

# Distributed Phase Estimation Algorithm and Distributed Shor's Algorithm

Ligang Xiao<sup>a</sup>, Daowen Qiu<sup>a,\*</sup>, Le Luo<sup>b</sup> and Paulo Mateus<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Computer Science and Engineering, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou, 510006, China

<sup>b</sup>School of Physics and Astronomy, Sun Yat-sen University, Zhuhai, 519082, China

<sup>c</sup>Instituto de Telecomunicações, Departamento de Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico, Av. Rovisco Pais 1049-001 Lisbon, Portugal

## ARTICLE INFO

### Keywords:

Shor's algorithm  
Distributed Shor's algorithm  
Distributed phase estimation algorithm

## ABSTRACT

Shor's algorithm is one of the most significant quantum algorithms. Shor's algorithm can factor large integers with a certain success probability in polynomial time. However, Shor's algorithm requires an unbearable amount of qubits in the NISQ (Noisy Intermediate-scale Quantum) era. To reduce the resources required for Shor's algorithm, in this paper we first propose a new distributed phase estimation algorithm. Our distributed phase estimation algorithm does not require quantum communication and it reduces the number of qubits of a single node compared to the traditional phase estimation algorithm (non-iterative version). Then we apply our distributed phase estimation algorithm to form a distributed order-finding algorithm for Shor's algorithm. Compared with the traditional Shor's algorithm (non-iterative version), the maximum number of qubits required by a single node of our distributed order-finding algorithm is reduced by  $(2 - \frac{2}{k})L - \log_2 k - O(1)$  when factoring an  $L$ -bit integer ( $k$  is the number of compute nodes). The communication complexity of our distributed order-finding algorithm is  $O(kL)$ .

## 1. Introduction

Quantum computing is rapidly developing and has shown impressive advantages over classical computing in factoring larger integer [18], solving linear system of equations [7], simulating chemical molecular [1] and other fields. However, in order to realize quantum algorithms in practice, medium or large scale general quantum computers are required. Currently it is still difficult to implement such quantum computers. Therefore, to advance the application of quantum algorithms in the NISQ era, we would consider to reduce the required qubits or other quantum resources for quantum computers.

Distributed quantum computing is a computing method that combines distributed computing and quantum computing [2, 3, 13, 21]. It aims to solve problems by utilizing multiple smaller quantum computers working together. Distributed quantum computing is usually used to reduce the resources required by each computer, including qubits, gate complexity, circuit depth and so on. Due to these potential benefits, distributed quantum computing has been studied significantly [2, 3, 8, 12, 13, 17, 19, 21]. For example, in 2013, Beals et al. proposed an algorithm for parallel addressing quantum memory [3]. In 2018, Le Gall et al. studied quantum algorithms in the quantum CONGEST model [12]. In 2022, Qiu et al. proposed a distributed Grover's algorithm [17], and Tan, Xiao and Qiu et al. proposed a distributed quantum algorithm for Simon's problem [19]. These distributed quantum algorithms can reduce quantum resources to some extent.

Shor's algorithm [18] is one of the most significant algorithms in quantum computing. It can factor large integers with a certain probability of success and costs polynomial time. Since the best known classical algorithm for factoring large numbers is subexponential but superpolynomial, Shor's algorithm demonstrates quantum advantages. Shor's algorithm can be applied to break RSA encryption which has been widely used in public key cryptography system. Shor's algorithm can be implemented in two ways: one needs to measure multiple qubits at the end (we call it *non-iterative Shor's algorithm*, e.g. [10, 15, 18]), and the other alternately performs unitary operators and measurements, and only one qubit is measured at a time (we call it *iterative Shor's algorithm*, e.g. [4, 6, 16]). The iterative Shor's algorithm has only one control qubit and it requires  $2L + O(1)$  qubits when factoring an  $L$ -bit integer [4, 6, 16]. The non-iterative Shor's algorithm has  $2L + O(1)$  control qubits and thus it requires  $4L + O(1)$  qubits [10, 15, 18].

Shor's algorithm (proposed in 1994) contains the idea of phase estimation, but the phase estimation algorithm was formally proposed in 1995 [11]. Similar to Shor's algorithm, we divide the phase estimation algorithm into *non-iterative phase estimation algorithm* (e.g. [10, 15]) and *iterative phase estimation algorithm* (e.g. [11]). The iterative phase estimation algorithm requires one control qubits and the non-iterative phase estimation algorithm requires multiple control qubits.

However, the application of phase estimation algorithm or Shor's algorithm requires a large number of qubits [5]. Therefore, it is very necessary to reduce the resources required in these algorithms by designing new methods, such as utilizing distributed quantum computing.

\*Corresponding author

✉ issqdw@mail.sysu.edu.cn (D. Qiu)

ORCID(s):

In 2017, Li and Qiu et al. [13] proposed a distributed phase estimation algorithm. Actually, their method can reduce the number of qubits or gate complexity required by a single node. However, their algorithm cannot guarantee that the deviation of the final result from real result is within a given range. In 2020, Neumann et al. proposed a distributed phase estimation algorithm by implementing non-local gates [14]. In fact, it is a general distributed approach.

The first distributed Shor's algorithm was proposed by Yimsiriwattana et al. [21] in 2004. In a way, this is also a universal distributed method. Their method first divides the quantum circuit into several parts directly, and then realizes non-local quantum gates by means of quantum communication. The maximum number of qubits required by a single node of their distributed algorithm is  $L + O(1)$  when factoring an  $L$ -bit integer. Its communication complexity is  $O(L^2)$ .

Recently, Xiao and Qiu et al. [20] proposed a distributed Shor's algorithm. Their algorithm uses two nodes to cooperate to complete the key step in Shor's algorithm, that is, to estimate some  $\frac{s}{r}$ , where  $r$  is the "order" and  $s \in \{0, \dots, r - 1\}$ . Compared with the traditional Shor's algorithm, their algorithm reduces the required qubits (nearly  $L/2$  qubits are reduced) of a single node. In addition, the communication complexity of their distributed Shor's algorithm is  $O(L)$ .

In this paper, we first propose a new distributed phase estimation algorithm. Our distributed phase estimation algorithm utilizes multiple nodes to estimate bits at different positions of the phase and employs classical post-processing to adjust the deviation of the final result. Our distributed phase estimation algorithm does not require quantum communication, and each node requires  $\frac{n}{k} + \log_2 k + O(1)$  control qubits ( $k$  is the number of nodes) when estimating the first  $n$  bits of phase. Compared with the non-iterative phase estimation algorithm, the maximum number of qubits required by a single node of our distributed algorithm is reduced by  $(1 - \frac{1}{k})n - \log_2 k - O(1)$ .

Afterwards, we apply the above distributed phase estimation algorithm to form a distributed Shor's algorithm (more specifically, to form an order-finding algorithm). The maximum number of qubits required by a single node in our distributed order-finding algorithm is  $(2 + \frac{1}{k})L + \log_2 k + O(1)$  ( $k$  is the number of nodes) when factoring an  $L$ -bit integer, and its communication complexity is  $O(kL)$ , which is better than Yimsiriwattana's algorithm [21].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. First, in Section 2, we review a number of quantum algorithms related to phase estimation algorithm and Shor's algorithm. Then in Section 3, we present our distributed phase estimation algorithm. After that, in Section 4, we present our main result—distributed order-finding algorithm, and subsequently, in Section 5, we analyze the complexity of our distributed algorithms and compare them with other related algorithms. Finally in Section 6, we summarize the main results and mention potential problems for further study.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we would review quantum Fourier transform, phase estimation algorithm, order-finding algorithm, and other relevant concepts that will be used in the paper. It is assumed that the readers have a familiarity with linear algebra and basic notation in quantum computing. In the interest of readability, we review some basic concepts concerning quantum computing in Appendix 1, and for further details, we can refer to [15].

### 2.1. Quantum Fourier transform

Quantum Fourier transform is a unitary operator that acts on the standard basis states as follows:

$$QFT|j\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} e^{2\pi ijk/2^n} |k\rangle, \quad (1)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ . Therefore, the inverse quantum Fourier transform acts as follows:

$$QFT^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} e^{2\pi ijk/2^n} |k\rangle = |j\rangle, \quad (2)$$

for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$ . The quantum Fourier transform and its inverse can be implemented by using  $O(n^2)$  elementary gates (i.e.,  $O(n^2)$  single-qubit and two-qubit gates) [15, 18].

### 2.2. Phase estimation algorithm

Phase estimation algorithm is a practical application of quantum Fourier transform. Consider a quantum state  $|u\rangle$  and a unitary operator  $U$  such that

$$U|u\rangle = e^{2\pi i\omega} |u\rangle \quad (3)$$

for some real number  $\omega \in [0, 1)$ . If we can implement controlled operation  $C_m(U)$  satisfying that

$$C_m(U)|j\rangle|u\rangle = |j\rangle U^j |u\rangle \quad (4)$$

for any positive integer  $m$  and  $m$ -bit string  $j$ , where the first register is control qubits, then we can apply the phase estimation algorithm to estimate  $\omega$  (see Algorithm 1).

For the sake of convenience, we give a number of notations in the following definition.

**Definition 1.** For any real number  $\omega$ , suppose its binary representation is  $\omega = a_1 a_2 \dots a_l . b_1 b_2 \dots$ . Denote  $|PE_{t,\omega}\rangle, \omega_{\{i,j\}}, \omega_{[i,j]}, d_t(x, y), len(x), ADD(x, b)$  respectively as follows:

- $|PE_{t,\omega}\rangle$ : for any positive integer  $t$ , define 
$$|PE_{t,\omega}\rangle = QFT^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} e^{2\pi i j \omega} |j\rangle.$$
- $\omega_{\{i,j\}}$ : for any integer  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , define 
$$\omega_{\{i,j\}} = b_i b_{i+1} \dots b_j.$$
- $\omega_{\{i,+\infty\}}$ : for any positive integer  $i$ , define 
$$\omega_{\{i,+\infty\}} = 0 . b_i b_{i+1} \dots.$$

- $\omega_{[i,j]}$ : for any integer  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq l$ , define  $\omega_{[i,j]} = a_i a_{i+1} \cdots a_j$ .
- $d_t(x, y)$ : for any  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^t$ , define  $d_t(x, y) = \min(|x - y|, 2^t - |x - y|)$ .
- $len(x)$ : the length of string  $x$ .
- $ADD(x, b)$ : for any bit string  $x$  and integer  $b$ ,  $ADD(x, b)$  is a bit string  $y$  of length  $len(x)$ , with  $y = (x + b) \bmod 2^{len(x)}$ .

**Remark 1.** In this paper, when performing operations or comparisons on bit strings, we consider them as their corresponding binary numbers. The definitions of  $d_t(x, y)$  and  $ADD(x, b)$  follow this principle.

---

### Algorithm 1 Phase estimation algorithm

---

**Input:** A positive integer  $n$  (it means that we want to estimate the first  $n$  bits of  $\omega$ ) and the success probability  $1 - \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ).

**Output:** A  $t$ -bit string  $\tilde{\omega}$  such that  $d_n(\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]}, \omega_{[1,n]}) \leq 1$ .

**Procedure:**

1: Create initial state  $|0\rangle|u\rangle$ :

The first register is  $t$ -qubit.

2: Apply  $H^{\otimes t}$  to the first register:

$$H^{\otimes t}|0\rangle|u\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle|u\rangle.$$

3: Apply  $C_t(U)$ :

$$C_t(U) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle|u\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle U^j |u\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle e^{2\pi i j \omega} |u\rangle.$$

4: Apply  $QFT^{-1}$ :

$$QFT^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} e^{2\pi i j \omega} |j\rangle|u\rangle = |PE_{t,\omega}\rangle|u\rangle.$$

5: Measure the first register:  
obtain a  $t$ -bit string  $\tilde{\omega}$ .

---

**Remark 2.** Let  $x$  be a natural number. By Equation (3), we have  $U^{2^{x-1}}|u\rangle = e^{2\pi i(2^{x-1}\omega)}|u\rangle = e^{2\pi i\omega_{\{x,+\infty\}}}|u\rangle$ . Thus, to estimate  $\omega_{\{x,+\infty\}}$ , we can apply the phase estimation algorithm similarly and change  $C_t(U)$  in step 3 to  $C_t(U^{2^{x-1}})$  accordingly [13].

$d_t(\cdot, \cdot)$  has the following properties.

**Lemma 1** (See [20]). *Let  $t$  be a positive integer and let  $x, y$  be any two  $t$ -bit strings. It holds that:*

(I) Let  $B = \{b \in \{-(2^t - 1), \dots, 2^t - 1\} : ADD(x, b) = y\}$ . Then  $d_t(x, y) = \min_{b \in B} |b|$ .

(II)  $d_t(\cdot, \cdot)$  is a distance on  $\{0, 1\}^t$ .

(III) Let  $t_0 < t$  be an positive integer. If  $d_t(x, y) < 2^{t-t_0}$ , then

$$d_{t_0}(x_{[1,t_0]}, y_{[1,t_0]}) \leq 1. \quad (5)$$

The goal of phase estimation algorithm is to estimate  $\omega$ , which can be more accurately described by the following propositions.

**Proposition 1** (See [15]). *In Algorithm 1, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any positive integer  $n$ , if  $t = n + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$ , then the probability of  $d_t(\tilde{\omega}, \omega_{\{1,t\}}) < 2^{t-n}$  is at least  $1 - \epsilon$ .*

**Proposition 2.** *In Algorithm 1, for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any positive integer  $n$ , if  $t = n + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$ , then the probability of  $d_n(\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]}, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1$  is at least  $1 - \epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* Immediate from Proposition 1 and Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Remark 3.** According to these two propositions, we know that the phase estimation algorithm can not get an estimate  $\tilde{\omega}$  that is arbitrarily close to  $\omega$ . It only satisfies  $d_n(\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]}, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1$ , not  $|\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]} - \omega_{\{1,n\}}| \leq 1$ . However, if  $1 \leq \omega_{\{1,n\}} < 2^n - 1$ , we can conclude  $|\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]} - \omega_{\{1,n\}}| \leq 1$  from  $d_n(\tilde{\omega}_{[1,n]}, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1$ .

By means of using mathematical language to describe Proposition 2, the following corollary can be obtained.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $\omega \in [0, 1)$ ,  $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ,  $t = n + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$ . Denote  $D = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^n : d_n(x, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1\}$  and  $P_D = \sum_{a \in D} |a\rangle\langle a|$ , then*

$$\|P_D |PE_{t,\omega}\rangle\|^2 \geq 1 - \epsilon. \quad (6)$$

### 2.3. Order-finding algorithm

Order-finding algorithm is the key subroutine in Shor's algorithm. Given an  $L$ -bit integer  $N$  and a positive integer  $a$  with  $gcd(a, N) = 1$ , the goal of order-finding algorithm is to determine the order  $r$  of  $a$  modulo  $N$ , where the order  $r$  is defined as the smallest integer  $r$  such that  $a^r \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ . An important unitary operator  $M_a$  in order-finding algorithm is defined as

$$M_a|x\rangle = |ax \bmod N\rangle. \quad (7)$$

Define

$$|u_s\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} e^{-2\pi i \frac{s}{r} k} |a^k \bmod N\rangle, \quad (8)$$

$s = 0, 1, \dots, r - 1$ . It satisfies

$$M_a|u_s\rangle = e^{2\pi i \frac{s}{r}} |u_s\rangle, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |u_s\rangle = |1\rangle, \quad (10)$$

and

$$\langle u_s | u_{s'} \rangle = \delta_{s,s'} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq s', \\ 1 & \text{if } s = s'. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Algorithm 2 [15] and Figure 1 show the procedure of order-finding algorithm.

The function of the quantum part of Algorithm 2 (steps 1 to 5) can be described by the following proposition.

**Algorithm 2** Order-finding algorithm

**Input:** Positive integers  $N$  and  $a$  with  $\gcd(N, a) = 1$ .

**Output:** The order  $r$  of  $a$  modulo  $N$ .

**Procedure:**

- 1: Create initial state
- $|0\rangle|1\rangle$
- :

The first register has  $t = 2L + 1 + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$  qubits and the second register has  $L$  qubits.

- 2: Apply
- $H^{\otimes t}$
- to the first register:

$$H^{\otimes t}|0\rangle|1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle|1\rangle.$$

- 3: Apply
- $C_t(M_a)$
- :

$$\begin{aligned} C_t(M_a) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle|1\rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle M^j \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |u_s\rangle \right) &= \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r2^t}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle e^{2\pi i j \frac{s}{r}} |u_s\rangle. \end{aligned}$$


- 4: Apply
- $QFT^{-1}$
- :

$$\begin{aligned} QFT^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r2^t}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=0}^{2^t-1} |j\rangle e^{2\pi i j \frac{s}{r}} |u_s\rangle &= \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |PE_{t,s/r}\rangle |u_s\rangle \end{aligned}$$

- 5: Measure the first register:

obtain a  $t$ -bit string  $m$  (it can be an estimation of  $\frac{s}{r}$  for some  $s$ ).

- 6: Apply continued fractions algorithm:
- 
- obtain
- $r$
- .


**Figure 1:** Circuit for order-finding algorithm

**Proposition 3** (See [15]). *In Algorithm 2, for any fixed  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$ , the probability of*

$$\left| \frac{m}{2^{\lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{1}{2\epsilon}) \rceil}} - \frac{s}{r} \right| < 2^{-(2L+1)}$$

is at least  $\frac{1-\epsilon}{r}$ .

By Proposition 3, we can see that the goal of the quantum part of Algorithm 2 (steps 1 to 5) is to obtain an estimation of a random  $\frac{s}{r}$  where  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, r-1\}$  (i.e.  $\left| \frac{m}{2^t} - \frac{s}{r} \right| \leq 2^{-(2L+1)}$ ). It is worth mentioning that the deviation range  $2^{-(2L+1)}$  is a prerequisite to ensure the correctness of step 6 in Algorithm 2.


### 3. Distributed phase estimation algorithm

In 2017, Li and Qiu et al. [13] proposed a distributed phase estimation algorithm, which employs the technique mentioned in Remark 2. However, the deviation in their algorithm may not be within a given range. In this section, we propose a new distributed phase estimation algorithm. By combining some classical post-processing strategies, we ensure the correctness of our distributed algorithm. Suppose  $U, |u\rangle, \omega$  satisfy Equation (3) and we estimate the first  $n$  bits of  $\omega$ . The idea of our algorithm is as follows:

Let integers  $l_1, l_2, \dots, l_{k+1}$  satisfy

$$0 = l_1 < l_2 < \dots < l_{k+1} = n - 2. \quad (12)$$

We use  $k$  computing nodes (denoted as  $A_1, \dots, A_k$ ) to estimate the bits of different parts of  $\omega$  respectively, where node  $A_i$  estimates  $\omega_{(l_i, l_{i+1}+2)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k+1$  (shown in Fig. 2). We can do this by employing the technique mentioned in Remark 2. It can be seen that the corresponding positions of the first three bits of  $A_{i+1}$ 's estimation and the last three bits of  $A_i$ 's estimation are overlapping with each other. So we can use the bits with overlapped positions to correct the estimation results and finally combine all estimates. The process of using phase estimation in a distributed manner is demonstrated in Algorithm 3. The steps of correction and combination are shown in Algorithm 4.


**Figure 2:** The positions of bits estimated by each node

The function of our distributed phase estimation algorithm is the same as that of the traditional phase estimation algorithm. It can be described by the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *In Algorithm 3, the probability of*

$$d_n(m, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1 \quad (13)$$

is at least  $1 - \epsilon$ .

*Proof.* See Appendix.  $\square$

### 4. Distributed order-finding algorithm

Recently, Xiao and Qiu et al. proposed a distributed Shor's algorithm that requires two compute nodes [20]. Compared with the traditional Shor's algorithm, their distributed Shor's algorithm can reduce nearly  $\frac{L}{2}$  qubits and reduce circuit depth to some extent for each node when factoring an  $L$ -bit composite number. In addition, their communication complexity is  $O(L)$ , which is better than that of the distributed Shor's algorithm in [21] (its communication complexity is  $O(L^2)$ ).

In this section, by applying distributed phase estimation algorithm (Algorithm 3), we propose a new multi-node

**Algorithm 3** Distributed phase estimation algorithm ( $k$  nodes)

**Input:** A positive integer  $n$  (it means that we want to estimate the first  $n$  bits of  $\omega$ ) and the success probability  $1 - \epsilon$  ( $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ ).

**Output:** Output an  $n$ -bit string  $m$  such that  $d_r(m, \omega_{\{1,n\}}) \leq 1$  with success probability at least  $1 - \epsilon$ .

**Procedure:**

Node  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k$  perform the following operations in parallel.

**Node  $A_r$  excute** ( $r = 1, 2, \dots, k$ ):

- 1: Create initial state  $|0\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle$ :  
Register  $R_r$  is  $t_r$ -qubit, where  $t_r = l_{r+1} + 3 - l_r + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{k}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$ .

- 2: Apply  $H^{\otimes t_r}$  to the first register:

$$H^{\otimes t_r} |0\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} |j\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle.$$

- 3: Apply  $C_{t_r}(U^{2^{t_r-1}})$ :

$$C_{t_r}(U^{2^{t_r-1}}) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} |j\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} |j\rangle_{R_r} (U^{2^{t_r-1}})^j |u\rangle =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} |j\rangle_{R_r} e^{2\pi i j (2^{t_r-1} \omega)} |u\rangle =$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} |j\rangle_{R_r} e^{2\pi i j \omega_{\{l_r, +\infty\}}} |u\rangle.$$

- 4: Apply  $QFT^{-1}$ :

$$QFT^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{t_r}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{t_r}-1} e^{2\pi i j \omega_{\{l_r, +\infty\}}} |j\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle =$$

$$|PE_{t_r, \omega_{\{l_r, +\infty\}}}\rangle_{R_r} |u\rangle.$$

- 5: Measure the first  $l_{r+1} + 3 - l_r$  bits of its register  $R_r$ :  
denote the measuring result of  $A_r$  as  $m_r$ .

**Any node executes:**

- 6:  $m \leftarrow \text{CorrectAndCombine}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ :  
 $m$  is an  $n$ -bit string.

- 7: Return  $m$ .

distributed Shor's algorithm. Compared to the distributed Shor's algorithm proposed by Xiao and Qiu et al. (denoted as Xiao's algorithm, for simplicity), our distributed Shor's algorithm has the following advantages:

- Xiao's algorithm only utilizes two nodes, but our algorithm utilizes multiple nodes.
- When factoring an  $L$ -bit integer, in Xiao's algorithm, according to their proof, it can be inferred that the last node must estimate more than  $L + 2$  bits. However, in our algorithm, each node only needs to ensure to estimate more than 2 bits. This is because the idea of distributed phase estimation hidden in Xiao's

**Algorithm 4** CorrectAndCombine

**Input:**  $k$  bit strings  $m_1, \dots, m_k$ , where  $\text{len}(m_i) \geq 3$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  ( $k$  can be any positive integer).

**Procedure:**


- 1: Set  $m'_k = m_k$ .
- 2: **for**  $r = k - 1$  to 1 **do**
- 3: Choose  $\text{CorrectionNum}_r \in \{\pm 2, \pm 1, 0\}$  such that  
 $\text{ADD}((m_r)_{[l_{r+1}, l_{r+1}+2]}, \text{CorrectionNum}_r) = (m'_{r+1})_{[1,3]}$
- 4:  $\text{prefix}_r \leftarrow \text{ADD}(m_r, \text{CorrectionNum}_r)$
- 5:  $m'_r \leftarrow \text{prefix}_r \circ (m'_{r+1})_{[4, \text{len}(m'_{r+1})]}$  ("o" represents catenation)
- 6: **end for**
- 7: Return  $m'_1$ .

algorithm is limited, while our distributed phase estimation algorithm is universal.

Next, we introduce our distributed order-finding algorithm. Firstly, it should be noted that we cannot directly apply Algorithm 3 to the order-finding algorithm, since if we do this, the estimated bits for each node do not correspond to a same  $\frac{s}{r}$ , where  $r$  is the "order" and  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ . To solve this problem, we need to employ quantum communication. Afterwards, Algorithm 3 can be applied. When factoring an  $L$ -bit integer, in order to ensure that the final estimation result  $m$  satisfy  $\left| \frac{m}{2^{\text{len}(m)}} - \frac{s}{r} \right| < 2^{-(2L+1)}$ , we need to estimate  $2L + 2$  bits. This is because if a bit string  $x$  of length  $2L + 2$  satisfy  $\left| x - \left( \frac{s}{r} \right)_{\{1, 2L+2\}} \right| \leq 1$ , then

$$\left| \frac{x}{2^{\text{len}(x)}} - \frac{s}{r} \right| \leq 2^{-(2L+1)} \quad (14)$$

holds. Let integers  $l_1, \dots, l_{k+1}$  satisfy  $1 = l_1 < \dots < l_{k+1} = 2L$ . Our distributed order-finding algorithm is shown in Algorithm 5. Figure 3 shows the quantum circuit of Algorithm 5.



**Figure 3:** Circuit for distributed order-finding algorithm

The function of this distributed phase estimation algorithm is the same as that of the order-finding algorithm (Algorithm 2). It can be described by the following theorem (it is almost the same as Proposition 3), which indicates that Algorithm 5 is correct.

**Theorem 2.** In Algorithm 5, for any fixed  $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, r - 1\}$ , the probability of

$$\left| \frac{m}{2^{\text{len}(m)}} - \frac{s}{r} \right| < 2^{-(2L+1)}$$

**Algorithm 5** Distributed order-finding algorithm ( $k$  nodes)

**Input:** Positive integers  $N$  and  $a$  with  $\gcd(N, a) = 1$  and  $a < N$ .

**Output:** The order  $r$  of  $a$  modulo  $N$ .

**Procedure:**

- 1: Node  $A_1$  creates initial state  $|0\rangle_{R_1} |1\rangle_C$ . Node  $A_2, \dots, A_k$  create initial states  $|0\rangle_{R_2}, \dots, |0\rangle_{R_k}$  respectively:

Here register  $C$  is  $L$ -qubit and register  $R_j$  is  $t_j$ -qubit,

where  $t_j = l_{j+1} + 3 - l_j + \lceil \log_2(2 + \frac{k}{2\epsilon}) \rceil$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

- 2: Set  $u = 1$ .

**Node  $A_u$  executes:**

- 3: Apply  $H^{\otimes u}$  to register  $R_u$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{u-1} |PE_{t_j, (\frac{s}{r})_{(l_j, +\infty)}}\rangle_{R_j} \right) H^{\otimes u} |0\rangle_{R_u} |u_s\rangle_C \left( \bigotimes_{j=u+1}^k |0\rangle_{R_j} \right)$$

- 4: Apply  $C_{t_u}(M_a^{2^{l_u-1}})$  to registers  $R_u$  and  $C$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^{u-1} |PE_{t_j, (\frac{s}{r})_{(l_j, +\infty)}}\rangle_{R_j} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{l_u}}} \sum_{j=0}^{2^{l_u}-1} e^{2\pi i j (\frac{s}{r})_{(l_u, +\infty)}} |j\rangle_{R_u} |u_s\rangle_C \right) \left( \bigotimes_{j=u+1}^k |0\rangle_{R_j} \right)$$

- 5: Apply  $QFT^{-1}$  to register  $R_u$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^u |PE_{t_j, (\frac{s}{r})_{(l_j, +\infty)}}\rangle_{R_j} \right) |u_s\rangle_C \left( \bigotimes_{j=u+1}^k |0\rangle_{R_j} \right)$$

- 6: If  $u < k$ , then teleport the qubits of register  $C$  to node  $A_{u+1}$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left( \bigotimes_{j=1}^u |PE_{t_j, (\frac{s}{r})_{(l_j, +\infty)}}\rangle_{R_j} \right) |0\rangle_{R_{u+1}} |u_s\rangle_C \left( \bigotimes_{j=u+2}^k |0\rangle_{R_j} \right)$$

- 7: If  $u < k$ , then set  $u \leftarrow u + 1$  and go to step 3.

**Finally:**

- 8: Node  $A_j$  measures the first  $l_{j+1} + 3 - l_j$  bits of its register  $R_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ :

denote the measurement result of  $A_j$  as  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

- 9:  $m \leftarrow \text{CorrectAndCombine}(m_1, \dots, m_k)$ :

$m$  is a  $(2L + 2)$ -bit string.

- 10: Apply continued fractions algorithm: obtain  $r$ .

is at least  $\frac{1-\epsilon}{r}$ .

*Proof.* The proof is very similar to the proof of the correctness of applying the phase estimation algorithm to the order-finding algorithm, so we omit it.  $\square$

## 5. Complexity analysis

In this section, we analyze the complexity of our distributed phase estimation algorithm and distributed Shor's algorithm, and compare them with other related algorithms.

### 5.1. Complexity of distributed phase estimation algorithm

In phase estimation algorithm, since the specific structure of  $U$  is unknown, we can not directly give its circuit depth or gate complexity. However, the main operator of phase estimation algorithm is  $C_t(U)$  (or similar operator). According to the Figure 1 in [20], we know that the operator  $C_t(U)$  can be implemented by  $t$  controlled operators in the form of controlled- $U^x$ ,  $x = 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots$ . Therefore, we take the number of controlled- $U^x$  gates as a metric in the complexity analysis, since it has certain correlations with circuit depth and gate complexity.

In Algorithm 3, we choose appropriate values for  $l_2, \dots, l_k$ . We make  $|l_i - (i-1) \cdot \frac{n}{k}| < 1$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . The complexity of Algorithm 3 and other related algorithms are shown in Table 1. In the general distributed method ([14]) of the Table 1, the circuit of each node is not unique. Hence the number of controlled- $U^x$  gates per node is uncertain. Therefore, the corresponding position in the table is represented by "undefined".

**Table 1**

Complexity of Algorithm 3 and other related algorithms

Algorithms	qubits (per node)	quantum communication complexity	number of controlled- $U^x$ (per node)
Algorithm 3	$\frac{n}{k} + \log_2 k + B_u + O(1)$	0	$\frac{n}{k} + \log_2 k + O(1)$
traditional non-iterative PEA	$n + B_u + O(1)$	0	$n + O(1)$
General distributed method ([14])	$\frac{n + B_u}{k} + O(1)$	$\Omega(n)$	undefined

**Remark 4.** In phase estimation algorithm, we have  $U|u\rangle = e^{2\pi i \omega |u\rangle}$ . In Table 1, we abbreviate "phase estimation algorithm" as PEA. In addition,  $B_u$  represents the number of qubits of  $|u\rangle$ .  $n$  means that we want to estimate the first  $n$  bits of the phase  $\omega$ , and  $k$  is the number of computing nodes.

It can be seen that our distributed phase estimation algorithm does not require quantum communication. Compared with the non-iterative phase estimation algorithm, the maximum number of qubits required by a single node of our distributed algorithm is reduced by  $(1 - \frac{1}{k})n - \log_2 k - O(1)$ .

## 5.2. Complexity of distributed order-finding algorithm

In Algorithm 5, similarly, we choose appropriate values for  $l_2, \dots, l_k$ . We make  $\left|l_i - (i-1) \cdot \frac{2L+2}{k}\right| < 1$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, k$ . In addition, by utilizing the method mentioned in [9], we can transmit  $L$  qubits using only one EPR pair. Therefore, the quantum communications in Algorithm 5 will only cause each node to add a maximum of 2 additional qubits. We directly present the following table to show the complexity of Algorithm 5 and other related algorithms.

**Table 2**  
Complexity of Algorithm 5 and other related algorithms

Algorithms	qubits (per node)	quantum communication complexity	time complexity
Algorithm 5	$(2 + \frac{2}{k})L + \log_2 k + O(1)$	$O(kL)$	$O(L^3)$
traditional non-iterative OFA	$4L + O(1)$	0	$O(L^3)$
Xiao's algorithm [20]	$3.5L + O(1)$	$O(L)$	$O(L^3)$
Yimsiriwattana's distributed algorithm ([21], general method)	$L + O(1)$	$O(L^2)$	$O(L^3)$

**Remark 5.** In Table 1, we abbreviate "order-finding algorithm" as OFA. In addition,  $L$  is the bit length of the number to be decomposed, and  $k$  is the number of compute nodes.

It can be seen that compared with the non-iterative order-finding algorithm, the maximum number of qubits required by a single node of our distributed algorithm is reduced by  $(2 - \frac{2}{k})L - \log_2 k - O(1)$ .

In the following, we analyze the quantum communication complexity of Algorithm 5. First, Node  $A_1$  transmits  $L$  qubits from register  $C$  to Node  $A_2$ . Then, Node  $A_2$  transmits  $L$  qubits from register  $C$  to Node  $A_3$ , and so on. Finally, Node  $A_{k-1}$  transmits  $L$  qubits from register  $C$  to Node  $A_k$ . Thus, the quantum communication complexity of Algorithm 5 is  $O(kL)$ . So, the quantum communication complexity of our algorithm ( $O(kL)$ ) is better than that of Yimsiriwattana's algorithm ( $O(L^2)$ ).

In addition, when we take  $k = 2$  (since Xiao's algorithm only uses 2 nodes), the number of qubits required for each node of our algorithm is  $3L + O(1)$ , which is better than that of Xiao's algorithm.

## 6. Conclusions

In this paper, we have proposed a new distributed phase estimation algorithm. Our distributed phase estimation algorithm does not require quantum communication and it reduces the number of control qubits of a single node compared to the non-iterative phase estimation algorithm. It requires  $\frac{n}{k} + \log_2 + O(1)$  control qubits. Afterwards, we have applied it to form a distributed order-finding algorithm for Shor's algorithm. Compared with the non-iterative Shor's algorithm, the maximum number of qubits required by a single node of our distributed order-finding algorithm is reduced by  $(2 - \frac{2}{k})L - \log_2 k - O(1)$  when factoring an  $L$ -bit integer. It requires  $(2 + \frac{2}{k})L + \log_2 k + O(1)$  qubits and its quantum communication complexity is  $O(kL)$ .

However, we have only studied the cases of non-iterative phase estimation algorithm and non-iterative order-finding algorithm. In future research, we would consider to study the distributed algorithms for the iterative phase estimation algorithm and iterative order-finding algorithm. In addition, it is worthy of further consideration for applying our distributed phase estimation algorithm to HHL algorithm and discrete logarithm algorithm.

## Appendix 1: Basic concepts of quantum computing

In quantum computing, quantum bits (qubit, for short) are basic units, and a qubit can be represented by a two-dimensional unit column vector,  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^2$  (where  $\mathbb{C}$  denotes the set of complex numbers),  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$  are two special quantum bits, called the computational basis states. A general single-qubit state  $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$  can be represented as  $|\psi\rangle$  (Dirac notation), that is  $|\psi\rangle = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \\ \beta \end{bmatrix}$ . Thus,  $|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle$ , where  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ .

A two-qubit system has four possible states: 00, 01, 10, 11. The computational basis states of a two-qubit system are  $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$ . In general,  $|ab\rangle = |a\rangle \otimes |b\rangle$ , where  $\otimes$  represents tensor product. Therefore, any two-qubit state  $|\psi\rangle$

can be represented as  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 \alpha_{i,j} |ij\rangle$ , where  $\alpha_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\text{and } \sum_{i=0}^1 \sum_{j=0}^1 |\alpha_{i,j}|^2 = 1.$$

Furthermore,  $n$ -qubits can be represented as  $|i_1 i_2 \dots i_n\rangle$ , where  $i_j \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore, any  $n$ -qubit

state  $|\psi\rangle$  can be represented as  $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=0}^1 \alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} |i_1 i_2 \dots i_n\rangle$ ,

where  $\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n} \in \mathbb{C}$ , and  $\sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=0}^1 |\alpha_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n}|^2 = 1$ ,

$|i_1 i_2 \dots i_n\rangle = |i_1\rangle \otimes |i_2\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |i_n\rangle$ .  $\langle \psi |$  represents the conjugate transpose of  $|\psi\rangle$ .

Basic quantum gates include CNOT gate,  $I$  gate,  $Z$  gate,  $X$  gate,  $Y$  gates, Hadamard gate, and their definitions are as follows:

$$\text{CNOT} = |00\rangle\langle 00| + |01\rangle\langle 01| + |11\rangle\langle 10| + |10\rangle\langle 11|, \quad (15)$$

$$I = |0\rangle\langle 0| + |1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad (16)$$

$$Z = |0\rangle\langle 0| - |1\rangle\langle 1|, \quad (17)$$

$$X = |1\rangle\langle 0| + |0\rangle\langle 1|, \quad (18)$$

$$Y = -i(|0\rangle\langle 1| - |1\rangle\langle 0|), \quad (19)$$

$$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)\langle 0| + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)\langle 1|. \quad (20)$$

The state evolution of a closed quantum system is described by a unitary transformation, such that the transformation from any state  $|\psi\rangle$  to state  $|\psi'\rangle$  satisfies  $|\psi'\rangle = U|\psi\rangle$ , where  $U$  is a unitary operator. Quantum measurement is described by a set of measurement operators  $\{M_m\}$ , which satisfy the completeness relation  $\sum_m M_m^\dagger M_m = I$ . These measurement operators act on the state of the system being measured, where  $m$  is the measurement outcome. If the quantum state before measurement is  $|\psi\rangle$ , then the probability of obtaining  $m$  is  $p(m) = \langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle$ , and the state of the system collapses to

$$\frac{M_m |\psi\rangle}{\sqrt{\langle \psi | M_m^\dagger M_m | \psi \rangle}}. \quad (21)$$

## Appendix 2: proof of correctness for distributed phase estimation algorithm

**Lemma 2.** Let  $s, x$  be two  $t$ -bit strings ( $t \geq 3$ ). Let  $y$  be a 3-bit string. Suppose  $d_t(x, s) \leq 1$  and  $d_t(y, s_{[t-2,t]}) \leq 1$ . Then there only exist one element  $b$  in  $\{\pm 2, \pm 1, 0\}$  such that

$$\text{ADD}(x_{[t-2,t]}, b) = y.$$

Moreover, let  $b_1, b_2 \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$  satisfy  $\text{ADD}(x, b_1) = s$  and  $\text{ADD}(s_{[t-2,t]}, b_2) = y$ , it holds that

$$b = b_1 + b_2. \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* Since  $d_t(x, s) \leq 1$ , we have  $d_t(x_{[t-2,t]}, s_{[t-2,t]}) \leq 1$ . Then we get

$$d_t(x_{[t-2,t]}, y) \leq d_t(x_{[t-2,t]}, s_{[t-2,t]}) + d_t(s_{[t-2,t]}, y) \leq 2. \quad (23)$$

Hence, it is clear that such element  $b$  is unique. Moreover, since

$$\text{ADD}(x, b_1 + b_2) = \text{ADD}(\text{ADD}(x, b_1), b_2) \quad (24)$$

$$= \text{ADD}(s, b_2) \quad (25)$$

we get

$$\text{ADD}(x_{[t-2,t]}, b_1 + b_2) = \text{ADD}(s_{[t-2,t]}, b_2) \quad (26)$$

$$= y \quad (27)$$

Therefore,  $b = b_1 + b_2$ . The lemma holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** Let  $n, k, l_1, \dots, l_{k+1}$  be positive integers with  $1 = l_1 < \dots < l_{k+1} = n - 2$ . Let  $s$  be an  $n$ -bit string and let  $x_i$  be an  $(l_{i+1} + 3 - l_i)$ -bit string such that

$$d_{l_{i+1}}(x_i, s_{[l_i, l_{i+1}+2]}) \leq 1,$$

$i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $y = \text{CorrectAndCombine}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Then

$$d_n(y, s) = d_{l_{k+1}}(x_k, s_{[l_k, l_{k+1}+2]})$$

holds.

*Proof.* Since  $d_{l_{i+1}}(x_i, s_{[l_i, l_{i+1}+2]}) \leq 1$ , we have

$$d_3\left((x_i)_{[1,3]}, s_{[l_i, l_i+2]}\right) \leq 1 \quad (28)$$

and

$$d_3\left((x_i)_{[l_{i+1}-2, l_{i+1}]}, s_{[l_i, l_i+2]}\right) \leq 1, \quad (29)$$

$i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Let  $b_1, b_2 \in \{\pm 1, 0\}$  satisfy

$$\text{ADD}\left((x_k)_{[l_{k+1}-2, l_{k+1}]}, b_1\right) = s_{[l_{k+1}, l_{k+1}+2]}, \quad (30)$$

$$\text{ADD}\left((x_k)_{[1,3]}, b_2\right) = s_{[l_k, l_k+2]}, \quad (31)$$

$$\text{ADD}\left((x_{k-1})_{[l_{k+1}-2, l_{k+1}]}, b_3\right) = s_{[l_k, l_k+2]}, \quad (32)$$

Suppose we input  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  to Algorithm 4. Let  $\text{prefix}_r, m'_r, \text{CorrectionNum}_r$  ( $r = 1, \dots, k-1$ ) be the same as those in Algorithm 4. By Lemma 2, we have  $\text{CorrectionNum}_{k-1} = b_3 - b_2$ . Combining the Lemma 4 in [20], we get

$$\text{ADD}(m'_{k-1}, b_1) \quad (33)$$

$$= \text{ADD}(\text{prefix}_{k-1}, b_2) \circ s_{[l_k+3, l_{k+1}+2]} \quad (34)$$

$$= \text{ADD}(\text{ADD}(x_{k-1}, \text{CorrectionNum}_{k-1}), b_2) \quad (35)$$

$$\circ s_{[l_k+3, l_{k+1}+2]} \quad (36)$$

$$= \text{ADD}(x_{k-1}, b_3) \circ s_{[l_k+3, l_{k+1}+2]} \quad (37)$$

$$= s_{[l_{k-1}, l_{k+1}+2]} \circ s_{[l_k+3, l_{k+1}+2]} \quad (38)$$

$$= s_{[l_{k-1}, l_{k+1}+2]}. \quad (39)$$

Hence,

$$d_{l_{k+1}}(m'_{k-1}, s_{[l_{k-1}, l_{k+1}+2]}) = |b_1| \quad (40)$$

$$= d_{l_{k+1}}(m'_k, s_{[l_k, l_{k+1}+2]}). \quad (41)$$

By induction, it can be proven that

$$d_{l_{i+1}}(m'_i, s_{[1, l_{i+1}+2]}) = d_{l_{i+1}}(m'_i, s_{[l_i, l_{i+1}+2]}). \quad (42)$$

Since  $y = m'_1, m'_k = x_k$  and  $l_{k+1} = n - 2$ , the proposition holds.  $\square$



Finally, we prove Theorem 1, which shows the correctness of Algorithm 3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** According to Corollary 1, in Algorithm 3, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , the probability of

$$d_{\text{len}(m_i)}(m_i, \omega_{\{l_i, l_{i+1}+2\}}) \leq 1 \quad (43)$$

is at least  $1 - \frac{\epsilon}{k}$ . Hence, the probability of Equation (43) holds for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  is at least  $(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{k})^k \geq 1 - \epsilon$ . Finally by Proposition 4, the theorem holds.  $\square$

## CRedit authorship contribution statement

**Ligang Xiao:** Methodology, Writing - original draft. **Daowen Qiu:** Conceptualization of this study, Ideas and Writing, Review, Editing. **Le Luo:** Review. **Paulo Mateus:** Review.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

## References

- [1] Aspuru-Guzik, A., Dutoi, A.D., Love, P.J., Head-Gordon, M., 2005. Simulated quantum computation of molecular energies. *Science* 309, 1704–1707.
- [2] Avron, J., Casper, O., Rozen, I., 2021. Quantum advantage and noise reduction in distributed quantum computing. *Physical Review A* 104, 052404.
- [3] Beals, R., Brierley, S., Gray, O., Harrow, A.W., Kutin, S., Linden, N., Shepherd, D., Stather, M., 2013. Efficient distributed quantum computing. *Proceedings of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences* 469, 20120686.
- [4] Beauregard, S., 2003. Circuit for Shor's algorithm using  $2n+3$  qubits. *Quantum Information and Computation* 3, 175–185.
- [5] Gidney, C., Ekerå, M., 2021. How to factor 2048 bit rsa integers in 8 hours using 20 million noisy qubits. *Quantum* 5, 433.
- [6] Häner, T., Roetteler, M., Svore, K.M., 2017. Factoring using  $2n+2$  qubits with toffoli based modular multiplication. *Quantum Information & Computation* 17, 673–684.
- [7] Harrow, A.W., Hassidim, A., Lloyd, S., 2009. Quantum algorithm for linear systems of equations. *Physical review letters* 103, 150502.
- [8] Izumi, T., Le Gall, F., 2019. Quantum distributed algorithm for the all-pairs shortest path problem in the congest-clique model, in: *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing*, pp. 84–93.
- [9] Jiang, J.R., Wang, T.Y., Huang, W.H., Zhang, J.Z., 2023. Distributed Shor's algorithm with sequential quantum teleportation, in: *2023 IEEE 5th Eurasia Conference on IOT, Communication and Engineering (ECICE)*, IEEE. pp. 479–484.
- [10] Kaye, P., Laflamme, R., Mosca, M., 2006. *An introduction to quantum computing*. OUP Oxford.
- [11] Kitaev, A.Y., 1995. Quantum measurements and the abelian stabilizer problem. *arXiv preprint quant-ph/9511026*.
- [12] Le Gall, F., Magniez, F., 2018. Sublinear-time quantum computation of the diameter in congest networks, in: *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Symposium on Principles of Distributed Computing*, pp. 337–346.
- [13] Li, K., Qiu, D., Li, L., Zheng, S., Rong, Z., 2017. Application of distributed semi-quantum computing model in phase estimation. *Information Processing Letters* 120, 23–29.
- [14] Neumann, N.M., van Houte, R., Attema, T., 2020. Imperfect distributed quantum phase estimation, in: *Computational Science–ICCS 2020: 20th International Conference, Amsterdam, The Netherlands, June 3–5, 2020, Proceedings, Part VI 20*, Springer. pp. 605–615.
- [15] Nielsen, M.A., Chuang, I.L., 2001. *Quantum computation and quantum information*.
- [16] Parker, S., Plenio, M.B., 2000. Efficient factorization with a single pure qubit and  $\log n$  mixed qubits. *Physical Review Letters* 85, 3049.
- [17] Qiu, D., Luo, L., Xiao, L., 2024. Distributed Grover's algorithm. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 114461.
- [18] Shor, P.W., 1994. Algorithms for quantum computation: discrete logarithms and factoring, in: *Proceedings 35th annual symposium on foundations of computer science, Ieee*. pp. 124–134.
- [19] Tan, J., Xiao, L., Qiu, D., Luo, L., Mateus, P., 2022. Distributed quantum algorithm for Simon's problem. *Physical Review A* 106, 032417.
- [20] Xiao, L., Qiu, D., Luo, L., Mateus, P., 2023. Distributed Shor's algorithm. *Quantum Information and Computation* 23, 0027–0044.
- [21] Yimsiriwattana, A., Lomonaco Jr, S.J., 2004. Distributed quantum computing: A distributed Shor algorithm, in: *Quantum Information and Computation II*, SPIE. pp. 360–372.