# CryCeleb: A Speaker Verification Dataset Based on Infant Cry Sounds

David Budaghyan<sup>1</sup>, Arsenii Gorin<sup>1</sup>, Cem Subakan<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Charles C. Onu<sup>1,2,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Ubenwa Health, <sup>2</sup>Mila-Quebec AI Institute, <sup>3</sup>Université Laval, <sup>4</sup>Concordia University <sup>5</sup>McGill University

Abstract—This paper describes the Ubenwa CryCeleb dataset - a labeled collection of infant cries, and the accompanying CryCeleb 2023 task - a public speaker verification challenge based on infant cry sounds. We release for academic usage more than 6 hours of manually segmented cry sounds from 786 newborns to encourage research in infant cry analysis.

Index Terms—Infant Cry Analysis, Speaker Verification

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Clinical research on the analysis of infant cries goes back to the 1960s [1]. These days, machine learning techniques are demonstrating promising results in cry-based detection of reasons of cry (hunger, pain, etc) and, more importantly, health pathologies, such as neurological injury [2]–[4].

In many practical applications, a cry analysis system should be able to accurately identify the infant associated with the cry, e.g., monitoring solutions in hospitals or households with multiple babies. Training such a model requires infant cry data, with multiple recordings per infant, labeled with infant identities. Given the complexity of data collection from newborns, such resources are extremely scarce.

In this work, we present the Ubenwa CryCeleb dataset, a first-of-its-kind collection of infant cries labeled with individual infant identities. Comprising 786 infants and 6.5 hours of cry expirations, our aim is to foster research in cry verification and, more broadly, to advance the field of infant cry analysis. The dataset is available online<sup>1</sup> under Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial NoDerivatives 4.0 International license.

## **II. DATA PREPARATION**

The original recordings were made either within an hour of birth or upon discharge from the hospital (typically within 24 hours of birth up to a few days).

The cries were collected by medical personnel using the Ubenwa study application [5] on the Android mobile phone provided for this task. The samples were collected between 2020 and 2022. Each recording was then manually segmented by a human annotator into 'expiration', 'inspiration' or 'no cry present' segments.

The CryCeleb dataset consists solely of the expiration segments, which we refer to as cry sounds. Inspirations (breath) are excluded as they are generally too short, hard to detect, and less likely to convey information about the vocal tract. Also, we manually removed any cry sounds containing personally identifiable information (PII), such as background human speech.

### **III. METADATA AND DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS**

This section summarizes the information about audio files included in the dataset and the associated metadata.

Table I provides general statistics of the dataset.

Number of cry sounds (expirations)	26093
Number of original recordings	1372
Number of infants	786
Total cry time (minutes)	391

TABLE I: Summary statistics.

The audio folder is accompanied by a metadata.csv file with fields summarized in Table II. The 26093 rows of this file provide complete information about the cry audio files.

Field	Description	
baby_id	Unique infant identifier.	
period	Time of recording	
-	('B' for birth or 'D' for discharge).	
duration	Length of cry sound in seconds.	
split	Split for the CryCeleb2023 challenge.	
chronological_index	Chronological ordering of cry sounds	
-	in the original recording.	
file_name	Path to cry sound.	
file_id	Cry sound unique identifier.	

TABLE II: Metadata fields.

Figures 1 and 2 provide some statistics about the cry sounds and infants included in the database. Most of the cry recordings are quite short (0.5 - 1.0 seconds) with only about 0.3% of cry sounds longer than 4 seconds. At the same time, there are multiple cry sounds corresponding to each infant. It should be noted, however, that cry sounds (expirations) collected within one recording period, tend to have similar acoustic characteristics.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>https://huggingface.co/datasets/Ubenwa/CryCeleb2023



Fig. 1: Histogram of cry sound durations.



Fig. 2: Number of infants per number of cry sounds.

#### IV. CRYCELEB 2023 CHALLENGE

CryCeleb 2023 is a machine learning competition where contestants aim to develop a system capable of determining whether two distinct cry recordings originate from the same infant (see Figure 3).



Fig. 3: Cry verification system.

The verification system should be capable of analyzing any pair of cries and assigning a similarity score to determine if the two sounds belong to the same baby, with an ideal system always assigning higher scores to positive pairs (two cry sounds from the same infant) than to negative pairs. The advantage of developing this verification system, as opposed to a classifier for cries, lies in its open-set nature, meaning it's not confined to the infants encountered during training. To enable decision-making, a threshold can be applied to the scores generated by the system. If a score is greater than the threshold, it will indicate that the system accepts the two cries as belonging to the same infant.

Submissions are ranked using the Equal Error Rate (EER). The EER is the point on the ROC curve at which the false acceptance rate (FAR) equals the false rejection rate (FRR). Visually, it's where the ROC curve intersects the y=1-x diagonal. Given a list of scores and the corresponding true labels, one finds the EER by sliding the threshold across the sorted scores until the FAR equals the FRR. The lower the EER, the better.

For the CryCeleb2023 challenge, we have partitioned all infants into three sets: train (586 infants), dev (40 infants), and test (160 infants). All infants in the dev and test sets have recordings from both the birth (B) and discharge (D) periods. This is not true for all infants in the train set.

	Split		
Time(s) of Recording(s)	train	dev	test
Both birth and discharge	348	40	160
Only birth	183	0	0
Only discharge	55	0	0
	586	40	160

TABLE III: Number of infants by split and recording period.

dev\_pairs.csv is the cross-product of the birth and discharge recordings of the dev infants, meaning all possible combinations of birth and discharge recordings are paired together. Participants can validate their verification systems using these labeled pairs. Similarly, test\_pairs.csv is the  $B \times D$  cross product for infants in the test set. These infants are anonymized and the pairs will be used for evaluating submissions.

Split	# of +ive pairs	# of -ive pairs	Total # of pairs
dev	40	1540	1580
test	160	25440	25600

TABLE IV: Number of pairs in dev and test.

Each verification pair in both dev and test sets comprises one birth and one discharge recording. For instance, dev pair XB\_YD represents infant X's birth recording and Y's discharge recording. To calculate the score for this pair, participants must use cry sounds from the folders audio/dev/X/Band audio/dev/Y/D. No other cry sounds are allowed for calculating the score for this pair.

Pairing different recordings rather than cry sounds from the same recording is more representative of real-world applications for such a verification system, which may involve verifying an infant over multiple days. Additionally, we observed that verifying separate segments from the same recording is easier, possibly because an infant exhibits consistent traits within a single crying "episode" but not across different episodes. This indicates that factors beyond the infant's identity can also influence the cry's sound.

It's important to emphasize that the dev and test infants were not chosen randomly. Instead, they were randomly sampled from the top 200 infants with the highest cosine similarities between their birth and discharge embeddings, as calculated using the initial non-fine-tuned baseline model described in Section V and the first row of Table V. We opted for these relatively easier pairs due to the difficulty in recognizing an infant in an unseen recording within this dataset. By selecting easier-to-verify pairs, our aim is to add variance to the leaderboard and make the challenge more engaging.

## V. BASELINE

We consider two baselines based on ECAPA-TDNN [6]. Table V summarizes the performance of two baselines on development and test set and the following section provides some more details about these systems.

First, the "naive" baseline is the model pre-trained using a large adult speaker verification corpus - VoxCeleb [7] without any adaptation on cry data. We refer to the open-source SpeechBrain implementation [8] for further details with the model available in Hugging Face [9]. This model yields 37.92% and 38.12% EER on the dev and test pairs respectively.

Second, the VoxCeleb model is fine-tuned on CryCeleb's training data, specifically focusing on the 348 infants with both birth and discharge recordings (Table III, top left). By limiting the dataset to this subset, we can train the model on all birth recordings while reserving the discharge recordings for validation. This approach enables us to assess the model's ability to generalize patterns learned from birth recordings to discharge recordings, in some sense simulating the verification setting. Alternatively, we could have fine-tuned the model on both birth and discharge recordings from the 348 infants or even expanded it to all recordings from the 586 train infants. The former option introduces more data but removes the ability to validate the classification performance. The latter allows for even more data, however, it also increases the number of classes, which could hinder the model's learning.

The model is trained for 950 epochs using 3-5 second random chunks from concatenated cry sounds at each iteration. The best 5 epochs, determined by validation accuracy, are saved, and these 5 checkpoints are then evaluated on the dev pairs using the EER (verification task). The checkpoint with the lowest EER is chosen as our final fine-tuned model. The fine-tuned model achieves an EER of 22.50% on the dev set and 29.37% on the test set. It is open-sourced<sup>2</sup> along with code<sup>3</sup> that can be used to reproduce these results.

ECAPA-TDNN baseline	Dev	Test
VoxCeleb pre-trained	37.92%	38.12%
Fine-tuned on CrvCeleb	22.50%	29.37%

TABLE V: Performance (EER) of baseline models. First row indicates the performance obtained with the model pre-tained on VoxCeleb and the second row indicates the performance where the first model is fine-tuned on CryCeleb.



Fig. 4: Histogram of scores produced by the naive VoxCeleb ECAPA-TDNN.



Fig. 5: Histogram of scores produced by the VoxCeleb ECAPA-TDNN tuned for infant cry classification.

Figures 4 and 5 present histograms of scores for positive pairs (orange) and negative pairs (blue), with the y-axis normalized separately for each color. The red vertical line indicates the threshold where the EER is achieved.

First, we observe that fine-tuning the ECAPA model leads to improved verification performance, as evidenced by the lower EER and more visually distinct distributions. Second, we notice that the scores for negative pairs in the tuned model form a bell-shaped distribution centered around zero. This is intuitively more reasonable compared to the naive ECAPA model, where the most common score for a negative pair is 0.7.

#### REFERENCES

- O. Wasz-Höckert, K. Michelsson, and J. Lind, "Twenty-five years of scandinavian cry research," *Infant crying: Theoretical and research per*spectives, pp. 83–104, 1985.
- [2] C. Ji et al., "A review of infant cry analysis and classification," EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing, 2021.
- [3] J. J. Parga *et al.*, "Defining and distinguishing infant behavioral states using acoustic cry analysis: is colic painful?" *Pediatric research*, vol. 87, no. 3, 2020.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>https://huggingface.co/Ubenwa/ecapa-voxceleb-ft-cryceleb

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>https://github.com/Ubenwa/cryceleb2023

- [4] A. Gorin, C. Subakan, S. Abdoli, J. Wang, S. Latremouille, and C. C. Onu, "Self-supervised learning for infant cry analysis," in *ICASSP Workshop* SASB. IEEE, 2023.
- [5] C. C. Onu, I. Udeogu, E. Ndiomu, U. Kengni, D. Precup, G. M. Sant'anna, E. Alikor, and P. Opara, "Ubenwa: Cry-based diagnosis of birth asphyxia," 2017.
- [6] B. Desplanques *et al.*, "ECAPA-TDNN: Emphasized channel attention, propagation and aggregation in TDNN based speaker verification," *IN-TERSPEECH*, 2020.
- [7] J. S. Chung, A. Nagrani, and A. Zisserman, "Voxceleb2: Deep speaker recognition," in *INTERSPEECH*, 2018.
- [8] M. Ravanelli et al., "SpeechBrain: A general-purpose speech toolkit," 2021, arXiv:2106.04624.
- [9] "Speechbrain voxceleb model," https://huggingface.co/speechbrain/ spkrec-ecapa-voxceleb, accessed: 2023-04-30.