

New Accelerated Modulus-Based Iteration Method for Solving Large and Sparse Linear Complementarity Problem

Bharat Kumar^{a,1}, Deepmala^{a,2} and A.K. Das^{b,3}

^a*Mathematics Discipline,*

PDPM-Indian Institute of Information Technology, Design and Manufacturing,

Jabalpur - 482005 (MP), India

^b*Indian Statistical Institute, 203 B.T. Road,*

Kolkata - 700108, India

¹*Email: bharatnishad.kanpu@gmail.com* , ²*Email: dmrai23@gmail.com*

³*Email: akdas@isical.ac.in*

Abstract

In this article, we establish a class of new accelerated modulus-based iteration methods for solving the linear complementarity problem. When the system matrix is an H_+ -matrix, we present appropriate criteria for the convergence analysis. Also, we demonstrate the effectiveness of our proposed method and reduce the number of iterations and CPU time to accelerate the convergence performance by providing two numerical examples for various parameters.

Keywords. Linear complementarity problem, Iteration method, P -matrix, H_+ -matrix, Convergence analysis, Matrix splitting.

Mathematics Subject Classification. 90C33, 65F10, 65F50.

1 Introduction

The large and sparse matrices are matrices that have a large number of rows and columns but a small number of non-zero elements. In other words, they are matrices where the majority of the elements are zero. Sparse matrices are commonly used to represent complex systems or large datasets in fields such as computer science, mathematics, physics and engineering. The sparsity of the matrix means that it is not practical to store each element individually and specialized data structures and algorithms must be used to efficiently store and manipulate the matrix.

Given $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ and a vector $q \in R^n$. The linear complementarity problem, represented as $LCP(q, A_1)$, is to find the solution $z \in R^n$ to the following system:

$$z \geq 0, \quad A_1 z + q \geq 0, \quad z^T (A_1 z + q) = 0. \quad (1)$$

The free boundary problem, the Nash equilibrium point of the bimatrix game, operations research, control theory, mathematical economics, optimization theory, stochastic optimal control, the American option pricing problem, and elasticity theory are among the applications of the linear complementarity problem that are extensively studied in the literature on mathematical programming. for more details see [20], [11], [32], [7], [19], [23] and [25].

The methods available for solving the linear complementarity problems are into two groups namely the pivotal method [6], [8] and the iterative method [31], [15], [9], [18], [22], [24] and [26]. The basic idea behind the pivotal method is to get a basic feasible complementary vector through a series of pivot steps, while the iterative method creates a series of iterates that lead to a solution . Reformulating the $LCP(q, A_1)$ as an equation whose solution must be the same as the

LCP (q, A_1) is one of the most well-known and highly sought-after techniques for creating fast and economical iteration methods. As a result, some useful LCP (q, A_1) equivalent forms have emerged. Mangasarian [29] presented three methods: projected Jacobi over-relaxation, projected SOR, and projected symmetric SOR. For more information on designing iteration methods using the idea of Mangasarian, see also [1], [4] and [39]. Bai in [3] given the following general equivalent form:

$$(\Omega_1 + M_1)s = N_1s + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s| - rq, \quad (2)$$

with $r > 0$, where $\Omega_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ is a positive diagonal matrix, and initially, a class of modulus-based matrix splitting iteration algorithms was developed. The Equation (2) covers the published works in [5], [34], [21], [10] and [14]. This type of modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method was considered an effective method for solving the LCP (q, A_1) . For other deformations of Equation (2), see [42], [40], [27], [38] and [41] for more details. Moreover, this concept has also been used successfully in other complementarity problems, such as the nonlinear complementarity problem [28], [37], the implicit complementarity problem [17], [16], the quasi-complementarity problem [36], and the horizontal linear complementarity problem [30].

Using the ideas of Shilang [35] and Bai [3], we present a class of new accelerated modulus-based iteration methods for solving the large and sparse LCP (q, \mathcal{A}) . Also, we show that the linear complementarity problem and fixed point equation are equivalent and provide some convergence domains for our proposed method.

The following is the structure of the article: Some required definitions, notations and well-known lemmas are provided in section 2, all of which will be used for the discussions in the remaining sections of this work. In section 3, a new accelerated modulus-based iteration method with the help of the new equivalent fixed point form of the LCP (q, \mathcal{A}) is provided. In section 4, we establish some convergence domains for the proposed method. A numerical comparison

between the proposed methods and the modulus-based matrix splitting methods, introduced by Bai [3], is illustrated in section 5. Section 6 contains the conclusion of the article.

2 Preliminaries

In this part, we briefly discuss the basic results, definitions, and notations, most of which may be found in [12], [13] and [33].

Suppose $A_1 = (\bar{a}_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ and $B_1 = (\bar{b}_{ij}) \in R^{n \times n}$ are square matrices. The matrices A_1 and B_1 are denoted by $A_1 \geq (>) B_1$ if $\bar{a}_{ij} \geq (>) \bar{b}_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The matrix $|A_1| = (\bar{c}_{ij})$ is defined by $\bar{c}_{ij} = |\bar{a}_{ij}| \forall i, j$ and $|A_1|$ represent that $\bar{a}_{ij} \geq 0 \forall i, j$. Also, we have $|A_1 + B_1| \leq |A_1| + |B_1|$ and $|A_1 B_1| \leq |A_1| |B_1|$. Moreover, $a_1, b_1 \in R^n$ then $|a_1 + b_1| \leq |a_1| + |b_1|$ and $||a_1| - |b_1|| \leq |a_1 - b_1|$. The comparison matrix of A_1 is defined as $\langle \bar{a}_{ij} \rangle = |\bar{a}_{ij}|$ if $i = j$ and $\langle \bar{a}_{ij} \rangle = -|\bar{a}_{ij}|$ if $i \neq j$; a Z -matrix if all of its non-diagonal elements are less than equal to zero; an M -matrix if $A_1^{-1} \geq 0$ as well as Z -matrix; an H -matrix, if $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is an M -matrix and an H_+ -matrix if A_1 is an H -matrix as well as $\bar{a}_{ii} > 0 \forall i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; a P -matrix if all its principle minors are positive such that $\det(A_{1_{\alpha_1 \alpha_1}}) > 0 \forall \alpha_1 \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. The splitting $A_1 = M_1 - N_1$ is called an M -splitting if M_1 is a nonsingular M -matrix and $N_1 \geq 0$; an H -splitting if $\langle M_1 \rangle - |N_1|$ is an M -matrix; an H -compatible splitting if $\langle A_1 \rangle = \langle M_1 \rangle - |N_1|$; splitting is a H -splitting if it is a H -compatible of an H -matrix, while the converse is not true.

Lemma 2.1. [2] *Let $a_1, b_1 \in R^n$. $a_1 \geq 0, b_1 \geq 0, a_1^T b_1 = 0$ if and only if $a_1 + b_1 = |a_1 - b_1|$.*

Lemma 2.2. [13] *Suppose $A_1, B_1 \in R^{n \times n}$. If A_1 and B_1 are M and Z -matrices, respectively, with $A_1 \leq B_1$ then B_1 is an M -matrix. If A_1 is an H -matrix then $|A_1^{-1}| \leq \langle A_1 \rangle^{-1}$. If $A_1 \leq B_1$, then $\rho(A_1) \leq \rho(B_1)$.*

Lemma 2.3. [12] Let $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ be an M -matrix and $A_1 = M_1 - N_1$ be an M -splitting. Let ρ be the spectral radius, then $\rho(M_1^{-1}N_1) < 1$.

Lemma 2.4. [13] Suppose $A_1 \geq 0$. If there exist $v > 0 \in R^n$ and a scalar $\alpha_1 > 0$ such that $A_1v \leq \alpha_1v$, then $\rho(A_1) \leq \alpha_1$. Moreover, if $A_1v < v$, then $\rho(A_1) < 1$.

3 Main results

For a given vector $s \in R^n$, we indicate the vectors $s_+ = \max\{0, s\}$ and $A_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$, where I is the identity matrix of order n and L_1 is the strictly lower triangular matrix of A_1 . In the following result, we convert the LCP(q, A_1) into a fixed point formulation.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ with the splitting $A_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$. Let $z = \tau(|s| + s)$, $\omega = \Omega_1(|s| - s)$ and the matrix $(M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)$ be a nonsingular, then the equivalent formulation of the LCP(q, A_1) in form of fixed point equation is

$$s = (M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)s + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s| - rq]. \quad (3)$$

Proof. We have $z = \tau(|s| + s)$ and $\omega = \Omega_1(|s| - s)$, from Equation (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_1(|s| - s) &= A_1\tau(|s| + s) + q \\ (A_1\tau + \Omega_1)s &= (\Omega_1 - A_1\tau)|s| - q \\ ((M_1 + I - L_1)\tau + \Omega_1)s &= (N_1 + I - L_1)\tau s + (\Omega_1 - A_1\tau)|s| - q. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tau = \frac{1}{r}$, the above equation can be rewritten as,

$$s = (M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)s + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s| - rq].$$

□

In the following, Based on Equation (3), we propose an iteration method which is known as Method 3.1 to solve the LCP(q, A_1).

Method 3.1. Let $A_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$ be a splitting of the matrix $A_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. Suppose that $(M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)$ is a nonsingular matrix. Then we use the following equation for Method 3.1 is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - rq] \quad (4)$$

Let Residual be the Euclidean norm of the error vector, which is defined as follows:

$$\text{Res}(z^{(k)}) = \|\min(z^{(k)}, A_1 z^{(k)} + q)\|_2.$$

Consider a nonnegative initial vector $z^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The iteration process continues until the iteration sequence $\{z^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{+\infty} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ converges. For $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the iterative process continues until the iterative sequence $z^{(k+1)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ converges. The iteration process stops if $\text{Res}(z^{(k)}) < \epsilon$. For computing $z^{(k+1)}$ we use the following steps.

Step 1: Given an initial vector $s^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\epsilon > 0$ and set $k = 0$.

Step 2: Using the following scheme, create the sequence $z^{(k)}$:

$$s^{(k+1)} = (M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - rq] \quad (5)$$

and set $z^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{r}(|s^{(k+1)}| + s^{(k+1)})$, where $z^{(k)}$ is a k^{th} approximate solution of $\text{LCP}(q, A_1)$ and $s^{(k)}$ is a k^{th} approximate solution of Equation (3).

Step 3: Stop if $\text{Res}(z^{(k)}) < \epsilon$; otherwise, set $k = k + 1$ and return to step 2.

Furthermore, the proposed Method 3.1 offers a generic framework for solving $\text{LCP}(q, A_1)$. We created a new family of accelerated modulus-based relaxation methods using matrix splitting. In particular, we express the system matrix A_1 as $A_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$. Then

1. when $M_1 = A_1$, $N_1 = 0$, $\Omega_1 = I$ and $r = 1$, Equation (4) gives the new accelerated modulus iteration method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (A_1 + 2I - L_1)^{-1}[(I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (I - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - q].$$

2. when $M_1 = A_1$, $N_1 = 0$, $\Omega_1 = \alpha_1 I$ and $r = 1$, Equation (4) gives the new accelerated modulus-based iteration method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (A_1 + (\alpha_1 + 1)I - L_1)^{-1}[(I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (\alpha_1 I - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - q].$$

3. when $M_1 = D_1$, $N_1 = L_1 + U_1$ and $r = 2$, Equation (4) gives the new accelerated modulus-based Jacobi iteration method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (D_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(U_1 + I)s^{(k)} + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - 2q].$$

4. when $M_1 = D_1 - L_1$, $N_1 = U_1$ and $r = 2$, Equation (4) gives the new accelerated modulus-based Gauss-Seidel iteration (NAMGS) method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (D_1 - 2L_1 + \Omega_1 + I)^{-1}[(U_1 + I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (\Omega_1 - A_1)|s^{(k)}| - 2q].$$

5. when $M_1 = (\frac{1}{\alpha_1}D_1 - L_1)$ and $N_1 = (\frac{1}{\alpha_1} - 1)D_1 + U_1$, Equation (5) gives the new accelerated modulus-based successive over-relaxation iteration (NAMSOR) method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (D_1 - 2\alpha_1 L_1 + \alpha_1 \Omega_1 + \alpha_1 I)^{-1}[(1 - \alpha_1)D_1 + \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_1 I - L_1)s^{(k)} + (\alpha_1 \Omega_1 - \alpha_1 A_1)s^{(k)} - 2\alpha_1 q].$$

6. when $M_1 = (\frac{1}{\alpha_1})(D_1 - \beta_1 L_1)$ and $N_1 = (\frac{1}{\alpha_1})[(1 - \alpha_1)D_1 + (\alpha_1 - \beta_1)L_1 + \alpha_1 U_1]$, Equation (5) gives the new accelerated modulus-based accelerated b overrelaxation iteration (NAMAOR) method is

$$s^{(k+1)} = (D_1 - (\beta_1 + \alpha_1)L_1 + \alpha_1 \Omega_1 + \alpha_1 I)^{-1}[(1 - \alpha_1)D_1 + (2\alpha_1 - \beta_1)L_1 + \alpha_1 U_1 + \alpha_1 I)s^{(k)} + (\alpha_1 \Omega_1 - \alpha_1 A_1)s^{(k)} - 2\alpha_1 q].$$

The NAMAOR method clearly converts into the new accelerated modulus-based successive over-relaxation (NAMSOR) method, Gauss-Seidel (NAMGS) method, and Jacobi method when (α_1, β_1) takes the values (α_1, α_1) , $(1, 1)$, and $(1, 0)$, respectively.

4 Convergence analysis

In the following result, we prove the convergence conditions when the system matrix A_1 is a P -matrix.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ be a P -matrix and s^* be the solution of Equation (3). Let $\rho(|(M + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}|(|N + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - A_1|)) < 1$. Then the sequence $\{s^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ generated by Method 3.1 converges to the solution s^* for any initial vector $s^{(0)} \in R^n$.*

Proof. Let s^* be the solution of Equation (3), then error is

$$\begin{aligned} s^{(k+1)} - s^* &= (M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)(s^{(k)} - s^*) \\ &\quad + (\Omega_1 - A_1)(|s^{(k)}| - |s^*|)] \\ |s^{(k+1)} - s^*| &= |(M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}[(N_1 + I - L_1)(s^{(k)} - s^*) + (\Omega_1 \\ &\quad - A_1)(|s^{(k)}| - |s^*|)]| \\ &\leq |(M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}|(|(N_1 + I - L_1)(s^{(k)} - s^*)| + |\Omega_1 \\ &\quad - A_1|(|s^{(k)} - s^*|)| \\ &\leq |(M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}|(|N_1 + I - L_1| \\ &\quad + |\Omega_1 - A_1|)|s^{(k)} - s^*| \\ |s^{(k+1)} - s^*| &< |s^{(k)} - s^*|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{s^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ converges to the solution s^* . \square

When the system matrix A_1 is an H_+ -matrix, the following result discusses the convergence domain of Ω_1 for a new accelerated modulus-based iteration method.

Theorem 4.2. *Let A_1 be an H_+ -matrix and $A_1 = M_1 - N_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$ be an H -compatible of the matrix A_1 , such that $\langle A_1 \rangle = \langle M_1 + I - L_1 \rangle - |N + I - L_1|$ and either one of the following conditions holds:*

- (1) $\Omega_1 \geq D_1$;
- (2) $\Omega_1 < D_1$ and $2\Omega_1 - D_1 - |B|$, is an M -matrix, $B = L_1 + U_1$. Then the

sequence $\{s^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ generated by Method 3.1 converges to the solution s^* for any initial vector $s^{(0)} \in R^n$.

Proof. Let $A_1 = M_1 - N_1 = (M_1 + I - L_1) - (N_1 + I - L_1)$ and it holds that $\langle A_1 \rangle \leq \langle M_1 + I - L_1 \rangle \leq \text{diag}(M_1 + I - L_1)$, $(M_1 + I - L_1)$ is an H_+ -matrix. and it holds that

$$|(\Omega_1 + M_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}| \leq (\Omega_1 + \langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1)^{-1}.$$

From Theorem 4.1, let $T = |(M_1 + I - L_1 + \Omega_1)^{-1}|(|N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - A_1|)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} T &= |(M_1 + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}|[|N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - A_1|] \\ &\leq (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[|N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - A_1|] \\ &\leq (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[|N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - D_1 + L_1 + U_1|] \\ &\leq (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1) - (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1) \\ &\quad + |N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - D_1| + |L_1 + U_1|]. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. $\Omega_1 \geq D_1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq I - (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(\langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1) - |N_1 + I - L_1| + D_1 - |L_1 + U_1|] \\ &\leq I - 2(\Omega_1 + \langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1)^{-1}\langle A_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\langle A_1 \rangle$ is an M -matrix, then there exists a positive vector $v > 0$ such that

$$\langle A_1 \rangle v > 0.$$

Therefore

$$Tv \leq (I - 2(\Omega_1 + \langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1)^{-1}\langle A_1 \rangle)v < v.$$

By using the Lemma 2.4, we are able to determine that $\rho(T) < 1$.

Case 2. $\Omega_1 < D_1$ and $\langle A_1 \rangle + 2\Omega_1 - D_1 - |B|$ is an M -matrix. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} T &\leq (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1) - (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1) \\ &\quad + |N_1 + I - L_1| + |\Omega_1 - D_1| + |L_1 + U_1|] \\ &\leq I - (\langle M_1 \rangle + \Omega_1 + I - L_1)^{-1}[(\langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1) - |N_1 + I - L_1| \\ &\quad + 2\Omega_1 - D_1 - |L_1 + U_1|]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $[\langle A_1 \rangle + 2\Omega_1 - |D_1| - |L_1 + U_1|]$ is an M -matrix. Then there exists a positive vector $v > 0$ such that

$$[\langle A_1 \rangle + 2\Omega_1 - |D_1| - |L_1 + U_1|]v > 0.$$

Therefore

$$Tv \leq I - (\Omega_1 + \langle M_1 \rangle + I - L_1)^{-1}[\langle A_1 \rangle + 2\Omega_1 - D_1 - |L_1 + U_1|]v < v.$$

By using the Lemma 2.4, we are able to determine that $\rho(T) < 1$.

Because of this, according to Theorem 4.1, the iteration sequence $\{s^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{+\infty}$ generated by Method 3.1 converges to s^* for any initial vector $s^{(0)}$. \square

5 Numerical examples

IT denotes the number of iteration steps, while CPU is the CPU time in seconds. This section includes two numerical examples to show the efficiency of our proposed method. We consider the LCP(q, A_1), which always has a unique solution. Let $A_1 = P_1 + \delta_1 I$ and $q = -A_1 z^*$, where $z^* = (1, 2, \dots, 1, 2, \dots) \in R^n$ is the unique solution of Equation (1). Let $s^{(0)} = (1, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots)^T \in R^n$ be initial vector and set $\epsilon = 10^{-5}$. The proposed methods (NAMGS and NAMSOR) are compared to the modulus-based Gauss-Seidel (MGS) method and the successive over-relaxation (MSOR) method [3], which are effective in solving LCP(q, A_1).

Matlab version 2021a on an Acer Desktop (Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-8700 CPU @ 3.2 GHz 3.19GHz, 16.00GB RAM) is used for all calculations. The numerical results for the new accelerated modulus-based iteration method and modulus-based matrix splitting method in [3] are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Example 5.1. *The system matrix $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ is generated by $A_1 = P_1 + \delta_1 I$, where δ_1 is nonnegative real parameter and*

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & -I_2 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -I_2 & L_1 & -I_2 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -I_2 & L_1 & -I_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & -I_2 & \ddots & -I_2 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & -I_2 & L_1 \end{bmatrix}, L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -1 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -1 & 4 & -1 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & \ddots & -1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $P_1 \in R^{n \times n}$, $L_1 \in R^{m \times m}$ and I_2 is the identity matrix of order m .

Table 1: Results for MGS and MSOR methods [3] and NAMGS and NAMSOR methods, $\delta_1 = 4$.

| | n | 100 | 900 | 2500 | 3600 | 6400 | 10000 |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MGS $\alpha = 1$ | IT | 36 | 40 | 41 | 41 | 42 | 42 |
| | CPU | 0.0039 | 0.0293 | 0.2699 | 0.6400 | 2.0785 | 4.5712 |
| | Res | 9.7e-06 | 8.0e-06 | 7.9e-06 | 8.9e-06 | 7.4e-06 | 8.4e-06 |
| NAMGS $\alpha_1 = 1$ | IT | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 18 | 18 |
| | CPU | 0.0024 | 0.0146 | 0.1089 | 0.2688 | 0.8179 | 2.0175 |
| | Res | 6.3e-06 | 6.3e-06 | 8.5e-06 | 9.5e-06 | 4.5e-06 | 5.1e-06 |
| MSOR $\alpha = 0.85$ | IT | 15 | 17 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 19 |
| | CPU | 0.0034 | 0.0141 | 0.1201 | 0.2903 | 0.7826 | 2.0791 |
| | Res | 9.5e-06 | 7.6e-06 | 5.3e-06 | 6.5e-06 | 8.9e-06 | 4.3e-06 |
| NAMSOR $\alpha_1 = 0.91$ | IT | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| | CPU | 0.0028 | 0.0116 | 0.0905 | 0.2017 | 0.6467 | 2.1918 |
| | Res | 2.7e-06 | 7.6e-06 | 3.2e-06 | 3.7e-06 | 4.8e-06 | 5.9e-06 |

Example 5.2. The system matrix $A_1 \in R^{n \times n}$ is generated by $A_1 = P_1 + \delta_1 I$, where δ_1 are nonnegative real parameters and

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} L_1 & -0.5I_2 & 0 & \dots \\ -1.5I_2 & L_1 & -0.5I_2 & \dots \\ \vdots & -1.5I_2 & \ddots & -0.5I_2 \\ 0 & \dots & -1.5I_2 & L_1 \end{bmatrix}, L_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & -0.5 & \dots & \dots \\ -1.5 & 4 & -0.5 & \dots \\ \vdots & -1.5 & \ddots & -0.5 \\ 0 & \dots & -1.5 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

$P_1 \in R^{n \times n}$, $L_1 \in R^{m \times m}$ and I_2 is the identity matrix of order m .

Table 2: Results for MGS and MSOR methods [3] and NAMGS and NAMSOR methods, $\delta_1 = 4$.

| | n | 100 | 900 | 2500 | 3600 | 6400 | 10000 |
|------------------------------------|------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| MGS $\alpha = 1$ | IT | 24 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
| | CPU | 0.0034 | 0.0208 | 0.1707 | 0.3961 | 1.1542 | 2.9471 |
| | Res | 9.0e-06 | 6.5e-06 | 8.7e-06 | 9.6e-06 | 6.5e-06 | 7.3e-06 |
| NAMGS $\alpha_1 = 1$ | IT | 12 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| | CPU | 0.0026 | 0.0141 | 0.0837 | 0.1998 | 0.2987 | 1.4461 |
| | Res | 3.8e-06 | 8.4e-06 | 3.1e-06 | 3.5e-06 | 4.4e-06 | 5.3e-06 |
| MSOR $\alpha = 0.88$ | IT | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | CPU | 0.0029 | 0.0123 | 0.1040 | 0.2505 | 0.6543 | 1.6363 |
| | Res | 3.8e-06 | 8.2e-06 | 4.1e-06 | 4.6e-06 | 5.5e-06 | 6.3e-06 |
| NAMSOR $\alpha_1 = 0.88$ | IT | 8 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| | CPU | 0.0022 | 0.0096 | 0.0655 | 0.1409 | 0.0441 | 1.7340 |
| | Res | 2.2e-06 | 1.5e-06 | 2.7e-06 | 3.7e-06 | 4.6e-06 | 5.9e-06 |

From Tables 1 and 2, we can observe that the iteration steps required by our proposed NAMGS and NAMSOR methods have lesser number of iteration steps, faster processing (CPU time) and a greater computational efficiency than the MGS and MSOR methods in [3] respectively.

6 Conclusion

In this article, we present a class of new accelerated modulus-based iteration methods for solving the LCP(q, A_1) based on matrix splitting. The large and sparse structure of A_1 is preserved throughout the iteration process by this

iteration form. Additionally, when system matrix A_1 is an H_+ -matrix, we demonstrate some convergence conditions. Finally, two numerical examples are provided to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed methods.

Conflict of interest There are no conflicts of interest declared by the authors.

Acknowledgment. The first author wishes to thank the University Grants Commission (UGC), Government of India, under the SRF fellowship program No. 1068/(CSIR-UGC NET DEC. 2017).

References

- [1] BH Ahn. Solution of nonsymmetric linear complementarity problems by iterative methods. *Journal of optimization Theory and Applications*, 33:175–185, 1981.
- [2] R Ali, I Khan, A Ali, and A Mohamed. Two new generalized iteration methods for solving absolute value equations using m-matrix. *AIMS mathematics*, 7(5):8176–8187, 2022.
- [3] ZZ Bai. Modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 17(6):917–933, 2010.
- [4] ZZ Bai and DJ Evans. Matrix multisplitting relaxation methods for linear complementarity problems. *International Journal of Computer Mathematics*, 63(3-4):309–326, 1997.
- [5] A Berman and RJ Plemmons. *Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences*. SIAM, 1994.
- [6] AK Das. Properties of some matrix classes based on principal pivot transform. *Annals of Operations Research*, 243:375–382, 2016.

- [7] AK Das, Deepmala, and R Jana. Some aspects on solving transportation problem. *Yugoslav Journal of Operations Research*, 30(1):45–57, 2020.
- [8] AK Das and R Jana. Finiteness of criss-cross method in complementarity problem. In *Mathematics and Computing: Third International Conference, ICMC 2017, Haldia, India, January 17-21, 2017, Proceedings 3*, pages 170–180. Springer, 2017.
- [9] AK Das and R Jana. On generalized positive subdefinite matrices and interior point algorithm. In *Operations Research and Optimization: FOTA 2016, Kolkata, India, November 24-26 1*, pages 3–16. Springer, 2018.
- [10] JL Dong and MQ Jiang. A modified modulus method for symmetric positive-definite linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 16(2):129–143, 2009.
- [11] A Dutta, R Jana, and AK Das. On column competent matrices and linear complementarity problem. In *Proceedings of the Seventh International Conference on Mathematics and Computing: ICMC 2021*, pages 615–625. Springer, 2022.
- [12] XM Fang. General fixed-point method for solving the linear complementarity problem. *AIMS Mathematics*, 6(11):11904–11920, 2021.
- [13] A Frommer and DB Szyld. H-splittings and two-stage iterative methods. *Numerische Mathematik*, 63:345–356, 1992.
- [14] A Hadjidimos and M Tzoumas. Nonstationary extrapolated modulus algorithms for the solution of the linear complementarity problem. *Linear algebra and its applications*, 431(1-2):197–210, 2009.
- [15] A Hadjidimos and LL Zhang. Comparison of three classes of algorithms for the solution of the linear complementarity problem with an h+-matrix. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 336:175–191, 2018.

- [16] JT Hong and CL Li. Modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for a class of implicit complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 23(4):629–641, 2016.
- [17] N Huang and C Ma. The modulus-based matrix splitting algorithms for a class of weakly nonlinear complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 23(3):558–569, 2016.
- [18] R Jana, AK Das, and A Dutta. On hidden z-matrix and interior point algorithm. *Opsearch*, 56:1108–1116, 2019.
- [19] R Jana, AK Das, and S Sinha. On processability of lemke’s algorithm. *Applications and Applied Mathematics: An International Journal (AAM)*, 13(2):31, 2018.
- [20] R Jana, A Dutta, and AK Das. More on hidden z-matrices and linear complementarity problem. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 69(6):1151–1160, 2021.
- [21] NW Kappel and LT Watson. Iterative algorithms for the linear complementarity problem. *International journal of computer mathematics*, 19(3-4):273–297, 1986.
- [22] B Kumar, Deepmala, and AK Das. On general fixed point method based on matrix splitting for solving linear complementarity problem. *Journal of Numerical Analysis and Approximation Theory*, 51(2):189–200, 2022.
- [23] B Kumar, Deepmala, and AK Das. More on modulus based iterative method for solving implicit complementarity problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.12519*, 2023.
- [24] B Kumar, Deepmala, and AK Das. Projected fixed point iterative method for large and sparse horizontal linear complementarity problem. *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, pages 1–10, 2023.

- [25] B Kumar, Deepmala, A Dutta, and AK Das. Error bound for the linear complementarity problem using plus function. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.00377*, 2022.
- [26] B Kumar, A Dutta, and AK Das. More on matrix splitting modulus-based iterative methods for solving linear complementarity problem. *OPSEARCH*, pages 1–18, 2023.
- [27] W Li. A general modulus-based matrix splitting method for linear complementarity problems of h-matrices. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 26(12):1159–1164, 2013.
- [28] C Ma and N Huang. Modified modulus-based matrix splitting algorithms for a class of weakly nondifferentiable nonlinear complementarity problems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 108:116–124, 2016.
- [29] OL Mangasarian. Solution of symmetric linear complementarity problems by iterative methods. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 22(4):465–485, 1977.
- [30] F Mezzadri and E Galligani. Modulus-based matrix splitting methods for horizontal linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 83(1):201–219, 2020.
- [31] HS Najafi and SA Edalatpanah. Modification of iterative methods for solving linear complementarity problems. *Engineering computations*, 30(7):910–923, 2013.
- [32] SK Neogy and AK Das. On singular n_0 -matrices and the class q . *Linear algebra and its applications*, 434(3):813–819, 2011.
- [33] SK Neogy, AK Das, and A Gupta. Generalized principal pivot transforms, complementarity theory and their applications in stochastic games. *Optimization Letters*, 6:339–356, 2012.

- [34] WMG Van Bokhoven. A class of linear complementarity problems is solvable in polynomial time. *unpublished paper, Dept. of electrical engineering, university of technology, the Netherlands*, 1980.
- [35] S Wu and C Li. A class of new modulus-based matrix splitting methods for linear complementarity problem. *Optimization Letters*, pages 1–17, 2022.
- [36] SL Wu and P Guo. Modulus-based matrix splitting algorithms for the quasi-complementarity problems. *Applied Numerical Mathematics*, 132:127–137, 2018.
- [37] Z Xia and C Li. Modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for a class of nonlinear complementarity problem. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 271:34–42, 2015.
- [38] WW Xu. Modified modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Linear Algebra with Applications*, 22(4):748–760, 2015.
- [39] D Yuan and Y Song. Modified aor methods for linear complementarity problem. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 140(1):53–67, 2003.
- [40] LL Zhang. Two-step modulus-based matrix splitting iteration method for linear complementarity problems. *Numerical Algorithms*, 57:83–99, 2011.
- [41] LL Zhang and ZR Ren. Improved convergence theorems of modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for linear complementarity problems. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 26(6):638–642, 2013.
- [42] N Zheng and JF Yin. Accelerated modulus-based matrix splitting iteration methods for linear complementarity problem. *Numerical Algorithms*, 64(2):245–262, 2013.