

SEGRE EMBEDDING AND BIHARMONICITY

HIBA BIBI, DOREL FETCU, AND CEZAR ONICIUC

Dedicated to Professor Bang-Yen Chen on the occasion of his 80th birthday

ABSTRACT. We consider the Segre embedding of the product $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ and study the biharmonicity of $M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$ and $M_1^p \times M_2^q$ as submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, where M and M_1 are Lagrangian submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and M_2 is a Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^q$. We find two new large classes of biharmonic submanifolds in complex projective space forms.

1. INTRODUCTION

In mid-1980's, B.-Y. Chen [5] defined biharmonic submanifolds of Euclidean spaces \mathbb{E}^n as isometric immersions with harmonic mean curvature vector field. In the same period, biharmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds were defined independently by G.-Y. Jiang [14, 16], at a more abstract level, as critical points of the L^2 -norm of the tension field, as previously suggested in 1964 by J. Eells and J. H. Sampson [9]. This variational definition coincides with the one proposed by B.-Y. Chen when the ambient space is \mathbb{E}^n and the map is an isometric immersion. As all harmonic maps (minimal submanifolds in the case of immersions) are biharmonic, the interesting case is that of proper-biharmonic maps (submanifolds), i.e., biharmonic maps (submanifolds) which are not harmonic (minimal). In the same paper [5], it is conjectured that there are no proper-biharmonic submanifolds in \mathbb{E}^n . However, a multitude of examples of proper-biharmonic submanifolds exist in other ambient spaces. The most studied case is that of biharmonic submanifolds in spheres (detailed accounts on these studies can be found in [12, 22, 23]). Then, the next step to be taken has been to study the biharmonic submanifolds in spaces with non-constant sectional curvature, and a very good environment in this respect proved to be complex projective spaces (see, for example, [2, 10, 13, 24, 25, 27]).

From the theory of biharmonic submanifolds, a new direction developed in the last decade with the studies on biconservative submanifolds. These submanifolds are defined only by the vanishing of the tangent part of the bitension field. Some examples of articles on this topic are [3, 17, 18, 19, 21, 26, 30].

Although the Segre embedding [28] is a notion specific (and an important one) to Algebraic Geometry, it was also often used in studies where the point of view of Differential Geometry prevails (see, for example, [6, 20, 29] and also [7] for a detailed report on the main results obtained in this approach between mid-1970's and 2002). However, the Segre embedding, an isometric immersion with a peculiar second fundamental form, was never employed in studying biharmonicity until very recently. In [2], a paper from 2021, it is proved that a product $\gamma \times \mathbb{C}P^q(4)$, where

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32V40, 53C40, 31B30, 53C42.

Key words and phrases. Biconservative Submanifolds, Biharmonic Submanifolds, Segre Embedding.

γ is a curve in $\mathbb{C}P^1(4)$, immersed via Segre embedding in $\mathbb{C}P^{1+2q}(4)$, is proper-biharmonic if and only if γ is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{C}P^1(4)$. This result suggests that there may be more such examples to be found by using the Segre embedding.

In our paper, we further exploit this concept in order to find some of these proper-biharmonic submanifolds in complex projective spaces. Thus, we consider the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^p(4) \times \mathbb{C}P^q(4)$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}(4)$, and then, by using Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^p(4)$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q(4)$, we obtain two classes of proper-biharmonic product submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}(4)$.

Conventions. Henceforth, the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P(4)$ of complex dimension n and constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4 will be denoted simply by $\mathbb{C}P^n$. For curvature tensors we will use the following sign convention

$$R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z.$$

The Laplacian defined for sections in a Riemannian vector bundle $\pi : E \rightarrow M$, endowed with a linear connection ∇^E , will be $\Delta = -\text{trace}(\nabla^E)^2$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Biharmonic maps $\phi : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ between two Riemannian manifolds are critical points of the bienergy functional

$$E_2 : C^\infty(M, N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad E_2(\phi) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M |\tau(\phi)|^2 dv,$$

where $\tau(\phi) = \text{trace} \nabla d\phi$ is the tension field of ϕ . The Euler-Lagrange equation, also called the biharmonic equation in this case, was derived by G.-Y. Jiang [16]

$$(2.1) \quad \tau_2(\phi) = -\Delta \tau(\phi) - \text{trace} R^N(d\phi(\cdot), \tau(\phi))d\phi(\cdot) = 0,$$

where $\tau_2(\phi)$ is the bitension field of ϕ .

Any harmonic map is biharmonic and, therefore, we are interested in studying proper-biharmonic maps, i.e., non-harmonic biharmonic maps.

Next, if we consider a fixed map ϕ and let the domain metric vary, one obtains a functional on the set \mathcal{G} of Riemannian metrics on M

$$\mathcal{F}_2 : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathcal{F}_2(g) = E_2(\phi).$$

Critical points of this functional are characterized by the vanishing of the stress-energy tensor S_2 of the bienergy (see [17]). This tensor was introduced in [15] as

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(X, Y) &= \frac{1}{2} |\tau(\phi)|^2 \langle X, Y \rangle + \langle d\phi, \nabla \tau(\phi) \rangle \langle X, Y \rangle - \langle d\phi(X), \nabla_Y \tau(\phi) \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle d\phi(Y), \nabla_X \tau(\phi) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and it satisfies

$$\text{div} S_2 = \langle \tau_2(\phi), d\phi \rangle.$$

We note that, for isometric immersions, $(\text{div} S_2)^\sharp = -\tau_2(\phi)^\top$, where $\tau_2(\phi)^\top$ is the tangent part of the bitension field.

Definition 2.1. A submanifold $\phi : M^m \rightarrow N^n$ of a Riemannian manifold N^n is called biconservative if $\text{div} S_2 = 0$.

As it is easy to see from this definition, a submanifold is biconservative if and only if the tangent part of its bitension field vanishes.

Next, let us recall some basic results in the theory of submanifolds. For a submanifold M in a Riemannian manifold N and any vector fields X and Y tangent to M we have the Gauss Equation

$$\nabla_X^N Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y),$$

where ∇ is the induced connection on M and B is the second fundamental form of the immersion, and also the Weingarten Equation

$$\nabla_X^N U = -A_U X + \nabla_X^\perp U$$

where U is a normal vector field, A denotes the shape operator of M in N and ∇^\perp is the connection in the normal bundle.

Throughout our paper, we will also use the Gauss Equation of M in N

$$(2.2) \quad \langle R^N(X, Y)Z, W \rangle = \langle R(X, Y)Z, W \rangle + \langle B(X, Z), B(Y, W) \rangle - \langle B(X, W), B(Y, Z) \rangle,$$

where X, Y, Z and W are vector fields tangent to M , as well as its Codazzi Equation

$$(2.3) \quad (\nabla_X^\perp B)(Y, Z) - (\nabla_Y^\perp B)(X, Z) = (R^N(X, Y)Z)^\perp,$$

where

$$(\nabla_X^\perp B)(Y, Z) = \nabla_X^\perp B(Y, Z) - B(\nabla_X Y, Z) - B(Y, \nabla_X Z).$$

Definition 2.2. Let M^m be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold N^n . If the mean curvature vector field $H = (1/m)\text{trace } B$ of M is parallel in the normal bundle, i.e., $\nabla^\perp H = 0$, then M is called a PMC submanifold. If the mean curvature $|H|$ is constant then M is called a CMC submanifold.

Now, consider a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with complex structure J . The curvature tensor field of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ is given by

$$(2.4) \quad R^{\mathbb{C}P^n}(X, Y)Z = \langle Y, Z \rangle X - \langle X, Z \rangle Y + \langle JY, Z \rangle JX - \langle JX, Z \rangle JY \\ + 2\langle JY, X \rangle JZ,$$

where X, Y and Z are vector fields tangent to $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Definition 2.3. A submanifold M^m of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with complex structure J is said to be totally real if JTM^m lies in the normal bundle of M^m . If, moreover, the real dimension of M is equal to n then $JTM^m = NM^m$ and M^m is called a Lagrangian submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

We will also need the following result, which characterizes biharmonic and, implicitly, biconservative submanifolds.

Theorem 2.4 ([10]). *Let M^m be a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that JH is tangent to M . Then M is biharmonic if and only if*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta^\perp H - \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_H(\cdot)) + (m+3)H = 0 \\ 4 \text{trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H}(\cdot) + m \text{grad}(|H|^2) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where Δ^\perp is the Laplacian in the normal bundle of M in $\mathbb{C}P^n$.

Corollary 2.5. *Let M^m be a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ such that JH is tangent to M . Then M is biconservative if and only if*

$$4 \text{trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H}(\cdot) + m \text{grad}(|H|^2) = 0.$$

We end this section with the definition and a basic property of the Segre embedding. Introduced by C. Segre [28] in 1891, this isometric and holomorphic embedding is given by

$$S_{pq} : \mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$$

with

$$S_{pq}([(z_0, \dots, z_p)], [(w_0, \dots, w_q)]) = [(z_j w_t)_{0 \leq j \leq p, 0 \leq t \leq q}],$$

where (z_0, \dots, z_p) and (w_0, \dots, w_q) are the homogeneous coordinates in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively. For the sake of simplicity, from now on, we will denote $S_{pq} = j$.

Let B^j be the second fundamental form of the Segre embedding. Since $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$ are totally geodesic in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ and also in $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$, we have the following property of B^j .

Lemma 2.6. *If vector fields X_1, X_2 are tangent to $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and Y_1, Y_2 to $\mathbb{C}P^q$, then $B^j(X_1, X_2) = B^j(Y_1, Y_2) = 0$.*

3. TWO CLASSES OF BIHARMONIC SUBMANIFOLDS

3.1. Submanifolds of type $M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$. Let M^p be a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ with mean curvature vector field H and denote by ∇, B, A , and ∇^\perp the data of this immersion. Consider two more immersions

$$i : \Sigma^{p+2q} = M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$$

and

$$\phi = j \circ i : \Sigma^{p+2q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq},$$

where $j : \mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ is the Segre embedding.

From the Gauss equations of ϕ and j , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad B^\phi(X, Y) &= \nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} Y - \nabla_X^\Sigma Y = \nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} Y - \nabla_X^\Sigma Y + B^j(X, Y) \\ &= B^i(X, Y) + B^j(X, Y), \end{aligned}$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to Σ .

Let $\{E_a\}_{a=1}^p$ be a local orthonormal frame field on M^p and $\{\bar{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{2q}$ be a local orthonormal frame field on $\mathbb{C}P^q$.

A very important feature of the immersion ϕ is that $\{B^\phi(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)\}$ are orthonormal vector fields (see [6]). This leads, also using $B^i(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$ and $B^j(JE_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) = JB^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)$, to the following lemma which will be a key ingredient of our computations.

Lemma 3.1. *The second fundamental form B^j of the Segre embedding has the following properties:*

- (1) $\{B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)\}$ are orthonormal vector fields;
- (2) $\{B^j(JE_a, \bar{E}_\alpha)\}$ are orthonormal vector fields.

The mean curvature vector field of Σ in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ is given by

$$H^\phi = H^i + \frac{1}{p+2q} \left(\sum_{a=1}^p B^j(E_a, E_a) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2q} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) \right).$$

Since $B^j(E_a, E_a) = B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$, we have $H^\phi = H^i$. Moreover, it is easy to see that $B^i(E_a, E_a) = B(E_a, E_a)$ and $B^i(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$, and, therefore,

$$(3.2) \quad H^\phi = \frac{p}{p+2q} H.$$

For each vector field E_a we have $B^j(E_a, H) = 0$ and it follows

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} H^\phi &= \frac{p}{p+2q} (\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} H + B^j(E_a, H)) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} H.\end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi = \frac{p}{p+2q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H \quad \text{and} \quad A_{H^\phi}^\phi E_a = \frac{p}{p+2q} A_H E_a.$$

In the same way, we get

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi = \frac{p}{p+2q} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \quad \text{and} \quad A_{H^\phi}^\phi \bar{E}_\alpha = 0,$$

for any vector field \bar{E}_α .

Remark 3.2. Since M^p is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^p$, its mean curvature vector field can be written as $H = |H|JX$ for some unit vector field X tangent to M . From Lemma 3.1 one can easily see that $|B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)| = |H||B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, JX)| = |H|$. Thus, the first identity of (3.4) implies that Σ cannot be a PMC submanifold. On the other hand, it is obvious that Σ is a CMC submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ if and only if M is a CMC submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^p$.

Theorem 3.3. *If M^p is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^p$, then*

- (1) *via the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, the product $\Sigma^{p+2q} = M^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$ is a biconservative submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ if and only if M^p is a biconservative submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^p$;*
- (2) *Σ^{p+2q} is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ if and only if M^p is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^p$.*

Proof. We note that the vector field $JH^\phi = (p/(p+2q))JH$ is tangent to M and, therefore, to Σ , which means that we can apply Theorem 2.4 to write the biconservative equation of the immersion $\phi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ as

$$(3.5) \quad 4 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi}^\phi(\cdot) + (p+2q) \operatorname{grad}(|H^\phi|^2) = 0.$$

In order to compute the first term in the left-hand side of (3.5), consider again the orthonormal frame fields $\{E_a\}_{a=1}^p$ on M and $\{\bar{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^{2q}$ on $\mathbb{C}P^q$ and then, from the first equation (3.3) and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi}^\phi E_a &= \frac{p}{p+2q} A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H}^\phi E_a \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H - B^j(E_a, \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H) + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H \right),\end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\langle A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi}^\phi E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha \rangle = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2q\},$$

and

$$\langle A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\phi} H^\phi}^\phi E_a, E_b \rangle = \frac{p}{p+2q} \langle A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H}^\phi E_a, E_b \rangle, \quad \forall b \in \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

Hence

$$(3.6) \quad A^\phi_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\phi} E_a = \frac{p}{p+2q} A_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H} E_a, \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

Next, from (3.4) and (3.1), for any unit vector field X tangent to Σ and any \bar{E}_α , one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A^\phi_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\phi} \bar{E}_\alpha, X \rangle &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \langle A^\phi_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\phi} \bar{E}_\alpha, X \rangle \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \langle B^\phi(\bar{E}_\alpha, X), B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \rangle \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \langle B^i(\bar{E}_\alpha, X) + B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, X), B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \rangle \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \langle B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, X), B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

As $B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$, from the Gauss Equation (2.2) of j , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, X), B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \rangle &= \langle B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha), B^j(X, H) \rangle - \langle R^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha, X \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle R^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha, X \rangle \\ &= \langle R^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha - R^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha, X \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since \bar{E}_α 's are tangent to $\mathbb{C}P^q$ and H to $\mathbb{C}P^p$, we have, using the definition of the curvature tensor, $R^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha = 0$. From (2.4), one can also see that $R^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \bar{E}_\alpha = -H$ and, therefore, $\langle B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, X), B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) \rangle = 0$. Thus, one obtains

$$(3.7) \quad A^\phi_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\phi} \bar{E}_\alpha = 0, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, \dots, 2q\}.$$

Finally, from equation (3.2), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \text{grad}(|H^\phi|^2) = \frac{p^2}{(p+2q)^2} \text{grad}(|H|^2).$$

Replacing (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) in (3.5), the biconservative equation of the immersion ϕ , readily becomes

$$4 \text{ trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H}(\cdot) + p \text{ grad}(|H|^2) = 0,$$

which, as JH is tangent to M , is just the biconservative equation of M .

To prove the second part of the theorem, we will also evaluate the normal part of the biharmonic equation of the immersion ϕ as given by Theorem 2.4

$$(3.9) \quad -\Delta^\perp H^\phi - \text{trace}(B^\phi(\cdot, A^\phi_{H^\phi} \cdot)) + (p+2q+3)H^\phi = 0.$$

With the same notations as before, from (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.10) \quad -\Delta^\perp H^\phi &= \sum_{a=1}^p \left(\nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\phi - \nabla_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp E_a}^\perp H^\phi \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2q} \left(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\phi - \nabla_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\phi \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \sum_{a=1}^p \left(\nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H - \nabla_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp E_a}^\perp H \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{p}{p+2q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{2q} \left(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) - \nabla_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let X be a vector field tangent to M and $V \in C(T\mathbb{C}P^p)$ a normal one. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} V &= -A^\phi_V X + \nabla_X^{\perp\phi} V \\ &= \nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} V + B^j(X, V) = \nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^p} V \\ &= -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V.\end{aligned}$$

Since V is tangent to $\mathbb{C}P^p$, we have $\langle A^\phi_V X, Y \rangle = \langle V, B^j(X, Y) \rangle = 0$, for any vector field $Y \in C(T\mathbb{C}P^q)$, which means that $A^\phi_V X$ is tangent to M and the above equality implies

$$(3.11) \quad A^\phi_V X = A_V X.$$

By using equation (3.11) and Lemma 2.6, we get

$$\begin{aligned}(3.12) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^{\perp\phi} \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H &= A^\phi_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H} \bar{E}_a + \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H \\ &= A_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H} \bar{E}_a + \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H + B^j(\bar{E}_a, \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H) \\ &= A_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H} \bar{E}_a + \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H \\ &= \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp \nabla_{\bar{E}_a}^\perp H.\end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp\phi} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = A^\phi_{B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)} \bar{E}_\alpha + \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H).$$

We know that $B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$. Then, a simple computation, using (2.4) and the fact that JH is tangent to M , gives $R^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)\bar{E}_\alpha = -H$. All these, together with the Codazzi Equation (2.3) of the Segre embedding j , show that $(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp j} B^j)(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = 0$ and then $\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp j} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = B^j(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^q} \bar{E}_\alpha, H)$.

Therefore, one obtains

$$\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = -A^\phi_{B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)} \bar{E}_\alpha + B^j(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^q} \bar{E}_\alpha, H)$$

and, replacing in (3.13),

$$\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp\phi} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = B^j(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^q} \bar{E}_\alpha, H) - (A^\phi_{B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)} \bar{E}_\alpha)^{\perp\phi}.$$

From Lemma 3.1, since $H = |H|JX$ for some unit vector field X tangent to M , it readily follows that $(A^\phi_{B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H)} \bar{E}_\alpha)^{\perp\phi} = H$ and then

$$(3.14) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp\phi} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H) = B^j(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^q} \bar{E}_\alpha, H) - H.$$

The second term in the left-hand side of equation (3.10) can be written, by using (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4), as

$$\begin{aligned}(3.15) \quad \text{trace } B^\phi(\cdot, A^\phi_{H\phi} \cdot) &= \sum_{a=1}^p B^\phi(E_a, A^\phi_{H\phi} E_a) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \sum_{a=1}^p (B^i(E_a, A_H E_a) + B^j(E_a, A_H E_a)) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \sum_{a=1}^p B^i(E_a, A_H E_a) = \frac{p}{p+2q} \sum_{a=1}^p B(E_a, A_H E_a) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+2q} \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_H \cdot).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude by replacing (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15) into (3.9) and using (3.4) to prove that the normal part of the biharmonic equation of ϕ is equivalent to

$$-\Delta^\perp H - \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_H \cdot) + (p+3)H = 0,$$

which is the normal part of the biharmonic equation of M in $\mathbb{C}P^p$. \square

3.2. Submanifolds of type $M_1^p \times M_2^q$. Let us consider two Lagrangian submanifolds M_1^p in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and M_2^q in $\mathbb{C}P^q$. We shall denote by $\nabla, B, A, \nabla^\perp$ and $\bar{\nabla}, \bar{B}, \bar{A}, \bar{\nabla}^\perp$, respectively, the data of these two immersions. Also, denote by H_1 the mean curvature vector field of M_1 in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and by H_2 the mean curvature vector field of M_2 in $\mathbb{C}P^q$.

Next, consider the direct product $\Sigma^{p+q} = M_1^p \times M_2^q$ immersed, first in $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$, and denote this immersion by $i : \Sigma^{p+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$, and then in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, via the Segre embedding $j : \mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, and denote by $\psi : j \circ i : \Sigma^{p+q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ this second immersion.

In what follows we will study the biharmonicity of Σ^{p+q} as a submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$.

Let $\{E_a\}_{a=1}^p$ be a local orthonormal frame field on M_1 and $\{\bar{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^q$ be a local orthonormal frame field on M_2 .

First, since M_1 and M_2 are Lagrangian submanifolds, we can rewrite Lemma 3.1 in a way adapted to our case as follows.

Lemma 3.4. *The second fundamental form B^j of the Segre embedding has the following properties:*

- (1) $\{B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha), B^j(E_b, J\bar{E}_\beta)\}$ are orthonormal vector fields;
- (2) $\{B^j(JE_a, \bar{E}_\alpha), B^j(JE_b, J\bar{E}_\beta)\}$ are orthonormal vector fields;

A similar computation to that in the previous case shows that the second fundamental form of the immersion ψ can be written as

$$(3.16) \quad B^\psi(X, Y) = B^i(X, Y) + B^j(X, Y),$$

for any vector fields X and Y tangent to Σ , in this situation too. Then it is easy to see that the mean curvature vector field of ψ is given by

$$(3.17) \quad H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q}H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q}H_2.$$

Now, for any vector field X tangent to Σ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} H^\psi &= \frac{p}{p+q} (\nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} H_1 + B^j(X, H_1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{p+q} (\nabla_X^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} H_2 + B^j(X, H_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Specializing this formula for $X = E_a$ and then for $X = \bar{E}_\alpha$ and using Lemma 2.6, one obtains

$$\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} B^j(E_a, H_2)$$

and

$$\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} H^\psi = \frac{q}{p+q} \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\mathbb{C}P^q} H_2 + \frac{p}{p+q} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H_1),$$

for any $a \in \{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\alpha \in \{1, \dots, q\}$. From here we easily get

$$(3.18) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} B^j(E_a, H_2), \quad A_{H^\psi}^\psi E_a = \frac{p}{p+q} A_{H_1} E_a$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp\psi} H^\psi = \frac{q}{p+q} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\perp} H_2 + \frac{p}{p+q} B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, H_1), \quad A_{H^\psi}^\psi \bar{E}_\alpha = \frac{q}{p+q} \bar{A}_{H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha.$$

Remark 3.5. As in the previous case, Lemma 3.4 and the first identities of (3.18) and (3.19) show that $\nabla^{\perp\psi} H^\psi$ does not vanish identically and, therefore, Σ cannot be a PMC submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$. Obviously, if M_1 and M_2 are CMC submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively, so is Σ in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, while the converse is not true in general.

Theorem 3.6. *If M_1^p and M_2^q are Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively, then*

- (1) *via the Segre embedding of $\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q$ into $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$, the product $\Sigma^{p+q} = M_1^p \times M_2^q$ is a biconservative submanifold of $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ if and only if M_1^p and M_2^q are biconservative submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively;*
- (2) *Σ^{p+q} is a proper-biharmonic submanifold in $\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ if and only if one of the submanifolds M_1^p or M_2^q is minimal and the other is proper-biharmonic in $\mathbb{C}P^p$ or $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively.*

Proof. Since M_1 and M_2 are Lagrangian submanifolds in their initial ambient spaces, we have that

$$JH^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} JH_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} JH_2$$

is a vector field tangent to Σ . This and Theorem 2.4 imply that the biconservative equation of $\psi : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}$ is

$$(3.20) \quad 4 \operatorname{trace} A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^{\perp\psi} H^\psi}^\psi(\cdot) + (p+q) \operatorname{grad}(|H^\psi|^2) = 0.$$

In the following, we will continue using the orthonormal frame fields $\{E_a\}_{a=1}^p$ on M_1 and $\{\bar{E}_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1}^q$ on M_2 .

Now, the first equation of (3.18) and Lemma 2.6 give

$$\begin{aligned} A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} H^\psi}^\psi E_a &= \frac{p}{p+q} A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1}^\psi E_a + \frac{q}{p+q} A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^\psi E_a \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{p+q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} B^j(E_a, H_2) + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} B^j(E_a, H_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 - B^j(E_a, \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1) + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{p+q} A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^\psi E_a \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \left(-\nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 + \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} \nabla_{E_a}^{\perp} H_1 \right) + \frac{q}{p+q} A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^\psi E_a. \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inner product with any \bar{E}_α one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A_{\nabla_{E_a}^{\perp\psi} H^\psi}^\psi E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha \rangle &= \frac{q}{p+q} \langle A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^\psi E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \frac{q}{p+q} \langle B^j(E_a, H_2), B^\psi(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \frac{q}{p+q} \langle B^j(E_a, H_2), B^i(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) + B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \frac{q}{p+q} \langle B^j(E_a, H_2), B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, a simple computation, using (2.4), shows that

$$R^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(E_a, H_2)E_a = -H_2$$

and, therefore, from the Gauss Equation (2.2) of the Segre embedding, it follows that $\langle B^j(E_a, H_2), B^j(E_a, \bar{E}_\alpha) \rangle = 0$. Thus, $A^\psi \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi E_a$ is tangent to M_1 .

Next, we compute

$$\langle A^\psi \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi E_a, E_b \rangle = \frac{p}{p+q} \langle A_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1} E_a, E_b \rangle, \quad \forall b \in \{1, \dots, p\},$$

and conclude with

$$(3.21) \quad A^\psi \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi E_a = \frac{p}{p+q} A_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1} E_a, \quad \forall a \in \{1, \dots, p\}.$$

Working in the same way, we can also prove that

$$(3.22) \quad A^\psi \nabla_{E_\alpha}^\perp H^\psi \bar{E}_\alpha = \frac{q}{p+q} \bar{A}_{\nabla_{E_\alpha}^\perp H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha, \quad \forall \alpha \in \{1, \dots, q\}.$$

From equation (3.17), we easily get

$$(3.23) \quad \text{grad}(|H^\psi|^2) = \frac{p^2}{(p+q)^2} \text{grad}(|H_1|^2) + \frac{q^2}{(p+q)^2} \text{grad}(|H_2|^2).$$

Replacing (3.21), (3.22) and (3.23) in (3.20), one obtains that the biconservative equation of the immersion ψ is equivalent to

$$4 \text{ trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H_1}(\cdot) + p \text{ grad}(|H_1|^2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 4 \text{ trace } A_{\nabla_{(\cdot)}^\perp H_2}(\cdot) + q \text{ grad}(|H_2|^2) = 0,$$

as the left-hand side terms are tangent one to $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and the other to $\mathbb{C}P^q$. Since M_1 and M_2 are Lagrangian submanifolds of $\mathbb{C}P^p$ and $\mathbb{C}P^q$, respectively, these are their biconservative equations and we conclude the first part of the theorem.

The normal part of the biharmonic equation of the immersion ψ is

$$(3.24) \quad -\Delta^\perp H^\psi - \text{trace}(B^\psi(\cdot, A^\psi_{H^\psi} \cdot)) + (p+q+3)H^\psi = 0.$$

The first term in (3.24) can be written as

$$(3.25) \quad -\Delta^\perp H^\psi = \sum_{a=1}^p \left(\nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi - \nabla_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp E_a}^\perp H^\psi \right) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q \left(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\psi - \nabla_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\psi \right).$$

From the first equation (3.18), we have

$$(3.26) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j(E_a, H_2).$$

Now, using (3.11) and Lemma 2.6, we can compute

$$(3.27) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 &= A^\psi \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 E_a + \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 \\ &= A_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp H} E_a + \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p \times \mathbb{C}P^q} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 + B^j(E_a, \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1) \\ &= A_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1} E_a + \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^p} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 \\ &= \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we have

$$(3.28) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j(E_a, H_2) = A^\psi_{B^j(E_a, H_2)} E_a + \nabla_{E_a}^{\mathbb{C}P^{p+q+pq}} B^j(E_a, H_2).$$

Since $B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{E}_\alpha) = 0$ and $R^{\text{C}P^{p+q+pq}}(\bar{E}_\alpha, H_2)\bar{E}_\alpha = -H_2$, from the Codazzi Equation of j , we get $(\nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j)(E_a, H_2) = 0$ and then $\nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j(E_a, H_2) = B^j(\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^p} E_a, H_2)$, which leads to

$$\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^{p+q+pq}} B^j(E_a, H_2) = -A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^j E_a + B^j(\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^p} E_a, H_2).$$

Replacing in (3.28), one obtains

$$\nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j(E_a, H_2) = B^j(\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^p} E_a, H_2) - (A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^j E_a)^\perp.$$

From Lemma 3.4, since $H_2 = |H_2|JX$ for some unit vector field X tangent to M_2 , we get $(A_{B^j(E_a, H_2)}^j E_a)^\perp = H_2$ and then

$$(3.29) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^\perp B^j(E_a, H_2) = B^j(\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^p} E_a, H_2) - H_2.$$

Putting together (3.26), (3.27) and (3.29), it follows

$$(3.30) \quad \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} \nabla_{E_a}^\perp \nabla_{E_a}^\perp H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} B^j(\nabla_{E_a}^{\text{C}P^p} E_a, H_2) - \frac{q}{p+q} H_2.$$

In the exact same way, we also get

$$(3.31) \quad \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\psi = \frac{q}{p+q} \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H_2 + \frac{p}{p+q} B^j(\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^{\text{C}P^q} \bar{E}_\alpha, H_1) - \frac{p}{p+q} H_1.$$

From the first equation of (3.18), one obtains

$$(3.32) \quad \nabla_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp E_a}^\perp H^\psi = \frac{p}{p+q} \nabla_{\nabla_{E_a}^\perp E_a}^\perp H_1 + \frac{q}{p+q} B^j(\nabla_{E_a} E_a, H_2)$$

and, from the first equation of (3.19),

$$(3.33) \quad \nabla_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H^\psi = \frac{q}{p+q} \nabla_{\nabla_{\bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp \bar{E}_\alpha}^\perp H_2 + \frac{p}{p+q} B^j(\bar{\nabla}_{\bar{E}_\alpha} \bar{E}_\alpha, H_1).$$

Finally, from (3.25), (3.30), (3.31), (3.32) and (3.33), it follows that

$$(3.34) \quad -\Delta^\perp H^\psi = -\frac{p}{p+q} \Delta^\perp H_1 - \frac{q}{p+q} \bar{\Delta}^\perp H_2 + \frac{pq}{p+q} (2B^j(H_1, H_2) - H_1 - H_2).$$

The second term in the normal part (3.24) of the biharmonic equation becomes, by the meaning of (3.16) and the second equations of (3.18) and (3.19),

$$(3.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{trace } B^\psi(\cdot, A_{H^\psi}^\psi \cdot) &= \sum_{a=1}^p B^\psi(E_a, A_{H^\psi}^\psi E_a) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^q B^\psi(\bar{E}_\alpha, A_{H^\psi}^\psi \bar{E}_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \sum_{a=1}^p (B^i(E_a, A_{H_1} E_a) + B^j(E_a, A_{H_1} E_a)) \\ &\quad + \frac{q}{p+q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q (B^i(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{A}_{H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha) + B^j(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{A}_{H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha)) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \sum_{a=1}^p B^i(E_a, A_{H_1} E_a) + \frac{q}{p+q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q B^i(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{A}_{H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \sum_{a=1}^p B(E_a, A_{H_1} E_a) + \frac{q}{p+q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^q \bar{B}(\bar{E}_\alpha, \bar{A}_{H_2} \bar{E}_\alpha) \\ &= \frac{p}{p+q} \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_{H_1} \cdot) + \frac{q}{p+q} \text{trace } \bar{B}(\cdot, \bar{A}_{H_2} \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

Replacing (3.17), (3.34) and (3.35) in (3.24) we see that the normal part of the biharmonic equation of ψ is equivalent to the following three equations

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta^\perp H_1 - \text{trace } B(\cdot, A_{H_1} \cdot) + (p+3)H_1 &= 0, \\ -\bar{\Delta}^\perp H_2 - \text{trace } \bar{B}(\cdot, \bar{A}_{H_2} \cdot) + (q+3)H_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B^j(H_1, H_2) = 0.$$

The third equation can be written as

$$|B^j(H_1, H_2)| = |H_1||H_2| \left| B^j \left(\frac{H_1}{|H_1|}, \frac{H_2}{|H_2|} \right) \right| = 0$$

and, from Lemma 3.4, this reduces to $|H_1||H_2| = 0$ which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.7. Consider two curves γ_1 and γ_2 in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ with constant curvatures κ_1 and κ_2 , respectively. This means that they are biconservative (see [10]) and, since any curve in $\mathbb{C}P^1$ is Lagrangian, from Theorem 3.6 it follows that $\psi : \Sigma^2 = \gamma_1 \times \gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^3$ is a biconservative surface, which is also CMC with $|H^\psi|^2 = (p^2\kappa_1^2 + q^2\kappa_2^2)/(p+q)^2$, but not PMC (see Remark 3.2).

On the other hand, a result in [2] shows that a CMC biconservative surface in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ with $J((JH)^\top)$ tangent to the surface is a PMC surface. As Σ^2 satisfies all these conditions but it is not PMC, we see that the above mentioned result only holds if the surface lies in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and also that the codimension of Σ^2 cannot be reduced (i.e., the surface does not lie in $\mathbb{C}P^2$).

Moreover, we also know that a PMC biconservative surface in $\mathbb{C}P^n$ with JH tangent to the surface lies in $\mathbb{C}P^2$ (see [2]). Our example shows that this only works for PMC surfaces, the CMC condition not being sufficient for this to happen.

Remark 3.8. There are plenty of examples of biconservative and proper-biharmonic Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective spaces. For example, biconservative Lagrangian H -umbilical submanifolds are described (in a local approach) in [26], while all proper-biharmonic Lagrangian H -umbilical submanifolds are determined (also locally) in [25], after an earlier study on such surfaces was done in [24]. Also, biconservative and proper-biharmonic totally real (and in particular Lagrangian) curves in complex space forms were determined in [10]. Moreover, proper-biharmonic parallel Lagrangian submanifolds in $\mathbb{C}P^3$ were found in [11]. Minimal Lagrangian submanifolds in complex projective spaces were intensively studied and many characterization results as well as explicit examples were obtained (see, for example, [1, 4, 8]). Therefore, Theorems 3.3 and 3.6, together with these results, provide two large classes of proper-biharmonic submanifolds with arbitrary dimensions and codimensions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. L. Bryant, *Minimal Lagrangian submanifolds of Kähler-Einstein manifolds*, Differential geometry and differential equations (Shanghai, 1985), 1–12, Lecture Notes in Math., 1255, Springer, Berlin, 1987.
- [2] H. Bibi, B.-Y. Chen, D. Fetcu, and C. Oniciuc, *PMC biconservative surfaces in complex space forms*, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [3] R. Caddeo, S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and P. Piu, *Surfaces in three-dimensional space forms with divergence-free stress-bienergy tensor*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 193(2014), 529–550.
- [4] I. Castro and F. Urbano, *New examples of minimal Lagrangian tori in the complex projective plane*, Manuscripta Math. 85(1994), 265–281.
- [5] B.-Y. Chen, *Some open problems and conjectures on submanifolds of finite type*, Soochow J. Math. 17(1991), 169–188.

- [6] B.-Y. Chen, *CR-submanifolds of a Kähler manifold. I, II*, J. Differential Geometry 16(1981), 305–322, 493–509.
- [7] B.-Y. Chen, *Segre embedding and related maps and immersions in differential geometry*, Arab J. Math. Sci. 8(2002), 1–39.
- [8] B.-Y. Chen, *Riemannian geometry of Lagrangian submanifolds*, Taiwanese J. Math. 5(2001), 681–723.
- [9] J. Eells and J. H. Sampson, *Harmonic mappings of Riemannian manifolds*, Amer. J. Math. 86(1964), 109–160.
- [10] D. Fetcu, E. Loubeau, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds of CP^n* , Math. Z. 266(2010), 505–531.
- [11] D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic integral C-parallel submanifolds in 7-dimensional Sasakian space forms*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 64(2012), 195–222.
- [12] D. Fetcu and C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic and biconservative hypersurfaces in space forms*, Differential geometry and global analysis—in honor of Tadashi Nagano, 65–90, Contemp. Math., 777, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, 2022.
- [13] T. Ichiyama, J. I. Inoguchi, and H. Urakawa, *Bi-harmonic maps and bi-Yang-Mills fields*, Note Mat. 28(2009), 233–275.
- [14] G. Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Translated from the Chinese by Hajime Urakawa. Note Mat. 28(2009), 209–232.
- [15] G. Y. Jiang, *The conservation law for 2-harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds*, Acta Math. Sinica 30(1987), 220–225.
- [16] G. Y. Jiang, *2-harmonic maps and their first and second variational formulas*, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A 7(1986), 389–402.
- [17] E. Loubeau, S. Montaldo, and C. Oniciuc, *The stress-energy tensor for biharmonic maps*, Math. Z. 259(2008), 503–524.
- [18] F. Manfio, N. C. Turgay, and A. Upadhyay, *Biconservative submanifolds in $S^n \times \mathbb{R}$ and $H^n \times \mathbb{R}$* , J. Geom. Anal. 29(2019), 283–298.
- [19] S. Montaldo, C. Oniciuc, and A. Ratto, *Biconservative surfaces*, J. Geom. Anal. 26(2016), 313–329.
- [20] H. Nakagawa and R. Takagi, *On locally symmetric Kaehler submanifolds in a complex projective space*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 28(1976), 638–667.
- [21] S. Nistor, *On biconservative surfaces*, Differential Geom. Appl. 54(2017), 490–502.
- [22] C. Oniciuc, *Biharmonic submanifolds in space forms*, Habilitation Thesis, www.researchgate.net, <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.4980.5605>, 2012.
- [23] Y. L. Ou and B. Y. Chen, *Biharmonic submanifolds and biharmonic maps in Riemannian geometry*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 528 pp., 2020.
- [24] T. Sasahara, *Biminimal Lagrangian surfaces of constant mean curvature in complex space forms*, Differential Geom. Appl. 27(2009), 647–652.
- [25] T. Sasahara, *Biminimal Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms*, Geom. Dedicata 160(2012), 185–193.
- [26] T. Sasahara, *Tangentially biharmonic Lagrangian H-umbilical submanifolds in complex space forms*, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hambg. 85(2015), 107–123.
- [27] T. Sasahara, *Classification theorems for biharmonic real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space*, Results Math. 74(2019), Paper No. 136, 10 p.
- [28] C. Segre, *Sulle varietà che rappresentano le coppie di punti di due piani o spazi*, Rend. Cir. Mat. Palermo 5(1891), 192–204.
- [29] R. Takagi and M. Takeuchi, *Degree of symmetric Kählerian submanifolds of a complex projective space*, Osaka Math. J. 14(1977), 501–518.
- [30] N. C. Turgay and A. Upadhyay, *On biconservative hypersurfaces in 4-dimensional Riemannian space forms*, Math. Nachr. 292(2019), 905–921.

INSTITUT DENIS POISSON, CNRS UMR 7013, UNIVERSITÉ DE TOURS, UNIVERSITÉ D’ORLÉANS,
 PARC DE GRANDMONT, 37200 TOURS, FRANCE
Email address: hiba.bibi@univ-tours.fr

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, GH. ASACHI TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY OF
 IASI, Bd. CAROL I, 11 A, 700506 IASI, ROMANIA
Email address: dorel.fetcu@academic.tuiasi.ro

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, AL. I. CUZA UNIVERSITY OF IASI, Bd. CAROL I, 11, 700506 IASI,
ROMANIA

Email address: `oniciucc@uaic.ro`