts by the quasi-periods are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(x + 2\omega) &= -e^{2\eta(x+\omega)} \sigma(x), \\ \sigma(x + 2\omega') &= -e^{2\eta'(x+\omega')} \sigma(x). \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Here η, η' are constants which can be expressed in terms of the Weierstrass ζ -function $\zeta(x)$ defined as $\zeta(x) = \sigma'(x)/\sigma(x)$; we have: $\eta = \zeta(\omega)$, $\eta' = \zeta(\omega')$. The constants η, η' are connected by the important relation

$$2\eta\omega' - 2\eta'\omega = \pi i. \quad (1.6)$$

The monodromy properties imply that the function

$$f(x) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^M \frac{\sigma(x - a_\alpha)}{\sigma(x - b_\alpha)}, \quad \sum_{\alpha=1}^M (a_\alpha - b_\alpha) = 0$$

is a double-periodic function with periods $2\omega, 2\omega'$ (an elliptic function). If ω, ω' tend to infinity, then $\sigma(x) \rightarrow x$. For the Weierstrass and other elliptic functions see [2, 3].

The elliptic generalization of the Cauchy matrix depends on an additional parameter λ :

$$C_{ij}(x, y; \lambda) = \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_j + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x_i - y_j)}. \quad (1.7)$$

The rational Cauchy matrix (1.1) is obtained from it in the double limit $\omega, \omega' \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. The elliptic Cauchy matrices play an important role in the theory of the

elliptic Ruijsenaars-Schneider systems [4, 5] (relativistic analogues of the Calogero-Moser systems).

The aim of this paper is to present some identities for the elliptic Cauchy matrix which generalize (1.2), (1.3). For completeness, we also present the factorization formula and Gauss decomposition of the Cauchy matrices.

2 The main identities

The determinant. The expression for the determinant of $C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda)$ is due to Frobenius [6]:

$$\det_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} C_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda) = \frac{\sigma(\lambda + X - Y)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \frac{\prod_{a < b} \sigma(x_a - x_b) \sigma(y_b - y_a)}{\prod_{a, b} \sigma(x_a - y_b)}, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$X = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i, \quad Y = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i.$$

The inverse matrix. The inverse matrix is

$$\begin{aligned} (C^{-1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda))_{ij} &= \frac{\sigma(y_i - x_j + \lambda + X - Y)}{\sigma(\lambda)} \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_i) \sigma(x_j - y_j)}{\sigma(x_j - y_i)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{\sigma(y_i - x_k)}{\sigma(y_i - y_k)} \prod_{l \neq j} \frac{\sigma(x_j - y_l)}{\sigma(x_j - x_l)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The matrix product identity. Next we present an identity which generalizes (2.2). Let $D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ be the diagonal matrix with matrix elements

$$D_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \delta_{ij} \sigma(x_i - y_i) \prod_{k \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_k)}{\sigma(x_i - x_k)}. \quad (2.3)$$

Introduce the matrix

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

In particular, $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) = I$, where I is the unity matrix¹.

Theorem 2.1 *The following identity holds true:*

$$G_{\lambda+Y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) G_{\lambda+Z}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = G_{\lambda+Z}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}), \quad (2.5)$$

where $\mathbf{z} = \{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$ is a third set of variables and $Z = \sum_{i=1}^N z_i$.

¹The matrix $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})$ should be understood as $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}_\varepsilon)$, where $\mathbf{x}_\varepsilon = \{x_1 + \varepsilon, \dots, x_N + \varepsilon\}$.

At $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{x}$ we get $G_{\lambda+Y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})G_{\lambda+X}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = I$ which is (2.2). We call (2.5) the matrix product identity. It was used for the analysis of elliptic solutions to the Toda hierarchy with constraint of type B in our recent paper [7].

Note that

$$C^t(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda) = -C(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}; -\lambda),$$

where C^t is the transposed matrix. The transposition of identity (2.5) gives the identity

$$H_{\lambda-X}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})H_{\lambda-Y}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = -H_{\lambda-X}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) \quad (2.6)$$

for the matrix

$$H_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda)D(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}). \quad (2.7)$$

In the rational limit $\omega, \omega' \rightarrow \infty, \lambda \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad K_{ij}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (x_i - y_k)}{\prod_{l \neq i} (x_i - x_l)} \quad (2.8)$$

and the matrix product identity becomes the matrix equality

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})K(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}). \quad (2.9)$$

The factorization formula. The matrix product identity suggests that there exists a matrix $g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ such that $G_{\lambda+Y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})g_\lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{y})$. This is indeed the case.

Theorem 2.2 *The matrix $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ admits a factorization of the form*

$$G_{\lambda+Y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})g_\lambda^{-1}(\mathbf{y}). \quad (2.10)$$

Here $g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ is the matrix

$$(g_\lambda(\mathbf{x}))_{ij} = \frac{\sigma^{(j)}(x_i + \frac{\lambda}{N})}{\prod_{l \neq i} \sigma(x_i - x_l)}, \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\sigma^{(k)}(x) = e^{2\eta' kx} \prod_{l=0}^{N-1} \sigma\left(x + \frac{N-2l-1}{N} \omega - \frac{2k}{N} \omega'\right). \quad (2.12)$$

Clearly, there is a freedom in the definition of the matrix g_λ : it can be multiplied from the right by arbitrary non-degenerate constant matrix S : $g_\lambda \rightarrow g_\lambda S$. We call (2.10) the factorization formula.

Note that factorization of the closely related Lax matrix for the Ruijsenaars-Schneider model (which is the Cauchy matrix with $y_i = x_i + c$ multiplied by a diagonal matrix) was discussed in [8]. It should be also mentioned that the factorization formula has a ‘‘quantum analogue’’ as factorization of the quantum L -operator of generalized quantum spin chains [9].

Let us present trigonometric and rational degenerations of the factorization formula. These degenerations are non-trivial because a naive limit of the matrix $g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$ leads to a

degenerate matrix for which the inverse matrix does not exist. Nevertheless, the factorization formulas do exist in these cases.

In the trigonometric limit $\omega' \rightarrow i\infty$. Put $\omega = \pi/2$, then $\sigma(x|\frac{\pi}{2}, i\infty) = e^{x^2/6} \sin x$. It is easy to see that the matrix product identity (2.5) holds for the trigonometric version of the matrix $G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$:

$$(G_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{ij} = \sin(x_i - y_i) \left(\prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sin(x_i - y_l)}{\sin(x_i - x_l)} \right) \frac{\sin(x_i - y_j + \lambda)}{\sin \lambda \sin(x_i - y_j)}. \quad (2.13)$$

Theorem 2.3 *In the trigonometric case the factorization formula holds in the form*

$$G_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x})(g_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{y}))^{-1}, \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$(g_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x}))_{jk} = \frac{\varphi_k(x_j)}{\prod_{l \neq j} \sin(x_j - x_l)} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\varphi_k(x_j) = e^{-iNx_j} \left(e^{2ik(x_j + \frac{\lambda}{N})} + (-1)^N \delta_{kN} \right), \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.16)$$

In the rational limit $\omega \rightarrow \infty$, $\omega' \rightarrow i\infty$ and $\sigma(x|\infty, i\infty) = x$. The matrix

$$(G_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}))_{ij} = (x_i - y_i) \left(\prod_{l \neq i} \frac{x_i - y_l}{x_i - x_l} \right) \frac{x_i - y_j + \lambda}{\lambda(x_i - y_j)} \quad (2.17)$$

is obtained from (2.13) after the substitutions $x_i \rightarrow \epsilon x_i$, $y_i \rightarrow \epsilon y_i$, $\lambda \rightarrow \epsilon \lambda$ in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.4 *In the rational case the factorization formula holds in the form*

$$G_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x})(g_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{y}))^{-1}, \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$(g_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x}))_{jk} = \frac{(x_j + \frac{\lambda}{N})^{k-1+\delta_{kN}}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (x_j - x_l)}, \quad j, k = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.19)$$

In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the factorization formula for the matrix $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ given by (2.8) holds in the following form:

$$K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = W(\mathbf{x})W^{-1}(\mathbf{y}), \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$W_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{x_i^{j-1}}{\prod_{l \neq i} (x_i - x_l)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N. \quad (2.21)$$

The Gauss decomposition. The Gauss decomposition of the elliptic Cauchy matrix was found in [10]. We present it here in a different form.

Theorem 2.5 ([10]) *The Gauss decomposition of the elliptic Cauchy matrix $C = C(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \lambda)$ has the form*

$$C = UDL, \quad (2.22)$$

where U and L are respectively upper and lower triangular matrices with 1 on the main diagonal and D is a diagonal matrix. These matrices are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{ij} &= \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_j + \lambda_j)\sigma(x_j - y_j)}{\sigma(x_j - y_j + \lambda_j)\sigma(x_i - y_j)} \prod_{l=j+1}^N \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_l)\sigma(x_j - y_l)}{\sigma(x_j - x_l)\sigma(x_i - y_l)}, \quad i \leq j, \\ D_{jj} &= \frac{\sigma(x_j - y_j + \lambda_j)}{\sigma(\lambda_j)\sigma(x_j - y_j)} \prod_{l=j+1}^N \frac{\sigma(x_j - x_l)\sigma(y_j - y_l)}{\sigma(x_j - y_l)\sigma(y_j - x_l)}, \\ L_{jk} &= \frac{\sigma(x_j - y_k + \lambda_j)\sigma(x_j - y_j)}{\sigma(x_j - y_j + \lambda_j)\sigma(x_j - y_k)} \prod_{l=j+1}^N \frac{\sigma(y_k - y_l)\sigma(y_j - x_l)}{\sigma(y_k - x_l)\sigma(y_j - y_l)}, \quad j \geq k, \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$\lambda_j = \lambda + \sum_{l=j+1}^N (x_l - y_l), \quad \lambda_N \equiv \lambda. \quad (2.24)$$

In (2.23), the products in the case $j = N$ should be put equal to 1.

3 Proofs

Proof of the determinant formula (2.1). The proof of (2.1) is standard. Consider the ratio r of the left and right hand sides as a function of x_1 . It is easy to see that it is an elliptic function of x_1 . Possible (simple) poles may occur at $x_1 = x_k$, $k = 2, \dots, N$. However, these poles are absent because the numerator (the determinant) also vanishes at these points. Another pole can occur when $\lambda + X - Y = 0$ but it is impossible for elliptic function to have a single simple pole in the fundamental domain and thus there is no pole at this point. So the function r is regular and thus does not depend on x_1 . In the same way one can prove that it does not depend on all x_i 's and y_i 's, and thus it is a constant. The constant can be found setting $x_i \rightarrow \varepsilon x_i$, $y_i \rightarrow \varepsilon y_i$ and tending $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Then $\sigma(\varepsilon x)$ can be substituted by εx in the leading order and, using (1.2), one can see that the constant is equal to 1.

Proof of the formula for inverse matrix (2.2). Formula (2.2) is a consequence of (2.1) since any minor of the elliptic Cauchy matrix is again determinant of an elliptic Cauchy matrix and can be found using (2.1).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Now let us prove (2.5). We will prove it in the form

$$G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})G_{\lambda-\delta}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}) = G_{\lambda-\delta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}),$$

where $\delta = Y - Z$. Consider the function

$$S_{ik} := \frac{(G_\lambda(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})G_{\lambda-\delta}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{ik}}{(G_{\lambda-\delta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}))_{ik}}.$$

Explicitly, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} S_{ik} &= \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_i)}{\sigma(x_i - z_i)} \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_l)}{\sigma(x_i - z_l)} \\ &\times \sum_j \frac{\sigma(x_i - z_k)\sigma(y_j - z_j)\sigma(x_i - y_j + \lambda)\sigma(y_j - z_k - \delta + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x_i - z_k - \delta + \lambda)\sigma(x_i - y_j)\sigma(y_j - z_k)} \prod_{s \neq j} \frac{\sigma(y_j - z_s)}{\sigma(y_j - y_s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

This function is obviously elliptic in λ . It has two possible simple poles at $\lambda = 0$ and $\lambda = z_k - x_i + \delta$ (if $z_k - x_i + \delta \neq 0$). The residues are proportional to

$$R = \sum_j \frac{\sigma(y_j - z_j)\sigma(y_j - z_k - \delta)}{\sigma(y_j - z_k)} \prod_{s \neq j} \frac{\sigma(y_j - z_s)}{\sigma(y_j - y_s)}.$$

But this is nothing else than the sum of residues of the elliptic function

$$f(y) = \frac{\sigma(y - z_k - \delta)}{\sigma(y - z_k)} \prod_s \frac{\sigma(y - z_s)}{\sigma(y - y_s)}$$

and thus is equal to 0. When $z_k - x_i + \delta = 0$ we have a double pole with coefficient proportional to $R = 0$. So S_{ik} is a regular elliptic function of λ and thus it does not depend on λ .

Consider now S_{ik} as a function of x_i . It is easy to see that it is an elliptic function of x_i . A possible pole may lie at $x_i = z_k - \delta + \lambda$ but actually this pole is absent because it depends on λ . The other poles may lie at $x_i = z_l$ with $l \neq k$; the residue at such a pole is proportional to

$$\begin{aligned} J_l &= \sum_j \frac{\sigma(z_l - y_j + \lambda)\sigma(y_j - z_k - \delta + \lambda)\sigma(y_j - z_j)}{\sigma(z_l - y_j)\sigma(y_j - z_k)} \prod_{s \neq j} \frac{\sigma(y_j - z_s)}{\sigma(y_j - y_s)} \\ &= (C(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}; \lambda)G_{\lambda-\delta}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}))_{lk} = (D^{-1}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y}))_{lk} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because $D(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})$ is a diagonal matrix and $l \neq k$. So S_{ik} is regular in x_i and thus does not depend on x_i . Its value can be found by putting $x_i = y_i$, then in the sum in the right hand side of (3.1) only the term at $j = i$ survives and after cancellations we get $S_{ik} = 1$.

Let us give another proof of the matrix product identity (2.5). Consider the linear space of functions $\psi(x)$ having simple poles at the N points x_1, \dots, x_N in the fundamental domain and such that $\psi(x + 2\omega) = e^{2\eta\lambda}\psi(x)$, $\psi(x + 2\omega') = e^{2\eta'\lambda}\psi(x)$ with some λ . Such functions are called double-Bloch functions. It is easy to see that this linear space is N -dimensional. Functions from this space can be written as

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N c_i \frac{\sigma(x - x_i + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x - x_i)}, \quad (3.2)$$

where c_i are arbitrary coefficients. Alternatively, such a function can be parametrized through its zeros u_i :

$$\psi(x) = C \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\sigma(x - u_i)}{\sigma(x - x_i)}, \quad (3.3)$$

where u_i are subject to the condition

$$\sum_i u_i := U = X - \lambda. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider now the function

$$\psi_1(x) = \psi(x) \prod_i \frac{\sigma(x - x_i)}{\sigma(x - y_i)} = \prod_i \frac{\sigma(x - u_i)}{\sigma(x - y_i)}.$$

It can be expanded as

$$\psi_1(x) = \sum_i b_i \frac{\sigma(x - y_i + \lambda - X + Y)}{\sigma(\lambda - X + Y)\sigma(x - y_i)},$$

and the vectors $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_N)^t$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_N)^t$ are connected as

$$\mathbf{b} = G_\lambda(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{c}.$$

Next, consider the function

$$\psi_2(x) = \psi_1(x) \prod_i \frac{\sigma(x - y_i)}{\sigma(x - z_i)} = \prod_i \frac{\sigma(x - u_i)}{\sigma(x - z_i)}.$$

It can be expanded as

$$\psi_2(x) = \sum_i a_i \frac{\sigma(x - z_i + \lambda - X + Z)}{\sigma(\lambda - X + Z)\sigma(x - z_i)}$$

with some coefficients a_i , with $\mathbf{a} = G_\lambda(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{c}$. On the other hand,

$$\mathbf{a} = G_{\lambda-X+Y}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})\mathbf{b} = G_{\lambda-X+Y}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})G_\lambda(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})\mathbf{c}.$$

Since the vector \mathbf{c} is arbitrary, we have

$$G_{\lambda-X+Y}(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{y})G_\lambda(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}) = G_\lambda(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x})$$

which is equivalent to (2.5).

Finally, let us note that the matrix product identity (2.5) immediately follows from the factorization formula to be proved below.

Proof of the elliptic factorization formula. We will prove (2.10) in the form

$$G_{\lambda+Y}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})g_\lambda(\mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda(\mathbf{x}), \quad (3.5)$$

or

$$\frac{\sigma(x_i - y_i)}{\sigma(\lambda + Y)} \prod_{l \neq i} \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_l)}{\sigma(x_i - x_l)} \sum_j \frac{\sigma(\lambda + Y + x_i - y_j)}{\sigma(x_i - y_j)} \frac{\sigma^{(k)}(y_j + \frac{\lambda}{N})}{\prod_{l \neq j} \sigma(y_j - y_l)} = \frac{\sigma^{(k)}(x_i + \frac{\lambda}{N})}{\prod_{l \neq i} \sigma(x_i - x_l)}, \quad (3.6)$$

where we have substituted the explicit form of the matrices $g_\lambda(\mathbf{x})$, $g_\lambda(\mathbf{y})$ (2.11). Consider the left hand side of (3.6) as a function of y_i and denote it as $f(y_i)$. The terms of the sum with $j \neq i$ are obviously elliptic functions of y_i . As far as the term with $j = i$ is concerned, we need the monodromy properties of the function $\sigma^{(k)}(x)$ which can be obtained with the help of (2.12):

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^{(k)}(x + 2\omega) &= (-1)^N e^{2\eta N(x+\omega)} \sigma^{(k)}(x), \\ \sigma^{(k)}(x + 2\omega') &= (-1)^N e^{2\eta' N(x+\omega')} \sigma^{(k)}(x).\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

As it is seen from (3.6), the function $f(y_i)$ may have simple poles at $y_i = y_l$ ($l \neq i$) and at the point where $\lambda + Y = 0$. It is easily verified that residues at the former $N - 1$ poles vanish. Since any non-constant elliptic function must have at least two simple poles, the pole at $\lambda + Y = 0$ is actually absent, and the function $f(y_i)$ turns out to be regular. Therefore, it does not depend on y_i and its value can be found by putting y_i equal to some particular value. It is convenient to put $y_i = x_i$, then only the term with $j = i$ in the sum survives, and the result coincides with the right hand side.

Proof of the factorization formula in the trigonometric case. We will prove the factorization formula (2.14) in the form

$$G_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) g_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda^{\text{trig}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

With g_λ^{rat} as in (2.15), this is equivalent to the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{l \neq j}^N \frac{\sin(x - y_l)}{\sin(y_j - y_l)} \right) \frac{\sin(x - y_j + \lambda + Y)}{\sin(\lambda + Y)} \varphi_k(y_j) = \varphi_k(x),\tag{3.8}$$

where φ_k is given by (2.16). It is convenient to change the variables as

$$z = e^{2ix}, \quad w_j = e^{2iy_j}, \quad t = e^{2i(\lambda+Y)},$$

then (3.8) acquires the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \left(\prod_{l \neq j}^N \frac{z - w_l}{w_j - w_l} \right) \frac{zw_j^{-1}t - 1}{t - 1} \tilde{\varphi}_k(w_j) = \tilde{\varphi}_k(z),\tag{3.9}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_k(z) = z^k e^{2ik\lambda/N} + (-1)^N \delta_{kN}, \quad k = 1, \dots, N.\tag{3.10}$$

Consider first the case $k = 1, \dots, N - 1$. Then both sides of (3.9) are polynomials in z of degree strictly less than N . Indeed, since

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{w_j^k}{\prod_{l \neq j} (w_j - w_l)} = 0 \quad \text{if } k = 0, \dots, N - 2,$$

the coefficient in front of the highest term z^N in the left hand side is equal to 0. The values of both sides at the N points w_1, \dots, w_N are the same. Therefore, the polynomials coincide. At $k = N$ we have $\tilde{\varphi}_N(z) = z^N e^{2i\lambda} + (-1)^N$. The both sides of (3.9) are

polynomials in z of degree N and their values at the N points w_1, \dots, w_N are the same. In order to prove that the polynomials coincide, it is enough to compare the coefficients in front of the highest terms z^N are the same. The highest coefficient in the left hand side is equal to

$$a_N = \frac{e^{2i\lambda t}}{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{w_j^{N-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (w_j - w_l)} + \frac{(-1)^N t}{t-1} \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{w_j^{-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (w_j - w_l)}.$$

As is easy to see,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{w_j^{N-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (w_j - w_l)} = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{w_j^{-1}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (w_j - w_l)} = (-1)^{N-1} (w_1 \dots w_N)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $a_N = e^{2i\lambda}$ is the same as in the right hand side.

Proof of the factorization formula in the rational case. We will prove the factorization formula (2.18) in the form

$$G_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) g_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{y}) = g_\lambda^{\text{rat}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

With g_λ^{rat} as in (2.19), this is equivalent to the equality

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \left(1 + \frac{x - y_j}{\lambda + Y}\right) \left(y_j + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{k-1+\delta_{kN}} \prod_{l \neq j} \frac{x - y_l}{y_j - y_l} = \left(x + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{k-1+\delta_{kN}} \quad (3.11)$$

which is an identity. Indeed, the left hand side is a polynomial in x of degree not greater than N . Let us denote it by $P_N^{(k)}(x)$. The values of this polynomial at N points y_j are

$$P_N^{(k)}(y_j) = \left(y_j + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{k-1+\delta_{kN}}.$$

As $x \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$P_N^{(k)}(x) = c_k x^N + O(x^{N-1}), \quad c_k = \frac{1}{\lambda + Y} \sum_j \frac{\left(y_j + \frac{\lambda}{N}\right)^{k-1+\delta_{kN}}}{\prod_{l \neq j} (y_j - y_l)}.$$

It is not difficult to see that $c_k = 0$ at $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ and $c_N = 1$. Indeed,

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{y_j^k}{\prod_{l \neq j} (y_j - y_l)} = 0 \quad \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq N-2, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{y_j^N}{\prod_{l \neq j} (y_j - y_l)} = \sum_{j=1}^N y_j.$$

Therefore, at $k = 1, \dots, N-1$ the both sides of (3.11) are polynomials of degree strictly less than N whose values are the same at N points; thus they coincide. In the case $k = N$ the both sides are polynomials of degree N with the same highest terms having the same values at N points; thus they also coincide.

In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ the matrix elements of g_λ^{rat} are singular. For the smooth limit, one should use the freedom mentioned above and substitute the matrix g_λ^{rat} by $g_\lambda^{\text{rat}} S(\lambda)$, where

$S(\lambda)$ is also singular as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ but the limit of $g_\lambda^{\text{rat}} S(\lambda)$ exists. In this way one obtains the factorization of the matrix $K(x, y)$ of the form (2.20), (2.21). The factorization formula is a direct consequence of the identity

$$\sum_j y_j^k \prod_{l \neq j} \frac{x - y_l}{y_j - y_l} = x^k$$

valid for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. The Gauss decomposition was proved in [10]. Here we give another proof. Written in matrix elements, the Gauss decomposition (2.22) has the form

$$C_{ik} = \sum_{j=\max(i,k)}^N U_{ij} D_{jj} L_{jk}. \quad (3.12)$$

Substituting here the explicit formulas (2.23), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sigma(x_i - y_k + \lambda)}{\sigma(\lambda)\sigma(x_i - y_k)} &= \sum_{j=\max(i,k)}^N \frac{\sigma(x_j - y_j)\sigma(x_i - y_j + \lambda_j)\sigma(x_j - y_k + \lambda_j)}{\sigma(\lambda_j)\sigma(\lambda_{j-1})\sigma(x_i - y_j)\sigma(x_j - y_k)} \\ &\times \prod_{l=j+1}^N \frac{\sigma(x_i - x_l)\sigma(y_k - y_l)}{\sigma(x_i - y_l)\sigma(y_k - y_l)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

In order to prove this identity, let us consider both sides as functions of λ . It is easy to see that the both sides are double-Bloch functions of λ with the Bloch multipliers $e^{2\eta(x_i - y_k)}$, $e^{2\eta'(x_i - y_k)}$. The function in the left hand side has a single simple pole in the fundamental domain at $\lambda = 0$ with residue 1. Possible poles of the right hand side are at the points where

$$\lambda_j = \lambda + \sum_{l=j+1}^N (x_l - y_l) = 0, \quad \max(i, k) \leq j \leq N.$$

A direct verification shows that all these poles cancel except the one at $\lambda_N = \lambda = 0$, and the residue at this pole is equal to 1. Therefore, the functions in the left and right hand sides coincide.

Acknowledgments

The work of A.Z. is an output of a research project implemented as a part of the Basic Research Program at the National Research University Higher School of Economics (HSE University).

References

- [1] S. Schechter, *On the inversion of certain matrices*, Mathematical Tables and Other Aids to Computation **13** (1959) 73–77.

- [2] E.T. Whittaker and G.N. Watson, *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge University Press, 1927.
- [3] N.I. Akhiezer, *Elements of the theory of elliptic functions*, AMS Transl. of Math. Monographs Series col. **79**, American Mathematical Society, 1980.
- [4] S. N. M. Ruijsenaars and H. Schneider, *A new class of integrable systems and its relation to solitons*, Ann. Phys. **170** (1986) 370–405.
- [5] S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, *Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities*, Commun. Math. Phys. **110** (1987) 191–213.
- [6] G. Frobenius, *Über die elliptischen Functionen zweiter Art*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **93** (1882) 53–68.
- [7] V. Prokofev and A. Zabrodin, *Elliptic solutions of the Toda lattice with constraint of type B and deformed Ruijsenaars-Schneider system*, arXiv:2302.12085.
- [8] M. Vasilyev, A. Zotov, *On factorized Lax pairs for classical many-body integrable systems*, Reviews in Mathematical Physics, **31:6** (2019) 1930002, arXiv:1804.02777.
- [9] K. Hasegawa, *Ruijsenaars' Commuting difference operators as commuting transfer matrices*, Commun. Math. Phys. **187** (1997) 289–325, arXiv:q-alg/9512029.
- [10] L. Fehér, C. Klimčík, S. Ruijsenaars, *A note on the Gauss decomposition of the elliptic Cauchy matrix*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys. **18** (2011) 179–182.