

On APN functions and their derivatives

Augustine Musukwa

Mzuzu University, P/Bag 201, Mzuzu 2, Malawi
augustinemusukwa@gmail.com

Abstract

We determine a connection between the weight of a Boolean function and the total weight of its first-order derivatives. The relationship established is used to study some cryptographic properties of Boolean functions. We establish a characterization of APN permutations in terms of the weight of the first-order derivatives of their components. We also characterize APN functions by the total weight of the second-order derivatives of their components. The total weight of the first-order and second-order derivatives for functions such as permutations, bent, partially-bent, quadratic, plateaued and balanced functions is determined.

Keywords: Boolean functions; first-order derivatives; second-order derivatives; APN functions; APN permutations

MSC 2010: 06E30, 94A60, 14G50

1 Introduction

The nonlinearity and differential uniformity of a vectorial Boolean function from \mathbb{F}_2^n to itself are properties which are used to measure the resistance of a function towards linear and differential attacks, respectively. It is well-known that APN and AB functions provide optimal resistance against the said attacks. Many studies are conducted to learn more about the properties of these functions. There are several approaches to the study and characterization of these functions (for example see [1, 5, 7, 8]). Today, many APN functions are known as several studies have been conducted on their constructions. However, not so much is known about APN permutations in even dimensions. It is, therefore, important to study more about the properties and characterizations of APN permutations. One of the long-standing open problems is to find an APN permutation in dimension 8.

In this paper, we study about characterizations of APN functions (permutations). Can the total weight of the first-order and second-order derivatives of (vectorial) Boolean functions give us any information about the original functions? We first determine a relationship

between the weight of a Boolean function and the total weight of its first-order derivatives. The relationship established plays an important role in obtaining most of the results in this paper. We establish characterizations of APN functions (permutations) in terms of the weight of the first-order and second-order derivatives of their components. The total weight of the first-order and second-order derivatives of the functions such as permutations, plateaued, bent, partially-bent, quadratic and balanced functions is determined.

The paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we report some definitions and known results. In Section 3, we study the weight of the first-order derivatives of Boolean functions and the components of vectorial Boolean functions. We establish characterization of APN permutation in terms of the weight of the first-order derivatives their components. In Section 4, we use the total weight of second-order derivatives of Boolean functions and the components of vectorial Boolean functions to characterize bent and APN functions.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we report some definitions and well-known results. The reader is referred to [2, 4, 5, 9, 10, 11, 12] for more details.

In this paper, the field of two elements, 0 and 1, is denoted by \mathbb{F}_2 . A vector in the vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n is denoted by small letters such as v . We use ordinary addition $+$ instead of XOR \oplus . Given any set A , we denote its size by $|A|$.

A *Boolean function* is any function f from \mathbb{F}_2^n to \mathbb{F}_2 and a *vectorial Boolean function* is any function F from \mathbb{F}_2^n to \mathbb{F}_2^m for some positive integers n and m . In this paper we study vectorial Boolean functions from \mathbb{F}_2^n to \mathbb{F}_2^n . A Boolean function in algebraic normal form, which is the n -variable polynomial representation over \mathbb{F}_2 , is given by:

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{I \subseteq \mathcal{P}} a_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i \right),$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $a_I \in \mathbb{F}_2$. The *algebraic degree* (or simply *degree*) of f , denoted by $\deg(f)$, is $\max_{I \subseteq \mathcal{P}} \{|I| \mid a_I \neq 0\}$.

A Boolean function f is called *affine* if $\deg(f) \leq 1$ and *quadratic* if $\deg(f) = 2$. We denote the set of all affine functions by A_n . The *weight* of a Boolean function f is defined as $\text{wt}(f) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid f(x) = 1\}|$ and f is *balanced* if $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1}$. The *distance* between two Boolean functions f and g is defined as $d(f, g) = \text{wt}(f + g)$ and the *nonlinearity* of f is defined as $\mathcal{N}(f) = \min_{\alpha \in A_n} d(f, \alpha)$.

A vectorial Boolean function F from \mathbb{F}_2^n to itself is denoted by $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ where f_1, \dots, f_n are Boolean functions called *coordinate functions*. The functions $\lambda \cdot F$, with $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ and “ \cdot ” denoting dot product, are called *components* of F and they are

denoted by F_λ . A vectorial Boolean function F is a *permutation* if and only if all its components are balanced. The degree of F is given by $\deg(F) = \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \deg(F_\lambda)$.

The *Walsh transform* of a Boolean function f is defined as the function W_f from \mathbb{F}_2^n to \mathbb{Z} given by:

$$W_f(a) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+a \cdot x},$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$. A set of $W_f(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is called *Walsh spectrum*. We define $\mathcal{F}(f)$ as

$$\mathcal{F}(f) = W_f(0) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)} = 2^n - 2\text{wt}(f).$$

Notice that f is balanced if and only if $\mathcal{F}(f) = 0$.

The nonlinearity of a function f can also be defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(f) = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} |W_f(a)|. \quad (1)$$

The nonlinearity of a vectorial Boolean function F is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{N}(F) = \min_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{N}(F_\lambda).$$

For every Boolean function f , with n even, $\mathcal{N}(f) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}-1}$ and f is said to be *bent* if and only if equality holds. The lowest possible value for $\max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} |W_f(a)|$ is $2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ and this bound is achieved only for bent functions.

For n odd, a Boolean function f is called *semi-bent* if $\mathcal{N}(f) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$. Semi-bent functions can also be defined in even dimension. For n even, a function f is semi-bent if $\mathcal{N}(f) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$. A vectorial Boolean function F in odd dimension is called *almost-bent (AB)* if all its components are semi-bent.

A Boolean function f on n variables is called *plateaued* if its Walsh spectrum is either $\{\pm 2^{n/2}\}$ (this happens only when n is even and in this case f is bent) or $\{0, \pm \mu\}$ for some integer μ (see [13]). In [9, 13], the *order* of a plateaued function f on n variables is defined as the even integer r , $0 \leq r \leq n$, such that all non-zero values of $W_f^2(a)$ are 2^{2n-r} .

We define the *first-order derivative* of f at $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ by $D_a f(x) = f(x+a) + f(x)$ and the *second-order derivative* of f at a and b is defined as:

$$D_b D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x+a) + f(x+b) + f(x+a+b).$$

An element $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is called a *linear structure* of f if $D_a f$ is constant. The set of all linear structures of f is denoted by $V(f)$ and we call it the *linear space* of f . It is well-known that $V(f)$ is a vector space.

Theorem 1. A Boolean function f on n variables is bent if and only if $D_a f$ is balanced for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

The two Boolean functions $f, g : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ are said to be *affine equivalent* if there exist an affinity $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^n$ such that $f = g \circ \varphi$. This relation is denoted by \sim_A and written as $f \sim_A g$.

Theorem 2. Let f be a quadratic Boolean function on n variables. Then

(i) $f \sim_A x_1 x_2 + \cdots + x_{2k-1} x_{2k} + x_{2k+1}$, with $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$, if f is balanced,

(ii) $f \sim_A x_1 x_2 + \cdots + x_{2k-1} x_{2k} + c$, with $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$, if f is unbalanced.

Definition 3. For a vectorial Boolean function F and $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, define

$$\delta_F(a, b) = |\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid D_a F(x) = b\}|.$$

The differential uniformity of F is defined as:

$$\delta(F) = \max_{a \neq 0, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \delta_F(a, b)$$

and always satisfies $\delta(F) \geq 2$. A function with $\delta(F) = 2$ is called Almost Perfect Nonlinear (APN).

There is another representation of vectorial Boolean functions which is known as *univariate polynomial representation*. The finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} has 2^n elements and we write $\mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ to denote a set of all nonzero elements of \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . The vector space \mathbb{F}_2^n can be endowed with the structure of the finite field \mathbb{F}_{2^n} (see [4]). Any function F from \mathbb{F}_2^n into \mathbb{F}_2^n admits a unique univariate polynomial representation over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} given as:

$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} \delta_i x^i, \quad (2)$$

where $\delta_i \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$ and the degree of F is at most $2^n - 1$. Given the binary expansion $i = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} i_s 2^s$, we define $w_2(i) = \sum_{s=0}^{n-1} i_s$. Therefore, we say that F is a vectorial Boolean function whose algebraic degree is given by $\max\{w_2(i) \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1, \delta_i \neq 0\}$ (see [4]).

The (*absolute*) *trace function* $Tr : \mathbb{F}_{2^n} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ is defined as:

$$Tr(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z^{2^i},$$

where $z \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$. For $\nu \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, a component F_ν of F is given by $F_\nu(x) = Tr(\nu F)$.

Theorem 4. *Let f be any unbalanced quadratic Boolean function on n variables. Then*

$$\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1},$$

where $k = V(f)$.

Definition 5. *A Boolean function f is partially-bent if there exists a linear subspace E of \mathbb{F}_2^n such that the restriction of f to E is affine and the restriction of f to any complementary subspace E' of E (where $E \oplus E' = \mathbb{F}_2^n$) is bent.*

Remark 6. *In [6], it is proved that the weight for unbalanced partially-bent function is given by $2^{n-1} \pm 2^{n-1-h}$ where $\dim E = n - 2h$ (E as defined in Definition 5) and $h \leq n/2$.*

Theorem 7. *Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} into itself. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) \geq 2^{2n+1}(2^n - 1).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if F is APN.

Theorem 8. *Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} into \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then, for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) \geq 2^{2n+1}.$$

Moreover, F is APN if and only if equality holds for all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$.

3 On weight of first-order derivatives of APN permutations

In this section, we consider the total weight of first-order derivatives of Boolean functions and components of vectorial Boolean functions. A characterization of APN permutations in terms of the weight of first-order derivatives of their components is established.

For a given Boolean function f , we know from [5] that

$$\mathcal{F}^2(f) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mathcal{F}(D_a f). \quad (3)$$

We use the relation (3) to show the connection between the weight of a Boolean function f and the total weight of its first-order derivatives.

Proposition 9. *For a Boolean function f on n variables, we have*

$$\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f)}.$$

Proof. From the relation $\mathcal{F}^2(f) = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mathcal{F}(D_a f)$, we obtain the following:

$$|\mathcal{F}(f)| = \sqrt{\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mathcal{F}(D_a f)}. \quad (4)$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(f) = 2^n - 2\text{wt}(f)$, then (4) becomes

$$|2^n - 2\text{wt}(f)| = \sqrt{\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (2^n - 2\text{wt}(D_a f))}. \quad (5)$$

If $\text{wt}(f) \leq 2^{n-1}$, then $|2^n - 2\text{wt}(f)| = 2^n - 2\text{wt}(f)$. So (5) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(f) &= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (2^n - 2\text{wt}(D_a f))} \\ &= 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f)}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\text{wt}(f) > 2^{n-1}$, then $|2^n - 2\text{wt}(f)| = 2\text{wt}(f) - 2^n$. So (5) becomes

$$\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{2^{2n} - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f)}. \quad \square$$

By using Proposition 9, we can write the total weight of first-order derivatives of a Boolean function f in terms of weight of f as in the following.

Corollary 10. *Let f be a Boolean function on n variables. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2\text{wt}(f)[2^n - \text{wt}(f)].$$

Remark 11. *Observe that we can also use the relation 3 to write the total weight of the first-order derivatives of a Boolean function f in terms of $\mathcal{F}^2(f)$ as follows:*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^2(f).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}(f) = 0$ if and only if f is balanced, then by Remark 11 and by the fact that $0 \leq \mathcal{F}^2(f) \leq 2^{2n}$ we deduce the following.

Corollary 12. *For any Boolean function f on n variables, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) \leq 2^{2n-1}.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if f is balanced.

Proposition 13. *For any Boolean function f on n variables, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1} - 2\ell^2$$

where $0 \leq \ell \leq 2^{n-1}$. Moreover, $\ell = 0$ if and only if f is balanced and $\ell = 2^{n-1}$ if and only if f is constant.

Proof. Since $\text{wt}(f)$ is always a positive integer then the quantity in the square root appearing in the relation given in Proposition 9 must be

$$2^{2n} - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = m^2$$

for some positive integer m . This implies that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1} - 2\ell^2$$

where $\ell = m/2$. From Corollary 12, it is clear that we must have $0 \leq \ell \leq 2^{n-1}$.

Note that, from Corollary 10, $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 0$ if and only if $\text{wt}(f) = 0, 2^n$ if and only if f is constant. So it follows that $\ell = 2^{n-1}$ if and only if f is a constant. We also conclude, by Corollary 12, that $\ell = 0$ if and only if f is balanced. \square

Corollary 14. *Let f be any quadratic Boolean function on n variables. Then*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = \begin{cases} 2^{2n-1} & \text{if } f \text{ is balanced} \\ 2^{2n-1} - 2^{n+k-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $k = V(f)$.

Proof. If f is balanced, then by Corollary 12 we have $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}$. Suppose that f is unbalanced. Then, by Theorem 4, we know that $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1}$, where

$k = V(f)$. Taking $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1}$ and applying Corollary 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) &= 2 \left(2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1} \right) \left(2^n - 2^{n-1} + 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1} \right) \\
&= 2 \left(2^{n-1} - 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1} \right) \left(2^{n-1} + 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1} \right) \\
&= 2 \left(2^{2n-2} - 2^{n+k-2} \right) = \left(2^{2n-1} - 2^{n+k-1} \right) \\
&= 2^{n-1} \left(2^n - 2^k \right) = 2^{2n-1} - 2^{n+k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} + 2^{\frac{n+k}{2}-1}$ yields the same result. \square

Since $D_a f$ is balanced for all nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ if and only if f is bent, then the following result is immediate.

Corollary 15. *Let f be a bent Boolean function on n variables, with n even. Then*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) = 2^{2n-1} - 2^{n-1}.$$

Theorem 16. *For any partially-bent Boolean function f on n variables, we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = \begin{cases} 2^{2n-1} & \text{if } f \text{ is balanced} \\ 2^{2n-1} - 2^{2n-2h-1} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $h \leq n/2$.

Proof. If f is balanced, then by Corollary 12 we have $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}$. Now suppose that f is unbalanced. From Remark 6, we know that $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} \pm 2^{n-1-h}$ where $\dim E = n - 2h$. Taking $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1-h}$ and applying Corollary 10, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) &= 2(2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1-h})(2^n - 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-1-h}) \\
&= 2(2^{n-1} + 2^{n-1-h})(2^{n-1} - 2^{n-1-h}) \\
&= 2(2^{2n-2} + 2^{2n-2-2h}) = (2^{2n-1} + 2^{2n-1-2h}) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 2^{n-2h}) = 2^{2n-1} - 2^{2n-2h-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using $\text{wt}(f) = 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-1-h}$ yields the same result. \square

Proposition 17. *Let f be a plateaued function of order r on n variables. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = \begin{cases} 2^{2n-1} & \text{if } f \text{ is balanced} \\ 2^{2n-1} - 2^{2n-r-1} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. If f is balanced, we have $\mathcal{F}^2(f) = 0$ and if f is not balanced, then $\mathcal{F}^2(f)$ is nonzero positive integer and we deduce from the definition of a plateaued function of order r that it must be $\mathcal{F}^2(f) = 2^{2n-r}$. By applying Remark 11 the result follows. \square

Theorem 18. *Let F be a vectorial Boolean function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself. Then*

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if F is a permutation.

Proof. By Corollary 12, we can deduce that for any function F from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1).$$

Since all the components F_λ , $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, of a permutation F are balanced, then it follows that the equality holds if and only if F is a permutation. \square

Remark 19. *Observe that if F is an AB function or APN permutation from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself, then we can use Theorem 18 to conclude that the total weight of the first-order derivatives of its components must be equal to $2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1)$. Computer check shows that Dillon's APN permutation in dimension 6 is equal to $2^{11}(2^6 - 1) = 129024$.*

Remark 20. *Notice that, from Theorem 18, we can deduce that for any non-bijective APN function F from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) < 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1).$$

Proposition 21. *Let Q be a quadratic APN function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself, with bent and unbalanced semi-bent components only. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) = 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^n - 2).$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, $D_a f$ is balanced for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$ if and only if f is bent. We know that for any unbalanced quadratic function f , $D_a f$ is balanced for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus V(f)$ and $D_a f = 0$ for all $a \in V(f)$. For semi-bent we know that $\dim V(f) = 2$, i.e., $|V(f)| = 4$. Thus, for each bent, the total weight of its first-order derivatives must be $2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)$ (see Corollary 15) and for each semi-bent, the total weight of its first-order derivatives must be $2^{n-1}(2^n - 4)$. For any quadratic APN function with bent and semi-bent components, there are exactly $2/3(2^n - 1)$ bent components and $(2^n - 1)/3$ semi-bent components (see [11]). So the total weight of all first-order derivatives of Q must be

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a Q_\lambda) &= \frac{2}{3}(2^n - 1)2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) + \frac{1}{3}(2^n - 1)2^{n-1}(2^n - 4) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) \left(\frac{2}{3}(2^n - 1) + \frac{1}{3}(2^n - 4) \right) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) \left(\frac{2(2^n - 1) + (2^n - 1) - 3}{3} \right) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1) \left(\frac{3(2^n - 1) - 3}{3} \right) \\
&= 2^{n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^n - 2). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark 22. Computer check shows that the total weight of first-order derivatives of components of the Gold APN power functions in dimensions 4, 6 and 8 satisfy Proposition 21. However, the non-quadratic APN power functions such as Kasami functions of dimension 6 and 8 also have the total weight that satisfy Proposition 21.

Theorem 23. Let F be a permutation from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} [\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2 \geq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} + 1).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if F is an APN permutation.

Proof. We have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) &= \sum_{\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} [2^n - 2\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} [2^{2n} - 4 \cdot 2^n \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) + 4[\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2] \\
&= 2^{3n}(2^n - 1) - 4 \cdot 2^n \sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) + 4 \sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} [\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2. \quad (6)
\end{aligned}$$

Since F is a permutation then, by Theorem 18, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda) = 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1).$$

So by Equation (6) and Theorem 7, we have

$$2^{3n}(2^n - 1) - 4 \cdot 2^{3n-1}(2^n - 1) + 4 \sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} [\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2 \geq 2^{2n+1}(2^n - 1) \quad (7)$$

from which we deduce that

$$\sum_{\lambda, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} [\text{wt}(D_a F_\lambda)]^2 \geq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^{n-1} + 1). \quad (8)$$

Since, by Theorem 7, we conclude that the equality in the relation (7) holds if and only if F is an APN permutation, then we deduce from the same that the equality in the relation (8) holds if and only if F is an APN permutation. \square

Remark 24. *Computer check shows that the result in Theorem 23 holds for Dillon's APN permutation in dimension 6. However, the result can never hold for non-bijective APN functions.*

4 On weight of second-order derivatives of APN functions

In this section, we use the total weight of second-order derivatives of (vectorial) Boolean functions to characterize bent and APN functions.

We first exhibit the relationship between the weight of the first-order derivatives and the weight of the second-order derivatives. By Corollary 10, we can deduce that for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ we have $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2 \text{wt}(D_a f)[2^n - \text{wt}(D_a f)] = 2^{n+1} \text{wt}(D_a f) - 2[\text{wt}(D_a f)]^2$ from which we get

$$\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{n+1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} [\text{wt}(D_a f)]^2. \quad (9)$$

From Corollary 12, we know that $\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}$ if and only if f is balanced. So it implies that the equation (9) becomes

$$\sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{3n} - 2 \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} [\text{wt}(D_a f)]^2 \quad (10)$$

if and only if f balanced.

By Remark 11, for any $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$, observe that $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{2n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a f)$ from which we obtain

$$\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a f). \quad (11)$$

Next we give an upper bound on the total weight of the second-order derivatives and show that this bound is met only for bent functions.

Proposition 25. *Let f be a Boolean function on n variables. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if f is bent .

Proof. Observe that

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a f) = 0$$

if and only if $D_a f$ is balanced for all $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ if and only if f bent. Hence, the result follows immediately from the relation (11). \square

Proposition 26. *For any partially-bent function f on n variables, we have*

$$\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 2^{n-2h}),$$

where $h \leq n/2$.

Proof. Observe that, for all $\alpha \in E$, we have $D_\alpha f = c$, with $c \in \mathbb{F}_2$ and $D_a f$ is balanced for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus E$. Since, by Remark 6, we are given that $\dim E = n - 2h$, then we have $|\mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus E| = 2^n - 2^{n-2h}$. Since $D_a f$ is balanced then, by Corollary 12, we can deduce that $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{2n-1}$ and since $D_\alpha f$ is constant then clearly we have $\sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_\alpha f) = 0$. Therefore, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus E} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) + \sum_{\alpha \in E} \sum_{b \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_\alpha f) \\ &= 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 2^{n-2h}) + 2^{n-2h}(0) = 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 2^{n-2h}). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

It appears like the total weight of second-order derivatives can be associated with the nonlinearity of a Boolean function. Observe from Propositions 25 and 26 that all bent functions have the same total weight of their second-order derivatives and also all partially-bent functions with the same nonlinearity have the same total weight of their second-order derivatives.

Next we show that the total weight of the second-order derivatives can be expressed in terms of the Walsh transforms of a Boolean function f .

Lemma 27. *Let f be a Boolean function on n variables. Then we have*

$$\sum_{a,b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a f) = 2^{3n-1} - \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f^4(a).$$

Proof. If f be a Boolean function on n variables, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f^4(a) &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \sum_{x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(w)+a \cdot (x+y+z+w)} \\ &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \sum_{x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(w)} (-1)^{a \cdot (x+y+z+w)} \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(w)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{a \cdot (x+y+z+w)} \\ &= \sum_{x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | x+y+z+w=0} 2^n (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(w)} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{x,y,z,w \in \mathbb{F}_2^n | w=x+y+z} (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(w)} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{x,y,z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+f(y)+f(z)+f(x+y+z)} \\ &= 2^n \sum_{x,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x)+f(x+b)+f(x+c)+f(x+b+c)} \quad (\text{where } y = x + b \text{ and } z = x + c) \\ &= 2^n \sum_{x,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{D_b D_c f(x)} = 2^n \sum_{b,c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \mathcal{F}(D_b D_c f) \\ &= 2^n \sum_{b,c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (2^n - 2 \text{wt}(D_b D_c f)) \\ &= 2^{4n} - 2^{n+1} \sum_{b,c \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \text{wt}(D_b D_c f). \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

The result immediately follows from the relation (12). □

Remark 28. Since $\mathcal{W}_f(a) = \pm 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$, for all $a \in \mathbb{F}^n$, if and only if f is bent, then Proposition 25 can also be deduced from Lemma 27. Since nonlinearity depends on $\max_{a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} |\mathcal{W}_f(a)|$ (see the relation (1)), then we can use Lemma 27 to conclude that a function with high nonlinearity its total weight is relatively high.

Next we give a characterization of APN functions that is deduced from Theorem 7.

Theorem 29. Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} to itself. Then

$$\sum_{\lambda, b, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^n - 2).$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if F is APN.

Proof. By the relation (11), for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*$, we obtain

$$\sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) = 2^{2n}(2^n - 1) - 2 \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda)$$

from which we deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) &= \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) + 2^{2n} = 2^{2n}(2^n - 1) - 2 \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) + 2^{2n} \\ &= 2^{3n} - 2 \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Using the relation (13), we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \neq 0, a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) = 2^{3n}(2^n - 1) - 2 \sum_{\lambda, a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda). \quad (14)$$

By applying Theorem 7, the relation (14) becomes

$$2^{3n}(2^n - 1) - 2 \sum_{\lambda, a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \geq 2^{2n+1}(2^n - 1), \quad (15)$$

and equality holds if and only if F is APN. The relation (15) is then reduced to:

$$\sum_{\lambda, a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 1)(2^n - 2)$$

and equality holds if and only if F is APN. \square

Theorem 30. *Let F be a function from \mathbb{F}_{2^n} into \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . Then, for any nonzero $a \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}$, we have*

$$\sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 2).$$

Moreover, F is APN if and only if equality holds for all nonzero a in \mathbb{F}_{2^n} .

Proof. By applying the relation (3), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}^2(D_a F_\lambda) &= \sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \mathcal{F}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \\ &= \sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} [2^n - 2\text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda)] \\ &= 2^{3n} - 2 \sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \\ &= 2^{3n} - 2 \sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda). \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By Theorem 8 and the equation (16) we obtain the following:

$$\sum_{\lambda, b \in \mathbb{F}_{2^n}^*} \text{wt}(D_b D_a F_\lambda) \leq 2^{2n-1}(2^n - 2). \quad \square$$

Remark 31. *As observed in Remark 28, we can conclude that the nonlinearities of components of APN functions are relatively high since in Theorem 29 the total weight of its second-order derivatives is the highest.*

5 Conclusion

In this paper, we have established a characterization of APN permutations in terms of the weight of their first-order derivatives. Using the total weight of second-order derivatives, a characterization for APN functions has been discovered. The total weight of the first-order and second-order derivatives for the functions such as bent, partially-bent, plateaued, balanced and permutations have been determined.

References

- [1] Beth, T., Ding, C.: On almost perfect nonlinear permutations. *Advances in Cryptology-EUROCRYPT '93*, T. Helleseth (ed.), Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 765, pp. 65-76, Springer-Verlag, 1994.

- [2] Berger, T. P., Canteaut, A., Charpin, P., Laigle-Chapuy Y.: On Almost Perfect Non-linear Functions Over \mathbb{F}_{2^n} . *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 52(9), (2006), 4160-4170. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2006.880036
- [3] Carlet C.: Vectorial Boolean Functions for Cryptography. *Boolean models and methods in mathematics, computer science and engineering*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2,(2010), 398-470.
- [4] Carlet, C.: Boolean Functions for Cryptography and Coding Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2021.
- [5] Canteaut, A., Carlet, C., Charpin, P., Fontaine, C.: On cryptographic properties of the cosets of $R(1, m)$. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 47(4),(2001), 1494-1513. doi: 10.1109/18.923730.
- [6] Carlet, C.: Partially-bent functions. *Des Codes Crypt* 3, (1993), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388412>
- [7] Carlet, C.: Characterizations of the Differential Uniformity of Vectorial Functions by the Walsh Transform. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 64 (9), (2018), 6443-6453. doi: 10.1109/TIT.2017.2761392.
- [8] Carlet, C.: Componentwise APNness, Walsh uniformity of APN functions, and cyclic-additive difference sets. *Finite Fields and Their Applications*, 53,(2018), 226-253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2018.06.007>.
- [9] Cusick, T.W., Stănică, P.: Cryptographic Boolean functions and applications. Academic Press, San Diego, (2009)
- [10] MacWilliams, F.-J., Sloane, N.-J.-A.: The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes. Elsevier, New York (1977).
- [11] Musukwa, A., Sala, M.: On the linear structures of balanced functions and quadratic APN functions. *Cryptogr. Commun.* 12, 859–880 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-020-00431-5>
- [12] Nyberg K.: Differentially uniform mappings for cryptography. In Helleseth, T. (Ed.): *Advances in Cryptology - EUROCRYPT'93*, LNCS 765. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, (1994), pp. 55-64.
- [13] Zheng, Y., Zhang, X.-M.: On plateaued functions. *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 47, (2001), 1215-1223.