

# A limit theorem for generalized tempered stable processes and their quadratic variations with stable index tending to two

Masaaki Fukasawa   Mikio Hirokane

*Graduate School of Engineering Science, Osaka University, Osaka, Japan*

June 4, 2024

## Abstract

We study the limit of the joint distribution of a multidimensional Generalized Tempered Stable (GTS) process and its quadratic covariation process when the stable index tends to two. Under a proper scaling, the GTS processes converges to a Brownian motion that is a stable process with stable index two. We renormalize their quadratic covariation processes so that they have a nondegenerate limit distribution. We show that the limit is a stable process with stable index one and is independent of the limit Brownian motion of the GTS processes. In addition, we apply this convergence result to finance. By using the scaled GTS process defined above, we construct a pure jump asset price model approaching to the Black-Scholes model. To evaluate how  $\alpha$ -stable jumps affect the implied volatility, we obtain the asymptotic expansion of the at-the-money implied volatility skew when the model approaches to the Black-Scholes model.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Motivation . . . . .	1
1.2	Literature Review . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Generalized Tempered Stable Processes</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Lévy Processes and Stable Processes . . . . .	3
2.2	Generalized Tempered Stable Processes . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Main Result : The Limit Distribution of the Scaled Generalized Tempered Stable Process</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Application : Asymptotics for the At-The-Money Implied Volatility Skew under a Pure-Jump Exponential Lévy Process</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1	Preliminaries and Settings . . . . .	10
4.2	Result . . . . .	14
4.3	The Asymptotic Expansion of the Cumulant . . . . .	15
4.4	The Asymptotics of the Prices of Call Options and Digital Options . . . . .	18
4.5	The Asymptotic of the ATM Volatility Skew . . . . .	20
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>22</b>

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation

To assess whether a mathematical model represents a natural or social phenomenon well, it is necessary to compare the mathematical results derived from the model with the facts observed from the phenomenon.

In the study of finance, implied volatility curves have an important role to seek asset price models. An implied volatility means a volatility computed inversely from an option price using the Black-Scholes formula. The Black-Scholes formula is a well-known option pricing formula under the Black-Scholes model, which is constructed by a Brownian motion and has a constant volatility. When we calculate the implied volatilities from the option prices observed in a real market and plot them with strike price  $K$  on the horizontal axis for each fixed maturity  $t$ , they often form a curve as the function with respect to strike price  $K$ , which is called the implied volatility curve. Of course, if we set an asset price model, we can calculate the implied volatilities from the theoretical option prices and the implied volatility curve under the model. To seek asset price models whose implied volatility curves fit the curves observed from real markets, it is important to study how mathematical structures of asset price models affect their implied volatility curves.

However, it is difficult to obtain an explicit formula of implied volatilities. Therefore, a lot of researchers study the asymptotic expansions of implied volatilities. There are several ways to get the asymptotic expansions. For instance, some researchers study the asymptotic expansions with maturity  $t$  tending to zero or infinity, which are called short-time/long-term asymptotics. While such studies consider the asymptotic expansions based on fixed models, we consider the asymptotic expansion of a perturbation model around the Black-Scholes model. Since the implied volatility under the Black-Scholes model is a constant, hence this constant volatility appears as the leading term of the expansion of the implied volatility. Furthermore, we chose a jump model as a perturbation model. Many researches in recent years have focused on the asymptotic expansions of the implied volatilities under jump models. It is often pointed out that jump models are useful as asset price models from the viewpoint of the non-Gaussian returns and the short-time asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility curve; see, e.g., Carr and Wu [5], Figueroa-López and Ólafsson [7]. To examine how the perturbation by jumps around the Black-Scholes model affects the implied volatility curve, we try to get the asymptotic expansion of the at-the-money implied volatility skew, which is the slope of the implied volatility curve at the point where the strike is equal to the spot price.

The jump processes we focus on in this paper are the Generalized Tempered Stable (GTS) processes (see [15]), which are a generalization of stable processes. GTS processes have  $\alpha$ -stable jumps, which are infinite activity jumps instead of finite activity jumps like compound Poisson processes. Stable processes are often used as an asset price model because they are useful for explaining the term structure of the implied volatility in real financial markets (see, e.g., [5] and [7]). A stable process is a Lévy process and has a stable index  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  determining the distribution of its jumps. If  $\alpha = 2$  then a stable process is a Brownian motion, and if  $0 < \alpha < 2$  then it has no Gaussian part and is purely discontinuous. Thus, we expect that a GTS process converges to a Brownian motion with stable index  $\alpha$  tending to two.

According to Yoshida's martingale expansion theory [17], when a sequence of martingales converges to a Brownian motion, we can derive an asymptotic expansion of the density of the martingale marginal by specifying the limit distribution of suitably normalized quadratic variations of the martingales. For an application to finance, where an asset price process is modeled by a martingale, an asymptotic expansion of the marginal distribution derives that of the implied volatility under the model; see [8]. Therefore, calculating the limit of normalized quadratic variations plays a crucial role in such application.

We show that under a proper scaling, a GTS process indeed converges to a Brownian motion that is a stable process with stable index two. We then renormalize its quadratic covariation so that it has a nondegenerate limit distribution. We show that the limit is a stable process with stable index one and is independent of the limit Brownian motion of the GTS process. Moreover, as one application of this convergence result, we obtain the asymptotic expansion of the at-the-money implied volatility skew under a pure-jump exponential model which is constructed by the GTS process and converges to the Black-Scholes model. It turns out that the limit of the normalized quadratic covariation is not integrable, which hampers to apply Yoshida's martingale expansion theory. Therefore, different from the way of [8], we use the asymptotic expansion of the characteristic function of the GTS process and the Carr-Madan formula to obtain the result.

## 1.2 Literature Review

Here, we review related works. Generalized Tempered Stable (GTS) processes are given in [15]. Küchler and Tappe [12] consider how close the distributions of a stable type process and a Brownian motion are, where

the stable index  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  is fixed. Thus, our idea is different from their study because we consider the limit distribution with respect to the stable index  $\alpha$ . From Akritas [1] or Jacod and Shiryaev [10], we know that the quadratic variation of a Lévy process is a Lévy process and how to compute the Lévy measure of the quadratic variation. On the other hand, the best of our knowledge, the joint distribution of a Lévy process and its quadratic covariation has not been studied so far.

Next, we introduce literatures for finance. Carr *et.al.* [3] provide the CGMY process, which is an example of GTS processes, as a financial model. Tankov [16] summarizes the fundamental properties of the exponential Lévy model. Carr and Madan [4] provide the call option pricing method, which is called the Carr-Madan formula, using a modified Fourier inversion transform, and we use the formula to obtain the asymptotic expansions of call option prices in this paper. Lee [13] gives the generalization of the Carr-Madan formula and we use the result for the asymptotic expansions of digital option prices. Carr and Wu [5] argue the need for  $\alpha$ -stable jumps to explain observed volatility behavior. There are many results of short-time asymptotics for the implied volatility and its skew under jump models; see, e.g., [14], [2], [7] and [9]. To seek the asymptotics for the implied volatility skew under the model approaching the Brownian motion, we can use Yoshida's martingale expansion theory [17] and obtain the asymptotic expansion of the density. [8] uses this method and gets asymptotics when a jump model having finite activity jumps is approached to a continuous model.

Finally, we describe the contents of each section in this paper. In Section 2, we show some properties about Lévy processes and GTS processes which is used in the proof of the main result. In Section 3, we define the scaled GTS process which approaches to a Brownian motion and show the limit of the joint distribution of the scaled GTS process and its quadratic covariation process. In Section 4, we define a pure-jump exponential Lévy model with infinite activity jumps by using the scaled GTS process. We explain the background of mathematical finance and show some properties about the model. In Section 5, using the model defined in Section 4, we show the asymptotic behavior of the implied volatility under the model when the model approaches to the Black-Scholes model. First, we show the asymptotic expansion of the option prices and, by using the result, obtain the asymptotic expansion of the at-the-money implied volatility skew under the model.

## 2 Generalized Tempered Stable Processes

### 2.1 Lévy Processes and Stable Processes

Before explaining generalized tempered stable processes, we briefly introduce and prove some properties of Lévy processes. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space and  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional Lévy process. Then, the characteristic function of  $X_1$  can be written as

$$\exp\left\{i\langle m, z \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle z, Vz \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu(dx)\right\}$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . We call  $(m, V, \nu)$  the triplet of the Lévy process  $X$ , and the triplet satisfies following conditions:

- $m \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $V \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a nonnegative definite symmetric matrix.
- $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$ .

By the Lévy-Itô decomposition [11, Theorem 19.2], we can obtain the characteristic function of the joint distribution of a Lévy process and its quadratic covariation process.

**Lemma 2.1** (the joint distribution of a Lévy process with triplet  $(m, V, \nu)$  and its quadratic covariation process). *Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Lévy process and  $[X]$  be the optional quadratic covariation process of  $X$ . Then,  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ -valued Lévy process  $(X, [X])$  has the following characteristic function:*

$$\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i(\langle z, X_1 \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle)}\right] = \exp\left\{i\langle m, z \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) \nu(dx)\right\},$$

where  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ .

Proof. Let  $N$  be a Poisson random measure with mean measure  $\nu$ , and we define

$$X^{(1,n)}(t, \omega) := \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} x N(dsdx, \omega) - t \int_{|x| \geq 1/n} x \cdot \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}} \nu(dx),$$

$$X^{(1)} := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X^{(1,n)}, \quad X^{(0)} := X - X^{(1)}.$$

Then, the Lévy-Itô decomposition [11, Theorem 19.2] says that  $X^{(0)}$  is a Lévy process with triplet  $(m, V, 0)$  and  $X^{(1)}$  is a Lévy process with triplet  $(0, 0, \nu)$ . We define

$$[X]_t^n := \int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} xx^\top N(dsdx)$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Of course,  $[X]_t^n \rightarrow [X]_t$  holds as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and let  $\langle A, B \rangle := \text{tr}(A^\top B)$  with  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, X_1 \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle &= \langle z, X_1^{(0)} \rangle + \langle z, X_1^{(1)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \langle z, X_1^{(0)} \rangle + \langle z, X_1^{(1,n)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1^n \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_1^{(0)}$  and  $N(A)$  ( $A \in \mathcal{B}((0, 1] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\})$ ) are independent, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1 \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle)} \right] &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1^{(0)} \rangle + \langle z, X_1^{(1,n)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1^n \rangle)} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i\langle z, X_1^{(0)} \rangle} \right] \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1^{(1,n)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1^n \rangle)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the definitions of  $X^{(1,n)}$  and  $[X]^n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z, X_1^{(1,n)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1^n \rangle &= \int_{(0,1] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} (\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle) N(dsdx) \\ &\quad + \int_{(0,1] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} \langle z, x \rangle \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}} \nu(dx). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_{ph}^n$  denote

$$A_{ph}^n := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \frac{h_j}{p} < x_j \leq \frac{h_j + 1}{p}, j = 1, \dots, d \right\} \cap \left\{ |x| \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

for each  $p, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $h = (h_j)_{j=1}^d \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . Therefore, we can get the limit

$$\int_{(0,t] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} (\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle) N(dsdx) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, Uh/p \rangle) N((0, 1] \times A_{ph}^n).$$

From the properties of the Poisson random measure  $N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left\{ i \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, Uh/p \rangle) N((0, 1] \times A_{ph}^n) \right\} \right] \\ &= \prod_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \{ i(\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, Uh/p \rangle) N((0, 1] \times A_{ph}^n) \} \right] \\ &= \prod_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \exp \left\{ \left( e^{i(\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, Uh/p \rangle)} - 1 \right) \nu(A_{ph}^n) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \exp \left\{ \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( e^{i(\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, U h/p \rangle)} - 1 \right) \nu(A_{ph}^n) \right\}$$

holds. Since  $\nu$  is a finite measure on any subsets excluding a neighborhood of 0, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem shows the convergence

$$\int_{|x| \geq 1/n} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1) \nu(dx) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \left( e^{i(\langle z, h/p \rangle + \langle h/p, U h/p \rangle)} - 1 \right) \nu(A_{ph}^n).$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \exp \left( i \int_{(0, t] \times \{|x| \geq 1/n\}} (\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle) N(ds dx) \right) \right] = \exp \left\{ \int_{|x| \geq 1/n} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1) \nu(dx) \right\},$$

and we get

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1^{(1, n)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1^n \rangle)} \right] = \exp \left\{ \int_{|x| \geq 1/n} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) \nu(dx) \right\}.$$

When  $|x| \leq 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle| &\leq |e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)| + |\langle x, Ux \rangle| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} |\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle|^2 + \|U\| |x|^2 \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} |z|^2 \|U\|^2 + \|U\| \right) |x|^2 \end{aligned}$$

holds. With  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (|x|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dx) < \infty$ , it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1^{(1)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle)} \right] = \exp \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) \nu(dx) \right\},$$

where  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Summarizing the above, we have the characteristic function of the joint distribution as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1 \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle)} \right] &= \mathbb{E} \left[ e^{i(\langle z, X_1^{(0)} \rangle + \langle z, X_1^{(1)} \rangle + \langle U, [X]_1 \rangle)} \right] \\ &= \exp \left\{ i\langle m, z \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle z, Vz \rangle + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle)} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \leq 1\}}) \nu(dx) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

We give the proposition representing the relation between convergence in distribution on infinite dimensional space and that on finite dimensional space.

**Definition 2.2** ([10, VI 1.1 Definition]) Let  $D(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d \mid f \text{ is càdlàg}\}$  and call it the *Skorokhod space*. For any  $t \geq 0$ , let  $\mathcal{D}_t^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the projections  $\pi_s^t(f) = f(s)$  ( $s \in [0, t]$ ) and  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d) := \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{D}_t^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

According to [10, VI 1.14 Theorem], if we set the *Skorokhod topology* on  $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then  $D(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a Polish space and its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra is equal to  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We suppose the Skorokhod space has the Skorokhod topology and its Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra unless explicitly stated otherwise. Next, we give the definition of convergence in distribution on the Skorokhod space.

**Definition 2.3** (convergence in distribution of càdlàg processes) Let  $(X^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $X$  be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued càdlàg processes. We write  $X^n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , if  $\mathbb{P}^{X^n}$  and  $\mathbb{P}^X$  satisfy

$$\forall f \in C_b(D(\mathbb{R}^d), \mathbb{R}), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f d\mathbb{P}^{X^n} = \int f d\mathbb{P}^X,$$

where  $\mathbb{P}^{X^n}$  and  $\mathbb{P}^X$  are the probability distributions of  $X^n$  and  $X$  respectively.

**Proposition 2.4** ([10, VII 3.6 Corollary]). *Let  $(X^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $X$  be  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued càdlàg processes having stationary and independent increments. Then,  $X^n \xrightarrow{d} X$  if and only if  $X_1^n \xrightarrow{d} X_1$ .*

Next, we briefly describe stable processes. When an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Lévy process  $X$  with triplet  $(m, V, \nu)$  satisfying, for any  $a > 0$ , there exist  $b > 0$  and  $c \in \mathbb{R}^d$  s.t.  $X_{at} \stackrel{d}{=} bX_t + tc$  for any  $t \geq 0$ ,  $X$  is called a *stable process*. The stable process is characterized by its *stable index*  $\alpha \in (0, 2]$  s.t. there exists a function  $c_t$  satisfying  $X_t \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1/\alpha}X_1 + c_t$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . When  $\alpha = 2$ , the Lévy measure is vanished and the process becomes a Brownian motion. When  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ , the diffusion part is vanished, which means the process becomes a pure-jump process, and the stable process has the fatter tailed distribution than a Brownian motion. Moreover, from [11, Theorem 14.3], we can write the Lévy measure of the stable process as following:

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_B(r\xi) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}),$$

where  $S$  is the unit sphere and  $\rho$  is a finite measure on  $S$  representing the distribution of jump directions. The characteristic function of the stable process can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle z, X_1 \rangle}] = \begin{cases} \exp\left\{i\langle \delta, z \rangle - |z|^\alpha \int_S \left(1 - i \cdot \tan \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \operatorname{sgn}\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle\right) |\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle|^\alpha \lambda(d\xi)\right\} & (\alpha \neq 1) \\ \exp\left\{i\langle \delta, z \rangle - |z| \int_S \left(|\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle| + i \cdot \frac{2}{\pi} \langle \zeta, \xi \rangle \log |\langle \zeta, \xi \rangle|\right) \lambda(d\xi)\right\} & (\alpha = 1), \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

and we see that this characteristic function decays at the speed  $e^{-|z|^\alpha}$ , where  $z$  is sufficiently large.

## 2.2 Generalized Tempered Stable Processes

Remembering the Lévy measure of the stable process, when we fix the jump direction  $\xi$ , we are only able to adjust the measure  $\rho$  and the form  $1/r^\alpha$  does not change. Then, it is natural to generalize the stable process as in the following definition so that the distribution of jumps can be determined more flexibly. We refer to [15] for the definition of generalized tempered stable processes.

**Definition 2.5** (generalized tempered stable process) Let  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued Lévy process with triplet  $(m, V, \nu)$ .  $X$  is called a *generalized tempered stable (GTS) process with  $(m, \alpha, \rho, q)$* , if  $V = 0$  and the Lévy measure  $\nu$  satisfies

$$\nu(B) = \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbf{1}_B(r\xi) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr,$$

for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\})$ , where  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,  $\rho$  is a finite measure on  $S := \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid |\xi| = 1\}$ , and a measurable function  $q : (0, \infty) \times S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  has a  $\rho$ -integrable function  $p : S \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $\int_S |q(r, \xi) - p(\xi)| \rho(d\xi) \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \searrow 0$ . In addition, the tempering function  $q$  satisfies the following conditions:

(G-1) The function  $q$  is bounded by a constant  $M > 0$ .

(G-2) For  $\rho$ -a.e.  $\xi \in S$ ,  $q(r, \xi) \rightarrow q(0+, \xi) < \infty$  as  $r \searrow 0$ .

**Remark 2.6** We add two conditions (G-1) and (G-2) to the definition of GTS processes in [15]. These conditions are needed to show some convergence results in this paper and most of the examples of GTS processes fulfill them.

**Remark 2.7** There are some literatures (e.g., [12] and [2]) that call tempered stable processes which have different stable indices for positive and negative jump directions. Note that those processes are not included in the definition of the GTS process of this paper.

The tempering function  $q$  controls the distribution of jumps in each direction. If  $q$  is a constant, the GTS process is a stable process. This definition says GTS processes are determined by the four components  $(m, \alpha, \rho, q)$ , and their characteristic functions can be written as

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle z, X_1 \rangle}] = \exp \left\{ i\langle m, z \rangle + \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \right\}.$$

Example 2.8 (the CGMY process) If an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued GTS process has the components  $\rho := C$ ,  $q(r, 1) := e^{-Gr}$ ,  $q(r, -1) := e^{-Mr}$  and  $\alpha := Y$ , where  $C > 0$ ,  $G \geq 0$ ,  $M \geq 0$ , and  $0 < Y < 2$ , this is called the *CGMY process*; see [3]. Therefore, the Lévy measure of the CGMY process can be written as

$$\nu(dx) = \begin{cases} C \cdot \frac{e^{-G|x|}}{|x|^{Y+1}} dx & (x > 0) \\ C \cdot \frac{e^{-M|x|}}{|x|^{Y+1}} dx & (x < 0). \end{cases}$$

### 3 Main Result : The Limit Distribution of the Scaled Generalized Tempered Stable Process

Because a stable process is a Brownian motion when  $\alpha = 2$  and a pure-jump process when  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , we expect that a stable process converges to a Brownian motion with stable index  $\alpha$  tending to 2, and this is also the case for a GTS process. Of course, seeing the characteristic function of the GTS process, that diverges when  $\alpha$  converges to 2 without any modification for its Lévy measure. Now, we show an appropriate scaling for the Lévy measure so that the GTS process converges to a Brownian motion. We define a scaled GTS process like the following.

Definition 3.1 (scaled generalized tempered stable process) Let  $h : (3/2, 2) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the function having the limit  $h(\alpha)/(2 - \alpha) \rightarrow 1$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$  and  $X$  be the GTS process with  $(m, \alpha, \rho, q)$  satisfying the following condition:

$$(G-3) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^1 |q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)| r^{-1} dr < \infty.$$

For each  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ , we define a *scaled generalized tempered stable process*  $X^\alpha$  to be the GTS process with triplet  $(m, 0, \nu_\alpha)$  whose Lévy measure  $\nu_\alpha$  can be written as

$$\nu_\alpha(B) := h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr.$$

In addition, let  $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  be

$$\Sigma := \int_S \xi \xi^\top q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi), \quad \gamma := \int_0^\infty (\sin r - r \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \frac{1}{r^2} dr.$$

When the scaled GTS process converges in distribution to a Brownian motion, the limit distribution of the quadratic covariation of the scaled GTS process degenerates to that of the Brownian motion. Thus, we need a proper normalization of the quadratic covariation to have a nondegenerate limit distribution.

Definition 3.2 (normalized quadratic covariation) Let  $c : (3/2, 2) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be the function having the limit  $c(\alpha)/(2 - \alpha) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$  and  $D(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be

$$D(\alpha) := \frac{h(\alpha)c(\alpha)^{1-\alpha/2}}{2} \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^1 \xi \xi^\top \frac{q(r^{1/2}c(\alpha)^{1/2}, \xi)}{r^{\alpha/2}} dr.$$

We define a *normalized quadratic covariation process*  $Y^\alpha$  to be

$$Y_t^\alpha := \frac{[X^\alpha]_t - D(\alpha) \cdot t}{c(\alpha)}$$

for each  $t \geq 0$ , where  $[X^\alpha]$  is the optional quadratic covariation of the scaled GTS process  $X^\alpha$ .

**Theorem 3.3** (Main result). *Suppose that  $X^\alpha$  is the scaled GTS process and  $Y^\alpha$  is the normalized quadratic covariation process of  $X^\alpha$ . Let  $(X, Y)$  be an  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ -valued Lévy process whose characteristic function at time  $t = 1$  is*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z, U) &= \exp\left\{i\langle z, m \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle z, \Sigma z \rangle\right\} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left\{\int_S \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle| - i\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle \log|\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle| + i\gamma\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle\right) q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Then,  $(X^\alpha, Y^\alpha) \xrightarrow{d} (X, Y)$  holds as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ , where  $\xrightarrow{d}$  means convergence in distribution on the Skorokhod space  $D(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^{d \times d})$ .

Proof. By Proposition 2.4, we only have to prove the convergence of the finite-dimensional distribution. First, we prove  $X_1^\alpha \xrightarrow{d} N(m, \Sigma)$ . The cumulant of  $X_1^\alpha$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle z, X_1^\alpha \rangle}] &= i\langle m, z \rangle + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= i\langle m, z \rangle + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(0+, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\quad + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= i\langle m, z \rangle + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)} \cdot \frac{q(0+, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\quad + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle) \cdot \frac{q(0+, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\quad + h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &=: H_1 + H_2 + H_3 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show the convergence of  $H_1$ . Since  $\int_1^\infty 1/r^\alpha dr \leq \int_1^\infty 1/r^{3/2} dr < \infty$  where  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ , hence

$$h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_1^\infty \xi \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^\alpha} dr \rightarrow 0$$

as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Therefore, we get  $H_1 \rightarrow i\langle m, z \rangle$ . Next, we prove the convergence of  $H_2$ . From the proof of [11, Theorem 14.10], we obtain

$$H_2 = h(\alpha) \Gamma(-\alpha) \int_S |\langle z, \xi \rangle|^\alpha e^{i\frac{\pi\alpha}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(z, \xi)} q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is the Gamma function. By using the limit  $(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) \rightarrow 1/2$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$  and the definition of  $\Sigma$ , we have

$$H_2 \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2} \int_S |\langle z, \xi \rangle|^2 q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} \langle z, \Sigma z \rangle.$$

Then, we show the convergence of  $H_3$ . Considering the case where  $1 \leq r < \infty$ , since  $|e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1| \leq 2$  holds, hence

$$|e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1| \cdot \frac{|q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} \leq 2 \cdot 2M \cdot \frac{1}{r^2}$$



holds and we get the dominating function on  $[1, \infty) \times S$  with respect to  $drd\rho$ . Next, we consider the case where  $0 < r < 1$ . Using the bound  $|e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle| \leq |z|^2 r^2 / 2$ , we obtain

$$|e^{i\langle z, r\xi \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, r\xi \rangle| \cdot \frac{|q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} \leq \frac{|z|^2}{2} \cdot \frac{|q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)|}{r}.$$

From the condition (G-3) in Definition 3.1, the right-hand side is integrable on  $(0, 1) \times S$  with respect to  $drd\rho$ . Therefore, we have the limit  $H_3 \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Given all of the above, we can obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle z, X_1^\alpha \rangle}] \rightarrow \exp\left\{i\langle m, z \rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle z, \Sigma z \rangle\right\}.$$

Second, let us show  $Y_1^\alpha$  converges in distribution to a stable distribution with stable index  $\alpha = 1$ . Fix  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Form Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle U, Y_1^\alpha \rangle}] &= e^{-i\langle U, D(\alpha) \rangle} \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{i\langle U, c(\alpha) \cdot [X_1^\alpha]_1 \rangle}] \\ &= \exp\{-i\langle U, D(\alpha) \rangle / c(\alpha)\} \cdot \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\exp\{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)\} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dx)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\frac{h(\alpha)}{2c(\alpha)^{\alpha/2}} \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{ir\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle} - 1 - ir\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \frac{q(r^{1/2}c(\alpha)^{1/2}, \xi)}{r^{1+\alpha/2}} dr\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of  $h(\alpha)$  and  $c(\alpha)$ ,  $h(\alpha)/c(\alpha)^{\alpha/2} \rightarrow 2$  holds as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Then, using the condition (G-2) in Definition 2.5 and [11, Lemma 14.11], it follows from Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem that

$$\psi_\alpha(U) \rightarrow \exp\left\{\int_S \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}|\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle| - i\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle \log|\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle| + i\gamma\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle\right) q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi)\right\}$$

as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .

Finally, we only have to show independence of the two limit distributions. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha))} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}} \\ &= (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1)(e^{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) + (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) + (e^{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . Therefore, by using Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}\left[e^{i(\langle z, X_1^\alpha \rangle + \langle U, Y_1^\alpha \rangle)}\right] \\ &= \exp\left\{i\langle m, z \rangle - i\langle U, D(\alpha) \rangle / c(\alpha) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i(\langle z, x \rangle + \langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha))} - 1 - i\langle z, x \rangle \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu_\alpha(dx)\right\} \\ &= \exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1)(e^{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dx)\right\} \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\langle z, X_1^\alpha \rangle}\right] \cdot \mathbb{E}\left[e^{i\langle U, Y_1^\alpha \rangle}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

To prove the desired result, we only have to show

$$\exp\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1)(e^{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dx)\right\} \rightarrow 1$$

where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ , that is, the exponent converges to 0 as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . We can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (e^{i\langle z, x \rangle} - 1)(e^{i\langle x, Ux \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) \nu_\alpha(dx) \\ &= h(\alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{ir\langle z, \xi \rangle} - 1)(e^{ir^2\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle / c(\alpha)} - 1) \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{h(\alpha)}{c(\alpha)^{\alpha/2}} \int_S \rho(d\xi) \underbrace{\int_0^\infty (e^{isc(\alpha)^{1/2}\langle z, \xi \rangle} - 1)(e^{is^2\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle} - 1) \frac{q(sc(\alpha)^{1/2}, \xi)}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds}_{(\star)},$$

so it suffices to prove the limit of  $(\star)$  is 0. Obviously, using the condition (G-2) and the definition of  $c(\alpha)$ , the integrand converges to 0. If we take  $\alpha$  sufficiently close to 2, we can say  $0 < c(\alpha)^{1/2} < 1$  and have the following two bound

$$\begin{aligned} |e^{isc(\alpha)^{1/2}\langle z, \xi \rangle} - 1| &\leq (|\langle z, \xi \rangle|s) \wedge 2 \leq (|z|s) \wedge 2, \\ |e^{is^2\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle} - 1| &\leq (|\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle|s^2) \wedge 2 \leq (\|U\|s^2) \wedge 2. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $(0, 1)$ , by the condition (G-1) in Definition 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |e^{isc(\alpha)^{1/2}\langle z, \xi \rangle} - 1| |e^{is^2\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle} - 1| \cdot \frac{q(sc(\alpha)^{1/2}, \xi)}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds &\leq \int_0^1 |z| \|U\| s^3 \cdot \frac{M}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \\ &= |z| \|U\| M \int_0^1 s^{2-\alpha} ds \\ &\leq |z| \|U\| M < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

In the case of  $[1, \infty)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty |e^{isc(\alpha)^{1/2}\langle z, \xi \rangle} - 1| |e^{is^2\langle \xi, U\xi \rangle} - 1| \frac{q(sc(\alpha)^{1/2}, \xi)}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds &\leq \int_1^\infty 4 \cdot \frac{M}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \\ &\leq 4K \int_1^\infty \frac{1}{s^2} ds < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By using Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have the desired result.  $\square$

## 4 Application : Asymptotics for the At-The-Money Implied Volatility Skew under a Pure-Jump Exponential Lévy Model

In this section, we want to consider the behavior of the implied volatility when a pure-jump model with  $\alpha$ -stable jumps gets closer to the Black-Scholes model.

### 4.1 Preliminaries and Settings

Theorem 3.3 means the GTS process approaches a Brownian motion with stable index  $\alpha$  tending to 2 if its Lévy measure is scaled appropriately, so we can define a scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model with  $\alpha$ -stable jumps that converges to the Black-Scholes model.

**Definition 4.1** (scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model) Let  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$  and  $X^\alpha$  be the scaled GTS process satisfying the following conditions:

- $h(\alpha) = 2 - \alpha$ ,  $X_0^\alpha = 0$  and  $q(0+, 1) = 0$ .
- There exists  $\delta_0 > 1$  s.t.  $X^\alpha$  satisfies (D-1) and (D-2) for any  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ . ((D-1) and (D-2) are stated in Proposition A.1.)
- $X^\alpha$  has the drift

$$m_\alpha := - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - 1 - x \mathbb{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu_\alpha(dx),$$

where  $\nu_\alpha$  is the Lévy measure of  $X^\alpha$ .

Now, we define the *scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model* to be  $S^\alpha := \exp(X^\alpha)$ .

**Remark 4.2** Note that we do not eliminate positive jumps in this definition, because we do not assume  $\rho(\{1\}) = 0$  but  $q(0+, 1) = 0$ . The Finite Moment Log Stable (FMLS) process provided in [5] does not have positive jumps, so this model is a generalization of the FMLS process.

**Remark 4.3** From [6, Proposition 8.20], the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model  $e^{X^\alpha}$  is  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale. Indeed, from the condition (D-2), we can get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| \geq 1\}} \nu_\alpha(dx) \leq \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_1^\infty e^{r\xi} \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr < \infty.$$

Next, using the properties of the GTS processes proved in the previous section, we show the convergence of this model and the decay speed of its characteristic function.

**Corollary 4.4** (convergence of the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model). *Let  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$  and  $X^\alpha$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1. Now, we define*

$$\Sigma := \int_S \xi \xi^\top q(0+, \xi) \rho(d\xi) = q(0+, -1) \rho(\{-1\}), \quad m := -\frac{\Sigma}{2},$$

and  $X$  is the Brownian motion s.t.  $X_1 \sim N(m, \Sigma)$ . Then,  $X^\alpha \xrightarrow{d} X$  on Skorokhod space where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .

**Remark 4.5** Note that the Black-Scholes model  $e^X$ , which is the limit of  $e^{X^\alpha}$ , is  $\mathbb{P}$ -martingale.

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.4, it is sufficient to show the convergence in distribution at  $t = 1$ . We can write

$$X_1^\alpha = X_1^\alpha - m_\alpha + m_\alpha$$

and we can say  $X_1^\alpha - m_\alpha \xrightarrow{d} X_1 - m$  by Theorem 3.3. Therefore, using Slutsky's theorem, we only have to prove  $m_\alpha \rightarrow m$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - 1 - x \mathbf{1}_{\{|x| < 1\}}) \nu_\alpha(dx) \\ &= -(2 - \alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{r\xi} - 1 - r\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

First, we consider the case  $\xi = 1$ . From  $q(0+, 1) = 0$  and (G-3), we can say  $\int_0^1 q(r, 1)/r dr < \infty$ . Using this fact and (D-2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^r - 1 - r \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_0^1 (e^r - 1 - r) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_1^\infty (e^r - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\leq (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_0^1 r^2 \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_1^\infty (1 - e^{-r}) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{e^{-r}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Next, we consider the  $\xi = -1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &(2 - \alpha) \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-r} - 1 + r \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-r} - 1 + r \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-r} - 1 + r\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& = I_1 + I_2
\end{aligned}$$

holds. We can write the first term, by the proof of [11, Lemma 14.11],

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 & = (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-r} - 1 + r) \cdot \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr - (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_1^\infty r \cdot \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& = (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\})q(0+, -1)\Gamma(-\alpha) - (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\})q(0+, -1) \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \\
& \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho(\{-1\})q(0+, -1) - 0 = \frac{\Sigma}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .  $I_2$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| & \leq (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^1 |e^{-r} - 1 + r| \cdot \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& \quad + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_1^\infty |e^{-r} - 1| \cdot \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& \leq (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^1 r^2 \cdot \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& \quad + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_1^\infty r \cdot \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& \leq (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^1 \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r^{\alpha-1}} dr \\
& \quad + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_1^\infty \frac{2M}{r^\alpha} dr \\
& \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ , by using the condition (G-3). Summarizing the above,  $m_\alpha \rightarrow -\Sigma/2 = m$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .  $\square$

Next, by using Proposition A.1 in Section A, we show the decay speed of the characteristic function of the log-price  $X_t^\alpha$ .

**Corollary 4.6.** *Let  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$  and  $X^\alpha$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1. Let  $g_t^\alpha$  denote the characteristic function of  $X_t^\alpha$  and take any  $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ . Then, there exist  $\gamma' > 0$ ,  $C' \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z_0 > 0$ ,  $\alpha_0 \in (3/2, 2)$  s.t.*

$$|g_t^\alpha(z - i\delta)| \leq \exp(-t\gamma'|z|^\alpha + tC')$$

holds for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 2)$  and  $z$  s.t.  $|z| \geq z_0$ .

Proof.  $X^\alpha$  has the scaled Lévy measure which is written as

$$\nu_\alpha(B) = (2 - \alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_B(r\xi) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr.$$

If we define  $\gamma'_\alpha$  and  $C'_\alpha$  to be

$$\gamma'_\alpha := (2 - \alpha)\gamma_\alpha, \quad C'_\alpha := m_\alpha\delta + M\delta^2 + (2 - \alpha) \left\{ M' + 2M \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n!} \right\},$$

by using Proposition A.1, we get

$$\log |g_t^\alpha(z - i\delta)| \leq -t\gamma'_\alpha |z|^\alpha + tC'_\alpha,$$

where  $|z| \geq z_0$ . From [11, Lemma 14.11],

$$\begin{aligned} (2 - \alpha)\gamma_\alpha &= -\varepsilon \cdot (2 - \alpha) \cdot \left\{ \int_0^\infty (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds - \int_{\pi/2}^\infty (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \right\} \\ &= -\varepsilon \cdot \left\{ (2 - \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha) \cos \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} - (2 - \alpha) \int_{\pi/2}^\infty (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \right\} \\ &\rightarrow -\varepsilon \cdot \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} - 0 \right\} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

holds where  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Remembering  $m_\alpha \rightarrow -\Sigma/2$ , if we take  $\gamma' := \varepsilon/4$ ,  $C' := M\delta^2$ , Then, there exists  $\alpha_0 \in (3/2, 2)$  s.t.  $-\gamma'_\alpha \leq -\gamma'$  and  $C'_\alpha \leq C'$  hold for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 2)$ . Note that  $\alpha_0$  does not depend on  $z$ . Therefore, we can say

$$\log |g_t^\alpha(z - i\delta)| \leq -t\gamma' |z|^\alpha + tC'$$

where  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 2)$  and  $|z| \geq z_0$ . □

We see  $\mathbb{P}$  is a risk neutral measure for the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model  $e^{X^\alpha}$  and the Black-Scholes model  $e^X$  which is the limit of  $e^{X^\alpha}$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . The Black-Scholes pricing formula is written as

$$C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sigma) := \Phi\left(\frac{\log(1/K) + \sigma^2 t/2}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right) - K \cdot \Phi\left(\frac{\log(1/K) - \sigma^2 t/2}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}\right),$$

where  $K$  is a strike price,  $t$  is time to maturity,  $\sigma$  is the volatility of the Black-Scholes model, and  $\Phi$  is the distribution function of the standard normal distribution. Note that we suppose the spot price  $S_0 = 1$ . Because the pricing function  $\sigma \mapsto C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sigma)$  is a monotonically increasing function, for any call price  $C > 0$ , there exists a unique  $\hat{\sigma} > 0$  s.t.  $C = C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \hat{\sigma})$ . Then,  $\hat{\sigma}$  is called *implied volatility*.

**Definition 4.7** (the implied volatility of the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model) Let  $\alpha \in (3/2, 2)$ ,  $X^\alpha$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1 and the call price  $C(t, K, \alpha) := \mathbb{E}[(e^{X_t^\alpha} - K)_+]$ . For any  $t, K > 0$ , let  $\hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha)$  denote a unique positive real number satisfying  $C(t, K, \alpha) = C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha))$ .

By using the result of Corollary 4.4 and the monotonicity of the Black-Scholes pricing formula  $\sigma \mapsto C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sigma)$ , we can get the convergence results of the option prices and the implied volatility when this model converges to the Black-Scholes model.

**Proposition 4.8** (the convergence of the option prices and the implied volatility). *Let  $S^\alpha = \exp(X^\alpha)$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1. Then, when  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ , we can get*

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, K, \alpha) &\rightarrow C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sqrt{\Sigma}), \\ \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t^\alpha} \geq K) &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t} \geq K) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\log K + \Sigma t/2}{\sqrt{\Sigma t}}\right), \\ \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha) &\rightarrow \sqrt{\Sigma}. \end{aligned}$$

We want to calculate the asymptotic expansion of the at-the-money implied volatility skew when this model converges to the Black-Scholes model. The *implied volatility skew* is the slope of the implied volatility viewed as a function of strike and the *At-The-Money (ATM) volatility skew* is the value of the implied volatility skew at  $K = S_0 = 1$ . By using the absolute continuity of the law of the asset price  $S_t$  and the implicit function theorem, we can get the following well-known formula for the implied volatility skew.

**Proposition 4.9** (the implied volatility skew formula for the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model). *Let  $\phi$  be the standard normal density and  $\Phi$  be its distribution function. Then, we get the implied volatility skew formula*

$$\partial_K \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha) = \frac{\partial_K C(t, K, \alpha) - \partial_K C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha))}{\partial_\sigma C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha))},$$

and the At-The-Money (ATM) implied volatility skew formula

$$\partial_K \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha)|_{K=1} = \frac{\Phi(-\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2) - \mathbb{P}(S_t^\alpha \geq 1)}{\sqrt{t}\phi(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2)}.$$

## 4.2 Result

First, we state the main result of the section.

**Lemma 4.10** (the asymptotics of the prices). *Let  $S^\alpha = \exp(X^\alpha)$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1. Then, when  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ , the prices of the call option and the digital option have the following asymptotics:*

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, K, \alpha) - C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sqrt{\Sigma}) &= (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{call}}(t, K) + o(2 - \alpha), \\ \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t^\alpha} \geq K) - \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t} \geq K) &= (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{dig}}(t, K) + o(2 - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_t$  is the characteristic function of  $X_t$ , which is the log-price of the Black-Scholes model,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\text{call}}(t, K) &:= \frac{e^{-\log K}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i) \cdot tC(z, 1)}{-z^2 + iz} dz, \\ A_{\text{dig}}(t, K) &:= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \text{Re} \left[ e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z) \cdot tC(z, 0)}{iz} \right] dz, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C(z, \delta) &:= \Sigma \cdot \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\delta + iz)^2 \log(\delta + iz) + \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{4} \cdot (\delta + iz)^2 - \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{4} \cdot (\delta + iz) \right\} \\ &\quad + \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} (e^{(\delta+iz)r\xi} - 1 - (\delta + iz)r\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)}{r^3} dr \\ &\quad - \left\{ \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^{\infty} (e^{r\xi} - 1 - r\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)}{r^3} dr \right\} \cdot (\delta + iz) \end{aligned}$$

Then, we can obtain the asymptotic expansion of the ATM implied volatility skew when this model approaches the Black-Scholes model as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $S^\alpha = \exp(X^\alpha)$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1. Then, the ATM implied volatility skew of this model has the asymptotic expansion*

$$\partial_K \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha)|_{K=1} = (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{ATM}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha), \quad \alpha \nearrow 2,$$

where

$$A_{\text{ATM}}(t) := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot A_{\text{call}}(t, 1) + \sqrt{2\pi} e^{\Sigma t/8} \cdot A_{\text{dig}}(t, 1) \right).$$

### 4.3 The Asymptotic Expansion of the Cumulant

Before we show the asymptotics of the prices, we need the asymptotic expansion of the characteristic function of the log-price  $X_t^\alpha$ . We write the difference between the cumulants of  $X_1^\alpha$  and  $X_1$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_\alpha(z) &:= im_\alpha z + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izu} - 1 - izu \mathbf{1}_{\{|u|<1\}}) \nu_\alpha(du) - \left\{ imz - \frac{\Sigma}{2} z^2 \right\} \\ &= iz(m_\alpha - m) \\ &\quad + (2 - \alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr\xi} - 1 - izr\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \frac{\Sigma}{2} z^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then, we can write the difference between the characteristic functions of  $X_t^\alpha$  and  $X_t$  as the following:

$$\begin{aligned} g_t^\alpha(z) - g_t(z) &= \exp \left\{ im_\alpha tz + t \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izu} - 1 - izu \mathbf{1}_{\{|u|<1\}}) \nu_\alpha(du) \right\} - \exp \left\{ imtz - \frac{\Sigma t}{2} z^2 \right\} \\ &= g_t(z) \cdot \left[ \exp \left\{ t \cdot iz(m_\alpha - m) + t \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^{izu} - 1 - izu \mathbf{1}_{\{|u|<1\}}) \nu_\alpha(du) + \frac{\Sigma t}{2} z^2 \right\} - 1 \right] \\ &= g_t(z) \cdot \left\{ e^{t\Lambda_\alpha(z)} - 1 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we substitute a complex number  $z - i\delta$  for the characteristic function  $g_t^\alpha$  and examine its decay rate. Since we want to use the Carr-Madan formula having the term like  $g_t^\alpha(z - i\delta)$ , we need to get the asymptotic expansion of  $\Lambda_\alpha(z - i\delta)$ .

**Lemma 4.12** (the asymptotic expansion of the cumulant). *Let  $S^\alpha = \exp(X^\alpha)$  be the scaled pure-jump exponential Lévy model given in Definition 4.1 and  $\Lambda_\alpha$  be given by Equation (4.1). For any  $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ , there exist the functions  $C(z, \delta)$  and  $D(z, \alpha)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- $|C(z, \delta)|$  is dominated by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ .
- There exists  $\alpha_1 \in (3/2, 2)$  s.t.  $|D(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  which does not depend on  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ .
- For each  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $D(z, \alpha) = o(2 - \alpha)$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ .

and, using  $C(z, \delta)$  and  $D(z, \alpha)$ , the asymptotic expansion of  $\Lambda_\alpha(z - i\delta)$  can be written as

$$\Lambda_\alpha(z - i\delta) = (2 - \alpha)C(z, \delta) + D(z, \alpha), \quad \alpha \nearrow 2.$$

**Remark 4.13** The constant  $\delta_0 > 0$  is given by the condition (D-1) in Proposition A.1.

**Proof of Lemma 4.12.** From  $q(0+, 1) = 0$ ,  $\Lambda_\alpha(z - i\delta) - i(z - i\delta)(m_\alpha - m)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(2 - \alpha) \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr\xi + \delta r\xi} - 1 - (izr\xi + \delta r\xi) \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \frac{\Sigma}{2} (z - i\delta)^2 \\ &= (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r) \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \frac{\Sigma}{2} (z - i\delta)^2 \\ &\quad + (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr + \delta r} - 1 - (izr + \delta r) \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r)) \cdot \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \frac{\Sigma}{2} (z - i\delta)^2 \\ &\quad - (2 - \alpha) \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (izr + \delta r) \mathbf{1}_{[1, \infty)}(r) \cdot \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r)\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& + (2 - \alpha)\rho(\{1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr + \delta r} - 1 - (izr + \delta r)\mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\
& =: J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4
\end{aligned}$$

First, we show the asymptotic expansion of  $J_1$ . By the same way as the proof of [11, Theorem 14.10 and Lemma 14.11], we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty (e^{wr} - 1 - wr) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr = \Gamma(-\alpha)(-w)^\alpha$$

where  $w \in \{w \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Re} w \leq 0, w \neq 0\}$ . Therefore, taking  $w = -\delta - iz$  ( $z \in \mathbb{R}$ ) and considering  $\Sigma = q(0+, -1)\rho(\{-1\})$  because  $q(0+, 1) = 0$ ,  $J_1$  can be written as

$$J_1 = \Sigma \cdot (2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) \cdot (\delta + iz)^\alpha - \Sigma \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot (\delta + iz)^2.$$

By Taylor's theorem, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} &= (2 - \alpha) \cdot \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{4} + o(2 - \alpha), \\
(\delta + iz)^\alpha - (\delta + iz)^2 &= (2 - \alpha) \cdot \{ -(\delta + iz)^2 \log(\delta + iz) \} + o(2 - \alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\gamma$  is Euler's constant. Then, let  $C_1(z, \delta)$  to be

$$C_1(z, \delta) := \Sigma \cdot \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \cdot (\delta + iz)^2 \log(\delta + iz) + \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{4} \cdot (\delta + iz)^2 \right\}$$

and we can obtain

$$J_1 = (2 - \alpha)C_1(z, \delta) + o(2 - \alpha).$$

Note that  $|C_1(z, \delta)|$  is bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ . When we define  $D_1(z, \alpha) := J_1 - (2 - \alpha)C_1(z, \delta)$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{D_1(z, \alpha)}{2 - \alpha} \right| \leq \Sigma \cdot \left\{ \left| \frac{(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) - 1/2}{2 - \alpha} \right| \cdot |\delta + iz|^\alpha + \left| \frac{(\delta + iz)^\alpha - (\delta + iz)^2}{2 - \alpha} \right| \right\}.$$

There exist  $\alpha_1 \in (3/2, 2)$  s.t.

$$\left| \frac{(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) - 1/2}{2 - \alpha} \right| \leq \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{2}.$$

holds for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ . By Taylor's theorem,

$$(\delta + iz)^{2-\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\log(\delta + iz))^n}{n!} (2 - \alpha)^n$$

holds, so we have the bound

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{(\delta + iz)^\alpha - (\delta + iz)^2}{2 - \alpha} \right| &\leq |\delta + iz|^\alpha \cdot \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\log(\delta + iz)|^n}{n!} (2 - \alpha)^{n-1} \\
&\leq |\delta + iz|^\alpha \cdot e^{|\log(\delta + iz)|}.
\end{aligned}$$



Note that

$$|\delta + iz|^\alpha \leq 1 + \delta^2 + z^2, \quad e^{|\log(\delta+iz)|} \leq e^\pi \cdot (1/\delta + \delta + |z|).$$

Therefore, if  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ ,  $|D_1(z, \alpha)(2 - \alpha)|$  is bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  which does not depend on  $\alpha$ .

Next, if we define  $C_2(z, \delta)$  to be

$$C_2(z, \delta) := -\rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (izr + \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{[1, \infty)} \frac{q(0+, -1)}{r^3} dr = -\Sigma \cdot (\delta + iz),$$

then we have  $J_2/(2 - \alpha) \rightarrow C_2(z, \delta)$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$ . Note that  $|C_2(z, \delta)|$  is bounded by a first-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ . Let  $D_2(z, \alpha) := J_2 - (2 - \alpha)C_2(z, \delta)$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D_2(z, \alpha)}{2 - \alpha} \right| &\leq q(0+, -1) \rho(\{-1\}) |\delta + iz| \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{1}{r^{1+\alpha}} - \frac{1}{r^3} \right| dr \\ &\leq 2q(0+, -1) \rho(\{-1\}) (\delta + |z|) \end{aligned}$$

We can say  $|D_2(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is by a first-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  which does not depend on  $\alpha$ .

We can define

$$C_3(z, \delta) := \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)}{r^3} dr,$$

and get the limit  $J_3/(2 - \alpha) \rightarrow C_3(z, \delta)$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$  by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, because we can show the existence of the dominating function of  $J_3/(2 - \alpha)$  by the following bound

$$|e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)| \leq \begin{cases} (\delta + |z|) \cdot r & (1 \leq r < \infty), \\ \frac{1}{2} (\delta^2 + 2\delta|z| + |z|^2) \cdot r^2 & (0 < r < 1), \end{cases}$$

and the condition (G-1) and (G-3). Let  $D_3(z, \alpha) := J_3 - (2 - \alpha)C_3(z, \delta)$ . Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{D_3(z, \alpha)}{2 - \alpha} \right| \\ &\leq \rho(\{-1\}) \int_0^\infty |e^{-izr - \delta r} - 1 - (-izr - \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)| \cdot |q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{1}{r^3} \right| dr \\ &\leq \rho(\{-1\}) \cdot (\delta + |z|) \cdot 2M \cdot \int_1^\infty \left| \frac{1}{r^\alpha} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right| dr \\ &\quad + \rho(\{-1\}) \cdot \frac{1}{2} (\delta^2 + 2\delta|z| + |z|^2) \cdot \int_0^1 |q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)| \cdot \left| \frac{1}{r^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{1}{r} \right| dr \\ &\leq 8\rho(\{-1\})M \cdot (\delta + |z|) + \rho(\{-1\}) \cdot (\delta^2 + 2\delta|z| + |z|^2) \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{|q(r, -1) - q(0+, -1)|}{r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (G-3), we can say  $|D_3(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is dominated by a second-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ . Note that, by the same way, we can show  $|C_3(z, \delta)|$  is dominated by a second-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ .

Next, we can define

$$C_4(z, \delta) := \rho(\{1\}) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr + \delta r} - 1 - (izr + \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^3} dr,$$

and get the limit  $J_4/(2 - \alpha) \rightarrow C_4(z, \delta)$  as  $\alpha \nearrow 2$  by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, because we can show the existence of the dominating function of  $J_4/(2 - \alpha)$  by the following bounds

$$|e^{izr + \delta r} - 1 - (izr + \delta r) \mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)| \leq \begin{cases} e^{\delta r} (\delta + |z|) \cdot r & (1 \leq r < \infty), \\ \left( \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \delta e^\delta |z| + \frac{e^\delta}{2} |z|^2 \right) \cdot r^2 & (0 < r < 1), \end{cases}$$

and the condition (G-1) and (G-3) and (D-2). Let  $D_4(z, \alpha) := J_4 - (2 - \alpha)C_4(z, \delta)$ . Note that, by the condition (D-2) and  $q$  is bounded, there exists  $M' > 0$  s.t.  $q(r, -1)/e^{-\delta r} \leq M'$  for any  $r \geq 1$ . From (G-2) and  $q(0+, 1) = 0$ ,  $\int_0^1 q(r, 1)/r dr$  holds, so we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{D_4(z, \alpha)}{2 - \alpha} \right| &\leq \rho(\{1\}) \cdot \int_0^\infty |e^{izr + \delta r} - 1 - (izr + \delta r)\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)| \cdot q(r, 1) \cdot \left| \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} - \frac{1}{r^3} \right| dr \\ &\leq 2\rho(\{1\}) \cdot \int_1^\infty (\delta + |z|) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{e^{-\delta r}} \cdot \frac{1}{r^{3/2}} dr \\ &\quad + \rho(\{1\}) \cdot \left( \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \delta e^\delta |z| + \frac{e^\delta}{2} |z|^2 \right) \cdot \int_0^1 q(r, 1) \cdot \left| \frac{1}{r^{\alpha-1}} - \frac{1}{r} \right| dr \\ &\leq 4\rho(\{1\})M' \cdot (\delta + |z|) + \rho(\{1\}) \cdot (\delta^2 + 2\delta e^\delta |z| + e^\delta |z|^2) \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{q(r, 1)}{r} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (G-3), we can say  $|D_4(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is dominated by a second-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ . Note that, by the same way, we can show  $|C_4(z, \delta)|$  is dominated by a second-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ .

Finally, we calculate the asymptotics of the remaining term  $i(z - i\delta)(m_\alpha - m)$ . If we define

$$C_5(z, \delta) := (\delta + iz) \cdot \left\{ \Sigma \cdot \frac{2\gamma + 1}{4} - \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{r\xi} - 1 - r\xi\mathbb{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi) - q(0+, \xi)}{r^3} dr \right\},$$

we can obtain  $i(z - i\delta)(m_\alpha - m) = (2 - \alpha)C_5(z, \delta) + o(2 - \alpha)$ . The integrability of this terms has already been shown in the proof of Corollary 4.4, and we can use the asymptotics in the step of  $C_1(z, \delta)$  such as

$$(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} = (2 - \alpha) \cdot \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{4} + o(2 - \alpha).$$

We define  $D_5(z, \alpha) := i(z - i\delta)(m_\alpha - m) - (2 - \alpha)C_5(z, \delta)$ . Note that

$$\left| \frac{(2 - \alpha)\Gamma(-\alpha) - 1/2}{2 - \alpha} \right| \leq \frac{3 - 2\gamma}{2}$$

holds for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ . Therefore,  $|C_5(z, \delta)|$  is bounded by a first-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  and  $|D_5(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is dominated by a first-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  which does not depend on  $\alpha$ .

Summarizing the above arguments, when we define

$$\begin{aligned} C(z, \delta) &:= C_1(z, \delta) + C_2(z, \delta) + C_3(z, \delta) + C_4(z, \delta) + C_5(z, \delta), \\ D(z, \alpha) &:= D_1(z, \alpha) + D_2(z, \alpha) + D_3(z, \alpha) + D_4(z, \alpha) + D_5(z, \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

we can obtain

$$A_\alpha(z - i\delta) = (2 - \alpha)C(z, \delta) + D(z, \alpha)$$

and  $D(z, \alpha) = o(2 - \alpha)$ . Moreover,  $|C(z, \delta)|$  is bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  and, if  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ ,  $|D(z, \alpha)/(2 - \alpha)|$  is also bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$ .  $\square$

#### 4.4 The Asymptotics of the Prices of Call Options and Digital Options

Proof of Lemma 4.10. Let  $\beta \in (0, \delta_0 - 1]$  and  $M_\alpha := (2 - \alpha)^{-1/3}$ . Using the Carr-Madan formula [4], we get

$$\begin{aligned} &C(t, K, \alpha) - C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sqrt{\Sigma}) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t^\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1)) - g_t(z - i(\beta + 1))}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| > M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t^\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1)) - g_t(z - i(\beta + 1))}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| \leq M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t^\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1)) - g_t(z - i(\beta + 1))}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \\
&=: T_1 + T_2
\end{aligned}$$

First, we consider  $T_1$ . Note that  $|\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z| \geq \beta$  holds. From Corollary 4.6, if we take  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 2)$  s.t.  $M_\alpha = (2 - \alpha)^{-1/3} > z_0$  holds, we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
|T_1| &\leq \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| > M_\alpha} \frac{|g_t^\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1)) - g_t(z - i(\beta + 1))|}{|\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z|} dz \\
&\leq \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} \cdot \int_{|z| > M_\alpha} |g_t^\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1)) - g_t(z - i(\beta + 1))| dz \\
&\leq \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi\beta} \cdot 2e^{tC'} \cdot \int_{|z| > M_\alpha} e^{-t\gamma'|z|^\alpha} dz \\
&\leq \frac{e^{-\beta \log K + tC'}}{\pi\beta} \cdot \exp(-\tilde{\gamma}M_\alpha^\alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\gamma} \in (0, t\gamma')$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{|T_1|}{2 - \alpha} = O\left(\frac{\exp(-\tilde{\gamma}(2 - \alpha)^{-\alpha/3})}{2 - \alpha}\right) = o(2 - \alpha).$$

Next, we can write  $T_2$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
T_2 &= \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| \leq M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i(\beta + 1)) \cdot \{e^{t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))} - 1\}}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \\
&= \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| \leq M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i(\beta + 1)) \cdot \{e^{t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))} - 1 - t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))\}}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \\
&\quad + \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| \leq M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i(\beta + 1)) \cdot t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz \\
&=: U_1 + U_2
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we see  $U_1$ . For simplicity, we write

$$B_\alpha(z) := \frac{\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))}{2 - \alpha} = C(z, \beta + 1) + \frac{D(z, \alpha)}{2 - \alpha}.$$

From Lemma 4.12, for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ ,  $|B_\alpha(z)|$  is bounded by a third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  which does not depend on  $\alpha$ . Therefore, there exists  $N > 0$  s.t.  $|tB_\alpha(z)| \leq NM_\alpha^3$  on  $|z| \leq M_\alpha$ . From the Taylor expansion up to second order of the function  $w \mapsto e^{(2-\alpha)w}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|e^{w(2-\alpha)} - 1 - w(2-\alpha)| &= \left| \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\{|w|=2NM_\alpha^3\}} \frac{e^{\zeta(2-\alpha)}}{(\zeta - 0)(\zeta - w)} d\zeta \right| |w - 0|^2 \\
&\leq \frac{e^{2NM_\alpha^3(2-\alpha)}}{2NM_\alpha^3(2NM_\alpha^3 - |w|)} \cdot |w|^2
\end{aligned}$$

holds where  $|w| < 2NM_\alpha^3$ . Remembering  $|tB_\alpha(z)| \leq NM_\alpha^3$  when  $|z| \leq M_\alpha$ , we can obtain the bound

$$|e^{t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))} - 1 - t\Lambda_\alpha(z - i(\beta + 1))| = |e^{tB_\alpha(z)(2-\alpha)} - 1 - tB_\alpha(z)(2-\alpha)|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{e^{2NM_\alpha^3(2-\alpha)} \cdot |tB_\alpha(z)|^2}{2N^2M_\alpha^6} \\
&\leq \frac{e^{2N}t^2}{2N^2} \cdot |B_\alpha(z)|^2 \cdot (2-\alpha)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that  $g_t$  is the characteristic function of the normal distribution,  $|B_\alpha(z)|$  is bounded by sixth-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  where  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ , and  $|\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z| \geq \beta$ . Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we obtain  $U_1/(2-\alpha) \rightarrow 0$ . Finally, we consider  $U_2$ . From the definition of  $B_\alpha(z)$ , we can write

$$\frac{U_2}{2-\alpha} = \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{|z| \leq M_\alpha} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i(\beta + 1)) \cdot tB_\alpha(z)}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz.$$

Note that  $B_\alpha(z)$  has the limit  $C(z, \beta + 1)$  and bounded by third-order polynomial w.r.t.  $|z|$  where  $\alpha \in (\alpha_1, 2)$ . Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we can define

$$A(t, K, \beta) := \lim_{\alpha \nearrow 2} \frac{U_2}{2-\alpha} = \frac{e^{-\beta \log K}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i(\beta + 1)) \cdot tC(z, \beta + 1)}{\beta^2 + \beta - z^2 + i(2\beta + 1)z} dz.$$

Remembering that the price given by the Carr-Madan formula does not depend  $\beta$ ,  $\beta \mapsto A(t, K, \beta)$  is a constant on  $(0, \delta_0 - 1]$ . Then, we can define

$$A_{\text{call}}(t, K) := \lim_{\beta \searrow 0} A(t, K, \beta) = \frac{e^{-\log K}}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z - i) \cdot tC(z, 1)}{-z^2 + iz} dz.$$

and the asymptotic expansion of the put price can be written as

$$C(t, K, \alpha) - C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sqrt{\Sigma}) = (2-\alpha)A_{\text{call}}(t, K) + o(2-\alpha), \quad \alpha \nearrow 2.$$

According to [13, Theorem 4.3], we can calculate the asymptotic expansion of the digital option price by the same way as that of the call option price. Indeed, for any  $\beta' \in (0, \delta_0]$ , we can get

$$\mathbb{P}(e^{X_t^\alpha} \geq K) - \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t} \geq K) = \frac{e^{-\beta' \log K}}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left[ e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t^\alpha(z - i\beta') - g_t(z - i\beta')}{\beta' + iz} \right] dz.$$

From the proof of Lemma 4.12,  $A_\alpha(z - i\beta') = (2-\alpha)C(z, \beta') + o(2-\alpha)$  holds. Therefore, if we define

$$A_{\text{dig}}(t, K) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{Re} \left[ e^{-iz \log K} \cdot \frac{g_t(z) \cdot tC(z, 0)}{iz} \right] dz.$$

then we get the following asymptotic expansion:

$$\mathbb{P}(e^{X_t^\alpha} \geq K) - \mathbb{P}(e^{X_t} \geq K) = (2-\alpha)A_{\text{dig}}(t, K) + o(2-\alpha).$$

□

## 4.5 The Asymptotic of the ATM Volatility Skew

Finally, we calculate the asymptotic expansion of the ATM volatility skew by using the asymptotics of the prices.

Proof of Theorem 4.11. Let  $\phi$  is the density of the standard normal distribution and we define

$$\text{erf}(y) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^y e^{-u^2} du, \quad F(x, \theta) := \int_0^\theta \phi\left(\frac{x}{v} + \frac{v}{2}\right) dv.$$

By [14, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{BS}}(t, K, \sigma) &= (1 - K)_+ + \int_0^{\sigma\sqrt{t}} \phi\left(\frac{\log(1/K)}{v} + \frac{v}{2}\right) dv \\ &= (1 - K)_+ + F(\log(1/K), \sigma\sqrt{t}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} C(t, 1, \alpha) &= C_{\text{BS}}(t, 1, \hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)) = F(0, \hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}), \\ C_{\text{BS}}(t, 1, \sqrt{\Sigma}) &= F(0, \sqrt{\Sigma t}). \end{aligned}$$

By Taylor's theorem,

$$\text{erf}(x) - \text{erf}(a) = \frac{2e^{-a^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}}(x - a) + o(x - a)$$

holds, so we get

$$F(0, \theta) - F(0, \theta_0) = \text{erf}\left(\frac{\theta}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) - \text{erf}\left(\frac{\theta_0}{2\sqrt{2}}\right) = \frac{e^{-\theta_0^2/8}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\theta - \theta_0) + o(\theta - \theta_0).$$

Then,

$$F(0, \hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}) - F(0, \sqrt{\Sigma t}) = \frac{e^{-\Sigma t/8}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\Sigma t}) + o(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\Sigma t}).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\Sigma t} &= \sqrt{2\pi}e^{\Sigma t/8}(F(0, \hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}) - F(0, \sqrt{\Sigma t})) + o(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\Sigma t}) \\ &= \sqrt{2\pi}e^{\Sigma t/8}(C(t, 1, \alpha) - C_{\text{BS}}(t, 1, \sqrt{\Sigma})) + o(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t} - \sqrt{\Sigma t}) \\ &= (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{IV}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$A_{\text{IV}}(t) := \sqrt{2\pi}e^{\Sigma t/8} \cdot A_{\text{call}}(t, 1).$$

By Taylor's theorem,

$$\Phi(x) - \Phi(a) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \text{erf}\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \text{erf}\left(\frac{a}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \right\} = \frac{e^{-a^2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(x - a) + o(x - a),$$

$$\frac{1}{\phi(x)} = \sqrt{2\pi}e^{x^2/2} = \sqrt{2\pi}e^{a^2/2} + \sqrt{2\pi}ae^{a^2/2}(x - a) + o(x - a).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(-\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2) &= \Phi(-\sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) - \frac{e^{-\Sigma t/4}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2 - \sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) \\ &\quad + o(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2 - \sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) \\ &= \Phi(-\sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) - \frac{e^{-\Sigma t/4}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \cdot (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{IV}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}\phi(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2)} &= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}}e^{\Sigma t/8} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi\Sigma}{2}}e^{\Sigma t/8}(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2 - \sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) \\
&\quad + o(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2 - \sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}}e^{\Sigma t/8} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi\Sigma}{8}}e^{\Sigma t/8} \cdot (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{IV}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, remembering Proposition 4.8, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_K \hat{\sigma}(t, K, \alpha)|_{K=1} \\
&= \frac{\Phi(-\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2) - \mathbb{P}(S_t^\alpha \geq 1)}{\sqrt{t}\phi(\hat{\sigma}(t, 1, \alpha)\sqrt{t}/2)} \\
&= \left\{ \Phi(-\sqrt{\Sigma t}/2) - \left(1 - \Phi(\sqrt{\Sigma t}/2)\right) \right\} \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}}e^{\Sigma t/8} + (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{ATM}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha) \\
&= (2 - \alpha)A_{\text{ATM}}(t) + o(2 - \alpha),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
A_{\text{ATM}}(t) &:= -\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{t}}e^{\Sigma t/8} \cdot \left( \frac{e^{-\Sigma t/4}}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \cdot A_{\text{IV}}(t) + A_{\text{dig}}(t, 1) \right) \\
&= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \left( \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \cdot A_{\text{call}}(t, 1) + \sqrt{2\pi}e^{\Sigma t/8} \cdot A_{\text{dig}}(t, 1) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

□

## A Appendix

From Equation (2.1), the characteristic function of a stable process decays at the speed  $e^{-|z|^\alpha}$ , where  $z$  is sufficiently large. We show that this property holds for a GTS process. Note that we substitute a complex number  $z - i\delta$  for the characteristic function  $g_t$  of the GTS process  $X_t$  and show its decay rate, because we want to use the Carr-Madan formula (see [4]) for the application of the main result in this paper and the formula has the term like  $g_t(z - i\delta)$ .

**Proposition A.1** (the decay speed of the characteristic function of a GTS process). *Let  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ,  $\delta_0 > 0$  and  $X$  be an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued GTS process with components  $(m, \alpha, \rho, q)$  satisfying the following conditions:*

(D-1)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\delta_0 X_t}] < \infty$ .

(D-2)  $q(r, 1) = O(e^{-\delta_0 r})$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ .

(D-3)  $\rho(\{-1\}) > 0$  and  $q(0+, -1) > 0$ .

*Let  $g_t$  denote the characteristic function of  $X_t$  and take any  $\delta \in [0, \delta_0]$ . Then, there exist  $\gamma_\alpha > 0$ ,  $C_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z_0 > 0$  s.t.*

$$|g_t(z - i\delta)| \leq \exp(-t\gamma_\alpha|z|^\alpha + tC_\alpha)$$

*holds, where  $|z| \geq z_0$ .*

Proof. The characteristic function  $g_t$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & g_t(z - i\delta) \\ &= \exp \left[ t \cdot \left\{ im(z - i\delta) + \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{i(z-i\delta)r\xi} - 1 - i(z - i\delta)r\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \right\} \right] \\ &= \exp \left[ t \cdot \left\{ imz + m\delta + \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{izr\xi + \delta r\xi} - 1 - (izr\xi + \delta r\xi) \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$|g_t(z - i\delta)| = \exp \left[ tm\delta + t \cdot \int_S \rho(d\xi) \int_0^\infty (e^{\delta r\xi} \cos(zr\xi) - 1 - \delta r\xi \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, \xi)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \right].$$

First, we consider the case where  $\xi = 1$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (e^{\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 - \delta r \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr &= \int_0^1 (e^{\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 - \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty (e^{\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr, \end{aligned}$$

and the first term has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (e^{\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 - \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr &\leq \int_0^1 (e^{\delta r} - 1 - \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\leq \int_0^1 (e^{\delta r} - 1 - \delta r) \cdot \frac{M}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= M \int_0^1 \left( \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n!} r^n \right) \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= M \sum_{n=2}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n!} \left( \int_0^1 r^{n-\alpha-1} dr \right) \\ &= \frac{M\delta^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2-\alpha} + M \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n! \cdot (n-\alpha)} \\ &\leq \frac{M\delta^2}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2-\alpha} + M \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that this upper bound depends on  $\alpha$ . From the condition (D-2) and the condition (G-1), there exists  $M' > 0$  s.t.  $q(r, 1) < M'e^{-\delta r}$  for any  $r > 1$ . Then, the second term has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty (e^{\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr &\leq \int_1^\infty (e^{\delta r} - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, 1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\leq \int_1^\infty (e^{\delta r} - 1) \cdot \frac{M'e^{-\delta r}}{r^2} dr \leq M'. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the case where  $\xi = -1$ . We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r \mathbf{1}_{(0,1)}(r)) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr &= \int_0^1 (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\quad + \int_1^\infty (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 \leq 0$  holds, hence we get

$$\int_1^\infty (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \leq 0.$$

From the condition (D-3) and the condition (G-2), there exists  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  s.t.  $\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{(0, \varepsilon)}(r) < q(r, -1)$ . Let  $z_0 := (2/\pi\varepsilon) \vee 1$ . Then,  $\pi/2|z| \leq \varepsilon$  holds for any  $|z| \geq z_0$ , so we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &= \int_0^{\pi/2|z|} (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \int_{\pi/2|z|}^1 (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\leq \int_0^{\pi/2|z|} (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{\varepsilon \mathbb{1}_{(0, \varepsilon)}(r)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \int_{\pi/2|z|}^1 (e^{-\delta r} - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_0^{\pi/2|z|} (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr + \int_0^1 (e^{\delta r} - 1 - \delta r) \cdot \frac{q(r, -1)}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr \end{aligned}$$

The second term has the same bound as the first term of the case where  $\xi = 1$ . Now we consider the first term. If we transform the variable as  $r = |z|s$ , by the fact that  $e^{-as} \cos s - 1 + as \leq \cos s - 1$  for any  $a \geq 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ , the first term has the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon \int_0^{\pi/2|z|} (e^{-\delta r} \cos(zr) - 1 + \delta r) \cdot \frac{1}{r^{\alpha+1}} dr &\leq \varepsilon |z|^\alpha \int_0^{\pi/2} (e^{-(\delta/|z|)s} \cos s - 1 + (\delta/|z|)s) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \\ &\leq \varepsilon |z|^\alpha \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

From [11, Lemma 14.11], we get

$$0 > \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds \geq \int_0^\infty (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds = \Gamma(-\alpha) \cos \frac{\pi\alpha}{2} > -\infty.$$

Summarizing the above, we can obtain

$$\log |g_t(z - i\delta)| \leq -t\gamma_\alpha |z|^\alpha + tC_\alpha$$

where  $\gamma_\alpha > 0$  and  $C_\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  are defined by

$$\gamma_\alpha := -\varepsilon \int_0^{\pi/2} (\cos s - 1) \cdot \frac{1}{s^{\alpha+1}} ds, \quad C_\alpha := m\delta + M\delta^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2-\alpha} + 2M \sum_{n=3}^\infty \frac{\delta^n}{n!} + M'.$$

□

Remark A.2 If we only have to seek the decay speed of  $g_t(z)$ , we can prove it more easily and do not need the three conditions (D-1), (D-2) and (D-3).

## References

- [1] Michael G. Akritas. Asymptotic theory for estimating the parameters of a Lévy process. *Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics*, 34(2):259–280, December 1982.
- [2] Leif Andersen and Alexander Lipton. Asymptotics for exponential lévy processes and their volatility smile: Survey and new results. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 16(01):1350001, February 2013.



- [3] Peter Carr, Hélyette Geman, Dilip B. Madan, and Marc Yor. The Fine Structure of Asset Returns: An Empirical Investigation. *The Journal of Business*, 75(2):305–332, 2002.
- [4] Peter Carr and Dilip Madan. Option valuation using the fast Fourier transform. *The Journal of Computational Finance*, 2(4):61–73, 1999.
- [5] Peter Carr and Liuren Wu. The Finite Moment Log Stable Process and Option Pricing. *The Journal of Finance*, 58(2):753–777, 2003.
- [6] Rama Cont and Peter Tankov. *Financial Modelling with Jump Processes*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Financial Mathematics Series. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, Fla., 2004.
- [7] José E. Figueroa-López and Sveinn Ólafsson. Short-term asymptotics for the implied volatility skew under a stochastic volatility model with Lévy jumps. *Finance and Stochastics*, 20(4):973–1020, October 2016.
- [8] Masaaki Fukasawa. Asymptotic analysis for stochastic volatility: Martingale expansion. *Finance and Stochastics*, 15(4):635–654, December 2011.
- [9] Stefan Gerhold, I. Cetin Gülüm, and Arpad Pinter. Small-Maturity Asymptotics for the At-The-Money Implied Volatility Slope in Lévy Models. *Applied Mathematical Finance*, 23(2):135–157, March 2016.
- [10] Jean Jacod and Albert N. Shiryaev. *Limit Theorems for Stochastic Processes*, volume 288 of *Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2003.
- [11] Sato Ken-Iti. *Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions*. Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [12] Uwe Küchler and Stefan Tappe. Tempered stable distributions and processes. *Stochastic Processes and their Applications*, 123(12):4256–4293, December 2013.
- [13] Roger Lee. Option pricing by transform methods: Extensions, unification and error control. *The Journal of Computational Finance*, 7(3):51–86, 2004.
- [14] Michael Roper and Marek Rutkowski. On the relationship between the call price surface and the implied volatility surface close to expiry. *International Journal of Theoretical and Applied Finance*, 12(04):427–441, June 2009.
- [15] Jan Rosiński and Jennifer L. Sinclair. Generalized tempered stable processes. *Banach Center Publications*, 90:153–170, 2010.
- [16] Peter Tankov. Pricing and Hedging in Exponential Lévy Models: Review of Recent Results. In Areski Cousin, Stéphane Crépey, Olivier Guéant, David Hobson, Monique Jeanblanc, Jean-Michel Lasry, Jean-Paul Laurent, Pierre-Louis Lions, and Peter Tankov, editors, *Paris-Princeton Lectures on Mathematical Finance 2010*, pages 319–359. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [17] Nakahiro Yoshida. Malliavin calculus and martingale expansion. *Bulletin des Sciences Mathématiques*, 125(6):431–456, August 2001.