Correction to: Powers of the vertex cover ideals (Collect. Math. 65 (2014) 169–181).

Danchneg Lu^{1*} and Zexin Wang^{1†}

¹School of Mathematical Science, Soochow University, No. 1 Shizhi Street, Suzhou, 215021, Jiangsu, P.R.China.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): ludancheng@suda.edu.cn; Contributing authors: zexinwang6@outlook.com; †These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

We point out an essential gap in the proof of one of main results in [\[3](#page-4-0)] and then give a corrected proof for it.

Keywords: Cohen-Macaulay, Cactus graph, Weakly polymatroidal, Vertex cover ideal, Power

In [\[3](#page-4-0)] F. Mohammadi succeeded in classifying all the Cohen- Macaulay cactus graphs. Based on this result, he proved in $[3,$ Theorem 4.3 that if G is a Cohen-Macaulay cactus graph then all the powers of the vertex cover ideal $J(G)$ of G are weakly polymatroidal. In this note we illustrate by an example that there exists an essential gap in the proof of [\[3](#page-4-0), Theorem 4.3] and then present a corrected proof for this result.

We adopt the notation from the original paper [\[3\]](#page-4-0), which the reader should have at hand when reading this note. First of all, We explain why some parts of the original proof of [\[3](#page-4-0), Theorem 4.3] is not correct.

There were several cases to consider in the proof. In case 4, it is assumed that $z = y_{i3}$, then one deduces that $\{y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i5}\} \subseteq \text{supp}(g_i)$ for some $1 \leq j \leq k$. Let us see the following example.

Example 1. Let G be a 5-cycle whose vertices are labeled y_1, y_4, y_2, y_3, y_5 in order. Then $\bar{f} = y_1 y_2 y_3^2 y_4 y_5 = (y_1 y_2 y_3)(y_3 y_4 y_5)$ and $g = y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4^2 y_5 = (y_2 y_4 y_5)(y_1 y_3 y_4)$ are minimal monomial generators of $J(G)^2$. We may write $g_1 = y_2y_4y_5$ and $g_2 = y_1y_3y_4$. Note that $\deg_{y_i} f = \deg_{y_i} g$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\deg_{y_3} f > \deg_{y_3} g$. According to the proof of case 4 in [\[3](#page-4-0)], there exists some g_j such that $\{y_1, y_2, y_5\} \subseteq \text{supp}(g_j)$ and so $y_3g/y_5 \in J(G)^2$. However, it is clear that $\{y_1, y_2, y_5\} \nsubseteq {\text{supp}}(g_j)$ for $j = 1, 2$ and $y_3g/y_5 \notin J(G)^2$. Furthermore, since $\frac{g}{y_1y_2y_5}$ does not belong to $J(G)$, it follows that g can not be written as $g = g'_1 g'_2$ such that $g'_i \in J(G)$ for $i = 1, 2$ and $\{y_1, y_2, y_5\} \subseteq$ $supp(g'_i)$ for some *i*.

This example shows the proof of case 4 is not correct. The proof of case 5 is not correct in a similar way. In the sequel, we give revised proofs for cases 4 and 5.

Theorem 1. $([3,$ $([3,$ Theorem 4.3 $])$ Let G be a Cohen-Macaulay cactus graph. Then $J(G)^k$ is weakly polymatroidal for all $k \geq 1$.

Proof. Recall that a cactus graph is a connected graph in which each edge belongs to at most one cycle. As in the original proof of [\[3,](#page-4-0) Theorem 4.3], we may assume that $V(G)$ is the disjoint union of

$$
F_1,\ldots,F_m,G_1,\ldots,G_n,L_1,\ldots,L_t
$$

such that the induced graph of G on F_i is a clique of size 3 or 2, the induced graph of G on G_j is a basic 5-cycle, and L_l is an edge which belongs to a 4-cycle of G for each i, j, l . Here, a 5-cycle of a graph G is basic if it does not contain two adjacent vertices of degree 3 or more in G , see [\[1\]](#page-4-1).

Consider the following ordering of the variables (corresponding to the vertices of $G)$:

$$
x_{11} > \cdots > x_{1k_1} > \cdots > x_{m1} > \cdots > x_{mk_m} > y_{11} > \cdots > y_{15} > \cdots > y_{n1} > \cdots > y_{n5} > z_{11} > z_{12} > \cdots > z_{t1} > z_{t2},
$$

where $F_i = \{x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ik_i}\}, 2 \leq k_i \leq 3$ and $x_{ib_i}, \ldots, x_{ik_i}$ are the free vertices of F_i for all i, and $y_{i1}, y_{i4}, y_{i2}, y_{i3}, y_{i5}$ are the vertices of G_i successively such that the vertices y_{j3}, y_{j4}, y_{j5} are of degree two for all j, and z_{i1}, z_{i2} are the vertices of L_i of degree two for all i. Note that for each $i \in [n]$, each minimal vertex cover C of G contains exactly one of the following subsets:

$$
{y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i5}}, {y_{i2}, y_{i4}, y_{i5}}, {y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i3}}, {y_{i1}, y_{i3}, y_{i4}}, {y_{i2}, y_{i4}, y_{i5}}
$$

Now, let $f = f_1 \cdots f_k$ and $g = g_1 \cdots g_k$ be monomials in the minimal generating set of $J(G)^k$ with $f_s, g_s \in J(G)$ for $1 \leq s \leq k$. Suppose that there exists a variable z such that $\deg_z f = \deg_z g$ for every variable $z' > z$ and $\deg_z f > \deg_z g$. We only need to find a variable $w < z$ such that $zg/w \in J(G)^k$ in the cases where $z = y_{i3}$ and where $z = y_{i4}$ or y_{i5} for some i, which correspond to case 4 and case 5 of the original proof, respectively. To this end, we introduce some additional notation.

Let i be the number mentioned above. First, for each triple ${a, b, c}$ such that ${y_{ia}, y_{ib}, y_{ic}}$ is a minimal vertex cover of G_i , we set

$$
f_{abc} = |\{1 \le s \le k : \{y_{ia}, y_{ib}, y_{ic}\} \subseteq \text{supp}(f_s)\}|
$$

and

$$
g_{abc} = |\{1 \le s \le k : \{y_{ia}, y_{ib}, y_{ic}\} \subseteq \text{supp}(g_s)\}|.
$$

2

Next, we denote by T the induced subgraph of G on the subset $V(G) \setminus \{y_{i3}, y_{i4}, y_{i5}\},\$ and for $a = 1, 2$, we set

 $T_a = \{z \in V(T) \setminus \{y_{i1}, y_{i2}\}\$ there exists a path in T connecting z with $y_{ia}\}.$

Since G is a cactus graph, we have the subsets T_1 , $\{y_{i1}, y_{i2}\}$ and T_2 are pairwise disjoint and $V(T) = T_1 \cup \{y_{i1}, y_{i2}\} \cup T_2$.

Case $z = y_{i3}$: If $g_{125} \neq 0$, there exists $s \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\{y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i5}\} \subseteq$ supp (g_s) . Since the subset $(\text{supp}(g_s) \setminus \{y_{i5}\}) \cup \{y_{i3}\}\$ is again a minimal vertex cover of G, we have $y_{i3}g/y_{i5} \in J(G)^k$ and so we are done. Note that $g_{125} \neq 0$ if $k = 1$, we assume now that $g_{125} = 0$ and $k \geq 2$.

Since $\deg_{y_{ia}} f = \deg_{y_{ia}} g$ for $a = 1, 2$ and $\deg_{y_{i3}} f > \deg_{y_{i3}} g$, we have the following formulas:

•
$$
f_{245} + f_{345} = g_{245} + g_{345};
$$

\n• $f_{134} + f_{345} = g_{134} + g_{345}.$

On the other hand, by combining \bullet and \bullet with the assumption $g_{125} = 0$, we have

$$
f_{134} + f_{123} + f_{345} > g_{134} + g_{123} + g_{345} = f_{134} + f_{123} + f_{125} + g_{345},
$$

and so $f_{345} > f_{125} + g_{345} \ge g_{345}$. From this together with \bullet and \bullet , it follows that $f_{134} < g_{134}$ and $f_{245} < g_{245}$. This particularly implies $g_{134} > 0$ and $g_{245} > 0$, and thus, there exist $p, q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $p \neq q$ such that $\{y_{i2}, y_{i4}, y_{i5}\} \subseteq g_p$ and $\{y_{i1}, y_{i3}, y_{i4}\} \subseteq g_q$. Say $p = 1$ and $q = 2$. To prove $y_{i3}g/y_{i4}$ belongs to $J(G)^k$, we only need to prove that $y_{i3}g_1g_2/y_{i4}$ belongs to $J(G)^2$.

We may write q_1, q_2 as follows:

 $g_1 = y_{i2}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1u_2$ and $g_2 = y_{i1}y_{i3}y_{i4}v_1v_2$,

where $\text{supp}(u_i) \cup \text{supp}(v_i) \subseteq T_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then there are the following decomposition:

 $y_{i3}g_1g_2/y_{i4} = (y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1u_2)(y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i3}v_1v_2) = (y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1v_2)(y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i3}v_1u_2).$

We next check that both $\text{supp}(y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1v_2)$ and $\text{supp}(y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i3}v_1u_2)$ are vertex covers of G. To this end, we denote $V_1 = \text{supp}(y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1v_2)$ and $V_2 = \text{supp}(y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i3}v_1u_2)$. Let $e = \{z_1, z_2\}$ be an edge of G.

If $e \subseteq V(G_i)$, then it is clear that $V_1 \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \cap e \neq \emptyset$.

If $z_1 = y_{i1}$ and $z_2 \in T_1$ then $z_2 \in \text{supp}(u_1) \cap e$. This implies $V_1 \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \cap e \neq \emptyset$; Similarly, we have if $z_1 = y_{i2}$ and $z_2 \in T_2$ then $V_1 \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \cap e \neq \emptyset$.

Finally suppose that $e \cap V(G_i) = \emptyset$. Then either $e \subseteq E(T_1)$ or $e \subseteq E(T_2)$. In the case that $e \subseteq E(T_1)$, we have $supp(u_1) \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $supp(v_1) \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and so $V_1 \cap e \neq \emptyset$ and $V_2 \cap e \neq \emptyset$. The case that $e \subseteq E(T_2)$ can be proved similarly.

Thus, both V_1 and V_2 are vertex covers of G indeed and so $y_{i3}g_1g_2/y_{i4}$ belongs to $J(G)^2$, as required.

Case $z = y_{i4}$ or y_{i5} : Since the case where $z = y_{i5}$ is impossible actually, we only need to consider the case where $z = y_{i4}$. Moreover we may assume that $k \ge 2$ as in the case $z = y_{i3}$. Because $\deg_{y_{ia}} f = \deg_{y_{ia}} g$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$ and $\deg_{y_{i4}} f > \deg_{y_{i4}} g$, we have the following formulas:

We also obtain $f_{245} > g_{245}$ by substituting \bullet into \bullet . From this together with **O** and **O**, it follows that $f_{345} < g_{345}$ and $f_{125} < g_{125}$. In particular, $g_{345} > 0$ and $g_{125} > 0$. Consequently, there exist $p, q \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ such that $\{y_{i3}, y_{i4}, y_{i5}\} \subseteq g_p$ and $\{y_{i1}, y_{i2}, y_{i5}\}\subseteq g_q$. Say $p=1$ and $q=2$. It suffices to prove that the monomial $y_{i4}g_1g_2/y_{i5}$ belongs to $J(G)^2$.

For this, we decompose g_1 and g_2 as follows:

$$
g_1 = y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1u_2
$$
 and $g_2 = y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i5}v_1v_2$,

where $supp(u_i) \cup supp(v_i) \subseteq T_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. This implies

$$
y_{i4}g_1g_2/y_{i5} = (y_{i3}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1u_2)(y_{i1}y_{i2}y_{i4}v_1v_2) = (y_{i2}y_{i4}y_{i5}u_1v_2)(y_{i1}y_{i3}y_{i4}v_1u_2).
$$

We can check that both $\text{supp}(y_i_2y_{i4}y_i_5u_1v_2)$ and $\text{supp}(y_{i1}y_{i3}y_{i4}v_1u_2)$ are vertex covers of G, as in the case where $z = y_{i3}$. Hence $y_{i4}g_{1}g_{2}/y_{i5}$ belongs to $J(G)^{2}$. This finishes the proof. □

Remark 1. The method used in our proof of this note depends heavily on the condition that every edge of G belongs to at most cycle of G . The gap of the original proof in [\[3\]](#page-4-0) was found when we tried to use the idea in the proof to show that $J(G)^k$ is weakly polymatroidal for all $k \geq 1$ if G is a Cohen-Macaulay graph of large girth, whose structure was described explicitly in [\[1](#page-4-1)]. But we failed in this regard and we can only prove in [\[2,](#page-4-2) Proposition 3.6] that $J(G)$ is weakly polymatroidal for such graphs.

4

Declarations

- Funding: This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11971338)
- The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.
- We would like to express our sincere thanks to the referee, whose comments improved the presentation of the paper greatly.

References

- [1] Hoang, D.T., Minh, N.C., Trung, T.N., Cohen-Macaulay graphs with large girth. J. Algebra Appl. 14 (2015), 1550112
- [2] Lu, D., Wang, Z., On powers of cover ideals of graphs. to appear Osaka Journal of mathematics
- [3] Mohammadi, F., Powers of the vertex cover ideals, Collect. Math. 65, 169–181 (2014)