WAVE FUNCTIONS FOR QUANTUM INTEGRABLE PARTICLE SYSTEMS VIA PARTIAL CONFLUENCES OF MULTIVARIATE HYPERGEOMETRIC FUNCTIONS

J.F. VAN DIEJEN AND E. EMSIZ

ABSTRACT. Starting from the hyperoctahedral multivariate hypergeometric function of Heckman and Opdam (associated with the BC_n root system), we arrive—via partial confluent limits in the sense of Oshima and Shimeno—at solutions of the eigenvalue equations for the Toda chain with one-sided boundary perturbations of Pöschl-Teller type and for the hyperbolic Calogero-Sutherland system in a Morse potential. With the aid of corresponding degenerations of the (bispectral dual) difference equations for the Heckman-Opdam hyperoctahedral hypergeometric function, it is deduced that the eigensolutions in question are holomorphic in the spectral variable.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note we construct analytic solutions of the eigenvalue equations for two fundamental quantum integrable particle models, viz. the quantum Toda Hamiltonian with one-sided boundary perturbations of Pöschl-Teller type

$$L_x^{t} = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - \sum_{1 \le j < n} a_j e^{-x_j + x_{j+1}} - a_{n-1} e^{-x_{n-1} - x_n}$$
(1.1)
$$- \frac{\frac{1}{4} g_S(g_S + 2g_L - 1)}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}(x_n)} - \frac{g_L(g_L - 1)}{\sinh^2(x_n)}$$

and the hyperbolic quantum Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian in a Morse potential

$$L_x^{\rm cs} = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - g_S e^{-x_j} - a_n e^{-2x_j} \right) - \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \frac{\frac{1}{2} g_M(g_M - 1)}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2} (x_j - x_k)}.$$
 (1.2)

In the corresponding stationary Schrödinger equations the variables x_1, \ldots, x_n represent particle positions along the line and the (possibly complex) constants g_S, g_M, g_L and a_1, \ldots, a_n denote coupling parameters regulating the strengths of the interactions.

The Toda Hamiltonian L_x^t (1.1) was first introduced at the level of classical mechanics by Inozemtsev [I89], who constructed a Lax-pair representation for the

Date: January 2018.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 35Q40; Secondary: 33C67, 35C05, 35P10, 39A14, 81Q80, 81R12.

Key words and phrases. quantum integrable particle models, stationary Schrödinger equation, analytic solutions, difference equations in the spectral variable, Calogero-Sutherland system, Toda chain, Pöschl-Teller potential, Morse potential, multivariate (confluent) hypergeometric functions, Whittaker functions, hyperoctahedral symmetry.

This work was supported in part by the Fondo Nacional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico (FONDECYT) Grants # 1170179 and # 1181046.

corresponding Hamilton flow. The question of its complete integrability was addressed within the framework of the Yang-Baxter equation in Refs. [KJC95, K97] (at the classical level) and in Ref. [T98] (at the quantum level). Explicit partial differential operators generating a complete algebra of commuting quantum integrals for L_x^t (1.1) were found by Oshima [O07], whereas in Ref. [GLO11] a conjectural formula for the eigensolutions was proposed in the form of an integral representation.

For special couplings, the classical dynamics of the Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian with a Morse potential was studied by M. Adler [A77], who determined the complete integrability and the scattering behavior of this particle system by means of a Lax-pair representation. For general couplings, the complete integrability of L_x^{cs} (1.2) was deduced at the classical level in Ref. [W84] with the aid of a Lax pair and at the quantum level in Ref. [H09] by means of a recursive construction of the pertinent commuting partial differential operators. Eigenstates in the discrete spectrum of L_x^{cs} (1.2), which become relevant for parameter regimes in which the Morse potential allows for particle binding, were constructed near the ground state in Ref. [IM86] and for arbitrary excitations in Ref. [H09]. In fact, it was recognized in the latter work that the eigenfunctions in question can be understood as a multivariate generalization of classical Bessel polynomials.

Despite all progress reported on these two prominent integrants of the Toda and Calogero-Sutherland integrable families, the challenge of providing a mathematically rigorous construction of the eigensolutions at arbitrary spectral values has withstood time for over three decades now, except in the standard situation of vanishing perturbation parameters (i.e. when $g_S = g_L = a_n = 0$). More specifically, for $g_S = g_L = 0$ the eigenfunctions of L_x^t (1.1) amount to well-studied multivariate Whittaker functions associated with the root system D_n [K79, H82, GW86, BO11, GLO12, R12], while for $g_S = a_n = 0$ the eigenfunctions of L_x^{cs} (1.2) are expressed in terms of the celebrated Heckman-Opdam multivariate hypergeometric function associated with the root system A_{n-1} [HS94, S99, O00, HR15]. Our principal goal here is to construct the corresponding solutions of the eigenvalue equations in the situation of nonvanishing perturbation parameters g_S, g_L, a_n , and to clear up how the presence of the Pöschl-Teller and Morse potentials affects the structure of the multivariate (confluent) hypergeometric functions expressing the wave functions. In a nutshell, while the perturbations under consideration manifestly preserve the $x_n \to -x_n$ reflection symmetry in L_x^t (1.1) and the permutation-symmetry in L_x^{cs} (1.2), with respect to the spectral variables the D_n -symmetry of the Whittaker function and the A_{n-1} -symmetry of the hypergeometric function turn out to arise from breaking a stronger hyperoctahedral symmetry (stemming from the root system BC_n) enjoyed by the wave functions in the presence of the perturbative potentials.

Upon assuming that $a_j \neq 0$ $(j \in \{1, ..., n\})$, we will exploit the translational freedom $x_k \rightarrow x_k + c_j$ for k = 1, ..., j (with $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$), so as to normalize these auxiliary coupling constants from now on at the fixed values

$$a_j \equiv 2 \ (j = 1, \dots, n-1) \text{ and } a_n \equiv \frac{1}{4}$$
 (1.3)

(unless explicitly stated otherwise). It is well-known (cf. e.g. Refs. [IM86, I89, D95, O07] and references therein) that both L_x^t (1.1) and L_x^{cs} (1.2) arise as degenerations of the following hyperoctahedral Calogero-Sutherland Hamiltonian associated with

the root system BC_n [OP83, HS94]:

$$L_x^{\rm bc} = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - \frac{\frac{1}{4} g_S(g_S + 2g_L - 1)}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}(x_j)} - \frac{g_L(g_L - 1)}{\sinh^2(x_j)} \right)$$
(1.4)
$$- \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} g_M(g_M - 1)}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}(x_j + x_k)} + \frac{\frac{1}{2} g_M(g_M - 1)}{\sinh^2 \frac{1}{2}(x_j - x_k)} \right).$$

Indeed, after a translation of the position vector $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ of the form

$$x \to x + c\rho_M, \quad \rho_M = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} (n-j)e_j$$
 (1.5a)

and substituting $g_M \to g_M^{(c)}$ with

$$g_M^{(c)}(g_M^{(c)} - 1) = e^c \quad (g_M^{(c)} > 0),$$
 (1.5b)

the potential of $L_x^{\rm bc}$ (1.4) tends to that of $L_x^{\rm t}$ (1.1), (1.3) for $c \to +\infty$. Similarly, the coordinate translation

$$x \to x + c\rho_L, \quad \rho_L = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} e_j$$
 (1.6a)

in $L_x^{\rm bc}$ (1.4) accompanied by the parameter substitution $g_S \to g_S^{(c)}, g_L \to g_L^{(c)}$ with

$$g_S^{(c)} = 2g_S$$
 and $g_L^{(c)}(g_L^{(c)} - 1) = \frac{e^{2c}}{16}$ $(g_L^{(c)} > 0),$ (1.6b)

leads one to recover L_x^{cs} (1.2), (1.3) for $c \to +\infty$. (Here the vectors e_1, \ldots, e_n refer to the standard unit basis of \mathbb{C}^n .)

The eigenfunctions of $L_x^{\rm bc}$ (1.4) are moreover known to be expressible in terms of the hyperoctahedral hypergeometric function of Heckman and Opdam associated with the root system BC_n [HS94, O00]. Following a recent approach due to Oshima and Shimeno [S08, OS10], we will infer that the eigensolutions for L_x^t (1.1) and $L_x^{\rm cs}$ (1.2) can be retrieved rigorously from this multivariate hypergeometric function via partial confluences that amount to a careful lift of the limit transitions $L_x^{\rm bc} \to L_x^{\rm t}$ and $L_x^{\rm bc} \to L_x^{\rm cs}$ to the level of the eigensolutions. For the special values of the spectral variable that parametrize the eigenstates in the discrete spectrum, the hyperoctahedral hypergeometric series truncates and become multivariate Jacobi polynomials [HS94, O00, F10, HL10]. The corresponding limit transition from these Jacobi polynomial eigenfunctions of $L_x^{\rm bc}$ (1.4) to the multivariate Bessel polynomial eigenfunctions of L_x^{cs} (1.2) [HL10] was already used by Hallnäs to find the above-mentioned discrete spectrum eigenstates of L_r^{cs} [H09]. From this perspective, the bc \rightarrow cs confluence employed here should be viewed as an extension of the limit transition in [H09] to the situation of arbitrary spectral values. Somewhat different hypergeometric confluences have been considered in Refs. [J06, RV04, BO05a, BO05b, RV16], where limit transitions from the hypergeometric eigenfunctions of the hyperbolic quantum Calogero-Sutherland systems to the multivariate Bessel eigenfunctions of the rational quantum Calogero systems were established, and in Ref. [RKV13] where a (dual) limit transition between the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions of types BC_n and A_{n-1} was uncovered. Moreover, in previous work [DE18] we retrieved a one-parameter family of hyperoctahedal Whittaker functions diagonalizing the quantum Toda chain with one-sided boundary perturbations of Morse type [S88] from the BC_n -type Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function, via a confluent limit that combines both the bc \rightarrow t and the bc \rightarrow cs confluences. The latter Whittaker function unifies the ubiquitous B_n -type orthogonal Whittaker function (cf. e.g. [I13, BZ17]) with the C_n -type symplectic Whittaker function, whereas—as emphasized above—here we arrive instead at a two-parameter hypergeometric deformation of the D_n -type orthogonal Whittaker function.

It is known that the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function and its confluent Whittaker simile satisfy a system of bispectrally dual [DG86, G01] difference equations in the spectral variable [R90b, C97, C00, KL01, B03, HR12, S13, K13, BC14, DE15]. These difference equations can be interpreted themselves as eigenvalue equations for a quantum integrable particle system characterized by rational Macdonald-Ruijsenaars type difference operators [R87, D95]. In other words, the multivariate special functions under consideration diagonalize these rational Macdonald-Ruijsenaars type quantum systems upon interchanging the role of the position and spectral variables (cf also [R88, R90a, FK09, P12, P13, F13, FG14, FM17] for corresponding dualities within the realms of classical mechanics). Fitting within this striking bispectral duality picture, the partial hypergeometric confluences give rise to corresponding dual difference equations for the eigensolutions of L_x^t (1.1) and L_x^{cs} (1.2). With the aid of the difference equations in question we confirm that our eigensolutions for L_x^t (1.1) and L_x^{cs} (1.2) are in fact holomorphic in the spectral variable.

The presentation is organized as follows. In Section 2 the Harish-Chandra solutions of the eigenvalue equations for L_x^t (1.1) and L_x^{cs} (1.2) are presented. In Section 3 the *n*-particle wave functions for the corresponding Schrödinger operators are constructed through symmetrization of an appropriately normalized Harish-Chandra series with respect to the action of the hyperoctahedral group of signed permutations. Section 4 formulates a system of difference equations in the spectral variable for the wave functions at issue, and in Section 5 it is shown how these difference equations reveal that the wave functions are entire in the spectral variable. To facilitate the readability of this work, the construction of the Harish-Chandra series and most of the details regarding the bc \rightarrow t and bc \rightarrow cs confluences at the level of the eigensolutions are relegated to two technical appendices at the end.

2. HARISH-CHANDRA SERIES SOLUTION OF THE EIGENVALUE EQUATION

For
$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$$
, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ in \mathbb{C}^n , let
 $\langle \xi, x \rangle := \xi_1 x_1 + \dots + \xi_n x_n$,

and let us write for $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ that

$$\nu \ge 0 \Leftrightarrow \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_k \ge 0 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, n, \tag{2.1}$$

and that $\nu > 0$ if $\nu \ge 0$ and $\nu \ne 0$.

Given $r \in \{bc, t, cs\}$, we define a corresponding Harish-Chandra series

$$\phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) = \sum_{\nu \ge 0} a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) e^{\langle \xi - \nu, x \rangle}, \qquad (2.2a)$$

with expansion coefficients $a_{\nu}^{\rm r}(\xi;g)$ determined by the recurrence

$$\langle \nu - 2\xi, \nu \rangle a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R\\l>1}} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathbf{r}}(g) a_{\nu-l\alpha}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) \qquad (\nu > 0)$$
(2.2b)

in combination with the initial condition

$$a_{\nu}^{r}(\xi;g) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
(2.2c)

Here $g := (g_S, g_M, g_L) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $R := R_S \cup R_M^+ \cup R_M^- \cup R_L$ with $R_S := \{e_j \mid 1 \le j \le n\}$, $R_M^{\pm} := \{e_j \pm e_k \mid 1 \le j < k \le n\}$, $R_L := \{2e_j \mid 1 \le j \le n\}$, and

$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{bc}}(g) := \begin{cases} g_S(g_S + 2g_L - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha \in R_S, \\ 2g_M(g_M - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha \in R_M^+ \cup R_M^-, \\ 4g_L(g_L - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha \in R_L, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{t}}(g) := \begin{cases} g_S(g_S + 2g_L - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha = e_n, \\ a_j\delta_{l-1} & \text{if } \alpha = e_j - e_{j+1} \ (1 \le j < n) \\ a_{n-1}\delta_{l-1} & \text{if } \alpha = e_{n-1} + e_n, \\ 4g_L(g_L - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha = 2e_n, \end{cases}$$
$$\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{cs}}(g) := \begin{cases} g_S\delta_{l-1} & \text{if } \alpha \in R_S, \\ 2g_M(g_M - 1)l & \text{if } \alpha \in R_M^-, \\ a_n\delta_{l-1} & \text{if } \alpha \in R_L \end{cases}$$

(with the implicit assumption that $a_{\alpha,l}^{r}(g) \equiv 0$ otherwise), while $\delta_{l} := 1$ if l = 0 and $\delta_{l} := 0$ if $l \neq 0$.

Proposition 1 (Harish-Chandra Series Solution). Let $\mathbf{r} \in \{bc, t, cs\}$. (i) The Harish-Chandra series $\phi_{\xi}^{r}(x;g)$ (2.2a)–(2.2c) constitutes an analytic function of $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}_{+, \operatorname{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$, where

$$\mathbb{C}^{n}_{\mathrm{reg},+} := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid 2\xi_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0}, \, \xi_{j} \pm \xi_{k} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \, (j < k) \}$$
(2.3a)

and

$$\mathbb{A}^{\mathbf{r}} := \begin{cases} \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{1} > x_{2} > \dots > x_{n} > 0\} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{bc}, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{n} > 0\} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}, \\ \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{1} > x_{2} > \dots > x_{n}\} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{cs}, \end{cases}$$
(2.3b)

which—as a (meromorphic) function of the spectral variable $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ —has at most simple poles along the hyperplanes belonging to $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n_{+, \text{reg}}$.

(ii) The function in question provides an eigensolution for L_x^r (1.1), (1.2), (1.4):

$$L_x^{\mathbf{r}}\phi_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) = \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \phi_{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g)$$
(2.4a)

that enjoys a plane-wave asymptotics of the form

$$\lim_{\to +\infty} |\phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) - e^{\langle \xi, x \rangle}| = 0 \quad for \quad \operatorname{Re}(\xi) = 0,$$
(2.4b)

where the notation $x \to +\infty$ means that $x_k - x_{k+1} \to +\infty$ for k = 1, ..., n (with the convention that $x_{n+1} \equiv 0$).

When r = bc, part (i) of this proposition is due to Opdam, cf. [O88, Cor. 2.2, Cor. 2.10] and [HS94, Prp. 4.2.5], while (the more straightforward) part (ii) is already immediate from Opdam's previous work with Heckman [HO87], cf. also [HS94, Sec. 4.2] and [O00, Sec. 6.1]. For this case an elementary proof of part (i), based on the integrability of L_x^{bc} in combination with a residue computation, was sketched in [O00, Lem. 6.5]. In order to replicate the latter proof for the cases r = t and r = cs, we would have to recur to the higher commuting quantum integrals

from Refs. [O07, Thm. 8] and [H09, Sec. 5], respectively. Instead, we will avoid the explicit use of higher quantum integrals at this point and exploit—in Appendix A below—a Harish-Chandra type analysis in combination with partial confluent limit transitions $\phi_{\xi}^{\rm bc} \rightarrow \phi_{\xi}^{\rm t}$ and $\phi_{\xi}^{\rm bc} \rightarrow \phi_{\xi}^{\rm cs}$ in the spirit of Oshima and Shimeno [S08, OS10], so as to derive the corresponding statements for the cases r = t and r = cs directly from those for the known case r = bc.

3. n-Particle wave function

For $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{reg} \times \mathbb{A}^r \times \mathbb{C}^3$, where

$$\mathbb{C}^{n}_{\text{reg}} := \{ \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{n} \mid 2\xi_{j} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \, \xi_{j} \pm \xi_{k} \notin \mathbb{Z} \},$$
(3.1)

we consider the n-particle wave function of the form

$$\Phi^{\mathbf{r}}_{\xi}(x;g) := \sum_{\mathbf{w}\in W} C^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{w}\xi;g)\phi^{\mathbf{r}}_{\mathbf{w}\xi}(x;g), \qquad (3.2a)$$

with

$$C^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) := \prod_{1 \le j \le n} c_w(\xi_j) \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} c_v(\xi_j + \xi_k) c_v(\xi_j - \xi_k),$$
(3.2b)

$$c_v(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(z)}{\Gamma(g_M + z)} & \text{if } r = bc \text{ or } r = cs, \\ \Gamma(z) & \text{if } r = t, \end{cases}$$
(3.2c)

and

$$c_w(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\Gamma(2z)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}g_S + z)}{\Gamma(g_S + 2z)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L + z)} & \text{if } r = bc \text{ or } r = t, \\ \frac{\Gamma(2z)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + g_S + z)} & \text{if } r = cs. \end{cases}$$
(3.2d)

Here $\Gamma(\cdot)$ refers to the gamma function and the symmetrization in the spectral variable is with respect to the action of the hyperoctahedral group $W := \{1, -1\}^n \rtimes S_n$ of signed permutations $w = (\epsilon, \sigma)$ on \mathbb{C}^n :

$$\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{w}} (\epsilon_1 \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \dots, \epsilon_n \xi_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}) =: \mathrm{w}\xi, \qquad (3.3)$$

where $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \cdots & \sigma(n) \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to the symmetric group S_n and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n)$ with $\epsilon_j \in \{1, -1\}$ (for $j = 1, \ldots, n$). It is clear from its definition and Proposition 1 that this wave function is analytic for $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\text{reg}}^n \times \mathbb{A}^r \times \mathbb{C}^3$. The hyperoctahedral symmetry moreover guarantees that—as a meromorphic function of $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ —the simple poles of $\Phi_{\xi}^r(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) along the hyperplanes $\xi_j = 0$ and $\xi_j \pm \xi_k = 0$ (stemming from $C^r(\xi;g)$) are removable. The following proposition is now immediate from Proposition 1.

Proposition 2 (*n*-Particle Wave Function). For any $r \in \{bc, t, cs\}$, the *n*-particle wave function $\Phi_{\xi}^{r}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d), $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}_{reg}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{r} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$, provides an analytic solution of the eigenvalue equation

$$L_x^{\mathbf{r}}\Phi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) = \langle \boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi} \rangle \Phi_{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g)$$
(3.4a)

enjoying the following plane-wave asymptotics:

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} \left| \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) - \sum_{\mathbf{w} \in W} C^{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{w}\xi;g) e^{\langle \mathbf{w}\xi,x \rangle} \right| = 0 \quad for \quad \operatorname{Re}(\xi) = 0.$$
(3.4b)

When r = bc, Proposition 2 goes back to Heckman and Opdam [HS94, O00]. Indeed, the *n*-particle wave function $\Phi_{\xi}^{bc}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) then amounts in essence to their BC_n -type hyperoctahedral hypergeometric function $F_{BC_n}(\xi, x; g)$ [O88, HS94, O00]:

$$\Phi_{\xi}^{\rm bc}(x;g) = \delta(x;g)C^{\rm bc}(\rho_g;g)F_{BC_n}(\xi,x;g)$$
(3.5a)

 $((\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{\operatorname{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\operatorname{bc}} \times \mathbb{C}^3)$, where

$$\delta(x;g) := \prod_{1 \le j \le n} (e^{\frac{1}{2}x_j} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}x_j})^{g_S} (e^{x_j} - e^{-x_j})^{g_L}$$
(3.5b)

$$\times \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} (e^{\frac{1}{2}(x_j + x_k)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_j + x_k)})^{g_M} (e^{\frac{1}{2}(x_j - x_k)} - e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_j - x_k)})^{g_M}$$

and

$$\rho_g := \left((n-1)g_M + \frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L, (n-2)g_M + \frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L, \dots, \frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L \right).$$
(3.5c)

4. DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS IN THE SPECTRAL VARIABLE

In [DE15, Thm. 2] a system of (bispectral dual) difference equations for the BC_n -type hypergeometric function of Heckman and Opdam was presented. The following theorem extends this bispectral duality for the case r = bc so as to include the cases r = t and r = cs.

Theorem 3 (Difference Equations). For any $\mathbf{r} \in \{bc, t, cs\}$, $\ell \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^r \times \mathbb{C}^3$, the wave function $\Phi^r_{\xi}(x; g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) satisfies the following difference equation in the spectral variable:

$$\sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, 0 \le |J| \le \ell\\ \epsilon_j \in \{1,-1\}, \ j \in J}} U^{\mathbf{r}}_{J^c, \ \ell - |J|}(\xi;g) V^{\mathbf{r}}_{\epsilon J}(\xi;g) \Phi^{\mathbf{r}}_{\xi + e_{\epsilon J}}(x;g) = E^{\mathbf{r}}_{\ell}(x) \Phi^{\mathbf{r}}_{\xi}(x;g), \tag{4.1a}$$

where

$$V_{\epsilon J}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) = \prod_{\substack{j \in J \\ k \notin J}} w(\epsilon_j \xi_j) \prod_{\substack{j \in J \\ k \notin J}} v(\epsilon_j \xi_j + \xi_k) v(\epsilon_j \xi_j - \xi_k)$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{j,j' \in J \\ j < j'}} v(\epsilon_j \xi_j + \epsilon_{j'} \xi_{j'}) v(\epsilon_j \xi_j + \epsilon_{j'} \xi_{j'} + 1), \qquad (4.1b)$$

$$U_{K,p}^{r}(\xi;g) = (-1)^{p} \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq K, |I| = p\\\epsilon_{i} \in \{1,-1\}, i \in I}} \left(\prod_{i \in I} w(\epsilon_{i}\xi_{i}) \prod_{\substack{i \in I\\k \in K \setminus I}} v(\epsilon_{i}\xi_{i} + \xi_{k})v(\epsilon_{i}\xi_{i} - \xi_{k}) \right)$$

$$\times \prod_{\substack{i,i' \in I\\i < i'}} v(\epsilon_{i}\xi_{i} + \epsilon_{i'}\xi_{i'})v(-\epsilon_{i}\xi_{i} - \epsilon_{i'}\xi_{i'} - 1) ,$$

$$(4.1c)$$

with

$$v(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + g_M z^{-1} & \text{if } r = bc \text{ or } r = cs, \\ z^{-1} & \text{if } r = t, \end{cases}$$
(4.1d)

$$w(z) = \begin{cases} \left(1 + \left(\frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L\right)z^{-1}\right) \left(1 + g_S(1 + 2z)^{-1}\right) & \text{if } r = bc \text{ or } r = t, \\ \frac{1}{2}z^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} + g_S(1 + 2z)^{-1}\right) & \text{if } r = cs, \end{cases}$$
(4.1e)

and

$$E_{\ell}^{\mathrm{r}}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^{2\ell} \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=\ell}} \prod_{j \in J} \sinh^2\left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right) & \text{if } \mathrm{r=bc,} \\ e^{x_1 + \dots + x_{\ell}} + \delta_{n-l} e^{x_1 + \dots + x_{n-1}} (e^{-x_n} - 2) & \text{if } \mathrm{r=t,} \\ \sum_{\substack{J \subset \{1,\dots,n\} \\ |J|=\ell}} \prod_{j \in J} e^{x_j} & \text{if } \mathrm{r=cs.} \end{cases}$$
(4.1f)

Here |J| denotes the cardinality of $J \subset \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $J^c := \{1, \ldots, n\} \setminus J$, and $e_{\epsilon J} := \sum_{j \in J} \epsilon_j e_j$.

In Appendix B below we prove this theorem with the aid of the Oshima-Shimeno type confluences $\Phi_{\xi}^{bc} \to \Phi_{\xi}^{t}$ and $\Phi_{\xi}^{bc} \to \Phi_{\xi}^{cs}$, which arise by lifting the transitions between the Harish-Chandra series $\phi_{\xi}^{bc} \to \phi_{\xi}^{t}$ and $\phi_{\xi}^{bc} \to \phi_{\xi}^{cs}$ from Appendix A to the level of the *n*-particle wave functions Φ_{ξ}^{r} (3.2a)–(3.2d).

The difference equations in Theorem 3 reveal that—upon interchanging the role of the position variables and the spectral variables—the wave function $\Phi_{\xi}^{\rm r}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) provides a joint eigenfunction for the commuting families of rational Macdonald-Ruijsenaars type difference operators with hyperoctahedral symmetry introduced in [D95]. For $\ell = 1, 2$ the difference equations in question boil down to the two following identities:

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le j \le n \\ \in \{1,-1\}}} V_{\epsilon j}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) \Big(\Phi_{\xi+\epsilon e_j}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) - \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) \Big) = E_1^{\mathbf{r}}(x) \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g)$$
(4.2a)

and

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \le j < j' \le n \\ \epsilon, \epsilon' \in \{1, -1\}}} V_{\{\epsilon j, \epsilon' j'\}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi; g) \left(\Phi_{\xi + \epsilon e_j + \epsilon' e_{j'}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x; g) - \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x; g) \right) +$$
(4.2b)
$$\sum_{1 \le j \le n, \epsilon \in \{1, -1\}} U_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{j\}, 1}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi; g) V_{\epsilon j}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi; g) \Phi_{\xi + \epsilon e_j}^{\mathbf{r}}(x; g) = E_2^{\mathbf{r}}(x) \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x; g),$$

respectively, where

 ϵ

$$V_{\epsilon j}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) = w(\epsilon\xi_j) \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ k \ne j}} v(\epsilon\xi_j + \xi_k) v(\epsilon\xi_j - \xi_k),$$

$$V_{\{\epsilon j, \epsilon' j'\}}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi; g) = w(\epsilon \xi_j) w(\epsilon' \xi_{j'}) v(\epsilon \xi_j + \epsilon' \xi_{j'}) v(1 + \epsilon \xi_j + \epsilon' \xi_{j'})$$
$$\times \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n \\ k \ne j, j'}} v(\epsilon \xi_j + \xi_k) v(\epsilon \xi_j - \xi_k),$$

$$U^{\mathbf{r}}_{\{1,\dots,n\}\setminus\{j\},1}(\xi;g) = -\sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le n, \ i \ne j\\ \epsilon \in \{1,-1\}}} w(\epsilon\xi_i) \prod_{\substack{1 \le k \le n\\ k \ne j,i}} v(\epsilon\xi_i + \xi_k) v(\epsilon\xi_i - \xi_k),$$

and

$$E_1^{\mathbf{r}}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^2 \sum_{1 \le j \le n} \sinh^2(\frac{x_j}{2}) & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{bc}, \\ e^{x_1} + \delta_{n-1}(e^{-x_1} - 2) & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}, \\ \sum_{1 \le j \le n} e^{x_j} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{cs}, \end{cases}$$

8

$$E_2^{\mathbf{r}}(x) = \begin{cases} 2^4 \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} \sinh^2(\frac{x_j}{2}) \sinh^2(\frac{x_k}{2}) & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{bc}, \\ e^{x_1 + x_2} + \delta_{n-2} e^{x_1} (e^{-x_2} - 2) & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}, \\ \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n} e^{x_j + x_k} & \text{if } \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{cs}. \end{cases}$$

For r = bc, the difference equation in Eq. (4.2a) (corresponding to $\ell = 1$) is originally due to Chalykh [C00, Thm 6.12].

5. Analyticity in the spectral variable

A deep result of Opdam states that for r = bc the *n*-particle wave function $\Phi_{\xi}^{r}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) extends analytically in ξ to an entire function of the spectral variable, cf. [O88, Thm. 2.8], [HS94, Thm. 4.3.14] and [O00, Thm. 6.13]. While it seems nontrivial to adapt Opdam's proof to the cases r = t and r = cs when basing us on the tools currently at our disposal, we observe that it is relatively straightforward to extend the result to these two cases via the difference equations in Eqs. (4.2a), (4.2b).

Theorem 4 (Analyticity). For any $\mathbf{r} \in {\mathbf{bc}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{cs}}$, the wave function $\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) extends (uniquely) to an analytic function of $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{A}^r \times \mathbb{C}^3$.

Proof. Since—viewed as a meromorphic function of the spectral variable $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$ the Harish-Chandra series $\phi^r_{\xi}(x;g)$ (2.2a)–(2.2c) exhibits at most simple poles along the hyperplanes of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n_{+,\mathrm{reg}}$ (by Proposition 1), and the gamma factors in *c*function $C^r(\xi;g)$ (3.2b) give at most rise to simple poles along the hyperplanes of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n_{-,\mathrm{reg}}$, where $\mathbb{C}^n_{-,\mathrm{reg}} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 2\xi_j \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}, \xi_j \pm \xi_k \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0} (j < k)\}$, it is clear that the wave function $\Phi^r_{\xi}(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) possesses at most simple poles along the hyperplanes of $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \mathbb{C}^n_{\mathrm{reg}}$. By Hartogs' theorem, it is enough to infer that the singularities in question are removable. Moreover, in view of the hyperoctahedral symmetry it thus suffices to verify that the residues

$$\operatorname{Res}_{1;m} \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) := \lim_{2\xi_1 \to m} (2\xi_1 - m) \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g),$$

$$\operatorname{Res}_{12;m} \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) := \lim_{\xi_1 + \xi_2 \to m} (\xi_1 + \xi_2 - m) \Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$$

on $H_{1;m} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 2\xi_1 = m\}$ and $H_{12;m} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \xi_1 + \xi_2 = m\}$ vanish for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. As observed just before Proposition 2, for m = 0 the vanishing of the residues under consideration is immediate from the hyperoctahedral symmetry. For m > 0, we deduce the vanishing of the residues inductively by means of the difference equations (4.2a), (4.2b), upon assuming that $\operatorname{Res}_{1;k}\Phi_{\xi}^r(x;g) = 0$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{12;k}\Phi_{\xi}^r(x;g) = 0$ for $0 \le k < m$. To this end Eqs. (4.2a) and (4.2b) are multiplied by appropriate powers $(2\xi_1 - m + 2)^d$ and $(\xi_1 + \xi_2 - m + 2)^d$, respectively, before performing the corresponding limits $2\xi_1 - m + 2 \to 0$ and $\xi_1 + \xi_2 - m + 2 \to 0$. This entails simple linear relations both for $\operatorname{Res}_{1;m}\Phi_{\xi}^r(x;g)$ and for $\operatorname{Res}_{12;m}\Phi_{\xi}^r(x;g)$, from which it is manifest that the residues in question vanish.

For each case the details of the pertinent residue computation is encoded in Tables 1 and 2, by specifying the corresponding linear relation for the residue stemming from the difference equation together with some elementary additional relations that permit to deduce the vanishing of the residue at issue. Notice that for typographical motives, the superscript r and the dependence on the variables are suppressed (whenever this does not lead to confusion).

m	d	Linear relation stemming from Eq. $(4.2a)$	Additional relations
1	2	$\operatorname{Res}_{1;-1}V_1\operatorname{Res}_{1;1}\Phi = 0$	$\operatorname{Res}_{1;-1}V_1 \not\equiv 0$
2	2	$\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}V_1\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi + \operatorname{Res}_{1;0}V_{-1}\operatorname{Res}_{1;-2}\Phi = 0$	$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Res}_{1;-2}\Phi = -\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi \\ \operatorname{Res}_{1:0}V_{-1} = -\operatorname{Res}_{1:0}V_{1} \neq 0 \end{cases}$
3	1	$[V_1(\xi)]_{2\xi_1=1} \operatorname{Res}_{1;3} \Phi + \\\operatorname{Res}_{1;1} V_{-1} [\Phi_{\xi-e_1} - \Phi_{\xi}]_{2\xi_1=1} = 0$	$\begin{cases} [\Phi_{\xi-e_1}]_{2\xi_1=1} = [\Phi_{\xi}]_{2\xi_1=1} \\ [V_1(\xi)]_{2\xi_1=1} \neq 0 \end{cases}$
≥ 4	1	$[V_1(\xi)]_{2\xi_1=m-2} \operatorname{Res}_{1;m} \Phi = 0$	$[V_1(\xi)]_{2\xi_1=m-2} \neq 0$

TABLE 1. Computation verifying that $\operatorname{Res}_{1,m}\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) \equiv 0$

m	d	Linear relation stemming from Eq. $(4.2b)$	Additional relations
1	2	$\operatorname{Res}_{12;-1}V_{\{+1,+2\}}\left(\operatorname{Res}_{12;1}\Phi - \operatorname{Res}_{12;-1}\Phi\right) = 0$	$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Res}_{12;-1} \Phi = -\operatorname{Res}_{12;1} \Phi \\ \operatorname{Res}_{12;-1} V_{\{+1,+2\}} \neq 0 \end{cases}$
2	2	$\begin{split} &\operatorname{Res}_{12;0}V_{\{+1,+2\}}\operatorname{Res}_{12;2}\Phi + \\ &\operatorname{Res}_{12;0}V_{\{-1,-2\}}\operatorname{Res}_{12;-2}\Phi = 0 \end{split}$	$\begin{cases} \operatorname{Res}_{12;2}\Phi = -\operatorname{Res}_{12;-2}\Phi \\ \operatorname{Res}_{12;0}V_{\{+1,+2\}} = \\ -\operatorname{Res}_{12;0}V_{\{-1,-2\}} \neq 0 \end{cases}$
3	1	$\begin{split} & [V_{\{+1,+2\}}(\xi)]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=1} \mathrm{Res}_{12;3} \Phi + \\ & \mathrm{Res}_{12;1} V_{\{-1,-2\}}(\xi) [\Phi_{\xi-e_1-e_2} - \Phi_{\xi}]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=1} = 0 \end{split}$	$\begin{cases} [\Phi_{\xi-e_1-e_2}]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=1} = \\ [\Phi_{\xi}]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=1} \\ [V_{\ell+1}+21(\xi)]_{\xi_1+\xi_2-1} \neq 0 \end{cases}$
≥ 4	1	$[V_{\{+1,+2\}}(\xi)]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=m-2} \operatorname{Res}_{12;m} \Phi = 0$	$[V_{\{+1,+2\}}(\xi)]_{\xi_1+\xi_2=m-2} \neq 0$
		TABLE 2. Computation verifying that Res_1	$_{2;m}\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) \equiv 0$

For instance, in order to check that $\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi^{\mathrm{r}}_{\xi}(x;g) \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{H}_{1;2} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$, one multiplies Eq. (4.2a) by $4\xi_1^2$ before performing the limit $2\xi_1 \to 0$, which entails the relation $\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}V_1^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g)\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}\Phi_{\xi+e_1}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) + \operatorname{Res}_{1;0}V_{-1}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g)\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}\Phi_{\xi-e_1}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) = 0.$ Upon rewriting $\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}\Phi^{\mathrm{r}}_{\xi+e_1}(x;g)$ in terms of $\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi^{\mathrm{r}}_{\xi}(x;g)$ and $\operatorname{Res}_{1;0}\Phi^{\mathrm{r}}_{\xi-e_1}(x;g)$ in terms of $\operatorname{Res}_{1;-2}\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$, it follows that $\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) \equiv 0$ on $\operatorname{H}_{1;2} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$, because $\operatorname{Res}_{1;-2}\Phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g) = -\operatorname{Res}_{1;2}\Phi_{\xi}(x;g)$ by the hyperoctahedral symmetry, and $\operatorname{Res}_{1,0}V_{-1}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g) = -\operatorname{Res}_{1,0}V_{1}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g) \neq 0.$

Notice that the arguments in the proof of Theorem 4 persist verbatim for r = bc. Still, this way we do not arrive at a new alternative proof of the analyticity in the spectral variable for the BC_n hypergeometric function. The reason is that the corresponding r = bc difference equations in Theorem 3 were derived in Ref. [DE15] from the Pieri recurrence formulas for the BC_n Jacobi polynomials by analytic continuation, i.e. by *invoking* Opdam's analyticity result in the first place. Combined with the present proof of Theorem 4, this state of affairs reveals that—given the Pieri formulas for the BC_n Jacobi polynomials (and growth estimates for the BC_n hypergeometric function from [O95])—Opdam's analyticity in the spectral variable and our r = bc difference equations in fact follow from each other.

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF PROPOSTITION 1

To verify Proposition 1, a Harish-Chandra type analysis of Heckman and Opdam covering the case r = bc [O88, HS94, O00] is broadened so as to incorporate the

10

cases r = t and r = cs. In order to confirm that the regularity domain of the Harish-Chandra series in the spectral variable for r = bc [O88] persists when r = t and r = cs, we employ partial hypergeometric ($bc \rightarrow t$ and $bc \rightarrow cs$) confluences of a type considered by Oshima and Shimeno [S08, OS10].

A.1. Local regularization of the Harish-Chandra coefficients. Given an arbitrarily chosen bounded domain $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with closure \overline{U} , let

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi) := \prod_{\substack{\mu > 0\\ \mathrm{H}_{\mu} \cap \overline{\mathrm{U}} \neq \emptyset}} \langle \mu - 2\xi, \mu \rangle, \tag{A.1}$$

where $H_{\mu} := \{\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid \langle \mu - 2\xi, \mu \rangle = 0\}$. It is immediate from the recurrence relations for $a_{\nu}^{r}(\xi;g)$ in Eqs. (2.2b), (2.2c) that for any $\nu \geq 0$ and any compact $K \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ the regularized Harish-Chandra coefficient $\Delta_{U}(\xi)a_{\nu}^{r}(\xi;g)$ is holomorphic and bounded in (ξ,g) on U × K.

A.2. Convergence of the regularized Harish-Chandra series. Let us deviate in this subsection from the normalization convention in Eq. (1.3) by allowing momentarily for an arbitrary configuration of auxiliary coupling constants a := $(a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Following [H00, Ch. IV, Lem. 5.3] and [O88, Lem. 2.1], one ratifies the subsequent uniform exponential bound on the growth of the recurrence coefficients.

Lemma 5 (Bound on the Recurrence Coefficients). For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant A > 0 (depending only on the above choice of U, K and ε) such that for all $(\xi, g) \in U \times K$:

$$|\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi)a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g)| \le Ae^{\varepsilon\langle\nu,\rho\rangle} \quad (\forall\nu\ge 0), \tag{A.2}$$

where $\rho := \rho_M + \rho_L = \sum_{1 \le j \le n} (n+1-j)e_j.$

Proof. Let us pick c > 0 and N > 0 such that for all $\xi \in U$:

$$\langle \nu, \nu - 2\xi \rangle \ge c \langle \nu, \rho \rangle^2 \qquad \forall \nu \ge 0 \text{ with } \langle \nu, \rho \rangle \ge N.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, we fix a positive integer $M \ge N$ such that $\forall g \in \mathbf{K}$:

$$\frac{1}{c} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R \\ l > 1}} e^{-\varepsilon l \langle \alpha, \rho \rangle} |\mathbf{a}_{\alpha, l}^{\mathbf{r}}(g)| \le M$$

Upon fixing A > 0 sufficiently large such that $\forall (\xi, g) \in U \times K$: $|\Delta_U(\xi)a_{\nu}^r(\xi;g)| \leq Ae^{\varepsilon \langle \nu, \rho \rangle}$ for all $\nu \geq 0$ with $\langle \nu, \rho \rangle < M$, one deduces inductively from the recurrence in Eq. (2.2b) that also for all $\nu \geq 0$ such that $\langle \nu, \rho \rangle \geq M$:

$$\begin{split} |\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi)a_{\nu}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g)| &\leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{c}\langle\nu,\rho\rangle^{2}}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in R\\l\geq 1}}|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{r}}(g)\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi)a_{\nu-l\alpha}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g)|\\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathrm{c}\langle\nu,\rho\rangle^{2}}\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in R\\l\geq 1}}|\mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{r}}(g)|Ae^{\varepsilon\langle\nu-l\alpha,\rho\rangle}\\ &\leq \frac{M}{\langle\nu,\rho\rangle^{2}}Ae^{\varepsilon\langle\nu,\rho\rangle} \leq Ae^{\varepsilon\langle\nu,\rho\rangle}. \end{split}$$

We see from Lemma 5 that for any $\epsilon > 0$ the series $e^{-\langle \xi, x \rangle} \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ (2.2a)– (2.2c) converges uniformly in absolute value when (ξ, x, g) remains restricted to $\mathrm{U} \times (\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{bc}} + \epsilon \rho) \times \mathrm{K}$. Indeed, one has that

$$\sum_{\nu \ge 0} |\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi) a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi; g) e^{-\langle \nu, x \rangle}| \le A \sum_{\nu \ge 0} e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle}, \tag{A.3}$$

and the series on the RHS converges uniformly in x on $(\mathbb{A}^{bc} + \epsilon \rho)$ provided $0 < \varepsilon < \epsilon$.

The upshot is that the regularized Harish-Chandra series $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi)\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ converges locally uniformly in absolute value for (ξ, x, g) in $\mathrm{U} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{bc}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$. To infer that for $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{cs}$ this locally uniform absolute convergence extends in fact to the larger domain $\mathrm{U} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$, it suffices to observe that the translation (1.5a) amounts in the series $e^{-\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{t}}(x;g)$ to the parameter shift $a_j \to a_j e^{-c}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n-1)$ and that the translation (1.6a) amounts in the series $e^{-\langle \xi, x \rangle} \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{cs}}(x;g)$ to the parameter shift $g_S \to g_S e^{-c}$, $a_n \to a_n e^{-2c}$ $(c \in \mathbb{R})$. Notice in this connection that $\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{t}} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{bc}} + c\rho_M)$ and $\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{cs}} = \bigcup_{c \in \mathbb{R}} (\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{bc}} + c\rho_L)$.

A.3. Eigenvalue equation. By acting with the Schrödinger operator L_x^r on the regularized Harish-Chandra series $\Delta_U(\xi)\phi_{\xi}^r(x;g)$, one sees that for $(\xi, x, g) \in U \times \mathbb{A}^r \times \mathbb{C}^3$:

$$\begin{split} L_x^{\mathbf{r}} \big(\Delta_{\mathbf{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) \big) &= \\ \sum_{\nu \ge 0} \Delta_{\mathbf{U}}(\xi) a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g) e^{\langle \xi - \nu, x \rangle} \Big(\langle \xi - \nu, \xi - \nu \rangle - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R \\ l > 1}} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathbf{r}}(g) e^{-l \langle \alpha, x \rangle} \Big). \end{split}$$

Here the $\sinh^{-2}(\cdot)$ potentials were expanded using series $\sinh^{-2}(z) = \sum_{l\geq 1} 4le^{-2lz}$ (Re(z) > 0), and the termwise differentiation is justified by the locally uniform convergence in absolute value of the Harish-Chandra series. Rearrangement of the terms and invoking of the recurrence relations (2.2b), (2.2c) produces

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi) \sum_{\nu \ge 0} e^{\langle \xi - \nu, x \rangle} \Big(\big(\langle \xi, \xi \rangle + \langle \nu, \nu - 2\xi \rangle \big) a_{\nu}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g) - \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R\\l \ge 1}} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{r}}(g) a_{\nu-l\alpha}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g) \Big) \\ &= \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi) \sum_{\nu \ge 0} a_{\nu}^{\mathrm{r}}(\xi;g) e^{\langle \xi - \nu, x \rangle} = \langle \xi, \xi \rangle \Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g). \end{split}$$

A.4. **Plane-wave asymptotics.** For any bounded domain $U \subset i\mathbb{R}^n$ (where $i := \sqrt{-1}$), the product $\Delta_U(\xi)$ (A.1) becomes empty, i.e. $\Delta_U(\xi) \equiv 1$ in this situation. The bound in Lemma 5 now reveals that for any $\xi \in i\mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\lim_{x \to +\infty} |\phi_{\xi}^{\mathbf{r}}(x;g) - e^{\langle \xi, x \rangle}| \leq \lim_{x \to +\infty} \sum_{\nu > 0} |a_{\nu}^{\mathbf{r}}(\xi;g)e^{-\langle \nu, x \rangle}|$$
$$\leq \lim_{x \to +\infty} A \sum_{\nu > 0} e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle} = A \sum_{\nu > 0} \left(\lim_{x \to +\infty} e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle}\right) = 0$$

(by dominated convergence). Here we used that for $\nu > 0$: $\lim_{x \to +\infty} e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle} = 0$ and $e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle} < 1$ if $x \in (\mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{bc}} + \varepsilon \rho)$. A.5. **Partial hypergeometric confluences.** We now lift the above $L_x^{bc} \to L_x^{t}$ and $L_x^{bc} \to L_x^{cs}$ limit transitions to the level of the Harish-Chandra series, following a strategy developed by Oshima and Shimeno [S08, OS10].

Proposition 6 (Partial Confluent Limits of the Harish-Chandra Series). Let $(\xi, x, g) \in U \times \mathbb{A}^{bc} \times \mathbb{C}^3$.

(i) The translation $x \to x + c\rho_M$ (1.5a) and the parameter substitution $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.5b) give rise to the bc \to t confluence

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-c\langle \xi, \rho_M \rangle} \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{bc}}(x + c\rho_M; g^{(c)}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{t}}(x; g).$$
(A.4a)

(ii) The translation $x \to x + c\rho_L$ (1.6a) and the parameter substitution $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.6b) give rise to the bc \to cs confluence

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-c\langle \xi, \rho_L \rangle} \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{bc}}(x + c\rho_L; g^{(c)}) = \Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi) \phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{cs}}(x; g).$$
(A.4b)

Proof. The substitutions (1.5a), (1.5b) and (1.6a), (1.6b) in the Harish-Chandra series $\phi_{\mathcal{E}}^{bc}(x;g)$ (2.2a)–(2.2c) reveal that

$$e^{-c\langle\xi,\rho_K\rangle}\Delta_{\mathcal{U}}(\xi)\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{bc}}(x+c\rho_K;g^{(c)}) = \sum_{\nu\geq 0} \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi;g^{(c)})e^{\langle\xi-\nu,x\rangle},\qquad(A.5)$$

with $\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}), \nu \geq 0$ given by

$$\langle \nu - 2\xi, \nu \rangle \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in R\\l \ge 1}} e^{-c \, l \langle \alpha, \rho_K \rangle} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha, l}^{\mathrm{bc}}(g^{(c)}) \hat{a}_{\nu - l\alpha}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) \qquad (\nu > 0) \quad (A.6a)$$

and

$$\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\rm bc}(\xi; g^{(c)}) := \begin{cases} \Delta_{\rm U}(\xi) & \text{if } \nu = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \nu \neq 0, \end{cases}$$
(A.6b)

where K = M or K = L, respectively.

Since the sum on the RHS of Eq. (A.6a) is finite (as $l \leq \langle \nu, \rho \rangle$ for $\nu - l\alpha \geq 0$) and

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-c \, l\langle \alpha, \rho_K \rangle} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{bc}}(g^{(c)}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{t}}(g) & \text{if } K = M, \\ \mathbf{a}_{\alpha,l}^{\mathrm{cs}}(g) & \text{if } K = L, \end{cases}$$
(A.7)

it follows inductively in ν from the recurrence relations that for all $\xi \in U$ and $\nu \ge 0$:

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} \hat{a}_{\nu}^{\rm bc}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = \begin{cases} \Delta_{\rm U}(\xi) a_{\nu}^{\rm t}(\xi; g) & \text{if } K = M, \\ \Delta_{\rm U}(\xi) a_{\nu}^{\rm cs}(\xi; g) & \text{if } K = L. \end{cases}$$
(A.8)

With the aid of an exponential bound on the growth of the recurrence coefficients $\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\rm bc}(\xi; g^{(c)})$ similar to that of Lemma 5, the asserted limit transitions now follow from Eqs. (A.5) and (A.8) by dominated convergence. Indeed—by mimicking the proof of Lemma 5 and using Eq. (A.8)—it is readily seen that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant A > 0 (depending only on $\xi \in U$, $g \in \mathbb{C}^3$ and ε) such that

$$\forall c \ge 0, \nu \ge 0: \quad |\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)})| \le A \, e^{\varepsilon \langle \nu, \rho \rangle}. \tag{A.9}$$

Hence—after picking $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $x \in (\mathbb{A}^{bc} + \varepsilon \rho)$ —the series on the RHS of Eq. (A.5) is termwise bounded uniformly in $c \ge 0$ by the following absolutely convergent series (cf. Eq. (A.3)):

$$\sum_{\nu \ge 0} |\hat{a}_{\nu}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) e^{\langle \xi - \nu, x \rangle}| \le A |e^{\langle \xi, x \rangle}| \sum_{\nu \ge 0} e^{-\langle \nu, x - \varepsilon \rho \rangle} < +\infty.$$

A.6. **Regularity domain.** The locally uniform convergence of the regularized Harish-Chandra series guarantees that $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi)\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ is analytic for $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{U} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$. Moreover, it was shown by Opdam (cf. [O88, Cor. 2.2, Cor. 2.10], [HS94, Prp. 4.2.5] and [O00, Lem. 6.5]) that the series $\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ is analytic for $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg},+}^{n} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$ when $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{bc}$. In other words, in this situation $\Delta_{\mathrm{U}}(\xi)\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ vanishes for $(\xi, x, g) \in (\mathbb{U} \cap \mathrm{H}_{\mu}) \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$ if the hyperplane H_{μ} , $\mu > 0$ does not belong to $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg},+}^{n}$. By Proposition 6, the vanishing property in question persists when $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{cs}$ (where we use the analyticity in x to extend from \mathbb{A}^{bc} to \mathbb{A}^{r}). As the bounded domain $\mathrm{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n}$ was chosen arbitrarily, the upshot is that also for $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{r} = \mathrm{cs}$ the series $\phi_{\xi}^{\mathrm{r}}(x;g)$ must be analytic for $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg},+}^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$, with at most a simple pole arising along $\mathrm{H}_{\mu} \times \mathbb{A}^{\mathrm{r}} \times \mathbb{C}^{3}$ if the hyperplane $\mathrm{H}_{\mu}, \mu > 0$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}^{n} \setminus \mathbb{C}_{\mathrm{reg},+}^{n}$.

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Starting from the difference equations (4.1a)–(4.1f) for the case r = bc from [DE15, Thm. 2], the cases r = t and r = cs are recovered via the following $bc \rightarrow t$ and $bc \rightarrow cs$ confluences that extend Proposition 6 to the level of the *n*-particle wave functions $\Phi_{\mathcal{E}}^r(x;g)$ (3.2a)–(3.2d) (cf. [S08, OS10]).

Proposition 7 (Partial Confluent Limits of the Wave Function). Let $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\text{bc}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$.

(i) The translation $x \to x + c\rho_M$ (1.5a) and the parameter substitution $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.5b) give rise to the bc \to t confluence

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} \gamma_M(g^{(c)}) \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm bc}(x + c\rho_M; g^{(c)}) = \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm t}(x; g), \tag{B.1a}$$

where

$$\gamma_M(g) := \left(\Gamma(g_M)\right)^{n(n-1)}.$$

(ii) The translation $x \to x + c\rho_L$ (1.6a) and the parameter substitution $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.6b) give rise to the bc \to cs confluence

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} \gamma_L(g^{(c)}) \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm bc}(x + c\rho_L; g^{(c)}) = \Phi_{\xi}^{\rm cs}(x; g), \tag{B.1b}$$

where

$$\gamma_L(g) := \left(\frac{\Gamma(g_S)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}g_S + g_L)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}g_S)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}g_S)}\right)^n$$

Proof. From the well-known asymptotics $\lim_{c\to+\infty} \frac{c^z \Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(z+c)} = 1$ and the duplication formula $\Gamma(2z) = \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{2z-1} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+z)$ $(2z \notin \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0})$, it is immediate that upon substituting $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.5b):

$$\lim_{d \to +\infty} \gamma_M(g^{(c)}) e^{c\langle \xi, \rho_M \rangle} C^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = C^{\mathrm{t}}(\xi; g), \qquad (B.2a)$$

and upon substituting $g \to g^{(c)}$ (1.6b):

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} \gamma_L(g^{(c)}) e^{c\langle \xi, \rho_L \rangle} C^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = C^{\mathrm{cs}}(\xi; g).$$
(B.2b)

The asserted limit transitions now follow directly from the explicit formulas in Eqs. (3.2a)-(3.2d), with aid of Proposition 6 and Eqs. (B.2a), (B.2b).

(i) To retrieve the difference equations for $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}$, one effectuates the translation (1.5a) and the parameter substitution (1.5b) in the ℓ th difference equation for $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{bc}$. Upon multiplication by an overall scaling factor of the form $e^{-\frac{c}{2}\ell(2n-1-\ell)}\gamma_M(g^{(c)})$, this produces the corresponding difference equation of type $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{t}$ for $c \to +\infty$. Here one uses Proposition 7 and the elementary limits

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-\frac{c}{2}|J|(2n-1-|J|)} V_{\varepsilon J}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = V_{\varepsilon J}^{\mathrm{t}}(\xi; g),$$
$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-\frac{c}{2}p(2|K|-1-p)} U_{K,p}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = U_{K,p}^{\mathrm{t}}(\xi; g),$$
$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-\frac{c}{2}\ell(2n-1-\ell)} E_{\ell}^{\mathrm{bc}}(x+c\rho_M) = E_{\ell}^{\mathrm{t}}(x),$$

with $|K| = n - |J|, p = \ell - |J|.$

(ii) To retrieve the difference equations for r = cs, one effectuates the translation (1.6a) and the parameter substitution (1.6b) in the ℓ th difference equation for r = bc. Upon multiplication by an overall scaling factor of the form $e^{-c\ell}\gamma_L(g^{(c)})$, this produces the corresponding difference equation of type r = cs for $c \to +\infty$. Here one uses Propostion 7 and the elementary limits

$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-c|J|} V_{\varepsilon J}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = V_{\varepsilon J}^{\mathrm{cs}}(\xi; g),$$
$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-cp} U_{K,p}^{\mathrm{bc}}(\xi; g^{(c)}) = U_{K,p}^{\mathrm{cs}}(\xi; g),$$
$$\lim_{c \to +\infty} e^{-c\ell} E_{\ell}^{\mathrm{bc}}(x + c\rho_L) = E_{\ell}^{\mathrm{cs}}(x),$$

with $|K| = n - |J|, p = \ell - |J|.$

In both cases one initially recovers the difference equations for the restricted domain of $(\xi, x, g) \in \mathbb{C}^n_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\text{bc}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$. The extensions to (ξ, x, g) in $\mathbb{C}^n_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\text{t}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$ and $\mathbb{C}^n_{\text{reg}} \times \mathbb{A}^{\text{cs}} \times \mathbb{C}^3$ are plain by analytic continuation.

References

- A77. M. Adler, Some finite dimensional integrable systems and their scattering behavior, Comm. Math. Phys. 55 (1977), 195–230.
- B03. O. Babelon, Equations in dual variables for Whittaker functions, Lett. Math. Phys. 65 (2003), 229–240.
- BO11. F. Baudoin and N. O'Connell, Exponential functionals of Brownian motion and class-one Whittaker functions, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Probab. Stat. 47 (2011), 1096–1120.
- BO05a. S. Ben Saïd and B. Ørsted, Analysis on flat symmetric spaces, J. Math. Pures Appl. 84 (2005), 1393–1426.
- BO05b. _____, Bessel functions for root systems via the trigonometric setting, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2005**(9), 551–585.
- BZ17. E. Bisi and N. Zygouras, Point-to-line polymers and orthogonal Whittaker functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- BC14. A. Borodin and I. Corwin, Macdonald processes, Probab. Theory Related Fields 158 (2014), 225–400.
- C00. O.A. Chalykh, Bispectrality for the quantum Ruijsenaars model and its integrable deformation, J. Math. Phys. 41 (2000), 5139–5167.
- C97. I. Cherednik, Inverse Harish-Chandra transform and difference operators, Internat. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 1997(15), 733–750.
- D95. J.F. van Diejen, Difference Calogero-Moser systems and finite Toda chains, J. Math. Phys. 36 (1995), 1299–1323.
- DE15. J.F. van Diejen and E. Emsiz, Difference equation for the Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric function and its confluent Whittaker limit, Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1225–1240.
- DE18. _____, Bispectral dual difference equations for the quantum Toda chain with boundary perturbations, Internat. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnx219

- DG86. J.J. Duistermaat and F.A. Grünbaum, Differential equations in the spectral parameter, Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986), 177–240.
- F13. L. Fehér, Action-angle map and duality for the open Toda lattice in the perspective of Hamiltonian reduction, Phys. Lett. A **377** (2013), 2917–2921.
- FG14. L. Fehér and T.F. Görbe, Duality between the trigonometric BC_n Sutherland system and a completed rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen system, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), 102704.
- FK09. L. Fehér and C. Klimčík, On the duality between the hyperbolic Sutherland and the rational Ruijsenaars-Schneider models, J. Phys. A 42 (2009), 185202.
- FM17. L. Fehér and I. Marshall, The action-angle dual of an integrable Hamiltonian system of Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen type, J. Phys. A 50 (2017), 314004.
- F10. P.J. Forrester, Log-gases and Random Matrices, London Mathematical Society Monographs Series, Vol. 34, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2010.
- GLO11. A. Gerasimov, D. Lebedev, and S. Oblezin, Quantum Toda chains intertwined, St. Petersburg Math. J. 22 (2011), 411–435.
- GLO12. _____, New integral representations of Whittaker functions for classical Lie groups, Russian Math. Surveys 67 (2012), 1–92.
- GW86. R. Goodman and N.R. Wallach, Classical and quantum mechanical systems of Toda-Lattice type III. Joint eigenfunctions of the quantized systems, Commun. Math. Phys. 105 (1986), 473–509.
- G01. F.A. Grünbaum, The bispectral problem: an overview, in: Special Functions 2000: Current Perspective and Future Directions, J. Bustoz, M.E.H. Ismail, and S.K. Suslov (eds.), NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., Vol. 30, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001, 129–140.
- H09. M. Hallnäs, Multivariable Bessel polynomials related to the hyperbolic Sutherland model with external Morse potential, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2009**(9), 1573–1611.
- HL10. M. Hallnäs and E. Langmann, A unified construction of generalized classical polynomials associated with operators of Calogero-Sutherland type, Constr. Approx. **31** (2010), 309– 342.
- HR12. M. Hallnäs and S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, Kernel functions and Bäcklund transformations for relativistic Calogero-Moser and Toda systems, J. Math. Phys. 53 (2012), 123512.
- HR15. _____, A recursive construction of joint eigenfunctions for the hyperbolic nonrelativistic Calogero-Moser Hamiltonians, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **2015**(20), 10278–10313.
- H82. M. Hashizume, Whittaker functions on semisimple Lie groups, Hiroshima Math. J. 12 (1982), 259–293.
- HO87. G.J. Heckman and E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions. I, Compos. Math. 64 (1987), 329–352.
- HS94. G. Heckman and H. Schlichtkrull, Harmonic Analysis and Special Functions on Symmetric Spaces, Perspectives in Mathematics, Vol. 16, Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, CA, 1994.
- H00. S. Helgason, Groups and Geometric Analysis: Integral Geometry, Invariant Differential Operators, and Spherical Functions, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 83, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- I89. V.I. Inozemtsev, The finite Toda lattices, Comm. Math. Phys. **121** (1989), 629–638.
- IM86. V.I. Inozemtsev and D.V. Meshcheryakov, The discrete spectrum states of finitedimensional quantum systems connected with Lie algebras, Phys. Scripta 33 (1986), 99–104.
- I13. T. Ishii, Whittaker functions on orthogonal groups of odd degree, J. Lie Theory 23 (2013), 85–112.
- J06. M. de Jeu, Paley-Wiener theorems for the Dunkl transform, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 4225–4250.
- KL01. S. Kharchev and D. Lebedev, Integral representations for the eigenfunctions of quantum open and periodic Toda chains from the QISM formalism, J. Phys. A 34 (2001), 2247– 2258.
- K79. B. Kostant, Quantization and representation theory, in: Representation Theory of Lie groups (G.L. Luke, ed.), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Vol. 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge-New York, 1979, 287–316.

- K13. K.K. Kozlowski, Aspects of the inverse problem for the Toda chain, J. Math. Phys. 54 (2013), 121902.
- K97. V.B. Kuznetsov, Separation of variables for the \mathcal{D}_n -type periodic Toda lattice, J. Phys. A **30** (1997), 2127–2138.
- KJC95. V.B. Kuznetsov, M.F. Jørgensen, and P.L. Christiansen, New boundary conditions for integrable lattices, J. Phys. A 28 (1995), 4639–4654.
- OP83. M.A. Olshanetsky and A.M. Perelomov, Quantum integrable systems related to Lie algebras, Phys. Rep. 94 (1983), 313–404.
- O88. E.M. Opdam, Root systems and hypergeometric functions. IV, Compos. Math. 67 (1988), 191–209.
- O95. _____, Harmonic analysis for certain representations of graded Hecke algebras, Acta Math. **175** (1995), 75–121.
- O00. _____, Lecture Notes on Dunkl Operators for Real and Complex Reflection Groups, MSJ Memoirs, Vol. 8, Mathematical Society of Japan, Tokyo, 2000.
- O07. T. Oshima, Completely integrable systems associated with classical root systems, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. 3 (2007), Paper 061.
- OS10. T. Oshima and N. Shimeno, Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions and their specializations, in: New Viewpoints of Representation Theory and Noncommutative Harmonic Analysis, M. Itoh and H. Ochiai (eds.), RIMS Kôkyûroku Bessatsu, Vol. B20, Res. Inst. Math. Sci. (RIMS), Kyoto, 2010, 129–162.
- P12. B.G. Pusztai, The hyperbolic BC_n Sutherland and the rational BC_n Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen models: Lax matrices and duality, Nuclear Phys. B **856** (2012), 528–551.
- P13. _____, Scattering theory of the hyperbolic BC_n Sutherland and the rational BC_n Ruijsenaars-Schneider-van Diejen models, Nuclear Phys. B **874** (2013), 647–662.
- R12. K. Rietsch, A mirror symmetric solution to the quantum Toda lattice, Comm. Math. Phys. **309** (2012), 23–49.

RKV13. M. Rösler, T. Koornwinder, and M. Voit, Limit transition between hypergeometric functions of type BC and type A, Compos. Math. 149 (2013), 1381–1400.

- RV04. M. Rösler and M. Voit, Positivity of Dunkl's intertwining operator via the trigonometric setting, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN 2004(63), 3379–3389.
- RV16. _____, Integral representation and uniform limits for some Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions of type BC, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 368 (2016), 6005–6032.
- R87. S.N.M. Ruijsenaars, Complete integrability of relativistic Calogero-Moser systems and elliptic function identities, Comm. Math. Phys. 110 (1987), 191–213.
- R88. _____, Action-angle maps and scattering theory for some finite-dimensional integrable systems. I. The pure soliton case. Comm. Math. Phys. 115 (1988), 127–165.
- R90a. _____, Relativistic Toda systems, Comm. Math. Phys. **133** (1990), 217–247.
- R90b. _____, Finite-dimensional soliton systems, in: Integrable and Superintegrable Systems, B.A. Kupershmidt (ed.), World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., Teaneck, NJ, 1990, 165– 206.
- S99. P. Sawyer, The eigenfunctions of a Schrödinger operator associated to the root system A_{n-1} , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **50** (1999), 71–86.
- S08. N. Shimeno, A limit transition from Heckman-Opdam hypergeometric functions to the Whittaker functions associated with root systems, arXiv.0812.3773.
- S88. E.K. Sklyanin, Boundary conditions for integrable quantum systems, J. Phys. A 21 (1988), 2375–2389.
- S13. _____, Bispectrality for the quantum open Toda chain, J. Phys. A 46 (2013), 382001.
 T98. A.V. Tsiganov, Dynamical boundary conditions for integrable lattices, J. Phys. A 31 (1998), 8049–8061.
- W84. S. Wojciechowski, On the integrability of the Calogero-Moser system in an external quartic potential and other many-body systems, Phys. Lett. A 102 (1984), 85–88.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA Y FÍSICA, UNIVERSIDAD DE TALCA, CASILLA 747, TALCA, CHILE *Email address:* diejen@inst-mat.utalca.cl

Facultad de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile, Casilla 306, Correo 22, Santiago, Chile

Email address: eemsiz@mat.uc.cl