Controllable Strain-driven Topological Phase Transition and Dominant Surface State Transport in High-Quality HfTe₅ Samples

Jinyu Liu¹, Yinong Zhou¹, Sebastian Yepez Rodriguez¹, Matthew A. Delmont², Robert A. Welser¹, Nicholas Sirica³, Kaleb McClure⁴, Paolo Vilmercati⁴, Joseph W. Ziller⁵, Norman Mannella⁴, Javier D. Sanchez-Yamagishi¹, Michael T. Pettes³, Ruqian Wu¹, Luis A. Jauregui^{1,*}

¹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

²Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

³Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies (CINT), Materials Physics and Applications Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA

⁴Department of Physics and Astronomy, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, 37996, USA

⁵Department of Chemistry, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

* Corresponding email: lajaure1@uci.edu

Abstract:

Controlling materials to create and tune topological phases of matter could potentially be used to explore new phases of topological quantum matter and to create novel devices where the carriers are topologically protected. It has been demonstrated that a trivial insulator can be converted into a topological state by modulating the spin-orbit interaction or the crystal lattice. However, there are limited methods to controllably and efficiently tune the crystal lattice and at the same time perform electronic measurements at cryogenic temperatures. Here, we use large controllable strain to demonstrate the topological phase transition from a weak topological insulator phase to a strong topological insulator phase in high-quality HfTe₅ samples. After applying high strain to HfTe₅ and converting it into a strong topological insulator, we found that the sample's resistivity increased by more than two orders of magnitude (24,000%) and that the electronic transport is dominated by the topological surface states at cryogenic temperatures. Our findings show that HfTe₅ is an ideal material for engineering topological properties, and it could be generalized to study topological phase transitions in van der Waals materials and heterostructures. These results can pave the way to create novel devices with applications ranging from spintronics to fault-tolerant topologically protected quantum computers.

Introduction:

The concept of topology in condensed matter physics has revolutionized our understanding of the electronic band structure of solid-state materials^{1–9}. A topological phase transition (TPT), a continuous quantum phase transition between states with different topological order, can occur by tuning certain physical

parameters^{2,5,10–14}. As observed in several pioneering experiments, such transitions from trivial insulators to topological insulators (TI) have been realized by tuning the lattice parameters and/or spin-orbit coupling through element substitution^{15–17}. While substitutional doping can introduce disorder into the material, the application of strain is a cleaner method for anisotropically tuning the lattice constants in a bulk or microscopic device sample^{18,19}. First, in order to better understand TPTs in solid-state systems, and to unleash strain's potential to create novel phases of matter²⁰, pseudo-magnetic fields^{21,22}, or manipulate the Berry curvature locally^{23,24} to create quantum devices for quantum electronics and spintronics, it is desirable to find a quantum material whose topological properties are sensitive to strain. Here, we demonstrate that HfTe₅ is an ideal material for studying TPTs through electrical transport under large and controllable strain.

Since the prediction of their nontrivial band topology²⁵, transition metal pentatellurides such as ZrTe₅ and HfTe₅, have shown a range of interesting physical properties including the chiral magnetic effect^{26,27}, the anomalous Hall effect²⁸, and the three-dimensional (3D) quantum Hall effect^{29,30}. The pentatellurides crystallize in a layered orthorhombic structure with a space group of *cmcm*, as shown in Fig. 1a for the crystal structure of HfTe₅. As the building block of a two-dimensional (2D) layer, each HfTe₃ trigonal prism is formed by a Te-d dimer and an apical Te-a atom. The trigonal prisms with a one-dimensional (1D) characteristic along the a axis are linked by parallel Te-z atoms with zig-zag chains along the c axis, assembling a 2D HfTe₅ layer in the *ac* plane. The HfTe₅ layers are stacked together along the *b* axis through van der Waals interactions to form a 3D layered crystal. The topological nature of as-grown samples has been debated, with different experiments finding that the pentatellurides can be either a weak topological insulator (WTI)³¹⁻³⁴, a strong topological insulator (STI)^{27,35,36}, or a Dirac semimetal (DSM)^{26,37-39}. This inconsistency may be because the pentatellurides lie close to the phase boundary between a WTI and an STI phase²⁵. First-principles calculations have shown that the topological character of the pentatellurides can change significantly with slight variations in the lattice parameters²⁵. For 3D TIs, the STI phase exhibits topological surface states (TSS) on all of the surfaces, while a WTI phase hosts TSS only on the side surfaces. Electrons in an STI are topologically protected against backscattering, while electrons in a WTI phase are not necessarily protected⁴. A WTI and an STI have distinct topological characteristics and a transition between the two phases are not possible without closing and re-opening the bulk gap. For HfTe₅, in the WTI phase the TSS are predicted to exist only on the side surfaces, i.e. (100) and (001) planes, but not on the top and bottom surfaces along the (010) planes. The WTI phase resembles a 2D quantum spin Hall edge states, all stacked along the b axis. HfTe5 being at the phase boundary between a WTI and an STI phase is the perfect candidate for studying TPTs driven by strain.

Recently, signatures of a TPT from a WTI to an STI phase in ZrTe₅ were revealed by angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES)³³ and electrical transport measurements^{40,41}. However, the defining magneto-transport evidence of an STI phase, the dominant contribution of the TSS upon the application of strain, is still missing. Also, less attention has been paid to HfTe5 even though it has a larger spin-orbit coupling than ZrTe₅, which potentially could facilitate the formation of band inversion. Here, we present a comprehensive study of the strain-driven TPT from a WTI to an STI phase in high-quality HfTe₅ samples from both in-depth first-principles calculations and extensive quantum transport experiments. First, by combining the Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculated phase diagram of HfTe₅ and the electrical transport measurements in a small strain range, we show our as-grown HfTe₅ samples are in a WTI phase. As we apply a larger strain, we observe the band gap is initially closing, showing dramatic changes in the temperature dependence of the resistivity. As strain is increased further the band gap reopens converting the low-temperature behavior from initially metallic to insulating. With the largest amount of strain (\sim 4.5%) applied, the resistivity saturates for temperatures, $T \le 10$ K, hinting at a dominant TSS transport as observed in other well-studied 3D TIs⁴²⁻⁴⁵. In addition, our results from magneto-transport measurements with the magnetic field oriented along both the b axis (out-of-plane direction) and the a axis (in-plane direction, with I//B agree well with a gapped bulk and a gapless TSS of an STI phase under large strain. Our observations of the band gap closing, transition from a metallic to insulating behavior, the saturated resistivity at low temperatures, and TSS dominant magneto-transport under large strain are unambiguous experimental electrical transport signatures of a strain-driven TPT in HfTe₅.

Results:

We have synthesized belt-like HfTe₅ single crystals with a typical length of 1 cm by the chemical vapor transport (CVT) method (see Methods). The full lattice information of our samples, obtained from the refinement of single crystal diffraction is included in Section I of the Supplementary Information (SI). The crystallographic *a* and *c* axes are along the in-plane length and width directions respectively. The electronic structure is measured by ARPES. Measurements were carried out on an in-situ cleaved (010) surface, where high symmetry cuts along the XTX (k_a) and YTY (k_c) axes are shown in Figs. 1b and 1c, respectively. Here, prominent hole-like dispersion near the Brillouin zone center is seen in the vicinity of the Fermi level (E_F < E_{BE} < 0.4 eV), where E_{BE} is the binding energy. A single Fermi surface pocket is detected at the E_F. The overlaid green lines correspond to the first-principles calculation results as discussed below and plotted here to show the agreement between ARPES experiments and calculations. While no photon energy dependence was performed in this study, the similarity of the measured electronic structure with literature suggests bands dispersing towards E_F to be bulk-derived^{34,46}.

We characterize the basic electrical transport properties of HfTe₅ by measuring "free-standing" samples as described in the Methods. For all the electrical transport experiments, the current is applied along the *a* axis. A representative temperature dependence of the resistivity measured by the four-probe method, ρ_{xx} , is shown in Fig. 1d. As the sample is cooled down, ρ_{xx} decreases slightly between 180 K < *T* < 300 K. As the sample is cooled further, ρ_{xx} increases drastically for *T* < 180 K until a peak in ρ_{xx} is reached at $T_p \sim 70$ K. For *T* < T_p the sample behaves as a metal (decreasing ρ_{xx} with decreasing *T*). Our measured $T_p \sim 70$ K agrees well with previously studied HfTe₅ samples grown by CVT³⁰. Recent ARPES experiments attributed T_p to a temperature-induced Lifshitz transition, where the chemical potential gradually shifts from the valence band to the conduction band of the gapped Dirac cone as the temperature is reduced^{46,47}, with a hole-dominated transport for *T* > T_p and electron-dominated transport for *T* < T_p , while the chemical potential is in the gap for T_p , as previously reported^{30,48} and measured in our samples (Supplementary Fig. S3). One of the explanations of the Lifshitz transition is the reduced lattice constant with the reduced temperature, where the lattice constant *b* was shown to reduce by 0.3% when cooling down from 300K to 4K⁴⁶. Other plausible explanations are based on Dirac polarons⁴⁹ and thermodynamically induced carriers⁵⁰, but the origin is still under debate.

We measure the magnetotransport properties of our samples. The ρ_{xx} and the transverse Hall resistivity, ρ_{xy} , at T = 1.5 K are plotted vs. the perpendicular magnetic field (*B*) in Fig. 1e. ρ_{xx} displays clear Shubnikov-de Haas (SdH) oscillations starting from B = 0.2 T. The SdH oscillations have a typical frequency of 1.22 T, corresponding to a small Fermi surface cross-sectional area (for B // b axis) $\sim 1.16 \times 10^{-4} \text{ Å}^{-2}$, extracted by the Onsager relation. The ρ_{xy} vs. *B* is linear for B < 0.2 T and displays quantum oscillations similar to those seen in ρ_{xx} . From the measured ρ_{xy} vs. *B*, we extract an electron carrier density of 3.76×10^{16} cm⁻³ (extracted from a single band model) and electron mobility of 755,000 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹, which is the highest mobility reported in HfTe₅ samples. Beyond the Landau level, n = 1, the quantum oscillations of ρ_{xy} develop an evident Hall plateau, while ρ_{xx} reaches a minimum, demonstrating we observe the 3D quantum Hall effect in our samples, as observed in previous studies^{29,30}. These results are consistent with the electron transport being dominated by massive Dirac fermions.

We further investigate the topological properties of HfTe₅ by first-principles calculations. The lattice constant and band gap are dramatically influenced by different exchange-correlation functionals. We test four different functionals: standard Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)⁵¹, PBE-D3 with a van der Waals (vdW) correction⁵², optB86b-vdW (a modified vdW-DF functional)⁵³, and the strongly constrained and appropriately normed (SCAN)⁵⁴ with the revised Vydrov-van Voorhis (rVV10)⁵⁵ (see Table S4 in the SI). The SCAN meta-generalized gradient approximation can accurately treat short- to intermediate-range vdW

interactions. We conclude that SCAN with rvv10 vdW correction can better describe the TPT of the pentatellurides with Te-Te bonds in the range of 2.7 - 4 Å. The SCAN-rVV10 approach predicts HfTe₅ with no strain applied is in a WTI phase with the Dirac gap at the Γ point and the Z₂ indices (0;010) close to the phase transition point (see Sections V & VI of the SI).

Next, we study $HfTe_5$ under uniaxial strain along the *c* axis. Figs. 2 a-c shows the band structure along X- Γ -Y high symmetry lines, where X' is the point along X- Γ direction. With a -1% strain applied along the *c* axis the band gap at the Γ point is opened (Fig. 2a). Under tensile strain the band gap closes (Fig. 2b) and reopens (Fig. 2c) with a band inversion between the *p* orbitals of Te-d atoms and Te-z atoms (labeled in Fig. 1a). The evolution of the band gap at the Γ point is depicted in Fig. 2d, where the gap closing point needs a minimal tensile strain, as indicated by the red dashed line. Based on these results, $HfTe_5$ can transition from a WTI to an STI phase by applying tensile strain along the *c* axis. Similarly, the system can also transition from a WTI to an STI phase by applying compressive strain along the *a* axis, as shown in Fig. 2e. At the Γ point the band gap displays a minimum as a function of strain, across the phase transition (Supplementary Fig. S6 and Fig. S7). Our theoretical results confirm HfTe₅ is in a WTI phase with no strain applied and a TPT to an STI phase is predicted with the application of tensile strain along the *c* axis.

In order to experimentally confirm that our pristine HfTe₅ samples are in the WTI phase, we apply small strain along either the a or c axis independently. Two different samples S_a and S_c are glued on the side wall of a piezo stack actuator with the a axis parallel or perpendicular to the strain direction, as shown in the inset of Fig. 2f. A small strain of up to +/- 0.13% can be continuously applied and monitored (see Section VIII of the SI). Fig. 2f shows the ρ_{xx} vs. strain (ϵ) for both of the samples measured simultaneously at T = 70 K. We choose T = 70 K because the chemical potential is at the band gap and the change of resistance is related to changes in the gap size. For Sample S_a with strain applied along the *a* axis (ϵ_a), ρ_{xx} increases monotonically with increasing ϵ_a , indicating that ϵ_a favors a band gap-opening. While, for Sample S_c with strain applied along the c axis (ϵ_c), ρ_{xx} decreases monotonically with increasing ϵ_c , indicating that ϵ_c favors a band gap-closing. Our ARPES measurements, electrical transport measurements, and the first-principles calculations agree that we are probing the bands around the Γ point. The fact that the topological band gap closes with increasing tensile ϵ_c (or compressive ϵ_a) unambiguously demonstrates that our HfTe₅ samples are intrinsically in the WTI phase^{32–34,41,46}. The small band gap of HfTe₅ at the Γ point, the chemical potential at the band gap (T = 70 K), and the opposite trend of ρ_{xx} vs. ϵ_a when compared to ρ_{xx} vs. ϵ_c are in good agreement with our first-principles calculations that strain can modify the band gap size. However, our piezo stack actuator cannot be used to apply strain larger than 0.13% therefore we have not observed a full gap closening (minimum of ρ_{xx} vs. ϵ) within this range at T = 70 K. By cooling down the sample below T < 70 K we observe ρ_{xx} can be reduced by increasing ϵ_c with a smaller effectivity (Supplementary Figure 9). At T = 16 K positive ϵ_c (tensile strain) becomes ineffective at reducing ρ_{xx} as ρ_{xx} reaches a minimum. This minimum of ρ_{xx} is related to the full closing of the band gap. Our small strain experiment agrees well with our first-principles calculations that only a small strain is needed to close the gap.

To achieve high ($\epsilon_c > 0.13\%$) and uniformly distributed strain on our samples, a different apparatus, "the bending station", inspired by a similar one used for photoemission measurements elsewhere^{56,57}, is adapted here for electrical transport measurements, as seen in Fig. 3a. To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that "the bending station" has been used for electrical transport experiments. The details of our experimental design and finite element analysis (FEA) simulation are described in Methods. In short, an air-annealed titanium (Ti) beam is used as the sample substrate and secured against a sapphire bead by four screws, as seen in Figs. 3b i and ii. We use Ti because of the similar thermal expansion coefficient with HfTe₅. We apply strain by controlling the position of the four screws. This setup can prevent the sample from buckling as the sample is glued at the center of the Ti beam top surface. An FEA simulation of the strain distributions for ϵ_{y} and ϵ_{x} along the length and width directions of the beam with a medium-high bending radius is depicted in Figs. 3b iii and iv respectively. To drive our HfTe₅ samples from WTI to STI, compressive strain along the a axis or tensile strain along the c axis is required. A highly uniform strain distribution is ensured within the sample area on the top surface of the beam to apply tensile strain along the c axis. On the other hand, applying large compressive strain along the a axis can only be done by gluing it on the bottom of the beam, which would be not uniform and create buckling in the sample. We approximate the upper bound of strain applied to the sample by $\epsilon = t/(2R)$, where ϵ is the strain, t is the thickness of the beam (t = 1 mm), and R is the bending radius. We find that the extracted ϵ is in good agreement with ϵ_y from the FEA simulations.

The temperature dependence of ρ_{xx} shows a remarkable evolution with strain as seen in Fig. 3c (for clarity Fig. 3d is plotted on a log-log scale). For our as-glued samples with no intentional strain applied, ϵ_0 , no difference is observed in the measured ρ_{xx} vs. *T* when compared to the "free-standing" samples. For T < 70 K, ρ_{xx} is continuously decreasing, in contrast to the sample pasted on the piezo stack. Based on the radius analysis, we find an insignificant strain of $\epsilon_0 \sim 0.04\%$ which may be induced when securing the Ti beam against the sapphire bead by four screws. As the beam is further bent by tightening the four screws, the sample is strained more along the *c* axis (ϵ_c). By applying a small strain $\epsilon_1 \sim 0.26\%$, we notice a reduction of ρ_{xx} with ϵ_c agrees with our single piezo stack actuator measurements. Applying ϵ_I by using the "bending station" we observe a larger change of ρ_{xx} when compared with a 19% reduction (with $\epsilon_c = 0.13\%$) by using the single

piezo actuator. For 15 K < T < 150 K, ρ_{xx} is much reduced when compared to the measurement under ϵ_0 and this result points towards a reduction of the sample band gap to the point to be closed for $T \sim 20$ K. Such reduction of ρ_{xx} caused by strain ϵ_I diminishes as the temperature is increased, and becomes negligible for T > 200 K. While for T < 20 K we observe a different trend than the one observed in free-standing samples, we observe ρ_{xx} increases with decreasing T, as the sample band gap has increased or reopened by ϵ_1 . As observed in the sample pasted on the piezo actuator. By increasing strain further, $\epsilon_2 \sim 2.3\%$, we observe a more obvious change of ρ_{xx} vs. T. First, ρ_{xx} vs. T shows a more dominant insulating behavior. Indicating $\epsilon_2 \sim 2.3\%$ along the c axis is enough to change the electronic structure significantly. As one can see from the high-temperature range, the metallic to semiconducting crossover temperature is $T \sim 240$ K, much higher than the crossover observed in the unstrained samples ~ 200 K. Second, at T = 1.5 K the resistivity is dramatically enhanced, and it becomes ~ 2,000% larger than that under ϵ_0 . This indicates that the band gap is reopening with increasing strain as shown by ϵ_2 for T < 20 K. We increase strain further to $\epsilon_3 \sim 4.5\%$ and the measured ρ_{xx} vs. T shows an even more insulating behavior. Now, instead of showing crossovers between semiconducting and metallic behaviors, ρ_{xx} increases monotonically as the temperature decreases. Compared with the ϵ_0 conditions, the ρ_{xx} at T = 1.5 K has increased by more than two orders of magnitude (24,200%). Interestingly, ρ_{xx} shows a saturation for T < 10 K, as seen in Fig. 3d. This saturation in the ρ_{xx} for T < 10 K is reminiscent of the topological surface state dominant transport previously observed in 3D STIs⁴²⁻⁴⁵.

The evolution of ρ_{xx} vs. *T* under different strains can be explained by the strain-induced TPT. From the Arrhenius analysis of ρ_{xx} vs. 1/T shown in Fig. 3e we extract the evolution of the bulk band gap. First, for 80 K < *T* < 120 K for the unstrained sample, a thermal activation energy gap $\Delta \sim 33.6$ meV is extracted, consistent with the Dirac cone gap previously observed by ARPES in HfTes^{34,46}. By applying strain ϵ_{1} ~ 0.26% the extracted gap is reduced to 27.7 meV, which confirms the closing of the band gap with strain as predicted by our DFT calculations. Under large strains $\epsilon_{2} \sim 2.3\%$ and $\epsilon_{3} \sim 4.5\%$, the insulating behavior of the resistivity starts at higher *T*°s, closer to room temperature. For 170 K < *T* < 250 K and under ϵ_{3} conditions, we obtain $\Delta \sim 38.6$ meV. The increase of the extracted energy gap and the increase of the starting *T* of the insulating behavior for the ϵ_{2} and ϵ_{3} cases indicate a reopening of the band gap, driven by large strain. For *T* < 10 K, strain ϵ_{3} shows a saturation of ρ_{xx} as shown in Fig. 3f. Similar ρ_{xx} vs. *T* has been observed in 3D STIs in previous studies⁴²⁻⁴⁵.

To further investigate the strain-induced TPT, we have performed magnetotransport measurements under different strains. Fig. 4a shows ρ_{xx} vs. *B*. Our sample shows strong SdH oscillations under all strain conditions. To study the SdH oscillations, we plot the oscillatory part ($\Delta \rho_{xx}$) after background subtraction

in Fig. 4b. We observe the frequency of the SdH oscillations under strain ϵ_2 (1.40 ± 0.2 T) is 16% larger than that of the unstrained ϵ_0 case (1.21 \pm 0.2 T). The 2D carrier density extracted from SdH oscillations by $n_{2D} = F/\Phi_0$, (where F is the oscillating frequency and Φ_0 is the magnetic flux quantum) increases from 5.85×10^{10} cm⁻² for ϵ_0 to 6.77×10^{10} cm⁻² for ϵ_2 . An increase in the carrier density is contradictory with the increased bulk band gap by strain, if we only consider transport in the bulk. One plausible explanation is that, under strain ϵ_2 , the TSS start to contribute to the total conduction and the probed SdH oscillations are from the TSS. The emergence of the surface state transport is in line with the topological phase transition from a WTI to an STI phase driven by strain. Fig. 4b, also clearly displays a systematic shift of the SdH oscillations as strain is increased. This phase shift could be related to the change in the oscillation frequency and possibly to a phase difference. We note two interesting facts about the oscillations in the high field range (B > 1 T). First, the oscillating amplitude is anomalously enhanced near the 1st Landau level (n = 1). Second, the interval between the last peak and valley is smaller in 1/B than those for n > 2. Both indicate the electronic bands are greatly influenced at a higher field (B > 1 T) when approaching the quantum limit, which is expected given the large Landé g-factor and the tiny band gap of the system. To better evaluate the Berry phase from the SdH oscillations, we consider the low field oscillations ($B \le 1$ T) and fit them with the Lifshitz-Kosevich (LK) formula (See Methods). The Berry phase Φ_B is connected with the fitting phase factor γ in the LK equation through $\frac{\Phi_B}{2\pi} = 1/2 - \gamma - \delta$, where δ is a phase factor associated with the Fermi surface dimensionality. For Dirac fermions in the 2D case, $\delta = 0$ and for the 3D case, $\delta = \pm 1/8$, where the sign depends on the carrier type and the extremal Fermi surface cross-section. In bulk $ZrTe_5$, $\gamma =$ 0.125 was measured previously and it was consistent with a π Berry's phase, demonstrating Dirac fermions in a 3D Fermi surface 37,38,58 . Similarly, for our unstrained samples, the fitted phase factor is $\gamma = 0.12 \pm$ 0.01, as shown in Fig. 4b, and for strain ϵ_1 the fitted $\gamma = 0.13 \pm 0.02$. Indicating the charge carriers responsible for the SdH oscillations for the unstrained and strain ϵ_1 cases are bulk Dirac carriers with a 3D Fermi surface. However, for strain ϵ_2 , the fitted phase factor changes significantly to $\gamma = -0.006 \pm 0.040$, which deviates strongly from the 3D case and supports the scenario of 2D Dirac fermions.

Additionally, we perform longitudinal magneto-transport measurements by aligning the sample's *a* axis (parallel to the electrical current direction) to the *B*-field direction. Fig. 4c shows ρ_{xx} vs. *B* at different strains. Without applied strain, ϵ_0 , we observe strong SdH oscillations with a frequency of 5.35 T, which corresponds to the anisotropic 3D bulk Fermi surface as observed in previous studies ^{29,30}. With increasing strain to ϵ_1 , we observe that the SdH oscillations vanish, as if the Fermi surface is not 3D anymore. By increasing strain to ϵ_2 , ρ_{xx} vs. *B* becomes positive and linear, even up to B = 9 T (Fig. 4c). For clarity, we show the longitudinal magnetoresistance, *LMR* (*B*) = $(\rho_{xx}(B) - \rho_{xx}(B = 0))/\rho_{xx}(B = 0)$, in Fig. 4d.

For the zero strain case, ϵ_0 , the LMR can be well fitted by a quadratic equation $LMR(B) = \eta B^2$, where η is a fitting parameter. Under strain ϵ_1 , the LMR is reduced by an appreciable amount, showing a competition between a negative and a positive LMR. The LMR clearly shows that it first decreases with an increasing B, reaching a minimum, after which, it starts to increase with increasing B. This suggests that under strain ϵ_l , an additional scattering mechanism may be relevant (see Methods). The negative LMR in the low field range can be roughly described by a positive magneto-conductance with a quadratic field dependence $\sigma_1(B) \propto B^2$, while the positive LMR above B = 1.25 T exhibits a quadratic field dependence $MR(B) \propto B^2$ B^2 . Without considering the exact type of mechanism, according to Matthiessen's rule (See Methods)⁵⁹, the net LMR can be written as $LMR(B) = \frac{l}{\sigma(0) + \eta_1 B^2} - \frac{l}{\sigma(0)} + \eta_2 B^2$, where η_1, η_2 , and $\sigma(0)$ are fitting parameters. Our data can be well fitted with this equation for B up to 3 T, as shown in Fig. 4d and the inset. The negative LMR up to -7% probed near B = 0 may be attributed to the intrinsic chiral anomaly effect as reported in previous studies on the pentatellurides^{26,60}, especially in the DSM phase which is in good agreement with our assessment that the band gap is closed under strain ϵ_1 . By increasing strain to ϵ_2 , the LMR can be well fitted by a linear equation LMR(B) = k|B| (as shown in Fig. 4d for B < 2T or Fig. 4c for B up to 9T), where k is a fitting parameter. This linear LMR may be related to the spin polarization of the helical TSS previously observed in other STI materials⁶¹ in agreement with our assessment of the reopening of the band gap under strain ϵ_2 and the dominance of the TSS.

Discussion and conclusions:

The strain-induced TPT observed in our experiments can be interpreted as follows. By applying tensile strain along the c axis of HfTe₅, initially, the bulk gap is reduced until it is fully closed, and eventually, the band gap reopens with increasing strain. Once the gap reopens the TSS are formed. Causing the transition from the WTI phase to a Dirac semimetal phase and finally an STI phase with dominant TSS. Our first-principles calculations are in good agreement and show that the bulk gap is initially reduced and then increased when increasing tensile strain along the c axis in HfTe₅.

As far as we know, signatures of in-situ strain-driven TPTs have been observed only in TaSe₃ and ZrTe₅ samples by ARPES^{33,57,62} and electronic transport^{40,41}. In TaSe₃, a strain-induced TPT from an STI phase to a trivial semimetal phase was observed by ARPES^{57,62}. However, due to the dominant bulk band at the Fermi level^{57,62}, the appearance or disappearance of the TSS would be difficult to detect from transport measurements. Thus, quantum transport evidence of the TPT in TaSe₃ has not been reported yet. In ZrTe₅,

an ARPES study attempted to observe the TPT by applying strain along the $a xis^{33}$. With the maximum compressive strain ($\epsilon_a \sim -0.3\%$). The band gap was reduced, but the STI phase was not reached in the study. There have been two other electronic transport studies focusing on magneto-transport signatures of the strain-tuned TPTs in ZrTe₅^{40,41}. However, the samples in those studies were dominated by bulk carriers and no transport signatures of the TSS associated with the STI phase were observed. In previous strain studies, the change of the gap was small and an observation of insulating states (reopening of the gap) to confirm the TPT to an STI phase was not observed, which was probably due to the limited compressive strain ($\epsilon_a < 1$ %) without causing buckling on the sample. On the other hand, in this study, we show transport evidence of a TPT from a WTI phase to an STI phase in HfTe₅. Instead of applying compressive strain along the crystallographic a axis, we apply tensile strain along the c axis with a homemade bending apparatus, Fig. 3a, which allows the application of a much larger strain range. Compared with that of the unstrained ϵ_0 case, the resistivity at the base temperature increases by more than two orders of magnitude with the highest strain ϵ_3 applied. By driving the system deeper into the STI phase, its temperature dependence of resistivity exhibits a semiconducting-like behavior at all temperatures and tends to saturate at low temperatures, which is a key signature of the surface-state dominated transport^{42–45}. The dramatic changes in resistivity with the application of strain reveal the changes in the bulk gap across the TPT driven by strain. The remaining finite conductance with the gapped bulk state serves as strong transport evidence of the exposed TSS. Our magneto-transport results at different strains can be well understood in terms of the bulk-dominated transport at small strains and surface-state-dominated transport at high strains. Under a perpendicular magnetic field, the SdH oscillations persist even at high strains when the low-temperature resistivity behaves as an insulator. Detailed analysis of the SdH oscillations phase shift, $\gamma = 1/2 - \frac{\Phi_B}{2\pi} - \delta$, shows the dimensionality nature of the SdH oscillations changes with strain. At zero strain ϵ_0 , the SdH oscillations analysis result in $\gamma \sim 1/8$, which supports a 3D Fermi surface in addition to the non-trivial π Berry phase of the Dirac electrons ($\delta = -1/8$). At high strain, ϵ_2 , the resulting phase shift $\gamma \sim 0$ implies a dimensional phase factor of $\delta = 0$, which is in line with a 2D Fermi surface once the sample is in the STI phase. An alternative explanation is that the system goes through a Lifshitz transition under strain, i.e., the bulk Fermi surface changes from a closed 3D ellipsoid-like to an open 2D cylindrical shape with open orbits along the out-of-plane direction. However, this might not be expected as the interlayer interaction should be stronger, and the momentum transfer should be favored by the compressive strain along the interlayer direction (b axis) under the in-plane tensile strain.

The LMR at zero strain, ϵ_0 , further supports the 3D Fermi surface of HfTe₅. The weak field B^2 dependence background LMR and the SdH oscillations can be interpreted well by effective mass anisotropy in the

classic limit of the bulk 3D FS and the quantization of electron orbits as it approaches the quantum limit ⁶³. With a small strain applied, ϵ_1 , the negative LMR in the small *B* range supports the hypothesis of initially closing the bulk gap, and the observation of the chiral anomaly with imbalanced chirality in the intermediate gapless or slightly gapped DSM state^{60,64}, as it was previously observed in ZrTe₅^{26,40,58}. It implies that the Dirac gap is closing with the small strain ϵ_1 . At higher strain ϵ_2 , the SdH oscillations and the negative LMR vanish. Instead, we observe a linear LMR without SdH oscillations. This finding agrees well with the formation of a 2D Fermi surface of Dirac electrons on the top and bottom surfaces with the chemical potential located within or in the vicinity of the bulk gap. The appearance of the compelling linear LMR may be related to the coupling between helically polarized spins of the TSS and the magnetic field, as previously reported in 3D STIs⁶¹, which deserves further investigation.

In summary, we have performed a thorough study on the application of controllable large strain in highquality HfTe₅ samples. We perform DFT calculations and measure the electronic transport properties under strain. The electronic band structure calculations accurately confirm that the HfTe₅ ground state is located at the boundary between a WTI and an STI phase. By using a small strain, we prove the HfTe₅ samples are initially in the WTI phase. By applying large strain, we observe a strain-driven TPT from the initial WTI phase to a closing (DSM phase) and reopening of the band gap, and finally an STI phase. With a strain of ~4.5%, the sample resistivity at T = 1.5 K is increased by more than two orders of magnitude. We measured signatures of the topological surface-state-dominated electronic transport in the STI phase of HfTe₅ from magneto-transport with both perpendicular and parallel magnetic fields. Our results demonstrate that HfTe₅ is an ideal prototype material for studying strain-driven quantum phenomena and has the potential for use in strain-controllable topological spintronic devices.

References

- 1. Kane, C. L. & Mele, E. J. Z2 topological order and the quantum spin Hall effect. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 146802 (2005).
- 2. Bernevig, B. A., Hughes, T. L. & Zhang, S.-C. Quantum spin Hall effect and topological phase transition in HgTe quantum wells. *Science* **314**, 1757–1761 (2006).
- 3. Fu, L., Kane, C. L. & Mele, E. J. Topological insulators in three dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 106803 (2007).
- 4. Fu, L. & Kane, C. L. Topological insulators with inversion symmetry. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **76**, 045302 (2007).
- 5. König, M. *et al.* Quantum spin hall insulator state in HgTe quantum wells. *Science* **318**, 766–770 (2007).
- Hasan, M. Z. & Kane, C. L. Colloquium: Topological insulators. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 82, 3045–3067 (2010).
- 7. Chang, C.-Z. *et al.* Experimental observation of the quantum anomalous Hall effect in a magnetic topological insulator. *Science* **340**, 167–170 (2013).
- 8. Bradlyn, B. et al. Topological quantum chemistry. Nature 547, 298–305 (2017).
- 9. Armitage, N. P., Mele, E. J. & Vishwanath, A. Weyl and Dirac semimetals in three-dimensional solids. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **90**, 015001 (2018).
- 10. Murakami, S. Phase transition between the quantum spin Hall and insulator phases in 3D: emergence of a topological gapless phase. *New J. Phys.* **9**, 356 (2007).
- 11. Teo, J. C. Y., Fu, L. & Kane, C. L. Surface states and topological invariants in three-dimensional topological insulators: Application to Bi_{1-x}Sb_x. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **78**, 045426 (2008).
- 12. Schnyder, A. P., Ryu, S., Furusaki, A. & Ludwig, A. W. W. Classification of topological insulators and superconductors in three spatial dimensions. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **78**, 195125 (2008).
- 13. Liu, C., Hughes, T. L., Qi, X.-L., Wang, K. & Zhang, S.-C. Quantum spin Hall effect in inverted type-II semiconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 236601 (2008).
- 14. Cai, Z., Chen, S., Kou, S. & Wang, Y. Properties of a class of topological phase transitions. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **78**, 035123 (2008).
- 15. Xu, S.-Y. *et al.* Topological phase transition and texture inversion in a tunable topological insulator. *Science* **332**, 560–564 (2011).
- Dziawa, P. *et al.* Topological crystalline insulator states in Pb_{1-x}Sn_xSe. *Nat. Mater.* 11, 1023–1027 (2012).
- 17. Xu, S.-Y. *et al.* Observation of a topological crystalline insulator phase and topological phase transition in Pb_{1-x}Sn_xTe. *Nat. Commun.* **3**, 1192 (2012).
- Hicks, C. W. *et al.* Strong increase of T_c of Sr₂RuO₄ under both tensile and compressive strain. *Science* 344, 283–285 (2014).
- 19. Cenker, J. *et al.* Reversible strain-induced magnetic phase transition in a van der Waals magnet. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **17**, 256–261 (2022).
- 20. Bi, Z., Yuan, N. F. Q. & Fu, L. Designing flat bands by strain. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* 100, 035448 (2019).
- 21. Ilan, R., Grushin, A. G. & Pikulin, D. I. Pseudo-electromagnetic fields in 3D topological semimetals. *Nature Reviews Physics* **2**, 29–41 (2019).
- 22. Zhu, S., Stroscio, J. A. & Li, T. Programmable Extreme Pseudomagnetic Fields in Graphene by a

Uniaxial Stretch. Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 245501 (2015).

- 23. Sundaram, G. & Niu, Q. Wave-packet dynamics in slowly perturbed crystals: Gradient corrections and Berry-phase effects. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **59**, 14915–14925 (1999).
- 24. Son, J., Kim, K.-H., Ahn, Y. H., Lee, H.-W. & Lee, J. Strain Engineering of the Berry Curvature Dipole and Valley Magnetization in Monolayer MoS₂. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **123**, 036806 (2019).
- 25. Weng, H., Dai, X. & Fang, Z. Transition-Metal Pentatelluride ZrTe₅ and HfTe₅: A Paradigm for Large-Gap Quantum Spin Hall Insulators. *Phys. Rev. X* **4**, 011002 (2014).
- 26. Li, Q. et al. Chiral magnetic effect in ZrTe₅. Nat. Phys. 12, 550-554 (2016).
- 27. Wang, H. *et al.* Chiral anomaly and ultrahigh mobility in crystalline HfTe₅. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **93**, 165127 (2016).
- 28. Liang, T. et al. Anomalous Hall effect in ZrTe₅. Nat. Phys. 14, 451-455 (2018).
- 29. Tang, F. *et al.* Three-dimensional quantum Hall effect and metal-insulator transition in ZrTe₅. *Nature* **569**, 537–541 (2019).
- 30. Galeski, S. *et al.* Unconventional Hall response in the quantum limit of HfTe₅. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 5926 (2020).
- 31. Li, X.-B. *et al.* Experimental Observation of Topological Edge States at the Surface Step Edge of the Topological Insulator ZrTe₅. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 176803 (2016).
- 32. Wu, R. *et al.* Evidence for Topological Edge States in a Large Energy Gap near the Step Edges on the Surface of ZrTe₅. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 021017 (2016).
- 33. Zhang, P. *et al.* Observation and control of the weak topological insulator state in ZrTe₅. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 406 (2021).
- 34. Liu, S. *et al.* Experimental observation of conductive edge states in weak topological insulator candidate HfTe₅. *APL Materials* **6**, 121111 (2018).
- 35. Manzoni, G. *et al.* Evidence for a Strong Topological Insulator Phase in ZrTe₅. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 237601 (2016).
- 36. Wang, J. *et al.* Magneto-transport evidence for strong topological insulator phase in ZrTe₅. *Nat. Commun.* **12**, 6758 (2021).
- Wang, J. *et al.* Vanishing quantum oscillations in Dirac semimetal ZrTe₅. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.* A. 115, 9145–9150 (2018).
- 38. Ji, S., Lee, S.-E. & Jung, M.-H. Berry paramagnetism in the Dirac semimetal ZrTe₅. *Communications Physics* **4**, 265 (2021).
- 39. Wang, Y. *et al.* Gigantic Magnetochiral Anisotropy in the Topological Semimetal ZrTe₅. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 176602 (2022).
- 40. Mutch, J. *et al.* Evidence for a strain-tuned topological phase transition in ZrTe₅. *Sci. Adv.* **5**, eaav9771 (2019).
- 41. Gaikwad, A. *et al.* Strain-tuned topological phase transition and unconventional Zeeman effect in ZrTe₅ microcrystals. *Communications Materials* **3**, 94 (2022).
- 42. Analytis, J. G. *et al.* Two-dimensional surface state in the quantum limit of a topological insulator. *Nat. Phys.* **6**, 960–964 (2010).
- 43. Ren, Z., Taskin, A. A., Sasaki, S., Segawa, K. & Ando, Y. Large bulk resistivity and surface quantum oscillations in the topological insulator Bi₂Te₂Se. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **82**, 241306 (2010).
- 44. Kim, D. J., Xia, J. & Fisk, Z. Topological surface state in the Kondo insulator samarium hexaboride.

Nat. Mater. 13, 466–470 (2014).

- 45. Xu, Y. *et al.* Observation of topological surface state quantum Hall effect in an intrinsic threedimensional topological insulator. *Nat. Phys.* **10**, 956–963 (2014).
- 46. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Temperature-induced Lifshitz transition in topological insulator candidate HfTe₅. *Sci Bull.* **62**, 950–956 (2017).
- 47. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Electronic evidence of temperature-induced Lifshitz transition and topological nature in ZrTe₅. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 15512 (2017).
- 48. Zhao, L.-X. *et al.* Anomalous Magneto-Transport Behavior in Transition Metal Pentatelluride HfTe₅. *Chin. Phys. Lett.* **34**, 037102 (2017).
- 49. Fu, B., Wang, H.-W. & Shen, S.-Q. Dirac Polarons and Resistivity Anomaly in ZrTe₅ and HfTe₅. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 256601 (2020).
- 50. Wang, C. Thermodynamically Induced Transport Anomaly in Dilute Metals ZrTe₅ and HfTe₅. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **126**, 126601 (2021).
- 51. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 77, 3865–3868 (1996).
- 52. Grimme, S., Antony, J., Ehrlich, S. & Krieg, H. A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction (DFT-D) for the 94 elements H-Pu. *J. Chem. Phys.* **132**, 154104 (2010).
- 53. Klimeš, J., Bowler, D. R. & Michaelides, A. Van der Waals density functionals applied to solids. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **83**, 195131 (2011).
- 54. Sun, J., Ruzsinszky, A. & Perdew, J. P. Strongly Constrained and Appropriately Normed Semilocal Density Functional. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 036402 (2015).
- 55. Sabatini, R., Gorni, T. & de Gironcoli, S. Nonlocal van der Waals density functional made simple and efficient. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **87**, 041108 (2013).
- 56. Riccò, S. *et al.* In situ strain tuning of the metal-insulator-transition of Ca₂RuO₄ in angle-resolved photoemission experiments. *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 4535 (2018).
- 57. Lin, C. *et al.* Visualization of the strain-induced topological phase transition in a quasi-onedimensional superconductor TaSe₃. *Nat. Mater.* **20**, 1093–1099 (2021).
- 58. Zheng, G. *et al.* Transport evidence for the three-dimensional Dirac semimetal phase in ZrTe₅. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **93**, 115414 (2016).
- 59. Goswami, P., Pixley, J. H. & Das Sarma, S. Axial anomaly and longitudinal magnetoresistance of a generic three-dimensional metal. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **92**, 075205 (2015).
- 60. Ong, N. P. & Liang, S. Experimental signatures of the chiral anomaly in Dirac–Weyl semimetals. *Nature Reviews Physics* **3**, 394–404 (2021).
- 61. Qu, D. X., Hor, Y. S., Xiong, J., Cava, R. J. & Ong, N. P. Quantum Oscillations and Hall Anomaly of Surface States in the Topological Insulator Bi₂Te₃. *Science* **329**, 821–824 (2010).
- 62. Hyun, J. *et al.* Strain-controlled evolution of electronic structure indicating topological phase transition in the quasi-one-dimensional superconductor TaSe₃. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **105**, 115143 (2022).
- 63. Pal, H. K. & Maslov, D. L. Necessary and sufficient condition for longitudinal magnetoresistance. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **81**, 214438 (2010).
- 64. Andreev, A. V. & Spivak, B. Z. Longitudinal Negative Magnetoresistance and Magnetotransport Phenomena in Conventional and Topological Conductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 026601 (2018).

- 65. Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **59**, 1758–1775 (1999).
- 66. Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **54**, 11169–11186 (1996).
- 67. Methfessel, M. & Paxton, A. T. High-precision sampling for Brillouin-zone integration in metals. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **40**, 3616–3621 (1989).
- 68. Mostofi, A. A. *et al.* An updated version of wannier90: A tool for obtaining maximally-localised Wannier functions. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **185**, 2309–2310 (2014).
- 69. Wu, Q., Zhang, S., Song, H.-F., Troyer, M. & Soluyanov, A. A. WannierTools: An open-source software package for novel topological materials. *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **224**, 405–416 (2018).

Methods

Crystal growth and structural characterization

The HfTe₅ single crystals in this study are grown by the chemical vapor transport (CVT) method. Hf pieces and Te lumps are mixed in stoichiometric ratios before being loaded into a quartz tube (inner diameter 14 mm). A small amount of I₂ (100 mg) is added as the transport agent. After being sealed under vacuum, the quartz tube with a length of ~16 cm is placed in a horizontal furnace and the temperature gradient is set to be 510 °C and 460 °C. Belt-like single crystals up to 1 cm in length are accessible after one month of growth. A piece of as-grown crystal was isolated and cut into 1/3 of the length for the single crystal X-ray diffraction measurement. Extreme care was taken while cutting the crystal to minimize straining the crystal edge. The crystal structure is confirmed to be orthorhombic with a space group of *Cmcm*.

Transport measurements

The electrical measurement is done with the four-probe method. To ensure good contact between electrodes and the sample, 10 nm Cr and 90 nm Au are evaporated on freshly cleaved HfTe₅ single crystals under homemade shadow masks in a high vacuum e-beam evaporator (Angstrom Engineering Inc.). Two-component H20E silver epoxy (Epoxy Technology, Inc.) is used to attach 50 μ m thick Pd-coated copper wires onto the sample and baked at 90 °C for 1 hour in the inert gas atmosphere. The prepared samples for electrical transport measurements are then either measured as "free-standing" samples or pasted on the Ti beam for measurements under bending strain. The samples are cooled down with a helium gas environment in a cryostat equipped with a cryogen-free superconducting magnet (Cryomagnetics, Inc). The temperature is monitored with a Cernox temperature sensor integrated into the sample platform. The electrical measurements are performed by using SR830 lock-in amplifiers (Stanford Research Systems, Inc.). An AC current, typically, of 100 μ A with a frequency of 17.777 Hz is applied along the samples' *a* axis.

Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES) measurements

Laboratory-based ARPES experiments were performed on HfTe₅ single crystals cleaved in situ at T = 77 K in a base pressure P < 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ Torr. Photoelectrons were collected over a 30° solid angle following He I_a (hv = 21.2 eV) excitation using a Scienta R4000 electron energy analyzer. The total energy resolution was $\Delta E < 12 \text{ meV}$, while an instrumental angular resolution of $\pm 0.5^{\circ}$ gives rise to a total momentum resolution $\Delta k < 0.02$ Å⁻¹ for the photon energy used in these experiments. Calibration of the spectrometer work function was performed on polycrystalline gold at T = 77 K ($\phi = 4.345$ eV), providing an absolute reference

for the Fermi level (E_F) as is necessary given the insulating nature of HfTe₅ measured from transport in this temperature range.

DFT Calculations

Our first-principles calculations are performed with the projector-augmented wave pseudopotentials⁶⁵ using Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package⁶⁶ code. We have compared four different exchange-correlation functionals: standard Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE)⁵¹, PBE-D3 with a van der Waals (vdW) correction⁵², optB86b-vdW (a modified vdW-DF functional)⁵³, and the strongly constrained and appropriately normed (SCAN)⁵⁴ with the revised Vydrov-van Voorhis (rVV10)⁵⁵. An energy cutoff of 450 eV and an $8 \times 8 \times 4$ Monkhorst–Pack k-point grid is used⁶⁷. The structure is optimized until the atomic forces are smaller than 0.01 eV/Å. The maximally-localized Wannier functions of HfTe₅ are fitted based on the Te-p orbitals by the Wannier90 code⁶⁸ and then the topological properties are calculated by the WannierTools⁶⁹.

When applying strain along the *c* axis (or *a* axis), the lattice constants *a* (or *c*) and *b* are reduced correspondingly. The Poisson's ratios are calculated from the energy minimization from DFT calculations, shown as follows with Eq. (1) for uniaxial strain along the c-axis and Eq. (2) for uniaxial strain along the a-axis:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\Delta a}{a} = -0.29 \frac{\Delta c}{c} \\ \frac{\Delta b}{b} = -0.12 \frac{\Delta c}{c} \end{cases} (1); \qquad \begin{cases} \frac{\Delta c}{c} = -0.29 \frac{\Delta a}{a} \\ \frac{\Delta b}{b} = -0.05 \frac{\Delta a}{a} \end{cases} (2). \end{cases}$$

Application of bending strain

An air-annealed titanium beam is placed under two brackets with its center supported by a sapphire bead (Figs. 3b i and ii). As the brackets are driven downward by tightening the screws, the beam will be bent, creating tensile strain along the length direction (defined as x axis) on the top surface of the beam. Such a strain can be well approximated by $\epsilon = t/(2R)$, where ϵ is the strain, t is the thickness of the beam and R is the bending radius (See Section IX of the SI). The sample is pasted along the middle line of the beam top surface by insulating EP29LPSP two-component epoxy (Master Bond Inc). A baking process of the epoxy is done inside an Ar-filled glove box by following the instructions given by Master Bond. The sample's a axis is aligned perpendicular to the x axis. Thus, as the beam is bent, a tensile strain along the c axis and a compressive strain along the a axis will be applied to the sample. The thin insulating oxide layer on the top surface of the beam produced by the air-annealing process, together with the insulating epoxy, enables us

to do electrical transport measurements of samples under strain. A finite element analysis (FEA) simulation of the strain distribution on the beam along the x and y axes was performed using the Stress Analysis environment in Autodesk Inventor 2023 as depicted in Figs. 3b iii and iv. The beam's dimensions are set to 2 mm ×10 mm × 1 mm (width, length, and thickness), and the Young's modulus and Poisson's ratio are set to 103 GPa and 0.36, respectively. While the Young's modulus of the sapphire bead was set to 345 GPa. Regarding the mesh construction of the assembly, the average element size was 10% of the model size (part-based measure was used) and the minimum element size was 20% of the average value, resulting in a total of 10378 elements and 17302 nodes in the simulation. A force of 290 N was applied downward to each bracket to bend the beam resulting in a 2.3% longitudinal strain at its center, which agrees with the value calculated from measuring the bending radius R.

Quantum oscillations fitting with the Lifshitz-Kosevich (LK) formula

Lifshitz-Kosevich (LK) formula:

$$\Delta \rho \propto B^{\lambda} R_T R_D R_S cos \left[2\pi \left(\frac{F}{B} + \gamma \right) \right]$$
(3)

Where $R_T = \alpha T \mu / [Bsinh(\alpha T \mu / B)]$ is the thermal damping term, $R_D = exp(-\alpha T_D \mu / B)$ is the Dingle damping factor with T_D being the Dingle temperature, and $R_S = cos(\pi g \mu / 2)$ is the spin damping factor. $\mu = \frac{m^*}{m_e}$ is defined as the ratio between cyclotron effective mass m* and the free electron mass m_e . $\alpha = 2\pi^2 k_B m_e / (\hbar e)$ is a constant, where k_B is the Boltzmann constant and \hbar is the Planck constant. g is the Landé g-factor. λ is a factor depending on the dimensionality (1/2 and 0 for 3D and 2D cases respectively).

Matthiessen's rule

For a single type of carrier, according to Matthiessen's rule,

$$\frac{l}{\tau} = \frac{l}{\tau_1} + \frac{l}{\tau_2} + \cdots \tag{4}$$

where τ is the total mean free time and τ_i is the mean free time caused by the *i*th scattering mechanism. As a result, the net resistivity can be written as the sum of the resistivity introduced by the *i*th scattering mechanism, *i.e.* $\rho(B) = \rho_1(B) + \rho_2(B) + \dots$ Different from the additive of conductivity for systems with different conduction channels or different types of charge carriers, here the resistivity caused by different scattering mechanisms experienced by the same carriers is additive. In our case, one scattering mechanism causes positive magneto-conductance, $\rho_1(B) = 1/(\sigma_1(0) + \beta_1 B^2)$, and another scattering mechanism causes positive magneto-resistance, $\rho_2(B) = \rho_2(0) + \beta_2 B^2$, where $\sigma_1(0)$, $\rho_2(0)$, β_1 , and β_2 are fitting parameters. The total resistivity ($\rho(B) = \rho_1(B) + \rho_2(B)$) can be expressed by $\rho(B) = \frac{1}{\sigma_1(0) + \beta_1 B^2} + \rho_2(0) + \beta_2 B^2$ and the longitudinal magnetoresistance (LMR) equation can be expressed as $LMR(B) = \frac{1}{\sigma(0) + \eta_1 B^2} - \frac{1}{\sigma(0)} + \eta_2 B^2$ (where η_1, η_2 , and $\sigma(0)$ are fitting parameters) which satisfy the condition LMR (0) = 0. This LMR equation describes our data under strain ϵ_1 very well.

Acknowledgments

This research was primarily supported by the National Science Foundation Materials Research Science and Engineering Center program through the UC Irvine Center for Complex and Active Materials (DMR-2011967). L.A.J. acknowledges the support from NSF-CAREER (DMR 2146567). M.T.P. and N.S. acknowledge support from the Laboratory Directed Research and Development program of Los Alamos National Laboratory under project number 20230014DR. This work was performed, in part, at the Center for Integrated Nanotechnologies, an Office of Science User Facility operated by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science. Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action equal opportunity employer, is managed by Triad National Security, LLC for the U.S. Department of Energy's NNSA, under contract 89233218CNA000001. We acknowledge discussions of the data with Cyprian Lewandowski at Florida State University and Shunqing Shen at the University of Hong Kong. J.L and L.A.J are grateful to Jing Xia and his group at UCI for the initial exploration of using a three-piezo stack strain cell to apply strain on a HfTe₅ sample.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.



Figure 1. Characterization of high-quality HfTe₅ **single crystals. a**, Crystal structure of HfTe₅. Te-d, Tez, and Te-a represent Te atoms at dimer, apical, and zig-zag positions, respectively. **b & c**, ARPES results for the dispersions along X- Γ -X and Y- Γ -Y directions, respectively. The bright green lines are the band dispersions calculated by DFT, which agree well with the ARPES data. **d**, Temperature (*T*) dependence of resistivity (ρ_{xx}) for a "free-standing" HfTe₅ sample without any external strain applied. Inset: An optical image of a belt-like HfTe₅ single crystal, and the scale bar represents 0.2 mm. **e**, Longitudinal (ρ_{xx}) and Hall (ρ_{xy}) resistivity plotted as a function of magnetic field (*B*) up to 9 T at *T* = 1.5 K. The arrows mark the Landau level indexes n = 1 and 2.



Figure 2. Topological properties and Topological phase transition under strain in HfTe₅. a-c, Band structure of HfTe₅ with different uniaxial strains applied along the axis (-1%, 0%, and 1% for a, b, and c, respectively). A band inversion occurs between the Te-d (blue dots) and Te-z (red dots) orbitals with tensile strain along the c axis. **d & e**, The evolution of the band gap at Γ , with strain applied along c axis in d and along a axis in e. The red dashed lines represent the estimation of the gap closing point. **f**, ρ_{xx} as a function of strain for both Sample S_a and S_c in a double Y-axis plot. Inset: Schematic of sample configurations mounted on a single piezo-stack actuator for applying small strain along either c (Sample S_c) or a (Sample S_a) axes. The red double-headed arrow represents the poling direction of the piezo-stack actuator.



Figure 3. Tuning electronic transport in HfTe₅ by large bending strain. **a**, A model of the "bending station" with a sample mounted at the center of the beam's top surface. The scale bar represents 1 cm. **b**, i. Schematic of how the beam is bent. The two black arrows represent the force load. ii. Top view of the sample configuration relative to the beam for applying strain along the sample's *c* axis. iii. and iv. show the strain distribution of ϵ_y and ϵ_x on the beam under a moderately high load, which results in a strain of $\epsilon_y = 2.2$ % near the sample area. **c & d**, ρ_{xx} as a function of temperature (*T*) for the HfTe₅ sample under different strains, plotted in log-log scale in d for clarity. Inset of c: Schematics of the Dirac bands around Γ under different strain cases. **e & f**, $\ln(\rho_{xx})$ vs. 1/T at various strains, plotted for the high-temperature range in e and the low-temperature range in f. The bright straight solid lines in e are the linear Arrhenius fit to extract the thermal activation energy.



Figure 4. Magneto-transport in HfTe₅ under different strains. **a**, ρ_{xx} as a function of perpendicular magnetic field (*B*) measured under different strains. Inset: Schematic of the measurement setup. **b**, Oscillatory part of the resistivity $(\Delta \rho_{xx})$ after background subtraction, plotted vs. 1/*B* in the field range from 0.25 T to 1 T. $\Delta \rho_{xx}$ is vertically shifted for clarity for strains ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 . The bright solid green lines represent the LK fit (See Methods). The arrows mark the positions of integer Landau levels. **c**, ρ_{xx} as a function of parallel magnetic field (*B*) at different strains, measured with *B*// I (for this we rotate the sample and aligned the crystal *a* axis to *B*). The red dashed line is a guide for the linear magnetic field dependence of ρ_{xx} vs. *B* measured under strain ϵ_2 . Inset: Schematic of the measurement setup. **d**, Longitudinal magnetoresistance (LMR) vs. *B* (up to 2 T). The bright solid green lines represent the fittings with different equations, $LMR(B) = \eta B^2$ for ϵ_0 , $LMR(B) = \frac{l}{\sigma(0) + \eta_1 B^2} - \frac{l}{\sigma(0)} + \eta_2 B^2$ for ϵ_1 , and LMR(B) = k|B| for ϵ_2 . Where $\eta_1, \eta_2, \sigma(0)$, and *k* are fitting parameters. Inset: Zoomed-in plot of LMR vs. *B* (up to 3 T) for strain ϵ_1 . All the measurements were performed at T = 1.5 K.