

# Remarks on Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices

Yuma Mizuno

## Abstract

Nahm sums are specific  $q$ -hypergeometric series associated with symmetric positive definite matrices. In this paper we study Nahm sums associated with symmetrizable matrices. We show that one direction of Nahm's conjecture, which was proven by Calegari, Garoufalidis, and Zagier for the symmetric case, also holds for the symmetrizable case. This asserts that the modularity of a Nahm sum implies that a certain element in a Bloch group associated with the Nahm sum is a torsion element. During the proof, we investigate the radial asymptotics of Nahm sums. Finally, we provide lists of candidates of modular Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices based on numerical experiments.

## 1 Introduction

The Rogers-Ramanujan identities relate infinite sum and infinite product expressions of  $q$ -series:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q, q^4; q^5)_{\infty}}, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_{\infty}}, \quad (1)$$

where  $(x; q)_n := (1-x)(1-qx)\cdots(1-q^{n-1}x)$ ,  $(x; q)_{\infty} := \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (1-q^i x)$ , and  $(x_1, \dots, x_n; q)_{\infty} := (x_1; q)_{\infty} \cdots (x_n; q)_{\infty}$ . One of the remarkable consequences of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities is that the infinite sums in (1), which are  $q$ -hypergeometric series, are also modular functions. Specifically, the vector-valued function on the upper-half plane

$$g(\tau) = \left( q^{-1/60} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n}, \quad q^{11/60} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_n} \right)^{\top}$$

with  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$  has the following transformation formulas:

$$g(\tau + 1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{60}^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{60}^{11} \end{pmatrix} g(\tau), \quad g\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{2\pi}{5} & \sin \frac{\pi}{5} \\ \sin \frac{\pi}{5} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{5} \end{pmatrix} g(z).$$

where  $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$ .

In the study of rational conformal field theories, Nahm [14] considered a higher rank generalization of the infinite sums appearing in the Rogers-Ramanujan identities (1), which we call *Nahm sum*. Let  $N$  be a natural number, and suppose that  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{N \times N}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix,  $B \in \mathbb{Q}^N$  is a vector, and  $C \in \mathbb{Q}$  is a scalar. The Nahm sum is defined by

$$f_{A,b,c}(q) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^{\top} A n + n^{\top} b + c}}{(q; q)_{n_1} \cdots (q; q)_{n_N}}. \quad (2)$$

In general, Nahm sums rarely have modularity. However, as discussed in [14], a character in rational conformal field theories is often expressed as a Nahm sum and also possess modularity. Motivated by such situations, Nahm conjectured that the modularity of Nahm sums are related

to torsion elements of the Bloch group. Let us explain the conjecture in a little more detail. The matrix  $A$  determines the *Nahm's equation*

$$1 - z_i = \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{A_{ij}}, \quad (3)$$

and each solution of this equation determines an element in the Bloch group  $B(F)$ :

$$\xi_A := \sum_{i=1}^N [z_i], \quad (4)$$

where  $F$  is the number field generated by  $z_1, \dots, z_N$ . The *Nahm's conjecture* states that, for any  $A$ , the Nahm sum  $f_{A,B,C}(q)$  is modular for some  $B, C$  if and only if  $\xi_A$  is a torsion in  $B(F)$  for any solution  $z_i$  [14, 22]. Although this conjecture itself is known to be false [21, 22], Calegari, Garoufalidis, and Zagier [2] proved one direction of Nahm's conjecture: If the Nahm sum  $f_{A,B,C}(q)$  is modular, then the element (4) associated with the solution of Nahm's equation (3) in the real interval  $(0, 1)^N$  is a torsion. They construct a map

$$R_\zeta : B(F)/mB(F) \rightarrow F_m^\times / F_m^{\times m}$$

where  $m$  is an integer,  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity, and  $F_m = F(\zeta)$ , and they show that this map is injective for sufficiently many  $m$ . The one direction of Nahm's conjecture follows from this injectivity result combined with the formula of the asymptotic expansion of Nahm sums given in [5].

In this paper, we study Nahm sums associated with symmetrizable matrices, which has the following form:

$$f_{A,b,c,d}(q) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n^\top A D n + n^\top b + c}}{(q^{d_1}; q^{d_1})_{n_1} \cdots (q^{d_N}; q^{d_N})_{n_N}}. \quad (5)$$

We have a new input  $d = (d_1, \dots, d_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^N$ , and the symmetric matrix  $A$  in the original Nahm sum (2) is replaced by a symmetrizable matrix  $A$  with the symmetrizer  $D := \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_N)$ . Specifically, in (5), we require that  $AD$  is symmetric positive definite rather than  $A$  itself.

Prototypical examples of this type of Nahm sums appear in the Kanade-Russell conjecture [9] (in the form by Kurşungöz [12]), which gives the mod 9 version of the Rogers-Ramanujan type identities. The conjecture predicts the following  $q$ -series identities:

$$f_1(q) := \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + 3n_1 n_2 + 3n_2^2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^3; q^3)_{n_2}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{(q, q^3, q^6, q^8; q^9)_\infty}, \quad (6)$$

$$f_2(q) := \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + 3n_1 n_2 + 3n_2^2 + n_1 + 3n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^3; q^3)_{n_2}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3, q^6, q^7; q^9)_\infty}, \quad (7)$$

$$f_3(q) := \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{n_1^2 + 3n_1 n_2 + 3n_2^2 + 2n_1 + 3n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^3; q^3)_{n_2}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{1}{(q^3, q^4, q^5, q^6; q^9)_\infty}. \quad (8)$$

The Nahm sums in the left-hand sides are given by the matrix  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$  with the symmetrizer  $D = \text{diag}(1, 3)$ . The three linear terms are given by  $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ ,  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , and  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$ , respectively. Assuming these identities (6)–(8), we see that the vector-valued function

$$g(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} q^{-1/18} f_1(q) \\ q^{5/18} f_2(q) \\ q^{11/18} f_3(q) \end{pmatrix}$$

satisfies the following modular transformation formula:

$$g(\tau + 1) = \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{18}^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \zeta_{18}^5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \zeta_{18}^{11} \end{pmatrix} g(\tau), \quad g\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_4 \\ \alpha_2 & -\alpha_4 & -\alpha_1 \\ \alpha_4 & -\alpha_1 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix} g\left(\frac{\tau}{3}\right) \quad (9)$$

where  $\alpha_k = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3} \sin \frac{k\pi}{9}}$ . The formula (9) implies that  $g(\tau)$  is a vector-valued modular function on the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(3) := \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \mid c \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \right\} \subseteq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices also appear in various areas of mathematics, such as partition identities [10, 11, 12, 20], character formulas of principal subspaces for affine Lie algebras of twisted type [1, 6, 15, 16, 17], and  $Y$ -systems on cluster algebras associated with skew-symmetrizable matrices [13].

The purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that the theory of Nahm sums for symmetric matrices can be similarly applied to Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices. In section 3.2, we see that one direction of Nahm's conjecture, proved by Calegari, Garoufalidis, and Zagier in [2] for the symmetric case, also holds for symmetrizable case (Theorem 3.3). As with the symmetric case, the proof uses the injectivity of the map  $R_\zeta : B(F)/mB(F) \rightarrow F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m}$ . Assuming the injectivity result, the main step of the proof is to study the asymptotic behavior of the Nahm sum at roots of unity, which is given in Section 2. We provide the explicit formula for the asymptotic expansion of Nahm sums, which is a generalization of the symmetric case given in [5]. We also need some number-theoretic properties of the constant term in the asymptotic expansion, which are provided in Proposition 3.2. The main ingredient in the constant term is the *cyclic quantum dilogarithm function*. In Appendix A, we provide some properties of the cyclic quantum dilogarithm function that we use in the proof of Proposition 3.2. Finally, in Section 4, we present some experiments on modular Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices. We use a numerical method from [22] to search for modular Nahm sum for rank 2 and rank 3 cases. The resulting lists of modular candidates are given in Table 1 for rank 2 case, and Table 2 and 3 for rank 3 case. For rank 2 we might expect that Table 1, together with symmetric case in [22, Table 2], exhausts all modular Nahm sums. In addition, many candidates in Table 1 are already known in the literature, and some of them are known to be modular. For rank 3, however, the number of modular candidates explodes. We should emphasize that Table 2 and 3 are just the tip of the iceberg. Providing a complete picture and a systematic understanding are left as a future task. The only observation on the rank 3 lists in this paper is that there seem to be ‘‘Langlands dual’’ pairs of modular Nahm sums. As an example, we verify numerically that two Nahm sums associated with  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A^\vee = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , which are related by taking the transpose, are related by the modular  $\tilde{S}$ -transformation.

*Acknowledgment.* The author would like to thank Shunsuke Tsuchioka for fruitful discussions and for pointing out the identity (49). This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number JP21J00050.

## 2 Asymptotics of Nahm sums

Let  $N$  be a natural number. Suppose that  $Q = (A, b, c, d)$  is a quadruple where  $A \in \mathbb{Q}^{N \times N}$  is a matrix,  $b \in \mathbb{Q}^N$  is a vector,  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  is a scalar, and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  is a vector, such that  $AD$  is symmetric positive definite where  $D = \mathrm{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_N)$ . The *Nahm sum* associated with  $Q$  is a  $q$ -series defined by

$$f_Q(q) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^N} \frac{q^{Q(n)}}{(q^{d_1}; q^{d_1})_{n_1} \cdots (q^{d_N}; q^{d_N})_{n_N}} \quad (10)$$

where we denote by, abuse of notation,

$$Q(n) = \frac{1}{2}n^\top ADn + n^\top b + c \quad (11)$$

the quadratic form associated with  $Q = (A, b, c, d)$ . We say that  $Q$  is *symmetric* if  $d_i = 1$  for any  $i$ . We also use the term *symmetrizable* to mean not necessarily symmetric.

## 2.1 Asymptotics at roots of unity

In this section, we study the asymptotics of Nahm sums  $f_Q(q)$  at roots of unity. We think  $f_Q(q)$  as a function on the upper half-plane by setting  $q^\lambda = e^{2\pi i\tau\lambda}$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\tau \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\Im\tau > 0$ . We will consider the limit where  $\tau$  tends to a rational number from above in the complex plane.

Let  $(z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$  be the unique solution of the *Nahm's equation*

$$1 - z_i = \prod_{j=1}^N z_j^{A_{ij}} \quad (12)$$

such that  $0 < z_i < 1$  for any  $i$ . In fact, the existence and the uniqueness are proved in the same way as in the symmetric case (see e.g. [21, Lemma 2.1]) by using the fact that  $AD$  is positive definite. We define a real number

$$\Lambda = - \sum_{i=1}^N d_i^{-1} L(z_i) \quad (13)$$

where

$$L(z) = \text{Li}_2(z) + \frac{1}{2} \log(z) \log(1-z) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} \quad (14)$$

is the Rogers dilogarithm function shifted by the constant  $\pi^2/6$  so that  $L(1) = 0$ .

For an invertible matrix  $A$  and an analytic function  $f(x) = f(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ , we consider the formal Gaussian integral:

$$\mathbf{I}_A[f] = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top Ax} f(x) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{1}{2}x^\top Ax} dx}. \quad (15)$$

For a positive integer  $m$ , an  $m$ th root of unity  $\zeta$ , and a complex number  $w$  with  $|w| < 1$ , we define a formal power series

$$\psi_{w,\zeta}(\nu, \varepsilon) = - \sum_{r \geq 2} \sum_{t=1}^m \left( B_r \left( 1 - \frac{t+\nu}{m} \right) - \delta_{2,r} \frac{\nu^2}{m^2} \right) \text{Li}_{2-r}(\zeta^t w) \frac{\varepsilon^{r-1}}{r!}, \quad (16)$$

where  $B_r(x)$  is the Bernoulli polynomial of degree  $r$  defined by  $te^{tx}/(e^t - 1) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} B_r(x)t^r/r!$ , and  $\text{Li}_r(w) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} w^k/k^r$  is the polylogarithm function. We then consider the formal Gaussian integral

$$I_{Q,\zeta}(k, \varepsilon) = \mathbf{I}_{\frac{1}{m}\tilde{A}D} \left[ e^{-\frac{1}{m}x^\top b\varepsilon^{1/2} - \frac{1}{m}(c + \frac{\text{tr}D}{24})\varepsilon} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp\left( \psi_{\zeta_i^{k_i} z_i^{\frac{1}{m}}, \zeta_i}(x_i \varepsilon^{-1/2}, d_i \varepsilon) \right) \right], \quad (17)$$

for any  $k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N$  where

$$\tilde{A} = A + \text{diag}(z/(1-z)) \quad (18)$$

and  $\zeta_i = \zeta^{d_i}$ . The expression (17) has a well-defined meaning as a formal power series in  $\mathbb{C}[[\varepsilon]]$ .

For any coprime integers  $p$  and  $q$ , we define the *dedekind sum* by

$$s(p, q) := \sum_{r \bmod q} \left( \left( \frac{r}{q} \right) \right) \left( \left( \frac{pr}{q} \right) \right) \quad (19)$$

where we use the saw wave function defined by

$$((x)) = \begin{cases} x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \notin \mathbb{Z} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

For example, we have  $s(1, m) = \frac{(m-1)(m-2)}{12m}$ .

We say that a positive integer  $\delta$  is a *strong denominator* of  $Q$  if the value of  $Q(k)$  modulo 1 for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^N$  depends only on the residue class of  $k$  modulo  $\delta$ . We define the quadratic Gauss sum

$$G(Q, \alpha) = \frac{1}{\delta^N} \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/\delta\mathbb{Z})^N} \mathbf{e}(\bar{\alpha}Q(k)) \quad (21)$$

where  $\mathbf{e}(x) = e^{2\pi i x}$ ,  $\bar{\alpha}$  is the reduction of  $\alpha$  modulo  $\delta$ , and  $\delta$  is a chosen strong denominator of  $Q$ . The  $G(Q, \alpha)$  does not depend on the choice of  $\delta$ .

Let  $\tilde{f}_Q(\tau) = f_Q(e^{2\pi i \tau})$ . We now have the following asymptotic formula for the Nahm sum, whose proof is obtained as a minor modification of the proof for the symmetric case in [5].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $Q = (A, b, c, d)$  be a quadruple as above, and  $\delta$  be a strong denominator of  $Q$ . Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a rational number whose denominator  $m$  is prime to  $\delta$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_N$ . Let  $\zeta = \mathbf{e}(\alpha)$  be the corresponding  $m$ th root of unity. Let  $\theta_i$  be the  $m$ th root of  $z_i$  such that  $\theta_i \in (0, 1)$ , where  $(z_1, \dots, z_N)$  is the unique solution of the Nahm's equation (12) such that  $z_i \in (0, 1)$ . Then we have the asymptotic formula*

$$e^{-\frac{\Lambda}{m\varepsilon}} \tilde{f}_Q\left(\alpha + \frac{i\varepsilon}{2\pi m}\right) \sim m^{-\frac{N}{2}} \chi(d, \alpha) c(Q) G(Q, \alpha) S_{Q, \zeta}(\varepsilon) \quad (22)$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0 from the right-half in the complex plane. Here  $\chi(d, \alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^N \chi_i$  is the product of the 12th root of  $\zeta$  defined by  $\chi_i = \mathbf{e}(s(p_i, q_i)/2)$  where  $p_i/q_i = \alpha d_i$ ,

$$c(Q) = (\det \tilde{A})^{-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{b_i/d_i} (1 - z_i)^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{m}}, \quad (23)$$

and

$$S_{Q, \zeta}(\varepsilon) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N D_{\zeta_i}(\zeta_i \theta_i)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k)}} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{(k^\top A)_i}}{(\zeta_i \theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i}} \right) I_{Q, \zeta}(k, \varepsilon), \quad (24)$$

where  $D_\zeta(x)$  is the cyclic quantum dilogarithm function defined by (55), and  $\overline{Q(k)}$  is the reduction of  $Q(k)$  modulo  $m$ .

**Remark 2.2.** We have corrected the following errors in [5]: (a) the sign of  $-\frac{1}{m} x^\top b \varepsilon^{1/2}$  in (17), (b) the missing term  $\frac{\text{tr} D}{24}$  (which reduces  $\frac{N}{24}$  for symmetric case) in (17), (c) the absence of the Dedekind sum in  $\chi(d, \alpha)$ .

**Remark 2.3.** When  $\alpha = 0$ , the asymptotic formula for symmetrizable Nahm sums was previously obtained in [8].

### 3 Nahm sums and Bloch groups

#### 3.1 Bloch groups

Let  $F$  be a number field. We denote by  $Z(F)$  the (additive) free abelian group on  $\mathbb{P}^1(F) = F \sqcup \{\infty\}$ . We denote by  $[X] \in Z(F)$  the element corresponding to  $X \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$ . The *Bloch group* of  $F$  is the quotient

$$B(F) = A(F)/C(F) \quad (25)$$

where  $A(F)$  is the kernel of the map

$$d : Z(F) \rightarrow \wedge^2 F^\times, \quad [X] \rightarrow X \wedge (1 - X) \quad (26)$$

(and  $[0], [1], [\infty] \mapsto 0$ ), and  $C(F) \subseteq A(F)$  is the subgroup generated by the *five-term relation*

$$[X] - [Y] + \left[ \frac{Y}{X} \right] - \left[ \frac{1 - X^{-1}}{1 - Y^{-1}} \right] + \left[ \frac{1 - X}{1 - Y} \right] \quad (27)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathbb{P}^1(F)$  except where  $\frac{0}{0}$  or  $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$  appears in (27). There are several conventions for the definition of the Bloch group, which agree up to 6-torsion. We use the definition in [2].

Let  $F_m$  be a field obtained by adjoining to  $F$  a primitive  $m$ th root of unity  $\zeta = \zeta_m$ . The extension  $F_m/F$  is Galois, and we have an injective morphism

$$\chi : \text{Gal}(F_m/F) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$$

determined by  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi(\sigma)}$  for any  $\sigma$ . The group  $F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m}$  is equipped with the (multiplicative)  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ -module structure given by  $k \mapsto (x \mapsto x^k)$ . We define the  $\chi^{-1}$ -eigenspace by

$$(F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m})^{\chi^{-1}} := \{x \in F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m} \mid \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_m/F), \sigma(x) = x^{\chi(\sigma)^{-1}}\}.$$

For a number field  $F$  that does not contain any non-trivial  $m$ th root of unity, Calegari-Garoufalidis-Zagier [2] defined a map

$$R_\zeta : B(F)/nB(F) \rightarrow F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m} \quad (28)$$

such that its image is contained in the  $\chi^{-1}$ -eigenspace. This map is defined by using cyclic dilogarithm function (55). They prove the following injectivity result:

**Theorem 3.1.** [2, Theorem 1.2] *Let  $F$  be a number field. There exists a integer  $M$  (depending only on  $F$ ) such that, for any integer  $m$  prime to  $M$  and any  $m$ th root of unity  $\zeta$ , the map  $R_\zeta$  is injective.*

The proof in [2] uses the compatibility between  $R_\zeta$  and a Chern class map in algebraic  $K$ -theory, and the injectivity of this Chern class map.

#### 3.2 Nahm's conjecture for symmetrizable case

We first observe number-theoretic features of the constant term in the asymptotic expansion (22). Let  $Q = (A, b, c, d)$  be a quadruple as in Section 2. We fix a strong denominator  $\delta$  of  $Q$  such that  $\delta d_i^{-1} b_i$  and  $\delta d_i^{-1} A_{ij}$  are integers for any  $i, j$ . Let  $F$  be a number field obtained by adjoining  $z_1^{1/\delta}, \dots, z_N^{1/\delta}$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$ , where  $z_i$  is the solution of the Nahm's equation (12) such that

$0 < z_i < 1$ . Let  $m$  be an integer prime to  $\delta$  and  $d_1, \dots, d_N$ . Recall that  $F_m$  is a field obtained by adjoining to  $F$  a primitive  $m$ th root of unity  $\zeta$ . We define a number

$$u = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{b_i/d_i} (1 - z_i)^{-\frac{1}{m}} D_{\zeta_i}(\zeta_i \theta_i)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right) \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k)}} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{(k^\top A)_i}}{(\zeta_i \theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i}} \quad (29)$$

which is a part of the constant term in the asymptotic formula (22). We see that the  $m$ th power of  $u$  satisfies the following properties, which is stated (without a proof) in [2, Theorem 7.1] for the symmetric case. We will provide the proof for the sake of completeness.

**Proposition 3.2.** *We have  $u^m \in F_m$ . Moreover, if  $u^m \neq 0$ , then its image in  $F_m^\times / F_m^{\times m}$  belongs to the  $\chi^{-1}$ -eigenspace.*

*Proof.* We first see that  $u$  can be also written as

$$u = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \theta_i^{b_i/d_i} D_{\zeta_i}(\theta_i)^{-\frac{1}{m}} \right) a_\zeta(\theta)$$

where we define

$$a_\zeta(\theta) = \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k)}} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{(k^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i+1}}. \quad (30)$$

This follows from the formula

$$\frac{D_\zeta(\zeta x)}{D_\zeta(x)} = \frac{(1-x)^m}{1-x^m}. \quad (31)$$

Also note that the summand in (30) is well-defined over the set  $(\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N$ , which follows from the fact that  $\theta_i^m$  is a solution of the Nahm's equation (12) (see Lemma 3.1 in [4]).

Let  $H$  be the Kummer extension of  $F_m$  obtained by adjoining the  $m$ th roots of  $z_1^{1/\delta}, \dots, z_N^{1/\delta}$  to  $F_m$ . We see that  $u^m \in H$  by definition. We now see that  $u^m$  belongs to the smaller field  $F_m$  by showing that it is fixed by any automorphism  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(H/F_m)$ . Let  $e_i$  be integers such that  $\zeta_i^{e_i} = \tau(\theta_i)/\theta_i$ . Then we see that

$$\tau \left( \prod_{i=1}^N D_{\zeta_i}(\theta_i)^{-1} \right) = \prod_{i=1}^N D_{\zeta_i}(\theta_i)^{-1} \frac{\theta_i^{m(e^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{e_i}^m} \quad (32)$$

by repeatedly using (31) and also using the fact that  $\theta_i^m$  is a solution of the Nahm's equation. We also see that

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{m(e^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{e_i}^m} \right) \cdot \tau(a_\zeta(\theta)^m) = \left( \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k)}} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{((k+e)^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i+e_i+1}} \right)^m = a_\zeta(\theta)^m. \quad (33)$$

The equations (32) and (33) imply that  $\tau(u^m) = u^m$ .

Now we assume that  $u^m \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(F_m/F)$ . Let  $q = \chi(\sigma) \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , and let  $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be a lift of  $q^{-1}$ . Let  $\tilde{\sigma} \in \text{Gal}(H/F)$  be a lift of  $\sigma$ , and define  $\tilde{\theta}_i := \tilde{\sigma}(\theta_i)$ . Let  $s_i$  be integers such that  $\zeta_i^{s_i} = \tilde{\theta}_i/\theta_i$ . We define an element

$$v = \left( \prod_{i=1}^N h_i \right) \cdot \frac{a_{\zeta^q}(\tilde{\theta})}{a_\zeta(\theta)^p} \in H^\times \quad (34)$$

where

$$h_i = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i^{b_i/d_i}}{\theta_i^{b_i p/d_i}} \cdot \frac{\theta_i^{p(s^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i^q)_{ps_i}} \cdot \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{qt}} \in H^\times. \quad (35)$$

Then we have  $v^m \equiv \sigma(u^m)/u^{mp} \pmod{F_m^{\times m}}$  by Proposition A.1 in Appendix A. Thus, to prove the proposition, it suffices to see that  $v \in F_m$  by showing that  $v$  is fixed by any automorphism  $\tau \in \text{Gal}(H/F_m)$ . Again, let  $e_i$  be integers such that  $\zeta_i^{e_i} = \tau(\theta_i)/\theta_i$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\tau(h_i)}{h_i} &= \zeta^{ps^\top A D e + be^\top - pbe^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)^{p_{e_i}} (\theta_i; \zeta_i^q)_{ps_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i^q)_{pe_i} (\zeta^{e_i} \theta_i; \zeta_i^q)_{ps_i}} \\ &= \zeta^{ps^\top A D e + be^\top - pbe^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)^{p_{e_i}}}{(\tilde{\theta}_i; \zeta_i^q)_{pe_i}} \\ &= \zeta^{be^\top - pbe^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i^{p(e^\top A)_i} (\theta_i; \zeta_i)^{p_{e_i}}}{\theta_i^{p(e^\top A)_i} (\tilde{\theta}_i; \zeta_i^q)_{pe_i}}, \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where we use (59) in the first equality. We now compute

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{be^\top} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i^{p(e^\top A)_i}}{(\tilde{\theta}_i; \zeta_i^q)_{pe_i}} \right) \tau(a_{\zeta^q}(\tilde{\theta})) &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{q\overline{Q(pk)} + pk^\top A D e + be^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i^{p((k+e)^\top A)_i}}{(\tilde{\theta}_i; \zeta_i^q)_{p(k_i+e_i)+1}} \\ &= \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{q\overline{Q(p(k-e))} + p(k-e)^\top A D e + be^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\tilde{\theta}_i^{p(k^\top A)_i}}{(\tilde{\theta}_i; \zeta_i^q)_{pk_i+1}} \\ &= \zeta^{-pe^\top A D e} a_{\zeta^q}(\tilde{\theta}). \end{aligned} \quad (37)$$

Similarly, we also compute

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{pbe^\top} \left( \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{p(e^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i^q)^{p_{e_i}}} \right) \tau(a_\zeta(\theta))^p &= \left( \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k)} + k^\top A D e + be^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{((k+e)^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i+e_i+1}} \right)^p \\ &= \left( \sum_{k \in (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^N} \zeta^{\overline{Q(k-e)} + (k-e)^\top A D e + be^\top} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta_i^{(k^\top A)_i}}{(\theta_i; \zeta_i)_{k_i+1}} \right)^p \\ &= \zeta^{-pe^\top A D e} a_\zeta(\theta)^p. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Combining (34)–(38), we see that  $\tau(v) = v$ , which completes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove the one direction of Nahm's conjecture for symmetrizable case. We define an element in the Bloch group  $B(F)$  by

$$\xi_{A,d} = \sum_{i=1}^N d_i^{-1} [z_i].$$

To be precise,  $\xi_{A,d}$  itself does not belong to  $B(F)$ , rather a multiple of  $\xi_{A,d}$  by at least  $\text{lcm}(d_1, \dots, d_N)$  belongs to  $B(F)$ . But we shall not concern ourselves too much with that since we will only care whether  $\xi_{A,d}$  is a torsion or not. Finally, we say that the Nahm sum  $f_{A,b,c,d}(q)$  is modular to mean that the function  $\tilde{f}(\tau) = f_{A,b,c,d}(e^{2\pi i \tau})$  is invariant with respect to some finite index subgroup of  $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** *Suppose that the Nahm sum  $f_Q(q)$  associated with  $Q = (A, b, c, d)$  is modular. Then  $\xi_{A,d}$  is a torsion in the Bloch group  $B(F)$ .*



*Proof.* Suppose that  $\tilde{f}(\tau) = f_{A,b,c,d}(e^{2\pi i\tau})$  is modular with respect to a finite index subgroup  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . We can assume that  $\Gamma$  is contained in the principal congruence subgroup  $\Gamma(M)$  for a fixed integer  $M$  by replacing  $\Gamma$  by its intersection with  $\Gamma(M)$ .

By the asymptotic formula (22) for  $\alpha = 0$ , we have

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{i\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right) = e^{-\frac{\Lambda}{\varepsilon}}(K + O(\varepsilon)) \quad (39)$$

as  $\varepsilon$  tends to 0 from the right, where  $K$  is an algebraic number such that  $K^2 \in F^\times$ . The number  $\lambda = \Lambda/(2\pi)^2$  must be rational since the modularity of  $\tilde{f}(\tau)$  implies that the function  $\tilde{f}(-1/\tau)$  is invariant under some power of  $[\frac{1}{0} \frac{1}{1}]$ . We denote by  $\ell$  the denominator of  $\lambda$ .

For any positive real number  $h$  and any  $[\frac{p}{r} \frac{q}{s}] \in \Gamma$ , taking  $\varepsilon = \frac{sh}{1-irh/2\pi}$ , we find that

$$\tilde{f}\left(\frac{i\varepsilon}{2\pi}\right) = \tilde{f}\left(\frac{pi\varepsilon/2\pi + q}{ri\varepsilon/2\pi + s}\right) = \tilde{f}\left(\alpha + \frac{ih}{2\pi m}\right) \quad (40)$$

where we set  $\alpha = q/s$  and  $m = s$ . Comparing the asymptotics of the left and the right expressions in (40) for  $h$  tending to 0 on the positive real line by using (39) and (22), we have

$$Ke(\lambda r/m) = \mu u_\zeta \quad (41)$$

where  $u_\zeta = u$  is defined by (29), and  $\mu$  is an algebraic number such that  $\mu^{12} \in F_m^\times$ . The equation (41) implies that  $u_\zeta^{12\ell} \in F_m^\times$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is contained in  $\Gamma(M)$ , we see that  $m$  is prime to  $M$ .

Consequently, we see that there are infinitely many  $m$  such that  $u_\zeta^{12\ell}$  for a primitive  $m$ th root of unity  $\zeta$  belongs to  $F_m^\times$ . By Proposition 3.2 and [2, Lemma 2.4 (e) and Remark 2.6], this implies that  $R_\zeta(\xi_{A,d})^{12\ell}$  is trivial in  $F_m^\times/F_m^{\times m}$  for infinitely many  $m$ . Thus, by the injectivity result Theorem 3.1, we see that  $12\ell\xi_{A,d}$  has a trivial image in  $B(F)/mB(F)$  for infinitely many  $m$ . If  $\xi_{A,d}$  is not a torsion, this contradicts the fact that  $B(F)$  is a finitely generated abelian group.  $\square$

## 4 Experiments on modular Nahm sums

In this section, we present some experiments on modular Nahm sums for symmetrizable matrices by using the numerical method explained in [22]. The method aims to detect, for a given  $q$ -series  $f(q)$ , whether  $q^c f(q)$  is likely modular for some  $c$ . The actual procedure is as follows:

- (1) Compute  $\phi(N) = N \log f(e^{-1/N})$  for four successive values (say  $N = 20, 21, 22, 23$ ).
- (2) Take the third difference of the values computed in (1). If this value is extremely small, then the Nahm sum  $f(q)$  is likely modular.

This method is justified by the following reasoning: If  $f(q)$  is modular,  $\phi(N)$  is approximated to high precision by a quadratic polynomial in  $n$  (see [21, Lemma 3.1]), and thus its third difference should be extremely small. For Nahm sums we actually only need three values in the step (1) since we know the constant term of the quadratic polynomial by the formula (13). We use this method to give lists of candidates of modular Nahm sums in rank 2 (Table 1), and rank 3 with specific symmetrizers (Table 2 for  $d = (1, 1, 2)$  and Table 3 for  $d = (2, 2, 1)$ ).

We provide some comments on the lists. The matrix  $A$  in the right table in Table 1 is the inverse of the matrix  $A$  of the same row in the left table. More precisely, we expect that the following holds in general:

**Conjecture 4.1.** *Suppose that the Nahm sum associated with  $(A, b, c, d)$  is modular. Then the Nahm sum associated with  $(A^*, b^*, c^*, d^*)$  is also modular, where*

$$A^* = A^{-1}, \quad b^* = A^{-1}b, \quad c^* = \frac{1}{2}b^\top(AD)^{-1}b - \frac{\mathrm{tr} D}{24} - c, \quad d^* = d.$$

$A$	$b$	$c$	$d$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{48}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{24}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{3}{56}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{56}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{56}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$	$(1, 3)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{18}$	$(1, 3)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{18}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11}{18}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{60}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11}{60}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{-1}{40}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{16}$	$(1, 4)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{16}$	

  

$A$	$b$	$c$	$d$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{12}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{48}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{12}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{14}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{14}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3}{14}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -3/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{8}$	$(1, 3)$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -3 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{9}$	$(1, 3)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{9}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{2}{9}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1 & 3/4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{60}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{15}$	
	$\begin{bmatrix} -3/2 \\ 5/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{41}{40}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$(1, 2)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$	
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{48}$	$(1, 4)$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{23}{48}$	

Table 1: Symmetrizable modular candidates for rank 2.

Next, we provide case-by-case comments on several Nahm sums in Table 1.

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . For  $\sigma = 0, 1$ , we define

$$f_{-3/56, \sigma}(q) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv \sigma \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} \quad (42)$$

$$f_{1/56, \sigma}(q) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv \sigma \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} \quad (43)$$

$$f_{9/56, \sigma}(q) := \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv \sigma \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 + n_1 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} \quad (44)$$

so that  $f_{c,0}(q) + f_{c,1}(q)$  is the Nahm sum associated with  $A$ . We conjecture that the following

modular transformation formula holds:

$$g\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} S & S \\ S & -S \end{pmatrix} g\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \quad (45)$$

where  $g(\tau) = (f_{-3/56,0}(q), f_{1/56,1}(q), f_{9/56,1}(q), f_{-3/56,1}(q), f_{1/56,0}(q), f_{9/56,0}(q))^T$  and

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 & -\alpha_1 & -\alpha_3 \\ \alpha_1 & -\alpha_3 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{7}} \sin \frac{k\pi}{7} \quad (46)$$

•  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The modularity follows from the Kanade-Russell conjecture (6)–(8), as we mentioned in the introduction.

•  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have the following identities:

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}n_1^2 + 4n_1n_2 + 4n_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}, \quad (47)$$

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}n_1^2 + 4n_1n_2 + 4n_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}n_1 + 2n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_n} = \frac{1}{(q^2, q^3; q^5)_\infty}. \quad (48)$$

The second equalities in (47) and (48) are the Rogers-Ramanujan identities. For the first equalities, the author learned the following proof from Shunsuke Tsuchioka. We can verify that the identities

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}n_1^2 + 4n_1n_2 + 4n_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} x^{n_1+2n_2} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_n} x^n \quad (49)$$

holds by showing that both sides satisfy the same  $q$ -difference equation, since the coefficients of  $x^0$  (resp.  $x^n$  for  $n < 0$ ) of the both sides are 1 (resp. 0). The first equalities in (47) and (48) are obtained by substituting  $x$  by 1 and  $q$ , respectively, into (49). In fact, the desired  $q$ -difference equation can be found algorithmically by using the  $q$ -version of Sister Celine's technique (e.g., `qMultiSum` package [18] can handle such computations).

•  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3/2 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . We have the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 - n_1 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} = \frac{(q, q^9, q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty (q^8, q^{12}, q^{20})}{(q; q)_\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 - n_1 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{n_1, n_2 \geq 0 \\ n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}}} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^2, q^8, q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty (q^6, q^{14}, q^{20})}{(q; q)_\infty}, \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1n_2 + n_2^2 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2+n}}{(q; q)_{2n}} = \frac{(q^3, q^7, q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty (q^4, q^{16}, q^{20})}{(q; q)_\infty}, \quad (52)$$

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 1} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{4}n_1^2 + n_1 n_2 + n_2^2 + n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^2; q^2)_{n_2}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{q^{n^2 + 2n}}{(q; q)_{2n+1}} = \frac{(q^4, q^6, q^{10}; q^{10})_{\infty} (q^2, q^{18}, q^{20})_{\infty}}{(q; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (53)$$

The rightmost equalities in (50), (51), (52), and (53) are (99), (98), (94), and (96), respectively, in the Slater's list [19]. Other equalities can be easily verified in the same way as for the left equalities in (47) and (48) explained earlier.

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ . The modularity follows from the Capparelli identities [3] in the form presented in [10, 11]:

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{2n_1^2 + 6n_1 n_2 + 6n_2^2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^3; q^3)_{n_2}} = (-q^2, -q^3, -q^4, -q^6; q^6)_{\infty}.$$

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ . The modularity follows from the Göllnitz-Gordon identities in the form presented by Kurşungöz [11, (21) and (22)]:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}n_1^2 + 4n_1 n_2 + 4n_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}n_1}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^4; q^4)_{n_2}} &= \frac{1}{(q, q^4, q^7; q^8)_{\infty}}, \\ \sum_{n_1, n_2 \geq 0} \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}n_1^2 + 4n_1 n_2 + 4n_2^2 + \frac{3}{2}n_1 + 4n_2}}{(q; q)_{n_1} (q^4; q^4)_{n_2}} &= \frac{1}{(q^3, q^4, q^5; q^8)_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

- $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  or  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -3/2 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . If  $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ , the Nahm sum coincides, up to a multiplication by a product of the dedekind eta function, with a certain character of the integrable highest weight module  $L(2\Lambda_0)$  of the affine Lie algebra of type  $D_3^{(2)}$  or  $D_4^{(3)}$ . Such a character formula was conjectured in [6] and recently proved in [15] for any twisted type affine Lie algebra. Then the modularity follows from the result by Kac and Peterson [7].

Finally, we provide a single comment on Table 2 and 3. There is no apparent relationship between the candidates in these two lists, which are associated with symmetrizers  $d = (1, 1, 2)$  and  $d = (2, 2, 1)$ , except that there are several ‘‘Langlands dual’’ pairs:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix} &\leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}, & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix} &\leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/1 & 1 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ & & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} &\leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

These pairs consist of matrices that are transposed to each other. The second (resp. first) pair consists of the inverses of (resp. the twice the inverse of) the Cartan matrices of type  $B_3$  and  $C_3$ . The third pair is more mysterious. We expect that two Nahm sums for the third pair are related by the modular  $S$ -transformation. More precisely, according to numerical experiments, we conjecture that the following modular transformation formulas hold. For matrices  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A^{\vee} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$  with symmetrizers  $d = (1, 1, 2)$  and  $d^{\vee} = (2, 2, 1)$ , respectively, we define

$A$	$b$	$c$	$A$	$b$	$c$	$A$	$b$	$c$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 4 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{103}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3}{56}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3/2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{56}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{19}{56}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 1 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -3/2 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$0$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3}{56}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{120}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{56}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{19}{56}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{24}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{48}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{24}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{23}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{168}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{168}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1/2 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{18}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{47}{168}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$0$	$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5/2 & 3/2 \\ 2 & 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{143}{168}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{9}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{168}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{18}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{88}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{168}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 8 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{19}{88}$			
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{103}{120}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{35}{88}$			
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 8 & 2 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{168}$						
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{47}{168}$						
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{143}{168}$						

Table 2: Symmetrizable modular candidates with  $d = (1, 1, 2)$ .

$A$	$b$	$c$	$A$	$b$	$c$	$A$	$b$	$c$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{7}{88}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{72}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1/2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 3/2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 3/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{88}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{72}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{88}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{72}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{168}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{88}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{72}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{168}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{3}{56}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 1 & 5/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1/2 & 2 \\ 1/2 & 3/2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{56}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{72}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{56}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{72}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{168}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 4 & 4 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{48}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 3 & 3 \\ 1/2 & 3/2 & 5/2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{40}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3/2 & 2 \\ 3/2 & 5/2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \\ -3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{40}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{9}{56}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 3/2 & 1/2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 3/2 & 1 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3/2 & 2 \\ 3/2 & 5/2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{36}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -3/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11}{36}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ -3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$
$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 & 4 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{23}{36}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{40}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3/2 & 2 \\ 3/2 & 5/2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ -3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$0$		$\begin{bmatrix} 5/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{48}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 5/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$0$		$\begin{bmatrix} 5/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{5}{96}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{49}{120}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$		$\begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{24}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{1}{8}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ -1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{42}$
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \\ 5/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{25}{24}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{7}{32}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$			
$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 2 \\ 4 & 5 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{40}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 4 & 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 & 6 \\ 2 & 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$	$-\frac{1}{60}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 5/2 & 3/2 & 2 \\ 3/2 & 5/2 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
	$\begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{31}{40}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11}{60}$		$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{5}{42}$
							$\begin{bmatrix} 3/2 \\ 5/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$
							$\begin{bmatrix} 5/2 \\ 3/2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17}{42}$

Table 3: Symmetrizable modular candidates with  $d = (2, 2, 1)$ .

vector-valued functions

$$g(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, -5/88; 0}(q) \\ f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, -1/88; 1}(q) \\ f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 7/88; 1}(q) + q f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, 7/88; 1}(q) \\ f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, 19/88; 0}(q) \\ f_{A, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, 35/88; 0}(q) \end{pmatrix}, \quad g^\vee(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, -7/88}(q) \\ f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 25/88}(q) + f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, 25/88}(q) \\ f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, 1/88}(q) \\ f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, 9/88}(q) \\ f_{A^\vee, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, 49/88}(q) \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $f_{A,b,c,d;\sigma}(q)$  for  $\sigma = 0, 1$  is the partial sum of the Nahm sum where the sum is taken over  $n \in \mathbb{N}^3$  such that  $n_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\sigma}$ , and we omit  $d$  and  $d^\vee$  from the notation. Then we have

$$g(\tau + 1) = \text{diag}(\zeta_{88}^{-5}, \zeta_{88}^{43}, \zeta_{88}^{51}, \zeta_{88}^{19}, \zeta_{88}^{35})g(\tau), \quad g^\vee(\tau + 1) = \text{diag}(\zeta_{88}^{-7}, \zeta_{88}^{25}, \zeta_{88}^1, \zeta_{88}^9, \zeta_{88}^{49})g^\vee(\tau),$$

and we conjecture that

$$g\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = S g^\vee\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \quad g^\vee\left(-\frac{1}{\tau}\right) = 2S g\left(\frac{\tau}{2}\right), \quad (54)$$

where

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_5 & \alpha_4 & \alpha_3 & \alpha_2 & \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_1 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_5 & -\alpha_3 \\ \alpha_3 & -\alpha_2 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_5 \\ \alpha_2 & -\alpha_5 & \alpha_1 & \alpha_3 & -\alpha_4 \\ \alpha_1 & -\alpha_3 & \alpha_5 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \alpha_k = \sqrt{\frac{2}{11}} \sin \frac{k\pi}{11}.$$

## A Cyclic quantum dilogarithm function

The *cyclic quantum dilogarithm function* is defined by

$$D_\zeta(x) = \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^t x)^t \quad (55)$$

where  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m$ th root of unity. Noting that the function  $x \mapsto x - \lfloor x \rfloor$  is periodic with period 1, we have the following expression:

$$D_\zeta(x) = \prod_{t \bmod m} (1 - \zeta^t x)^{m(\frac{t}{m} - \lfloor \frac{t}{m} \rfloor)}. \quad (56)$$

**Proposition A.1.** *Let  $p, q$  be integers coprime to  $m$ . Suppose that  $p > 0$  and  $pq \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then we have*

$$\frac{D_\zeta(x)^p}{D_{\zeta^q(x)}^p} = \left( (1 - x^m)^{p-1} \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(x; \zeta)_{\lfloor \frac{mt}{p} \rfloor + 1}} \right)^m. \quad (57)$$

Moreover, we also have

$$\frac{D_\zeta(x)^p}{D_{\zeta^q(x)}^p} \equiv \left( \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(x; \zeta)_{tq}} \right)^m \pmod{(1 - x^m)^m}. \quad (58)$$

*Proof.* We first see that

$$\frac{D_\zeta(x)^p}{D_{\zeta^q}(x)} = \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^t x)^{m \lfloor \frac{tp}{m} \rfloor}$$

since

$$\prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^t x)^{m(\frac{pt}{m} - \lfloor \frac{pt}{m} \rfloor)} = \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^{qt} x)^{m(\frac{pqt}{m} - \lfloor \frac{pqt}{m} \rfloor)} = \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^{qt} x)^t.$$

Let  $N_r$  for  $r = 1, \dots, p$  be the number of integer  $t$  such that  $0 < t < m - 1$  and  $\lfloor \frac{tp}{m} \rfloor \leq r - 1$ . The  $N_r$  is exactly the number of integer  $t$  such that  $0 < t < \frac{mr}{p}$ , and thus we have the following formulas:

$$N_r = \left\lfloor \frac{mr}{p} \right\rfloor \quad \text{for } r = 1, \dots, p-1, \quad N_p = m-1.$$

Now (57) follows from the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{t=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta^t x)^{\lfloor \frac{tp}{m} \rfloor} &= \prod_{r=1}^p \frac{(x; \zeta)_{N_r+1}^{r-1}}{(x; \zeta)_{N_{r-1}+1}^{r-1}} = (x; \zeta)_{N_p+1}^{p-1} \prod_{r=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(x; \zeta)_{N_r+1}} \\ &= (1 - x^m)^{p-1} \prod_{t=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{(x; \zeta)_{\lfloor \frac{mt}{p} \rfloor + 1}}. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (58), it suffices to show that the sets  $M(r)$  and  $M'(r)$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned} M(r) &= \{t \in [1, p-1] \mid \lfloor \frac{mt}{p} \rfloor + 1 = r\} \\ M'(r) &= \{t \in [1, p-1] \mid tq \equiv r \pmod{m}\} \end{aligned}$$

have the same cardinality for all  $r = 1, \dots, m-1$ , where  $[1, p-1] := \{1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$ . The equation  $tq \equiv r \pmod{m}$  holds if and only if  $t \equiv pr \pmod{m}$ . The number of such  $t$  is the same as the number of  $s \in [1, p-1]$  such that  $0 < pr - ms < p$ , which is equivalent to the condition  $\lfloor \frac{ms}{p} \rfloor = r-1$ .  $\square$

We also see that

$$\prod_{t=0}^{p-1} \frac{(x; \zeta)_{tq}}{(\zeta^e x; \zeta)_{tq}} = \prod_{t=0}^{p-1} \frac{(x; \zeta)_e}{(\zeta^{tq} x; \zeta)_e} = \frac{(x; \zeta)_k^e}{(x; \zeta^q)_{pe}}, \quad (59)$$

for any  $e \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where the left-hand side is the ratio of the  $m$ th root of the right-hand side of (58) for  $\zeta^e x$  and  $x$ . The second equality in (59) follows from

$$\prod_{t=0}^{p-1} (\zeta^{tq} x; \zeta)_e = \prod_{i=0}^{e-1} \prod_{t=0}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta^{tq+i} x) = \prod_{t=0}^{pe-1} (1 - \zeta^{tq} x) = (x; \zeta^q)_{pe}.$$

## References

- [1] Marijana Butorac and Christopher Sadowski. Combinatorial bases of principal subspaces of modules for twisted affine Lie algebras of type  $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$ ,  $D_l^{(2)}$ ,  $E_6^{(2)}$  and  $D_4^{(3)}$ . *New York J. Math.*, 25:71–106, 2019.
- [2] Frank Calegari, Stavros Garoufalidis, and Don Zagier. Bloch groups, algebraic K-theory, units, and Nahm's conjecture. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 56(2):383–426, 2023.



- [3] Stefano Capparelli. On some representations of twisted affine Lie algebras and combinatorial identities. *J. Algebra*, 154(2):335–355, 1993.
- [4] Tudor Dimofte and Stavros Garoufalidis. Quantum modularity and complex Chern-Simons theory. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 12(1):1–52, 2018.
- [5] Stavros Garoufalidis and Don Zagier. Asymptotics of Nahm sums at roots of unity. *Ramanujan J.*, 55(1):219–238, 2021.
- [6] Goro Hatayama, Atsuo Kuniba, Masato Okado, Taichiro Takagi, and Zengo Tsuboi. Paths, crystals and fermionic formulae. In *MathPhys odyssey, 2001*, volume 23 of *Prog. Math. Phys.*, pages 205–272. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2002.
- [7] Victor G. Kac and Dale H. Peterson. Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms. *Adv. in Math.*, 53(2):125–264, 1984.
- [8] Shashank Kanade. Searching for modular companions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1902.05199*, 2019.
- [9] Shashank Kanade and Matthew C. Russell. Identityfinder and some new identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Exp. Math.*, 24(4):419–423, 2015.
- [10] Shashank Kanade and Matthew C. Russell. Staircases to analytic sum-sides for many new integer partition identities of Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Electron. J. Comb.*, 26(1):research paper p1.6, 33, 2019.
- [11] Kağan Kurşungöz. Andrews-Gordon type series for Capparelli’s and Göllnitz-Gordon identities. *J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A*, 165:117–138, 2019.
- [12] Kağan Kurşungöz. Andrews-Gordon type series for Kanade-Russell conjectures. *Ann. Comb.*, 23(3-4):835–888, 2019.
- [13] Yuma Mizuno. Difference equations arising from cluster algebras. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, 54(1):295–351, 2021.
- [14] Werner Nahm. Conformal field theory and torsion elements of the Bloch group. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*, pages 67–132. Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [15] Masato Okado and Ryo Takenaka. Parafermionic bases of standard modules for twisted affine lie algebras of type  $A_{2l-1}^{(2)}$ ,  $D_{l+1}^{(2)}$ ,  $E_6^{(2)}$  and  $D_4^{(3)}$ . *Algebr Represent Theor*, 2022.
- [16] Michael Penn and Christopher Sadowski. Vertex-algebraic structure of principal subspaces of basic  $D_4^{(3)}$ -modules. *Ramanujan J.*, 43(3):571–617, 2017.
- [17] Michael Penn and Christopher Sadowski. Vertex-algebraic structure of principal subspaces of the basic modules for twisted affine Lie algebras of type  $A_{2n-1}^{(2)}$ ,  $D_n^{(2)}$ ,  $E_6^{(2)}$ . *J. Algebra*, 496:242–291, 2018.
- [18] Axel Riese. **qMultiSum** – a package for proving  $q$ -hypergeometric multiple summation identities. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 35(3):349–376, 2003.
- [19] Lucy Joan Slater. Further identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan type. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, 54:147–167, 1952.
- [20] Motoki Takigiku and Shunsuke Tsuchioka. Andrews-Gordon type series for the level 5 and 7 standard modules of the affine Lie algebra  $A_2^{(2)}$ . *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 149(7):2763–2776, 2021.
- [21] Masha Vlasenko and Sander Zwegers. Nahm’s conjecture: asymptotic computations and counterexamples. *Commun. Number Theory Phys.*, 5(3):617–642, 2011.
- [22] Don Zagier. The dilogarithm function. In *Frontiers in number theory, physics, and geometry. II*, pages 3–65. Springer, Berlin, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, CHIBA UNIVERSITY, CHIBA 263-8522, JAPAN.  
*E-mail address*, Y. Mizuno: ymizuno@math.s.chiba-u.ac.jp