

Spectral cyclicity of networks

Nizar Riane^{† ‡}

May 5, 2023

[†] Université Mohammed V de Rabat, Maroc¹

[‡] Sorbonne Université

CNRS, UMR 7598, Laboratoire Jacques-Louis Lions, 4, place Jussieu 75005, Paris, France

Abstract

We introduce the spectral influence and spectral cyclicity based on the largest eigenvalue of a graph adjacency matrix, two novel concepts of centrality capturing diffusion and interdependence from a local and a global point of view respectively. We define a new clustering algorithm to distinguish communities with high cyclicity and interdependence, allowing overlapping, and we conclude our study with an application to the input-output analysis in the case of the Moroccan economy.

Keywords: Spectral influence; Spectral cyclicity; Cyclicity clustering; Input-output analysis.

AMS Classification: 05C22 – 05C38 – 05C85 – 05C90

JEL Classification:

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Basics of graph theory	4
3	Spectral influence and cyclicity	6
3.1	Spectral influence: a local approach	6
3.2	Spectral influence: a global approach	8
4	Spectral influence and cyclicity clustering	10
4.1	Divisive cyclicity clustering	11
4.2	Agglomerative cyclicity clustering	12
4.3	Overlapping clustering	12
4.4	Discussion	12
5	Application to input-output analysis	13
5.1	Moroccan interindustrial cyclicity analysis	13
5.2	Propulsive industries and key cyclicity components	14
5.3	Discussion	26

¹Nizar.Riane@gmail.com

6 Conclusion	27
7 Appendix	29
7.1 Industrial poles of the OECD harmonised national input-output tables	29
7.2 Spectral influence evolution by industrial poles	30

1 Introduction

The idea of centrality in network analysis can be traced back to early work in graph theory, and many definitions of centrality have been proposed, each one bringing different insights to the connections in a graph. For example, in 1987, Phillip Bonacich [Bon87] introduced a centrality measure of a vertex based on the power centrality of its neighbors, as a way of addressing some of the limitations of other centrality measures, such as degree centrality and eigenvector centrality, where a high score means that a vertex is connected to another vertices who themselves have high scores ([New18] ch.7). Bonacich argued that "in bargaining situations, it is advantageous to be connected to those who have few options; power comes from being connected to those who are powerless. Being connected to powerful others who have many potential trading partners reduces one's bargaining power" ([Bon87]).

Linton Freeman ([Fre78]) believed that "the development of measures should help to clarify a concept by specifying its components and their interrelationships. In the case of centrality, however, the opposite effect seems to have been achieved. In a sense, the introduction of new measures at this stage is inappropriate. Ideally, measures should grow out of advanced theoretical efforts; they should be defined in the context of explicit process models", despite this opposition, new definitions of centrality didn't stop appearing.

We believe that the reservation regarding multiplicity of centrality definitions comes from the confusion that the term "centrality" induces, it did not designate anymore a unique measure of "importance" but a field of study regrouping different definitions for different purposes.

Following this momentum, we introduce a new definition of centrality, based on the spectral radius of the network adjacency matrix, which we call the **spectral influence**. This new concept characterizes vertices importance from a diffusion and interdependence perspective: a vertex score will be higher as much as his role in the diffusion, through closed walks, across the network is important.

The spectral influence is a local measure of a global one we call the **spectral cyclicity**, which characterize the cyclicity over the graph. We go further by developing a cyclicity based clustering algorithm. Following a divisive or an agglomerative philosophies, one can isolate communities with highest diffusion property in a graph.

A cycle is the simplest structure that brings redundant paths in network connectivity and feedback effects in network dynamics ([FLSZ21]). Cycles in a networks are synonym of interdependence, redundancy, diffusion, cascade effect, contagion, amplification and attenuation. Cyclical patterns and phenomena are the subject of investigation in various fields. For instance, in the field of biology, cyclicity is studied in the context of epidemic spread [PD04], while in biochemistry, it is explored as a possible explanation for biochemical oscillations [GAZ09]. In ecology, researchers investigate the robustness of food webs to biodiversity loss in the presence of cyclical patterns [DWM02], while in finance, cyclicity is examined for its role in the propagation of shocks within financial systems [HM11], and so on.

In the field of economics, numerous studies have focused on the importance of network structures in understanding economic agent interactions. These network-based studies emphasize significance of network structure in determining economic agents interaction outcomes. For example, Acemoglu et

al. [ACOTS12] showed that microeconomic idiosyncratic shocks may lead to aggregate fluctuations in the presence of intersectoral asymmetric interconnections, in opposite to Robert Lucas ([Luc77]) argument that microeconomic shocks would average out, and thus, would only have negligible aggregate effects, but the authors conclude that in economies with balanced intersectoral network structures, such as cycle, the aggregate volatility decays at a constant rate. In an opposite direction, the authors of [GAZ09] expose a different conclusion in the case of banking system, where it is shown that a cycle structure increase risk of domino effect.

In order to give a different perspective, we have opted to apply our definition of centrality to investigate interindustrial flows, taking advantage of the amplification effect of cycles to highlight propulsive industries, in line with François Perroux's theory ([Per63] page 380): "the actions by which the increase in the rate of growth of the product or the productivity of a simple or complex unit A, causes the increase in the rate of growth of the product or the productivity of another simple or complex unit B. The propulsive unit, thus understood, acts theoretically, either by an effect of productivity or innovation. Often combined, in practice, these effects can however be distinguished conceptually."

Our application could be further motivated by the work of Roland Lantner [Lan72], who highlighted the interdependence implied by the interindustrial flows: "The significance of a transaction between a supplier and a demander is measured less by its absolute value than by the degree of vulnerability it implies for one or the other". Interdependence of industrial poles is accompanied by shocks diffusion between poles and the resulting amplification effects, as it was highlighted by François Perroux ([Per69] page 68): "The dominance effect of A can be transmitted to B, C or D, directly or indirectly. If it is transmitted through an intermediary unit (T), the latter can amplify or dampen (or even completely stop) the initial effect".

We apply our spectral cyclicity analysis to the Moroccan input-output tables (IOTs) [OCD21]. We brings to light the profound mutations of the Moroccan economy. By calculating the spectral influence of each industry we identify the propulsive industries and we highlight the unique cyclical character of the Moroccan interindustrial flows. We conclude by extracting the key cyclicity components of the industries that form the core of the Moroccan economy.

Our paper is organized as follows:

- i.* In Section 2, we recall the rudiments of graph topology and spectral theory of non-negative matrix.
- ii.* In Section 3, we introduce the spectral influence of a vertex using spectral radius, we explore its properties and we introduce the spectral cyclicity as a measure of global diffusion on a graph.
- iii.* In Section 4, we introduce our diffusion-based clustering algorithm following a divisive/agglomerative approach allowing overlapping.
- iv.* In Section 5, we apply our technique to the Moroccan input-output tables, from 1995 to 2018.

2 Basics of graph theory

Throughout this paper, our results concern weighted directed graphs, those results could be adapted to undirected graphs as well. We recall next some fundamental results from graph topology and non-negative matrix theory, the reader could consult for example [JLGA18] and [HJ13] for more details.

Definition 2.1 (Graph).

A **graph** G is an ordered pair $G = (V, A)$ where:

- i.* $V = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ is the set of **vertices**,
- ii.* $A \subset V^2$ is the set of unordered pairs of vertices, called **edges**.

When the pairs of the set A are directed, the graph is called a **directed graph** and the pairs are called **arcs**. The graph $G = (V, A, W)$, endowed with a weight matrix $W = (w_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ is called a **weighted graph**.

Definition 2.2 (Graph topology [JLGA18]).

Let us denote by $G = (V, A)$ a directed graph. We define

- i.* a **walk** in G as an alternating sequence of vertices and arcs, $s_0, a_1, s_1, \dots, a_n, s_n$, where, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $a_i = s_{i-1} s_i$. The length of such a walk is n , which is also equal to the number of arcs.
- ii.* a **closed walk** as a one with the same first and last vertex.
- iii.* a **path** as a walk where all vertices are distinct.
- iv.* a **trail** as a walk with no repeated arcs.
- v.* a **circuit** as a nontrivial closed trail.
- vi.* a **cycle** as a nontrivial closed path.
- vii.* a **Hamiltonian cycle** as a cycle that includes every vertex of V .
- viii.* a **loop** as an arc from a vertex to itself.

A **strongly connected graph** is a graph where there is a path (in each direction) between each pair of vertices of the graph.

Definition 2.3 (Walk value and geometric mean value).

Given a weighted digraph $G = (V, A, W)$ and a walk $\mathcal{W}_{s_0 s_n} = s_0, a_1, s_1, \dots, a_n, s_n$ in G of length n , one can define its **value** $v(\mathcal{W}_{s_0 s_n})$ as the product

$$v(\mathcal{W}_{s_0 s_n}) = w_{01} \cdots w_{n-1 n}$$

and its **geometric mean value** as

$$\sqrt[n]{v(\mathcal{W}_{s_0 s_n})} = \sqrt[n]{w_{01} \cdots w_{n-1 n}}$$

Proposition 2.1. Closed walk decomposition

Every closed walk can be decomposed into a union of Hamiltonian cycles.

Proof.

Suppose the closed walk is of length m and contains at least one redundant vertex, otherwise it is Hamiltonian. The sequence between two redundant vertices is a closed walk of some length $k < m$ on which the same argument holds recursively, dropping all those closed walks we end with a Hamiltonian cycle. \square

Definition 2.4 (Dominant cycle).

Let $G = (V, A, W)$ be a weighted digraph and let denotes by $\mathcal{C}_j^{n_j}$ a Hamiltonian cycle of length n_j starting from s_j . We call **dominant cycle** the Hamiltonian cycle $\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star}$ with maximum geometric mean value.

Theorem 2.2 (Perron-Frobenius [HJ13] ch.8).

Let us consider a non-negative matrix W , with spectral radius $\rho(W)$. Then:

- i. $\rho(W)$ is an eigenvalue of W , and there exists a non-negative, non-zero vector \mathbf{v} such that:

$$W\mathbf{v} = \rho(W)\mathbf{v}$$

- ii. $\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij} \leq \rho(W) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^n w_{ij}$ and $\min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{ij} \leq \rho(W) \leq \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_{ij}$.

Moreover, if W is irreducible (which corresponds to the situation of a strongly connected graph), then

- i. the eigenvalue $\rho(W) > 0$ is an algebraically simple.
- ii. there is a unique positive right eigenvector \mathbf{v} such that $W\mathbf{v} = \rho(W)\mathbf{v}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n v_i = 1$.
- iii. there is a unique positive left eigenvector \mathbf{w} such that $\mathbf{w}^T W = \rho(W)\mathbf{w}^T$ and $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 1$.

Corollary 2.3 (Perron-Frobenius [HJ13] ch.8).

If \mathbf{A} is a non-negative irreducible matrix and \mathbf{B} is any principal square submatrix of \mathbf{A} , then $\rho(\mathbf{B}) < \rho(\mathbf{A})$.

Theorem 2.4 ([HJ13] p.539).

Let \mathbf{A} be a non-negative matrix and Tr the trace operator. Then $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (Tr(\mathbf{A}^m))^{\frac{1}{m}}$. The limit superior is replaced with the limit if the matrix is irreducible and primitive (positive at some matrix power $k \geq 1$).

3 Spectral influence and cyclicity

In the sequel, $G = (V, A, W)$ denotes a weighted digraph with adjacency matrix W .

3.1 Spectral influence: a local approach

Notation.

- Given a subset $S \subset V$, $W(S)$ designates the adjacency matrix W resulting from the suppression of rows and columns corresponding to the elements of S .
- Given a matrix \mathbf{A} , write $\mathbf{A} \geq 0$ if $\mathbf{A}_{ij} \geq 0$, and $\mathbf{A} > 0$ if $\mathbf{A}_{ij} > 0$, for all i, j .

We introduce next the central concept of this work:

Definition 3.1 (Spectral influence).

Given a weighted graph $G = (V, A, W)$, we define the **spectral influence** of a subset S of V , as

$$\mathcal{S}(S) = \frac{\rho(W) - \rho(W(S))}{\rho(W)}$$

One has $0 \leq \mathcal{S}(S) \leq 1$.

In the particular case of a strongly connected graph, the matrix W is irreducible, one can deduce from Perron–Frobenius corollary 2.3 that $0 < \mathcal{S}(S) < \rho(W(S)) < \rho(W)$.

We have the following fundamental result:

Theorem 3.1 (Spectral radius, closed walks and dominant cycle).

The spectral radius of the matrix W is asymptotically a nondecreasing function of the graph closed walks value, in particular, it is an increasing function of the dominant cycle value.

Proof.

It follows directly from theorem 2.4 that

$$\rho(W) = \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (\text{Tr}(W^m))^{\frac{1}{m}} = \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n (W^m)_{jj} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}$$

Using closed walk decomposition 2.1, let designate by \mathcal{W}_{jj}^m an m closed walk starting from j , $\mathcal{C}_j^{n_j}$ a n_j Hamiltonian cycle starting from j and $\mathcal{C}_*^{n_*}$ the dominant cycle, one has for some m sufficiently large

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\sum_{j=1}^n (W^m)_{jj} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} v(\mathcal{W}_{jj}^m) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i} \subset \mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \prod v(\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i}) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&= \sqrt[n_\star]{v(\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star})} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i} \subset \mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \prod \underbrace{\left(\frac{v(\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i})}{v(\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star})^{\frac{n_i}{n_\star}}} \right)}_{\leq 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&= \mathcal{O} \left(\sqrt[n_\star]{v(\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star})} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa(W, \star) &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{\mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \prod_{\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i} \in \mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \left(\frac{v(\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i})}{v(\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star})^{\frac{n_i}{n_\star}}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \leq \left(n \sum_{\mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \prod_{\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i} \in \mathcal{W}_{jj}^m} \left(\frac{v(\mathcal{C}_i^{n_i})}{v(\mathcal{C}_\star^{n_\star})^{\frac{n_i}{n_\star}}} \right) \right)^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&\leq (n n^{m-1})^{\frac{1}{m}} \\
&= n
\end{aligned}$$

where the upper bound is the number of closed walks in a complete graph. \square

Remark 3.1.

1. The first direct consequence is that the spectral influence is maximal for nodes whose deletion reduces the closed walks supremum value in G asymptotically the most, which is equivalent to the deletion of Hamiltonian cycles with the highest geometric mean value as a consequence of theorem 3.1: suppose the graph has l cycles decreasingly ordered by their geometric mean values $\sqrt[n_1]{v(\mathcal{C}_{i_1}^{n_1})} \geq \dots \geq \sqrt[n_k]{v(\mathcal{C}_{i_k}^{n_k})} \geq \dots \geq \sqrt[n_l]{v(\mathcal{C}_{i_l}^{n_l})}$, suppose the suppression of a vertex s induce the suppression of the first $k-1$ Hamiltonian cycles in the order, the spectral influence is thus

$$\mathcal{S}(s) = 1 - \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{\sqrt[n_k]{v(\mathcal{C}_{i_k}^{n_k})}}{\sqrt[n_1]{v(\mathcal{C}_{i_1}^{n_1})}} \right) \quad (1)$$

2. In the case of an **unweighted graphs**, the sum $\sum_{j=1}^n (W^m)_{jj}$ is just the number of m closed walks and the spectral influence of a vertex s is a function of the number of closed walks passing through s .
3. If the graph is **not strongly connected**, then the matrix W is reducible and it is similar

to bloc triangular matrix $\begin{pmatrix} W_{11} & W_{12} & \dots & W_{1k} \\ 0 & W_{22} & \dots & W_{2k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & W_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$ ([HJ13] page 63). The spectral radius is

$\rho(W) = \max\{\rho(W_{11}), \dots, \rho(W_{nn})\}$ and the same reasoning holds per bloc, while the spectral influence is null for non dominant blocs.

4. Like other mathematical means, the geometric mean is sensible to extreme values.
5. One can enumerate the different configurations of spectral influence: denote by $\mathcal{C}_{i_1}^{n_{i_1}}$ the dominant cycle and $\mathcal{C}_{i_s}^{n_{i_s}}$ the dominant cycle after the suppression of a vertex s , there are four situations
 - (a) the vertex s does not belongs to the dominant cycle and
 - i. s does not belongs to a Hamiltonian cycle crossing the dominant cycle: $\kappa(W(s), i_1) = \kappa(W, i_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(s) = 0$.
 - ii. s belongs to a Hamiltonian cycle crossing the dominant cycle: $\kappa(W(s), i_1) < \kappa(W, i_1)$ and $\mathcal{S}(s) > 0$ (irreducibility corollary 2.3).
 - (b) the vertex s belongs to the dominant cycle and
 - i. the vertex s does not belongs to a Hamiltonian cycle crossing $\mathcal{C}_{i_s}^{n_{i_s}}$: $\kappa(W(s), i_2) = \kappa(W, i_2)$ and $\mathcal{S}(s) > 0$.
 - ii. the vertex s belongs to a Hamiltonian cycle crossing $\mathcal{C}_{i_s}^{n_{i_s}}$: $\kappa(W(s), i_2) < \kappa(W, i_2)$ and $\mathcal{S}(s)$ is greater than situation (b).i.
6. The spectral influence is a relative local measure of interdependence and diffusion in networks, it measure the relative cyclicity involving the vertex in question.

3.2 Spectral influence: a global approach

We introduced above the concept of spectral influence as a measure of local influence, and it was demonstrated that this quantity is reliant on closed walks that involve the vertex under consideration, which in turn require the existence of Hamiltonian cycles (theorem 3.1). The total sum of the elementary influences will be as large as the number of vertices involved in Hamiltonian cycles, to achieve the maximum when all the vertices are contained in one dominant cycle (**redundancy effect**). Conversely, the total sum will decreases as much as the flow is split into small Hamiltonian cycles and loops.

Given a subset $S \subseteq V$ of cardinal k , one can write

$$0 \leq \sum_{s \in S} (\rho(W) - \rho(W(s))) \leq k \rho(W) \quad (2)$$

In the case of strongly connected graphs: the left hand bound is never reached by Perron–Frobenius corollary 2.3. Conversely, the right hand bound is strict except the case where the graph is a Hamiltonian cycle (the suppression of a vertex destruct every closed walk). The redundancy effect is the fact that a dominant cycle of length $l \geq 2$ contains l vertices whose suppression reduce the spectral radius and increase the spectral influence, it has the following consequence:

Corollary 3.2 (The whole is not the sum of the parts).

Let $G = (V, A, W)$ be a weighted graph and S be a subset of V of cardinal $k \geq 2$. **The whole is not the sum of the parts** in the sens that, the equality

$$\sum_{s \in S} (\rho(W) - \rho(W(s))) = \rho(W) - \rho(W(S))$$

does not hold in general. In particular, \mathcal{S} is not a mathematical measure on V .

Proof.

Figure 1 gives a counter-example. Consequently, the spectral influence \mathcal{S} does not respect countable additivity of mathematical measures. \square



Figure 1: The whole is not the sum of the parts: $\sum_{s=1}^2 (\rho(W) - \rho(W(s))) = 0.42 < \rho(W) - \rho(W(S)) = 1.74$ while $\sum_{s=4}^5 (\rho(W) - \rho(W(s))) = 0.08 > \rho(W) - \rho(W(S)) = 0.06$.

Definition 3.2 (Spectral cyclicity).

Given a weighted graph $G = (V, A, W)$, we define the **spectral cyclicity** of G as

$$\mathbf{S} = \sum_{s=1}^n \mathcal{S}(s)$$

The spectral cyclicity characterize interdependence and diffusion in the graph. According to equation 2, $0 \leq \mathbf{S} \leq n$ and three extreme configurations could occur:

1. **The situation of perfect cyclicity**

- **Hamiltonian cycle:** when the connection structure is reduced to a Hamiltonian cycle and the elementary spectral influence is 1 (the destruction of a vertex disconnect the graph). The spectral cyclicity is n .

2. **Situations of weak cyclicity**

- **Fair division:** each vertex shares uniformly the weight w_i with all the vertices. The spectral radius of the matrix equals the mean flow $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}{n}$ and the spectral influence is

$$\mathcal{S}(s) = \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}{n} - \frac{\sum_{i \neq s} w_i}{n}}{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}{n}} = \frac{w_s}{\sum_{i=1}^n w_i}$$

The spectral cyclicity is 1.

- **Triangular structure:** each vertex s_i serves the next vertices s_j , $i \leq j$, until the last one which is self-connected (loop). The spectrum of the adjacency matrix contains one positive non null eigenvalue, the spectral influence equals one for the looped vertex and null elsewhere and the spectral cyclicity is 1.

3. **No cycles**

- **Chain:** each vertex s_i is connected to the next vertex s_{i+1} constituting a chain.

- **Loops:** every pole is looped and there is no diffusion. The spectral influence is null except eventually the pole with the dominant loop of value $w_{i_1^* i_1^*}$, the spectral cyclicity is $\frac{w_{i_1^* i_1^*} - w_{i_2^* i_2^*}}{w_{i_1^* i_1^*}}$, where $w_{i_s i_s}$ is the second maximum loop value.

Following remark 3.1, one can deduce that the spectral cyclicity is greater as much as the graph dominant cycle is longer and the graph is strongly connected, and the maximum is reached when the graph is a Hamiltonian cycle. Conversely, the spectral cyclicity is reduced by the introduction of non-dominant cycles with high geometric mean values, disjoint from the dominant one, reducing the vertices spectral influence by reducing dominant cycles gap and redundancy effect.

We close this section by plotting in figure 3 a bestiary of unweighted digraphs with the corresponding spectral influences and cyclicity.




Figure 3: The spectral cyclicity for different disposition of a three vertices unweighted connected digraph: **a)** perfect diffusion on a cycle, **b)** diffusion slowed down by a circuit, **c)** diffusion slowed down by a loop, **d)** slowest diffusion in a complete graph, **e)** partial diffusion, **f)** one way diffusion.

4 Spectral influence and cyclicity clustering

The spectral cyclicity is a measurement of cyclicity and diffusion on graphs. In the sequel, we define a clustering algorithm based on this measurement to regroup vertices with strong cyclicity. In order to implement our algorithm, we need to adopt the following convention about isolated vertices:

Assumption 4.1.

If the digraph $G = (V, A, W)$ is reduced to a unique vertex s , then the spectral cyclicity is $\mathbf{S} = 1$.

The idea behind the assumption 4.1 is to decide whether two vertices should be split into two isolated vertices or not, the spiting decision will be taken if the spectral cyclicity is not greater than 1. Let's analyze the implication of this assumption: Given a graph with two vertices and the corresponding non-negative weight matrix $W = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$, the spectrum of the matrix W is

$$\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(a + d + \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right), \frac{1}{2} \left(a + d - \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right) \right\}$$

The spectral cyclicity is therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{S} &= \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(a + d + \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right) - d}{\frac{1}{2} \left(a + d + \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right)} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(a + d + \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right) - a}{\frac{1}{2} \left(a + d + \sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc} \right)} \\ &= \frac{2}{1 + \frac{a+d}{\sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc}}} \end{aligned}$$

\mathbf{S} reaches its maximum 2 in the case of a cycle ($a = d = 0$). If either c or b is null, then $\mathbf{S} < 1$ and our algorithm split the vertices since there is no diffusion on the graph. In the general case, the splitting condition is $\frac{a+d}{\sqrt{(a-d)^2 + 4bc}} \geq 1$ which is equivalent to $ad \geq cd$, and this occurs when the product of loop values is greater than the cycle value.

Notation.

We will designate by

- $[1, n]$ the set of integers from 1 to n .
- $\tilde{W}(S)$ the resulting matrix obtained by deleting all rows and columns of W , except for those that correspond to the elements of S .
- $\overline{W}(S)$ the matrix resulting from W by setting $w_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$ and $\{i, j\} \subset S$.

4.1 Divisive cyclicity clustering

We explain hereafter how the **divisive cyclicity clustering** algorithm works: given a weighted digraph $G = (V, A, W)$, the algorithm eliminates the vertex whose removal increases the spectral cyclicity the most, if no increase is possible, the algorithm eliminates the vertices whose removal does not decrease spectral cyclicity. The same operation is applied to deleted vertices until all vertices are classified.

Algorithm 1:	Divisive cyclicity clustering
Input:	a weight matrix $\tilde{W} \in M_n$ and a vertices set V
Initialization:	set $S = \{\}$
While:	$\max_{i \in V} \mathbf{S}(W(i)) \geq \mathbf{S}(W)$ update $W = W(i^*)$, $S = S \cup \{i^*\}$, where $i^* = \arg \max_{i \in V} \mathbf{S}(W(i))$
Update:	set $V = S$.
Output:	a partition $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$.

4.2 Agglomerative cyclicity clustering

Given a digraph $G = (V, A, W)$, the **agglomerative cyclicity clustering** algorithm groups vertices based on their spectral cyclicity, with the highest values being grouped first. If no increase in cyclicity is possible, the algorithm repeats the process with the remaining vertices until all vertices are classified.

Algorithm 2:	Agglomerative cyclicity clustering
Input:	a weight matrix $\tilde{W} \in M_n$ and a vertices set V
Initialization:	set $S = \arg \max_{(j,k) \in V^2} \mathbf{S}(\tilde{W}(\{j, k\}))$
While:	$\max_{i \in V} \mathbf{S}(\tilde{W}(S \cup \{i\})) > \mathbf{S}(\tilde{W}(S))$ update $S = S \cup \{i^*\}$, where $i^* = \arg \max_{i \in V} \mathbf{S}(\tilde{W}(S \cup \{i\}))$
Update:	set $V = V \setminus S$.
Output:	a partition $\{V_1, \dots, V_k\}$.

4.3 Overlapping clustering

Let's denote by $S_1(W)$ the first partition obtained by applying the divisive (resp. agglomerative) cyclicity algorithm. Overlapping clustering can be achieved by preserving the vertices and deleting the partition intra-connections at each stage:

Algorithm 3:	Overlapping divisive/agglomerative cyclicity clustering
Input:	a weight matrix $\tilde{W} \in M_n$ and a vertices set V
Initialization:	set $O = S_1(W)$
While:	$\mathbf{S}(\overline{\tilde{W}}(O)) > 1$ update $\tilde{W} = \overline{\tilde{W}}(O)$, $O = S_1(\tilde{W})$
Output:	an overlapping partition $\{O_1, \dots, O_k\}$.

4.4 Discussion

The schemes of divisive and agglomerative clustering are opposite in nature. Divisive clustering starts with a single cluster that contains all the vertices, and then recursively splits it into smaller clusters, whereas agglomerative clustering starts with each vertex as a separate cluster and then merges them into larger clusters.

Overall, both divisive and agglomerative cyclicity clustering share the same philosophy of grouping vertices with high cyclicity, even though they achieve this goal through different methods. Let's analyze how the algorithm practically works in the case of a divisive scheme:

Following remark 3.1.5, the algorithm starts deleting vertices not strongly connected to the dominant cycle, then it starts deleting competitor cycles reducing the cyclicity. In the case of unweighted graphs, the algorithm chooses the longest cycle as the dominant one. It is important to notice that the algorithm outcome may not be a perfect cycle, but a strong cyclicity component, depending on the structure of the network. When overlapping is allowed, the algorithm cuts off all the arcs between the element of a partition keeping the loops, and preventing the same cycles to appear in other partitions.

One limitation of the cyclicity clustering algorithm is the exaequo situation where the algorithm should pick a vertex randomly, moreover, the clustering algorithm depends on the division/agglomeration path, i.e., vertices suppression/concatenation order, and some vertices could be eliminated/regrouped earlier producing a component not cyclically optimal.

5 Application to input-output analysis

The application of spectral cyclicity analysis to input-output analysis can help to better understand interindustrial flows and connections between industrial poles. On the one hand, Some poles play the role of **propulsive industries** driving other poles to increase their production and creating transformation cycles. On the other hand, such mechanism is synonym with interdependence, influence, shock diffusion and amplification effects.

In opposition to input-output analysis based on Leontieff or Ghosh normalization, our technique does not require a direction of normalization (supply or demand) and it is applied directly to the absolute flow, moreover, it does not require any coefficients stability hypothesis ([Lan72]).

In the sequel, we analyze the Moroccan interindustrial flows of the OECD Input-Output Tables (IOTs) [OCD21], from 1995 to 2018. The data traces flows of intermediate goods and services of 45 industrial poles in million US Dollars (see appendix 7.1).

5.1 Moroccan interindustrial cyclicity analysis

We report in figure 4 the evolution of spectral cyclicity of interindustrial exchanges, the curve reflects a clear upward trend in cyclicity.




Figure 4: Evolution of Moroccan spectral cyclicity from 1995 to 2018.

We perform a benchmark for a pool of 30 countries, each country is designated by its three letters ISO code², we can notice that Morocco manifests a distinguished cyclical character:

	ARG	AUS	BEL	BRA	CAN	CHN	COL	CZE	DEU	DNK	FRA	GBR
S	0.687	0.599	0.363	0.663	0.783	0.297	0.538	0.480	0.379	0.872	0.566	0.377
	HUN	ITA	JPN	KAZ	MAR	MEX	MYS	POR	RUS	SAU	SPA	THA
S	0.445	0.729	0.426	0.387	1.133	0.349	0.957	0.293	0.776	1.024	0.750	0.398
	TUN	TUR	SGP	USA	VNM	ZAF						
S	0.217	0.200	0.331	0.615	0.589	0.617						

5.2 Propulsive industries and key cyclicity components

We perform next a spectral cyclicity analysis of the Moroccan interindustrial flows from 1995 to 2018. The next two tables report, for each industry, the spectral influence \mathcal{S} and the corresponding cyclical cluster in the case of nonoverlapping divisive (**DC**) and agglomerative (**AC**) clustering, the results illustrate the profound changes in the interindustrial structure.

²International Organization for Standardization <https://www.iso.org/iso-3166-country-codes.html>.

Industry	1995			2000			2005		
	S	DC	AC	S	DC	AC	S	DC	AC
D01T02	0.16774	1	ι	0.301	1	ι	0.33535	1	ι
D03	0.0001	ι	ι	0.00008	4	6	0.00016	3	5
D05T06	$4 * 10^{-7}$	1	6	$4 * 10^{-7}$	1	4	$6 * 10^{-7}$	ι	5
D07T08	0.00025	1	ι	0.00002	2	2	$6 * 10^{-6}$	3	4
D09	0.00005	1	6	0.00001	1	4	0.00001	1	ι
D10T12	0.16811	1	ι	0.30329	1	ι	0.38024	1	ι
D13T15	0.00542	ι	ι	0.00179	ι	ι	0.00095	ι	ι
D16	0.00016	ι	ι	0.0001	ι	ι	0.00007	3	ι
D17T18	0.0002	1	ι	0.00015	ι	ι	0.00011	ι	ι
D19	0.00138	1	1	0.00123	1	1	0.00136	1	1
D20	0.00581	1	ι	0.00315	1	ι	0.00266	3	ι
D21	0.00062	1	ι	0.00043	1	ι	0.00037	3	ι
D22	0.00072	ι	ι	0.00033	ι	6	0.00037	1	5
D23	0.0004	2	ι	0.00014	ι	ι	0.00011	3	ι
D24	0.00018	1	4	0.00007	3	4	0.00009	3	4
D25	0.00038	ι	4	0.00021	3	4	0.00015	3	4
D26	0.00005	1	ι	0.00001	ι	ι	0.00001	1	ι
D27	0.0004	ι	ι	0.00016	ι	ι	0.00012	3	ι
D28	0.00007	1	ι	0.00003	1	ι	0.00003	1	ι
D29	0.00005	ι	ι	0.00002	ι	ι	0.00002	3	ι
D30	$2 * 10^{-6}$	1	ι	$2 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$1 * 10^{-6}$	3	ι
D31T33	0.00033	1	ι	0.00013	4	ι	0.00008	3	5
D35	0.00077	1	1	0.00029	1	ι	0.0002	1	ι
D36T39	0.00001	1	5	0.00001	1	2	0.00001	1	ι
D41T43	0.00115	2	2	0.00036	2	2	0.00028	2	2
D45T47	0.01764	1	ι	0.01603	1	6	0.01614	1	5
D49	0.00051	1	2	0.0004	1	1	0.0005	1	1
D50	0.00003	1	ι	0.00001	1	6	0.00002	1	2
D51	0.00005	1	ι	0.00005	1	ι	0.00006	1	ι
D52	0.0001	1	ι	0.00007	1	ι	0.00009	1	ι
D53	0.00002	1	ι	$7 * 10^{-6}$	4	6	$8 * 10^{-6}$	1	5
D55T56	0.00279	1	3	0.00172	1	3	0.0013	1	3
D58T60	0.0001	1	ι	0.00007	1	ι	0.00006	1	ι
D61	0.00015	1	ι	0.00006	1	6	0.00006	1	ι
D62T63	0.00001	1	ι	$7 * 10^{-6}$	4	ι	$5 * 10^{-6}$	3	ι
D64T66	0.00019	1	ι	0.00041	1	ι	0.00039	1	ι
D68	0.00015	1	2	0.00008	4	5	0.00006	2	2
D69T75	0.00011	1	ι	0.0001	ι	ι	0.0001	3	ι
D77T82	0.00005	1	ι	0.00003	1	ι	0.00003	1	ι
D84	0.00038	1	3	0.00041	1	3	0.00022	1	3
D85	0.00023	1	ι	0.00023	1	ι	0.00012	1	ι
D86T88	0.00005	1	ι	0.00006	1	ι	0.00003	1	2
D90T93	$2 * 10^{-6}$	1	ι	$1 * 10^{-6}$	1	3	$8 * 10^{-7}$	1	ι
D94T96	0.00001	1	5	0.00001	1	5	$7 * 10^{-6}$	1	3
D97T98	0	ι	ι	0	ι	ι	0	ι	ι

Industry	2010			2015			2018		
	S	DC	AC	S	DC	AC	S	DC	AC
D01T02	0.54341	1	4	0.54843	1	4	0.53322	1	4
D03	0.00008	2	ι	0.00011	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι
D05T06	$2 * 10^{-7}$	2	5	$4 * 10^{-8}$	2	5	$1 * 10^{-8}$	4	2
D07T08	0.00019	2	1	0.00008	4	1	0.00008	5	1
D09	$7 * 10^{-6}$	1	4	$4 * 10^{-6}$	1	4	$3 * 10^{-6}$	1	4
D10T12	0.52652	1	4	0.58081	1	4	0.58233	1	4
D13T15	0.00026	ι	ι	0.00018	ι	ι	0.00015	ι	ι
D16	0.00005	ι	ι	0.00003	ι	ι	0.00003	ι	ι
D17T18	0.00016	1	4	0.00014	1	4	0.00013	1	4
D19	0.00016	1	2	0.00005	1	2	$7 * 10^{-7}$	4	2
D20	0.00298	ι	ι	0.00229	4	ι	0.00293	5	ι
D21	0.00032	ι	ι	0.00028	ι	ι	0.00022	ι	ι
D22	0.00028	2	5	0.00019	2	5	0.00018	3	5
D23	0.00013	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι	0.00005	2	ι
D24	0.00004	ι	ι	0.00001	ι	ι	0.00001	ι	ι
D25	0.0001	ι	ι	0.00005	ι	ι	0.00006	ι	ι
D26	$5 * 10^{-6}$	ι	4	$3 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$3 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι
D27	0.0001	ι	ι	0.00004	ι	ι	0.00004	ι	ι
D28	0.00002	1	4	$6 * 10^{-6}$	1	4	$8 * 10^{-6}$	1	4
D29	0.00003	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι	0.00009	ι	ι
D30	$2 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$2 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$1 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι
D31T33	0.00004	ι	ι	0.00002	ι	ι	0.00002	ι	ι
D35	0.00014	1	4	0.00012	ι	ι	0.00015	2	ι
D36T39	$3 * 10^{-6}$	1	4	$3 * 10^{-6}$	1	4	$4 * 10^{-6}$	1	4
D41T43	0.00036	1	1	0.0002	2	1	0.0002	2	1
D45T47	0.01347	2	5	0.01056	2	5	0.01057	3	5
D49	0.00035	2	2	0.00029	ι	2	0.00033	3	5
D50	0.00003	1	4	0.00003	ι	ι	0.00005	ι	ι
D51	0.00003	2	5	0.00004	2	5	0.00004	1	4
D52	0.00008	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι
D53	$4 * 10^{-6}$	2	5	$2 * 10^{-6}$	2	5	$1 * 10^{-6}$	3	5
D55T56	0.00059	ι	3	0.0005	ι	3	0.00067	ι	3
D58T60	0.00003	ι	ι	0.00003	ι	ι	0.00003	ι	ι
D61	0.00004	ι	5	0.00002	ι	5	0.00002	3	5
D62T63	$2 * 10^{-6}$	ι	6	$3 * 10^{-6}$	3	ι	$3 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι
D64T66	0.00034	ι	6	0.00031	3	ι	0.00035	ι	6
D68	0.00002	2	6	0.00002	3	5	0.00002	2	6
D69T75	0.00007	ι	ι	0.00006	ι	ι	0.00007	ι	ι
D77T82	0.00001	ι	6	0.00002	3	ι	0.00002	ι	ι
D84	0.00029	ι	3	0.00028	ι	3	0.00031	2	3
D85	0.00013	1	4	0.0001	1	4	0.00011	1	4
D86T88	0.00005	1	4	0.00003	1	4	0.00005	1	4
D90T93	$1 * 10^{-6}$	2	5	$1 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$2 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι
D94T96	$4 * 10^{-6}$	ι	5	$4 * 10^{-6}$	ι	ι	$4 * 10^{-6}$	3	5
D97T98	0	ι	ι	0	ι	ι	0	ι	ι

According to our algorithm, the interindustrial Moroccan flows in 2018 could be regrouped and ordered from a cyclicity point of view in one the following two ways:

Divisive clustering:

1. **Component I:**
 - (a) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
 - (b) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.
2. **Component II:**
 - (a) D23: Other non-metallic mineral products,
 - (b) D41T43: Construction,
 - (c) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
 - (d) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
 - (e) D68: Real estate activities.
3. **Component III:**
 - (a) D10T12: Food products, beverages and tobacco,
 - (b) D01T02: Agriculture, hunting, forestry,
 - (c) D17T18: Paper products and printing,
 - (d) D85: Education,
 - (e) D86T88: Human health and social work activities,
 - (f) D51: Air transport,
 - (g) D28: Machinery and equipment, nec,
 - (h) D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
 - (i) D09: Mining support service activities.
4. **Component IV:**
 - (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles,
 - (b) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
 - (c) D22: Rubber and plastics products,
 - (d) D61: Telecommunications,
 - (e) D53: Postal and courier activities,
 - (f) D94T96: Other service activities.
5. **Component V:**
 - (a) D20: Chemical and chemical products,
 - (b) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products.

Agglomerative clustering:

1. **Component I:**
 - (a) D41T43: Construction,
 - (b) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products.
2. **Component II:**
 - (a) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
 - (b) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.
3. **Component III:**
 - (a) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
 - (b) D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities.
4. **Component IV:**
 - (a) D10T12: Food products, beverages and tobacco,
 - (b) D01T02: Agriculture, hunting, forestry,
 - (c) D17T18: Paper products and printing,
 - (d) D85: Education,
 - (e) D86T88: Human health and social work activities,
 - (f) D51: Air transport,
 - (g) D28: Machinery and equipment, nec,
 - (h) D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
 - (i) D09: Mining support service activities.
5. **Component V:**
 - (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles,
 - (b) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
 - (c) D22: Rubber and plastics products,
 - (d) D61: Telecommunications,
 - (e) D53: Postal and courier activities,
 - (f) D94T96: Other service activities.
6. **Component VI:**
 - (a) D64T66: Financial and insurance activities,
 - (b) D68: Real estate activities.

By allowing overlapping, we deduce a different clustering:

Overlapping divisive clustering:

1. Component I:

- (a) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
- (b) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.

2. Component II:

- (a) D23: Other non-metallic mineral products,
- (b) D41T43: Construction,
- (c) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
- (d) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (e) D68: Real estate activities,
- (f) D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities.

3. Component III:

- (a) D10T12: Food products, beverages and tobacco,
- (b) D01T02: Agriculture, hunting, forestry,
- (c) D17T18: Paper products and printing,
- (d) D85: Education,
- (e) D86T88: Human health and social work activities,
- (f) D51: Air transport,
- (g) D28: Machinery and equipment, nec,
- (h) D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
- (i) D09: Mining support service activities.

4. Component IV:

- (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles,
- (b) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
- (c) D22: Rubber and plastics products,
- (d) D61: Telecommunications,
- (e) D53: Postal and courier activities,
- (f) D94T96: Other service activities,
- (g) D03: Fishing and aquaculture.

5. Component V:

- (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles,
- (b) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (c) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
- (d) D51: Air transport,
- (e) D77T82: Administrative and support services,
- (f) D68: Real estate activities,
- (g) D31T33: Manufacturing nec, repair and installation of machinery and equipment,
- (h) D36T39: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
- (i) D30: Other transport equipment,
- (j) D62T63: IT and other information services,
- (k) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products.

Overlapping agglomerative clustering:

1. Component I:

- (a) D41T43: Construction,
- (b) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products.

2. Component II:

- (a) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
- (b) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.

3. Component III:

- (a) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (b) D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities.

4. Component IV:

- (a) D23: Other non-metallic mineral products,
- (b) D41T43: Construction,
- (c) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
- (d) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products,
- (e) D68: Real estate activities,
- (f) D36T39: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities.

5. Component V:

- (a) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (b) D41T43: Construction.

6. Component VI:

- (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles,
- (b) D41T43: Construction,
- (c) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (d) D22: Rubber and plastics products,
- (e) D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities,
- (f) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
- (g) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.

7. Component VII:

- (a) D10T12: Food products, beverages and tobacco,
- (b) D01T02: Agriculture, hunting, forestry,
- (c) D17T18: Paper products and printing,
- (d) D85: Education,
- (e) D41T43: Construction,
- (f) D86T88: Human health and social work activities,
- (g) D51: Air transport,
- (h) D28: Machinery and equipment, nec,
- (i) D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
- (j) D09: Mining support service activities.

8. Component VIII:

- (a) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
- (b) D41T43: Construction.

9. Component IX:

- (a) D20: Chemical and chemical products,
- (b) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products.
- (c) D22: Rubber and plastics products,
- (d) D41T43: Construction,
- (e) D31T33: Manufacturing nec, repair and installation of machinery and equipment,
- (f) D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities,
- (g) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products.

10. Component X:

- (a) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
- (b) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (c) D94T96: Other service activities.

11. Component XI:

- (a) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
- (b) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
- (c) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.

12. Component XII:

- (a) D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles,
- (b) D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines,
- (c) D61: Telecommunications,
- (d) D28: Machinery and equipment, nec,
- (e) D53: Postal and courier activities,
- (f) D94T96: Other service activities,
- (g) D90T93: Arts, entertainment and recreation.

13. Component XIII:

- (a) D64T66: Financial and insurance activities,
- (b) D68: Real estate activities,
- (c) D41T43: Construction,
- (d) D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products,
- (e) D36T39: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities,
- (f) D19: Coke and refined petroleum products,
- (g) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.


14. Component XIV:

- (a) D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security,
- (b) D61: Telecommunications,
- (c) D68: Real estate activities,
- (d) D36T39: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities.


15. Component XV:

- (a) D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply,
- (b) D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.


To visualize the principal (non atomic) cyclicity components, we produce a graph proportional representation of arcs (with respect to the weights) and vertices (with respect to spectral influence in the component):




(a) $S = 1.35676$.




(b) $S = 1.27431$.



(c) $S = 1.16459$.



(d) $S = 1.11335$.



(e) $S = 1.03187$.

Figure 6: The five divisive clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table.




Figure 8: The six agglomerative clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table.




Figure 10: The five overlapping divisive clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table.




Figure 12: The fifteen overlapping agglomerative clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table.




Figure 14: The fifteen overlapping agglomerative clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table-bis.




Figure 16: The fifteen overlapping agglomerative clusters of 2018 Moroccan input-output table-ter.

A direct comparison of the nonoverlapping and overlapping situations reveals the following:

- **Divisive algorithm:** The two algorithm produce almost the same first four components (in the overlapping situation, component number two has a sixth pole D36T39: Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities, and component number four has a seventh pole D03: Fishing and aquaculture). The difference is recorded for the component number five where it is a two-poles component of mining and quarrying for the nonoverlapping algorithm and an eleven poles component of public expenditures.
- **Agglomerative algorithm:** The difference is much greater and additional clusters arise when employing overlapping clustering. The first three clusters are identical, the overlapping cluster number seven has an extra pole (D41T43: Construction) compared to nonoverlapping cluster number four. The nonoverlapping cluster number five correspond to the overlapping cluster number twelve and the nonoverlapping cluster number six is included in overlapping cluster number thirteen.

5.3 Discussion

In 2018, Moroccan interindustrial flows structure is characterized by high cyclicity. Compared to the countries panel, Morocco along with Saudi Arabia, are the only countries with a spectral cyclicity greater than one, making them the countries with the highest interindustrial flows cyclicity.

We can distinguish three high cyclicity disjoint key components in the Moroccan interindustrial structure, we find convenient to do an analogy with cyclical clusters and planet systems in terms of influence. One could describe those components according to the following themes:

1. **Mining and quarrying** represented in figure 6.(a), formed by a planet: D19-Coke and refined petroleum products; and a moon: D05T06-Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.
2. **Construction** represented in figure 6.(b), characterized as planetary system of decreasing size (influence): D23-Other non-metallic mineral products; D41T43-Construction; D35-Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply; D84-Public administration and defense, compulsory social security; D68-Real estate activities.
3. **Agri-food and related activities** represented in figure 6.(c), formed by two planet-hosting stars: D10T12-Food products, beverages and tobacco; and D01T02-Agriculture, hunting, forestry; and seven planets: D17T18-Paper products and printing; D85-Education; D86T88-Human health and social work activities; D51-Air transport; D28-Machinery and equipment, nec; D36T39-Water supply, sewerage, waste management and remediation activities; D09-Mining support service activities.
4. **Logistics and transports** in figure 6.(d), with a central star: D45T47-Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles; two planets: D49-Land transport and transport via pipelines; D22-Rubber and plastics products; and three moons: D61-Telecommunications; D53-Postal and courier activities; D94T96-Other service activities.

If we study the intersecting clusters, we can identify additional interesting productive components. Among them, we can enumerate the homogeneous ones:

1. Energy products represented in figure 12.(f), represented by a sun: D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles, three planets: D41T43: Construction, D84: Public administration and defense, compulsory social security, D22: Rubber and plastics products, and three satellites: D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities, D19: Coke and refined petroleum products, D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products.
2. Manufacture of chemical products represented in figure 14.(c), represented by a sun: D20: Chemical and chemical products, two planets: D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products, D22: Rubber and plastics products, and four satellites: D41T43: Construction, D31T33: Manufacturing nec, repair and installation of machinery and equipment, D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities, D19: Coke and refined petroleum products.

If we study the historical evolution from 1995 to 2018, one can conclude, according to figure 4, that Moroccan interindustrial flows structure is clearly tending towards a structure with strong cyclicity characterized with strong diffusion and interdependence. The Moroccan passes from one big cluster with weak cyclicity regrouping almost all sectors to three key components with strong cyclicity. One can give a detailed lecture:

1. The top "propulsive industries", according to the spectral influence, are in order and persistently the poles: D10T12-Food products, beverages and tobacco; D01T02-Agriculture, hunting, forestry; D45T47-Wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles; D20-Chemical and chemical products. While the weakest industries, in the sense of the spectral influence, are in

an increasing order: D97T98-Activities of households as employers, undifferentiated goods and services-producing activities of households for own use; D05T06-Mining and quarrying, energy producing products; D30-Other transport equipment.

2. According to spectral influences evolution graphs (see the appendix 7.2) one can notice the structural mutation of the Moroccan economy through a process of concentration and complementary of the interindustrial flows. One can notice poles with increasing spectral influence: D01T02-Agriculture, hunting, forestry; D10T12-Food products, beverages and tobacco; D29-Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers; D50-Water transport. This increasing influences absorb the influences of others poles like: D13T15-Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear; D16-Wood and products of wood and cork; D21-Pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products; D22-Rubber and plastics products; D23-Other non-metallic mineral products; D24-Basic metals; D25-Fabricated metal products; D26-Computer, electronic and optical equipment; D27-Electrical equipment; D28-Machinery and equipment, nec; D31T33-Manufacturing nec; repair and installation of machinery and equipment; D35-Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply; D41T43-Construction; D45T47-Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles; D53-Postal and courier activities; D55T56-Accommodation and food service activities; D61-Telecommunications; D68-Real estate activities.

6 Conclusion

In summary, the new concept of spectral influence is a local measurement of centrality of vertex in a graph from a diffusion perspective, this local measurement induce a global measurement characterizing cyclicity of the whole graph. One can use this global measure in the definition of clustering algorithms to isolated high cyclicity components.

The application of this new technique to input-output analysis sheds new light to interindustrial organization by highlighting interindustrial transformation unites involving many industries and detecting propulsive industries which are the driving force of the economy. In opposite to the classical Leontieff/Ghosh analysis, supposing a flow relative orientation (demand/supply) and supposing a structural stability of the coefficients, the spectral cyclicity analyzes the absolute flows and trace the bilateral influences at time t .

Cyclicity in graph reflects many interesting features that could be very helpful in understanding social networks, like interdependence (dominance, resilience,...), diffusion (ideas, diseases, economic shocks...), feedback effects and amplification (productivity, transformation, ...) and many potential uses of this new technique are conceivable.

7 Appendix

7.1 Industrial poles of the OECD harmonised national input-output tables

D01T02: Agriculture, hunting, forestry	D03: Fishing and aquaculture	D05T06: Mining and quarrying, energy producing products
D07T08: Mining and quarrying, non-energy producing products	D09: Mining support service activities	D10T12: Food products, beverages and tobacco
D13T15: Textiles, textile products, leather and footwear	D16: Wood and products of wood and cork	D17T18: Paper products and printing
D19: Coke and refined petroleum products	D20: Chemical and chemical products	D21: Pharmaceuticals, medicinal chemical and botanical products
D22: Rubber and plastics products	D23: Other non-metallic mineral products	D24: Basic metals
D25: Fabricated metal products	D26: Computer, electronic and optical equipment	D27: Electrical equipment
D28: Machinery and equipment, nec	D29: Motor vehicles, trailers and semi-trailers	D30: Other transport equipment
D31T33: Manufacturing nec; repair and installation of machinery and equipment	D35: Electricity, gas, steam and air conditioning supply	D36T39: Water supply; sewerage, waste management and remediation activities
D41T43: Construction	D45T47: Wholesale and retail trade; repair of motor vehicles	D49: Land transport and transport via pipelines
D50: Water transport	D51: Air transport	D52: Warehousing and support activities for transportation
D53: Postal and courier activities	D55T56: Accommodation and food service activities	D58T60: Publishing, audiovisual and broadcasting activities
D61: Telecommunications	D62T63: IT and other information services	D64T66: Financial and insurance activities
D68: Real estate activities	D69T75: Professional, scientific and technical activities	D77T82: Administrative and support services
D84: Public administration and defense; compulsory social security	D85: Education	D86T88: Human health and social work activities
D90T93: Arts, entertainment and recreation	D94T96: Other service activities	D97T98: Activities of households as employers; undifferentiated goods- and services-producing activities of households for own use

7.2 Spectral influence evolution by industrial poles




Figure 18: Evolution of the spectral radius of Moroccan industrial poles.




Figure 20: Evolution of the spectral radius of Moroccan industrial poles-bis.

References

- [ACOTS12] Daron Acemoglu, Vasco M. Carvalho, Asuman Ozdaglar, and Alireza Tahbaz-Salehi. The network origins of aggregate fluctuations. *Econometrica*, 80(5):1977–2016, 2012.
- [Bon87] Phillip Bonacich. Power and centrality: A family of measures. *American Journal of Sociology*, 92(5):1170–1182, 1987.
- [DWM02] Jennifer A. Dunne, Richard J. Williams, and Neo D. Martinez. Network structure and biodiversity loss in food webs: robustness increases with connectance. *Ecology Letters*, 5(4):558–567, 2002.
- [FLSZ21] Tianlong Fan, Linyuan Lü, Dinghua Shi, and Tao Zhou. Characterizing cycle structure in complex networks. *Communications Physics*, 4, 2021.
- [Fre78] Linton C. Freeman. Centrality in social networks conceptual clarification. *Social Networks*, 1(3):215–239, 1978.
- [GAZ09] B.N. Goldstein, A.M. Aksirov, and D.T. Zakrjevskaya. A new kinetic model for biochemical oscillations: Graph-theoretical analysis. *Biophysical Chemistry*, 145(2):111–115, 2009.
- [HJ13] Roger A. Horn and Charles R. Johnson. *Matrix Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [HM11] Andrew G. Haldane and Robert M. May. Systemic risk in banking ecosystems. *Nature*, 469:351–355, 2011.
- [JLGA18] J. Yellen J. L. Gross and M. Anderson. *Graph theory and its applications*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2018.
- [Lan72] R. Lantner. L’analyse de la dominance économique. *Revue d’économie politique*, 82(2):216–283, 1972.
- [Luc77] Robert E Lucas. Understanding business cycles. *Carnegie-Rochester Conference Series on Public Policy*, 5:7–29, 1977.
- [New18] Mark Newman. *Networks*. Oxford University Press, 07 2018.
- [OCD21] OCDE. Input-output tables (iots), 2021.
- [PD04] Thomas Petermann and Paolo De Los Rios. Cluster approximations for epidemic processes: a systematic description of correlations beyond the pair level. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 229(1):1–11, 2004.
- [Per63] François Perroux. Les industries motrices et la croissance d’une économie nationale. *L’Actualité économique*, 39(3-4):377–441, 1963.
- [Per69] François Perroux. *L’Économie du XXe siècle*. Presses universitaires de France, 1969.